

### THE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF A CURRO SCHOOL ON PORTION 54 OF THE FARM BLUE HILLS NO. 397, MIDRAND, GAUTENG PROVINCE

### **Draft Basic Assessment Report**

GDARD Ref No. 002/18-19/E0150

June 2019



Mr Darae le Roux Darae.l01@curro.co.za 084 568 9160

Prepared by:

Christoff du Plessis christoff@enviroworks.co.za 051 436 0793

Today's Impact | Tomorrow's Legacy



Prepared by: ENVIROWORKS T +27 (0)86 198 8895 | F +27 (0)86 719 7191 | E office@enviroworks.co.za King's Landing Trading 507 (Pty) Ltd trading as Envirowarks | Operating Since 2002

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Introduction and Background

Curro Holdings (The Applicant) appointed Enviroworks, an Independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP), to undertake the required Basic Assessment Process for the proposed development of a Curro Castle on Portion 54 of the Farm Blue Hills No. 397, Midrand, Gauteng Province.

The proposed project is a listed activity in terms of Sections 24(2) and 24(d) of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998)(NEMA)(as amended). The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2017 promulgated in terms of Chapter 5 of the NEMA provide for the control of certain activities that are listed in Government Notice Regulation (GN R.) No. 327, 325 and 324. Activities listed in these notices must comply with the regulatory requirements listed in GN R. 326, which prohibits such activities until written authorisation is obtained from the competent authority. Such Environmental Authorisation (EA), which may be granted subject to conditions, will only be considered once there has been compliance with the EIA Regulations of 2017. GN R. No. 326 sets out the procedure and documentation that need to be compiled with undertaking a Basic Assessment Report (BAR).

#### **Project Description**

The main aim for the Pre-Primary Curro Castles are to be homes away from home where children enjoy wellbalanced and wholesome meals and snacks prepared in the Hansel and Gretel kitchens. Curro Castle magic is kept alive with detailed fantasy surroundings, a Story Queen, Repunzel's Books, coupled with huge variety of extramural activities and fun-filled holiday programs. At Curro Castle, the emphasis is on the uniqueness of each child and every child is regarded as a competent learner from birth. Curro understands that children develop and learn in different ways and at different places, with all areas of development and learning being equally important and inter-connected.

Curro Holdings wish to develop a Curro Castle on Portion 54 of the Farm Blue Hills No. 397 in Midrand, which entails the following infrastructure:

- The Curro Castle Main Building (± 4305m<sup>2</sup>);
- Five (5) Two (2) Bedroom Apartments (± 435m<sup>2</sup> per unit);
- Eleven (11) One (1) Bedroom Apartments (± 116m<sup>2</sup> per unit);
- Club House (± 193m<sup>2</sup>);
- Guard House (± 13m<sup>2</sup>);
- Play Grounds;
- Two Hundred and Fifty Seven (257) Parking Bays (± 3242.5m<sup>2</sup>);
- Service Delivery Infrastructures (water and electricity);
- New Access Roads; and,
- A Retention Pond (± 790m<sup>2</sup>).

The Erf size of Portion 54 of the Farm Blue Hills No. 397 is 85 888 m<sup>2</sup> where the entire footprint will be developed; however, approximately half would be landscaped.



Figure 1: Layout of the Proposed Development.

#### **Legislative Context**

The proposed project constitutes the following listed activities of the NEMA:

Government Notice 327 of 2017: Listing Notice 1 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998).

Activity 27: The clearance of an area of 1 hectares or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation.

**Activity 28:** Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional development where such land was used for agricultural, game farming, equestrian purposes or afforestation on or after 01 April 1998 and where such development:

(i) will occur inside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 5 hectares.

Government Notice 324 of 2017: Listing Notice 3 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998).

Activity 4: The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13.5 metres.

#### c. Gauteng

iv. Sites identified as Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) or Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) in the Gauteng Conservation Plan or bioregional plans;

Activity 6: The development of resorts, lodges, hotels, tourism or hospitality facilities that sleeps 15 people or more.

#### c. Gauteng

iv. Sites identified as Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) or Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) in the Gauteng conservation Plan or in bioregional plans.

**Activity 12:** The clearance of an area of 300 square meters or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan.

#### c. Gauteng

i. Within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEM:BA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment 2004;

ii. Within Critical Biodiversity Areas or Ecological Support Areas identified in the Gauteng Conservation Plan or bioregional plans.

#### Activity 14: The development of -

(ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more;

where such development occurs -

- (a) within a watercourse;
- (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse.

#### c. Gauteng

iv. Sites identified as Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) or Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) in the Gauteng Conservation Plan or in bioregional plans;

#### **Report Structure**

This Report is set out as followed:

- Section A: Activity Information provides an overview of the development proposal and listed activities which are triggered in terms Listing Notices GN R. 327 and R. 324; of the EIA Regulations of 07 April 2017.
- Section B: Description of Receiving Environment provides detail on the affected landscape in its present state. A range of aspects relating to the biophysical (e.g. geology, soil surface and subsurface water and biodiversity), socio-economic and historic and cultural character of the immediate site and surrounding areas are described herein, whilst applicable legislation, policies and guidelines considered are recognised.
- Section C: Public Participation describes the consultation component of this study between the EAP and Interested and Affected Parties (I&AP's) as well as Organs of State. Regulatory requirements of the process are discussed, with a summary of consultation made with state departments as well as comments and response that are given. Comment periods were afforded to parties, with an initial registration period provided to parties.
- Section D: Resource use and Process Details, an extraction of the resource uses of the proposed project phases, attributes to waste and emissions, water use, power supply and energy efficiency are further discussed.
- Section E: Impact Assessment describes how the proposed project may impact on the geographical and physical, biodiversity, socio-economic and historical and cultural aspects of the receiving environment. Based on such findings as various site surveys, impact assessment, investigation of alternatives and the review of strategic policies to consider the needs and desirability, the outgoing opinion of the EAP is detailed. Any noteworthy recommendations emanating from the study are described here.
- Section F: Appendices list all supportive documents enclosed with this report, after which declarations of the Applicant, EAP and Specialists are given.

#### Alternatives



Figure 2: Preferred Location Alternative.

The boundaries for the Preferred Alternative are located at the following co-ordinates:

- i. A: 25° 55′ 59.43″ S; 28° 06′ 24.28″ E;
- ii. B: 25° 55′ 59.89″ S; 28° 06′ 26.70″ E;
- iii. C: 25° 56′ 16.59″ S; 28° 06′ 30.74″ E;
- iv. D: 25° 56' 15.63" S; 28° 06' 21.25" E.

Preferred Layout Alternative of the Access Road:



Figure 3: Preferred Layout Alternative of the Access Road.

The preferred layout of the access road will extend from African View Drive and will traverse a distance of seven hundred and thirty meters (730 m). As per the Traffic Impact Assessment conducted by BVi Consulting Engineers the road will have a two-lane configuration, with one (1) lane for incoming and outgoing vehicles respectively.

#### Advantages of the Preferred Layout:

- 1. The access road will traverse the shortest possible route;
- 2. The land that will be traversed is owned by Curro Holdings;
- 3. The access road won't put additional traffic flow pressure on the Summit/R55 intersection; and,
- 4. Adequate turning lanes are already present at the Olifantsfontein/African View Drive intersection.

#### **Public Participation Process**

A comprehensive **Public Participation** will be undertaken to engage stakeholders and interested and affected parties on the development proposal. I&AP's will be informed of the Basic Assessment Process through an advertisement in one (1) newspapers and poster notices will be erected at strategic locations. The surrounding landowners will be informed of the proposed project by means of the distribution of comment forms and the Basic Assessment Report (BAR), as well as relevant Organs of State.

This BAR will be made available for a thirty (30) day comment period from **DATE to DATE**. The BAR will be available on the Enviroworks website (**www.enviroworks.co.za**) and a link to the Enviroworks website will be sent via email to all relevant Stakeholders and Organs of State.

#### **Specialist Findings**

On assessment of the proposed location, the specialist determined the following:

#### **Botanical Impact Assessment (Enviroworks)**

The proposed development area is heavily degraded and surrounded by residential areas on three boundaries except on the western boundary. The vegetation is dominated by *Hyparrhenia hirta* with karroid shrubs in abundance in the north western and south western section of the property. A number of

indigenous geophytes were observed, none of which are endangered. No fauna except for three bird species were observed.

The small scale footprint of the proposed Curro Castle is not likely to generate significant impacts on broad scale ecological processes or landscape connectivity; on condition that all mitigation measures are followed. Any risk of pollution due to inappropriate disposal of waste can be mitigated to an acceptable level through the appropriate waste management and ensuring that no run-off or effluent from the construction site enters the environment.

The overall impacts associated with the development are considered to be low with no impacts of high significance. From an Ecological point of view the proposed development should be allowed to continue.

#### Heritage Impact Assessment (Dr Lloyd Russouw)

As far as the palaeontological heritage is concerned, the proposed development may proceed with no further palaeontological assessment required. Given the degraded terrain, impact on potentially in situ archaeological remains, rock art localities, graves, pre-historic or historically significant structures within the study are considered unlikely. The proposed development footprint is assigned a site rating of Generally Protected (GP. C).

#### Traffic Impact Assessment (BVi Consulting Engineers)

The on-site traffic management is important to the school development in terms of safety and capacity. The provision of a sufficient drop-off and pick-up embayment as well as parking facilities will help in this regard. It is recommended that a ten (10) vehicle queue space be provided on-site to accommodate vehicles without affecting passing vehicle flow. A five (5) vehicle stopping embayment should also be provided for vehicles that are in the process of dropping or picking up learners.

The minimum parking requirements as stipulated by the *City of Johannesburg: Land Use Scheme 2017* is one (1) for every five (5) children and one (1) additional bay per classroom. In addition to this, the scheme also stipulated that one (1) bay per residential unit and one (1) bay per three (3) residential units for visitors must be allowed for. Therefore, the development which consists of a school for four hundred and forty (440) pupils with eighteen (18) classrooms and sixteen (16) apartments should have one hundred and twenty seven (127) bays available.

In order for the transport network to function more effectively, it is recommended that all gravel roads in the immediate vicinity of the development and intersection be formalized and the Witbos Street and Plantation Road intersections be signalized. The capacity deficiency at R55/Summit Road intersection can be addressed by extending the stacking lengths of the turning lanes. It is; however, anticipated that the proposed extension of Witbos Street would cause the migration of traffic flow and would relieve some pressure from the R55/Summit Road intersection. Therefore, by taking all of the above into account, it can be concluded that the re-zoning and development can be supported from a traffic impact perspective.

#### **Recommendations of the EAP**

The following recommendations are made by the EAP:

- All mitigation measures must be adhered to as stipulated within the Environmental Management Plan;
- The proposed access route must be approved by Johannesburg Roads Agency;
- An ecological walkthrough must be conducted prior to the commencement of the project during the flowering period to ensure that no provincially- or naturally protected or significant species have been omitted;
- Construction activities should be confined within the development footprint and avoid disturbing vegetation beyond the borders of the development footprint;
- Suitable dust management and prevention measures during the construction phase must be

#### implemented;

- Areas around the proposed project footprint must be adequately rehabilitated and landscaped;
- An integrated waste management plan must be developed for the facility;
- No open fires will be allowed on site, and demarcated smoking areas must be set out and indicated on the site layout plan;
- No animals may be killed, should snakes be discovered a trained person must be called upon to move them; and,
- All activities must be conducted as stipulated in the Method Statements.

### **BASIC ASSESSMENT CONTENT CHECKLIST**

A Basic Assessment Report must contain the following information that is necessary for the Competent Authority to consider and come to a decision on the Application, and must include the below mentioned as stipulated in Appendix 1 of GN R. 326 of 07 April 2017 -

Content Requirements of a Basic Assessment Process	Section in the Report
(a) details of – (i) the EAP who prepared the report, and	Curriculum Vitae of the
(ii) the expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae;	L-Ai
<ul> <li>(b) the location of the activity, including:</li> <li>(i) the 21 digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel;</li> <li>(ii) where available, the physical address and farm name;</li> <li>(iii) where the required information in items (i) and (ii) is not available, the coordinates of the boundary of the property or properties;</li> </ul>	Section B: Receiving Environment
(c) a plan which locates the proposed activity or activities applied for as well as associated structures and infrastructure at an appropriate scale;	Appendix C: Facility Illustrations
<ul> <li>(d) a description of the scope of the proposed activity, including –</li> <li>(i) all listed and specified activities triggered and being applied for; and</li> <li>(ii) a description of the activities to be undertaken including associated structures and infrastructure;</li> </ul>	Section A: Activity Information
<ul> <li>(e) a description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including – <ul> <li>(i) an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks, and instruments that are applicable to <b>this</b> activity and have been considered in the preparation of the report; and</li> <li>(ii) how the proposed activity complies with and responds to the legislation and policy context, plans, guidelines, tools framework, and instruments;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Section A: Activity Information
(f) a motivation for the need and desirability for the proposed development including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location;	Section E: Impact Assessment
(g) a motivation for the preferred site, activity and technology alternative;	Section A: Activity Information
<ul> <li>(h) a full description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred alternative within the site, including: <ul> <li>(i) details of all the alternatives considered;</li> <li>(ii) details of the public participation process undertaken in terms of Regulation 41 of the Regulations, including copies of the supporting documents and inputs;</li> <li>(iii) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated, or the reasons for not including them;</li> <li>(iv) the environmental attributes associated with the alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;</li> <li>(v) the impacts and risks identified for each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts – <ul> <li>(a) can be reversed;</li> <li>(bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and</li> <li>(cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(vi) the methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risk associated with the alternatives;</li> <li>(vii) positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity and alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Section A: Activity Information

be affected focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;	
residual risk;	
(ix) the outcome of the site selection matrix;	
(x) if no alternatives, including alternative locations for the activity were	
investigated, the motivation for not considering such; and	
preferred location of the activity;	
(i) a full description of the process undertaken to identify, assess and rank the	
impacts the activity will impose on the preferred location through the life	
of the activity, including –	
(I) a description of all environmental issues and risk that were identified during the environmental impact assessment process; and	Section E: Impact
(ii) an assessment of the significance of each issue and risk and an	Assessment
indication of the extent to which the issue and risk could be avoided or	
addressed by the adoption of mitigation measures;	
(j) an assessment of each identified potentially significant impact and risk,	
including-	
(i) the nature significance and consequences of the impact and risk:	
(iii) the extent and duration of the impacts and risk occurring;	<b>.</b> . <b>.</b> .
(iv) the probability of the impact and risk occurring;	Section E: Impact
(v) the degree to which the impact and risk can be reversed;	Assessment
(vi) the degree to which the impact and risk may cause irreplaceable loss	
of resources; and	
(vii) the degree to which the impact and risk can be avoided, managed of mitigated.	
(k) where applicable, a summary of the findings and impact management	
measures identified in any specialist report complying with Appendix 6 to	Section E: Impact
these Regulation and an indication as to how these findings and	Assessment
recommendations have been included in the final report;	
(i) a nervironmental impact statement which contains –	
(i) a summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment; (ii) a map at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed	
activity and its associated structures and infrastructure on the	Section E: Impact
environmental sensitivities of the preferred site indicating any areas that	Assessment
should be avoided, including buffers; and	
(iii) a summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the	
proposed activity and identified alternatives;	
measures from specialist reports the recording of the proposed impact	Section E: Impact
management outcomes for the development for inclusion in the EMPr;	Assessment
(n) any aspects which were conditional to the findings of the assessment	Section E:
either by the EAP or specialist which are to be included as conditions of	Recommendations of the
authorisation;	Practitioner
(o) a description of any assumptions, uncertainties, and gaps in knowledge which relate to the assessment and mitigation measures proposed.	Section E: Impact
(p) a reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should or should	Assessment
not be authorised, and if the opinion is that it should be authorised, any	Section E: Impact
conditions that should be made in respect of that authorisation;	Assessment
(q) where the proposed activity does not include operational aspects, the	
period for which the environmental authorisation is required, the date on	N/A
which the activity will be concluded, and the post construction monitoring requirements finalised:	
(r) an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the FAP in relation to:	
(i) the correctness of the information provided in the reports;	Destanti fut see
(ii) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APs	Declaration of the EAP.
(iii) the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist	

reports where relevant; and	
(iv) any information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties	
and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested	
and affected parties; and	
(s) where applicable, details of any financial provision for the rehabilitation,	
closure, and ongoing post decommissioning management of negative	N/A
environmental impacts;	
(t) any specific information that may be required by the competent authority;	Appendix I: Other
and	Information
(u) any other matters required in terms of section 24(4)(a) and (b) of the Act.	N/A

#### May 2019

### CURRICULUM VITAE OF THE EAP



Suite 338, Private Bag X15, Somerset West, 7129 Suite 204, Hibernian Towers, Beach Road, Strand Cell I 082 495 2673 I Tel 021 853 0682 I Fax 086 601 7507 christoff@enviroworks.co.za I www.enviroworks.co.za



## **Christoff du Plessis**

#### **RELEVANT QUALIFICATIONS**

Baccalaureus Scientiae (B.Sc.) in Environmental Geography: University of the Free State (2014) Baccalaureus Scientiae (B.SC) Honours in Environmental Management: University of South Africa (2018)

#### WORK EXPERIENCE

January 2015 – Present: Environmental Specialist on contract at Enviroworks

#### KEY PROJECT EXPERIENCE

#### ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT EXPERIENCE

• Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed 171ha expansion of Nalisview Cemetery in Bloemfontein on behalf of Mr. Jannie Nel

#### BASIC ASSESSMENT EXPERIENCE

- Construction of 30 Broiler Houses and an Abattoir, Leipoldtville, Western Cape Province (Mocke Poultry).
- Dewetsdorp Reservoir System Augmentation, Dewetsdorp, Free State Province (Bloemwater).
- Construction of the Palmiet Truck Stop, Vrede, Free State Province (DeStudio Town Planning).
- Section 24G for the unlawful operation of a Recycling Centre, Swellendam, Western Cape Province (Agri-World Recyclers).
- Construction of a 3.2 kilometre pipeline and associated infrastructure, Olifantshoek, Northern Cape Province (Ghamagara Local Municipality).
- Construction of 4 telecommunication masts, Cape Town, Western Cape Province (Highwave Consultants).
- Installation of a 90 000l LPG Cylinder, Bloemfontein, Free State Province (EASIGAS).
- Installation of a 45 000l LPG Cylinder, East London, Eastern Cape Province (EASIGAS).
- Upgrade of Day-visitor facilities at Kraalbaai, West Coast National Park, Western Cape Province (SANParks).
- Development of the Phalaborwa Wildlife Activity Hub, Kruger National Park, Limpopo Province (SANParks).
- Periodic maintenance of National Route 2 Section 4 between Riviersonderend (Km 0.0) and Swellendam (Km 56.9), Western Cape Province (SANRAL).
- Proposed development of the Klein Mooimaak Rest Camp Facility, West Coast National Park (SANParks).
- Proposed development of the 35m Buffeljagsrivier Monopole Mast, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Compilation of a River Maintenance Management Plan for Bath River, Caledon, Western Cape Province (Theewaterskloof Local Municipality).
- Proposed development of a 12.5 ha cemetery, Grabouw, Western Cape Province (Theewaterskloof Local Municipality).

