APPENDIX P: CLOSURE COST CALCULATION STUDY



Project Reference: 710.02038.00001

Commissiekraal Coal Project Tholie Logistics (Pty) Ltd

CALCULATION OF THE FINANCIAL CLOSURE LIABILITY ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED COMMISSIEKRAAL COAL PROJECT

1. INTRODUCTION

This financial closure liability calculation is an initial estimate that has been prepared by SLR Consulting (Pty) Ltd (SLR) and submitted as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management Programme Report for the proposed Commissiekraal Project (*Development of the proposed Commissiekraal Coal Mine including Support Services and Associated Infrastructure,* prepared for Tholie Logistics (Pty) Ltd, SLR Project: 710.02038.00001, October 2015).

The calculations of the financial closure liability associated with the proposed Commissiekraal Coal Project, as at mid 2017, mid 2026 (2017 plus 9 years) and life of mine (LOM) have been completed in accordance with the *Guideline Document for the Evaluation of the Quantum of Closure-Related Financial Provision Provided by a Mine* as published by the Department of Minerals and Energy (DME)¹, dated January 2005.

2. INPUT TO THE FINANCIAL CLOSURE LIABILITY CALCULATION

The DMR procedure for calculating financial closure liability is summarised as follows:

- Step 1: Determine the primary mineral and saleable mineral by-products.
- Step 2: Determine the risk class of the mine.
- Step 3: Determine the area sensitivity in which the mine is located.
- Step 4.1: Determine the level of information available for calculating the financial liability.
- Step 4.2: Determine the closure components associated with the mine.
- Step 4.3: Determine the unit rates for the associated closure components.
- Step 4.4: Determine and apply various weighting factors (site specific).
- Step 4.5: Identify the areas of disturbance.
- Step 4.6: Identify any specialist studies required.
- Step 4.7: Calculate the closure liability using the DMR template provided.

¹ The DME is now known as the Department of Mineral Resources.

SLR Consulting (Africa) (Proprietary) Limited

www.slrconsulting.com

Registered Address: Unit 7 Fourways Manor Office Park, 1 Macbeth Avenue, Fourways, 2055 Postal address: PO Box 1596, Cramerview, 2060, South Africa Reg. No.: 1998/005179/07 VAT No.: 4300145887

Fourways Office: Physical address: Unit 7 Fourways Manor Office Park, 1 Macbeth Ave, Fourways / Postal address: P O Box 1596, Cramerview, 2060 / T: +27 11 467 0945 / F: +27 11 467 0978

Directors: (Executive): A James, B Stobart, S Dorman, S van Niekerk, A Pheiffer, F van Heerden, A Sheppard (Non-Executive): K Fairley, K Pietersen.





The areas shaded in grey in the following sub-chapters are the values/information used in the calculation of the financial liability associated with the Commissiekraal Coal Project (Commissiekraal).

2.1. STEP 1: MINE TYPE AND SALEABLE MINERAL BY-PRODUCT

DMR require that the type of mineral mined or processed, and the saleable mineral byproducts (not trace elements) be identified.

| Mine/Process type | Coal – Underground mining |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Saleable mineral by-product | N/A |

2.2. STEP 2: RISK RANKING

According to the DMR guideline, Commissiekraal (due to its minerals mined (coal)) is classified as a **Class A** – High risk facility.

The risk ranking class is used later to determine the multiplication factors applied to the master rate (see Step 4.3).

| Primary risk ranking | Class A – High risk (coal mine) ² |
|----------------------|--|
| Revised risk ranking | N/A |

2.3. STEP 3: ENVIRONMENTAL SENSITIVITY OF THE MINE AREA

Commissiekraal is overall classified as having a **High** environmental sensitivity based on the classification criteria below.

- The area has a high biophysical sensitivity
- The area has a medium to low social sensitivity
- The area has a high economic sensitivity.

The environmental sensitivity ranking is used later to determine the multiplication factors applied to the master rate (see Step 4.3).

² Class A – High risk = A high probability of occurrence of an impact with a severe consequence.

| Sonsitivity* | Sensitivity Criteria | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Gensitivity | Biophysical | Social | Economic | | | | |
| Low | Largely disturbed from natural state, Limited natural fauna and flora remains, Exotic plant species evident, Unplanned development, Water resources disturbed and impaired. | The local communities are not within sighting distance of the mining operation, Lightly inhabited area (rural). | The area is insensitive to development, The area is not a major source of income to the local communities. | | | | |
| Medium | Mix of natural and exotic fauna and flora, Development is a mix of disturbed and undisturbed areas, within an overall planned framework, Water resources are well controlled. | The local communities are in proximity of the mining operation (within sighting distance), Peri-urban area with density aligned with a development framework, Area developed with an established infrastructure. | The area has a balanced economic development where a degree of income for the local communities is derived from the area, The economic activity could be influenced by indiscriminate development. | | | | |
| High | Largely in natural state, Vibrant fauna and flora, with species diversity and abundance matching the nature of the area, Well planned development, Area forms part of an overall ecological regime of conservation value, Water resources emulate their original state. | The local communities are in close proximity of the mining operation (on the boundary of the mine), Densely inhabited area (urban/dense settlements), Developed and well-established communities. | The local communities derive the bulk of their income directly from the area, The area is sensitive to development that could compromise the existing economic activity. | | | | |

2.4. STEP 4.1: LEVEL OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE

The level of information available allows DMR to either accept (and/or independently review) the financial closure liability submitted, otherwise follow the 'rule-based' approach.

| | Information available must include the following: | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Extensive | An Approved EMP, or in the process of being approved, | | | | | |
| | A detailed Closure Plan based on the EMP, | | | | | |
| | A detailed breakdown of costs envisaged for rehabilitation and closure. | | | | | |
| Limited ³ | Information available is less comprehensive than that given above | | | | | |

Since no detailed Closure Plan for Commissiekraal has been developed and/or approved by the relevant Authorities, and hence no detailed breakdown of costs prepared and sufficiently motivated, the step-by-step 'rule-based' DMR approach for calculating closure liability should be followed.

 $[\]frac{1}{3}$ Limited information available requires that DMR follow the 'rule-based' approach (see Step 4.3).

2.5. STEP 4.2: CLOSURE COMPONENTS TO BE USED

The closure components relevant to the site-specific conditions are determined from the list provided below.

| No. | Description of Closure Components ⁴ | Applicable |
|-------|---|------------|
| 1 | Dismantling of processing plant & related structures (incl. overland conveyors & power lines) | Yes |
| 2 (A) | Demolition of steel buildings & structures | Yes |
| 2 (B) | Demolition of reinforced concrete buildings & structures | Yes |
| 3 | Rehabilitation of access roads | Yes |
| 4 (A) | Demolition & rehabilitation of electrified railway lines | No |
| 4 (B) | Demolition & rehabilitation of non electrified railway lines | No |
| 5 | Demolition of housing &/or administration facilities | No |
| 6 | Opencast rehabilitation including final voids & ramps | No |
| 7 | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines | Yes |
| 8 (A) | Rehabilitation of overburden & spoils | No |
| 8 (B) | Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & evaporation ponds (basic, salt producing waste) | No |
| 8 (C) | Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & evaporation ponds (acidic, metal-rich waste) | Yes |
| 9 | Rehabilitation of subsided areas | No |
| 10 | General surface rehabilitation | Yes |
| 11 | River diversions | No |
| 12 | Fencing | Yes |
| 13 | Water management | No |
| 14 | 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & aftercare | Yes |

The main rehabilitation and closure objective is to restore the pre-mining potential of the land back to natural environment/cattle farming with controlled grazing land use.

Further details of the DMR closure components as provided by the DMR are summarised in Appendix C.

