WANSLEY SIYAKHULA (PTY) LTD

APPLICATION TO EXPAND THE EXISTING MINING RIGHT FOOTPRINT OF WANSLEY QUARRY

COMMENTS AND RESPONSE REPORT

DEPARTMENTAL REFERENCE NUMBER:

EC 30/5/1/2/2/228 MR

NOVEMBER 2020



NOTIFICATION OF SECTION 102 AMENDMENT APPLICATION TO STAKEHOLDERS AND I&APS DURING INITIAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PHASE

COMMENTING PERIOD: 11 SEPTEMBER – 13 OCTOBER 2020

During the initial public participation process the stakeholders and I&AP's were informed of the project by means of background information documents that were sent directly to the contact persons. A 30 days commenting period was allowed that expired 13 October 2020. The following table provides a list of the I&AP's and stakeholders that were informed of the project:

STAKEHOLDERS			
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Ms Nontyantyambo	Amathole District Municipality	11 September 2020	No Response Received
Mr Andile Sihlahla Ms Kholeka Sishuba	Buffalo City Metro Municipality	11 September 2020	No Response Received
Cllr Makhaya Bopi	Buffalo City Metro Municipality – Ward 15	11 September 2020	No Response Received
Mr Cira Ngetu Mr Briant Noncembu	Department of Economic Development, Environmental Affairs and Tourism	11 September 2020	No Response Received
Mr Yawa	Department of Labour	11 September 2020	No Response Received

STAKEHOLDERS				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
Mr MD Qwase	Department of Public Works	11 September 2020	No Response Received	
Mrs ZB Makina	Department of Rural Development and Agrarian Reform	11 September 2020	No Response Received	
Mr Babini Mbewu	Department of Rural Development and Land Reform	11 September 2020	No Response Received	
Mr J Mhlomi	Department of Transport	11 September 2020	No Response Received	
Me Molepo Khuthadzo	Department of Human Settlements, Water and Sanitation	11 September 2020	14 September 2020	
Me Molepo Khuthadzo registered the	DWS as an commenting stakeholder on the 14 th of Septer	mber 2020.		
Greenmined acknowledged receipt of the registration on 14 September 2020 and will provide the DWS with a copy of the DSR for their perusal.				
Mr Aphelele Tomsana	Eskom	11 September 2020	No Response Received	

STAKEHOLDERS			
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Me Chumisa Njingana	SANRAL	11 September 2020	No Response Received
SAHRIS on-line system	SAHRA	11 September 2020	No Response Received

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES			
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
Warren Farms CC Mr P Warren	Neighbours: ♦ Portion 1 of Farm No 653	11 September 2020	No Response Received
Mette Pi la Cour Nielsen	Neighbour: ♦ Portion 15 of Farm No 652	11 September 2020	08 October 2020

Comments received during the initial public participation phase:

"As a neighbour to the existing quarry my husband and I are worried about the proposed extension. I have gathered some thoughts and questions regarding the proposed extension and would like more information as the background information seemed vague on many important points. Please know that even with more information we both object to this extension. We bought our farm to live on a small farm surrounded by nature and the proposed size of the quarry will make it impossible. The quarry has been

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
working at odd hours of the day and in its regulations.	weekends. One of my main worries as a neighbour is how a	and who is going to monitor the opera	ation, since it's already not followin		
Please note the following concerns and	I questions:				
1. The Listed Activities triggered by constructed?	the proposed extension note the construction of a road	kindly indicate on a plan where th	he expected roads will be place		
	ne proposed extension note the construction of dams/ weirs,	kindly indicate on a plan where the	expected dam/ weirs will be place		
	. It appears from aerial imagery that the extension area has been recently burnt. Please confirm if this was routine burning or uncontrolled fire? Please describe the				
4. Please elaborate, if one can at this	Please elaborate, if one can at this stage, proposed operational times should the mining right be approved? Further to this, please clarify times that trucks will utilise haul roads, blasting times, crushing and screening times etc?				
Please clarify management measures that are and will be in place to mitigate dust emanating from haul roads and crushing and screening operations?					
Will there be an independent organisation that will routinely monitor compliance with the various approvals? And also, has there been audits done to date on the current mining operations and the associated compliance of such with the current approvals? It must be noted that the BID clearly shows the current mining approved mining area (in red) having been exceeded by the mining operations. It is concerning that the current approved area has been exceeded of which the likelihood of the extended mining area being exceeded is a potential risk that should be addressed with routine compliance audits.					
The BID notes that the extent of the proposed extension area is ±37.8575 ha. This is significantly larger than the current footprint. Has the proponent investigated any alternatives (site and layout)? We would like to propose that the proponent presents alternatives for the extension.					
The activities are taking place on Portion 1 of Farm 652. The extent of the property is approximately 133 ha. The area to be mined will comprise almost 30% of the property. Is there a requirement for the area where the property is to be mined to be rezoned and or/ subdivided for a specific land use? Or a departure from the land use be required for the duration of the mining licence? It is assumed the property has an agricultural zoning and the mining operations on the specific portion of the property don't comprise agricultural zoning activities.					
9. Whilst we note the 2012 vegetation vegetation map available (2018 ve	on map used identifies the area as falling within Albany Co ersion). This is the third and latest update to the original 2 ons were retained and additional portions of the 2006 map ha	006 Vegetation Map of South Africa,	, Lesotho and Swaziland. Change		