- Proposed development of Hostels and Orientation Centres, Mapungubwe National Park, Limpopo Province (SANParks).
- Proposed upgrade of the R27 Gate & Geelbek Restaurant, West Coast National Park, Western Cape Province SANParks).
- Proposed development of the 25m Joostenbergvlakte Monopole Mast, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of 30 Chicken Houses and an Abattoir, Odendaalsrus, Free State Province (Chridel Consulting).
- Design, Rehabilitation / Improvement, Routine Maintenance works of N220: Chissano to Chibuto and N/C Crz. N220 to N1, Mozambique (World Bank).
- Proposed development of a Curro Castle on Portion 54 of the Farm Blue Hills No. 397, Midrand, Gauteng Province (Curro Holdings).
- Proposed development of a 25m Monopole Mast on Portion 25 of the Farm Klein Bottelary No. 17, Brackenfell, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of a Housing Development in Hartswater, Northern Cape Province (Makespace Architects).
- Routine maintenance of TR/1, TR1/3, TR44/1, TR88/1, MR401, MR402 and DR1834 near Uniondale, Western Cape Province (Western Cape Department of Transport and Public Works).
- Proposed development of a Tree Mast on Portion 87 of the Farm Cragga Kamma No. 23, Port Elizabeth, Eastern Cape Province (Blue Sky Towers).

#### EXPERIENCE IN PERMITS AND LICENCING

- Water Use License (General Authorisation) for the expansion of a cemetery by more than 2500 m<sup>2</sup> (Jannie Nel).
- Water Use License for 30 Broiler Houses and Abattoir, Leipoldtville, Western Cape Province (Mocke Poultry).
- Waste Management License and Section 24 G report for Agri World Recycling, Swellendam, Western Cape Province (Agri-World Recycling).
- Water Use License (General Authorisation) for the construction of a 3.2km pipeline, Olifantshoek, Northern Cape Province (Ghamagara Local Municipality).

#### ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL OFFICER (ECO)

- The construction of the Cecilia Park powerline and sub-station, Bloemfontein, Free State Province (Centlec).
- The construction of a dual carriageway and bridge from Mthatha up to and including the Ngqeleni interchange of Provinsial Road 61 Section 8, Eastern Cape Province.
- The construction of a road from Moretele to Khaukhwe, North West Province (Department Public Works).
- The construction of a 14km water pipeline, Botshabelo, Free State Province (Bloemwater).
- The construction of a sub-station, Bloemfontein, Free State Province (Centlec).
- The rehabilitation of bridges on National Route 14: Upington to Kuruman, Northern Cape Province (SANRAL).
- The rehabilitation of the Theekloof Pass, Fraserburg, Northern Cape.
- Reseal of Diversional Road 1468, 1470, 1473 and Minor Road 5873 on behalf of Actophambili, Witzenberg, Western Cape Province.
- Reseal of Section MR 201 and MR 305 on behalf of Actophambili, Wolsely, Western Cape Province.
- Reseal of the National Route 1, on behalf of Actophambili, Leeu Ghamka, Western Cape Province (SANRAL).
- The widening of Pella Road on behalf of the City of Cape Town, Atlantis, Western Cape Province (City of Cape Town).
- The widening of structures over the Orange River on National Route 12 Section 9 near Hopetown,

#### Northern Cape Province (SANRAL).

- The construction of a bulk water supply reservoir, Olifantshoek, Northern Cape Province (Ghamagara Local Municipality).
- Rehabilitation of the Donkergat Road within the West Coast National Park on behalf of BVI Procurement Management Engineers, Western Cape Province (Department of Defence & Department of Public Works).
- Periodic Maintenance of National Route 2 Section 4 between Swellendam and Riviersonderend, Western Cape Province (SANRAL).

#### VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (VIA):

- Phalaborwa Wildlife Activity Hub, Kruger National Park, Limpopo Province (SANParks).
- 4.9ha Sand Mine on Portion 5 of the Farm Doornekraal No. 830, Western Cape Province (Greenmined).
- Proposed development of the Harvard Powerline, Bloemfontein, Free State Province (Centlec).
- Proposed development of the 35 m Buffeljagsrivier Monopole Mast, Buffeljagsrivier, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of the 25 m Robertson Monopole Mast, Robertson, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of the Klein Mooimaak Rest Camp Facility, West Coast National Park (SANParks).
- Proposed development of a Sand Mine near Malmesbury, Western Cape Province (Greenmined).
- Proposed upgrade of the R27 Gate and Geelbek Restaurant, West Coast National Park, Western Cape Province (SANParks).
- Proposed development of the 25 m Roodekrans Monopole Mast, Krugersdorp, Gauteng Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of a 25 m Monopole Mast on Portion 25 of the Farm Klein Bottelary No. 17, Brackenfell, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of a Landfill Site on Portion 3 of the Farm Katbosch No. 93, Sasolburg, Free State Province (Metsimaholo Landfill).
- Proposed development of numerous visitor information centres at Schroda and Mapungubwe Hill, Mapungubwe National Park, Limpopo Province (SANParks).
- Proposed development of a 35 m Monopole Mast on Portion 13 of the Farm Van Aries Kraal No. 455, Grabouw, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of a 25 m Monopole Mast on Erf 532, Gansbaai, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of a 35 m Lattice Mast on Portion 7 of the Farm Jagersvlakte No. 292, Grabouw, Western Cape Province (Warren Petterson Planning).
- Proposed development of a 35 m Lattice Mast on Erf 532, Stanford, Western Cape Province (Warren Petterson Planning).
- Proposed development of a 15 m Lattice Mast on Portion 4 of the Farm No. 53, Genadendal, Western Cape Province (Warren Petterson Planning).
- Proposed development of a 25 m Monopole Mast on Portion 8 of the Farm Delta No. 1003, Groot Drakenstein, Western Cape Province (Coast to Coast Towers).
- Proposed development of a 30 m Tree Mast on Portion 87 of the Farm Langverwacht No. 241, Kuils River, Western Cape Province (Warren Petterson Planning).

#### WETLAND DELINEATION STUDIES:

- Development of 13 borrow pits along National Road 8, Ladybrand, Free State Province (SANRAL).
- Development of a 12.5ha cemetery on Erf 4233, Western Cape Province (Theewaterskloof Local Municipality).
- Proposed development for the proposed Alfred Nzo Agri-Hub, Cederville, Eastern Cape Province

#### (Department Public Works).

#### STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLANS:

- Stormwater Management Plan for a Recycling Plant on Erf 5172, Swellendam, Western Cape Province (Agri-World Recycling).
- Stormwater Management Plan for the proposed Granite Mine on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Biesjesfontein No. 218, Springbok, Northern Cape Province (Greenmined Environmental).
- Stormwater Management Plan for the proposed development of Six Layer Hen Houses on the Remainding Extent of the Farm Helena 1492, Bloemfontein, Free State Province (Katawa Trading).
- Stormwater Management Plan for the Routine Maintenance of a Drainage System near Karatara, Western Cape Province (Garden Route District Municipality).
- Stormwater Management Plan for the Unlawful establishment of a Chicken Broiler Facility on Portions 10 and 11 of the Farm Blesbokfontein No. 558, Bronkhorspruit, Gauteng Province (Sintier Poultry).

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITING:**

- Decommissioning Audit for the closure of a warehouse, Cape Town, Western Cape Province (Wheatherford).
- Annual Audit on the Waste Management License for Elgin Fruit Juice, Grabouw, Western Cape (Elgin Fruit Juice).
- Annual Environmental Compliance Audit for the operation of the Olive Hill Quarry, Bloemfontein, Free State Province (Lafarge Aggregate).
- Monthly Environmental Compliance Audit for the operation of a Sand Mine near Sasolburg, Free State Province (Mission Point Mine).
- Quarterly Environmental Compliance Audit for the Xina Solar Thermal Plant (Phase 2) and its associated infrastructure near Pofadder, Northern Cape Province (Abengoa Solar).

#### **OTHER EXPERIENCE:**

- Conducting the Public Participation Process on the Draft Management Plan for the Goukamma Nature Reserve Complex, Western Cape Province (Cape Nature).
- Compilation of an Environmental Management Plan and a Risk Assessment for the pressure testing of a 1 000 000 litre LPG Cylinder within the Port Elizabeth Harbour, Eastern Cape Province (EASIGAS).
- Compilation of an Environmental Management Plan for the development of two Billboards, Bloemfontein, Free State Province (Outdoor Network).
- GIS mapping and technical for various projects, including the drawing of locality, sensitivity, and alien and invasive management maps.
- Public Participation Processes and assistance to several projects.

### ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

BA	-	Basic Assessment
BAR	-	Basic Assessment Report
СВА	-	Critical Biodiversity Area
DEA	-	Department of Environmental Affairs
EAP	-	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
ECO	-	Environmental Compliance Officer
EIA	-	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMF	-	Environmental Management Framework
EMPr	-	Environmental Management Program
ESA	-	Ecological Support Area
GN R.	-	Government Notice Regulation
I&AP	-	Interested & Affected Party
IDP	-	Integrated Development Plan
LED	-	Local Economic Development
LM	-	Local Municipality
NDT	-	National Department of Tourism
NEM:PAA	-	National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act
NEM:WA	-	National Environmental Management: Waste Act
NEMA	-	National Environmental Management Act
NHRA	-	National Heritage Resources Agency
NPA	-	National Parks Act
NWA	-	National Water Act
PSDF	-	Provincial Spatial Development Framework
SAHRA	-	South African Heritage Resources Agency
SANRAL	-	South African National Roads Agency Limited
SAPS	-	South African Police Service
SDF	-	Spatial Development Framework

### Contents

E)	ECUTIV	E SUMMARY	i
B/	ASIC ASS	ESSMENT CONTENT CHECKLIST	viii
Cl	JRRICUL	UM VITAE OF THE EAP	xi
A	CRONYN	IS AND ABBREVIATIONS	. xv
1	SECTI	ON A: ACTIVITY INFORMATION	4
	1.1	PROPOSAL OR DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION	4
	1.2	APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES	4
	1.3	ALTERNATIVES	5
	1.4	PHYSICAL SIZE OF THE ACTIVITY	8
	1.5	SITE ACCESS	8
	1.5.1	PROPOSAL	8
	1.5.2	ALTERNATIVE 1	9
	1.5.3	ALTERNATIVE 2	. 10
	1.6	LAYOUT OR ROUTE PLAN	. 10
	1.7	SITE PHOTOGRAPHS	. 12
	1.8	FACILITY ILLUSTRATION	. 12
2	SECTI	ON B: DESCRIPTION OF RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT	.13
-	21	PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	13
	2.2	ΔΥΤΙΛΙΤΥ ΡΟSΙΤΙΟΝ	13
	2.2	CRADIENT OF THE SITE	11
	2.3		. 14 1/
	2.4		. 14 1/
	2.5	ACDICULTURE	. 14
	2.0		. 15
	2.7		. 15
	2.8		. 19
	2.9		. 20
_	2.10	CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES	. 21
3	SECTI	ON C: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (SECTION 41)	.23
	3.1	LOCAL AUTHORITY PARTICIPATION	. 23
	3.2	CONSULTATION WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS	. 23
	3.3	GENERAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS	. 23
	3.4	APPENDICES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	. 24
4	SECTI	ON D: RESOURCE USE AND PROCESS DETAILS	. 25
	4.1	WASTE, EFFLUENT, AND EMISSION MANAGEMENT	. 25
	4.1.1	SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT	. 25
	4.1.2	LIQUID EFFLUENT (OTHER THAN DOMESTIC SEWAGE)	. 26
	4.1.3	LIQUID EFFLUENT (DOMESTIC SEWAGE)	. 26
	4.1.4	EMISSIONS INTO THE ATMOSPHERE	. 27
	4.2	WATER USE	. 27
	4.3	POWER SUPPLY	. 27
	4.4	ENERGY EFFICIENCY	. 27
5	SECTI	ON E: IMPACT ASSESSMENT	.29
-	5.1	ISSUES RAISED BY INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES	. 29
	5.2	IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONAL PHASE	. 29
	53	IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE DECOMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE	58
	5.4		59
	5.5		50
	5.5		60
	5.5.1		. 00 60
	5.5.2		. 00 60
	5.5.3		. 00
	5.0	IVIPACT SUIVIVIANT OF THE PROPOSAL OK PREFEKKED ALTERNATIVE	. 60
	5.6.1	Construction Phase Impact Summary:	. 60
	5.6.2	Operational Impact Summary:	. 64
	5.7	SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT TOOLS	. 66
	5.8	RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRACTITIONER	. 66

	THE NEEDS AND DESIREBILITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	. 67
	THE PERIOD FOR WHICH THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION IS REQUIRED	. 67
	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME (EMPR)	. 67
6	SECTION F: APPENDIXES	.68
7	CHECKLIST	.68



Basic Assessment Report in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended, and the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (Version 1)

#### Kindly note that:

- 1. This **Basic Assessment Report** is the standard report required by GDARD in terms of the EIA Regulations, 2014.
- 2. This application form is current as of 8 December 2014. It is the responsibility of the EAP to ascertain whether subsequent versions of the form have been published or produced by the competent authority.
- 3. A draft Basic Assessment Report must be submitted, for purposes of comments within a period of thirty (30) days, to all State Departments administering a law relating to a matter likely to be affected by the activity to be undertaken.
- 4. A draft Basic Assessment Report (1 hard copy and two CD's) must be submitted, for purposes of comments within a period of thirty (30) days, to a Competent Authority empowered in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended to consider and decide on the application.
- 5. Five (5) copies (3 hard copies and 2 CDs-PDF) of the final report and attachments must be handed in at offices of the relevant competent authority, as detailed below.
- 6. The report must be typed within the spaces provided in the form. The size of the spaces provided is not necessarily indicative of the amount of information to be provided. The report is in the form of a table that can extend itself as each space is filled with typing.
- 7. Selected boxes must be indicated by a cross and, when the form is completed electronically, must also be highlighted.
- 8. An incomplete report may lead to an application for environmental authorisation being refused.
- 9. Any report that does not contain a titled and dated full colour large scale layout plan of the proposed activities including a coherent legend, overlain with the sensitivities found on site may lead to an application for environmental authorisation being refused.
- 10. The use of "not applicable" in the report must be done with circumspection because if it is used in respect of material information that is required by the competent authority for assessing the application, it may result in the application for environmental authorisation being refused.
- 11. No faxed or e-mailed reports will be accepted. Only hand delivered or posted applications will be accepted.
- 12. Unless protected by law, and clearly indicated as such, all information filled in on this application will become public information on receipt by the competent authority. The applicant/EAP must provide any interested and affected party with the information contained in this application on request, during any stage of the application process.
- 13. Although pre-application meeting with the Competent Authority is optional, applicants are advised to have these meetings prior to submission of application to seek guidance from the Competent Authority.

#### **DEPARTMENTAL DETAILS**

Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development Attention: Administrative Unit of the of the Environmental Affairs Branch P.O. Box 8769 Johannesburg 2000

Administrative Unit of the of the Environmental Affairs Branch Ground floor Diamond Building 11 Diagonal Street, Johannesburg

Administrative Unit telephone number: (011) 240 3377 Department central telephone number: (011) 240 2500

T.B.C

	(For official use only)			
NEAS REFERENCE				
NUMBER:				
FILE REFERENCE NUMBER:				
APPLICATION NUMBER:				
DATE RECEIVED:				

If this BAR has not been submitted within 90 days of receipt of the application by the competent authority and permission was not requested to submit within 140 days, please indicate the reasons for not submitting within time frame.

N/A	
Is a closure plan applicable for this application and has it been included in this report?	No
not, state reasons for not including the closure plan.	
N/A	
Has a draft report for this application been submitted to a competent authority and all State	Yes
Departments administering a law relating to a matter likely to be affected as a result of this activity?	

Is a list of the State Departments referred to above attached to this report including their full contact details and contact person?

If no, state reasons for not attaching the list. N/A

Have State Departments including the competent authority commented?

If no, why? N/A

### **1** SECTION A: ACTIVITY INFORMATION

### 1.1 PROPOSAL OR DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION

#### Project title (must be the same name as per application form):

Proposed Development of a Curro Castle on Portion 54 of the Farm Blue Hills No. 397, Midrand, Gauteng Province.

Select the appropriate box

The application is for an upgrade of an existing development

The application is for a new development

х



Does the activity also require any authorisation other than NEMA EIA authorisation?



If yes, describe the legislation and the Competent Authority administering such legislation

Application for Heritage Permit in accordance with Section 38(1) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999). A Water Use License must be applied for as the development will take place within five hundred meters (500 m) of a drainage line.

If yes, have you applied for the authorisation(s)?

If yes, have you received approval(s)? (attach in appropriate appendix)



### **1.2 APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES**

List all legislation, policies and/or guidelines of any sphere of government that are applicable to the application as contemplated in the EIA regulations:

Title of legislation, policy or guideline:	Administering Authority:	Promulgation Date:	
National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act	National & Provincial	27 November 1998	
No. 107 of 1998 as amended).		27 November 1990	
National Environmental Management Act (NEMA),			
Amended Environmental Impact Assessment	GDARD	2017	
Regulations of 07 April 2017.			
National Environmental Management: Biodiversity	National & Provincial	2004	
Act (NEMBA), 2004 (Act 10 of 2004)		2004	
National Building Regulations and Building Standard	Local Municipality	1977	
Act, 1977 (Act No. 103 of 1977)		1977	
Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act	Department of	1022	
43 of 1983)	Agriculture	1905	
National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of	South African Heritage	1000	
1999)	Resource Agency	1999	
National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998)	Department of Water	1009	
	and Sanitation	1990	

Description of compliance with the relevant legislation, policy or guideline:

Legislation, policy of guideline	Description of compliance						
National Environmental Management Act	The	Listed	Activities	and	assessment	criteria	were
(NEMA), Amended Environmental Impact	Impact considered in conducting of the Public Participation Process						

Assessment Regulations of April 2017.	and collation of information to be included in this BAR.				
	Furthermore all documentation has been compiled in				
	accordance with the relevant Appendices within GN R. 326				
	of 07 April 2017.				
Guideling involving an Ecological Specialist	The Ecological Impact Assessment has been prepared in				
in an EIA process, 2005	accordance with the guideline as developed by the Western				
in an EIA process, 2005.	Cape Department of Environmental Affairs.				
National Heritage Resources Act, 1999	The proposed development site was assessed for heritage				
(Act 25 of 1999)	significance in order to determine the sensitivity of the area.				
	Section 21 of the National Water Act will be applicable as				
National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998)	the proposed development will take place within five				
	hundred meters (500 m) of a drainage line.				
City of Johannachurg Draft Integrated	The proposed development was assessed according to the				
City of Johannesburg Drait integrated	Integrated Development Framework for the City of				
Development Framework 2018/19 Review	Johannesburg.				
City of Johann achura Capital Davidarment	It was verified that the proposed development will be in line				
City of Jonannesburg Spatial Development	with the City of Johannesburg Spatial Development				
Framework 2040 (2016)	Framework 2040 (2016).				

