



Independent Power Producers Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant, Port of Richards Bay

Draft Scoping Report

Department of Energy

November 2015

www.erm.com



Independent Power Producers Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant, Port of Richards Bay

Draft Scoping Report [Draft Report]

Environmental Resources Management

Suite S005 | 17 The Boulevard Westway Office Park | Westville 3635 | Durban | South Africa

Telephone: +27 31 265 0033 Facsimile: +27 31 265 0150 E-mail: stephanie.gopaul@erm.com

http://www.erm.com

Document Code: 0320839

Client:		Project N	0:		
Departme	nt of Energy	0320839			
Summary and version history: V1 The scoping phase of the Independent Power Producers Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant, Port of Richards Bay is designed to determine the "scope" of the subsequent Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), conducted in fulfilment of the application for Environmental Authorization. To this end, the Scoping Report includes a Plan of Study for EIA that provides scopes of work for more detail specialist investigations to address key issues of concern, together with the impact assessment methodology and timeframes for the EIA.		Date: 10 November 2015 Approved by:			
1	Draft Scoping Report	SG	DD, SHC	SHC	13/11/ 15
	Compiled by: Stephanie Gopaul Reviewed by: Debbie Donkin and Nadia Mol	Ву	Checked	Approved	Date
This report has been prepared for the Department of Energy in accordance with the terms and conditions of our appointment for submission to I&APs, stakeholders, commenting authorities and the Competent authority in support of the Department of Energy's application for an Environmental Authorization and for disclosure through the prescribed review process. Any other use, distribution or publication of this report is prohibited without the prior written approval of ERM and the Department of Energy.		Distribu Pu Go	t ion : blic overnment		

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION		
1.1	OVERVIEW	1-1	
1.2	PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT	1-2	
2	PROJECT MOTIVATION	2-1	
2.1.1	Need and Desirability	2-1	
2.2	THE PROPONENT	2-4	
2.3	THE EIA TEAM	2-5	
2.4	U NDERTAKING BY EAP	2-6	
2.4.1	Declaration of independence	2-7	
2.5	SCOPING REQUIREMENTS AS PER EIA REGULATIONS 2014	2-7	
2.6	Report Structure	2-9	
3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3-1	
3.1	Project Infrastructure	3-1	
3.2	PROJECT LOCATION	3-2	
3.3	TERRESTRIAL COMPONENTS	3-5	
3.3.1	Mooring Facilities	3-5	
3.3.2	Power evacuation and transmission lines	3-5	
3.4	MARINE COMPONENTS	3-5	
3.4.1	Floating Power Plant		
3.4.2	Fuel Type, Storage and Supply	3-6	
3.5	EMISSIONS	3-7	
3.6	DISCHARGE OF HEATED WATER	3-7	
3.7	WATER DEMAND	3-7	
3.8	ALTERNATIVES	3-8	
3.8.1	No Development Alternative	3-8	
3.8.2	Fundamental Alternatives	3-8	
3.8.3	Incremental Alternatives	3-9	
4	LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK	4- 1	
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4-1	
4.2	Environmental Authorisation Legislative Process	4-1	
4.2.1	NEMA Environmental Authorisation	4-1	
4.2.2	Consolidated Permitting Requirements	4-5	
4.3	OTHER APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES	4-1	
4.3.1	National Legislation	4-1	
5	ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BASELINE	5-1	
5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1	
5.2	Area of Influence	5-1	
5.3	TERRESTRIAL ENVIRONMENT	5-2	
5.3.1	Climatic Conditions	5-2	

IPP: EIA FOR FLOATING POWER PLANT, RICHARDS BAY- SCOPING REPORT

5.3.2	Topography	5-3
5.3.3	Geology and Soils	5-4
5.3.4	Flora and Fauna	5-6
5.3.5	Air Quality	5-6
5.3.6	Surface and Groundwater	5-8
5.3.7	Wetlands	5-10
5.4	MARINE ENVIRONMENT	5-10
5.5	LAND U SE	5-14
5.6	CULTURAL HERITAGE AND PALAEONTOLOGY	5-15
5.7	Social	5-16
5.7.1	Administrative Structure	5-16
5.7.2	Demographic Profile	5-16
5.7.3	Population and Household Numbers	5-17
5.7.4	Education	5-17
5.7.5	Health	5-17
5.7.6	Economic Profile	5-17
5.7.7	General Infrastructure and Services	5-19
	,	
6	EIA PROCESS	6-1
6.1	INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2	APPROACH TO EIA PROCESS	6-3
6.3	SCOPING PHASE	6-3
6.3.1	Desktop Review	6-4
6.3.2	Site Visit	6-4
6.3.3	Public Participation	6-5
6.3.4	Scoping Report	6-5
6.3.5	Submission of Application Form	6-5
6.4	SPECIALIST STUDY PHASE	6-5
6.5	INTEGRATION AND ASSESSMENT PHASE	6-5
6.5.1	Proposed Timeframe for the EIA	6-6
6.6	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING SCOPING	6-7
6.6.1	Objectives of Public Participation	6-7
6.6.2	Legislative Context	6-7
6.6.3	Public Participation Tasks	6-7
7	IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS	7 - 1
7.1	INTRODUCTION	7-1
7.2	Resources and receptors	7-1
7.3	OUTCOME OF THE SCOPING PROCESS AND IMPACT IDENTIFICATION MATRIX	7-2
7.4	SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND RISKS	7-2
8	PLAN OF STUDY FOR EIA	8-1
8.1	INTRODUCTION	8-1
8.2	SPECIFIC CHALLENGES AND APPROACH TO THIS EIA	8-1
8.3	OVERVIEW OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE	8-2
8.3.1	Assessment of Alternatives	8-2
8.3.2	Impact Assessment	8-2

IPP: EIA FOR FLOATING POWER PLANT, RICHARDS BAY- SCOPING REPORT

8.3.3	Stakeholder Engagement Activities		
8.3.4	Authority Interaction	8-4	
8.4	Specialist studies	8-4	
8.4.1	Defining the Area of Influence (AoI)	8-4	
8.4.2	Desktop Studies	8-5	
8.4.3	Identified Specialist Studies	8-5	
8.5	IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	8-10	
8.5.1	Impact Identification and Characterisation	8-10	
8.5.2	Determining Impact Magnitude	8-12	
8.5.3	Determining Receptor Sensitivity	8-14	
8.5.4	Assessing Significance	8-15	
8.5.5	Mitigation Potential and Residual Impacts	8-16	
8.5.6	Residual Impact Assessment	8-17	
8.5.7	Cumulative Impacts	8-17	
8.6	PROPOSED STRUCTURE OF THE EIA REPORT	8-18	
8.7	PROVISIONAL SCHEDULE FOR THE EIA PROCESS	8-19	
9	CONCLUSIONS AND WAY FORWARD	9-1	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	An illustration of the proposed FPP and the associated infrastructure required to		
	supply power into the national grid	1-2	
Figure 2.1	Breakdown of the Gas to Power Programme and Legislative Basis	2-3	
Figure 3.1	Location of the proposed FPPP within the Port of Richards Bay	3-3	
Figure 3.2	Potential configuration of the FPPs and refuelling barges	3-4	
Figure 3.3	Alternative Siting Options assessed during the MCA	3-10	
Figure 5.1	Harbour West wind rose January 2012	5-3	
Figure 5.2	gure 5.2 Geotechnical Constraints (Golder, 2005) within the uMhlathuze Municipality. T		
	area identified for the development of the switchyard and transmission line	falls	
	within the developed zone (yellow) and zone D (light green).	5-5	
Figure 5.3	Combined buffer zones based on air pollution criteria- the proposed project	falls within	
	the Management Zone (blue)	5-7	
Figure 5.4	Groundwater Vulnerability Classes (Golder, 2004) - the location of the swit	itchyard	
	falls within the Most Sensitive class (brown).	5-9	
Figure 5.5	Map of Richards Bay showing the location of Inner Basin 1 where the FPP	is proposed	
	to be moored	5-12	
Figure 5.6	Sensitive Habitats within the Port of Richards Bay. The proposed location	of the FPP	
	(yellow star) is within the area described as the marine embayment in the r	orth-west	
	of the port. It is north of the area identified as post-harbour mangroves (dan	rk blue) and	
	separated from the mudflats (brown) by the sandpit	5-13	
Figure 5.7	Percentage Employment per Ward	5-18	
Figure 5.8	Monthly Individual Income	5-19	
Figure 6.1	Integrated Environmental Impact Assessment Process	6-2	
Figure 8.1	Impact Significance	8-15	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	The EIA Core Team	2-6
Table 2.2	Legislated Content of Scoping Report (GNR 982) and Corresponding Se	ctions in this
	Report	2-7
Table 3.1	Typical Emissions from a FPP	3-7
Table 4.1	Environmental Permit Requirements from NEMA Listing Notices	4-3
Table 4.2	Consolidated Permitting Requirements	4-6
Table 5.1	Air Quality Buffer Zone Descriptions	5-6
Table 5.2	Land Ownership Breakdown	5-16
Table 5.3	Zoning Percentages in Urban Areas	5-18
Table 6.1	EIA Schedule	6-6
Table 6.2	Public Participation Tasks: Scoping Phase	6-8
Table 6.3	Summary of Key Issues Raised	6-9
Table 7.1	Interaction Matrix	7-2
Table 7.2	Description of Potential Impacts	7-3
Table 7.3	Terrestrial Scoping Matrix	7-5
Table 7.4	Marine Scoping Matrix	7-7
Table 8.1	Scope of Work for Terrestrial Specialist Studies	8-6
Table 8.2	Scope of Work for Marine Specialist Studies	8-9
Table 8.3	Impact Characteristic Terminology	8-10
Table 8.4	Definitions for Likelihood	8-12
Table 8.5	Biological and Species Value / Sensitivity Criteria	8-14
Table 8.6	Socio-economic Sensitivity Criteria	8-15
Table 8.7	Mitigation Hierarchy	8-17
Table 8.8	Proposed EIA Report Structure	8-18
Table 8.9	Provisional EIA Schedule	8-19

1.1 OVERVIEW

The National Development Plan (NDP) identifies the need for South Africa to invest in a strong network of economic infrastructure designed to support the country's medium- and long-term economic and social objectives. This requires the development of 10,000 MW of additional electricity capacity to be established by 2025. To achieve this, the Department of Energy (DoE) has developed a 20-year energy plan for South Africa, the Integrated Resources Plan 2010-2030 (IRP) (DoE, 2011), which encourages the participation of independent power producers (IPPs) in electricity generation in South Africa.

The Independent Power Producers (IPP) Office was established by the DoE, the National Treasury and the Development Bank of Southern Africa (DBSA) to facilitate the involvement of IPPs in the generation of electricity. The IPP Office has to date successfully procured 6,327 megawatts (MW) under the Renewable Energy IPP Procurement Programme. It is currently intended that a further 3,126 MW of new generation capacity will be generated from natural gas.

For the Gas IPP Procurement Programme, the DoE through the IPP Office has, in collaboration with Transnet, developed a two-phased approach. The first phase is to introduce Floating Power Plants (FPPs) in three of South Africa's commercial ports – Saldanha, Ngqura and Richards Bay, and/ or, an on-land early power project in Richards Bay IDZ, Saldanha Bay and Coega IDZ. The second phase is to facilitate the import of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) in the same three ports, to allow for the development of medium- to long-term gas power plants outside of the port boundaries.

Separate applications and studies are being undertaken by private parties for the medium- to long-term gas power plants and related infrastructure near the respective ports. Following a competitive bidding process to be conducted by the DoE through the IPP Office, the DoE plans to select only one of these parties to develop a gas power plant outside the ports' boundaries. The competing bidders need to conduct EIAs for their respective project proposals.

This EIA focusses on Phase 1 of the DoE's Gas to Power Programme i.e. the Floating Power Plant solution in the Port of Richards Bay. In order to meet the immediate demand for power, the DoE proposes to establish a Floating Power Plant (FPP- which will either be a barge or ship), which would produce up to 820 MW, in the Port of Richards Bay. The power precinct may be made up of a number of FPP units and there may be a phased build-up of FPP units (more than one FPP) as they become available and until the full capacity of 820 MW is reached. Power will either be evacuated to the Bayside substation approximately 2.5 km away or the Impala substation. The Eskom grid currently has the capacity to accept the proposed additional 820 MW of

power. Refer to *Figure 1.1* for an indicative illustration of the FPP and the components falling within the scope of this EIA.

Figure 1.1 An illustration of the proposed FPP and the associated infrastructure required to supply power into the national grid



Transnet SOC Ltd together with the Transnet National Port Authority (TNPA) (the operating division responsible for management of the Port of Richards Bay), support this initiative and facilitated the site selection process within the Port.

In addition to the proposed FPP within the Port of Richards Bay, the development is also proposed in the Ports of Saldanha and Ngqura; for which separate EIA processes are underway. Environmental Resources Management Southern Africa (ERM) has been appointed by the DoE to conduct the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended) for the project within the Port of Richards Bay.

1.2 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

This Scoping Report has been compiled as part of the EIA process in accordance with the regulatory requirements stipulated in the EIA Government Notice Regulations (GNR 982) promulgated in terms of Section 24(5) of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended.

A typical EIA is usually undertaken in three phases namely Scoping Phase, Specialist Study Phase and Impact Assessment. This Scoping Report was completed after the first phase of the study and documents the findings of the Scoping Phase. Objectives of the Scoping Study are to identify the potentially significant environmental and social issues relating to the establishment/ construction, operation and decommissioning of the proposed development that will need to be addressed as part of the EIA. This was done through desktop review of available project and baseline information, initial engagement with key stakeholders (and other public consultation initiatives) as well as a site reconnaissance. Copies of the draft Scoping Report will be made available for public comment for a period of 30 days. The comments will be addressed in a Comments and Responses Report which will be included in the final Scoping Report submitted to the National Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) for review.