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
 information. This vegetation unit has or not) may have included such somitigation of encroaching onto potentiate it is noted that the various was licence in place seems risky was applications are at? 11. Given that the BID does not provide are located on. Placing additional in 	new vegetation type name that the site falls in is the as a range of endemic (to South Africa) species that are pecies. It is also noted that the extension area encroact entially protected (forest or non-forest) plant species is re- water use approvals/ registration processes are underwa when the current water uses have yet been approved. Pl le a location for the proposed dams, we are assuming th in stream structures to store water is expected to reduce the exact size of the dam as well as the locality of the da ten seen in this area:	often found in this vegetation type and the a hes on "intact" vegetated area and clarification quired. Ay, adding more dams and water uses when ease advise the stage of water use application e location of the dams are on the same drain water further from accessing the catchment of	rea that was burnt (intentionally on regarding the management/ there is no current valid water on phase that the current water page line that two existing dams	
habitat, breeding and movement of where vegetation is relatively thic	nder the Provincial Nature Conservation Ordinance and of the above species is requested to be investigated, es ik in some sections. It must be further noted that the f in to consideration when continuing the report."	pecially since the boundary of the extension	n area is encroaching on areas	
Greenmined's response to the above li	sted comments:			

"Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of, and thank you for your detailed correspondence received 08 October 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you both as Interested and Affected Parties on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal.

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
We acknowledge your concerns and have forwarded it to the project team for consideration and assessment. Our response to your concerns will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, that will also be available to you for commenting. All your comments will be included in the Draft Scoping Report and discussed and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will all be available for your perusal and commenting. Further to this, please feel free to send us your suggestions regarding operational hours."					
Boniface Trust Mr & Mrs Boniface	Neighbour: ♦ Portion 14 of Farm No 652	11 September 2020	28 September 2020		
Francois and Trevor Boniface objected	to the project and am concerned about noise, dust and	the speed/number of trucks.			
Response from Greenmined on 30 September 2020: "Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of your objection received 28 September 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected Party on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal. We acknowledge your concerns regarding the noise, dust and mining related traffic and have forwarded it to the project team. The access road and traffic impact has been identified as a matter of importance and the project team is in the process of investigating the best possible options. All your comments, and the findings of the project team will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, on which you will be able to comment."					
On 05 October 2020 the following additional comments were received: "Our property was purchased by us for the purpose of not only living out of town for the peace and quiet, but also for our exotic bird business, therefore we cannot accept the plans of extending the size of the quarry. When we first settled down, we had no concerns as the road was well maintained for our vehicles, but now the amount of trucks that are utilizing the W road are not only causing the road to worsen over time, but they are also driving irresponsibly as well as driving up and down late at night to sometimes early morning (this includes Saturdays and Sundays) which breaks our pattern of sleep and we have to work the next day. The noise, dust and no respect from					

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
the truck drivers are not acceptable. The constant up and down of the trucks are not only making our farm living noisy, but it is also depreciating the value of all of our lands and homes as it is no longer peaceful and well maintained. Apart from my family and I coming in to close contact and almost having accidents with these trucks, we cannot afford any farm animal to be on the road as it is too dangerous. As to Francois birds, they are very sensitive to loud noise as it is, we cannot have any birds stressed out as this will affect his business, we have invested up to R3 million for his birds as well as all the aviaries, we cannot allow any more noise and disruptions as this is a source of income for us. Farm living is supposed to provide us with the peace and tranquility that cannot be found in town, this extension will take the last bit of quiet that we have away from us, we should be looking forward to coming home and relaxing without constant noise and our animals cannot afford to be affected by any more blasting, noise and traffic by the trucks."					
Mr JF Page	Neighbour ♦ Portion 42 of Farm No 821	11 September 2020	No Response Received		
Mr PF Jonker	Neighbour ♦ Portion 44 of Farm No 821	11 September 2020	No Response Received		
Mrs PA Stapleton	Neighbour ♦ Portion 45 of Farm No 821	11 September 2020	16 September 2020		
Comments received during the initial public participation phase:					

"1. As I am the direct neighbour to the right of this quarry how are all these changes i.e. blasting, crushing and general noise etc going to impact on me and to the value of my property? I already hear work going on all hours and weekends.

2. The B Road, always in a shocking state with constant usage of huge trucks, this is a narrow road with many resident's living along it having to put up with a lot of dust, noise, arrogant drivers who have had and caused many accidents in the past and still do, and our vehicles that take huge strain. I want this road closed to these trucks as they have a perfectly good other option, the W road which is much wider and they seem to be able to keep it in a better condition i.e. grading it, and it is shorter and goes directly out on to the N6.