### **1.3 ALTERNATIVES**

Describe the proposal and alternatives that are considered in this application. Alternatives should include a consideration of all possible means by which the purpose and need of the proposed activity could be accomplished. The determination of whether the site or activity (including different processes etc.) or both is appropriate needs to be informed by the specific circumstances of the activity and its environment.

The no-go option must in all cases be included in the assessment phase as the baseline against which the impacts of the other alternatives are assessed. **Do not** include the no go option into the alternative table below.

**Note:** After receipt of this report the competent authority may also request the applicant to assess additional alternatives that could possibly accomplish the purpose and need of the proposed activity if it is clear that realistic alternatives have not been considered to a reasonable extent.

Please describe the process followed to reach (decide on) the list of alternatives below

Prior to the undertaking of the Basic Assessment Process the Applicant selected the preferred Erf on the following criteria:

- The property offers the optimal position, situated directly adjacent to a site where a Curro Primary School will be developed in the future;
- The proposed site is already degraded and is not of cultural significance;
- Adequate residential areas in close proximity to the school, making it viable from a business perspective;
- Sufficient space to construct the required Curro Castle.

#### Design Alternative:

There are no feasible design alternatives available. The school has been designed to make optimal use of the entire property. The layout of internal roads and parking bays have been specifically designed to ensure the optimal flow of Traffic.

#### Preferred Layout Alternative 1:

The preferred layout of the access road will extend from African View Drive and will traverse a distance of seven hundred and thirty meters (730 m). As per the Traffic Impact Assessment conducted by BVi Consulting

Engineers the road will have a two-lane configuration, with one (1) lane for incoming and outgoing vehicles respectively.

#### Advantages of the Preferred Layout:

- 1. The access road will traverse the shortest possible route;
- 2. The land that will be traversed is owned by Curro Holdings;
- 3. The access road won't put additional traffic flow pressure on the Summit/R55 intersection; and,
- 4. Adequate turning lanes are already present at the Olifantsfontein/African View Drive intersection.

#### **Location Alternative:**

Only one Location Alternative has been identified. The proposed Portion of land is already owned by Curro Holdings.

#### Provide a description of the alternatives considered

No.	Design Alternative
	N/A



Figure 4: Preferred Layout Alternative of the Access Road.

The preferred layout of the access road will extend from African View Drive and will traverse a distance of seven hundred and thirty meters (730 m). As per the Traffic Impact Assessment conducted by BVi Consulting Engineers the road will have a two-lane configuration, with one (1) lane for incoming and outgoing vehicles respectively.

The proposed road will be situated at the following co-ordinates:

- Start Point: 25° 56' 30.31" S; 28° 06' 24.69" E;
- Mid Point: 25° 56′ 19.55″ S; 28° 06′ 29.63″ E;
- End Point: 25° 56' 07.51" S; 28° 06' 28.56" E.

#### Advantages of the Preferred Layout:

- 1. The access road will traverse the shortest possible route;
- 2. The land that will be traversed is owned by Curro Holdings;

	3. The access road won't put additional pressure on the traffic flow of Summit/R55 intersection;
	<ol> <li>Adequate turning lanes are already present at the Olifantsfontein/African View Drive intersection.</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>Disadvantages of the Preferred Layout:</li> <li>1. It can become a nuisance to residence using African View Drive as access road to their homes.</li> </ul>
2.	Figure 5: Alternative 1 of the Access Road. Alternative 1 will have a two-lane configuration, with one (1) lane for incoming and outgoing vehicles respectively. The proposed road surface will consist of tar. Access will be gained via the Plantation Road/Summit Road Intersection and traverse a distance of four hundred and twenty meters (420 m) to the Plantation Road/Witbos Road Intersection. At the intersection it will make a right turn and traverse a distance of four hundred and twenty meters (420 m) to the Plantation Road/Witbos Road Intersection. At the intersection it will make a right turn and traverse a distance of four hundred and twenty meters (420 m) to the Plantation Road/Witbos Road Intersection. At the intersection it will make a right turn and traverse a distance of nine hundred and eighty six meters (986 m); where after a left turn is made towards the entrance gate of the proposed development. The entrance gate will be situated two hundred and eighty eight meters (288 m) from the corner. The proposed alternative will be situated at the following co-ordinates: <ul> <li>Start Point: 25° 56′ 26.39″ S; 28° 05′ 58.99″ E;</li> <li>Mid Point: 25° 56′ 07.51″ S; 28° 06′ 28.56″ E.</li> </ul> Advantages of Alternative 1:
	<ol> <li>The proposed extention of Witbos Street would cause the migration of traffic flow and would relieve some pressure from the R55/Summit Road intersection.</li> </ol>
	Disadvantages of Alternative 1:
	1. The road will cover a greater distance than the preferred alternative;
	2. The Wibos Road/Plantation Road must be formalised and singalised; and,
	3. The Plantation Road/Summit Road Intersection is situated in close proximity to the Summit Road/R55 intersection.

No.	Location Alternative
	N/A

In the event that no alternative(s) has/have been provided, a motivation must be included in the table below.

N/A

### **1.4 PHYSICAL SIZE OF THE ACTIVITY**

Indicate the total physical size (footprint) of the proposal as well as alternatives. Footprints are to include all new infrastructure (roads, services etc), impermeable surfaces and landscaped areas:

	Size of the activity:
Proposed activity (Total environmental (landscaping, parking, etc.) and the building footprint)	8.5 ha
Alternatives:	
Alternative 1 (if any)	N/A
Alternative 2 (if any)	N/A
	Ha/ m <sup>2</sup>
or, for linear activities:	

	Length of the activity:
Proposed activity	740 m
Alternatives:	
Alternative 1 (if any)	1 720 m
Alternative 2 (if any)	N/A
	m/km

Indicate the size of the site(s) or servitudes (within which the above footprints will occur):

	Size of the site/servitude:
Proposed activity	8.5 ha
Alternatives:	
Alternative 1 (if any)	N/A
Alternative 2 (if any)	N/A
	Ha/m <sup>2</sup>

### **1.5 SITE ACCESS**

#### 1.5.1 PROPOSAL

Does ready access to the site exist, or is access directly from an existing road?

If NO, what is the distance over which a new access road will be built

Describe the type of access road planned:

As per the Traffic Impact Assessment compiled by BVi Engineers the access road will have a two-lane configuration, with one (1) lane for incoming and outgoing vehicles respectively. The proposed road surface will consist of tar. Access will be gained via the African View Drive.

NO		
X		
740 m		



Figure 6: Proposed Access Road Layout.

Include the position of the access road on the site plan (if the access road is to traverse a sensitive feature the impact thereof must be included in the assessment).

#### 1.5.2 ALTERNATIVE 1

Does ready access to the site exist, or is access directly from an existing road?

If NO, what is the distance over which a new access road will be built

Describe the type of access road planned:

As per the Traffic Impact Assessment compiled by BVi Engineers the access road will have a two-lane configuration, with one (1) lane for incoming and outgoing vehicles respectively. The proposed road surface will consist of tar. Access will be gained by Summit Road/Plantation Road/Witbos Road.

No	
Х	
1 720 m	



Include the position of the access road on the site plan. (if the access road is to traverse a sensitive feature the impact thereof must be included in the assessment).

#### 1.5.3 ALTERNATIVE 2

Does ready access to the site exist, or is access directly from an existing road? If NO, what is the distance over which a new access road will be built

N/A	
N/A	

Describe the type of access road planned:

#### N/A

Include the position of the access road on the site plan. (if the access road is to traverse a sensitive feature the impact thereof must be included in the assessment).

#### PLEASE NOTE: POINTS 6 TO 8 OF SECTION A MUST BE DUPLICATED WHERE RELEVANT FOR ALTERNATIVES

Section A 6-8 has been duplicated

0 Number of times

(only complete when applicable)

### **1.6 LAYOUT OR ROUTE PLAN**

A detailed site or route (for linear activities) plan(s) must be prepared for each alternative site or alternative activity. It must be attached to this document. The site or route plans must indicate the following:

- the layout plan is printed in colour and is overlaid with a sensitivity map (if applicable);
- layout plan is of acceptable paper size and scale, e.g.
  - > A4 size for activities with development footprint of 10sqm to 5 hectares;
  - A3 size for activities with development footprint of > 5 hectares to 20 hectares;
  - A2 size for activities with development footprint of >20 hectares to 50 hectares); and,
  - A1 size for activities with development footprint of >50 hectares).
- The following should serve as a guide for scale issues on the layout plan:

- ➢ A0 = 1: 500;
- ➤ A1 = 1: 1000;
- ➤ A2 = 1: 2000;
- ➤ A3 = 1: 4000; and,
- ➤ A4 = 1:8000 (±10 000).
- shapefiles of the activity must be included in the electronic submission on the CD's;
- the property boundaries and Surveyor General numbers of all the properties within 50m of the site;
- the exact position of each element of the activity as well as any other structures on the site;
- the position of services, including electricity supply cables (indicate above or underground), water supply pipelines, boreholes, sewage pipelines, septic tanks, storm water infrastructure;
- servitudes indicating the purpose of the servitude;
- sensitive environmental elements on and within 100m of the site or sites (including the relevant buffers as prescribed by the competent authority) including (but not limited thereto):
  - Rivers and wetlands;
  - the 1:100 and 1:50 year flood line;
  - ridges;
  - cultural and historical features; and,
  - > areas with indigenous vegetation (even if it is degraded or infested with alien species),
- Where a watercourse is located on the site at least one cross section of the water course must be included (to allow the position of the relevant buffer from the bank to be clearly indicated).

#### FOR LOCALITY MAP (NOTE THIS IS ALSO INCLUDED IN THE APPLICATION FORM REQUIREMENTS)

- the scale of locality map must be at least 1:50 000. For linear activities of more than 25 kilometers, a smaller scale e.g. 1:250 000 can be used. The scale must be indicated on the map;
- the locality map and all other maps must be in colour;
- locality map must show property boundaries and numbers within 100m of the site, and for poultry and/or piggery, locality map must show properties within 500m and prevailing or predominant wind direction;
- for gentle slopes the 1m contour intervals must be indicated on the map and whenever the slope of the site exceeds 1:10, the 500mm contours must be indicated on the map;
- areas with indigenous vegetation (even if it is degraded or infested with alien species);
- locality map must show exact position of development site or sites;
- locality map showing and identifying (if possible) public and access roads; and,
- the current land use as well as the land use zoning of each of the properties adjoining the site or sites.

### **1.7 SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

Colour photographs from the center of the site must be taken in at least the eight major compass directions with a description of each photograph. Photographs must be attached under the appropriate Appendix. It should be supplemented with additional photographs of relevant features on the site, where applicable.

### **1.8 FACILITY ILLUSTRATION**

A detailed illustration of the activity must be provided at a scale of 1:200 for activities that include structures. The illustrations must be to scale and must represent a realistic image of the planned activity. The illustration must give a representative view of the activity to be attached in the appropriate Appendix.

### **2** SECTION B: DESCRIPTION OF RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT

#### NOTE: COMPLETE SECTION B FOR THE PROPOSAL AND ALTERNATIVE(S) (IF NECESSARY)

#### Instructions for completion of Section B for linear activities:

- 1. For linear activities (pipelines etc) it may be necessary to complete Section B for each section of the site that has a significantly different environment;
- 2. Indicate on a plan(s) the different environments identified;
- 3. Complete Section B for each of the above areas identified;
- 4. Attach to this form in a chronological order; and,
- 5. Each copy of Section B must clearly indicate the corresponding sections of the route at the top of the next page.

Section B has been duplicated for sections of the route 0

times

#### Instructions for completion of Section B for location/route alternatives:

- 1. For each location/route alternative identified the entire Section B needs to be completed;
- 2. Each alterative location/route needs to be clearly indicated at the top of the next page; and,
- 3. Attach the above documents in a chronological order.

Section B has been duplicated for location/route **0** times

# INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF SECTION B WHEN BOTH LOCATION/ROUTE ALTERNATIVES AND LINEAR ACTIVITIES ARE APPLICABLE FOR THE APPLICATION

Section B is to be completed and attachments order in the following way

- All significantly different environments identified for Alternative 1 is to be completed and attached in a chronological order; then
- All significantly different environments identified for Alternative 2 is to be completed and attached chronological order, etc.

#### Section B - Section of Route

Section B – Location/route Alternative No.



(complete only when appropriate for above) (complete only when appropriate for above)

### 2.1 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Propertydescription:(Including Physical Addressand Farm name, portionetc.)

The proposed project is situated on Portion 54 of the Farm Blue Hills No. 397 in Midrand Gauteng Province. Towards the west of the development is the R55 road and Summit Road towards the south.

### 2.2 ACTIVITY POSITION

Indicate the position of the activity using the latitude and longitude of the centre point of the site for each alternative site. The co-ordinates should be in decimal degrees. The degrees should have at least six decimals to ensure adequate accuracy. The projection that must be used in all cases is the WGS84 spheroid in a national or local projection.

#### Alternative:

Latitude (S):

Longitude (E):

May 2019

25° 55′ 59.43″ S	28° 06′ 24.28′′ E
25° 55′ 59.89′′ S	28° 06′ 26.70′′ E
25° 56′ 16.59′′ S	28° 06′ 30.74′′ E
25° 56′ 15.63″ S	28° 06′ 21.25″ E

In the case of linear act	tivities:
---------------------------	-----------

Alternative:

Latitude (S): Longitude (E): 25° 56′ 30.31″ S 28° 06' 24.69'' E Starting point of the activity • 25° 56′ 19.55″ S 28° 06' 29.63'' E Middle point of the activity • 25° 56' 07.51'' S 28° 06' 28.56'' E End point of the activity .

For route alternatives that are longer than 500m, please provide co-ordinates taken every 250 meters along the route and attached in the appropriate Appendix

Addendum of route alternatives attached

N/A

The 21 digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel

PROPOSAL	Т	0	J	R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	7	0	0	0	5	4
ALT. 1	Т	0	J	R	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	7	0	0	0	5	4

### 2.3 GRADIENT OF THE SITE

Indicate the general gradient of the site.

E la t	1:50 - 1:20	1.20 1.15	4.45 4.40	4.40 4.75		Characteristic and A.F.
Flat	Х	1:20 - 1:15	1:15 - 1:10	1:10 - 1:7,5	1:7,5 - 1:5	Steeper than 1:5

### 2.4 LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site.

Ridgeline	Plateau	Side slope of hill/ridge	Valley	Plain X	Undulating plain/low hills	River front
		1111/11080		X	X	

### 2.5 GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE

Is the site located on any of the following?

Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)

Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas

Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)

Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil

Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)

Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)

NO
х
NO
Х

#### Basic Assessment Report: Curro Midrand

NO

Х

Any other unstable soil or geological feature	Any other	unstable	soil or	geologica	l feature
---	-----------	----------	---------	-----------	-----------

An area sensitive to erosion

(Information in respect of the above will often be available at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by Geological Survey may also be used).

b) are any caves located on the site(s)

If yes to above provide location details in terms of latitude and longitude and indicate location on site or route map(s)

Latitude (S):	Longitude (E):				
N/A	N/A				
c) are any caves located within a 300m radius of the site	(s) NO X				
If yes to above provide location details in terms of latitude and longitude and indicate location on site or					
route map(s)					
Latitude (S):	Longitude (E):				
N/A	N/A				
d) are any sinkholes located within a 300m radius of the	site(s) NO X				

If yes to above provide location details in terms of latitude and longitude and indicate location on site or route map(s)

Latitude (S):	Longitude (E):
N/A	N/A

If any of the answers to the above are "YES" or "unsure", specialist input may be requested by the Department

### 2.6 AGRICULTURE

Does the site have high potential agriculture as contemplated in the Gauteng Agricultural Potential Atlas (GAPA 4)?

Please note: The Department may request specialist input/studies in respect of the above.

### 2.7 GROUNDCOVER

To be noted that the location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site and include the estimated percentage found on site

Natural veld - good	Natural veld	Natural veld with	Veld dominated	Landscaped
condition	with scattered	heavy alien	by alien species	(vegetation)

NO
х
NO
х



May	2019

#### Basic Assessment Report: Curro Midrand

% =	aliens % = 87	infestation % =	% =	% =
Sport field % =	Cultivated land % =	Paved surface (hard landscaping) % =	Building or other structure % = 3	Bare soil % = 10

**Please note**: The Department may request specialist input/studies depending on the nature of the groundcover and potential impact(s) of the proposed activity/ies.

Are there any rare or endangered flora or fauna species (including red list species) present on the site

### If YES, specify and explain:

N/A	

Are there any rare or endangered flora or fauna species (including red list species) present within a 200m (if within urban area as defined in the Regulations) or within 600m (if outside the urban area as defined in the Regulations) radius of the site.

If YES.	specify and explain:
	speeny and explain.

N/A	

Are there any special or sensitive habitats or other natural features present on the site?

If YES, specify and explain:

1. Vegetation Type:

The vegetation type is classified as Egoli Granite Grassland (GM 10) according to Mucina and Rutherford (2006). This vegetation type is considered endangered, with only 3% conserved in statutory reserves. More than two thirds have already been transformed, mostly by urbanization, agriculture or by road construction. Current rates of transformation threatens most of the remaining un-conserved areas. It is characterized by moderately undulating planes and low hills, with rocky outcrops and rock sheets were present. The herbaceous layer is dominated by tall grasses, usually *Hyparrhenia hirta*. This area consists of archaean granites and gneisses of Halfway House Granite, supporting leaches shallow coarsely grained sandy soils that is poor in nutrients, mainly of the Glenrosa form.

The tall shrub component is made up of *Sersia pyroides*. *Sersia pyroides* are found on site in different sizes ranging from tall- to small shrubs. The taller shrub individuals should preferably not be removed and should be merged into the development footprint if possible.