2.6. STEP 4.3: UNIT RATES FOR CLOSURE COMPONENTS

The unit (Master) rates for each closure component is taken from the DMR guideline (and inflated by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) to account for escalation since January 2005) and a Multiplication Factor applied depending on the Risk Ranking and the Environmental Sensitivity.

⁴ The Closure Components selected are in-line with the decommissioning and closure objectives detailed in the Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management Programme Report for the proposed Commissiekraal Project (*Development of the proposed Commissiekraal Coal Mine including Support Services and Associated Infrastructure,* prepared for Tholie Logistics (Pty) Ltd, SLR Project: 710.02038.00001, October 2015).

The average annual percentage change in the CPI as provided by Statistics South Africa is:

| January to December | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------------------|
| 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 ⁵ |
| 3.4% | 4.6% | 7.2% | 11.5% | 7.1% | 4.3% | 5.0% | 5.6% | 5.7% | 6.1% | 3.0% |

i.e. a total of 84.96 % since January 2005 (i.e. 1.034 x 1.046 x 1.072 ... etc.).

| No. | Description | Unit | Master Rate (at Sept 2015) | Multiplication Factor ⁶ |
|-------|---|------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | Dismantling of process plant & related structures (incl. overland conveyors & power lines) | m³ | R 12.61 | 1.00 |
| 2 (A) | Demolition of steel buildings & structures | m² | R 175.71 | 1.00 |
| 2 (B) | Demolition of reinforced concrete buildings & structures | m² | R 258.94 | 1.00 |
| 3 | Rehabilitation of access roads | m² | R 31.44 | 1.00 |
| 4 (A) | Demolition & rehabilitation of electrified railway lines | m | R 305.18 | 1.00 |
| 4 (B) | Demolition & rehabilitation of non electrified railway lines | m | R 166.46 | 1.00 |
| 5 | Demolition of housing &/or administration facilities | m² | R 351.42 | 1.00 |
| 6 | Opencast rehabilitation including final voids & ramps | На | R 178,855.76 | 1.00 |
| 7 (A) | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines – concrete plugs ⁷ | m³ | R 5,918.70 | 1.00 |
| 7 (B) | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines – backfilling of adits ⁶ | m³ | R 16.65 | 1.00 |
| 7 (C) | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines – backfilling of void ⁶ | m³ | R 16.65 | 1.00 |
| 7 (D) | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines – survey, geotech, design etc. $^{\rm 6}$ | Sum | R 295,935.08 | 1.00 |
| 8 (A) | Rehabilitation of overburden & spoils | На | R 122,813.06 | 1.00 |
| 8 (B) | Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & evaporation ponds (basic, salt producing waste) | На | R 152,961.45 | 1.00 |
| 8 (C) | Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & evaporation ponds (acidic, metal-rich waste) | На | R 444,272.54 | 1.00 |
| 9 | Rehabilitation of subsided areas | На | R 102,837.44 | 1.00 |
| 10 | General surface rehabilitation | На | R 97,288.66 | 1.00 |
| 11 | River diversions | На | R 97,288.66 | 1.00 |
| 12 | Fencing | m | R 110.98 | 1.00 |
| 13 | Water management | На | R 36,991.89 | 1.00 |
| 14 | 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & aftercare | На | R 12,947.16 | 1.00 |

⁵ CPI for January to August only.

⁶ Multiplication factor based on Risk Ranking = Class A and Environmental Sensitivity = High.

⁷ Item 7 – Sealing of shafts, adits and inclines - has been split into four components as per Appendix C.3: Generally Accepted Closure Methods of the Guideline Document for the Evaluation of the Quantum of Closure-Related Financial Provision Provided by a Mine, and the DMR rates of January 2005 inflated by CPI to account for escalation. Backfilling of adits has been assumed to be 10 m.

2.7. STEP 4.4: WEIGHTING FACTORS TO BE USED

Weighting Factors based on the specific mine/process location are selected from the tables below.

| Nature of the terrain/accessibility Weighting Factor 1 | Flat – Generally flat over the mine area 1.00 | Undulating - A mix of sloped and undulating areas within the mine area 1.10 | Rugged – Steep natural ground slopes (greater than 1:6) over the majority of the mine area 1.20 |
|--|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| Proximity to urban area where goods | Urban – Within a | Peri-urban – Less than 150 km from | Remote – Greater than 150 |

| and services are supplied | developed urban area | a developed urban area | km from a developed urban area |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Weighting Factor 2 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.10 |

2.8. STEP 4.5: AREAS OF DISTURBANCE

The proposed area of disturbance at Commissiekraal is shown in Appendix A.

The areas of disturbance include:

- Box cut for 3 no. adits;
- Ventilation fans area;
- ROM stockpile area;
- Crushing and screening area;
- Product stockpile area;
- Pollution control dam and silt trap;
- Workshops and stores;
- Refuel bay, wash bay and oil trap;
- Explosives and detonator stores;
- Offices, change room and sewage treatment plant;
- Potable and service water tanks;
- Access roads, parking areas and weigh bridge; and
- Perimeter security fence and access controls.

It is currently assumed that all infrastructure will be demolished and no handover of any facilities (for post closure use) has been allowed for.

It is currently assumed that the main access road to the site will remain for post closure use.

2.9. STEP 4.6: IDENTIFY CLOSURE COSTS FROM SPECIALIST STUDIES

The risk ranking identifies what type of specialist studies should be carried out to ensure successful closure of the mine and/or process operation.

| Risk Ranking | Specialist Studies |
|-----------------------|--|
| Class A (High risk) | Water pollution potential studies |
| | Overall quantified risk assessment |
| Class B (Medium risk) | Screening level risk assessment |
| Class C (Low risk) | |

3. STEP 4.7: CALCULATE THE CLOSURE LIABILITY

There is no ongoing ramp up of financial closure liability during the life of the proposed Commissiekraal Coal Project since all the disturbance (above ground) is created during the first year of operation, and these areas remain constant during the life of the project i.e. there is no phased or delayed construction process, and no plans for future expansion/additional facility construction.

The financial closure liability associated with Commissiekraal (as at mid 2017, mid 2026 (2017 plus 9 years) and LOM) is R 8,415,752 (including VAT). The amount calculated is at Current Value (CV) as at October 2015. The liability calculations are provided in Appendix B.

| | Financial Liability | Progressive | Progressive |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Timeline | incurred during the | Financial Liability | Financial Liability as |
| | year (incl. VAT) | (incl. VAT) | % of LOM Liability |
| End of Year 1 (Mid 2017) | R 8,415,752 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 2 (Mid 2018) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 3 (Mid 2019) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 4 (Mid 2020) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 5 (Mid 2021) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 6 (Mid 2022) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 7 (Mid 2023) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 8 (Mid 2024) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 9 (Mid 2025) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| End of Year 10 (Mid 2026) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |
| LOM (Mid 2036) | R 0 | R 8,415,752 | 100.0 % |

The financial liabilities calculated, as per the *Guideline Document for the Evaluation of the Quantum of Closure-Related Financial Provision Provided by a Mine,* are considered to be Class 1 estimates (with an accuracy between +25% and -15%) based on the overall generic approach as stipulated by the DMR Guideline Document.