The Scoping Report includes a description of the proposed project infrastructure and activities, alternatives considered, and the EIA methodology. A description of the stakeholder engagement process and the key issues raised by stakeholders through the consultation activities are also presented. These issues have informed the development of the Plan of Study for EIA which includes the detailed studies to be undertaken as part of the specialist studies phase.

PROJECT MOTIVATION

2

The aim of the Floating Power Plant project is to assist with meeting South Africa's immediate electricity demands with minimal new infrastructure build.

In terms of the EIA Regulations, when considering an application, the relevant competent authority must take a number of factors into consideration, including the need for, and desirability of, the activity. The need and desirability of this project is discussed below including strategic plans, frameworks and policies applicable to the area and project.

2.1.1 Need and Desirability

South Africa's Energy Crisis

Economic growth and electricity consumption have outpaced power system capacity building in South Africa over the last 20 years (IPP Projects, n.d.). As a result the country has been experiencing severe electricity supply constraints since 2008. To maintain system stability, a schedule of rolling black outs have been instituted, but with dire implications for the stressed economy (IPP Projects, n.d.).

The Department of Energy is looking at various options to alleviate the crisis, including through increasing and accelerating the participation of Independent Power Producers (IPPs) in the energy sector. It is envisaged that the Independent Power Producers Procurement Programme (IPPPP) will be used as a tool for the Department of Energy to address urgent power infrastructure development needs of the country (IPP Projects, n.d.). The objectives of the programme include the following key aspects:

- Early power generation using technology fuelled initially by liquid fuel but which can convert to gas utilisation;
- Timely, reliable and affordable power and gas;
- Delivery and funding through the private sector;
- Minimising the burden on the National balance sheet;
- Ensuring that actions facilitate the longer term development of the power and gas industry in South Africa providing an anchor demand for gas and stimulating economic growth; and
- Having appropriate involvement and participation of South Africa State.

Notwithstanding the broader long term objectives, given the current energy shortage, the Department of Energy recognises the need to fast-track the early power projects from all economically viable generation sources and this should be done on a "least regrets" basis, that is, to avoid foreclosing on longer term power generation options.

Electricity Supply vs Demand

The National Development Plan (NDP) is a long term development plan (2030) which aims to to eliminate poverty and reduce inequality by growing an inclusive economy, building capabilities, enhancing the capacity of the state, and promoting leadership and partnerships throughout society (RSA, 2012). The NDP requires the development of 10,000 MW additional electricity capacity to be established by 2025 against the 2013 baseline of 44,000 MW (IPP Projects, n.d.). This plan presents the overall national specific power generation plan.

In addition to the NDP, an Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) has been developed. This plan outlines the preferred energy mix with which to meet the electricity needs over a 20 year planning horizon to 2030 (IPP Projects, n.d.). In terms of gas power the IRP (2010) highlights the necessity of commissioning 2,370 MW with Combined Cycle Gas Turbines (CCGT) technology and 3,910 MW with Peak-Open Cycle Gas Turbine (OCGT) technology by the end of 2030.

In May 2011, the DoE gazetted the Electricity Regulations on New Generation Capacity (New Generation Regulations) under the Electricity Regulation Act (ERA, Act No 4 of 2006). The ERA and Regulations enable the Minister of Energy (in consultation with the National Energy Regulator of South Africa (NERSA)) to determine what new capacity is required. Ministerial determinations give effect to components of the planning framework of the IRP (IPP Projects, n.d.).

On 19 December 2012, the Minister of Energy issued three determinations in terms of Section 34 of the Electricity Regulation Act:

- IPP Procurement Programme (IPPPP) (2012) published in Government Notice (GN) 1074 in Government Gazette No. 36005;
- Baseload IPP Procurement Programme (2012) published in GN 1075 in Government Gazette No. 36005; and
- Medium Term Risk Mitigation Project IPP Procurement Programme (2012) published in Government Notice 1076 in Government Gazette No. 36005.

The above determinations specify that new generation capacity should be procured from hydro, coal and gas sources to support South Africa's baseload energy mix and generation from gas and cogeneration as part of the mediumterm risk mitigation project programme. The Gas to Power Programme (amongst other initiatives) gives effect to the IRP 2010 and the development of the gas sector. In addition, and in order to support this objective of the IRP 2010, the DoE is in the process of finalising the Gas Utilisation Master Plan (GUMP), which is a roadmap for the development of a gas economy.

The IPPPP states that 3,126 MW of baseload energy generation capacity is needed from gas-fired power generation to contribute towards energy security (*Figure 2.1*). The Gas to Power Request for Information (RFI) was released in May 2015 and responses to the RFI will be used to design the Gas to Power programme. The Gas to Power RFQ (initial qualification round) for the medium term option is expected in late 2015 and the RFP in mid-2016.

Figure 2.1 Breakdown of the Gas to Power Programme and Legislative Basis



Current Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs)

According to the uMhlathuze Municipality SDF review (2013/2014)¹, a key component of the uMhlathuze SDF is the Port Development Framework, as developed by the Transnet National Ports Authority (TNPA). The Municipality is therefore aligning its spatial planning to the Port Development Framework and, has an extended planning horizon applicable to its SDF.

Richards Bay is classified as a Primary Node and is regarded as the fastest developing industrial centre in South Africa due to the following:

- Centres of employment, industrial, residential, mining, offices, ecotourism, nature reserve and commercial activity;
- It is well positioned to take full advantage of the export of manufactured goods and raw materials & minerals to Africa and the rest of the World;
- It functions as a major link to the world economy through the Richards Bay Harbour;
- It plays a dominant role in KwaZulu-Natal, especially within the commercial and industrial Sector;
- It plays a major role in the regional economy as a service centre (industrial, retail, commercial, business, transportation, administrative and office core, etc.).

The proposed FPP is in accordance with the current district and local municipal plans for development and is in alignment with TNPA's port development plans.

2.2 THE PROPONENT

The DoE was established shortly after the Minister of Energy was appointed on 10 May 2009. According to the Department's Strategic Plan², the DoE is mandated to ensure secure and sustainable provision of energy for socioeconomic development, by developing an Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for the entire energy sector and promoting investment in accordance with the IRP which focuses on energy.

The energy sector is regulated by the following primary Acts (but not limited to) and reflects the legislative measures that the DoE has instituted:

¹ uMhlathuze Local Municipality: (Draft) SDF Review 2013/2014

² Department of Energy. Strategic Plan, 2015-2020. Accessed via: <u>http://www.energy.gov.za/files/au_frame.html</u>; 16.09.2015.

- The National Energy Act, 2008 (Act No. 34 of 2008);
- The Petroleum Products Act, 1977 (Act No. 120 of 1977), as amended; and
- The Electricity Regulation Act, 2006 (Act No. 4 of 2006), as amended.

The contact details for the applicant are presented below:

Box 2.1 Contact Details of the Applicant



It should be noted that while the DoE is the applicant for the EIA, this Environmental Authorisation will need to be transferred into the preferred bidder(s) name.

2.3 *THE EIA TEAM*

ERM was appointed by the DoE to undertake the EIA process for this project as well as the EIA for the following Gas to Power Programme components:

- On land early power plant in Richards Bay;
- LNG Import Terminal in the Port of Richards Bay;
- Floating Power Plant in the Port of Saldanha; and
- LNG Import Terminal in the Port of Saldanha.

ERM is a global environmental consulting organisation employing over 5,000 specialists in over 150 offices in more than 40 countries. In South Africa, ERM Southern Africa employs over 150 environmental consultants out of offices in Johannesburg, Durban and Cape Town.

The requirement for environmental consultants to act independently and objectively is a well-established principle in South African law and elsewhere. The EIA regulations (GN R.543), specifically state:

"that an EAP (environmental assessment practitioner) (must have) no business, financial, personal or other interest in the activity, application or appeal in respect of which that EAP is appointed in terms of these Regulations other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with that activity; or that there are no circumstances that may compromise the objectivity of that EAP in performing such work."

ERM have no financial ties to, nor are they a subsidiary, legally or financially, of the DoE. Remuneration for the services by the DoE in relation to this EIA is not linked to an approval by the decision-making authority. Furthermore, ERM has no secondary or downstream interest in the development.

The role of the environmental consultants is to provide credible, objective and accessible information to government and other stakeholders, so that an informed decision can be made about whether the project should proceed or not.

The ERM team selected for this Project possess all the relevant expertise and experience to undertake this EIA. As such, ERM has signed the legally required declaration of independence to function as an objective Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP). The relevant CVs and details of the Independent Environmental Practitioner are presented in *Annex A*.

The contact details of the EAP for the application are presented in *Box 2.1*.

Box 2.2 Contact Details of the EAP



The core EIA team members involved in this EIA are listed in *Table 2.1*.

Table 2.1The EIA Core Team

Name	Role	Qualifications, Experience
Stuart Heather-Clark	Partner in Charge	BSc., Mphil. >20 years
Debbie Donkin	Project Manager	BSc.(Hons), MSc., 15 years

2.4 UNDERTAKING BY EAP

Based on the preliminary project description and outcomes of the scoping phase, no fatal flaws have been identified thus far. ERM is of the opinion that the proposed project should proceed to the EIA phase where the potential impacts identified in the Scoping Report will be assessed in sufficient detail and the significances thereof will be determined.

ERM believes that the information provided in this Scoping Report is the most recent detail provided by the proponent and specialists thus far. Due to certain information gaps and investigations into project design detail, the Rochdale Envelope approach was used (as described in Section 8).

ERM affirms that the stakeholder engagement process followed to date has been transparent and comments and inputs received from the I&AP, public and Government spheres will be included in the Comments and Responses Report and addressed as part of the EIA if necessary.

2.4.1 Declaration of independence

We declare that ERM have no financial or personal interest in the proposed development, nor its developers or any of its subsidiaries, apart from in the provision of environmental management consulting services.

2.5 SCOPING REQUIREMENTS AS PER EIA REGULATIONS 2014

Table 2.2 illustrates the legislated content of the Scoping Report.

Table 2.2Legislated Content of Scoping Report (GNR 982) and Corresponding Sections
in this Report

Legislated Content- Appendix 2 Section 2	Section in this Report
(a) details of-	
(i) the EAP who prepared the report	Chapter 1
(ii) the expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae	Chapter 1 and
	Annex A
(b) the location of the activity	Section 2.2
(i) the 21 digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel;	
(ii) where available, the physical address and farm name;	
(iii) where the required information in items (i) and (ii) is not available,	
the coordinates of the boundary of the property or properties;	
(c) a plan which locates the proposed activity or activities applied for at an	Section 2.2
appropriate scale (including coordinates)	
(i) a linear activity, a description and coordinates of the corridor in	
which the proposed activity or activities is to be undertaken; or	
(ii) on land where the property has not been defined, the coordinates	
within which the activity is to be undertaken;	
(d) a description of the scope of the proposed activity, including-	
(i) all listed and specified activities triggered;	Section 3.2
(ii) a description of the activities to be undertaken, including associated	Section 2.3
structures and infrastructure	

Legislated Content- Appendix 2 Section 2	Section in this
	Report
(e) a description of the policy and legislative context within which the	Chapter 3
development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies,	
plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks	
and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in	
the assessment process	
(f) a motivation for the need and desirability for the proposed development	Section 2.2.1
including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the	
preferred location;	
(h) a full description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred	
activity, site and location within the site, including	
(i) details of all the alternatives considered;	Section 3.8
(ii) details of the public participation process undertaken in terms of	Section 5.2.3
regulation 41 of the Regulations, including copies of the supporting	and Annex B
documents and inputs;	
(iii) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties,	Chapter 5 and
and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated,	CRR
or the reasons for not including them;	
(iv) the environmental attributes associated with the alternatives	Chapter 4
focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic,	
heritage and cultural aspects;	
(v) the impacts and risks identified for each alternative, including the	Chapter 2
nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of	
the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts-	
(aa) can be reversed;	
(bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and	
(cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated.	
(vi) the methodology used in determining and ranking the nature	Chanter ?
significance consequences extent duration and probability of potential	Chupter 2
environmental impacts and risks associated with the alternatives	
(vii) positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity and	Chanter 2
alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that	Chapter 2
may be affected focusing on the geographical, physical, biological	
social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects	
(viji) the possible mitigation measures that could be applied and level of	Chapter 2
residual risk	enup tet 2
(ix) the outcome of the site selection matrix	Chapter 2
(x) if no alternatives, including alternative locations for the activity were	Chapter 2
investigated, the motivation for not considering such	
(xi) a concluding statement indicating the preferred alternatives.	Chapter 2
including preferred location of the activity	
(i) a plan of study for undertaking the environmental impact assessment	
process to be undertaken, including-	
(i) a description of the alternatives to be considered and assessed within	Chapter 7
the preferred site, including the option of not proceeding with the	
activity	
(ii) a description of the aspects to be assessed as part of the	Chapter 7
environmental impact assessment process;	,
(iii) aspects to be assessed by specialists:	Chapter 7
(iv) a description of the proposed method of assessing the	Chapter 7
environmental aspects, including a description of the proposed method	
of assessing the environmental aspects including aspects to be assessed	
by specialists	
(v) a description of the proposed method of assessing duration and	Chapter 7
significance	,

Legislated Content- Appendix 2 Section 2	Section in this Report
(vi) an indication of the stages at which the competent authority will be	Chapter 7
consulted;	
(vii) particulars of the public participation process that will be	Chapter 7
conducted during the environmental impact assessment process;	
(viii) a description of the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the	Chapter 7
environmental impact assessment process;	
(ix) identify suitable measures to avoid, reverse, mitigate or manage	Chapter 7
identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that	
need to be managed and monitored.	
(j) an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to	
(i) the correctness of the information provided in the report	Chapter 2.4
(ii) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and	Chapter 2.4
interested and affected parties	
(iii) any information provided by the EAP to interested and affected	Chapter 2.4
parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by	
interested or affected parties	
(k) an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to the level	Chapter 2.4
of agreement between the EAP and interested and affected parties on the plan	
of study for undertaking the environmental impact assessment;	
(l) where applicable, any specific information required by the competent	
authority	
(m) any other matter required in terms of section 24(4) (a) and (b) of the Act.	