FAMILY	GENUS	SPECIES	IUCN	CRITERIA
Apocynaceae	Gomphocarpus	Fruticosus	Least Threatened	N/A
Apocynaceae	Gomphocarpus	Physocarpus	Least Threatened	N/A
Anacardiaceae	Searsia	Lancea	Least Threatened	N/A
Anacardiaceae	Searsia	Pyroides	Least Threatened	N/A
Asteraceae	Felicia	muricata	Least Threatened	N/A
Asteraceae	Haplocarpa	Scaposa	Least Threatened	N/A
Asteraceae	Helichrysum	Rugulosum	Least Threatened	N/A
Asteraceae	Seriphium	Plumosum	Least Threatened	N/A
Convolvulaceae	Ipomoea	Obscura	Least Threatened	N/A
Lamiaceae	Leonotis	Ocymifolia	Least Threatened	N/A

NO
х

YES

Х

NO

Х

Basic Assessment Report: Curro Midrand

Hyacinthaceae	Ledebouria	revoluta	Least Threatened	N/A
Hypoxidaceae	Hypoxis	Hemerocallidae	Least Threatened	N/A
Poaceae	Cynodon	Dactylon	Least Threatened	N/A
Poaceae	Eragrotis	Pseudo-obtusa	Least Threatened	N/A
Poaceae	Hyparrhenia	Hirta	Least Threatened	N/A
Poaceae	Melinis	Repens	Least Threatened	N/A
Poaceae	Sporobolus	fimbriatus	Least Threatened	N/A

#### 2. Present Ecological State (PES) and Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS):

The proposed nursery school and associated infrastructure will completely transform the existing surface vegetation inside the development footprint. The PES score of the development footprint is D. The area is extremely modified by the surrounding urban residential area, dumping of building rubble and frequent fires. Some loss and change of natural habitat and biota have occurred, but the basic ecosystem functions are still predominantly unchanged.

Furthermore, the EIS score is D. While the veld type is Endangered, the Specific area has no ecological importance anymore. It does not form part of an ecological corridor anymore and is totally isolated from any other patch of the same veld type. Biodiversity in this site is not usually sensitive to flow and habitat modifications. Some species might be threatened by the development but will have difficulty to find suitable habitat nearby.

The disturbed conditions, dumping, alien invasion and veld fires has resulted in the area achieving low PES and EIS scores. This section is therefore not of high conservational significance for habitat preservations or ecological functionality persistence in support of the surrounding ecosystem or broader vegetation type.

#### 3. Mammals

Mammals of conservation concern from the QDGS are listed within the Ecological Impact Assessment. Some species are unlikely to occur and are not mentioned, like the lion. If other species were to be found on site, the small footprint of the development is unlikely to be significantly impacted upon. No burrows or any other presence of any small mammals were noticed during the site visit.

#### 4. Insects

No listed dung beetles are found in the QDGS. No Neoroptera and Megaloptera of conservation concern are known from the QDGS. The area is disturbed and the development footprint is small. It is unlikely to be significantly impact on entomology. The insects are mobile and can relocate from the development footprint to the adjacent area. No listed butterflies or moths are known to occur in the development area. No listed spiders or scorpions are known to occur in the area and these species are presumed to move away from the construction site due to increased disturbance.

#### 5. Amphibians

*Pyxicephalus adspersus* (Giant Bullfrog) is known from the QDGS and is near threatened. Urbanisation threatens the habitat of this species. In this case, from the lack of any open water and the gravelly nature of the soil, it is unsure if the proposed area will support any population of this specie. The specie is fossorial (digging holes and staying in sandy soils). It breeds between October and February in shallow non-permanents water, wetlands and edges of dams, none of which are present in the study area. Even though Giant Bullfrogs are territorial, they will likely move away due to increased presence of people.

#### 6. Reptiles

The only species of conservation concern known from the QDGS is *Crocodylus niloticus* (Nile crocodile listed as vulnerable) but is almost unlikely to be found in the surrounding area and will not be affected by the development.

х

#### 7. Birds

Three bird species were noticed in the study area. These are the Crowned plover (*Vanellus coronatus*), Southern Black korhaan (*Eupodotis afra*), Swainson's francolin (*Francolinus swainsonii*). These birds will in all probability all move away during construction with only the Crowned plover likely to return as these birds readily adapt to living in residential areas.

Was a specialist consulted to assist with completing this section?	YES	

If yes complete specialist deta	ails			
Name of the specialist:	Dr Lloyd Rossouw			
Qualification(s) of the specialist:	Ph.D Archaeology			
Postal address:	P.O. Box 266, Bloemfontein			
Postal code:	9300			
Telephone:	- Cell: 084 250 5992			
E-mail:	Lloyd.rosouw@gmail.com	Fax:	-	
Are any further specialist studies recommended by the specialist?				NO X
Name of the specialist:	Dirk van der Merwe			
Qualification(s) of the specialist:	Civil Engineering			
Postal address:	P.O. Box 86, Century City			
Postal code:	7446			
Telephone:	021 527 7000	Cell:	084 232 4696	
E-mail:	dirkvdm@bviwc.co.za	Fax:	021 527 7001	
Are any further specialist studies recommended by the specialist?				NO X
Name of the specialist:	Name of the specialist: Hein Potgieter			
Qualification(s) of the specialist:	M.SA (Sustainable Agriculture)(UFS: 2002)			
Postal address:	Suite 116, Private Bag X01, Brandhof			
Postal code:	9324			
Telephone:	051 436 9675	Cell:	071 165 4497	
E-mail:	hein@enviroworks.co.za	Fax:	086 601 7507	
Are any further specialist studies recommended by the specialist?				NO X
If YES, specify: N/A				
If YES, is such a report(s) attached?			N/A	

If YES list the specialist reports attached below

The following Specialist Studies were conducted during	ng the EIA Process:	
<ul> <li>Ecological Impact Assessment;</li> </ul>		
• Traffic impact Assessment; and,		
Heritage Impact Assessment.		
Signature of specialist:	Date:	

**Please note;** If more than one specialist was consulted to assist with the filling in of this section then this table must be appropriately duplicated
# 2.8 LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA

Using the associated number of the relevant current land use or prominent feature from the table below, fill in the position of these land-uses in the vacant blocks below which represent a 500m radius around the site

1. Vacant land X	2. River, stream, wetland X	3. Nature conservation area	4. Public open space	5. Koppie or ridge 10. Informal residential	
6. Dam or reservoir	7. Agriculture X	8. Low density residential X	9. Medium to high density residential X		
11. Old age home	12. Retail	13. Offices	14. Commercial & warehousing	15. Light industrial	
16. Heavy industrial <sup>AN</sup>	17. Hospitality facility	18. Church	19. Education facilities	20. Sport facilities	
21. Golf course/polo fields X	22. Airport <sup>N</sup>	23. Train station or shunting yard <sup>N</sup>	24. Railway line <sup>N</sup>	25. Major road (4 lanes or more) <sup>№</sup> X	
26. Sewage treatment plant <sup>A</sup>	27. Landfill or waste treatment site <sup>A</sup>	28. Historical building	29. Graveyard	30. Archeological site	
31. Open cast mine	32. Underground mine	33.Spoil heap or slimes dam <sup>A</sup>	34. Small Holdings		
Other land uses (describe):			N/A		

NOTE: Each block represents an area of 250m X 250m, if your proposed development is larger than this please use the appropriate number and orientation of hashed blocks

	9	9	1	
7 &25	1	1	8 & 21	8 & 21
1 &25	1		8 & 21	8 &21
1 &25	1	9	9	8 & 21
	25	25	25	

SOUTH

NORTH

**Note:** More than one (1) Land-use may be indicated in a block

**Please note**: The Department may request specialist input/studies depending on the nature of the land use character of the area and potential impact(s) of the proposed activity/ies. Specialist reports that look at health & air quality and noise impacts may be required for any feature above and in particular those features marked with an "<sup>A</sup>" and with an "<sup>N"</sup> respectively.

Have specialist reports been attached?

WEST



If yes indicate the type of reports below

The following Specialist Reports have been compiled:

- Ecological Impact Assessment;
- Traffic Impact Assessment; and,
- Preliminary Heritage Study.

# 2.9 SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONTEXT

Describe the existing social and economic characteristics of the area and the community condition as baseline information to assess the potential social, economic and community impacts.

## Level of Unemployment:

There are 2 261 490 economically active (employed or unemployed but not looking for work) people in the City of Johannesburg; of these 25% are unemployed. Thirty one and a half percent (31.5 %) of the economically active youth are unemployed.



## **Economic Profile of the Local Municipality:**



## Level of Education:

The population figures estimate that there are 4 400 000 people that live in the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality. Of those 20 years and older, 3.4 % have completed primary school, 34.9 % have completed matric and 2.9 % have no form of schooling. The education levels are as follows:



# 2.10 CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES

Please be advised that if section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act 25 of 1999 is applicable to your proposal or alternatives, then you are requested to furnish this Department with written comment from the South African Heritage Resource Agency (SAHRA) – Attach comment in appropriate annexure 38. (1) Subject to the provisions of subsections (7), (8) and (9), any person who intends to undertake a development categorised as-

- a) the construction of a road, wall, powerline, pipeline, canal or other similar form of linear development or barrier exceeding 300m in length;
- b) the construction of a bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length;
- c) any development or other activity which will change the character of a site-(i) exceeding 5 000 m2 in extent; or
  - (ii) involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions thereof; or
  - (iii) involving three or more erven or divisions thereof which have been consolidated within the past five years; or
  - (iv) the costs of which will exceed a sum set in terms of regulations by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority;
- d) the re-zoning of a site exceeding 10 000 m2 in extent; or
- e) any other category of development provided for in regulations by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority, must at the very earliest stages of initiating such a development, notify the responsible heritage resources authority and furnish it with details regarding the location, nature and extent of the proposed development.

Are there any signs of culturally (aesthetic, social, spiritual, environmental) or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including archaeological or palaeontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site?



#### If YES, explain:

N/A		

If uncertain, the Department may request that specialist input be provided to establish whether there is such a feature(s) present on or close to the site.

### Briefly explain the findings of the specialist if one was already appointed:

The site is underlain by metamorphic rocks considered to be of no palaeontological significance. The overlying Quaternary component (geologically recent superficial sediments/residential soils) is also regarded as of low palaeontological significance mainly due to extensive terrain (Google Earth) degradation and a lack of suitable alluvial, spring or pan deposits in the area. There is no record of archaeologically or historically significant structures situated within the proposed development footprint. Google Earth images indicate that development will be conducted in an area that has already been altered by modern activities. Several structures located within the footprint have been demolished between 2013 and 2016. An existing road is providing access to the site.

As far as the palaeontological heritage s concerned, the proposed development may proceed with no further palaeontological assessment required. Given the degraded terrain, impact on potentially in situ archaeological remains, rock art localities, graves, pre-historic or historically significant structures within the study area is considered unlikely. The proposed development footprint is assigned a site rating of Generally Protected C (GP. C).

Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way?

Is it necessary to apply for a permit in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)?

	NO
	х
YES	
Х	

If yes, please attached the comments from SAHRA in the appropriate Appendix

# **3** SECTION C: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION (SECTION 41)

The Environmental Assessment Practitioner must conduct public participation process in accordance with the requirement of the EIA Regulations, 2014.

# 3.1 LOCAL AUTHORITY PARTICIPATION

Local authorities are key interested and affected parties in each application and no decision on any application will be made before the relevant local authority is provided with the opportunity to give input. The planning and the environmental sections of the local authority must be informed of the application at least thirty (30) calendar days before the submission of the application to the competent authority.

Was the draft report submitted to the local authority for comment?



If yes, has any comments been received from the local authority?

If "YES", briefly describe the comment below (also attach any correspondence to and from the local authority to this application):

This will be completed once the initial Public Participation Process has been completed.

If "NO" briefly explain why no comments have been received or why the report was not submitted if that is the case.

This will be completed once the initial Public Participation Process has been completed.

# 3.2 CONSULTATION WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS

Any stakeholder that has a direct interest in the activity, site or property, such as servitude holders and service providers, should be informed of the application at least **thirty (30) calendar days** before the submission of the application and be provided with the opportunity to comment.

Has any comment been received from stakeholders?

YES NO

If "YES", briefly describe the feedback below (also attach copies of any correspondence to and from the stakeholders to this application):

This will be completed once the initial Public Participation Process has been completed.

If "NO" briefly explain why no comments have been received

This will be completed once the initial Public Participation Process has been completed.

# 3.3 GENERAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

The Environmental Assessment Practitioner must ensure that the public participation process is adequate and must determine whether a public meeting or any other additional measure is appropriate or not based on the particular nature of each case. Special attention should be given to the involvement of local community structures such as Ward Committees and ratepayers associations. Please note that public concerns that emerge at a later stage that should have been addressed may cause the competent authority to withdraw any authorisation it may have issued if it becomes apparent that the public participation process was flawed.

The EAP must record all comments and respond to each comment of the public / interested and affected party before the application report is submitted. The comments and responses must be captured in a Comments and Responses Report as prescribed in the regulations and be attached to this application.

# 3.4 APPENDICES FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

All public participation information is to be attached in the appropriate Appendix. The information in this Appendix is to be ordered as detailed below

Appendix 1 – Proof of site notice

Appendix 2 – Written notices issued as required in terms of the regulations

Appendix 3 – Proof of newspaper advertisements

Appendix 4 –Communications to and from interested and affected parties

Appendix 5 – Minutes of any public and/or stakeholder meetings

Appendix 6 - Comments and Responses Report

Appendix 7 –Comments from I&APs on Basic Assessment (BA) Report

Appendix 8 –Comments from I&APs on amendments to the BA Report

Appendix 9 – Copy of the register of I&Aps

# **4** SECTION D: RESOURCE USE AND PROCESS DETAILS

NOTE: SECTION D IS TO BE COMPLETED FOR THE PROPOSAL AND ALTERNATIVE(S) (IF NECESSARY)

#### Instructions for completion of Section D for alternatives

- 1. For each alternative under investigation, where such alternatives will have different resource and process details (e.g. technology alternative), the entire Section D needs to be completed;
- 2. Each alterative needs to be clearly indicated in the box below; and,
- 3. Attach the above documents in a chronological order.

Section D has been duplicated for alternatives	0	times
--	---	-------

Section D Alternative No.

(complete only when appropriate for above)

# 4.1 WASTE, EFFLUENT, AND EMISSION MANAGEMENT

0

## 4.1.1 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Will the activity produce solid construction waste during the construction/initiation phase?

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

How will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

Solid waste will be generated during the construction of the new buildings, the clearing of vegetation on site as well as during the construction phase. Solid waste will include building rubble, vegetated matter and cement bags from foundation construction. The solid waste generated during the construction phase will be collected in containers and transported with a truck from the site to the local registered landfill site.

Where will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

Waste will be disposed of at the Rand Water Zuikerbosch Landfill Site which is classified as a G:S:B+ landfill (Registration Number: 12/9/11/108).

Will the activity produce solid waste during its operational phase?

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

How will the solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

A registered disposal company will be appointed for the disposal and management of waste.

Has the municipality or relevant service provider confirmed that sufficient air space exists for treating/disposing of the solid waste to be generated by this activity?

NO
х

Where will the solid waste be disposed if it does not feed into a municipal waste stream (describe)?

N/A

**Note:** If the solid waste (construction or operational phases) will not be disposed of in a registered landfill site or be taken up in a municipal waste stream, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Can any part of the solid waste be classified as hazardous in terms of the relevant legislation?

If yes, inform the competent authority and request a change to an application for scoping and EIA.

YES	
х	
	$\pm 23 \text{ m}^3$

	11 m <sup>3</sup>
<u> </u>	

YES X

NO

Х

Is the activity that is being applied for a solid waste handling or treatment facility?



If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Describe the measures, if any, that will be taken to ensure the optimal reuse or recycling of materials:

All recyclable packaging produced during the construction period will be recycled as provided for in the EMP. Should the school be decommissioned, all re-useable components will be deployed to alternative facilities or be recycled.

## 4.1.2 LIQUID EFFLUENT (OTHER THAN DOMESTIC SEWAGE)

Will the activity produce effluent, other than normal sewage, that will be disposed of in a municipal sewage system?

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

If yes, has the municipality confirmed that sufficient capacity exist for treating / disposing of the liquid effluent to be generated by this activity(ies)?



NO

Х

NO X

N/A

Will the activity produce any effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of on site?

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

If yes describe the nature of the effluent and how it will be disposed.

,	·
N/A	

Note that if effluent is to be treated or disposed on site the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA

Will the	activity	produce	effluent	that	will be	treated	and/or	disposed	of at	another
facility?										

If yes, provide the particulars of the facility:

Facility name:	N/A		
Contact person:			
Postal address:			
Postal code:			
Telephone:		Cell:	
E-mail:		Fax:	

Describe the measures that will be taken to ensure the optimal reuse or recycling of waste water, if any: N/A, all waste water will feed into the municipal system.

## 4.1.3 LIQUID EFFLUENT (DOMESTIC SEWAGE)

Will the activity produce domestic effluent that will be disposed of in a municipal sewage system?

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

If yes, has the municipality confirmed that sufficient capacity exist for treating / disposing of the domestic effluent to be generated by this activity(ies)?

Will the activity produce any effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of on site?



NO X If yes describe how it will be treated and disposed off.

## N/A

## 4.1.4 EMISSIONS INTO THE ATMOSPHERE

Will the activity release emissions into the atmosphere?

If yes, is it controlled by any legislation of any sphere of government?

If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

If no, describe the emissions in terms of type and concentration:

Except for vehicles of parents offloading school children the proposed development will not release any emissions into the atmosphere.

# 4.2 WATER USE

Indicate the source(s) of water that will be used for the activity

Municinal	Directly		river stream dam		the activity will not use
X	from water	groundwater	or lake	other	water
	DUaru				

If water is to be extracted from groundwater, river, stream, dam, lake or any other natural feature, please indicate

the volume that will be extracted per month:

If Yes, please attach proof of assurance of water supply, e.g. yield of borehole, in the appropriate Appendix

Does the activity require a water use permit from the Department of Water Affairs?

If yes, list the permits required

N/A

If yes, have you applied for the water use permit(s)?

If yes, have you received approval(s)? (attached in appropriate appendix)

# 4.3 POWER SUPPLY

Please indicate the source of power supply eg. Municipality / Eskom / Renewable energy source Power will be obtained from the Local Municipality.

If power supply is not available, where will power be sourced from?