4. CONCLUSION

- 1) The financial closure liability associated with the Commissiekraal Coal Project (as at mid 2017, mid 2026 (2017 plus 9 years) and life of mine) is R 8,415,752 (including VAT). The amount calculated is at Current Value (CV) as at October 2015.
- 2) The calculated liability is considered to be Class 1 estimate (with an accuracy between +25% and -15%) based on the overall generic approach as stipulated by the *Guideline Document for the Evaluation of the Quantum of Closure-Related Financial Provision Provided by a Mine*, published by the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR).
- 3) The Closure Components selected are in-line with the decommissioning and closure objectives detailed in the Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management Programme Report for the proposed Commissiekraal Project (Development of the proposed Commissiekraal Coal Mine including Support Services and Associated Infrastructure, prepared for Tholie Logistics (Pty) Ltd, SLR Project: 710.02038.00001, October 2015).
- 4) The main rehabilitation and closure objective is to restore the pre-mining potential of the land back to natural environment/cattle farming with controlled grazing land use
- 5) The calculated financial liabilities only consider the routine costs associated with decommissioning of plant and infrastructure, the restoration of any environmental damage caused predominantly at the pre-production stage, the surface rehabilitation (shaping and vegetating) of material stockpiles, sealing of the adits, backfilling of the box cut, and the maintenance and aftercare of all the rehabilitated areas.
- 6) Site specific aspects such as surface and groundwater remediation have not been costed at this stage the likelihood of such remediation would only be identified during the ongoing operation of the mine through surface and groundwater monitoring and/or by carrying out risk assessment and water pollution potential studies.
- 7) The current financial liability does not make allowance for the development of a detailed closure plan, final groundwater modelling, drafting of engineering drawings and specifications, procurement of specialist work, and any administration and site supervision costs. These expenses should therefore be accounted for by Tholie Logistics in the operations expenditure of the Commissiekraal Coal Project.

5. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

New regulations regarding the method for determining and making financial provision for closure liability are currently being finalised (see GN 940 of the National Environmental Management Act (107/1998): *Regulations Pertaining to the Financial Provision for the Rehabilitation, Closure and Post Closure of Prospecting, Exploration, Mining or Production Operations*, published 31 October 2014).

Future annual closure liability assessments/updates will, as a minimum, need to be prepared by reviewing:

- An annual rehabilitation plan,
- A final rehabilitation, decommissioning and mine closure plan; and
- An environmental risk assessment report.

It is therefore recommended that Tholie Logistics make provision for preparing these documents during the operation of the Commissiekraal Coal Project as per the requirements of GN 940.

Stephen van Niekerk (Pr Eng)

For SLR Consulting (Africa) (Pty) Ltd

APPENDIX A: Areas of Disturbance at the Proposed Commissiekraal Coal Project



APPENDIX B: Financial Closure Liability for the Proposed Commissiekraal Coal Project