2.6 **REPORT STRUCTURE**

The structure of the remainder of this Report is as follows:

- Chapter 2: Project Motivation
- Chapter 3: Project Description
- Chapter 4: Legal and Policy Framework
- Chapter 5: Environmental and Social Baseline
- Chapter 6: EIA Process
- Chapter 7: Identification of Potential Impacts
- Chapter 8: Plan of Study for EIA
- Chapter 8: Conclusion and Way Forward

The Report is supported by the following annexes:

- Annex A: Details of Environmental Assessment Practitioner (including CVs)
- Annex B: Stakeholder Engagement
 - B1 I&AP Database
 - B2 Initial Notification Material
 - o B2.1 Notification
 - o B2.2 Adverts
 - o B2.3 Background Information Document

- B3 Open House meeting
 - o B3.1 Attendance register
 - o B3.2 Presentation
- B4 Comments and Response Report
- B5 Initial Key Informant Meetings
 - o B5.1 Richards Bay IDZ
 - B5.2 Transnet Ports Authority
 - o B5.3 uMhlathuze Local Municipality
 - o B5.4 Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife
- B5 Initial Key Informant Meetings

The project description is based on a generic project and not on a specific developer's technology choice as outlined in Chapter 1. Due to the uncertainty associated with the competitive bidding process, the approach to the EIA process will be to consider the "most probable worst case" scenarios for each issue identified during the Scoping Phase and then carry this through to the EIA Phase. The mitigation measures will therefore be structured to be broad enough to accommodate all the various technologies that may be included in the IPP bidding process.

3.1 PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE

Floating Power Plants are special purpose marine vessels which incorporate power generation equipment and only require a land-based switchyard to distribute power to a substation. The proposed Floating Power Plant Project has both land-based (terrestrial) and marine-based components, including the following:

- Floating Power Plant which may be a power barge or a self-propelled power ship (marine);
- Mooring infrastructure in the form of anchors, dolphin structures and a piled temporary access jetty;
- Floating fuel storage facilities (marine);
- Connection of the fuel storage facility to the Floating Power Plant for the transfer of liquid fuel/gas on board (marine);
- Underground or aboveground power lines connecting the Floating Power Plant to a terrestrial switchyard ;
- Transmission line from the switchyard to a substation for distribution into the national power grid (terrestrial).

Several Floating Power Plants could be moored within the port of Richards Bay depending on the power generation capacity, the capacity of the relevant substation to distribute this power and space available at the identified mooring location. There is currently 820 MW capacity available at the Bayside substation.

The Floating Power Plant will be powered by liquid fuel and may be converted to a gas powered facility at a later date. Fuel is typically supplied from a bunker barge or tanker vessel moored close to the Floating Power Plant. Refuelling the fuel storage vessel typically takes place once a week via a fuel supply vessel. The Floating Power Plant will operate 24 hours per day for 365 days per year.

Power would be evacuated via a switchyard and a 132 kV transmission line (approximately 2.5 km) to the Bayside or Impala substation, both of which are managed by Eskom.

3.2 **PROJECT LOCATION**

The FPP is to be moored opposite the 600 berth series within the Port of Richards Bay (*Figure 3.1*). The majority of the transmission line (depicted by the yellow line in *Figure 3.1*) will be routed within the port boundary (depicted by the red dashed line) before crossing onto the South 32 site to connect with the Bayside substation (depicted by the blue block). (The Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone (IDZ) is currently in negotiations with South 32 to acquire the site for incorporation into the IDZ.)

Figure 3.2 illustrates the potential configuration of the FPP units, the associated refuelling barges and the interconnect lines leading from the units to the switchyard.



Figure 3.1 Location of the proposed FPPP within the Port of Richards Bay



3.3 TERRESTRIAL COMPONENTS

3.3.1 Mooring Facilities

At the request of TNPA no existing berthing infrastructure will be used for the proposed project. The FPP will therefore require its own mooring system. The required mooring infrastructure will need to be provided by the successful IPP(s).

No dredging will be required at the selected mooring site. As the FPP will not be moored against existing infrastructure, marine access will be required, the FPP operator may thus provide a temporary access jetty.

3.3.2 Power evacuation and transmission lines

An interconnect line (either cables or overhead lines) will connect the FPP to a switchyard and from there via transmission lines into the main national grid. The connection to the national grid will be through the Bayside substation which has an available capacity limit of 820 MW.

The purpose of the switchyard is to connect or disconnect the barges from the grid. If there is a failure between the barges and the grid, this failure must be disconnected from the grid, hence this switchyard needs to be close to the grid connection point. The switchyard may also be responsible for synchronization, if there is no device at the barges. Depending on the numbers of barges (generators) and grid lines, the switchyard can be used to assign the generators to specific grid lines and balance the grid load. The switchyard is usually used by the grid operator for measurement and billing purposes.

From the switchyard, power will be evacuated via a 132 kV overhead line over a distance of approximately 3 km to the Bayside substation (*Figures 3.1* and 3.2).

3.4 MARINE COMPONENTS

3.4.1 Floating Power Plant

Floating Power Plants are special purpose marine vessels which are selfcontained power generation resources which only require a land-based transformer connection to produce and distribute power. The generating capacity of the FPPs is likely to be between 50 and 500 MW. FPPs use either many engines or a few gas turbines to provide peaking or base load power. The FPPs will have duel fuel capability technology (either engines or turbines), that can run either on liquid fuels or gaseous fuels. As there is currently no LNG infrastructure within the Port, it is anticipated that FPPs will initially be fuelled with imported liquid fuel such as distillate fuel oils or residual fuel oils or LPG (for more details refer to Section 3.4.2) until LNG facilities are developed. The FPP will operate on a base load ¹to mid-merit basis ²with the load factor being highest in the earlier years of operation.

Floating Power Plants are considered to be a good option for addressing energy shortages within the country as they have relatively short construction periods (generally < 12 months) and require a very small terrestrial footprint (land requirements are reduced). The FPP will be owned and operated by a third party Independent Power Producer (IPP) for a proposed duration of five (5) to 15 years. The FPP will operate 24 hours per day for 365 days per year.

3.4.2 Fuel Type, Storage and Supply

The Floating Power Plant will be powered by liquid fuel (brought in with liquid bulk tankers) and may be converted to a gas powered facility at a later date. While there are existing local fuel storage facilities/ suppliers in the port, the individual IPP(s) would need to contract with these suppliers to obtain fuel.

Depending on the technology and/or type of FPPs used, the FPPs may or may not have on-board fuel storage facilities. Fuel will be brought in with a liquid bulk tanker, although the Port currently has a bunkering service and a bunker terminal (JBS-ENGEN) that could assist with fuel storage if needed. Again, this would need to be negotiated by the respective IPP(s).

The tanker will berth at one of the available TNPA berths. Fuel will then be transferred from the tanker to the bunker barge. The bunker barge will need to leave its moorings and berth alongside the tanker for refuelling. The size of the liquid bulk tanker will be dependent on the source of the fuel, and will either be from a local or international port of origin.

The FPP will initially be fuelled with an imported liquid fuel such as:

- LPG Liquefied petroleum gas;
- MGO Marine gas oil;
- MDO Marine diesel oil;
- IFO 180 Intermediate fuel oil with maximum viscosity of 180 Cs (<3.5% sulphur).

Should a LNG import terminal be developed in the port, then gas may be used at a later stage as it is a cleaner more cost effective fuel.

A FPP generating 500MW (100% dispatch and 40% efficiency) is expected to burn approximately 70, 000 to 80, 000 tpm (tonnes per month) of fuel based on the specific fuel type.

¹ Base load is defined as the minimum level of demand on an electrical supply system over 24 hours. Base load power sources are those plants which can generate dependable power to consistently meet demand.

 $^{^2}$ Mid-merit is defined as the power supply that fills the gap between peak load and base load where peak load is the maximum level of power demand.

The frequency of refuelling is dependent on the type of fuel, the power generation capacity of the FPP, its storage volume, the power generation load (from peaking power to 100% baseload) and the type of engine utilized. A resupply schedule of vessels calling once a week will require a storage vessel to hold 16, 000 t of fuel. Refuelling the fuel storage vessel typically takes place once a week via a fuel supply vessel.

3.5 EMISSIONS

Emissions from the FPP could result from a number of sources and depend on the fuel used to generate power. A summary of typical emissions that can be expected is shown in *Table 3.1*:

Table 3.1Typical Emissions from a FPP

SOURCE	FUEL	
	HFO, Diesel	LPG, LNG, CNG
Power Generation	SO ₂ , NO _x , particulates,	$NO_{x_{r}}CH_{4_{r}}CO$ and CO_{2}
	VOC including benzene,	
	CO and CO ₂	
Power Vessel Engines	SO ₂ , NO _x , particulates,	Not applicable
	VOC including benzene,	
	CO and CO ₂	
Support Ship	SO ₂ , NO _x , particulates,	Not applicable
	VOC including benzene,	
	CO and CO ₂	
Fuel Storage	VOC including benzene	CH ₄

3.6 DISCHARGE OF HEATED WATER

Open cycle units (engines or turbines) require limited cooling (water or air cooled). Combined cycle units (engines or combined cycle gas turbines (CCGT)) will require significant cooling, resulting in significant infrastructure. Although more efficient, it is unlikely that CCGT will be used for this project.

The volume of water to be discharged and the expected temperature increases will depend on the technology used, as well as the amount of energy generated, and thus is unknown at this stage.

Seawater used in cooling cycles may be treated with biocides to prevent biofouling and marine growth.

3.7 WATER DEMAND

The FPP has limited fresh water demand. Most FPPs have desalination units and/or a source of water from condensate collected from inlet air refrigeration that can be used to supply the fresh water requirements. Should additional

freshwater be required, this may be obtained from either the TNPA or the local municipality, subject to availability and to a formal agreement with the relevant party. However, it is important to note that the Municipality is currently "water constrained" and the use of sea water (subsequent to appropriate treatment) may be an option considered by the IPP(s).

3.8 ALTERNATIVES

One of the objectives of an EIA is to investigate alternatives to the proposed project. In relation to a proposed activity **"Alternatives"** means different ways of meeting the general purposes and requirements of the proposed activity. Apart from the "no development" option, there are two types of alternatives - Fundamental Alternatives and Incremental (or development) Alternatives.

3.8.1 No Development Alternative

The no development option assumes the site remains in its current state, i.e. undeveloped and, no power generation takes place within the Port of Richards Bay. The no-go alternative will be used as a baseline throughout the assessment process against which potential impacts will be compared in an objective manner and assessed in the EIA.

3.8.2 Fundamental Alternatives

Fundamental alternatives are developments that are totally different from the proposed project and usually involve a different type of development on the proposed site, or a different location (outside of the Port) for the proposed development. In the case of a Floating Power Plant, it cannot include location alternatives (outside of the Port) as it needs to be moored within an existing Port (refer to Section 3.8.3 for siting alternatives considered within the Port). A number of Ports were considered by the engineering team together with TNPA and the Department of Energy. Some ports were ruled out based on insufficient space for the mooring of FPPs, extensive distances for power evacuation, limited available capacity at existing substations, close proximity to residential areas, etc. Thus it was determined that three ports were suitable for Floating Power Plants, including the Port of Saldanha, Port of Ngqura and the Port of Richards Bay.

Site alternatives for the proposed powerline route are limited by the start point (FPP), land ownership along the route, distance, and the selection of a substation that has capacity to accept the evacuated power. In Richards Bay, the route has been narrowed down to the Bayside or Impala substations (*Figure 3.2*).

A further fundamental alternative includes the type of activity to be undertaken, provided there are other options available to assess, other than the no-go option. Notwithstanding the broader long term objectives, given the current energy shortage, the Department recognises the need to fast-track the short term power projects from all economically viable generation sources and this should be done on "least regrets" basis, that is, to avoid foreclosing on longer term power generation options. The options of wind and solar power projects, which require longer lead times to develop and cannot supply baseload demand, are therefore not considered to be viable alternatives.

3.8.3 Incremental Alternatives

Incremental alternatives are modifications or variations to the design of a project that provide different options to reduce or minimise environmental impacts. There are several incremental alternatives that will be considered during the EIR Phase of the project, including:

- The design or layout of the activity;
- The technology to be used in the activity;
- The operational aspects of the activity.

To date only alternatives related to the layout of the activity have been investigated. Within the Port of Richards Bay, six (6) probable sites for the location of the FPP were identified by PRDW (*Figure 3.3*). These sites were discussed at a Multi Criteria Analysis (MCA) workshop attended by PRDW, Transnet and the EAP, in order to establish which sites were considered to be reasonable and/or feasible alternatives.

The primary reasons for the exclusion of certain sites are listed below:

- Power evacuation routes (over undeveloped land) concern for Sites 2 and 6;
- Site 5 was removed from the MCA process as it requires occupation of an existing berth and therefore should not be considered based on the TNPA functional requirements;
- Site 3 due to a major concern in terms of the available space for interconnect route; and
- Areas close to the small craft harbour deemed more sensitive to noise.