N/A

# 4.4 ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Describe the design measures, if any, that have been taken to ensure that the activity is energy efficient:

NO
Х
NO
х

NO
Х
NO
Х

to Appondix

N/A

NO X Describe how alternative energy sources have been taken into account or been built into the design of the activity, if any:

N/A

# 5 SECTION E: IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The assessment of impacts must adhere to the minimum requirements in the EIA Regulations, 2014, and should take applicable official guidelines into account. The issues raised by interested and affected parties should also be addressed in the assessment of impacts as well as the impacts of not implementing the activity (Section 24(4)(b)(i).

# 5.1 ISSUES RAISED BY INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES

Summarise the issues raised by interested and affected parties.

This will be completed once the initial Public Participation Process has been conducted.

Summary of response from the practitioner to the issues raised by the interested and affected parties (including the manner in which the public comments are incorporated or why they were not included) (A full response must be provided in the Comments and Response Report that must be attached to this report):

This will be completed once the initial Public Participation Process has been conducted.

# 5.2 IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATIONAL PHASE

Briefly describe the methodology utilised in the rating of significance of impacts

Impact Assessment Methodology

For each potential impact, the **EXTENT** (Spatial scale), **MAGNITUDE** (degree of the impact), **DURATION** (time scale), **PROBABILITY** (occurrence), **IRREPLACEABILITY** (loss of resources) and the **REVERSIBILITY** (degree to which the proposed impact can be reversed) will be assessed by the EAP as well as the Specialists. The assessment of the above criteria will be used to determine the significance of each impact, with and without the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures. The scale to be used to assess these variables and to define the rating categories are tabulated in **Table 1** and **Table 2** below.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Evaluation component	Ranking scale and description (criteria)
	<b>10</b> - Very high: Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>severely</i> altered.
MAGNITUDE of	8 - High: Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>considerably</i> altered.
<b>NEGATIVE</b> IMPACT (at the	<b>6</b> - <b>Medium</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>notably</i> altered.
indicated spatial scale)	<b>4 - Low</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>slightly</i> altered.
	<b>2</b> - Very Low: Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>negligibly</i> altered.
	<b>0 - Zero</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes will remain <i>unaltered</i> .
	<b>10</b> - Very high (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>substantially</i> enhanced.
MAGNITUDE of	8 - High (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be considerably enhanced.
IMPACT (at the	<b>6</b> - <b>Medium (positive)</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>notably</i> enhanced.
spatial scale)	<b>4</b> - Low (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>slightly</i> enhanced.

 Table 1: Evaluation components, ranking scales and descriptions (criteria).

2 · Very Low (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be negligibly enhanced.         0 · Zero (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes will remain unditered.         PURATION         3 · Permanent         4 · Long term: Impact ceases after operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         3 · Medium term: Impact ceases after operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 · Short term: Impact might occur during the construction phase - < 3 years.         1 · Immediate         EXTENT (or spatial sequent boundaries)         3 · Regional: Beyond S km of the proposed development and within National boundaries.         4 · Local: Within 5 km of the proposed development.         1 · Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.         0 · None         8 · Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 · Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 · Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 · Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 · Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 · Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         4 · Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 · Very low potential that impact might be reversed.         2 · Neghter benetial that impact might be reversed.         3 · Moderate potential t		
Image of the second s		2 - Very Low (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be
unaltered.           DURATION         5 - Permanent           4 - Long term: Impact cases after operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         3 - Medium term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity - 60 years.           2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the construction phase - < 3 years.		<b>0 - Zero (positive)</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes will remain
BURATION       5 - Permanent         4 - Long term: Impact ceases after operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         - 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 - Noderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 - Noderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 - Noderate potential that impact might be reversed.         4 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 - None         PROBABILITY         (of occurrence)       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.		unaltered.
DURATION       4 - Long term: Impact ceases after operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the construction phase - < 3 years.		5 - Permanent
DURATION       3 - Medium term: Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity - 60 years.         2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the construction phase - < 3 years.		4 - Long term: Impact ceases after operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.
2 - Short term: Impact might occur during the construction phase - < 3 years.	DURATION	<b>3</b> - <b>Medium term</b> : Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity – 60 years.
1-Immediate         EXTENT       5 - International: Beyond National boundaries.         4 - National: Beyond 5 km of the proposed development and within Provincial boundaries.       3 - Regional: Beyond 5 km of the proposed development and within Provincial boundaries.         5 - Cocal: Within 5 km of the proposed development.       1 - Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.         0 - None       - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential that impact might be reversed.       - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Impact will be reversed.       - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occur		<b>2 - Short term</b> : Impact might occur during the construction phase - < 3 years.
EXTENT (or spatial scale/influence of impact)       5 - International: Beyond Provincial boundaries.         3 - Regional: Beyond Provincial boundaries and within National boundaries.         2 - Local: Within 5 km of the proposed development and within Provincial boundaries.         2 - Local: Within 5 km of the proposed development.         1 - Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.         0 - None         S - Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 - Nore         S - Definite loss of orreplaceable resources.         4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential thor loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential that impact might be reversed.         3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         2 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         3 - Moderate potential that impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurrin		1 - Immediate
EXTENT (or spatial or impact)       4 - National: Beyond Provincial boundaries and within National boundaries.         3 - Regional: Beyond 5 km of the proposed development and within Provincial boundaries.       3 - Local: Within 5 km of the proposed development.         1 - Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.       0         0 - None       5 - Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         0 - None       5 - Impact cannot be reversed.         3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         4 - High potential that impact might be reversed.       1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.       5 - Definite >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		5 - International: Beyond National boundaries.
EXTENT (or spatial scale/influence of impact)       3 - Regional: Beyond 5 km of the proposed development and within Provincial boundaries.         2 - Local: Within 5 km of the proposed development.       1 - Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.         0 - None       - None         IRREPLACEABLI loss of resources       5 - Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         5 - Impact cannot be reversed.       - None         8 - Very low potential that impact might be reversed.       - No Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.       - No me         8 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       - High potential that impact might be reversed.         4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.       - High potential that impact might be reversed.         1 - Impact will be reversible.       - No impact.         5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 25% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		4 - National: Beyond Provincial boundaries and within National boundaries.
scale/influence of impact)       2 · Local: Within 5 km of the proposed development.         1 · Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.       0 · None         IRREPLACEABLE loss       5 · Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 · Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       3 · Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 · Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       3 · Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 · Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 · Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 · Low potential that impact might be reversed.       - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         3 · Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         3 · Moderate potential that impact or the potential impact occurring.       - High potential that impact or the potential impact occurring.         4 · High probability: 75% · 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       - No mote         2 · Low probability: 5% · 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       - Low probability: 5% · 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 · Low probability: 5% · 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.	<b>EXTENT</b> (or spatial	<b>3</b> - <b>Regional</b> : Beyond 5 km of the proposed development and within Provincial boundaries.
Of hilpact ()       1 - Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.         0 - None       5 - Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Site-specific: On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.       0 - None         IRREPLACEABLE loss       5 - Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       0 - None         8 - Impact cannot be reversed.       4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.       2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.       3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.	scale/influence	<b>2</b> - Local: Within 5 km of the proposed development.
0 - None         IRREPLACEABLE       5 - Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         5 - None       5 - Impact cannot be reversed.         8 - High potential that impact might be reversed.       4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         8 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         9 - No impact       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         9 - No impact.       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         9 - No impact.       3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         9 - No impact.       1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.	of impact)	<b>1 - Site-specific</b> : On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.
IRREPLACEABLE       5 - Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.         4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       0 - None         8       5 - Impact cannot be reversed.         4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.       3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.       1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		<b>0</b> - None
IRREPLACEABLE loss       4 - High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       0 - Nome         S - Impact cannot be reversed.       4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         S - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         S - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         S - No impact.       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         Medium probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       3 - Modeium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         Evaluation component       Ranking scale and description (criteria)       1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		5 – Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.
Interfact Package       3 - Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.       0 - None         REVERSIBILITY         of impact       3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.       3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.       1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       3 - Modeium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		4 – High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.
resources       2 - Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         1 - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         0 - None         REVERSIBILITY         of impact         2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.         5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.	loss of	3 – Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.
I - Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.         0 - None         S - Impact cannot be reversed.         4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.         5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         Evaluation component         Review and might contribute to a very significant combined impact on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.         CUMULATIVE impacts         Medium: The activity is one of a few similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might have a combined impact of moderate significance on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.         LOWULATIVE         impacts         Definition of significance ratings (nositive and negative)	resources	<ul> <li>2 – Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.</li> <li>1 Manufacturation for loss of irreplaceable resources.</li> </ul>
S - Impact cannot be reversed.         4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.         5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		0 - None
REVERSIBILITY       4 - Low potential that impact might be reversed.         9 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.       2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         1 - Impact will be reversible.       0 - No impact.         8 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.       4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         9 - No impact.       3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       3 - Medium probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.       1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		<b>5</b> – Impact <b>cannot</b> be reversed.
REVERSIBILITY       3 - Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.         2 - High potential that impact might be reversed.         1 - Impact will be reversible.         0 - No impact.         PROBABILITY         (of occurrence)         2 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		<b>4 – Low</b> potential that impact might be reversed.
Of impact       2 - High potential that impact hight be reversed.         1 - Impact will be reversible.       0 - No impact.         PROBABILITY (of occurrence)       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.	REVERSIBILITY	3 – Moderate potential that impact might be reversed.
O - No impact.         PROBABILITY (of occurrence)         From the important intervention of the potential impact occurring.         A - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         B - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         Component         Ranking scale and description (criteria)         High: The activity is one of several similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might contribute to a very significant combined impact on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.         CUMULATIVE impacts       Medium: The activity is one of a few similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might have a combined impact of moderate significance on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.         Low: The activity is localised and might have a negligible cumulative impact. None: No cumulative impact on the environment.	or impact	<b>2 – Fign</b> potential that impact might be reversed. <b>1 –</b> Impact <b>will be</b> reversible
PROBABILITY       5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		<b>0</b> – No impact.
PROBABILITY (of occurrence)       4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.         3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring.         2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		<b>5 - Definite</b> : >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.
PROBABILITY (of occurrence)       3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring 2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring. 1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.		4 - High probability: 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.
2 - Low probability: 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.         1 - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.	PROBABILITY	3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring
<b>1 - Improbable</b> : <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.	(or occurrence)	<b>2 - Low probability</b> : 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.
Evaluation componentRanking scale and description (criteria)High: The activity is one of several similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might contribute to a very significant combined impact on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.CUMULATIVE impactsMedium: The activity is one of a few similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might have a combined impact of moderate significance on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern. Low: The activity is localised and might have a negligible cumulative impact. None: No cumulative impact on the environment.Table 2: Definition of significance ratings (nositive and negative)		<b>1</b> - Improbable: <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.
CUMULATIVE impactsHigh: The activity is one of several similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might contribute to a very significant combined impact on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.CUMULATIVE impactsMedium: The activity is one of a few similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might have a combined impact of moderate significance on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.Low: The activity is localised and might have a negligible cumulative impact. None: No cumulative impact on the environment.	Evaluation component	Ranking scale and description (criteria)
CUMULATIVE impacts       Medium: The activity is one of a few similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might have a combined impact of moderate significance on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.         Low: The activity is localised and might have a negligible cumulative impact.         None: No cumulative impact on the environment.	<b>CUMULATIVE</b> impacts	<b>High</b> : The activity is one of several similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might contribute to a very significant combined impact on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.
Low: The activity is localised and might have a negligible cumulative impact. None: No cumulative impact on the environment.		<b>Medium</b> : The activity is one of a few similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might have a combined impact of moderate significance on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern
Table 2: Definition of significance ratings (nositive and negative)		Low: The activity is localised and might have a negligible cumulative impact. None: No cumulative impact on the environment.

Significance Points	Environmental Significance	Description
125 – 150	Very high (VH)	An impact of very high significance will mean that the project cannot proceed, and that impacts are irreversible, regardless of available mitigation options.
100 - 124	High (H)	An impact of high significance which could influence a decision about whether or not to proceed with the

Briefly describe and compare the potential impacts (as appropriate), significance rating of impacts, proposed mitigation and significance rating of impacts after mitigation that are likely to occur as a result of the construction phase for the various alternatives of the proposed development. This must include an assessment of the significance of all impacts.

Planning, design and	Layout A	Iternative 1	Layout Alternative 2		No Go Alternativo
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	
		POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON GEO	GRAPHICAL AND PHYSICAL	ASPECTS :	
Nature of impact: Negative impact of haphazard placement of site infrastructure on the environment.	Activity: The establishment of a ma placement of materials an surrounding areas caused b	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.			
Magnitude:	4	2	4	2	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	1	0	1	0	-
Irreplaceable:	2	1	2	1	-
Reversibility:	1	0	1	0	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-
Total SP:	40	15	40	15	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Draw up and submit f of all permanent and environmental areas);</li> <li>The planning of the law</li> <li>The contractor may n footprint;</li> <li>The contractor must demarcated construct</li> <li>No servicing of vehicle</li> <li>Stockpiles may not be</li> <li>Location of storage ar topography;</li> <li>Place infrastructure as</li> </ul>	or approval a Site Layout Mast temporary site structures and yout must be done in consultat ot deface, paint, damage or ma ensure that all construction ion sites at all times; es may be permitted on site, un situated in such a manner that rea must take into account pre-	er Plan. This plan must show infrastructure (inclusive of t tion, on-site, with the Enviro ark any natural features situ personnel, labourers and eless for emergency purpose t they obstruct pathways; vailing winds, distance to wa	w the final positions and extent the distance from any sensitive inmental Control Officer (ECO); uated outside the development equipment remain within the s; ater bodies and general on-site	N/A

Planning, design and	Layout Al	No-Go Alternative			
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-do Alternative
	<ul> <li>Facilities may not be u</li> <li>The Contractors camp future works;</li> <li>The Contractors camp work on the project; a</li> <li>The Contractor must in</li> <li>Suitable sanit for each gend</li> <li>Facilities for s</li> </ul>				
Nature of impact: Topsoil Removal and Soil Erosion	Activity: The clearing of topsoil and fertile topsoil.	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.			
Magnitude:	6	2	6	2	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	1	1	1	1	-
Irreplaceable:	2	1	2	1	-
Reversibility:	3	2	3	2	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-
Total SP:	56	24	56	24	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Remove topsoil approx</li> <li>Stockpile topsoil separ</li> <li>Topsoil stockpiles to b</li> <li>Topsoil stockpiles to b</li> <li>being washed away in</li> <li>Topsoil needs to be st</li> <li>plan;</li> <li>Ensure that topsoil is r</li> </ul>	N/A			

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout	No Go Altornativo		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative	
	Provide containment a					
	Temporarily stored to	osoil must be re-applied within	6 months;			
	Provide spill containm	ent facilities for hazardous mat	erials like fuel and oil;			
	Implement suitable er	osion prevention measures;				
	Adequate stormwater	management measures must	be implemented on site in	n order to sufficiently manage		
	stormwater runoff fro	m the construction site during	the construction phase.			
	Topsoil must be used	in all rehabilitation activities, a	nd may not be compacted t	to ensure that its plant support		
	capacity remain of hig	h quality; and,				
	Following rehabilitation	on activities any excess top soil	is to be removed and site	and disposed of at a registered		
	solid waste landfill site	e (Zuikerbosch Landfill).				
Nature of impact:						
Surface and						
groundwater					No construction phase impacts	
contamination due to	Activity:				are associated with the no-go	
construction activities	Spills could possibly occur o	alternative thus no assessment				
such as the use of					has been undertaken.	
hazardous materials on						
site e.g. fuel and oil.						
Magnitude:	8	6	8	6	-	
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-	
Extent:	2	1	2	1	-	
Irreplaceable:	3	3	3	3	-	
Reversibility:	4	2	4	2	-	
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-	
Total SP:	76	42	76	42	-	
Significance rating:	MH	М	МН	М	-	
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-	
	Concrete must be mixe	ed on mixing trays only and no	t on exposed soil. Concret	e must be mixed only in areas		
Proposed Mitigation:	which have been specia	ally demarcated for this purpos	e (preferable where no natu	iral vegetation occur);	N/A	
	<ul> <li>Concrete mixing to be carried out away from sensitive areas and on impermeable surfaces;</li> </ul>					

Planning, design and	Layout A	Layout Alternative 1 Layout Alternative 2		Layout Alternative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
	Material Safety Data Sh used on-site, including					
	<ul> <li>Material safety Data Singly Data Singly Data Singly Data Singly Data Singly Data Singly Data Single of one of the single of petrochemic be removed for bio-remice be removed for bio-remice be removed for bio-remice any able flood line, or within a here flood line, or within a here flood line, or within a here work site the Co.</li> <li>No mater courses may site at a location where the storm water system.</li> <li>Fuel and chemical store contain 110% of the call construction vehicles in occur;</li> <li>All personnel must received.</li> </ul>	aned up immediately after they cal products must be avoided. nediation or disposed of at a fa ution facilities, sanitary conver orizontal distance of 100m (wh y must be regularly serviced to ntractor must maintain strict su be used to clean equipment, or waste water can be disposed of pollutants such as cement, concr n must strictly be prohibited; rage must be done within a d pacity of fuel or chemicals store must be inspected every morni eive induction on how to report ble at each working station;	A have occurred and proof m In the case of accidental sp cility for the substance concern nience, septic tank or Frence nichever is greater) of a wate avoid leakages; urveillance to ensure that no or for bathing. All cleaning of of correctly; rete, lime, chemicals, etc. intr lesignated area only, which ed within; ing before work commence t spillages, contain them and	nimise the impacts in case of ust be available; pillage, contaminated soil must erned. Disturbed land must be th drain within the 1:100 year ercourse or drainage line; o spills occur; operations must take place off o the natural environment and is properly bund and able to to ensure that no leakages do I treat them accordingly;		
	<ul> <li>All surfaces used for water</li> </ul>	aste storage and loading areas s	should have an impermeable	e surface;		
	<ul> <li>Stormwater and run-of</li> <li>Drip trays must be plac and,</li> <li>Hazardous waste must</li> </ul>	f should be managed and diver ed beneath all construction ec be stored in bins with a lid ir	ted to not be in contact with quipment that is stationary o n a demarcated waste area,	n waste; on site or within the site camp; and must be disposed of at a		
	hazardous treatment fa	acility with records on file.				
Nature of impact: Handling of general waste materials on the development site.	Activity: The presence of personne dumping of solid waste.	I and construction operations	on site will increase the l	likelihood of littering and the	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.	