| Physic Construction of constructional decay of provided and provided | | | | CALCULATION OF THE QUA | NTUM | | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| No. Description Unit Operational Avan Properties of Longency of Avances (avances) of Longency of Longency of Avances (avances) of Longency | Area | Closure Liability Calculation - Commissi | iekraal Coal | Project (October 2015) | | | | | |
| $ \begin{vmatrix} $ | No. | Description: | Unit: | Operational Area | A Quantity | B Master rate | C Multiplication factor | D Weighting factor 1 | E=A*B*C*D Amount (Rands) |
| Image: 10 (a) (a) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c | | | | | Step 4.5 | Step 4.3 | Step 4.3 | Step 4.4 | |
| 20) Derrolition of white buildings & structures m ² Workshop 2.000 R 110, 71 i 1.8 R.400 million m ² Stress 000 R 1757.7 i 1.6 R 150 million m ² Stress 000 R 1757.7 i 1.6 R 150 million m ² Stress 000 R 1757.7 i 1.6 R 150 million m ² Stress 000 R 1757.7 i 1.6 R 150 million m ² Stress owner stress 0.6 R 1757.7 i 1.6 R 150 million m ² Stress owner stress 0.6 R 1577.7 i 1.6 R 150 million m ² Stress owner stress 0.6 R 150 million R 150 million R 150 million Moleces & stress m ² Stress owner stress 0.6 R 150 million R 150 million m ² Stress owner stress m ² Stress owner stress 1.6 R 150 million R 150 million 10 Stress owner stress m ² Stress owner stress 1.6 R 250 million 1.6 R 250 million 11 R 150 million m ² Stress owner stress 1.6 R 250 million 1.6 <td>1</td> <td>Dismantling of processing plant & related structures (incl. overland conveyors & power lines)</td> <td>m³</td> <td>ROM stockpile and crushing area</td> <td>8200</td> <td>R 12.61</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>R 113 780.3</td> | 1 | Dismantling of processing plant & related structures (incl. overland conveyors & power lines) | m ³ | ROM stockpile and crushing area | 8200 | R 12.61 | 1 | 1.1 | R 113 780.3 |
| nr Solves 988 (7.75.7) (5.1) (7.15.7) 2.00 Dender of enderson 410 (7.75.7) (7.15.7) | 2 (A) | Demolition of steel buildings & structures | m² | Workshop | 2 090 | R 175.71 | 1 | 1.1 | R 403 960.6 |
| Product Product <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>m²</td><td>Stores</td><td>988</td><td>R 175.71</td><td>1</td><td>1.1</td><td>R 190 963.</td></t<> | | | m² | Stores | 988 | R 175.71 | 1 | 1.1 | R 190 963. |
| m/m m/m State and inter. 440 R 1 (n , T) 1 (1) R 10 (0) m ² Display and inter. 23 (2) State and inter. 1 (1) R 10 (2) m ² Display and inter. 2 (2) N N N (2) N N (2) | | | m ² | Cable workshop | 486 | R 175.71 | 1 | 1.1 | R 93 935.3 |
| member of existence decoration m ² Second program 11 Program 11 Program 2.90 Encender on environmenter buildings & structures m ² Second program 200 R.700.71 1 1 R.700.71 2.91 Encender on environmenter buildings & structures m ² Wave hay 466.8 R.500.91 1 R.700.92 3 Refund function on environmenter buildings & structures m ² Wave hay 466.8 R.500.91 1 R.700.90 3 Refund function on environmenter buildings & structures m ² Wave hay 466.8 R.500.91 1 R.700.91 4.10 Environmenter buildings & structures m ² Wave hay 460.8 R.500.91 1 R.700.91 4.10 R.700.91 m ² Wave hay 1 R.700.91 1 R.700.91 4.10 R.700.91 m ² Wave hay 1 R.700.91 1 R.700.91 4.10 R.700.91 m ² R.700.91 R.700.91 R.700.91 | | | | Stone dust store | 486 | R 175.71 | 1 | 1.1 | R 93 935. |
| Image: constraint of any family and state of any family | | | | Potable water tank | 314 | R 1/5./1 | 1 | 1.1 | R 60 690. |
| 2 (b) Dendline of introduced concrete budges, & intrutures ind State Lipson 200 R 240.14 4 5.4 R 2400.14 m ² User Lipson m ² Vigo Lipson 56 R 250.34 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson m ² User Lipson 0.1 term 100 R 250.34 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson m ² Origination trans 100 R 250.34 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson m ² Origination trans 100 R 250.34 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson m ² Origination trans 00 R 250.34 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson m ² Origination trans 0 R 250.34 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson Lipson m ² Prostiga devise status 0 R 250.44 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson Lipson m ² Prostiga devise status 0 R 250.42 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson Lipson m ² Prostiga devise status 0 R 250.62 1 5.1 R 15 sec Lipson Lipson m ² Prostiga devise status 0 R 15 sec Lipson 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>^ 2</td><td>Service water tanks</td><td>1 414</td><td>R 175.71</td><td>1</td><td>1.1</td><td>R 273 301.</td></t<> | | | ^ 2 | Service water tanks | 1 414 | R 175.71 | 1 | 1.1 | R 273 301. |
| ballings & structures media Value bay 666 P.250.54 1.1 P.129.665 m ² Other pain 56 R. 250.54 1.1.1 R. 159.55 m ² Other pain 56 R. 250.54 1.1.1 R. 159.55 m ² Other pain 255 R. 250.54 1.1.1 R. 159.55 m ² Other pain 225 R. 250.54 1.1.1 R. 240.54 m ² Other pain 225 R. 250.54 1.1.1 R. 240.54 m ² Other pain 225 R. 250.54 1.1.1 R. 240.54 m ² Other pain m ² Structures 1.1.1 R. 270.54 4(a) Decision m ² Structures 0 R. 250.56 1 1.1.1 R. 270.55 4(b) Decision and structures m ² Non-connon become functure f | 2 (B) | Demolition of reinforced concrete | | | 260 | R 258 94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 74 057 |
| m ² Dame transpin 360 R 258-39 1 1.1 R 15 862 m ² Oriting 100 mp 100 R 258-30 1 1.1 R 15 862 m ² Oriting 200 R 258-30 1 1.1 R 28 403 m ² Oriting 200 R 258-30 1 1.1 R 28 403 m ² Oriting angliables slows 200 R 21.4 1 1.1 R 27 707 m ² Orieng origina angliables slows 2.000 R 31.4 1 1.1 R 7 655 Montalisation of scores rotation m Nin - orien conduction dialowy lines 0 R 106.4 1 1.1 R 7 655 Montalisation of scores rotation m Nin - orien conduction dialowy lines 0 R 106.4 1 1.1 R 5 6157 Montalisation dialogin slimit m ² Nin - orien coloris scale 0 R 106.5 1.1 R 5 6157 Montalisation dialogin slimit m ² Nin - orien coloris scale 0 | 2(2) | buildings & structures | m ² | Wash bay | 456 | R 258.94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 129 885. |
| m ² Ott segm 560 7.878.94 1 1 R.178.26 m ² Variation to the main 100 R.788.94 1 1 R.28.46 m ² Variation to the main 100 R.28.48 1 1 R.28.48 a Rehabilitation of access tools m ² Datacets tools 0 R.28.48 1 1 R.12.27 4(A) Demotion & relationisation of access tools m ² Datacet and explosive stools 0 R.13.44 1 1 R.7 4(A) Demotion & relationisation of access tools m ² Non-roo decotified tailowy lines 0 R.168.64 1 1 R.7 4(A) Demotion & relationisation of access tools m ² R.168.66 1 1 R.7 R R.7 R R.7 R R 1 1 R R R R R R R R </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>m²</td> <td>Diesel storage</td> <td>56</td> <td>R 258.94</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>R 15 950.9</td> | | | m ² | Diesel storage | 56 | R 258.94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 15 950.9 |
| n ² Ventilization from 1000 R.283.64 1 R.283.64 1 R.835.64 1 R.845.85 n ² Stating n ² </td <td></td> <td>T T</td> <td>m²</td> <td>Oil trap</td> <td>56</td> <td>R 258.94</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>R 15 950.9</td> | | T T | m ² | Oil trap | 56 | R 258.94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 15 950.9 |
| m ² Sint up 222 R. Sins 4 1 1.1 R. 42.757 3 Refabilitation of access roads m ² Declear and explosites and e | | F | m ² | Ventiliation fans | 100 | R 258.94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 28 483. |
| m ² Verginização B0 R. 258.44 I I. I. R. 27.00 3 Rehabilitation of access roads m ² Access roads III. I. R. 17.00 4.0 Dancing acces m ² Access roads III. III. III. III. III. III. R. 17.00 1.00 Dancing acces m Na - no described makey lines III. III. IIII. III. IIIII. IIII. IIII. III. IIIII. IIII. IIIII. IIII. IIIII. IIII. IIIIIIIII. IIIIIIIIII. IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII | | T T | m ² | Silt trap | 225 | R 258.94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 64 088.4 |
| m ² Decision of access roads m ² Recess roads m ² Recess roads m ² Recess roads 2200 R31.44 I I.I. R 14 roads (A) Demotifies a indicitation of access roads m ² Packing acces 2.240 R 31.44 I I.I. R 74 roads (A) Demotifies a indicitation of access roads m Na - no described raises 2.240 R 31.44 I I.I. R 74 roads (A) Demotifies a indicitation including field m Na - no described raises counded 0 R 3.54.62 I I.I.I. R 75 (A) Decline shuts (3 No.1) C.G. R 75 855.76 I I.I.I. R 75 (A) Decline shuts (3 No.1) C.G. R 75 855.76 I I.I.I. R 3.54 37 (A) Decline shuts (3 No.1) C.G. R 75 855.76 I I.I.I. R 3.54 37 (A) Decline shuts (3 No.1) Decline shuts (3 No.1) C.G. I.I.I. R 3.54 32 (A) Decline shuts (3 No.1) | | | m² | Weighbridge | 80 | R 258.94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 22 787.0 |
| 3 Rehabilitation of decress rands m ² m ² Access cachs 12 (00) R 31.4 1 1.1 R 47.63 4 (A) Dendition & reducting of the statistication of the calified analysis (mean index) (mean backs) (mean index) (mean index) (mean index) (mean index) (mean index) (mean backs) (mean index) (mean backs) (mean index) (mean i | | | m ² | Detonator and explosives stores | 60 | R 258.94 | 1 | 1.1 | R 17 090.2 |
| mm ⁻ Periodic area 2 (40) R 31.44 1 1.1 R 8702 4.00 Demotific and substitution of norm m Nia - no indicating unique integers 0 R 305.16 1 1 R 67 4.00 Description of housing for administration unique greens substitution. m Nia - no operacet areas 0 R 77.880.76 1 1.1 R 76 6 Operacet enhabilitation including final unique greens substitution. m ² Nia - no operacet areas 0 R 77.880.76 1 1.1 R 76 7(A) Sassing of statis, adds & inclines - consist unique greens statis (3No.) 64 R 5 018.76 1 1.1 R 76.280.76 10 Sassing of statis, adds & inclines - consist unique greens statis 90 R 16.88 1 1.1 R 756.250 10 Sassing of statis, adds & inclines - consist unique greens statis 900 R 16.88 1 1.1 R 356.250 10 Sassing of statis, adds & inclines - consist unique greens statis 500 R 16.05 1 1 R 356.250 10 Sa | 3 | Rehabilitation of access roads | ^2 | Access roads | 12 000 | R 31.44 | 1 | 1.1 | R 415 048. |