The preferred site from the MCA process was Site 2 (positioned along the undeveloped area of the sand spit); however, Site 1 scored better regarding navigational requirements. Ultimately, Site 1A was proposed - Site 1A is Site 1 that was shifted south east opposite to berths 607 and 608 and outside of the navigational channel. A further reason for the selection of Site 1A as opposed to Site 2 was that there are environmental concerns related to potential impacts on sensitive species that inhabit the sand spit.



Figure 3.3 Alternative Siting Options assessed during the MCA

Source: PRDW, 2015

4 LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

4.1 INTRODUCTION

This section provides an overview of legislation, guidelines and information documents that have informed the scope and content of this report and the approach to the EIA process.

4.2 Environmental Authorisation Legislative Process

The Environmental Authorisation process in South Africa is governed by the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (No. 107 of 1998) as amended and the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations of 2014 promulgated under NEMA. The relevance of this legislation is summarised below.

4.2.1 NEMA Environmental Authorisation

Chapter 5 of NEMA, as amended, outlines the general objectives and implementation of Integrated Environmental Management (IEM). This provides a framework for the integration of environmental issues into the planning, design, decision-making and implementation of plans and development proposals that are likely to have a detrimental effect on the environment. Whilst Section 23 sets out the basic objectives and principles of the IEM procedure, Section 24 sets out how these objectives and principles are to be accomplished.

Regulations governing the environmental authorisation process have been promulgated in terms of NEMA and include the following:

- Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations (GNR R982/2014);
- Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Listing Notice 1 (GNR 983/2014);
- Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Listing Notice 2 (GNR 984/2014); and
- Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Listing Notice 3 (GNR 985/2014).

Activities that trigger GNR 983 and GNR 985 require a Basic Assessment Report (BAR) process to be undertaken, whereas activities identified in terms of GNR 984 will require a full Scoping and Environmental Impact Report (S&EIR) process. GNR 982 sets out the general procedure to follow when conducting either a BAR or S&EIR process.

Numerous trigger activities have been identified for this Project in terms of all the listing notices (*Table 4.1*). In instances where activities in all the listing

notices are triggered (as in this Project), GNR 984 requirements will take precedence and the Project will be subject to a full S&EIR process prior to commencement of any of the associated activities.

The National Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) will be the competent authority for this project and as such, the *DoE* will be required to obtain a positive environmental authorisation from the DEA prior to commencement of any of these proposed activities.

Table 4.1 lists the potential permitting requirements for the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations Listing Notice 1, 2, 3 of 2014 from NEMA.

Table 4.1	Environmental	Permit Requir	ements from NI	EMA Listing Notices
-----------	---------------	---------------	----------------	---------------------

Permit	Potential Trigger	Project Activity
Basic Assessment	11) The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity- (i)	Construction of the transmission line
	outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts; or	from the switchyard to the
(EIA Regs Listing	(ii) inside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of 275 kilovolts or more.	substation will have a capacity of
Notice 1)		132kV. However, given the route is
		within an industrial area, this
		activity may not be triggered.
Basic Assessment	19) The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 5 cubic metres into, or the dredging,	Construction of mooring facilities for
	excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 5 cubic metres	power barges, powerline routing,
(EIA Regs Listing	from-	and switchyard within the harbour.
Notice 1)	(i) a watercourse;	
	(ii) the seashore; or	
	(iii) the littoral active zone, an estuary or a distance of 100 metres inland of the high-water mark of the sea	
	or an estuary, whichever distance is the greater	
Basic Assessment	27) The clearance of an area of 1 hectare or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation	The Construction of the switchyard
(EIA Regs Listing		and foundations of the transmission
Notice 1)		lines may require the removal of 1Ha
		of indigenous vegetation.
EIA	2) The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity	Establishment of the FPP(s).
	from a non-renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more.	
(EIA Regs Listing		
Notice 2)		
EIA	4) The development of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous	LNG/ fuel storage vessels and fuel
	good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of more than 500 cubic metres.	storage on Power Barges.
(EIA Regs Listing		
Notice 2)		
EIA	6) The development of facilities or infrastructure for any process or activity which requires a permit or	Operation of the FPP and storage of
	licence in terms of national or provincial legislation governing the generation or release of emissions,	LNG.
(EIA Regs Listing	pollution or effluent, excluding(i) activities which are identified and included in Listing Notice 1 of 2014.	
Notice 2)		
EIA	14) The development and related operation of-	Construction of jetty for the power
	(ii) anchored platform; or	barges in the Port.
(EIA Regs Listing	(iii) any other structure or infrastructure on, below or along the sea bed;	
Notice 2)		

Permit	Potential Trigger	Project Activity
EIA	26) Development-	
	iii) within the littoral active zone;	Construction of the jetty will
(EIA Regs Listing	v) if no development setback exists, within a distance of 100 meters inland of the high-water mark of the	increase the development footprint
Notice 2)	sea or an estuary, whichever is greater;	of the harbour.
	In respect of –	
	a) facilities associated with the arrival and departure of vessels and the handling or cargo;	
	b) piers;	
	c) inter- and sub-tidal structures for the entrapment of sand;	
	d) breakwater structures;	
	e) coastal marinas;	
	f) coastal harbours and ports	
	g) tunnels; or	
	n) underwater channels;	
	but excluding the development of structures within existing ports that will not increase the development	
	footprint of the port or harbour.	
EIA	28) Commencing of an activity, which requires an atmospheric emission license in terms of section	Storage of dangerous goods and
	21 of the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004), excluding-	emissions from power generation
(EIA Regs Listing	i) activities which are identified and included in Listing Notice 1 of 2014.	units in terms of operation of the
Notice 2)		FPP and storage of LNG.
Basic Assessment	12) The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such	The Construction of the switchyard
	clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a	and transmission lines will require
(EIA Regs Listing	maintenance management plan.	clearance of vegetation within 100m
Notice 3)		inland of the HWM.
	In KwaZulu-Natal:	
	vi. Within the littoral active zone or 100 metres inland from high water mark of the sea or an estuarine	
	functional zone, whichever distance is the greater, excluding where such removal will occur behind the	
	development setback line on erven in urban areas.	

4.2.2 Consolidated Permitting Requirements

Due to nature of the Project, a suite of environmental legislation will be applicable. In order to meet the various legislative requirements, ERM intends to run an integrated EIA process, which will also meet the process requirements in terms of the following laws (as applicable). However, the successful IPP will be responsible for finalising the specific environmental licensing/ permitting requirements (if required) associated with these processes, once appointed:

- National Environmental Management: Waste Act (No. 59 of 2008) (NEMWA);
- National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (No. 10 of 2004) (NEMBA);
- National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (No. 39 of 2004) (NEMAQA);
- National Forest Act (Act No. 84 of 1998);
- National Water Act (No. 36 of 1998); and
- National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25 of 1999).

Details of specific permitting requirements from these laws are provided in *Table 4.2*.

Table 4.2Consolidated Permitting Requirements

Law	Requirements	Project Relevance	Competent Authority
National	Section 19 of NEMWA provides for the listing of waste	A Waste Management Licence (WML) is not expected	The Provincial MEC is the
Environmental	management activities that have, or are likely to have a	to be applicable for this Project given the small	competent authority for all
Management Waste	detrimental effect on the environment. In accordance	quantities of waste generated, the fact that only	applications involving
Act (No. 59 of 2008)	with this, GN 921 of 29 November 2013 lists waste	temporary storage of general waste and hazardous	general waste, while the
	management activities for which a waste management	waste is expected and the fact that no general or	National DEA administers
	licence (WML) is required in terms of Section 20 of the	hazardous waste is expected to be treated on site.	applications involving
	Act. Furthermore, it classifies each of the waste		hazardous waste.
	management activities into different categories, with		
	more onerous provisions assigned for activities that are		
	regarding as being more detrimental to the		
	environment. In this regard, 'Category A' activities		
	require a NEMA BAR process to be conducted prior to		
	commencement. 'Category B' activities require a full		
	S&EIR process to be conducted, while 'Category C'		
	activities are wholly exempt from the WML permitting		
	process, as long as they show compliance with a set of		
	prescribed standards.		
National	Part 1 of Chapter 4 of NEMBA discusses the protection	Based on the information available, certain areas	Not applicable.
Environmental	of threatened or protected ecosystems. In this section,	surrounding the port are considered to have	
Management	the Minister or the provincial environmental MEC may	conservation importance and/or sensitive features.	
Biodiversity Act (10 of	publish a national or provincial list of ecosystems that	The provisions for NEM:BA has been included and	
2004)	are threatened and in need of protection. Subsequently,	may require a permit if deemed necessary after the	
	the Minister can identify by notice in the Gazette, any	specialist investigation is completed.	
	process or activity in a listed ecosystem as a		
	'threatening process'. Once so identified, the		
	threatening process is regarded as an activity requiring		
	an EIA to be carried out in terms of section 24(2) (b) of		
	NEMA. Only a draft national list of threatened		
	ecosystems has been published as of yet. As such, these		
	provisions are not yet in effect and will not apply.		
Law	Requirements	Project Relevance	Competent Authority
------------------------	--	--	--------------------------------
National	Chapter 5 of NEMAQA deals with the control and	An Atmospheric Emissions Licence (AEL) will be	The issuing of emission
Environmental	management of emissions relates to the listing of	required for the storage of LNG and also for more	licences for 'power sector'
Management Air	activities that are sources of emissions and the issuing	than 50MW of power generated. An AEL for the	projects is the responsibility
Quality Act (No. 39 of	of emission licences in respect of these activities. These	emissions from the power barges and power	of the National DEA.
2004)	activities are listed in terms of GN 893 of 22 November	generation units will be confirmed. Once	
	2013 and are broken up into 10 categories and	authorisation has been granted for the project and a	
	associated sub-categories, including 'Liquid Fuel	preferred bidder selected, the exact air emissions data	
	Combustion Installations' (Subcategory 1.2), 'Gas	can be collated and the preferred bidder can complete	
	Combustion Installations' (Subcategory 1.4), as well as	the application for the AEL. Information gathered	
	the storage and handling of petroleum products	during the EIA phase will be used in this application	
	(Subcategory 2.4).	process.	
National	Section 69 of the NEM: ICMA prohibits the discharge of	To be confirmed by DEA (Oceans and Coasts division)	DEA (Oceans and Coasts
Environmental	effluent that originates from a source on land into	as to applicability given that the effluent (heated	division)
Management:	coastal waters except in terms of a general authorisation	water) will be generated on the FPP (i.e. not a land-	
Integrated Coastal	contemplated in subsection (2) or a coastal waters	based source).	
Management Act (24	discharge permit issued under this section by the		
of 2008)	Minister.		
National Water Act	Section 21 of NWA sets out general principles for	It is likely that the Water Use Licence (WUL)	The Regional Department of
(No. 36 of 1998)	regulating water use. Water use is defined broadly, and	provisions of the NWA will be triggered by the	Water and Sanitation (DWS)
	includes taking and storing water, activities which	Project, particularly in terms of the switchyard	will be the competent
	reduce stream flow, waste discharges and disposals,	location in relation to a wetland. This requires further	authority to engage with on
	controlled activities (activities which impact	investigation and confirmation regarding applicability	this application.
	detrimentally on a water resource), altering a	of a full WUL or a General Authorisation.	
	watercourse, removing water found underground for	Consultation with the DWS must be undertaken to	
	certain purposes, and recreation (refer to 'WULA Listed	confirm applicability.	
	Activities' in permitting plan). In general a water use		
	must be licensed unless it is listed in schedule I, is an		
	existing lawful use, is permissible under a general		
	authorisation (as listed in GNR 399), or if a responsible		
	authority waives the need for a licence (Section 22).		

Law	Requirements	Project Relevance	Competent Authority
National Heritage	Section 38 (1) of the NHRA requires any person who	Before undertaking the development the South	Amafa aKwaZulu Natali will
Resources Act (No. 25	intends to undertake a development which exceeds	African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) will	be the competent authority to
of 1999)	5000 m ² in extent or 300 m in length to notify the	have to be informed of the planned construction	engage with on this
	responsible heritage resources authority, viz. the South	activities as the development exceeds standard	application.
	African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) or the	SAHRA thresholds. Once the details of the project are	
	relevant provincial heritage agency. The applicable	submitted to SAHRA they will respond with details of	
	authority will in turn indicate whether or not a full	what assessment is required for the project.	
	Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) would need to be		
	undertaken.		

4.3 OTHER APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES

4.3.1 National Legislation

Key National legislation relevant for the Project (in addition to those presented in preceding sections) is listed below.

- Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (108 of 1996);
- National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (57 of 2003);
- National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act (24 of 2008);
- National Water Act (36 of 1998);
- Sea-Shore Act (21 of 1935);
- Marine Living Resources Act (18 of 1998);
- National Ports Act (12 of 2005);
- Occupational Health and Safety Act (73 of 1989);
- Gas Act (48 of 2001);
- Noise Control Regulations under the Environmental Conservation Act (73 of 1989);
- Major Hazard Installation Regulations (GNR. 692 of 30 July 2001);
- National Forests Act (84 of 1998);
- Hazardous Substances Act (56 of 1973);
- Explosives Act (15 of 2003);
- Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (43 of 1983);
- Electricity Regulation Act (4 of 2006);
- Subdivision of Agricultural Land Act (70 of 1970);
- Nature and Environmental Conservation Ordinance (19 of 1974);
- Merchant Shipping Act (57 of 1951);
- Marine Pollution (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act (2 of 1986);
- Dumping at Sea Control Act (GNR. 31884 of 11 February 2009);
- National Ports Act (12 of 2005); and
- Marine Traffic Act (2 of 1981).

Applicable provisions from these laws and regulations will be incorporated into the design and implementation of the Project and certain Acts have been described further below.