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		No Go Altornativo
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-do Alternative
Magnitude:	6	2	6	2	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	2	1	2	1	-
Irreplaceable:	2	1	2	1	-
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-
Total SP:	52	21	52	21	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>An adequate number of least must be present, Dumping of waste on si</li> <li>Waste sorting and segencourage personnel to</li> <li>Keep all work sites inclue</li> <li>Dedicate a demarcated</li> <li>All domestic waste is (Zuickerbosch Landfill)</li> <li>Care must be taken to tarpaulin can be utilised</li> <li>The burning or burying this is regarded as haza</li> <li>Littering by constructio</li> <li>General refuse/rubbish soon as the waste bins</li> <li>Minimise waste by sort</li> <li>Ablution facilities must disposal slips must be control Officer (ECO);</li> </ul>	N/A			

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1 Layout Alternative 2				No Go Altornativo	
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
	<ul> <li>Hazardous waste must records and proof of dia</li> <li>A register must be kep office.</li> </ul>					
Nature of impact: Increased risk of veld fires.	Activity: Due to the presence of co standard.	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.				
Magnitude:	10	6	10	6	-	
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-	
Extent:	2	1	2	1	-	
Irreplaceable:	3	3	3	3	-	
Reversibility:	4	4	4	4	-	
Probability:	3	2	3	2	-	
Total SP:	63	32	63	32	-	
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-	
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	-	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>The potential risk of veld fires is heightened by windy conditions in the area, specifically during the dry, windy winter months;</li> <li>The Contractor shall take all reasonable and precautionary steps to ensure that fires are not started as a consequence of the activities on site. The Contractor will be held responsible for any damage to structures or property or neighboring the site as a result of any fire caused by personnel;</li> <li>Ensure the work site and the contractor's camp is equipped with adequate firefighting equipment. This includes at least rubber beaters when working in veldt areas, and at least one fire extinguisher of the appropriate type irrespective of the site;</li> <li>The Contractor must provide fire-fighting training to selected construction staff and take cognizance of the Veld and Forest Fire Act, Act No. 101, 1998;</li> <li>Workers must be adequately trained in the handling of firefighting equipment, and can include but not limited to:         <ul> <li>Regular fire prevention talks and drills; and,</li> <li>Posting of regular reminders to staff;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					

Planning, design and	Layout Al	No-Go Alternative				
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
	<ul> <li>No open fires are perm</li> <li>Do not store any fuel of</li> <li>Do not store gas and lid SANS);</li> <li>Any fires that occur on</li> <li>In the event of a fire, the all necessary action to p</li> <li>Do not permit any sm smoking area must be e</li> <li>All construction vehicle</li> </ul>					
Nature of impact: Destruction of fauna and flora associated with the movement of construction vehicles on site.	<b>Activity:</b> The movement of vehicles mortalities of fauna on site.	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.				
Magnitude:	4	2	4	2	-	
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-	
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-	
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	2	-	
Reversibility:	4	4	4	4	-	
Probability:	3	2	3	2	-	
Total SP:	42	24	42	24	-	
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-	
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	-	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>During construction or vehicles and machinery</li> <li>Monitor the establishmeterial can be formed</li> <li>All drivers must adhered</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>During construction create designated turning areas and strictly prohibit any off-road driving or parking of vehicles and machinery outside designated areas;</li> <li>Monitor the establishment of (Alien) Invasive Species and remove as soon as detected, before regenerative material can be formed;</li> <li>All drivers must adhere to the site speed limit of 40 km/h:</li> </ul>				

Planning, design and	Layout A	No-Go Alternative			
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative
construction phase	<ul> <li>Before Mitigation</li> <li>Abnormal loads and m events, so as to limit de</li> <li>All vehicles must be re appropriately for the o must be specifically lice</li> <li>Signage is to be placed</li> <li>Any fauna threatened qualified person;</li> <li>After decommissioning all foreign material and program, and</li> <li>Construction-related version</li> </ul>				
	lights and reflective pe	rsonnel gear.			
Nature of impact:Trafficimpactsassociatedwiththemovementofconstruction vehicle.	<b>Activity:</b> The movement of vehicles increase in the traffic volum	in the vicinity of the constructed within the Blue Hills area.	ction site may cause damag	ge to road surfaces as well as	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	4	2	6	4	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	3	3	3	3	-
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1	1	-
Reversibility:	3	2	3	3	-
Probability:	4	3	5	4	-
Total SP:	52	30	75	52	-
Significance rating:	М	L	MH	М	-
Cumulative impact:	М	-	Н	MH	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Abnormal loads must be expected over national</li> <li>Furthermore; loads shows a second s</li></ul>	e timed to avoid times of year holidays, weekends and school ould be timed to avoid times of	when traffic volumes are li holiday periods; the day when traffic volume	kely to be higher, as would be es are likely to be higher (06:00	N/A

Planning, design and	Layout Al	Alternative 1 Layout Alternative 2		No Go Altornativo	
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	- NO-GO Alternative
	<ul> <li>- 09:00 and 16:00 - 18:</li> <li>Vehicles used for transport of tems onto response to public condition;</li> <li>In order for the transport of the transport of the temport of tempor</li></ul>	Layout Alternative 1e MitigationAfter MitigationD0 and 16:00 – 18:00);:les used for transport of materials and sand must brial or items onto road surfaces;damage to public roads is to be reported to theition;der for the transport network to function more effectiate vicinity of the development and intersectionintersections be signalized;ss must be gained via Plantation Road and the R55/Sigport of materials should be limited to the least amorermal loads may not be transported after dark.		to prevent the release of such y and repaired to its original ded that all gravel roads in the e Witbos Street and Plantation pided as far as possible;	

Planning, design and	Layout Al	ternative 1 Layout Alternative 2			No Go Altornativo
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative
		POTENTIAL IMPAC	TS ON BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS		
Nature of impact: Direct impact on vegetation during construction and loss of species.	<b>Activity:</b> The construction of severa excavation.	No impact will occur as the development activities will not take place. Vegetation and habitat features of the proposed development site will remain unaffected.			
Magnitude:	6	4	6	4	-
Duration:	5	5	5	5	-
Extent:	1	1	1	1	-
Irreplaceable:	2	0	2	0	-
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-
Probability:	5	3	5	3	-
Total SP:	75	33	75	33	-
Significance rating:	MH	L	MH	L	-
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-
Proposed Mitigation:	Keep areas affected t	to a minimum, strictly prohi	bit any disturbance outside	e the demarcated foundation	N/A

Planning, design and	Layout Al	ternative 1	Layout A	Iternative 2	No Go Altornativo
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-do Alternative
	<ul> <li>footprint area;</li> <li>Clear as little indigenous construction or operative rehabilitation recommeters</li> <li>Indigenous vegetation</li> <li>There must be a pre-construction measures with the ECO deems study will be utilised;</li> <li>Restoration measures with the additional ecologication period to ensure that measures of species of a workers;</li> <li>Ensure the upkeep of been completed;</li> <li>No vegetation may be additional species of a completed;</li> </ul>	us vegetation as possible, aim ation of the development, r endations of the relevant EMP unique to the area must be use onstruction environmental ind rsity principles are adhered to; a it necessary (e.g. sensitive, n will be required to reinstate fu es (drainage lines) must be ave al walkthrough be conducted p to provincially- or nationally pr conservation concern should l demarcation boundaries thro gathered for the purpose of cri- buld be agreed and demarcate	to maintain vegetation when ehabilitate an acceptable w 'r, if possible; ed during landscaping activiti uction for all construction sta atural areas) the ecologist a nctionality in the disturbed so bided; brior to commencement of th otected or significant species be kept on site where they ughout the period of constru- eating fire; and, d before the start of the clear	re it will not interfere with the regetation layer according to res; aff on site to ensure that basic ppointed to do the vegetation oil and vegetation; he project during the flowering is have been omitted; will be visible to construction ruction until rehabilitation has	
Nature of impact: Alien invasive species establishment within the development area.	<b>Activity:</b> Alien Invasive Species prese	No impact will occur as the development activities will not take place. Vegetation and habitat features of the proposed development site will remain unaffected.			
Magnitude:	6	4	6	4	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-
Irreplaceable:	2	0	2	0	-
Reversibility:	1	0	1	0	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-

Planning, design and	Layout Al	ternative 1	Layout A	Iternative 2	No Go Altornativo		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Total SP:	52	24	52	24	-		
Significance rating:	М	L (+)	М	L (+)	-		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Alien invasive vegetati suitable, certified 'gree</li> <li>Prevent any cleared Ali</li> <li>Implement suitable Ali and,</li> <li>Areas around the pro Invasive Species establi</li> <li>Clearing and Guiding Prince</li> <li>Alien control programs follow up actions for re</li> <li>The lighter infested are</li> <li>Pre-existing dense area threat than they are cu</li> <li>All clearing actions sho</li> <li>Clearing Methods</li> <li>Different species required combination of the two</li> <li>Care should be taken regardless of the meth plants should also be considered and plants can spread vege</li> <li>The best-practice clear for most alien species of for Water website: http</li> </ul>	on material cleared during co n waste' disposal site in order en Invasive Species material fr en Invasive Species establishr posed project footprint must shment. <b>ples</b> are long-term management habilitation of the cleared area as should be cleared first to pr as should be left for last, as th rrently; and, uld be monitored and docume ire different control method o; to ensure that the clearing m nods used, soil disturbance sh posidered before clearing; enomenon in the area and sh te. Only <i>Cylindropuntia sp</i> sh tatively as well as with seed; an ing method for each species is can be obtained from the Dep p;//www.dwaf.gov.za/wfw/Con	Instruction activities must be to prevent further spreading; om entering the development ment prevention measures d be adequately rehabilitated projects and should include a a; revent seed build-up; mey probably will not increas inted to keep track of which a s such as manual, chemica bethods used do not encoura nould be kept to a minimum ould not be used in general fould ould be destroyed by burni and, identified should be used. Th artment of Water and Agricu- ntrol/.	e adequately disposed of at a intarea; luring the construction phase; d to prevent significant Alien a clearing plan which includes e in density or pose a greater are due for follow-up clearing. I or biological methods or a age further invasion. As such, h. The vegetative stage of the for alien control or vegetation ng after removal, since these he preferred clearing methods iltural Affairs (DWAF) Working	N/A		

Planning, design and	Layout Al	ternative 1	Layout A	lternative 2	No-Go Alternative
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative
	<ul> <li>Use of Herbicides for Alien Although it is usually pr additional mechanical dis species which re-sprout. environment should be m</li> <li>Area contamination mu achieve good control;</li> <li>Care must be taken to cleaning equipment an</li> <li>Equipment should be w disposed of in a suitabl</li> <li>To avoid damage to ind indigenous vegetation is</li> <li>Droplet nozzles with a vegetation; and,</li> <li>The appropriate health of herbicides.</li> </ul>				
Nature of impact: Surface material erosion.	<b>Activity:</b> Removal of vegetation and	No impact will occur as the development activities will not take place. Vegetation and habitat features of the proposed development site will remain unaffected.			
Magnitude:	6	2	6	2	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-
Irreplaceable:	3	3	3	3	-
Reversibility:	2	2	2	2	-
Probability:	4	2	4	2	-
Total SP:	60	22	60	22	-

Planning, design and	Layout Al	ternative 1	Layout A	Iternative 2			
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Implement suitable ero</li> <li>Disturb as little ground area, and trap sedimen</li> <li>Conserve topsoil with promote the growth of</li> <li>Maintain and re-apply</li> <li>Areas around the prop and,</li> <li>An adequate Storm wa water runoff and clean,</li> </ul>	N/A					
Nature of impact:Dustnuisancegeneratedbytheoperation of machineryand vehicles.	Activity: The frequent upwelling of a worker health causing asth particulate matter. Several the degree of loss and sus surfaces may result in the re	dust as consequence of the me ma and other respiratory con ambient factors, the terrain cl sceptibility of stockpiles towa eduction of wind-generated du	ovement of vehicles and man ditions. Stockpiles are susce naracteristics, soil type and la rds the generation of dust. Ist from stockpiles.	chinery on site may impact on ptible to the upwelling of fine and use forms can attribute to Regular watering of exposed	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.		
Magnitude:	8	4	8	4	-		
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-		
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-		
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1	1	-		
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-		
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-		
Total SP:	56	30	56	30	-		
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Access roads are to be of vehicle movement;</li> <li>Implement dust suppre</li> </ul>	kept clean and dust suppressions measures by watering a	on techniques should be imp reas to be cleared as well as	lemented to minimize impacts already exposed surfaces with	N/A		

Planning, design and	Layout Al	No Go Altornativo			
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative
	damaged soil particles,	particularly during dry, windy	periods;		
	Ensure all vehicles rem	ain on designated roads and a	void the opening of detour o	r by-pass tracks;	
	Implement speed restr	ictions for vehicles on gravel re	pads;		
	Vehicles delivering or r	emoving soil must be covered	to reduce spills and windblo	wn dust;	
	Any complaints receive	d by the Contractor regarding	dust will be recorded and co	ommunicated to the ECO;	
	Ensure all vehicles rem	ain on designated roads and a	void the opening of detour o	r by-pass tracks;	
	A speed limit of 30km/	h must be applied on gravel ro	ads; and,		
	After construction dec	commissioning, if access road	ds or portions thereof will	not be of further use to the	
	landowner, remove all	foreign material and rip area	to facilitate the establishme	nt of vegetation, followed by a	
	suitable revegetation p	rogram.			
Nature of impact:	Activity:				
Fauna will be directly	The construction of facilitie	s will result in some habitat lo	ss for resident fauna, as son	ne species will occur within the	No construction phase impacts
impacted as a result of	affected areas. In addit	and human presence during	are associated with the no-go		
construction activities	construction will be detrime	away from the area during the	alternative thus no assessment		
and human presence at	construction phase as a res	e slow-moving species (such as	has been undertaken.		
the site.	mole rats or blind snakes) v	vould not be able to avoid the	construction activities and m	night be killed.	
Magnitude:	6	2	6	2	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	1	1	1	1	-
Irreplaceable:	2	1	2	1	-
Reversibility:	2	0	2	0	-
Probability:	3	2	3	2	-
Total SP:	39	12	39	12	-
Significance rating:	L	L	L	L	-
Cumulative impact:	М	L	М	L	-
	• The process of clearing	g of vegetation must start at t	the south eastern end of Po	ortion 10 and proceed north to	
	allow mobile fauna a cl	nance to escape to the vegetat	ion adjacent to the southern	n boundary;	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>No hunting, snaring, sh</li> </ul>	ooting, nest raiding or egg col	lection by the construction s	taff may be allowed;	N/A
	Holes and trenches mu	st not be left open for extende	ed periods of time and shoul	d only be dug when needed for	
	immediate constructio	n. Trenches that may stand	open for some days should	have places where the loose	

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1 Layout Alternative 2			No-Go Alternative		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
	material has been retu					
	that fall in to escape;					
	Fires should only be all					
	Ensure that the constru					
	Do not store building r	materials and excess stockpile	d soils within riparian zones	or within areas where natural		
	vegetation occur; and					
	• Should any fauna be	• Should any fauna be discovered, it should be relocated to an area outside the development footprint by a				
	trained professional.					

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout A	Layout Alternative 2	
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative
		POTENTIAL IMPACTS	ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASPEC	CTS:	
Nature of impact: Occupational Health and Safety.	Activity: During the construction pha cautionary measures are no communities, construction	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.			
Magnitude:	8	4	8	4	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	1	1	1	1	-
Irreplaceable:	3	2	3	2	-
Reversibility:	3	3	3	3	-
Probability:	3	2	3	2	-
Total SP:	51	24	51	24	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Ensure that PPE is avail</li> <li>Adhere to the Occupati</li> <li>Keep the first aid kit store</li> <li>Issue all workers with m</li> <li>Potentially hazardous a</li> <li>Appropriate signage m</li> </ul>	N/A			

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout A	Iternative 2	No-Go Alternative
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative
	<ul> <li>without Authorisation;</li> <li>Regular safety inspective equipment;</li> <li>All construction Person</li> <li>There must be a Safety</li> <li>The Contractor must hat</li> <li>The Contractor must instruction</li> </ul>				
Nature of impact:					No construction phase impacts
The creation of job	Activity:				are associated with the no-go
opportunities during the	The construction period will	create a few job opportunitie	es for individuals residing in th	ne area of Blue Hills.	alternative thus no assessment
construction phase.				Γ	has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	2	2	2	2	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-
Extent:	3	3	3	3	-
Irreplaceable:	0	0	0	0	-
Reversibility:	0	0	0	0	-
Probability:	4	5	4	5	-
Total SP:	28	35	28	35	-
Significance rating:	L (+)	L (+)	L (+)	L (+)	-
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Where reasonable and implement a "local first</li> <li>The recruitment select wherever possible, part</li> </ul>	N/A			
Nature of impact:	Activity:				No construction phase impacts
Disturbance to	All construction activities w	vill cause disturbance to the	community around the are	a. Managing the welfare of a	are associated with the no-go
Communities	significant number of worke	ers is inevitably a mayor chall	lenge, and the co-existence o	f multiple Contractor crews of	alternative thus no assessment
communities	workers from diverse ethnic	and geographic backgrounds	s can be problematic.		has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	8	6	8	6	-
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	ative 1 Layout Alternative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1	1	-
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-
Probability:	5	4	5	4	-
Total SP:	70	48	70	48	-
Significance rating:	М	М	М	М	-
Cumulative impact:	М	М	М	М	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>The RE is to establish a help ensure a speedy sa</li> <li>The Contractor will b implementing the speci</li> <li>Where grievances occurred grievance as effectively</li> <li>The Contractor shall keen &gt; All contact de itself;</li> <li>&gt; The investigati &gt; Actions taken a &gt; Any follow-up</li> <li>Copies of complaints red</li> </ul>	N/A			

Planning, design and	Layout Al	ternative 1	Layout Alternative 2		No Go Altornativo
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative
Nature of impact:Damage and destructionofvertebratefossilsduringexcavationactivities.	Activity: Excavation activities can res or loss can occur if the corr	sult in the discovery of cultura ect procedures are not follow	l and historical artefacts bene ed.	ath the earth surface. Damage	No construction phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	2	2	2	2	-