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
3. Safety and security, has also becc attractive."	ome a problem because this area has been opened up	to many undesirables which also think usi	ng these once unknown roads		
Greenmined's response to the comme	nts:				
"Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of, and thank you for your correspondence received 02 September 2020 (should have been October) on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected Party on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal. We acknowledge your concerns and have forwarded it to the project team. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, and on which you will be able to comment. Please note that your comments will be included in the Draft Scoping Report and discussed and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will all also be available for your perusal and commenting."					
Mr BG McMillan Lombardy Private Nature Reserve	Neighbour: ♦ Portion 15 of Farm No 652	11 September 2020	No Response Received		
Mr & Mrs Lennard	Interested and Affected Party ♦ Portion 41 of Farm No 821	11 September 2020	04 October 2020		
Response received during the initial participation process:					
"I absolutely object to the plans of extending the size of the quarry. Living on the W road has been nothing short of hell. The amount of crush and sabunga going out from the quarry is going to increase substantially. The amount of trucks using the road is going to increase as well. The trucks do not have any respect for the resident drivers,					

there have been quite a few near accidents. The speed the trucks drive is dangerous. As the trucks do not belong to the Quarry they do not really care what happens after a truck leaves their property. Even after being assured that matters would be attended to nothing does happen. Even us residents phoning the truck owners has had no effect on the speed limit driven by these trucks. These trucks also operate till late night hours sometimes only ending at 10 or 11pm. They are definitely removing sabunga. Coming in empty and going out full again. Trying to sleep is impossible. The noise and dust is appalling . This area is a lifestyle smallholding area, we live

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
here for peace and quiet, which is just impossible as the quarry has become so busy. We bought our properties for the lifestyle of living on farmland with our horses, ducks, goats, pigs and other farm animals. The first few years of living here were quite pleasant even though there were some rogue drivers we could at least ride our horses around the area. This cannot be done now unless you have a death wish. Our quality of life has gone down the drain. It's not pleasant living here anymore. Regarding the road used by the trucks and I can only comment on the W road as this is where I live. The dust created by these trucks is something terrible. Normal traffic does not travel at that speed so in that instance the dust is not a problem. The Quarry owners have only just recently made an effort to fix the road properly. Before that it resembled a cattle track. We once out of our own pockets paid to have someone grade and camber the road. That cost us R10,000 which I know is nothing but at least the road was good for a while. Once the quarry increases in size I cannot imagine how many trucks are going to be using the road to the N6. I don't need to spell it out to you what a disaster our lives will be. The amount of smallholding owners around the area of the Quarry are going to be badly affected. By the blasting, by the noise, by the traffic caused by the trucks."					
Greenmined's response to the objection received:					
"Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of, and thank you for your detailed correspondence received 06 October 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected Party on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal and commenting. We acknowledge your concerns and have forwarded it to the project team for their consideration and assessment. The access road and traffic impact have been identified as a matter of importance and the project team is in the process of investigating the best possible options. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA					

documents that will follow in due course, and on which you will be able to comment. The rest of your comments will also be included in the Draft Scoping Report and discussed and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will all be available for your perusal and commenting."

BJ Cilliers Boorkontrakteurs (Pty) Ltd	Interested and Affected Party	11 September 2020	13 October 2020
Mr D Cilliers	Portion 37 of Farm No 821		

Comments received during the initial public participation process:

"Me and my father live on Farm 73/821 on B Road which is roughly 500m from Wansley Quarry. I have read through the Background Information Document and I would just like to raise some concerns as summarised in my completed I&AP form:

	SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
	TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
2. 3. 4.						
"Gi apj pos coi and wh	be larger than the allocated size on the red polygon?" Response from Greenmined to the above listed comments: "Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of, and thank you for your detailed correspondence received 13 October 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected Party on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal and commenting. We acknowledge your concerns and have forwarded it to the project team. The access road and traffic impact have been identified as a matter of importance and the project team is in the process of investigating the best possible options. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, and on which you will be able to comment. The rest of your comments will also be included in the Draft Scoping Report and discussed and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will all be available for your perusal and commenting."					

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
Mr & Mrs Joubert	Interested and Affected Party ◆ Portion 74 of Farm No 821	11 September 2020	15 September 2020	
Comments received during the initial public participation process: "Why haven't all the residents living on the B Road not been notified of this study and how it will impact on them living here. This specific road is a "private Servitude" road for the residents living along the road. Surely they also have a say in this matter? Please revert back to me if you are needing e-mail addresses." Greenmined's response to the above sent on 16 September 2020: "Thus far the publishing of the proposed activity (initial public participation process) included the following:				
 an advertisement in The Rep, three A2 notices that were placed at: three A2 notices that were placed at: the turnoff from the N6 onto the MN10118 St, the turnoff from the R102 onto the B road, the T-junction where the MN10118 St and B road meets. Background Information Documents (BID's) that were send directly to the neighbouring landowners as well as a few additional residents in the area that we were requested to include; BID's that were send to all the relevant State Departments. 				
The reasoning behind the initial public participation process is to inform the public of the proposed project and allow a registration and commenting period. Each person that registers his/her interest in the project are listed on a register, and they will be kept informed throughout the entire EIA process that will follow. For ease of reference I have attached a copy of the BID and Project Map to this email. As mentioned on page 12 of the BID, we gladly invite you to provide us with the contact details of persons you feel should be contacted. Therefore, in answer to your question, we would highly appreciate it if you can provide us with the email addresses of the people you feel				

should be contacted.