The National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act, Act No. 24 of 2008

The National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act, Act No. 24 of 2008 (NEM:ICMA) gives legal effect to the policy provisions provided for within the White Paper for Sustainable Coastal Development in South Africa (2000). It establishes a system of integrated coastal and estuarine management in the Republic, including norms, standards and policies, in order to promote the conservation of the coastal zone, and to maintain the natural attributes of coastal landscapes and seascapes, and to ensure the development and the use of natural resources within the coastal region is socially and economically justifiable as well as ecologically sustainable.

Chapter 8 and Schedule 2 of the NEM: ICMA provides integrated procedures for regulating the disposal of effluent and waste into the sea and estuaries. These procedures relate to both discharge and dumping permits. Previously the disposal of effluent through pipelines and the dumping of waste from vessels into the sea or estuaries were controlled under different articles of legislation by different Departments.

The NEM:ICMA intends to regulate the discharge of effluent into coastal waters from any source on land (section 69) and to regulate the discharge of effluent into coastal waters from vessels (sections 70 and 71) by requiring permits to authorise such discharges.

Section 69 of the NEM: ICMA prohibits the discharge of effluent that originates from a source on land into coastal waters except in terms of a general authorisation contemplated in subsection (2) or a coastal waters discharge permit issued under this section by the Minister.

Section 69 of the NEM: ICMA does not currently apply to effluent from a floating power platform/ power ship or power barge as the effluent does not originate from the land.

Section 70 of the NEM: ICMA prohibits incineration at sea and restricts dumping at sea in accordance with South Africa's obligations under international law.

Section 71 provides requirements applicable to dumping permits. The NEM: ICMA defines "*dumping at sea*" as:

"(a) any deliberate disposal into the sea of any waste or material other than operational waste from a vessel, aircraft, platform or other man-made structure at sea;

(b) any deliberate disposal into the sea of a vessel, aircraft, platform or other man-made structure at sea;

(c) any storage of any waste or other material on or in the seabed, its subsoil or substrata: or

(*d*) any abandonment or toppling at site of a platform or other structure at sea, for the sole purpose of deliberate disposal, but "dumping at sea" does not include –

(i) the lawful disposal at sea through sea out-fall pipelines of any waste or other material generated on land:

(ii) the lawful depositing of any substance or placing or abandoning of anything in the sea for a purpose other than mere disposal of it; or

(iii) disposing of or storing in the sea any tailings or other material from the bed or subsoil of coastal waters generated by the lawful exploration, exploitation and associated off-shore processing of mineral resources from the bed, subsoil or substrata of the sea;"

The Marine Pollution Act, Act No. 2 of 1986

The Marine Pollution Act, Act No. 2 of 1986 is the main Act in South Africa which regulates pollution from ships, tankers and offshore installations. In 1976, South Africa agreed to the International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage of 1969 and incorporated the requirements of this international convention into this Marine Pollution Act, Act No. 2 of 1986. The provisions of this Act differ slightly from the convention in that the convention only covers persistent oil discharge from tankers, whereas the Marine Pollution Act, Act No. 2 of 1986 covers all types of oil discharge from any type of vessel or offshore installation.

In terms of the Act, the discharge of any oil from a ship, tanker or offshore installation within 12 miles (19km) off the South African coast is an offence. The discharge of oily water or oil and any other substance which contains more than a hundred parts per million of oil is prohibited between 19 and 80 km offshore.

There are three exceptions for the discharge of oil:

- If it was necessary to discharge the oil for safety reasons;
- If the oil escaped from the ship, tanker or offshore installation as a consequence of damage to the ship, tanker or offshore installation, and as soon as possible, all reasonable steps were taken to stop or reduce the escape of oil; or
- If the oil escaped by reason of leakage not due to any lack of reasonable care, and as soon as possible after the escape was discovered all reasonable steps were taken to stop or reduce the escape of oil.

The aim of the Marine Pollution Act, Act No. 2 of 1986 is to provide protection of the sea from pollution by oil and other harmful substances discharged by ships, and for that purpose give effect to International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973/1978 (MARPOL 73/78).

All vessels operating within the South African Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) are required to adhere to the legal requirements for waste management and pollution control, including the Marine Pollution Act, Act No. 2 of 1986 - which incorporate MARPOL 73/78 standards) and the Dumping at Sea Control Act, No 73 0f 1965.

The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973/1978 (MARPOL)

The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships is the principal international convention covering prevention of pollution of the marine environment by ships from operational or accidental causes. The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution of Ships was adopted in 1973. In 1978 the Convention was subsequently amended by the Protocol relating thereto. The Protocol, introduced stricter regulations for the survey and certification of ships. The Convention and the Protocol are to be read as one instrument and is usually referred to as MARPOL 73/78.

MARPOL 73/78 is an important global treaty for the prevention of pollution from the operation of ships; it controls the design and equipment of ships; creates system of certificates and inspections; requires states to provide facilities for the disposal of oily waste and chemicals. MARPOL 73/78 regulates all the technical aspects of pollution from ships, except the disposal of waste into the sea by dumping, and pertains to ships of all types, although it does not pertain to pollution from the exploration and exploitation of seabed mineral resources. Regulations covering the various sources of shipgenerated pollution are contained in the six Annexes of the London Convention and are updated regularly. Annexes I and II, governing oil and chemicals are compulsory but Annexes III, IV, V and VI on packaged materials, sewage, garbage and air pollution are optional.

The floating power platform must comply with MARPOL 73/78 while it is present and operating in the South African EEZ.

The Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (1972) (The London Convention) and the 1996 Protocol The 1972 Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and other Matter (London Convention 1972) is an international treaty that restricts the discharge of wastes that are generated on land and disposed of at sea.

The Protocol, which is meant to ultimately replace the 1972 Convention, prohibits all dumping, except for possibly acceptable wastes contained in Annex I of the Protocol. Annex I permits discharge from "vessels and platforms or other man-made structures at sea".

Requirements of the London Convention and the 1996 Protocol has been given effect to in South African law by the Dumping at Sea Control Act, Act No 73 of 1980, as amended by the Dumping at Sea Control Amendment Act, Act No. 73 of 1995 and the NEM:ICMA. The DEA have the responsibility of implementing the Protocol and the London Convention in South African Waters. *The Dumping at Sea Control Act, Act No. 73 of 1980, as Amended by the Dumping at Sea Control Amendment Act, Act No. 73 of 1995*

The Dumping at Sea Control Act, Act No 73 of 1980, as amended by the Dumping at Sea Control Amendment Act, Act No. 73 of 1995, controls the dumping of substances at sea. The Act lists substances that are prohibited to be dumped at sea (Schedule 1) and substances that are restricted when dumping at sea (Schedule 2). This Act must therefore be taken into account when determining the nature of the effluent being discharged from the floating power plant.

In terms of the London Convention and the 1996 Protocol Article III (b) (i) "Dumping does not include the disposal of wastes or other matter incidental to, or derived from the normal operation of vessels, aircraft, platforms or man-made structures at sea and their equipment, other than wastes or other matter transported by or to vessels, aircrafts, platforms or man-made structures at sea, operating for the purpose of disposal of such matter or derived from the treatment of such wastes or other matter on such vessel, aircrafts, platforms or structures".

Therefore if the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) agrees that the cooling water discharge from the power barge is considered to be "operational", a dumping permit would not be required. However, should the DEA determine the cooling water to be considered a waste, then a dumping permit shall be required.

ERM recommends that the client obtains a legal opinion on the definition of 'operational' waste from a vessel.

The South African Marine Water Quality Guidelines recommend that the maximum acceptable variation in ambient temperature should not exceed 1°C at the edge of the zone where initial mixing and dilution take place. However, it is international best practice to meet a temperature differential of 3° C at the edge of the zone where initial mixing and dilution take place.

The IFC guidelines for temperature discharge into **surface waters** states that the effluent should result in a temperature increase of no more than 3° C at the edge of the zone where initial mixing and dilution take place.

The International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Co-Operation, 1990 (OPRC Convention)

The International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Co-operation stipulates that all vessels are required to have an oil pollution emergency plan on-board the vessel. Operators of offshore units under the jurisdiction of the Parties to the convention are also required to have oil pollution emergency plans or similar arrangements which must be synchronised with national systems for responding rapidly and effectively to oil pollution incidents. Vessels are required to report incidents of pollution to coastal authorities and the convention describes the actions that are then to be taken. The Convention recommends the establishment of stockpiles of oil spill combating equipment, the holding of oil spill combating exercises and the development of detailed plans for dealing with pollution incidents. Parties to the convention must provide assistance to others in the event of a pollution emergency and provision is made for the reimbursement of any assistance provided. Although South Africa is not a Party to this convention, the National Contingency Plan for the Prevention and Combating of Pollution from Ships serves the requirements of this Act.

The United National Convention on Law of the Sea, 1982 (UNCLOS)

The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) establishes a comprehensive legal framework on environmental protection, based on the principle of State responsibility and general environmental protection principles, while depending on other international and regional organisations and instruments to supplement rules and standards. Part XII of the Convention addresses marine environmental protection with the aim of minimising all types of pollution and devices used in offshore exploration and production of the resources of the seabed and subsoil. UNCLOS concentrates on measures for preventing accidents and dealing with emergencies, ensuring the safety of operations at sea, and regulating the design, construction, equipment, operation and manning of such installations and devices. Article 208 imposes a duty on States to control such activities within their jurisdiction and to cooperate regionally and globally to implement such obligation of marine protection.

It is important to note, however, that while UNCLOS provides a framework for future protocols and instruments addressing marine pollution, it fails to provide details of how such goals should be accomplished. It therefore requires regional harmonization and standards while assigning and allocating responsibilities to States. In order to address this issue UNCLOS has been incorporated into the laws that protect the marine environment in South Africa.

The Marine Living Resources Act, Act No. 18 of 1998

The Marine Living Resources Act 18 of 1998, Act No. 18 of 1998 governs Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) in South Africa and states in section 43 that: "(2) *No person shall in any marine protected area, without permission in terms of subsection* (3) –

(a) fish or attempt to fish;

(b) take or destroy any fauna and flora other than fish;

(c) dredge, extract sand or gravel, discharge or deposit waste or any other polluting matter, or in any way disturb, alter or destroy the natural environment;

(d) construct or erect any building or other structure on or over any land or water within such a marine protected area; or

(e) carry on any activity which may adversely impact on the ecosystems of that area.(3) The Minister may, after consultation with the Forum, give permission in writing that any activity prohibited in terms of this section may be undertaken, where such activity is required for the proper management of the marine protected area."

Due to the discharge of the cooling water and other ship based effluents from the FPPs, a permit will be required in terms of this Act should the vessel be stationed inside or near a Marine Protected Area.

The Marine Pollution (Control and Civil Liability) Act, Act No. 6 of 1981

The purpose of the Marine Pollution (Control and Civil Liability) Act, Act No. 6 of 1981 is to provide protection of the marine environment from pollution by oil and other harmful substances. This Act gives power to the South African Maritime Safety Authority (SAMSA) to take steps to prevent harmful substances being discharged from vessels.

SAMSA will need to be consulted regarding the discharge of the cooling water from the FPP. They will require a detailed description of the effluent chemical constituents.

The Marine Pollution (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act, Act No. 2 of 1986

The Marine Pollution (Prevention of Pollution from Ships) Act, Act No. 2 of 1986 aims to provide protection of the marine environment from pollution by oil and other harmful substances discharged from ships.

The Marine Pollution (Intervention) Act, Act No. 65 of 1987

The Marine Pollution (Intervention) Act, Act No. 65 of 1987 implements to the international convention relating to the Intervention of the High Seas in cases of oil pollution casualties, and to the Protocol relating to Intervention of the High Seas in cases of Marine Pollution by substances other than Oil in South African Waters.

The National Ports Act, Act No. 12 of 2005

The National Ports Act, Act No. 12 of 2005 provides for the formation of the National Ports Authority and the Ports Regulator. In terms of the Act, all Ports fall under the jurisdiction of the National Ports Authority (NPA), which must own, manage, control and administer ports to ensure their efficient and economic functioning. Part of this control includes licensing and regulating functions in respect of port services and port facilities.

Transnet will need to be consulted regarding the discharge of the cooling water from the FPP. They may have different rules and regulations to regulate effluents in the Port.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL BASELINE

The objective of the environmental and social baseline is to establish the characteristics of the existing biophysical and socio-economic conditions in the Project's Areas of Influence (AoI). The baseline serves as the reference point against which changes can be predicted and ultimately monitored.

This Chapter presents the baseline conditions in the Project's AoI. The baseline was determined through review of existing information and through observations and interviews conducted in the site visit.

5.1 INTRODUCTION

5

The Port of Richards Bay falls within the uMhlathuze Local Municipality located on the coast of the Indian Ocean in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. It is one of six (6) municipalities that form part of the uThungulu District Municipality.

The Port of Richards Bay ¹rests on the shores of a lagoon formed by the Mhlatuze River about 90 nautical miles northeast of the Port of Durban. Sir Frederick Richards established the settlement of Port of Richards Bay during the Anglo-Zulu War in 1879. In an effort to preserve the ecological integrity of the lagoon, the Richards Bay Game Sanctuary was created in 1935. The sanctuary was expanded to form Richards Bay Park in 1943.

In 1954, the town of Richards Bay was designed on the lagoon's shores. It was a recognized town by 1969. The harbour was converted into the Port of Richards Bay in 1976. The deep-water harbour soon acquired railway and pipeline links to Johannesburg, some 484 kilometres to the northwest of the Port.

In 2002, Richards Bay and Empangeni as well as the surrounding rural and tribal areas merged to form the "City of uMhlathuze" covering an area of approximately 800 km² and supporting approximately 300, 000 people.