| Inclumption Image of the second process of the second proces of the second proces of the second proces of the seco | | | m ² | Parking areas | 2 540 | R 31.44 | 1 | 1.1 | R 87 852.0 |
| 1/20 Exerciting in summary interaction. 10 | 4 (A) | Demolition & rehabilitation of electrified railway lines | m | N/a - no electrified railway lines | 0 | R 305.18 | 1 | 1.1 | R 0.0 |
| unities under general surface exhabilisation. Image | + (¤) | electrified railway lines | m ² | N/a - porta cabins used. Area covered | 0 | R 351.42 | 1 | 1.1 | R 0.0 |
| wide & ramps | 6 | facilities Opencast rehabilitation including final | ha | under general surface rehabilitation. | 0 | R 178 855.76 | 1 | 1.1 | R 0.0 |
| Image: concrete plug (900 mm thick, or 2 x 450 mm thick) mm thick) mm thick) mm thick < | 7 (A) | voids & ramps Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - | m ³ | Decline shafts (3 No.) | 54 | R 5 918.70 | 1 | 1.1 | R 351 570.8 |
| 7 (B) Sealing of shalts, addits & inclines - backfilling of addits on processing waste deposites & exeporation ponds (addite, intel-wise) Na - no backfilling and cushfilling and cushfillin | | concrete plugs (900 mm thick, or 2 x 450 mm thick) | | | | | | | |
| 7 (C) Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - backfill to user slope m ³ Boxcut area B4 880 R 16.65 1 1.1 R 1564 236 9 (D) Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - back slope Sum Boxcut area suney, decline gootsch, plug design etc. 1 R 285 935.06 1 1.1 R 325 527 8 (A) Rehabilitation of oresturden & spoils In Na Na <td>7 (B)</td> <td>Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - backfilling of adits (10 m of backfill in adit)</td> <td>m³</td> <td>Decline shafts</td> <td>540</td> <td>R 16.65</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>R 9 887.9</td> | 7 (B) | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - backfilling of adits (10 m of backfill in adit) | m ³ | Decline shafts | 540 | R 16.65 | 1 | 1.1 | R 9 887.9 |
| 7(D) Soaling of balds, adds & holines - surgey, decline geotech, bug design atc. 9 1 1.1 R 325 528 8(A) Retabilitation of overunder & spoils ha Na - covered under general surface intrabilitation 0 R 122 813.06 1 1.1 R 0.25 528 8(B) Rehabilitation of processing waste people (see the stabilitation of processing waste) ha Na - no basic, sate producing waste 0 R 122 813.06 1 1.1 R 0.0 8(B) Rehabilitation of processing waste producing waste ha Na - no basic, sate producing waste 0 R 122 813.06 1 1.1 R 0.0 9(C) Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & exeporation ponds (cabic), motal-ich wasto) ha Pollution control dam 0.64 R 444 272.54 1 1.1 R 7.0 9 Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & exeporation ponds (cabic), motal-ich wasto) ha Pollution control dam 0.64 R 444 272.54 1 1.1 R 7.0 9 Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits are accountly waste are accountly account are account account account account account account account account account | 7 (C) | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - backfilling of void, or surficial backfill to outer slope | m ³ | Boxcut area | 84 880 | R 16.65 | 1 | 1.1 | R 1 554 236.2 |
| 8 (A) Rehabilitation of overburden & spoils ha Na - covered under general surface 0 R 122 813.06 1 1.1 R C 8 (B) Rehabilitation of processing waste ha Na - no basic, salt producing waste 0 R 152 961.45 1 1.1 R R 0 8 (B) Rehabilitation of processing waste ha Na - no basic, salt producing waste 0 R 142 261.45 1 1.1 R 1.7 8 (C) Rehabilitation of processing waste ha Pollution control dam 0.64 R 444 272.54 1 1.1 R 312 767 9 Rehabilitation of subsided areas 0 R 122 873.44 1 1.1 R 73 728 9 Rehabilitation of subsided areas 0 R 12 873.44 1 1.1 R 73 728 9 Rehabilitation of subsided areas 0.07 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 73 728 10 General surface rehabilitation, including area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 75 728 11 R Ref R 70 828.65 1 1.1 R 75 728 1 1.1 R 75 728 <td< td=""><td>7 (D)</td><td>Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - survey, geotech, design etc.</td><td>Sum</td><td>Boxcut area survey, decline geotech, plug design etc</td><td>1</td><td>R 295 935.08</td><td>1</td><td>1.1</td><td>R 325 528.9</td></td<> | 7 (D) | Sealing of shafts, adits & inclines - survey, geotech, design etc. | Sum | Boxcut area survey, decline geotech, plug design etc | 1 | R 295 935.08 | 1 | 1.1 | R 325 528.9 |
| 8 (6) Rohabilitation of processing waste deposite S eveporation ponds (basic, salt producing waste) 0 R 152 961.45 1 1.1 R 0 9 (C) Rohabilitation of processing waste deposite S eveporation ponds (bacid, metal-in-to-waste) n 0.64 R 444 272.54 1 1.1 R 312 767 9 Rehabilitation of subsided areas ha Na - no subside areas 0 R 102 837.44 1 1.1 R 73 764 10 General sufface rehabilitation, including grassing of all denuded areas 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 73 764 11 R 74 787 764 ha Roduct stockpile area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 764 12 Fand Montel areas 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 764 11 R 78 764 1.1 R 78 764 1.1 R 78 764 1 1.1 R 71 864 12 Fand Montel areas 0.71 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 119 802 1 1.1 R 119 812 11 R taber area 0.717 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 120 7524 1 | 8 (A) | Rehabilitation of overburden & spoils | ha | N/a - covered under general surface rehabilitation | 0 | R 122 813.06 | 1 | 1.1 | R 0.0 |
| 8 (C) Rehabilitation optocessing waste deposition pools (acidic, metal-rich waste) ha Pollution control dam 0.64 R 444 272.54 1 1.1 R 312 767 9 Rehabilitation pools (acidic, metal-rich waste) ha N/a - no subsided areas 0 R 102 837.44 1 1.1 R 717 78 764 10 General surface rehabilitation, including grassing of all denuded areas ha N/a - no subsided areaa 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 764 ha Soli/voorburden stockplia area 0.52 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 15 364 ha Boxcut area 0.10 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 15 364 ha Boxcut area 0.10 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 16 8000 11 R 10 Macine Na - no iter diversions 0 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 16 900 12 Fencing m Socurity fence around site 1700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 102 927 13 Water management ha Na - no iter diversions 0 R 36 991.89 1 1.1 R 109 926 | 8 (B) | Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & evaporation ponds (basic, salt producing waste) | ha | N/a - no basic, salt producing waste | 0 | R 152 961.45 | 1 | 1.1 | R 0.0 |
| 9 Rehabilitation of subsided areas ha Na - no subsided areas 0 R 102 837.44 1 1.1 R 0 10 General surface rehabilitation, including grassing of all denuded areas ha Product stockple area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 764 10 General surface rehabilitation, including grassing of all denuded areas ha ROM stockple and crusining area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 754 11 R 200 Ma Soli/overburden stockpile area 0.52 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 18 900 11 R ver diversions (to be decommissioned) ha Soli/overburden area 0.17 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 18 900 12 Fencing m Socirity fence around site 1.700 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 207 524 13 Water management ha Na - no river diversions 0 R 37 288.66 1 1.1 R 199 38 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & aftercare ha Entire site 1 | 8 (C) | Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits & evaporation ponds (acidic, metal-rich waste) | ha | Pollution control dam | 0.64 | R 444 272.54 | 1 | 1.1 | R 312 767.8 |
| 10 General surface rehabilitation, including grassing of all denuded areas ha Product stockpile area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 764 ha ROM stockpile and crushing area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 764 ha ROM stockpile and crushing area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 754 ha Soli/overhunden stockpile area 0.62 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 715 364 ha Boxcut area 1.08 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 110 364 ha Boxcut area 0.016 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 103 964 11 River diversions (to be decommissioned) ha N'a - no river diversions 0 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 107 288.66 12 Fencing m Security fence around site 1700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 207 526 13 Water management ha Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1 R 193 30 000 <td>9</td> <td>Rehabilitation of subsided areas</td> <td>ha</td> <td>N/a - no subsided areas</td> <td>0</td> <td>R 102 837.44</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>R 0.</td> | 9 | Rehabilitation of subsided areas | ha | N/a - no subsided areas | 0 | R 102 837.44 | 1 | 1.1 | R 0. |