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
the project team that will assess the va Basic Assessment Report (DBAR) tha be incorporated into the Final Basic As Additional response:	Regarding the B road – the use of the road has been highlighted by a few I&AP's (interested and affected parties). The matter has therefore been directed as a priority to the project team that will assess the various possibilities and propose the best possible option. The findings of the project team will be discussed and assessed in the Draft Basic Assessment Report (DBAR) that will be published for public review and commenting over a further 30-days period. The comments received on the DBAR will then be incorporated into the Final Basic Assessment Report that will be submitted to the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy for decision making." Additional response:				
"This project will not have a DBAR and Final Basic Assessment as mentioned earlier. We will incorporate the initial comments into the Draft Scoping Report that will be published for a 30 days commenting period, upon which the additional comments will be added to the Final Scoping Report (FSR). The FSR will then be submitted to the DMRE for decision making. Should DMRE approve the FSR, we will continue with the draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report (DEIAR) that will contain amongst others the findings of the specialists. The DEIAR will again be published for a 30 days commenting period and the comments received on the DEIAR will be incorporated into the Final EIAR that will be submitted to the DMRE for decision making. The matter of the access roads will be added to the DSR and FSR and will be assessed in detail in the DEIAR and FEIAR."					
Further comments received from Mrs Joubert on 29 September 2020: <i>"This is our issues regarding the Up grading of the Wansley Quarry</i>					
 Blasting, crushing and general no hours and weekends which never The B Road is in a shocking stat huge loads the tar has disintegra and down and has caused many fences due to brake failures, killin 	bise is going to make a huge impact on our property value was the case beforei.e. trucks up and down the B roa was the constant usage of huge trucks, The B road was ted and now it is just a huge disaster called a road!!!!!! v accidents to name but a fewwriting off a reside g pets in the road, almost writing me off a few times just come a problem because this area has been opened u	ad all the time originally a tarred road but due to the consta It is also has become very dangerous becau ents vehicle as they were turning out of the coming out of my drivewayI can go on a	nt trucks up and down carrying use of these trucks speeding up driveway, damaging numerous and on>		

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
Greenmined responded as listed below on 30 September 2020: "We will include your comments into the Scoping Report (next report), forward it to the project team (including specialists), and discuss and assess it in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will follow once the DMR accepts the Scoping Report and allows the Environmental Impact Assessment Process to continue (this is not an approval of the mining application yet). Both the Scoping Report and the Environmental Impact Assessment Report will be available for your perusal and commenting. I can also confirm that we have contracted the expertise of a road engineer that will be looking at both the B- and W roads and make recommendations regarding the traffic management of the access roads. The findings of the Traffic Impact Assessment will also be incorporated into the Environmental Impact Assessment Report."				
Mr AW Wild	Interested and Affected Party Portion 46 of Farm No 821 	11 September 2020	30 September 2020	
 Portion 46 of Farm No 821 Comments received during the initial public participation process: "2. The information supplied in the form of headings such as "QNR 324 Activity 4" are extremely vague and do not detail exactly what the intentions of the applicant are. I am concerned about any activity which will affect the water run off quantity and quality. This includes construction of dams / weirs, washing of mined product, movement of material from or into FEPA pronounced waterways. I would like more specific information to be made available. 3. It is noted that the existing mining licence gives authority for 5.2149 ha to be mined, but in fact mining is taking place over an area of some 11 plus ha, which surely places Wansley Quarries in serious breach of their mining licence. Your satellite view photo (fig 1) clearly shows the extent of mining in breach of the existing licence. It also shows excavation that has been carried out through one of the two FEPA on the property, which I believe is also a breach of regulations. The photograph below shows the extent of "overmining" if you can call it that, outside the white outlined licenced area. 				

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	

4. The neighbouring farms (W-6 / B-23 and B-17), and servitude are in the immediate area of the current mining, and proposed extended mining area, and have animals and people moving on them at any time. Blasting at the quarry would therefore pose a physical danger to both people and animals unless restrictions are applied. My understanding is that regulations state no blasting may take place within 500 feet of persons, and so I recommend that a restriction of "no blasting within 500 feet of the Wansley farm boundary may be undertaken", if a blasting licence is granted.

5. The degradation of the "B road" due to the heavy truck traffic to and from Wansley Quarry is of great concern to all residents in the area. Although Wansley do supply Subunga and grade sections of the road occasionally, the danger posed by speeding and inconsiderate truck drivers are a constant danger to pedestrians, motorists, and animals in the area, which is zoned as agricultural. The local municipality and Provincial authority refuse to carry out any maintenance on this road. I believe that all heavy trucks should be routed via the "W road", which is wider, and does not travel through the centre of any owner's farm.

6. Property values in the immediate vicinity of the increase proposed mining will be adversely affected, due to noise, dust, potential blasting dangers.