5.2 AREA OF INFLUENCE

For the purposes of this impact assessment, the Area of Influence (AoI) encompasses (IFC, 2012):

• 'The area likely to be affected by: (i) the project and the client's activities and facilities that are directly owned, operated or managed (including by contractors) and that are a component of the project; (ii) impacts from unplanned but

¹ (http://www.worldportsource.com/ports/review/ZAF_Port_of_Richards_Bay_641.php). Accessed 21 September 2015.

predictable developments caused by the project that may occur later or at a different location; or (iii) indirect project impacts on biodiversity or on ecosystem services upon which Affected Communities' livelihoods are dependent.

- Associated facilities are facilities that would not have been constructed or expanded if the project did not exist and without which the project would not be viable.
- Cumulative impacts that result from the incremental impact, on areas or resources used or directly impacted by the project, from other existing, planned or reasonably defined developments at the time the risks and impacts identification process is conducted.'

For the Project, the **direct** AoI is the spatial extent of the Project footprint and related facilities as well as on the associated effects on the receiving environment. This encompasses:

- The Port of Richards Bay;
- 1 ha selected site for the switchyard; and
- Approximately 3 km transmission line.

The **indirect** AoI encompasses areas potentially affected by cumulative impacts as well as areas that could be impacted indirectly by Project activities. The indirect AoI will differ between various resources and receptors depending on the dependencies. For example, indirect impacts to soils would be likely limited to the immediate areas around the direct footprint of the switchyard. Indirect impact to social resources may, however, extend to nearby towns and cities.

The direct and indirect AOI will be determined through the EIA process.

5.3 TERRESTRIAL ENVIRONMENT

5.3.1 *Climatic Conditions*

Richards Bay is characterised by a subtropical climate with warm wet summers and mild moist to dry winters, which are frost-free. The town has an average annual rainfall of 1,228 millimetres. The average annual temperature is 21.5 °C, with daytime maxima peaking from January to March at 29 °C, and the minimum is 21 °C , dropping to daytime highs from June to August of 23 °C and a minimum of 12 °C.

According to the Monthly Ambient Air Quality Report ¹, the average wind speed in January 2012 was 3.9m/s with 6.0% calms. Maximum daily, hourly

¹ Richards Bay Clean Air association AQ0002 Monthly Ambient Air Quality Report, January 2012. SGS Environmental.

and 10-minute average wind speeds were 6.7m/s, 8.9m/s and 9.8m/s respectively. Predominant winds blew from two sectors: NNE to ENE (45%) and SSW to SW (10%). Most of the higher speed winds (>8m/s) were from the NNE to NE (58%), and SSW (31%).



Figure 5.1 Harbour West wind rose January 2012

Source: Richards Bay Clean Air association AQ0002 Monthly Ambient Air Quality Report, January 2012. SGS Environmental.

5.3.2 Topography

According to the Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone¹, the study area is characterized by three distinct topographical features. These include:

- A flood plain consisting mainly of water bodies (lakes, estuary, river channels);
- Sand plains rising above the flood plain; and
- Coastal Dunes.

¹. Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone, 2010. Prepared by Thorn-Ex.

The floodplain forms part of the alluvial plain that represents the area over which floodplains have shifted over geological time and is characterized by the presence of alluvial soils¹. The local landscape is characterized by an interconnected network of hydrological ecosystems that sustains a combination of locally important habitats and species which contribute to the maintenance of one of South Africa's biodiversity hotspots.

At least 75% of the area is already transformed and the remaining surface area under indigenous cover has been identified as highly significant from a biodiversity point of view.

The Mhlathuze and Nseleni rivers run through the area and there are various coastal lakes of environmental importance that also supply water to the Municipality.

5.3.3 *Geology and Soils*

According to the Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone (2010), an Engineering Geology Study undertaken by Golder in 2005 broadly classified areas for the purpose of identifying constraints to development as well as difficult founding conditions, or other geotechnical factors affecting urban development. This is illustrated in *Figure 5.2*.

The geotechnical and development constraint categories of the environmental sensitive zones are:

- A have no restrictions on development.
- B are developable, but with minor geotechnical and/or development constraints.
- C is developable but with more costly geotechnical and/or development constraints. More detailed geotechnical investigations may be required.
- D recommends no development, or more detailed geotechnical investigations required.

The most significant constraint category which presents challenges is represented by the zonal prefix D.

Figure 5.2 Geotechnical Constraints (Golder, 2005) within the uMhlathuze Municipality. The area identified for the development of the switchyard and transmission line falls within the developed zone (yellow) and zone D (light green).



Source: Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone, 2010

5.3.4 Flora and Fauna

Richards Bay has a high level of local endemism and the main systems of remaining natural assets are considered important for supporting Red Data Species (Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone, 2010). There are a number of critically important ecosystem types in the area and their functioning is under threat from development pressure. Critical ecosystem types in the area include, amongst others, grasslands, wetland ecosystem types, mangrove and swamp forests, and intertidal habitats.

5.3.5 Air Quality

According to the Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone (2010), Richards Bay is characterised by very poor air quality conditions. A study was commissioned in 2005 which assessed these conditions and identified impact areas where air quality limits are exceeded or are in danger of being exceeded. The buffer zones that were derived described in *Table 5.1* and illustrated in *Figure 5.3*. The zones are based on the existing air quality situation and they characterise the areas which are incompatible with residential and other sensitive land uses.

Table 5.1Air Quality Buffer Zone Descriptions

Buffer Zone	Implications for management	
Potential Health	The zone incorporates mainly the CBD and the industrial areas surrounding it and	
Impact Zone	Arboretum. It demands a priority focus for decision-making. Further industrial	
(99th Percentile)	development resulting in SO ² emissions should preferably not be considered	
	within this zone until such time as the concentrations are within acceptable limits.	
	These levels will have to be determined by the City of uMhlathuze.	
	Industries cannot be allowed to operate at full permit capacity in this zone.	
Nuisance Zone	The zone areas where people may experience discomfort due to unpleasant	
	odours. It falls within the critical zone.	
Management	The main part of Richards Bay falls within this zone including the industrial areas,	
Zone	CBD, Arboretum and Brackenham. This zone could result in possible health	
	implications. The ambient monitoring network should be expanded to ensure	
	representative monitoring within this zone.	
Alert Zone	The main pollutants of concern in this zone are PM10 around the harbour and SO2	
(LOAEL & Alert	at the CBD and surroundings. Any further industrial development within this	
Thresholds)	zone resulting in these two pollutants should be carefully considered since effects	
	may be noticed by sensitive individuals, and actions to reduce these effects may be	
	needed. (LOAEL: The lowest level at which adverse effects for a specific pollutant	
	have been observed).	
Environmental	Areas where possible negative impacts on vegetation growth could occur.	
Impact Zone		

Source: Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone, 2010



Figure 5.3 Combined buffer zones based on air pollution criteria- the proposed project falls within the Management Zone (blue)

Source: Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone, 2010

5.3.6 Surface and Groundwater

According to the Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone (2010), water is a limiting factor for further industrial development in Richards Bay due to its availability and variability.

There is a complex hydrological regime in the area representing various surface water features (lakes, wetlands, rivers and streams) that have strong linkages with the groundwater features (various coastal aquifers). This situation generates a very sensitive environment in which negative impacts on the groundwater will be reflected in the surface water bodies and vice-versa.

The hydrological network also has strong ecological linkages, giving rise to unique aquatic, estuarine and marine habitats. This complex situation makes the groundwater-surface water interaction highly vulnerable to development and contamination risks are a general concern in the area.

Groundwater characteristics for the uMhlathuze Municipal Area have been defined and are illustrated in *Figure 5.4*.



Figure 5.4 Groundwater Vulnerability Classes (Golder, 2004) - the location of the switchyard falls within the Most Sensitive class (brown).

Source: Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone, 2010

The local water supply capacity in uMhlathuze has been fully developed and water is being augmented from outside the catchment. The demand for water is likely to grow and there are questions around the adequacy of water to sustain the ecological reserve.

5.3.7 Wetlands

Wetlands are sensitive environmental features that must be protected from development to safeguard the functions they provide in terms of water quality and quantity. The Conservation Authorities have set a target of "100% protection of all wetlands" in the area.

According to the Investigational Report No.138 (20091), the original Port was a large, shallow wetland area prior to the 1970s and was divided into two areas to create the Port area and a nature conservation area (termed the sanctuary). This resulted in the loss of wetland habitats and greatly changed the remaining ones. Consequently, parts of Richards Bay are regarded as nationally important aquatic ecosystems particularly for fish and birds. In essence, the major aquatic ecosystems in the Greater Richards Bay area likely to be affected by development include intertidal and subtidal sand and mudflats, mangroves, the river/estuary interface, sand spits, freshwater pans, papyrus and Phragmites swamps and the lower reaches of two rivers.

5.4 MARINE ENVIRONMENT

Oceanography

The main aspects defining physical oceanography are temperature and salinity. These features are significantly influenced by the Agulhas Current, which is considered to be the most significant large-scale oceanographic feature, off the KwaZulu-Natal coast (Schumann et al. 2005). The sea temperature off the Richards Bay coast tends to vary from 21°C to a maximum of 25°C (recorded in February); there is very little vertical temperature variation indicating a well-mixed regime (Schumann et al. 2005). The salinity of the subtropical surface waters is relatively high (greater than 35.5×10^{-3} ppm). There are marked seasonal changes in the upper reaches of the ocean with higher temperatures due to the increase in summer insolation and lower salinities resulting from increased rainfall and outflow from large rivers (Schumann et al. 2005).

Water Quality

The water quality of the Richards Bay port was measured during the development of the Richards Bay Port Expansion EIA and detailed in the Draft Scoping Report (AECOM SA (Pty) Ltd, March 2014). The location of the

¹ Coastal Research Unit of Zululand. Investigational Report No.138 (2009).

FPP falls within the area described as Inner Basin 1 which, together with Inner Basin 3, experienced the highest microalgal biomass (chlorophyll-a concentration) (*Figure 5.5*). The source of nutrients contributing to these elevated biomass levels was attributed to anthropogenic sources. The exchange of water between Inner Basin 1 and the greater Richards Bay is restricted because of its 'dead-end' nature which facilitates an increase in microalgal biomass (water retention time exceeds generation time of the microalgae).

The impact of the release of warm water from a FPP will need to be determined as well as the influence of accidental spills or release of nutrientrich effluent (which would lead to increases in microalgal biomass).

Figure 5.5 Map of Richards Bay showing the location of Inner Basin 1 where the FPP is proposed to be moored



Source: Draft Scoping Report: Proposed Richards Bay Port Expansion Programme within uMhlathuze Local Municipality in Kwa-Zulu Natal Province (DEA REF NO: 14/12/16/3/3/3/103), AECOM, 2014

Marine Ecology

The port forms part of a larger estuarine system which is closely connected with the marine environment. The original Richards Bay Estuary was divided into two distinct sections by means of a 4km berm wall to form the harbour area and the sanctuary area; and the Mhlathuze River was canalized, diverting the natural flow into the sanctuary estuary. These two estuarine entities still remain as an ecological unit, and it has strong ecological linkages with the floodplain (DAERD, 2011).

There are a number of sensitive habitats within the Port of Richards Bay (CSIR 1996 in ACER (Africa) 2008), namely:

- Subtidal Mud flats;
- Sand flats;
- Freshwater environment;
- Mangroves;
- Reed swamps;
- Undeveloped terrestrial; habitats.

Figure 5.6 Sensitive Habitats within the Port of Richards Bay. The proposed location of the FPP (yellow star) is within the area described as the marine embayment in the north-west of the port. It is north of the area identified as post-harbour mangroves (dark blue) and separated from the mudflats (brown) by the sandpit



Source: CSIR 1996 in ACER (Africa) 2008

Mangroves

According to Aecom (2014)¹, the mangroves are an important habitat for sea life (*Uca* species: Fiddler crabs, *Scylla serrate*: Mud crab, *Periophthalmus kalolo*: Mudskippers and many species of sea snails and sea slugs), birds and animals (i.e. turtles and crocodiles). Fish use this area for mating grounds and as a result of intertidal inundation, fish and crustaceans are swept into this highly productive habitat to feed on the meio – and macro faunal species in the muddy sediments. The mangroves are also visited by large numbers of migratory bird species.

The Echwebeni Site is one of the last remaining stands of the original distribution of mangroves and is of Conversation Significance; this is located on the southern bank of the mouth of the port. This stand of mangroves is important as all three mangrove species, *Avicennia marina* (White mangrove), *Briguiera gymnorhize* (Black mangrove) and *Rhizophora mucronata* (Red mangrove) occur here and this area has been proclaimed a Natural Heritage Site in terms of the defunct Natural Heritage Programme of the Department of Environmental Affairs.

Mud flats

According to Aecom (2014), the subtidal mud flats occur in the south-western side of the port at the outlet of the Bhizolo Canal and cover an area of some 125 ha. The mudflats are important habitats in the functional estuarine ecosystem and support both estuarine species and avifauna species. They also play an important role in nutrient processing and support a complex food web. Species found in this habitat include nematodes and crustaceans as well as various fish species.

The subtidal mudflats also provide a haven for bird species, which include species listed in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (2012). The area is considered an area of high regional importance as some of the avifauna species such as the *Numenius phaeopus* (Whimbrel), *Limosa lapponice* (Bartailed godwit) and *Pluvialis squatarola* (Grey plover) are listed in terms of the African - Eurasian Waterbird Agreement under the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals, which therefore lends an international obligation to the area.

5.5 LAND USE

According to the Richards Bay final IDP 2014-2015, the port is the overarching priority for stimulating the local economy. It is also a provincial priority in

¹ Draft Scoping Report: Proposed Richards Bay Port Expansion Programme within uMhlathuze Local Municipality in Kwa-Zulu Natal Province (DEA REF NO: 14/12/16/3/3/103), AECOM, 2014

that it is the growth engine for one of the primary provincial growth nodes. Port and related infrastructure is classified as Strategic Important Developments and is important for the national economy. Port expansion options are addressed in the Port Development Framework (2007) which has been integrated with the City's IDP and SDF and form parts of the City's Local Economic Development Strategy.