| grassing of all denuded areas ha ROM stockpile and crushing area 0.74 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 78 764 ha Soli/Overburden stockpile area 0.62 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 75 788 ha Boxout area 0.017 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 15 788 ha Explosives and detonator area 0.17 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 180 000 11 River diversions (to be decommissioned) ha N/a - no inver diversions 0 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 198 000 12 Fencing m Security fence around site 1700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 207 524 13 Water management ha N/a - no water management areas 0 R 36 991.89 1 1.1 R 199 386 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & ha Entire site 1.00 R 12 947.16 1 1.1 R 30 000 15 Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) Subtotal 1 N.8 | 10 | General surface rehabilitation, including | ha | Product stockpile area | 0.74 | R 97 288.66 | 1 | 1.1 | R 78 764. |
| ha Soli/overburden stockpile area 0.52 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 55 734 ha Boxcut area 1.08 R 97 288.66 1 1.11 R 115 364 ha Explosives and detonator area 0.07 R 97 288.66 1 1.11 R 18 300 11 River diversions (to be decommissioned) ha Via - no river diversions 0 R 97 288.66 1 1.11 R 18 302 12 Fencing m Security fence around site 1 700 R 110.98 1 1.11 R 10 728 13 Water management ha N/a - no water management areas 0 R 37 288.66 1 1.11 R 10 302 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & ha Na - no water management areas 0 R 30 000.00 1 1.11 R 199 386 after care inter site 14.00 R 12 947.16 1 1.11 R 199 386 after care inter site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.11 R 56 762 871 Specialist study (Wa | | grassing of all denuded areas | ha | ROM stockpile and crushing area | 0.74 | R 97 288.66 | 1 | 1.1 | R 78 764. |
| ha Boxcut area 1.08 R 97 286.66 1 1.1 R 115 364 ha Explosives and detonator area 0.17 R 97 286.66 1 1.1 R 119 364 11 River diversions (to be decommissioned) ha Na - no river diversions 0 R 97 286.66 1 1.1 R 119 304 11 River diversions (to be decommissioned) ha Na - no river diversions 0 R 97 286.66 1 1.1 R 103 000 12 Fencing m Security fonce around site 1700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 207 524 13 Water management ha Na - no water management areas 0 R 36 991.89 1 1.1 R 197 364 14 21 to 3 years of active maintenance & ha Entire site 1.00 R 36 991.89 1 1.1 R 300 000 15 Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) Subtotal 1 Na 50 000 1 1.1 R 300 000 16 Multiply Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weig | | ļ Ē | ha | Soil/overburden stockpile area | 0.52 | R 97 288.66 | 1 | 1.1 | R 55 734. |
| ha Explosives and detonator area 0.17 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 18 000 11 River diversions (to be decommissioned) ha Office area, security, weigh bridge 0.13 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 18 000 12 Fencing m Security fence around site 1700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 207 524 13 Water management ha Na - no water management areas 0 R 36 991.99 1 1.1 R 10.98 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & ha Entire site 14.00 R 12 947.16 1 1.1 R 199 386 15 Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) SUM Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1 1.1 R 5 762 874 16 Multiply Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) I.05 Subtotal 2 R 6 051 015 17 Preliminary and General 12.0% of Subtotal 2 R 6 051 015 R 728 121 R 605 101 18 Contingency <td></td> <td></td> <td>ha</td> <td>Boxcut area</td> <td>1.08</td> <td>R 97 288.66</td> <td>1</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>R 115 364.</td> | | | ha | Boxcut area | 1.08 | R 97 288.66 | 1 | 1.1 | R 115 364. |
| Image: heat of the series of the diversions (to be decommissioned) ha Office area, security, weigh bridge 0.13 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 13 912 11 River diversions (to be decommissioned) ha N/a - no vicer diversions 0 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 10 3912 12 Fencing m Security fence around site 1700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 207 524 13 Water management ha Na - no water management areas 0 R 36 991.89 1 1.1 R 199 366 aftercare 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & ha Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1.1 R 199 366 aftercare 1.00 SUM Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1.1 R 300 000 15 Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) SUM Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1.1 R 5 762 871 16 Multiply Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1 | | | ha | Explosives and detonator area | 0.17 | R 97 288.66 | 1 | 1.1 | R 18 000. |
| 11 Kwer diversions (to be decommissioned) ha N/a - no river diversions 0 R 97 288.66 1 1.1 R 0 12 Fencing m Security fence around site 1.700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 207 524 13 Water management ha N/a - no water management areas 0 R 36 991.99 1 1.1 R 207 524 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & ha ha Entire site 14.00 R 12 947.16 1 1.1 R 199 386 aftercare 1 Subtotal 1 SUM Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1 R 300 000.00 15 Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) SUM Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1 R 5 762 871 Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 R 6 051 015 Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 R 7 285 121 18 Contingency 10.0% of Subtotal 2 R 6 051 015 R 6 0 | | | ha | Office area, security, weigh bridge | 0.13 | R 97 288.66 | 1 | 1.1 | R 13 912. |
| 12 rencing m Security fence around site 1700 R 110.98 1 1.1 R 207 524 13 Water management ha Na - no water management areas 0 R 36 991.89 1 1.1 R 10 R 10 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & altercare ha Entire site 14.00 R 12 947.16 1 1.1 R 199 386 15 Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) SUM Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1 R 300 000 Subtotal 1 (Sum of items 1 to 15 Above) Subtotal 1 (Sum of items 1 to 15 Above) R 5 762 871 Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 1 (Subtotal 1 x WF 2) R 6 051 015 Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 R 6 051 015 Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 R 726 121 R 726 121 R 7 782 238 (Subtotal 2 R 7382 238 Subtotal 3 R 7 | 11 | River diversions (to be decommissioned) | ha | N/a - no river diversions | 0 | R 97 288.66 | 1 | 1.1 | R 0.0 |
| 13 vvaler management areas 0 K 36 991.89 1 1.1 K R 0 14 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & aftercare ha Entire site 14.00 R 12 947.16 1 1.1 R 199 386 15 Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) SUM Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1 R 5 762 871 16 Multiply Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 (step 4.4) Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 (Subtotal 1 x WF 2) R 6 051 015 17 Preliminary and General 12.0% of Subtotal 2 R 726 121 R 726 121 18 Contingency 10.0% of Subtotal 2 R 7 382 238 R 7 382 238 19 VAT 14.0% of Subtotal 3 R 1 033 513 | 12 | Hencing | m | Security fence around site | 1 700 | R 110.98 | 1 | 1.1 | R 207 524.4 |
| Instruction Subsective Image: Construction optical is a study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk assessment) Entire site 1.00 R 300 000.00 1 1.1 R 330 000 Subtoal 1 is a sessment) Subtoal 1 by Weighting factor 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtoal 2 (Subtoal 1 is M E 2) R 6 051 015 (Subtoal 1 is W E 2) R 726 121 12.0% of Subtoal 2 R 726 121 Subtoal 1 is WE 2) R 726 121 12.0% of Subtoal 2 R 726 121 Subtoal 1 is M E 2) R 726 121 12.0% of Subtoal 2 R 726 121 18 Contingency R 7 382 238 (Subtoal 2 plus P&G's and contingency) 14.0% of Subtoal 3 R 1 033 513 R 1 033 513 GRAND TOTAL R 8 415 751 | 13 14 | 2 to 3 years of active maintenance & | na ha | Entire site | 0 14.00 | R 12 947.16 | 1 | 1.1 | R 199 386.2 |
| Subtotal 1 (Sum of items 1 to 15 Above) R 5 762 871 16 Multiply Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 (step 4.4) Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 (Subtotal 1 x WF 2) R 6 051 015 17 Preliminary and General 12.0% of Subtotal 2 10.0% of Subtotal 2 R 726 121 18 Contingency R 6 051 015 Subtotal 3 (Subtotal 2 plus P&G's and contingency) I Preliminary and General 12.0% of Subtotal 2 I Preliminary and General | 15 | Specialist study (Water pollution potential and overall quantified risk | SUM | Entire site | 1.00 | R 300 000.00 | 1 | 1.1 | R 330 000. |
| 16 Multiply Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 (step 4.4) Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 (Subtotal 1 x WF 2) R 6 051 015 17 Preliminary and General 12.0% of Subtotal 2 10.0% of Subtotal 2 R 726 121 18 Contingency R 6 051 001 R 6 051 001 Subtotal 3 (Subtotal 2 plus P&G's and contingency) Image: Subtotal 3 (Subtotal 2 plus P&G's and contingency) | | | | | | | (Sum of items | Subtotal 1 1 to 15 Above) | R 5 762 871. |
| 17 Preliminary and General 12.0% of Subtotal 2 R 726 121 18 Contingency R 605 101 Subtotal 3 Subtotal 3 (Subtotal 2 plus P&G's and contingency) Image: Subtotal 3 | 16 | Multiply Subtotal 1 by Weighting factor 2 Weighting factor 2, WF 2 (step 4.4) 1.05 Subtotal 2 (Subtotal 1 x WF 2) | | | | | | Subtotal 2 otal 1 x WF 2) | R 6 051 015. |
| Subtotal 3 (Subtotal 2 plus P&G's and contingency) R 7 382 238 19 VAT R 1 033 513 GRAND TOTAL R 8 415 751 | 17 18 | Preliminary and General Contingency | | 12.0% 10.0% | o of Subtotal 2 o of Subtotal 2 | | | | R 726 121.8 R 605 101.8 |
| 19 VAT 14.0% of Subtotal 3 R 1 033 513 GRAND TOTAL R 8 415 751 | | | | | | (Subtotal 2 | 2 plus P&G's and | Subtotal 3 d contingency) | R 7 382 238.₄ |
| GRAND TOTAL R 8 415 751 | 10 | | | 4.4.00/ | of Subtatal 2 | | | г | D 1 000 F40 (|
| GRAND TOTAL R 8 415 751 | 19 | | | 14.0% | | | | | R I 033 513. |
| | | | | | | | GF | | R 8 415 751.8 |