7. Please register me as I&AP."

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
Greenmined's response to the above li	isted comments:			
"Greenmined herewith thank you for your participation and acknowledge receipt of your correspondence received 30 September 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as well as Me Stapleton as Interested and Affected Parties on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal and commenting. We acknowledge and take note of your concerns regarding the possible impact on water quality, extent of the mining footprint, potential blasting impact, access road and property values and have forwarded your comments to the various specialists that form part of the project team. Further to the above, please note that all your comments will be included in the draft scoping report, and will be discussed and assessed (once feedback from the various specialists were received) in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will follow once the Scoping Report was approved by the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy. You will be notified as soon as the above mentioned reports are available for your perusal and commenting."				
Mr M van Niekerk	Interested and Affected Party	11 September 2020	No Response Received	
Mr & Mrs Boniface (Trevor & Tammy)	Interested and Affected Party	-	12 October 2020	

Response received during the initial public participation process:

"Kindly receive this as an official objection to the expansion of the Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd quarry. We are direct fence sharing neighbours with Wansley and will be greatly affected should the quarry expand by any degree. We have chosen to live in Holm Hill for the lifestyle benefits of the lower noise levels and quiet surroundings. The wild animal life which we enjoy is already diminishing and will decrease even further if the quarry is given a greater area to mine. Currently the traffic flow on the W road is very high due to the cartage trucks, many of which speed and disregard other vehicles. These heavily loaded trucks are causing damage to the road and create constant dust for the adjacent properties. The noise from these cartage vehicles is also an issue as they often run before and after normal business hours. It is important to note that the W road, as we refer to it, is a private road. It is merely a servitude for Wansley Farm as noted in our title deeds (our boundary is on the other side of the road). Permission has not been granted to Wansley Quarries for their business use and they do have an alternative route available. It is also of concern that as per the aerial

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
image (Figure 1) on your report it seen been done."	ns that the quarry has already exceeded their current ap	proved mining area. Rehabilitation of the lan	d does also not appear to have	
Greenmined's response to the above li	sted comments:			
"Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of, and thank you for your detailed correspondence received 12 October 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected Party on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal and commenting. We acknowledge your concerns and have forwarded it to the project team. The access road and traffic impact have been identified as a matter of importance and the project team is in the process of investigating the best possible options. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, and on which you will be able to comment. The rest of your comments will also be included in the Draft Scoping Report and discussed and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will all be available for your perusal and commenting."				
Me Liz & Mteto & Judith Dakiso	Interested and Affected Party	-	16 September 2020	
Comments received during the initial p	ublic participation process:			
"Regarding the study being done and the extension of quarry and Usage of W road to connect to N6, I would like to object to this project as I am the resident and we were never all consultant and given an opportunity to voice out the impact of this to us. The Portion on W road is on my property which I still need to sort out as surveyors recommendation last year. I would like to put it on record we will not approve any extension unless a different route is use not W or C road."				
Greenmined's response send on the 18 th of September 2020:				
Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London	receipt of your correspondence received 16 Septembe area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected t (EIA) process as well as supply you with a copy of the	Party on the project, and will henceforth keep	o you posted on the progress of	

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES						
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED			
please feel free to provide me with the concern regarding the access road an	above, I have also attached a copy of the Background Information Document and Project Map should you like to share it with additional interested parties. Alternatively, please feel free to provide me with the contact detail of the person/s you wish to be included and we will gladly supply them with the documents. We acknowledge your concern regarding the access road and have forwarded it to the project team. The access road and traffic impact have been identified as a matter of importance and the project team is in the process of investigating the best possible options. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, and on which you will be able to comment."					
Mr R Masters	Interested and Affected Party ◆ Farm B12A	-	05 October 2020			
Comments received during the initial public participation process: <i>"I would like to raise an objection due to the following reasons:</i> 1. the increased noise & traffic volumes; 2. the poor maintenance & upkeep of the potholed B Road; 3. excessive speed limits of the heavy trucks and dust; 4. for the personal safety/security of our wives and children; 5. current maintenance and upkeep of the roads is not satisfactory."						
Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the Ea progress of the Environmental Impact concerns and have forwarded it to the	3 th of October 2020: eceipt of, and thank you for your correspondence receiv est London area. We registered you as an Interested ar Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy e project team. The access road and traffic impact ha bible options. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA	nd Affected Party on the project, and will hen y of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your p we been identified as a matter of importance	ceforth keep you posted on the perusal. We acknowledge your and the project team is in the			

comment. Your objection will also be included in the Draft Scoping Report and discussed and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will also all be available for your perusal and commenting."