5.6 CULTURAL HERITAGE AND PALAEONTOLOGY

According to the Baseline Heritage Study: Proposed Richards Bay Port Expansion, uMhlathuze Local Municipality, uThungulu District, KwaZulu-Natal (2013)¹, Palaeogene sediments (Early Palaeocene–Early Oligocene) have been observed in onshore cores south of Richards Bay at depths around 35– 55m. Upper Cretaceous St Lucia Formation deposits have been acknowledged in some onshore cores below that level and at around 60m below the sea floor in the Richards Bay harbour. The Eocene deposits in north-eastern KwaZulu-Natal are thought to be correlative to the fossil-rich Eocene Salamanga Fm. in southern Mozambique (Roberts et al., 2006). The Upper Maastrichtian and Palaeocene deposits from Richards Bay yielded rich cephalopod fauna (Klinger et al., 2001; van Jaarsveld, 2006).

According to the baseline report referred to above, the presence of significant heritage resources in the area is unlikely due to the following:

- The historical environment, comprising a near-coastal lagoon, would have been unattractive as a place of human settlement prior to European occupation, given the presence of diseases deleterious to the health of people and domestic animals.
- The establishment of the town and the port largely precludes the presence of structures or buildings with historical value.
- The nature of the construction of the port, involving massive environmental disturbance, would have destroyed any traces of archaeological, palaeontological or geological sites.
- Much of the greenfield area proposed for the Port development was already transformed by intensive and extensive land uses, including timber and sugarcane plantations.

It is therefore not anticipated that the establishment of the FPP will impact on heritage/palaeontological resources

¹ Aecom (2013). Baseline Heritage Study: Proposed Richards Bay Port Expansion, uMhlathuze Local Municipality, uThungulu District, KwaZulu-Natal.

5.7 SOCIAL

5.7.1 Administrative Structure

The uMhlathuze Local Municipality is located in the uThungulu District Municipality in the North-Eastern part of KwaZulu-Natal. The uMhlathuze LM is bordered by the following LMs within the uThungulu District: 1. Mfolozi (KZ 281)

2. Ntambanana (KZ 283)

3. uMlalazi (KZ 284)

Richards Bay and Empangeni are the most significant economic centres in the Local Municipality and in the District Municipality according to the uMhlathuze SDF Review, 2013/2014¹. Richards Bay, as a harbour and industrial town, attracts people from surrounding towns, rural settlements and from beyond the district. Empangeni's role as an industrial, commercial and service centre to the settlements of Esikhaleni, Eshowe, Nkandla, Ntambanana and other rural settlements attracts many people to the range of higher order services available in the town.

According to the 2010 Global Insight Statistics, it is noted that the vast majority of economic performance (41.8%) in the district is vested in uMhlathuze Local Municipality, notably in Richards Bay and Empangeni. This area is the third most important in the province of KwaZulu-Natal in terms of economic production and contributed 9.1% of the total GGP1 and 8.5% of the total employment (formal and informal) in 2010.

The uMhlathuze Municipality is the smallest, in terms of geographic area, in the uThungulu District and has an area of 795 km². It has the largest population in the district with an estimated 334, 000 people according to the 2011 Census.

5.7.2 *Demographic Profile*

The following table provides a breakdown of the land ownership in terms of hectares and percentages (%).

Table 5.2Land Ownership Breakdown

Ownership	Size (hectares)	Percentage (%)
Other	12460	16
Ingonyama Trust	27953	35
Private	33380	42
Water bodies	5542	7
Total	79334	100

¹ uMhlathuze Local Municipality: (Draft) SDF Review 2013/2014. Master on DMS 974071.

5.7.3 Population and Household Numbers

The uMhlathuze Local Municipality experienced a net population increase of more than 14% between 2001 and 2011. The uMhlathuze Local Municipalities has the smallest household size in the district since 2001. The average household size in uMhlathuze has remained constant at 3.9 from 2001 to 2011.

5.7.4 Education

According to the uMhlathuze Municipal IDP (2012/2017),¹ there is a downward trend in the system of people that complete Grade 12. Most pupils reached secondary schooling but are unable to continue with their studies. This may be a result of immigration of skilled workers into the area.

According to the IDP, 52.4% or scholars achieved an education below Grade 12, whilst there is a decrease in Grade 12 pass rates as the demand for skills has increased in the area.

The municipality has signed a Memorandum of Agreement between uMhlathuze Municipality and Umfolozi FET College and this effort was aimed giving the youth opportunities through skills acquisition to provide training and job opportunities.

5.7.5 Health

According to the uMhlathuze Municipality IDP Review (2014/2015), the Municipality faces a challenge of reacting to urban sprawl, which, results in increased informal settlement, overcrowded schools, ill health and marked spatial disparities.

HIV/AIDS is an epidemic which is increasing at an alarming rate and affects communities negatively. External support to ensure continuous support for efficient and effective service delivery in terms of health related issues. Provision of basic health services and effective healthcare infrastructure, increased financial and human resources in healthcare, awareness and education and poverty alleviate on programmes will reduce the increased incidents of HIV/AIDS and communicable diseases.

5.7.6 *Economic Profile*

uMhlathuze is characterized by an in-flow of people in search of opportunities thereby increasing pressures on social and infrastructure services (uMhlathuze Municipality IDP Review, 2014/2015).

An analysis of land use zonings was undertaken for the formal urban areas of the Municipality as shown in *Table 5.3*.

¹ uMhlathuze Integrated Development Plan (2012/2017). PDF version on DMS 820202.

Table 5.3Zoning Percentages in Urban Areas

Zoning	Ha	% Against Total
Commercial	114.02	1.46
Industrial	1695	21.70
General Residential	115.752	1.48
Special Residential	1496.475	19.16
Intermediate Residential	15.87	0.2
Public/Private Open Spaces	540	6.92
Transportation Infrastructure	28.4	0.4
Undetermined	16.686	0.21
Social	353.8	6.86
Other Zonings	3248.997	41.616
Total		100

Source: uMhlathuze Municipality IDP Review, 2014/2015

In the general municipal area, special residential zoned land accounts for about 20% and Industrial for 21% of the total. Other zonings include land zoned for conservation, open spaces, municipal purposes and community type facilities or services. Commercial accounts for less than 2% of the zoned land.

Employment

The figure below shows the percentage of persons in the respective municipal wards that are employed and unemployed. The highest percentage employment is in Ward 1, 2, 3, 9, 23 and 29. These wards largely correlate with the developed urban areas of Richards Bay and Empangeni. Unemployment levels seem to be highest (as a percentage) in Wards 6, 19 and 22. These wards largely correlate with areas that are developing (densifying) on the urban periphery of Esikhaleni and Nseleni.

Figure 5.7 Percentage Employment per Ward



Source: uMhlathuze Municipality IDP Review, 2014/2015

IPP: EIA FOR FLOATING POWER PLANT, RICHARDS BAY-SCOPING REPORT

Income and Dependency

Very high numbers of persons in Wards 5, 6, 13, 15, 18, 25 and 29 earn less than R1600 per month as illustrated in *Figure 5.8*.



Figure 5.8 Monthly Individual Income

5.7.7 General Infrastructure and Services

The Richards Bay deep-water port has been influential in the spatial development of the general area in the past and apart from the areas of natural significance, large tracts of land are under commercial agricultural production.

N2, John Ross Highway, P231 and North Central Arterial are major access and linkage systems traversing the Richards Bay Node. West Central Arterial and East Central Arterial provide access and linkage within and between the other Municipal nodes.

Social Infrastructure includes public and private administration offices, recreation, medical facilities, residential, community halls, public transport facilities, educational facilities, social/welfare facilities, SAPS, tourism, churches, cemeteries, magistrate court and petrol filling stations.

Commerce and industrial infrastructure includes harbour, manufacturing, hotel, restaurants, informal trading, retail, finance & insurance, banking facilities, building supplies, furniture, motor showroom and, wholesalers.

Physical infrastructure in the area includes water supply, waterborne system, electrification, solid waste disposal, storm-water management and telecommunication services.

Energy

According to the Environmental Risk Evaluation and Guidelines for the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone (2010), sustainable energy has become a constraint to development in the Richards Bay area. Overall access to electricity in the municipal area is good (95% of municipal households have electricity). The manufacturing sector, which is the most energy intensive sector in the City of uMhlathuze, consumes 8% of the total power generated in South Africa. Major industrial users of electricity are reliant on low cost electricity for competitiveness. The cost advantage of the current situation is already under threat because of network capacity constraints. Trends towards energy efficiency initiatives in larger industries are noted. These provide opportunities for improving eco-efficiency initiatives in the area, including cogeneration.

Waste Management

General, non-hazardous waste is transported to the uMhlathuze Regional Landfill in Empangeni, which is owned by the uThungulu District Municipality and operated by a private contractor. Hazardous waste must be transported to Durban.

6.1 INTRODUCTION

EIA is a systematic process that identifies and evaluates the potential impacts a proposed Project may have on the physical, biological, chemical, and social environment and develops mitigation measures that will be incorporated in order to eliminate, minimise or reduce these impacts.

As described in *Chapter 2*, the process in South Africa is regulated by the NEMA Environmental Assessment Regulations (GNR R982/2014). The overall Scoping and EIR process is illustrated in *Figure 6.1*.

This EIA process that is being undertaken for the Project is aligned with the requirements of the EIA Regulations (2014).



Figure 6.1 Integrated Environmental Impact Assessment Process

6.2 APPROACH TO EIA PROCESS

The EIA process is initiated through a pre-assessment Public Participation Process (PPP). The pre-assessment process is not a mandatory requirement in terms of the EIA regulations (2014) but a beneficial option for the client and EAP in order to identify key stakeholders and Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) as well as to identify any fatal flaws at the onset of a project.

This phase is followed by the scoping phase (inclusive of a notice of intent to the authorities), as shown in *Figure 6.1*. During the scoping phase the Terms of Reference for the full EIA is formulated, and requirements from the authorities clarified. The scoping process serves to bring stakeholders on board by means of consultation with relevant government departments, allowing for the identification of potential issues and concerns.

After completion of the scoping phase, detailed specialist studies will be undertaken in order to address issues identified during the scoping phase. Specialists are expected not only to provide baseline information in their particular field of expertise for the study area, but also to take this study further and identify which project actions will result in significant impacts. Consultants are also expected to suggest ways in which these negative impacts could be mitigated, to reduce their severity.

All draft reports are submitted for public review, during which time ERM present the key findings to all interested and affected parties (I&APs). All comments made by I&APs are captured in a Issues and Response Report, and in this report responses to all issues and concerns raised during the public review period are provided.

All recommendations cited in the EIA report must be detailed in an Environmental Management Programme report (EMPr), which defines the actions to be implemented. EMPs are recognised as very important tools for the sound environmental management of projects.

6.3 SCOPING PHASE

A principal objective of the scoping phase is to identify the key environmental, social and health issues and those Project activities with the potential to contribute to, or cause, impacts to the environmental and social receptors.

At the scoping stage, the key issues are identified (often together with input from key stakeholders) and understood to a level which allows the definition of the Plan of Study for the EIA.

Issues that are not relevant are scoped out. This enables the resources for the EIA to be focused on collecting required information and identifying

significant impacts while carrying out specialist studies and stakeholder engagement activities in an effective and efficient manner.

Specifically, the objectives of the scoping phase are to:

- Understand the legislative context and establish a description of baseline conditions;
- Identify project alternatives and preferred options for the proposed development;
- Identify stakeholders and plan or initiate communication with these stakeholders so as to gather issues of concern;
- Identify potential significant impacts; and
- Develop the Plan of Study for the EIA which sets out the proposed approach to the EIA, potential impacts to be evaluated and methodology to be used.

The following steps have been undertaken as part of the scoping phase, and are described below:

- desktop review;
- site visit;
- public participation (see further detail in *Section 6.6*);
- preparation of the Draft Scoping Report, and
- submission of application form.

6.3.1 Desktop Review

An initial review of relevant information was conducted. The desktop review included the following tasks:

- Initial review of relevant legislative and guidance documents;
- Identification and review of secondary data;
- Development of an outline description of the planned Project activities; and
- Development of a plan for stakeholder engagement.

6.3.2 Site Visit

ERM undertook a site visit on 4 September 2015 including a site walkover of the proposed Project sites. The objective was to ground-truth secondary data collected on the site and to assist in the identification of sensitive receptors and resources and define the Plan of Study for the EIA.

6.3.3 Public Participation

Details of the public participation process are provided in Section 6.6.

6.3.4 Scoping Report

In accordance with the regulatory requirements stipulated in GNR 984 of the EIA Regulations (2014), this draft Scoping Report (including Plan of Study), has been compiled as part of the EIA process.

The Scoping Report will be made available to stakeholders through the Project website, selected libraries, and hard copies provided on request for a period of 30 days. After the 30 day public comment period a comments and responses report will be compiled and included in the final Report along with any other updates or changes. The final Scoping Report (including Plan of Study) will be submitted to the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) for their consideration.

Registered I&APs will be notified once the final Scoping Report has been submitted. The comments and responses report be included in the final Scoping Report and distributed to registered I&APs.

6.3.5 Submission of Application Form

The completed EIA application form will be submitted to the competent authority together with the Draft Scoping Report. In terms of the 2014 EIA Regulations the Final Scoping report is to be submitted to the competent authority within 43 days of receipt of the acknowledgement letter.

6.4 SPECIALIST STUDY PHASE

A number of specialist studies have been identified to address key issues of concern. The findings of these studies will be incorporated into the Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIR) that will close out the Integration and Assessment Phase. Further information related to the approach to the specialist studies and the impact assessment is contained in the Plan of Study for EIA in *Chapter 7*.