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout A	Iternative 2	No Co Altornativo		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative		
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-		
Extent:	1	0	1	0	-		
Irreplaceable:	3	1	3	1	-		
Reversibility:	3	1	3	1	-		
Probability:	3	3	3	3	-		
Total SP:	33	18	33	18	-		
Significance rating:	L	L	L	L	-		
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	-		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Should any heritage for ceramics, any articles or rock art and rock engration vicinity of the finding assess the finds, and the Heritage remains uncomapproval has been obtained approval has been obtained approval has been obtained.</li> <li>Excavations must be lined.</li> <li>All operations of excavations in the event of obvoor Mitigation measured.</li> <li>The area in a 50 metail</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Should any heritage resources (including but not limited to fossil bones, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts or bone remains, structures and other built features, rock art and rock engravings) be exposed during excavation for the purpose of construction, construction in the vicinity of the finding must be stopped. A trained Palaeontologist or Heritage Specialist must be notified to assess the finds, and this must then be reported to the applicable Heritage Authority;</li> <li>Heritage remains uncovered or disturbed during earthworks must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from the Heritage Authority. A registered Heritage Specialist must be called to the site for inspection and removal once Authority to do so, has been given;</li> <li>Excavations must be limited to the footprint area and be maintained in a narrow corridor;</li> <li>All operations of excavation equipment must be made aware of the possibility of the occurrence of sub-surface heritage features and the following procedures must be followed: <ul> <li>All construction in the immediate 50 m vicinity radius of the site must cease;</li> <li>The heritage practitioner must be informed as soon as possible;</li> <li>In the event of obvious human remains SAPS must be notified;</li> <li>Mitigation measures (such as refilling, etc.) must not be attempted;</li> <li>The area in a 50 m radius of the find must be cordoned off with hazard tape; and</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout A	lternative 2	No-Go Alternative			
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative			
POTENTIAL VISUAL IMPACTS:								
Nature of impact:	Activity:				No construction phase impacts			
Impact on the sense of	The movement of constru	action vehicles, machinery a	and personnel on site shall	result in a visual impact on	are associated with the no-go			

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1 Layout Alternative 2				No Go Altornativo	
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative	
place for surrounding	surrounding users. Furtheri	more to this, the storage of	materials and excavation sha	Il result in disturbance and an	alternative thus no assessment	
users.	unsightly character.				has been undertaken.	
Magnitude:	4	2	4	2	-	
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-	
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-	
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1	1	-	
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-	
Probability:	5	3	5	3	-	
Total SP:	50	24	50	24	-	
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-	
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Access roads are to be of vehicle movement;</li> <li>Site offices and structu Roofs should be grey ar</li> <li>Construction camps as</li> <li>Lights within the constr</li> <li>Litter should be strictly and,</li> <li>Avoid shiny materials i prevent glare.</li> </ul>	L       -       L       -         Access roads are to be kept clean and dust suppression techniques should be implemented to minimise impacts of vehicle movement;       Site offices and structures should be limited to one location and carefully situated to reduce visual intrusions.         Roofs should be grey and non-reflective;       Construction camps as well as development areas must be screened with netting;         Lights within the construction camp must face directly down (angle of 180°);       Litter should be strictly controlled, as the spread thereof through wind could have a very negative visual impact; and,         Avoid shiny materials in structures. Where possible shiny metal structures should be darkened or screened to				

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout A	Layout Alternative 2	
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative
Nature of impact:					No construction phase impacts
Noise nuisance	Activity:				are associated with the ne ge
generated by	The operating of vehicles a	nd machinery on site results ir	n the generation of noise distu	Irbing users of the surrounding	alternative thus no assessment
construction works,	area.				has been undertaken
vehicles and personnel.					
Magnitude:	8	4	8	4	-

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout A	ternative 2	No Go Altornativo	
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative	
Duration:	2	2	2	2	-	
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-	
Irreplaceable:	3	2	3	2	-	
Reversibility:	2	2	2	2	-	
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-	
Total SP:	68	36	68	36	-	
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-	
Cumulative impact:	М	L	М	L	-	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Should multiple activities result in the excessive generation of noise, it must be strived to coordinate the incidence of these at the same site;</li> <li>Limit working hours of noisy equipment to daylight;</li> <li>No unnecessary hooting by project and resident vehicles;</li> <li>Any complaints received by the Contractor regarding noise will be recorded and communicated to the Environmental Officer;</li> <li>All stationary noisy equipment such as compressors and pumps should be contained behind acoustic covers, screens or sheds where possible;</li> <li>The regular inspection and maintenance of equipment must be undertaken to ensure that all components is functioning optimally;</li> <li>Where recurrent use of machinery is frequent, machines should be shut down during intermediate periods;</li> <li>Fit silencers to equipment;</li> <li>Unless otherwise specified by the DEO, normal work hours will apply (i.e. from 06:30 to 17:00, Mondays to Fridays);</li> <li>Ensure that Employees and staff conduct themselves in an acceptable manner while on site, both during work hours and after hours; and.</li> </ul>					

Impacts that may result from the operational phase (briefly describe and compare the potential impacts (as appropriate), significance rating of impacts, proposed mitigation and significance rating of impacts after mitigation that are likely to occur as a result of the operational phase.

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		No Go Alternativo
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		No Co Altornativo		
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
	PC	<b>TENTIAL IMPACT ON GEO</b>	<b>GRAPHICAL AND PHYSIC</b>	AL ASPECTS			
Nature of impact: Handling of general waste materials on the development site.	<b>Activity:</b> The operation of a school activities such as paper disp	will result in the generation of osal etc.	of waste materials by schola	rs as well as daily operational	Due to the development not being developed no waste will be generated.		
Magnitude:	6	2	6	2	-		
Duration:	4	4	4	4	-		
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-		
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	2	-		
Reversibility:	1	0	1	0	-		
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-		
Total SP:	60	30	60	30	-		
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-		
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-		-		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>An adequate number o</li> <li>Waste sorting and sep waste paper, glass and</li> <li>Keep all work sites inclu</li> <li>All domestic waste is (Zuikerbosch Landfill sit</li> <li>Care should be taken tarpaulin can be utilised</li> <li>The burning or burying this is regarded as haza</li> <li>Waste must be stored w</li> <li>Minimise waste by sort</li> <li>A bi-weekly litter patro</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>An adequate number of scavenger proof litter bins are to be placed throughout the site;</li> <li>Waste sorting and separation bins should be placed at all public facilities, to encourage scholars to dispose waste paper, glass and general waste separately;</li> <li>Keep all work sites including storage areas, offices and classrooms neat and tidy;</li> <li>All domestic waste is to be removed from site and disposed of at a registered solid waste landfill site (Zuikerbosch Landfill site) as mentioned in the Basic Assessment Report;</li> <li>Care should be taken to ensure that no waste fall of disposal vehicles on-route to the landfill. If needed, a tarpaulin can be utilised;</li> <li>The burning or burying of solid waste on site is prohibited. Do not burn PVC pipes or other plastic materials, as this is regarded as hazardous waste;</li> <li>Waste must be stored within a designated area as far as possible;</li> <li>Minimise waste by sorting wastes into recyclable and non-recyclable waste; and,</li> </ul>					
Nature of impact: Traffic impacts associated with the movement of vehicles within the development area.	<b>Activity:</b> The regular movement of ve	Activity: The regular movement of vehicles within the development area may result in the disruption of traffic flow patterns.					
Magnitude:	8	6	8	6	-		
Duration:	4	4	4	4	-		

Onerational Phase	Layout Alternative 1 Layout Alternative 2				No Co Alternativo
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	2	-
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-
Probability:	4	3	5	4	-
Total SP:	68	45	85	60	-
Significance rating:	М	М	MH	М	-
Cumulative impact:	MH	МН	н	MH	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>All gravel roads in the in</li> <li>The Witbos Street and I</li> <li>The proposed extension from the R55/Summit F</li> <li>Adequate signage infor</li> <li>A stop sign must be pla consideration;</li> <li>U-turns within the acce</li> <li>Adequate parking must reserve.</li> </ul>	N/A			
Nature of impact: Surface and groundwater contamination from the School.	Activity: Surface and groundwater ca	n become contaminated due	to operation of the School Fa	cility.	No operational phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	4	2	4	2	-
Duration:	4	4	4	4	-
Extent:	3	2	3	2	-
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	2	-
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-
Total SP:	56	33	56	33	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	М	L	М	L	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>It should be ensured th Should it happen that a</li> </ul>	at all associated infrastructure pipe is blocked/leaking it mus	e (sewerage pipes) operate wi st be reported to the Municip	thin their design measures. ality at once to ensure that	N/A

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		No Co Alternative
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative
	effluent does not drair				
	• The waste area must b				
	All effluent generated				
	Stormwater should be				
	and not into any natur	al canals.			

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout A	No Go Altornativo	
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative
		POTENTIAL IMPACTS	ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASPEC	TS:	
Nature of impact:	Activity:				No construction phase impacts
Occupational Health and	During the operational pha	se, accidents, occupational d	seases, ill health and damage	e to property can occur if pre-	are associated with the no-go
Safety	cautionary measures are i	not taken. Routine upkeep	of the facilities, such as mo	owing, may lead to increased	alternative thus no assessment
Salety.	accidents among staff or pu	pils.			has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	6	2	6	2	-
Duration:	4	4	4	4	-
Extent:	1	1	1	1	-
Irreplaceable:	2	1	2	1	-
Reversibility:	3	1	3	1	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-
Total SP:	64	27	64	27	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	-
	Ensure that PPE is avail	able to Personnel;			
	Adhere to the Occupation	ional Health and Safety Act;			
	• Keep the first aid kit sto				
	Issue all workers with n	ecessary health and safety ite	ems;		
Proposed Mitigation:	Potentially hazardous a	reas must be demarcated wit	h danger tape;		N/A
	Appropriate signage m	nust be placed to caution E	mployees and Contractors ne	ot to enter certain structures	
	without Authorisation;	and,			
	Regular safety inspecti	ons must be conducted to e	nsure that participants are e	quipped with necessary safety	
	equipment.				

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		No-Go Alternative			
Operational Fliase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative			
Nature of impact:								
Operational Activities	Activity							
may have a positive	During the operational pha	se of the proposed developm	ent employment and husines	s opportunities will be created	development not take place, no			
impact on the local and	for the Local Community of	Blue Hills	ent employment and busines	s opportunities will be created	employment or business			
regional socio-economic	for the local community of	blue milis.			opportunities will be created.			
conditions.								
Magnitude:	4		4		4			
Duration:	4		4		4			
Extent:	2		2		2			
Irreplaceable:	0		0		0			
Reversibility:	0	N/A	0	N/A	0			
Probability:	5		5		5			
Total SP:	50		50		50			
Significance rating:	M (+)		M (+)		М			
Cumulative impact:	-		-		-			
Proposed Mitigation:	Mitigation measures ar	e not applicable as the impact	t is positive.		N/A			

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		No-Go Alternative
	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-do Alternative
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON NOISE:					
Nature of impact:Noisenuisancegeneratedbyvehiclesandmaintenancepersonnel.	Activity: Noise nuisance that may be created by maintenance work conducted on the proposed facilities as well as the presence of personnel on site.				No operational phase impacts are associated with the no-go alternative thus no assessment has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	6	2	6	2	-
Duration:	4	4	4	4	-
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	2	-
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-
# Basic Assessment Report: Curro Midrand

Operational Phase	Layout Alt	Layout Alternative 1 Layout Alternative 2		No-Go Alternative	
operational r hase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative
Total SP:	60	33	60	33	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-
	• Limit working hours of				
	Unless otherwise specif	fied, normal working hours wi	ll apply (i.e. from 07:00 to 17:	00, Mondays to Fridays);	
Proposed Mitigation:	• Ensure that Employees	and staff conduct themselve	s in an acceptable manner w	hile on site, both during work	N/A
	hours and after hours;	and,			
	No loud music is permit	tted on site.			
Nature of impact:	Activity:				No operational phase impacts
Noise nuisance	Noise nuisance that may be	created by pupils during spor	ts activities as well as cheerin	g from supporters during	are associated with the no-go
generated by sports	snorts matches	created by pupils during spor	is detivities as well as encerning		alternative thus no assessment
activities.	sports matches.				has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	8	4	8	4	-
Duration:	4	4	4	4	-
Extent:	2	2	2	2	-
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	2	-
Reversibility:	1	1	1	1	-
Probability:	4	3	4	3	-
Total SP:	68	39	68	39	-
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	-
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-	-
	• Limit working hours of				
	<ul> <li>Avoid scheduling of school events after 22:00 during week days and on Sundays;</li> </ul>				
Proposed Mitigation:	• No use of a PA system	or loud music is to be permitte	ed after 22:00 on weekdays o	r on Sundays; and,	N/A
	Ensure that Employees	and staff conduct themselves	in an acceptable manner wh	ile on site, both during work	
	hours and after hours.				

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		No Go Altornativo	
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation		
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON VISUAL ASPECT:						

Operational Phase	Layout Alt	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2	
Operational Flase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative
Nature of impact:	Activity				No operational phase impacts
Impact on the sense of	The development of the pro-	pased sports facilities will ca	use a visual intrusion to obser	wars within a 100 mater radius	are associated with the no-go
place for surrounding	(100 m) radius from the pro	alternative thus no assessment			
users.	(100 m) radius nom the pro	posed development.			has been undertaken.
Magnitude:	4	2	4	2	-
Duration:	4	4	4	4	-
Extent:	1	1	1	1	-
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	2	-
Reversibility:	4	4	4	4	-
Probability:	5	3	5	3	-
Total SP:	75	39	75	39	-
Significance rating:	MH	L	MH	L	-
Cumulative impact:	М	L	М	L	-
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Avoid shiny materials i prevent glare;</li> <li>Ensure facilities are wel</li> <li>Mitigation to minimise         <ul> <li>Shielding the source</li> <li>Limit mounting hei</li> <li>Make use of down</li> <li>Make use of minim</li> <li>Use motion sensor</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	N/A			

List any specialist reports that were used to fill in the above tables. Such reports are to be attached in the appropriate Appendix.

The following Specialist Reports have been incorporated into the impact ratings above:

- Ecological Impact Assessment;
- Traffic impact Assessment; and,
- Heritage Impact Assessment.

Describe any gaps in knowledge or assumptions made in the assessment of the environment and the impacts associated with the proposed development.

#### Basic Assessment Report: Curro Midrand

The processes of investigation which have led to the production of this report, harbours several assumptions, which include the following:

- All information provided by the applicant and engineering design team to the environmental specialist was correct and valid at the time that it was provided;
- The proposed project footprint as provided by the engineering design team is correct and will not be significantly deviated from.
- Strategic level investigations undertaken by the Applicant prior to the commencement of the BA process, determined that the development site represents a potentially suitable and technically acceptable location;
- The Public will receive a fair and reoccurring opportunity to participate and comment during the BA process, through the provision of adequate Public Participation timeframes stipulated in the Regulations;
- The need and desirability of the project is based on strategic national, provincial and local plans and policies which reflect the interests of both statutory and public viewpoints;
- The BA process is a project-level framework and the specialists are limited to assessing the anticipated environmental impacts associated with the construction and operational phases of the proposed project
- Strategic level decision making is conducted through cooperative governance principles with the consideration of sustainable and responsible development principles underpinning all decision making.

Given that a BA involves prediction, uncertainty forms an integral part of the process. Two types of uncertainty are associated with the BA process, namely process-related and prediction-related.

- Uncertainty of prediction is critical at the data collection phase as final certainty will only be obtained upon implementation of the proposed development. Adequate research, experience and expertise may minimise this uncertainty;
- Uncertainty of values depicts the approach assumed during the BA process, while final certainty will be determined at the time of decision making. Enhanced communication and widespread/comprehensive coordination can lower uncertainty;
- Uncertainty of related decision relates to the interpretation and decision making aspect of the BA process, which shall be appeased once monitoring of the project phases is undertaken.

The EIA process is being undertaken prior to the availing of certain information which would be derived from the project design and feasibility studies. As such, technical aspects included herein derive from a range of sources including pre-feasibility engineering and through personal communication with the design team. Given that the EIA process is one of several investigations being done, milestones and key outputs for each of these may not always be available for integration into the EIA process. As such, the GDARD and other commenting and decision-making Authorities are required to generate their decisions based on the information available to the study at the time, whilst measures can be adopted to manage any changes as conditions within decisions made.

Enviroworks is an independent environmental consulting firm and as such, all processes and attributes of the EIA are addressed in a fair and unbiased fashion. It is believed that through the running of a transparent and participatory process, risks associated with assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge can be, and were, minimised.

# 5.3 IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE DECOMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASE

Briefly describe and compare the potential impacts (as appropriate), significance rating of impacts, proposed mitigation and significance rating of impacts after mitigation that are likely to occur as a result of the decommissioning and closure phase for the various alternatives of the proposed development. This must include an assessment of the significance of all impacts.

The Activity will not be decommissioned in the future and therefore the proposed impacts thereof have not been assessed.

List any specialist reports that were used to fill in the above tables. Such reports are to be attached in the appropriate Appendix. N/A

Where applicable indicate the detailed financial provisions for rehabilitation, closure and ongoing post decommissioning management for the negative environmental impacts. N/A

# 5.4 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Describe potential impacts that, on their own may not be significant, but is significant when added to the impact of other activities or existing impacts in the environment. Substantiate response:

The following cumulative impacts have been identified by the Environmental Assessment Practitioner taking into account impacts as identified by the Appointed Specialist as well.

### 1. Construction Phase:

Due to numerous residential developments taking place within close proximity of the proposed development topsoil removal and soil erosion if not mitigated will have a low cumulative impact. It must be ensured that all mitigation measures are implemented which will limit the development contributing to the cumulative impact. As the area consists of open fields surrounded by high density residential areas, waste management will be of critical importance. If waste is not management correctly it can be transported via mediums such as wind and water polluting the area even further. If Curro Holdings implement the necessary mitigation measures the proposed development will not contribute to the cumulative impact. Although no drainage lines exist on site, contamination of surface and groundwater must be managed as two drainage lines are present within close proximity of the proposed development. If managed correctly as stipulated within the Environmental Management Plan the development will not contribute to the cumulative impact. The biggest cumulative impact contribution will be towards traffic movement in the area, especially alternative 1 as it will be situated in close proximity to the Summit/R55 crossing. If mitigation measures are not implemented the preferred access route will contribute to traffic to the Olifantsfontein Road/African View Drive Crossing.

The development will contribute to vegetation clearance as the site is approximately eight hectares (8 ha); however, not the entire eight hectares (8 ha) will be developed and landscaping will form part of the construction phase, limiting the cumulative impact to pre-mitigation. As per the Ecological Impact Assessment no endangered or protected plant species are present on site. If mitigation are not implemented it is guaranteed that Alien and Invasive Species will spread to the site; however, mitigation measures are provided for the eradication of Alien and Invasive species. As the exclusive Blue Valley Golf Estate is situated directly adjacent to the proposed development construction activities, dust and noise can become a potential nuisance to the estate. It is of critical importance to ensure that a formal grievance mechanism is implemented on site to ensure that all aspects are managed correctly and where necessary adjusted in order not to cause any nuisance to the Residents of the estate.