APPENDIX C: Details of DMR Closure Components

1. INTRODUCTION

Generally accepted closure methods, based on experience in the field, have been used as the basis for determining the Master rates for the various closure components in the DMR "rules-based" approach.

The details enclosed in the approved EMP will however take precedence over these generally accepted closure methods.

2. GENERALLY ACCEPTED CLOSURE METHODS USED TO DETERMINE THE DMR MASTER RATE

2.1. COMPONENT 1: PROCESSING PLANT

The common method of valuation to determine the Master rate for processing plants is that:

- All infrastructure and concrete buildings should be broken down to natural ground and buried adjacent to the plant site,
- Foundations, structures and conveyors should be broken down to natural ground level,
- The areas are to be covered with 1,0m subsoil, top soiled with 300mm of topsoil and vegetation established, or as noted in the relevant EMP,
- The monitoring and maintenance of these areas has been costed under the appropriate areas,
- Top soiling and vegetation for the areas are included under general surface rehabilitation,
- No credits are allowed for scrap steel and equipment that can be re-used or sold.

2.2. COMPONENTS 2(A) AND 2 (B): STEEL AND REINFORCED CONCRETE BUILDINGS AND STRUCTURES

The common method of valuation to determine the Master rate for steel and reinforced concrete buildings and structures is that:

- All structures should be demolished to 1m below ground level,
- The rubble is to be buried adjacent to the sites, provided this adheres to the National Waste Management Strategy,
- Silos should be imploded and buried,
- The areas should be shaped, top soiled with 300mm of topsoil and vegetated or as stated in the relevant EMP document,
- Monitoring and maintenance is costed in the relevant areas,

2.3. COMPONENT 3: ACCESS ROADS

(No details provided in DMR guideline)

2.4. COMPONENT 4 (A) AND 4 (B): RAILWAYS

The valuation of the removal of railway lines is based on:-

- The removal of the ballast, sleepers and rail,
- All culverts, bridges and structures are to remain,
- No rehabilitation to the general earthworks, neither cut nor fill,
- Removal of the electrification of the railway lines, including sub-stations and signalling,
- General clean up and making certain of adequate drainage,
- No credit is allowed for second-hand rail and ballast.

2.5. COMPONENT 5: HOUSING AND ADMINISTRATION FACILITIES

Same as for Component 2(A) and 2(B): Steel and Reinforced Concrete Buildings and Structures

2.6. COMPONENT 6: OPENCAST REHABILITATION

Some form of beneficial land use is desirable after mining. Hence, in-filling of opencast pits is advocated in order to facilitate post-mining beneficial land use. In-filling normally constitutes the following modes of action:

- Concurrent in-filling and subsequent spoils rehabilitation as routinely conducted for opencast pits on collieries.
- In-filling by obtaining material from adjacent opencast pits and/or other parts of the same opencast pit as routinely conducted on iron ore mines.

Difficulties could be experienced with concurrent infilling in those cases where the ore body is limited to a single opencast pit and various grades of ore need to be sourced from the pit. This requires access to the full pit and in-filling could sterilise ore reserves. In these cases rehabilitation should be facilitated as follows:

- Excess material from the opencast pit is deposited in close proximity to the pit for in-filling of the opencast pit once the ore body has been removed.
- Excess material is deposited in such a manner in relation to the opencast pit that mine residue deposit rehabilitation can be conducted with respect to this material. In this case the opencast pit perimeter walls must still be rendered safe for humans and domestic animals. This is normally achieved by means of the following:
 - Sloping the perimeter walls of the opencast pit at 1:3 (18°) to the pit floor or to the stable groundwater level that could establish within a reasonable period within the opencast pit.
 - Providing enviro berms along the opencast pit perimeter when perimeter wall flattening is not feasible as in those cases where opencast mining has been conducted on steep mountain sides.

Notwithstanding the above, owing to removal of the mined product off-site, notably less material remains on site for pit in-filling than was originally removed from the opencast pit. This could be despite bulking of the removed material. Hence final voids with respect to most opencast pits would be unavoidable. These voids should be addressed in the same manner as making the opencast pit safe as described above.

2.7. COMPONENT 7: SEALING OF SHAFTS, ADITS AND INCLINES

The sealing of vertical and incline shafts are primarily a safety consideration and this should be conducted in such a manner that potential safety risks are largely obviated.

Normally, inert building rubble arising from the demolition of surface infrastructure should be deposited into the shafts. A mass concrete cap of 1 000 mm thickness is placed onto the building rubble deposited into the shaft. It should be noted that, in specific circumstances, dedicated engineering design and specification of these caps could be required.

Allowance should also be made for methane venting of the underground mine workings with a methane formation potential by means of strategically placed venting boreholes.

2.8. COMPONENTS 8 (A), 8 (B) AND 8 (C): OVERBURDEN AND SPOILS, PROCESS PLANT WASTE: BASIC, SALT-PRODUCING AND PROCESS PLANT WASTE: ACIDIC, METAL-RICH.

2.8.1. Component 8A: Overburden and spoils

Overburden and spoils normally have a low pollution potential and hence only need to be shaped to create a stable landform. The Master rate thus includes shaping and grassing/vegetation of the overburden and spoils.

2.8.2. Component 8B: Process plant waste: basic, salt-producing

The Master rate for basic, salt-producing process plant waste includes shaping and grassing/ vegetation of the dumps as well as establishing an armoured cover on the reshaped surface of the dump.

2.8.3. Component 8C: Process plant waste: acidic, metal-rich

The Generally accepted closure methods for acidic, metal-rich plant waste are primarily aimed at the following:

- Limiting seepage of contaminants from the processing waste deposit
- Prevention of contaminated seepage entering local surface and groundwater sources.

The Master rate includes allowances for slope modification, armouring and evaporative covers, lined pollution control dams and lined cut-off trenches.

2.8.4. Closure elements specific to 8 (A), 8 (B) or 8 (C)

Generally, average modified outer slopes of 1:3 (18°) are required. Although not specifically stated, benches at regular intervals are also required. This should ensure that the modified outer slopes between benches do not exceed 35 to 40 m in order to curb stormwater flow velocities on the outer slopes. Benches should be at least 5 m wide, sloping inwards at a slope of about 1:10.