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
Me D Reynhardt	Interested and Affected Party	-	11 October 2020	
Comments received from Me Reynhardt on 13 October 2020: "I require an extension of the period for comment, as I haven't received the DSR yet. Just briefly though, my objections and concerns are related to loss of sense of place, in holm hill: additional noisy road traffic, (as the truck load bodies and tail gates rattle terribly as they go down the b road) and it makes it unpleasant and unsafe to cycle, run or ride horses on our farm roads. Speeding of the trucks has also been an issue in the past. Additionally, the proposed footprint is in a STEP vegetation corridor and Insufficient detail has been given regarding a number of pertinent issues, regarding water, wildlife, blasting magnitudes, frequency, times etc. and other impacts; alternatives, monitoring, compliance, etc. I would like to submit more detailed comment. Could you advise if there will be opportunity to do this? And will there be a public meeting regarding this?" Response from Greenmined on the above listed comments: "We are still in the process of drafting the Scoping Report, and therefore it has not yet been published for comments. As soon as the document is ready we will inform all the registered interested and affected parties (I&AP's) (of which you form part) and stakeholders and allow for another 30-days commenting period. We do take note of the concerns you listed below, and will include them in the Scoping Report. Your concerns will also be forwarded to the project specialists (for instance ecologist, road engineer etc.) that will consider and assess them. The outcome of the specialist studies will be included in the Draft Arvino aday and will include them in the outcome of the Scoping Report. The DEIAR will once again be distributed to all the registered I&AP's and stakeholders for another 30-days commenting period. You will therefore still have at least two more opportunities to provide us with your comments. Due to the uncertainties/difficulties regarding COVID, we do not at the moment plan to hold a community meeting. Ho				
arrange a virtual meeting with you to d	Interested and Affected Party	-	14 September 2020	

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
Comments received during the initial p	ublic participation process:			
"We have been handed the documentation by a neighbour. We are concerned that we are not receiving the documentation from your office directly, and we are further concerned that we may have missed documentation. Kindly and as a matter of urgency register us as interested parties. Please note further that unless we receive an firm undertaking that the road between the quarry and the N6 will not be used in this operation, we will without any doubt oppose the application, and we insist on being granted the opportunity to do so."				
Response from Greenmined:				
"Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of your correspondence received 14 September 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected Party on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal. Please note that you have not missed previous correspondence, and that the attached Background Information Document and Project Map were the first documents that were circulated regarding the proposed project. We acknowledge your concern regarding the access road and have forwarded it to the project team. The access road and traffic impact has been identified as a matter of importance and the project team is in the process of investigating the best possible options. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, and on which you will be able to comment."				
Additional comments submitted by Mr	Scheun on 16 September 2020:			
"The access road is a real concern. Currently, Wansley farm hold a servitude to utilise a road over my land. We will not extend the use of the road to a business being conducted on Wansley farm. Please, we must make this point very clear."				
Additional comments submitted by Mr Scheun on 30 September 2020:				
"We have requested to be included in the list of interested and affected parties. We are the owners of the remainder of portion 2 of farm 652. I note that you refer to " the expertise of a road engineer that will be looking at both the B- and W roads and make recommendations regarding the traffic management of the access". We again confirm that the W road is on private land. It is not a public road. The road engineer would have no business looking at the W road. In terms of our title deed. The quarry				

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED	
does not hold a servitude to use the r physically closing the road for all carta	oad. Unless we receive as a matter of urgency confirm ge vehicles."	ation that the quarry will immediately desist	from using the road, we will be	
Mr A Scheun	Interested and Affected Party	-	01 October 2020	
Comments received from Mr Scheun with the response of Greenmined (02 October 2020) thereon: "I have 3 questions that I am putting to you to better understand this Wansley issue: 1. Are you situated in East London? I am situated in Ballito, and the rest of the project team are from various areas including East London, Johannesburg, Bloemfontein, Somerset-West, and Cape Town. 2. Did you visit Wansley Farm before compiling the document you sent to Boniface Trust? I have visited the farm before. 3. Did you consider having a meeting with the residents of Holm Hill, specifically all those on the B and W roads, before compiling the above document? The Background Information Document that was send out forms part of the initial public participation phase associated with a Section 102 amendment application (such as this one). The reasoning behind an initial public participation process is to identify and notify the interested and affected parties (I&AP's) and stakeholders and provide the public with a period to register on the EIA process (still to follow). As the initial public participation phase takes place at the onset of the EIA (environmental impact assessment), the technical information regarding the project still needs to be obtained as well as the input of the specialists. Once this information was obtained it is presented in a report (environmental impact assessment report) that is then circulated to the registered I&AP's and stakeholders for their perusal and commenting. In light of this it is (in our opinion) more effective to meet with interested parties once the technical information is available and the recommendations of the specialists were received. However, should you wish so we will gladly set up a virtual meeting with you to discuss the project."				
	[•] Scheun on 02 October 2020: ment that was put up at the entrance to W-road, and mo nal settlement. There is no way that I would stop to read			

it were not for the community of Holm Hill spreading the document amongst ourselves, very few members of the public would actually have known about this project and

	SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES				
	TITLE, NAM	IE AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED
ab	le to particip	oate - that includes imme	diate neighbours and private road owners. No further re	sponse required."	
wil	l expire? I have con 1.1 Ac of 1.2 Ac 1.2.1 1.2.2 1.2.3 1.2.4 1.2.5 1.3 Bl 1.4 Pr 1.5 W 1.6 Or oir 1.7 Th w	ne to the conclusion that coording to your docume the mining rights issued coording to your docume East London Tourism East London Tourism East London Tourism East London Tourism East London Tourism Couter edge of Beacon asting has been taking p romised road maintenand fatering the W-road on M is spills due to accidents spill was covered with s the MR holder has no con hich the MR holder and s	In the distance from city centre to Wansley farm is \pm 30 k (Argyle street) to Wansley Quarries via North East Expres to Wansley Quarries via North East Expressway and the to Wansley Quarries via Vincent, N2, Meiseshalt, and B- to Wansley Quarries via North East Expressway, the N6 Bay to Wansley Quarries is 6,2 kms and possibly only 5 lace from time to time which is in violation of the mining ce to the private roads being used is not being done prop londays, Wednesdays, and Fridays to curb dust as was a where cartage vehicles overturned on the private road w toil in a ditch that is a waterway to one of our dams. Incern for the rights and expectations of its neighbours a staff are participants, fall on deaf ears. Here they have	ne years and that they are not following good t is already far greater than the "approved m kilometres. ssway and Lavender Blue is 15,5kms N2 and B-road is 16.5kms road is 18,1kms and W-road is 15,4kms. kms as the crow flies. rights issued. herly. agreed by the owner of Wansley Farm in 2007 rere not attended to in an environmentally frie nd the local community at large. Complaints	business practice. ining area", which is in violation 7 is not being done. endly way. On one instance the 5, even on WhatsApp groups of
2.	<u>Noise Poll</u> 2.1 As 1 I	s of late up to 35 cartage	unity. e trucks have been counted over an hour and a half perio from the MR holder to see how many cartage trucks		-

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
 2.3 The operation is not limited customer demands. 3. <u>Dust Pollution</u> 3.1 The cartage trucks cause 3.1.1 Grazing to the effect the function of the effect the effect the effect the effect the effect the effect	 2.3 The operation is not limited to office hours as vehicles could be on the road from sun rise to after 20h00, and even over weekends. It is all dependent on customer demands. 3. <u>Dust Pollution</u> 3.1 The cartage trucks cause dust pollution on/in: 3.1.1 Grazing to the effect that certain areas next to the road cannot be grazed due to the build-up of dust and dying vegetation. 3.1.2 Building roofs from where water runs into our water tanks for household use. 				
 4. <u>Traffic</u> 4.1 Excessive speeds by the cartage drivers on our private road 4.2 Reckless driving by the cartage drivers on our private W-road sometimes literally forcing vehicles off the road 4.3 High volumes of cartage trucks 4.4 Long hours of cartage trucks on the road 4.5 Loads are not secured and lost partial loads are not attended to. 					
 5. Other 5.1 When the MR holder does work on the road, they continuously block the under-road drainage pipes to our dam and block the road run-offs to our property. 5.2 When the MR holder has idle cartage trucks, they will dump loads of sabunga on the road surface for later use which is a risk to all road users as these dumped loads could lie there for weeks on end. 5.3 The deteriorating condition of our roads due to the heavy traffic has a very negative effect on our own vehicles. 5.4 Horse riding on our roads has come to an end due to the cartage truck traffic." 					
Mr D Webber Interested and Affected Party - 18 September 2020 Image: Farm B1 - 18 September 2020					

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES						
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED			
Comments received during the initial po	Comments received during the initial public participation period:					
"My family have been living on Farm B1 since the sixties (One of the first families to settle in this area.) Regarding the quarry						
TRUCKS It is blatantly obvious that the quarry trucks that move the earth from the quarry have the following effect on us Destruction of the B Road (my parents along with Ray Rogers and one or two other residents pooled their hard earned cash together and had a large portion of the B Road tarred. The tar did not last long due to the quarry trucks. It would seem that a fair way to deal with the transport of earth from the quarry by the trucks to the main roads should be controlled by the quarry - / speeding - / what routes the trucks should take etc (Lip service does not do it for meI am talking about a system in place that is controlled and monitored by the quarry and a third party that has an interest in the community of Holmhill. It is suggested that the trucks delivering to Gonubie use the B road only. Trucks delivering anywhere else, should use the W Road. Why can this not be implemented and monitored by the quarry (+3rd party) since the only people who benefit from the transport of this earth is the quarry. Discipline and control of the drivers from the quarry to the main roads should be monitored and controlled by the quarry (+3rd party). The quarry brushes off any incident or problem caused by the truck drivers and pass the responsibility onto the truck drivers. Once again, the only people benefiting from the trucks is the quarry, so it seems fair that they should be responsible for monitoring and discipline of the truck drivers and also give feedback as to the actions taken regarding any incidence regarding the trucks.						
ROAD (If you can call it that) Since the quarry is directly responsible for the majority of destruction of B Road, it is only fair that the quarry does regular maintenance to the B Road. The quarry should not call on the residence to contribute to any repairs.						
DUST We already live in a permanent dust cloud caused mainly by the quarry trucks. Should the quarry expand, the dust will increase due to more traffic. Clearly, I am opposed to the quarry expansion.						
NOISE Same points as above.						