## 2. Operational Phase Impacts:

The highest cumulative impact associated with the operational phase of the proposed development will be traffic impacts associated with the movement of vehicles within the development area. The preferred alternative will have a Moderate High impact pre-mitigation and alternative a High impact pre-mitigation; due to the congestion of Olifantsvlei Road and Summit/R55 roads. If mitigation measures are implemented the impact will be lower but only marginally. Curro Holdings will need to meet with JRA in order to determine the requirements for the proposed access road. Surface and groundwater can become contaminated due to service delivery infrastructure; however, the school must maintain the system and as such the cumulative impact will be low. Due to the area consisting of natural veld, the development thereof may have a negative visual impact on the surrounding estates; however, the area does not have a high scenic nor cultural value and as such the visual impact will have a low cumulative impact on surrounding landowners.

# 5.5 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Taking the assessment of potential impacts into account, please provide an environmental impact statement that sums up the impact that the proposal and its alternatives may have on the environment after the management and mitigation of impacts have been taken into account with specific reference to types of impact, duration of impacts, likelihood of potential impacts actually occurring and the significance of impacts.

## 5.5.1 PROPOSAL

If all mitigation measures are implemented as listed within the Environmental Management Plan, impacts during the construction phase will be low except for surface and groundwater contamination and disturbance to communities. During the construction of such a facility surface and groundwater contamination can take place as the construction period will be short term with a moderate potential for the loss of irreplaceable resources. It is important that proactive management is followed on site and as such all hazardous materials must be stored within a bund area, drip trays must be placed beneath stationary construction equipment and should any spillages occur on site it should be contained immediately and cleaned up. It is important that the Resident Engineer establish a formal grievance mechanism during the construction phase and all complaints must be addressed in a timeously manner and feedback must be provided to the adjacent landowners. During the operational phase all impacts will be low if mitigation measures are implemented, the only impact that will be moderate is the movement of traffic through the immediate vicinity. Mitigation measures are in place; however, the Johannesburg Roads Agency will be consulted with in order to obtain their comments and recommendations.

## 5.5.2 ALTERNATIVE 1

Alternative 1 does not differ much in terms of impact ratings when compared to those of the Preferred Alternative. The only change that occurs between the alternatives is with regard to traffic management in the construction and operational phase as the alternative access road will be longer in distance. As mentioned above the Johannesburg Roads Agency will be consulted with in order to obtain their comments and recommendations. Alternative 1 is not considered as the preferred due to the reasons as listed under the alternative section.

## 5.5.3 NO-GO (COMPULSORY)

The no-go option will result in the Curro Castle School not being developed. As per the IDP of the City of Johannesburg, education plays an important role in advancing human capital. The proposed school will serve the residential areas in close proximity and will take the load of the Department of Education to develop a school. Furthermore, should the proposed development not be developed, the employment opportunities associated with the development will be lost. The proposed development would not have been recommended if it was found that the construction thereof might cause substantial detrimental harm to the environment; however, all impacts can be mitigated to an acceptable level. It is thus due to the aforementioned that the no-go option is considered to be undesirable.

# 5.6 IMPACT SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSAL OR PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

Two layout alternatives of the access road have been considered. Alternative 1 will have less of an impact on the traffic network of the surrounding roads. The impact ratings for the two layout alternatives are summarized below.

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout Alternative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON GEOGRAPHICAL AND PHYSICAL ASPECTS:					
Nature of impact: Negative impact of haphazard placement of site infrastructure on the environment.	Activity: The establishment of ensure that the poor p result in the damage or	a main site office and s lacement of materials a pollution to surrounding	storage site during the cond nd infrastructure will be a g areas caused by construct	onstruction period will voided. This could also ction activities.	

#### 5.6.1 Construction Phase Impact Summary:

```
<u>May 2019</u>
```

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout Alternative 2	
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-
Nature of impact:	Activity:			
Topsoil Removal and	The clearing of topsoil	and excavation for the e	establishment of building f	oundations may result
Soil Erosion	in the destruction of fe	rtile topsoil.		
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-
Nature of impact:				
Surface and				
groundwater				
contamination due to	A - 41 - 14 - 1			
construction activities				
such as the use of	Spills could possibly oc	cur on site and lead to th	e contamination of soil and	a groundwater.
hazardous materials				
on site e.g. fuel and				
oil.				
Significance rating:	MH	М	MH	М
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-
Nature of impact:	a			
Handling of general	Activity:			
waste materials on	The presence of perso	nnel and construction o	perations on site will incr	ease the likelihood of
the development site.	littering and the dumpi	ng of solid waste.		
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-
Nature of impact:	Activity:			
Increased risk of veld	Due to the presence o	f construction personnel	in natural areas, fires can	occur if not managed
fires.	to the correct standard			
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-
Nature of impact:				
Destruction of fauna	Activity			
and flora associated	Activity:	alaa an sita may rasult i	n the destruction of high	versity compaction of
with the movement of	valuable tensoil and m	cies on site may result i	in the destruction of block	versity, compaction of
construction vehicles	valuable topsoil and me	ortalities of fauna on site		
on site.				
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-
Nature of impact:				
Traffic impacts	Activity:			
associated with the	The movement of veh	icles in the vicinity of th	ne construction site may o	ause damage to road
movement of	surfaces as well as incr	ease in the traffic volume	e within the Blue Hills area	
construction vehicle.				
Significance rating:	М	L	MH	М
Cumulative impact:	М	-	Н	MH
Planning, design and	Lavout Alt	ernative 1	Lavout Alte	rnative 2

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS:					

```
<u>May 2019</u>
```

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout Alte	rnative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation		
Nature of impact: Direct impact on	Activity:					
vegetation during	The construction of sev	The construction of several permanent structures on site will result in the loss of vegetation due				
construction and loss	to foundation excavation	on.		-		
of species.						
Significance rating:	MH	L	MH	L		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-		
Nature of impact:						
Alien invasive species	Activity:					
establishment within	Alien Invasive Species	present on the site ma	y spread and establish wi	thin the development		
the development	area.					
area.						
Significance rating:	М	L (+)	М	L (+)		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-		
Nature of impact:	Activity:					
Surface material	Removal of vegetation	and excavation activities	s will result in the site bein	g prone to the erosion		
erosion.	of surface material.					
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-		
Nature of impact:	Activity:					
Dust nuisance	The frequent upwelling	g of dust as consequence	e of the movement of vehi	cles and machinery on		
generated by the	site may impact on wo	orker health causing asth	nma and other respiratory	conditions. Stockpiles		
operation of	are susceptible to the	upwelling of fine particu	late matter. Several ambie	ent factors, the terrain		
machinery and	characteristics, soil type	e and land use forms can	attribute to the degree of	floss and susceptibility		
vehicles.	of stockpiles towards the	ne generation of dust. Re	egular watering of exposed	I surfaces may result in		
	the reduction of wind-	generated dust from stoc	kpiles.			
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-		
Nature of impact:	Activity:					
Fauna will be directly	The construction of fac	filities will result in some	habitat loss for resident i	auna, as some species		
impacted as a result of	will occur within the af	fected areas. In addition	, increased levels of noise,	pollution, disturbance		
construction activities	and human presence of	during construction will	be detrimental to residen	t fauna. Sensitive and		
and human presence	sny fauna may move a	way from the area durin	g the construction phase a	as a result of the hoise		
at the site.	and numan activities	present, while some sid	ow-moving species (such	as mole rats or blind		
Significance rating:	silakes) would not be a			e killeu.		
Significance rating:	L	L		L		
Cumulative impact.	IVI	L	IVI	L		
Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout Alte	ernative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation		
	POTENTIAL IM	PACTS ON SOCIO-ECON	OMIC ASPECTS:			
	Activity:					
Nature of impact:	During the construction	on phase, accidents, oc	cupational diseases, ill h	ealth and damage to		
Occupational Health	property can occur if p	pre-cautionary measures	are not taken. Increased	movement of vehicles		
and Safety.	may lead to increased	accidents among local	communities, constructio	n workers and vehicle		
	operators.	-				
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L		
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-		

Basic Assessment Report: Curro Midrand

```
<u>May 2019</u>
```

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout Alte	ernative 2
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation
Nature of impact:				
The creation of job	Activity:			
opportunities during	The construction period	d will create a few job op	portunities for individuals	residing in the area of
the construction	Blue Hills.			
phase.				
Significance rating:	L (+)	L (+)	L (+)	L (+)
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-
	Where reason	able and practical the	contractors appointed by	the applicant should
	appoint local contractors and implement a "local first" policy, especially for semi and low-skilled			
Proposed Mitigation:	job categories; and,			
rioposed witigation.	• The recruitment selection process should seek to promote gender equality and the			
	employment of women wherever possible, particularly for less labour-intensive work such as			
	flag bearing and supervision.			
	Activity:			
Nature of impact:	All construction activiti	es will cause disturbanc	e to the community arou	nd the area. Managing
Disturbance to	the welfare of a signif	icant number of worke	rs is inevitably a mayor o	challenge, and the co-
Communities	existence of multiple	Contractor crews of	workers from diverse e	thnic and geographic
	backgrounds can be problematic.			
Significance rating:	М	М	М	М
Cumulative impact:	М	М	М	М

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON CULTURAL-HISTORICAL ASPECTS:					
Nature of impact:					
Damage and	Activity:				
destruction of	Excavation activities ca	n result in the discovery	of cultural and historical	artefacts beneath the	
vertebrate fossils	earth surface. Damage	or loss can occur if the o	orrect procedures are not	followed	
during excavation	earth surface. Damage		offect procedures are not	ionoweu.	
activities.					
Significance rating:	L	L	L	L	
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-	

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout Alte	Layout Alternative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation		
POTENTIAL VISUAL IMPACTS:						
Nature of impact:	Activity:	Activity:				
Impact on the sense of	The movement of cons	truction vehicles, maching	nery and personnel on site	e shall result in a visual		
place for surrounding	impact on surrounding users. Furthermore to this, the storage of materials and excavation shall					
users.	result in disturbance and an unsightly character.					
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L		
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-		
	Access roads are to	o be kept clean and dust	suppression techniques s	hould be implemented		
	to minimise impacts of vehicle movement;					
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Site offices and str</li> </ul>	uctures should be limited	d to one location and care	fully situated to reduce		
	visual intrusions. Roofs should be grey and non-reflective;					
	Construction camps as well as development areas must be screened with netting;					
	• Lights within the co	onstruction camp must fa	ace directly down (angle of	f 180°);		

```
<u>May 2019</u>
```

Planning, design and	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2			
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation		
	<ul> <li>Litter should be strictly controlled, as the spread thereof through wind could have a v negative visual impact; and,</li> <li>Avoid shiny materials in structures. Where possible shiny metal structures should darkened or screened to prevent glare.</li> </ul>					

Planning, design and	Layout Alt	ernative 1	Layout Alternative 2		
construction phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON NOISE ASPECTS:					
Nature of impact:Noisenuisancegeneratedbyconstructionworks,vehiclesandpersonnel.	Activity: The operating of vehicles and machinery on site results in the generation of noise disturbing users of the surrounding area.				
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L	
Cumulative impact:	М	Ĺ	М	L	

## 5.6.2 Operational Impact Summary:

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2	
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation
	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ON GEOGRAPHICAL AND	PHYSICAL ASPECTS:	
Nature of impact: Handling of general waste materials on the development site. Significance rating:	Activity: The operation of a sch daily operational activi M	ool will result in the ger ties such as paper dispos	neration of waste material sal etc. M	s by scholars as well as L
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	
Nature of impact:Trafficimpactsassociatedwithmovementofvehicleswithinthedevelopmentarea.	Activity: The regular movement traffic flow patterns.	t of vehicles within the c	levelopment area may res	ult in the disruption of
Significance rating:	М	М	MH	М
Cumulative impact:	MH	MH	Н	MH
Nature of impact:Surfaceandgroundwatercontamination from theSchool.	Activity: Surface and groundwater can become contaminated due to operation of the School Facility.			
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	М	L	М	L

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2	
	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON SOCIO-ECONOMIC ASPECTS:				
Nature of impact:	Activity:			
Occupational Health	During the operational phase, accidents, occupational diseases, ill health and damage to property			
and Safety.	can occur if pre-cautio	nary measures are not	taken. Routine upkeep o	of the facilities, such as

```
<u>May 2019</u>
```

Operational Dhace	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2	
Operational Phase	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation
	mowing, may lead to increased accidents among staff or pupils.			
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	-	-	-	-
Nature of impact:				
Operational Activities may have a positive impact on the local and regional socio- economic conditions.	Activity: During the operation opportunities will be cr	al phase of the prop eated for the Local Com	osed development emp munity of Blue Hills.	loyment and business
Significance rating:	M (+)	N/A	M (+)	N/A
Cumulative impact:	-	, / (	-	,,,

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2	
	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON NOISE:				
Nature of impact:				
Noise nuisance	Activity:			
generated by vehicles	Noise nuisance that may be created by maintenance work conducted on the proposed facilities as			
and maintenance	well as the presence of personnel on site.			
personnel.				
Significance rating:	М	L	М	L
Cumulative impact:	L	-	L	-
Nature of impact:Noisenuisancegeneratedbysportsactivities.	Activity: Noise nuisance that may be created by pupils during sports activities as well as cheering from supporters during sports matches.			
Significance rating:	M	Ĺ	M	Ĺ
Cumulative impact:	Ĺ	-	Ĺ	-

Operational Phase	Layout Alternative 1		Layout Alternative 2	
	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation
POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON VISUAL ASPECTS:				
Nature of impact: Impact on the sense of place for surrounding users.	<b>Activity:</b> The development of th a 100 meter radius (100	e proposed sports facilit 0 m) radius from the pro	ies will cause a visual intru posed development.	usion to observers within
Significance rating:	MH	L	MH	L
Cumulative impact:	М	L	М	L

Having assessed the significance of impacts of the proposal and alternative(s), please provide an overall summary and reasons for selecting the proposal or preferred alternative.

The preferred layout of the access road will extend from African View Drive and will traverse a distance of seven hundred and thirty meters (730 m). As per the Traffic Impact Assessment conducted by BVi Consulting Engineers the road will have a two-lane configuration, with one (1) lane for incoming and outgoing vehicles respectively.

The proposed road will be situated at the following co-ordinates:

- Start Point: 25° 56' 30.31" S; 28° 06' 24.69" E;
- Mid Point: 25° 56′ 19.55″ S; 28° 06′ 29.63″ E;

• End Point: 25° 56' 07.51" S; 28° 06' 28.56" E.

## Advantages of the Preferred Layout:

- 1. The access road will traverse the shortest possible route;
- 2. The land that will be traversed is owned by Curro Holdings;
- 3. The access road won't put additional pressure on the traffic flow of Summit/R55 intersection; and,
- 4. Adequate turning lanes are already present at the Olifantsfontein/African View Drive intersection.

## Disadvantages of the Preferred Layout:

It can become a nuisance to residence using African View Drive as access road to their homes.

# 5.7 SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT TOOLS

Indicate the application of any spatial development tool protocols on the proposed development and the outcome thereof.

A change in land-use application must be submitted to the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality as well as building approval for the proposed Curro Castle. The Applications; however, can't be submitted for approval prior to the Environmental Authorisation being issued by the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development.

# **5.8 RECOMMENDATION OF THE PRACTITIONER**

Is the information contained in this report and the documentation attached hereto sufficient to make a decision in respect of the activity applied for (in the view of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner as bound by professional ethical standards and the code of conduct of EAPASA).

YES	
x	

If "NO", indicate the aspects that require further assessment before a decision can be made (list the aspects that require further assessment):

N/A

If "YES", please list any recommended conditions, including mitigation measures that should be considered for inclusion in any authorisation that may be granted by the competent authority in respect of the application:

- All mitigation measures must be adhered to as stipulated within the Environmental Management Plan;
- The proposed access route must be approved by Johannesburg Roads Agency;
- An ecological walkthrough must be conducted prior to the commencement of the project during the flowering period to ensure that no provincially- or naturally protected or significant species have been omitted;
- Construction activities should be confined within the development footprint and avoid disturbing vegetation beyond the borders of the development footprint;
- Suitable dust management and prevention measures during the construction phase must be implemented;
- Areas around the proposed project footprint must be adequately rehabilitated and landscaped;
- An integrated waste management plan must be developed for the facility;
- No open fires will be allowed on site, and demarcated smoking areas must be set out and indicated on the site layout plan;
- No animals may be killed, should snakes be discovered a trained person must be called upon to move them; and,
- All activities must be conducted as stipulated in the Method Statements.

## THE NEEDS AND DESIREBILITY OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (as per notice

792 of 2012, or the updated version of this guideline)

The Integrated Development Plan (IDP) of the City of Johannesburg 2018/2019, does not discuss the development. The IDP does; however, mention that the following as part of advancing human capital: "Although it is not a competency of local government, the City supports the production of knowledge, access to knowledge and education for all residents." Furthermore, it is noted in the IDP that Gauteng is the fastest growing province in the country and that enhancing education is one of the factors that improves human and social development.

According to the Spatial Development Framework 2040 for the City of Johannesburg (SDF), the proposed development falls beyond the Urban Development Boundary (UDB). Development outside of the UBD is to be limited, excluding the following ecological resource protection and management, food production, low intensity social services and amenities, agricultural related investment, leisure and tourism, and green energy initiatives. The SDF states the following as one of the criteria that developments outside the UBD are required to meet:

**"Social amenities:** Social amenities serving communities in close proximity and that cannot be accommodated within the Urban Development (including Schools, Clinics, Religious facilities) – the scale of these facilities will be considered carefully and may be more restricted than the development controls outlined below, especially schools and religious facilities."

The proposed development features the expansion of school facilities that cannot be placed within the adjacent UDB due to spatial constraints.

# THE PERIOD FOR WHICH THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION IS

**REQUIRED** (CONSIDER WHEN THE ACITIVTY IS EXPECTED TO BE CONCLUDED)

3 years as the development must be approved by the City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality.

**ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME (EMPR)** (must include post construction monitoring requirements and when these will be concluded.)

If the EAP answers "Yes" to Point 7 above then an EMP is to be attached to this report as an Appendix

EMPr attached

Yes

# **6** SECTION F: APPENDIXES

The following appendixes must be attached as appropriate (this list is inclusive, but not exhaustive):

Appendix A:	Site plan(s) – (must include a scaled layout plan of the proposed activities overlain on the site sensitivities indicating areas to be avoided including buffers)
Appendix B:	Photographs
Appendix C:	Facility Illustration(s)
Appendix D:	Route Position Information
Appendix E:	Public Participation Information
Appendix F:	Water Use License(s) Authorisation, SAHRA information, service letters from municipalities, water supply information
Appendix G:	Specialist Reports
Appendix H:	Environmental Management Program Report
Appendix I:	Other Information

It is required that if more than one item is enclosed that a table of contents is included in the appendix

# 7 CHECKLIST

To ensure that all information that the Department needs to be able to process this application, please check that:

- Where requested, supporting documentation has been attached;
- All relevant sections of the form have been completed.