Current generally accepted closure methods allows for a dedicated cover to be provided on the modified outer slopes of the residue deposit. The cover has to fulfil the following primary functions:

- Protection of the integrity/stability of the modified outer slope.
- Limiting the ingress of air and water into residue material that has the potential to contaminate local groundwater by means of contaminated seepage arising from the footprint area of the deposit.
- Separation of the deposited residue from uncontaminated surface runoff arising from the outer slopes of the residue deposit.
- Contribution to the aesthetic appeal of the rehabilitated residue deposit.

Covers fulfilling the above functions could be of varying nature, comprising of natural and/or synthetic material. If natural materials are to be used, current practice allows for an evaporative cover, varying in thickness between 750 and 1 000 mm, with an outer cover layer of 300 m thickness of armouring or topsoil with vegetation. The armouring also requires vegetation, but this is not essential for the long-term integrity of the outer cover layer. Depending on the nature of the deposited material covered, capillary breaker layers between the evaporative cover and the deposited material could also be required.

Current generally accepted closure methods indicates that operational pollution control dams are properly lined to prevent the migration of the contaminated water impounded in the dam to the shallow groundwater or the nearby receiving surface water environment. Mostly, synthetic (HDPE) liners are provided for this purpose. However, these liners have a finite life and eventual failure of these liners would result in the salts and other contaminants that accumulated in the pollution control dam(s) over the years to be dissipated into the receiving water environment. Hence, from a holistic view the provision of a pollution control dam served a limited function, only postponing the release of contaminants into the receiving water environment. However, contaminant release has been spread-out over a period of about 50 years, starting from mine residue deposit rehabilitation to final disintegration of the liner in the pollution control dam(s). This situation would most likely allow for an acceptable residual impact, with salt/contaminant release into the receiving water environment at a rate that does not exceed the "natural" assimilative capacity of the receiving water resource. The only exception could be extremely sensitive water resources.

Stormwater runoff arising from the upper and outer slopes of the rehabilitated residue deposit should be managed for the following primary reasons:

- Prevention of uncontrolled runoff from the residue deposit, thereby creating surface erosion and resultant damage to the cover and under extreme cases exposing the deposited material.
- Routing of the runoff arising from the rehabilitated residue deposit into the surrounding surface water drainage regime in a manner that would limit the creation of secondary erosion in the receiving surface water environment and/or possible damage to downstream surface infrastructure.
- Allowing for the control routing of the runoff collected on the rehabilitated residue deposit across cut-off, seepage or solution trenches provided to handle excess contaminated seepage from the residue deposit.

In addition to the above, upslope stormwater diversion measures could also be required to route upslope runoff past the residue deposit to prevent possible cover damage and other specific local drainage requirements. Toe paddocks could also be required along the outer perimeter toe of the rehabilitated residue deposit to capture sediment arising from the cover material whilst vegetation on the cover is still in the process of establishment.

Current practice allows for two broad approaches to handle runoff arising from the rehabilitated residue deposit. These are as follows:

- Collection of the runoff arising from the benches in chutes to route this water to the toe of the residue deposit. Chutes must be constructed from concrete or other suitable material to cater for the high flow velocities that could be encountered.
- Collection of runoff arising from the modified outer slopes on the benches itself and allowing this water to evaporate on the benches. Under these circumstances bench width could be wider than the normal 5 m width, with parapet walls provided on the outer edges of the benches. These walls must be designed for at least the 1:200 year rainfall events. The residue deposit material must also be suitable for this type of stormwater contaminant and must not be susceptible to slumping under saturated conditions.

In very sensitive environmental situations and/or where the seepage from the residue deposit could be highly contaminated, a cut-off drain around the perimeter of the residue deposit may be required. Abstraction of the seepage collected in the cut-of drain by means of pumps at predetermined spacing would be required. The collected seepage has to be routed to a pollution control dam for disposal.

2.9. COMPONENT 9: SUBSIDED AREAS

(No details provided in DMR guideline, but presumed to be similar to Component 10: General Surface Rehabilitation)

2.10. COMPONENT 10: GENERAL SURFACE REHABILITATION

Final surface rehabilitation of areas disturbed by mining and related activities should be aligned to the selected final land use.

Irrespective of the final land use, general surface rehabilitation normally should ensure the following:

- Surface topography that emulates the surrounding areas and aligned to the general landscape character. Steep slopes in excess of 6 percent should also be avoided if possible.
- Landscaping that would facilitate surface runoff and result in free draining areas. If possible, the drainage lines should be reinstated.
- An area without unnecessary remnants of structures and surface infrastructure to give the rehabilitated area a "neat" appearance. Special attention must be given to shape and/or removal of heaps of excess material being the legacy of prolonged mining and related activity.
- An area suitable for revegetation.

The unit cost for general rehabilitation allows for shaping and landscaping of disturbed areas. The Master rate allows for the shaping of material to a depth/thickness of about 500 mm. An extra over allowance in the unit cost of 50 percent has been made to cover the removal and/or destruction of surface infrastructure remnants and/or other undesirable objects such as trees, foundations, concrete slabs, etc.

2.11. COMPONENT 11: RIVER DIVERSIONS

Although not desirable, river diversions are unavoidable in some cases to allow mining, especially opencast mining, to proceed.

Wetland areas are normally associated with river diversions and during the operational period some form of riparian habitat could most likely have established within the stream diversion area. Hence considerations should be given whether a stream diversion should be changed at mine closure. This could require dedicated assessments to guide decision-making in this regard. Moreover, removal of stream diversions could result in stream flow over mined areas that could result in undesirable water quality effects.

In the event that river diversions should be removed at closure, the Master rate is the same as for general surface rehabilitation.

2.12. COMPONENT 12: FENCING

(No details provided in DMR guideline)

2.13. COMPONENT 13: WATER MANAGEMENT

Current practice is to provide in-pit evaporation dams for opencast pits. Ideally these dams should coincide with pit final voids. The dams should be sized that groundwater inflow into the pit plus rehabilitated spoils recharge can be evaporated from the dam. The dam perimeter as in the case of opencast pits must be shaped to render it safe. The same approach as for opencast pits is generally followed.

Underground mine workings has the potential to eventually fill up with water and decant. Depending on the decant mode and the type of product mined, this water could be of a poor quality. Hence provision should be made to collect and handle this water to limit degradation of water resources in the vicinity of potential decant. Collection and neutralisation (with associated metal removal) is an established management practice to deal with this water. However, the elevated salt content normally associated with this water is still a matter of concern. Hence, advanced treatment such as desalination of this water is currently considered and in some cases pilot pants have been established to assess feasibility. Treatment technologies not producing brine are currently favoured. However, this is not possible with all types of excess mine water.

It should be noted that the filling of a mine could involve a notable period of time and the required treatment capacity to handle the excess mine water could only be required decades after mine closure. Hence the future implementation of these plants most likely by third parties should also receive consideration.

Note: Costs associated with brine producing treatment technologies were also assessed. Although the capital costs associated with these technologies could be lower than for non-brine producing technologies, the operating and maintenance costs are notably higher. Hence the overall costs for water management and treatment in the guideline document are not notably different, based on the water treatment method, to warrant distinction.

2.14. COMPONENT 14: MAINTENANCE AND AFTERCARE

Maintenance and aftercare is planned for 2 to 3 years after mine production ceases, and covers:

- Annually fertilising of rehabilitated areas,
- Monitoring of surface and subsurface water quality surface,
- Control of wattle and all other alien plants,
- General maintenance, including rehabilitation of cracks and subsidence.