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES						
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED			
BLASTING Absolutely opposed to this. It will be like a mini earthquake. Noise pollution in a rural setting with a lot of animals and residents living here. Absolutely opposed to this. SPEEDING TRUCKS						
Same story Bottom line is that, I have the impression from all that has happened on Holmhill, that the quarry is only focused on activities of the quarry and anything that happens outside of the quarry property is brushed off by putting the blame on the contracted truck drivers and have no interest in anything that outside of that. This has to change. I am not against progress, but when it is done for profit and no consequences for the people dealing with the negative side of that progress, I am highly opposed to any						
support for that progress and am willing to personally get involved to adjust those dynamics by any means necessary." Response from Greenmined on the above listed comments:						
"Greenmined herewith acknowledge receipt of, and thank you for your detailed correspondence received 18 September 2020 on the proposed Section 102 amendment application of Wansley Siyakhula (Pty) Ltd in the East London area. We registered you as an Interested and Affected Party on the project, and will henceforth keep you posted on the progress of the Environmental Impact Assessment process as well as supply you with a copy of the draft scoping report (DSR) for your perusal. We acknowledge your concerns and have forwarded it to the project team. The access road and traffic impact have been identified as a matter of importance and the project team is in the process of investigating the best possible options. Their findings will be discussed in the EIA documents that will follow in due course, and on which you will be able to comment. The rest of your comments will also be included in the Draft Scoping Report and discussed and assessed in the Environmental Impact Assessment Report that will all be available for your perusal and commenting."						
Mr A Moss	 Interested and Affected Party Portion 13 (Portion of Portion 2) of Farm No 652 	-	06 October 2020			

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
Comments received during the initial p	ublic participation phase:				
"With reference to the Background Info owner of Portion 13 (A Portion of Portio	ormation Document dated 11 th September 2020, I, Andrew M on 2) Farm 652 – Farm W8.	loss, hereby submit my objections to	the project proposal as the propert		
termed "W Road" and is linked to the s and not for haulage vehicles belongir	need for the property, there is a servitude at the top of the ervitudes of the two adjacent properties and is in essence a ing to Wansley Siyakhula (WS), which are in breach of the designed for over usage by heavy vehicles. WS does not a operties.	private road. The servitude is intend conditions of the Title Deed. The	ed for access to Portion 1 Farm 65 haulage vehicles cause excessiv		
-	ne safety of other road users. Every road user has a "near m orting young children to and from school activities during to tely will be too late.		-		
Groundwater Most properties in the area rely on bore	eholes for their water supply, which are at risk of being dama	ged due to the proposed blasting ac	tivities.		
of blasting will have a severe effect	s are already under stress due to the current operations of on the area and the inhabitants. Wansley Siyakhula have are unlikely to do so in the future. The existing quarry area	not adhered to any agreements w	ith regards to the safe usage and		

SURROUNDING LANDOWNERS / INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES					
TITLE, NAME AND SURNAME	AFFILIATION/KEY STAKEHOLDER STATUS	CONTACTED DATE	RESPONSE RECEIVED		
Unknown	Interested and Affected Party	-	13 October 2020		

Comments received from unknown sender:

"It is with great concern that I write to you regarding the expansion of Wansley Quarries. We have long had a strained view of the quarry which has a habit of working after reasonable hours, on weekends, on public holidays and with heavy industrial equipment making an extremely loud noise. This noise pollution, dust, constant heavy vehicles traffic as well as the unsightly industrial view only negatively affects our future plans and our property value. We would never have bought our property had we known that this quarry, which is in the middle of a smallholder, residential farming community, would be allowed to expand as such. It is inconceivable that this expansion is even being considered without sufficient review of the environmental and community impacts. We live across the valley and probably have more noise pollution and disruption from quarry as an eyesore than the neighbouring farms and yet we were never consulted. It is through the farming community that we have been alerted of such expansions. The negative impact on the surrounding area and community needs to be thoroughly assessed. Our very own business plan, job creation plan through ecotourism in the surrounding area will be seriously negatively affected and the very viability of these plans will be in question because of the expansion of such an unsightly, invasive industry on our doorstep."

SUMMARY OF INITIAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

The I&AP's and stakeholders were informed of the proposed project through:

- telephonic discussions;
- direct communication with background information documents;
- placement of on-site notices (11 September 2020); and
- the placement of an advertisement in the Go & Express newspaper on 10 September 2020.

SUMMARY OF INITIAL PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

The following &AP's and stakeholders registered on the project:

- Boniface, Francois & Trevor;
- Boniface, Trevor & Tammy;
- ♦ Cilliers, Jaco;
- Dakiso, Judith, Liz and Mteto;
- Department of Water and Sanitation;
- ♦ Joubert, Cathy;
- Lennard, Michele Adriana;
- Masters, Robert;
- Mette Pi la Cour Nielsen & Vaughn Bruce;
- Moss, Andrew;
- Reynhardt, Debbie;
- Scheun, EW;
- Scheun, Andre;
- Stapleton, Penny;
- Webber, Dean;
- Wild, Alfred.

The Draft Scoping Report was subsequently compiled and all the I&AP's and stakeholders listed above will be contacted and provided with a chance to comment on the Draft Scoping Report. A 30 days commenting period will be allowed for perusal of the documentation by the I&AP's and stakeholders. Comments received on this document will be added to the Final Scoping Report to be submitted to DMR for review. See attached Appendix 5 for proof of the correspondence with the I&AP's and stakeholders during the public participation process.

-END OF COMMENTS AND RESPONSE REPORT-