6.5 INTEGRATION AND ASSESSMENT PHASE

The final phase of the EIA is the Integration and Assessment Phase, which is described in detail in the Plan of Study for EIA (Section 7).

The assessment of impacts proceeds through an iterative process considering three key elements:

- a) Prediction of the significance of impacts that are the consequence of the proposed development on the natural and social environment.
- b) Development of mitigation measures to avoid, reduce or manage the impacts.
- c) Assessment of residual significant impacts after the application of mitigation measures.

The Draft EIR will be made available to I&APs for a 30 days public comment period. Registered and identified I&APs will be notified of the release of the Draft EIR and where the report can be reviewed. A public meeting will be held where the findings of the specialist studies and outcomes of the integration and assessment phase will be presented and discussed.

Comments received on the Draft EIR will be assimilated and the EIA project team will provide appropriate responses to all comments. A Comments and Responses Report will be appended to the Final EIR, which will be submitted to DEA for decision-making.

All registered I&APs will be notified when an Environmental Authorisation has been issued by DEA. A 90 day (maximum time should an appeal be submitted) appeal period will follow the issuing of the Environmental Authorisation.

6.5.1 Proposed Timeframe for the EIA

The estimated process schedule for the EIA is presented in *Table 6.1*. Section 7 of this report presents a more detailed Plan of Study for the EIA.

Task	Timing
Stakeholder Comment on Draft Scoping	16 November 2015- 15 December 2015
Report and Plan of Study for EIA	
Finalise Scoping Report and Plan of Study for	17 December 2015- 7 January 2016
EIA and submit to DEA	
Acceptance of Scoping Report received from	27 January 2016
DEA	
Specialist studies	22 October 2015- 27 January 2016
Prepare Draft EIR and EMP	1 December2015- 5 February 2016
Stakeholder Comment on Draft EIR and EMP	8 February 2016- 8 March 2016
Finalise and submit EIR and EMP to DEA	21 March 2016

Table 6.1EIA Schedule

6.6 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING SCOPING

6.6.1 *Objectives of Public Participation*

The public consultation process is designed to provide information to and receive feedback from interested and affected parties (I&AP) for use throughout the EIA process, thus providing organisations and individuals with an opportunity to raise concerns and make comments and suggestions regarding the proposed Project. By being part of the assessment process, stakeholders have the opportunity to influence the Project layout and design, input into mitigation measures and technical solutions as well as the Plan of Study for the EIA.

Public consultation is an inclusive and culturally appropriate process which involves sharing information and knowledge, seeking to understand the concerns of others and building relationships based on collaboration. It allows stakeholders to understand the risks, impacts and opportunities of the Project in order to achieve positive outcomes.

The main objectives of public participation are:

- i. to ensure that adequate and timely information is provided to those potentially affected by the Project;
- ii. to provide these groups with sufficient opportunity to voice their opinions and concerns; and
- iii. to ensure that comments are received in a timely manner so that they can be taken into account in Project decisions.

6.6.2 *Legislative Context*

Public participation with regards to EIA's in South Africa is determined by the principles of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act 107of 1998, as amended) and elaborated upon in 'GN 657: Guideline 4: Public Participation' (Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, 19 May 2006), which states that: "Public participation process means a process in which potential interested and affected parties (I&APs) are given an opportunity to comment on, or raise issues relevant to, specific matters."

Public participation is required for an environmental authorisation process in terms of the EIA Regulations GN R.982 (December 2014).

6.6.3 Public Participation Tasks

The public participation tasks described in *Table 6.2* were undertaken during the Scoping Phase:
Table 6.2Public Participation Tasks: Scoping Phase

Activity	Description and Purpose
Pre-Application	
Preparation of a preliminary	A preliminary database has been compiled of authorities
stakeholder database	(local and provincial), Non-Governmental Organisations,
	neighbouring landowners and other key stakeholders (refer
	to Annex B). This database of registered I&APs will be
	maintained and updated during the ongoing EIA process.
Advertisement of the Project and	The Project was advertised on 19 October 2015 in the
Open House Meeting	following papers: The Mercury (English), The Zululand
	observer (English) and hungu news (IsiZulu). See proof of
Initial Key Informant Meetings	Meetings were held with the following key informants and
initial reg informatic meetings	notes from these meetings are attached in the Annexes
	specified below:
	1. Richards Bay IDZ (refer to Annex B5.1)
	• Khanyi Dlamini - Specialist Planner in the office of the
	CEO.
	Percy Langa - Environmental Manager.
	2. Transnet Ports Authority (refer to Annex B5.2)
	Preston Khomo - Port Manager.
	Basil Ngcobo - Port Engineer.
	Vumani Ndlovu - Environmental Manager.
	Cobie Snyman - Real Estate.
	Sabelo Mdlalose - Port Captain.
	3. uMhlathuze Local Municipality (refer to Annex B5.3)
	• Frederik Bosman (Councillor for Ward 1).
	Sharin Govender (uMhlathuze Environmental
	Manager) (16-Oct).
	4. Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife (refer to Annex B5.4)
	Dominic Wieners (19-Oct).
	Presentation to the Richards Bay IDZ Environmental
	Review Committee (refer to Annex 55.5) (10-Nov)
	Feedback received from these meetings has been used to
	inform the scoping process and engagement going forward.
Open House Meeting	An open house meeting was held at Premier Hotel The
	Richards, Richards Bay on 3 November 2015 to present the
	proposed Project and solicit input from stakeholders into
	the scoping process. Presentation, attendance registers and
	meeting notes are included in Annex B3.
Development of an Initial	All comments received during the initial consultation
Comments and Response Report	period and at the open house meeting were recorded into a
	Comments and Response Report (attached in Annex B4).
Flacing of Site Notices	Site notices will be placed at the following locations:
	The IDZ office; The Richards Bay Public Library:
	 The Mcharus Day Fublic Library; The Mhlathuze Municipality Office patics beard;
	 At the entrance to the Project site
	The de chalace to de l'rojectore.

Activity	Description and Purpose
Release of draft Scoping Report	The draft Scoping Report has been released for public
for Public Comment	comment from 16 November 2015- to 15 December 2015
	Notifications were sent to all stakeholders on the database
	and the report was made available online and in the
	following libraries. All comments received will be included
	in the final Scoping Report.

Issues Raised During Initial Stakeholder Consultation

Initial key informant meetings were held in Richards Bay on 15 October 2015, Durban on 16 October 2015 and by teleconference on 19 October 2015. The key objective of these meetings was to introduce the Project to key role players in Richards Bay, and understand their questions and concerns (refer to Annex B5 for meetings notes). Feedback from these meetings was used to inform the format and content of the open house meeting that followed on 3 November 2015. Summary of key issues raised during the initial meetings is provided in the Table below.

Table 6.3Summary of Key Issues Raised

No.	Key Issues
1.	There is concern about the lack of water in Richards Bay, where the Project would get
	water from, and whether a Water Use Licence would be required.
2.	Questions were raised about the project (and its location and impacts) in the context of
	other developments currently taking place or planned to take place in the port.
3.	Stakeholders wanted to know what type of employment opportunities the Project will
	create, and how many.
4	Stakeholders wish to understand what Transnet's role is.
5.	Questions were raised around what alternatives are being explored in term of site
	locations and technology alternatives.
6.	There is concern around air emissions, the existing air quality in Richards Bay and the
	cumulative impacts of the three projects being proposed by the IPP office (i.e. the FPP,
	the on-land early power project and the LNG import facility).
7.	Stakeholders wanted to know more about the specialist studies being undertaken as part
	of the EIA.
8.	It was suggested that ERM present at the environmental review committee (ERC)
	meeting chaired by the Richards Bay IDZ, as many important role players are active on
	the committee (done on 10-Nov).
9.	It was noted that there is an aquaculture research project currently being run in the exact
	location proposed for the FPP. However, it will only be running to November 2016.
10.	Concern raised about the need for biodiversity offsets should the footprint of any
	component of the development impact on a sensitive habitat. The lack of successful case
	studies with respect to offsets was highlighted as a concern.

7 IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

7.1 INTRODUCTION

A key part of the scoping phase is a preliminary analysis of the ways in which the Project may interact (positively and negatively) with environmental (including physical and biological receptors) and social resources or receptors. The impacts that are identified as potentially significant during the scoping process provide focus for the studies undertaken during the EIA phase. Each of the potentially significant impacts will be discussed and assessed in more detail in the EIR.

In order to complete the scoping phase, the EIA team has drawn upon:

- knowledge of sources of potential impacts associated with power projects;
- an identification of the main environmental and social resources and receptors from the site reconnaissance visit and review of existing published data sources; and
- the results of the initial scoping consultation.

This *Chapter* provides a preliminary identification and evaluation of the environmental and social impacts of the proposed Project.

7.2 **RESOURCES AND RECEPTORS**

For this Project the following main resources and receptors were determined to be relevant.

- *Physical Environment:* ambient air quality, global climate, ambient noise levels, vibration, groundwater quality, surface water quality, surface and groundwater flows and levels, soil, topography, landscape and visual, use of natural resources.
- *Biological Environment:* terrestrial habitats, terrestrial flora, terrestrial fauna, aquatic habitats, aquatic flora and fauna, protected areas.
- *Human Environment:* community health, safety and security; local community; road traffic and transportation; cultural heritage; aesthetics; tourism/recreation; employment and income; economy; public utilities; fishing and aquaculture.

OUTCOME OF THE SCOPING PROCESS AND IMPACT IDENTIFICATION MATRIX

The interactions of project activities with resources and receptors were identified during the Scoping Process.

Activities that will occur in the various development stages (site clearance, construction of project components, operation and decommissioning) were identified and preliminarily ranked in *Table 7.2*).

The evaluation of the significance of an interaction between an activity and an environmental or social resource and/or receptor was made and significance was rated according to the following scale:

Table 7.1Interaction Matrix

7.3

	No interaction
Ι	Interaction with the environment or receptor which is <u>not</u> expected to be significant
S	Interaction with the environment or receptor that <u>could</u> be significant
р	Positive interaction

Potentially significant interactions are summarised in *Section 7.4*. The impacts associated with the Project will probably be narrower in scope than what is identified in these tables because mitigation measures will be built into the project design. However, the impact identification process is intended to be broad at this stage to consider a wide range of possibilities and inform project mitigation priorities.

7.4 SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND RISKS

The following is a summary of the potential impacts and risks determined through the scoping process. The Project will result in both associated impacts (i.e., those that will occur to some degree) and risks (i.e. impacts that might occur). The impacts and risks identified through this process will be assessed in detail in the EIA.

Impacts and risks can be grouped as follows:

- Physical footprint (physical presence of transmission line and switchyard);
- Air emissions;
- Noise (construction, power plant operation);
- Waste and wastewater management;

- Socioeconomic impacts (livelihoods);
- Non-routine discharges (oil spill and chemical spill);
- Cumulative impacts.

A screening process was completed to "screen out" non-significant issues. Those issues will not be considered further in the EIA and potential impacts that will be considered as part of the Scoping and EIA phases are described in *Table 7.2* and given a preliminary status in *Table 7.3* and *Table 7.4*.

Table 7.2Description of Potential Impacts

Risk/Impact Grouping	Potential Impacts
Physical Footprint	 Site clearance for the construction of the access roads, transmission lines, and switchyard in greenfield areas will result in removal of vegetation and habitat, thus resulting in an impact on terrestrial fauna. Presence of the FPP may have an impact on marine ecology and cultural heritage. Presence of the transmission lines and switchyard may have an impact on terrestrial flora and fauna and cultural heritage.
Air Emissions	 The key activities that may impact air quality include the following: Dust from site clearance and construction activities. Emissions from the combustion of fuel. Engine emissions from construction and operational traffic. Emissions of air pollutants. Emissions from the power generating process.
Noise	 The key impacts identified include the following: Noise from construction of transmission line and switchyard may have an impact on sensitive receptors. Noise from FPP operation may have an impact on sensitive receptors. Noise and vibration from construction and operation traffic along main transport/access routes. Noise may result from FPP related activities such as mooring of the vessels, power generation, refuelling, etc.
Waste and Wastewater Management	 Non-hazardous and hazardous wastes will be generated that will need to be transported and disposed of in a manner protective of the natural and human environment. Improper storage, handling and transport of solid and liquid wastes from the FPP may lead to loss of containment and spillages which could give rise to water contamination.
Socio-economic	 Community Health Safety and Security Equipment and activities may create noise and vibration and changes to air quality during construction, operations and demolition that could impact human health; Movement of materials and workers during construction, operation and demolition could impact public safety; and The presence of workers and opportunistic workers in the project area could result in a change in the disease profile of the local population in particular vector borne diseases, communicable diseases and sexually transmitted infections.

Risk/Impact Grouping	Potential Impacts
	Worker Health & Safety
	Hazardous construction, operational or decommissioning
	activities could impact worker health and safety; and
	• Handling of hazardous materials could impact worker health
	and safety.
	Local Community Demographics
	• Influx of workers from outside of the local Project area will
	result in a change in demographics of the local communities;
	and
	• The presence of a construction workforce hosted within the
	Project area will result in changes to demographics.
	Local and Macro Economy
	Procurement of goods and services required by the Project
	during construction, operation and decommissioning of the
	Project may enhance the local economy both directly and
	indirectly: and
	The presence of construction, operation and decommissioning
	workers in the Project area may enhance the local economy
	through their purchase of local goods and services
	Cultural/Heritage Resources
	 Construction activities (transmission line and switchward) could
	have an impact on local cultural sites (naleontological); and
	The process of workers in the Project area transportation of
	 The presence of workers in the froject area, transportation of materials and againment to the construction sites may impact
	on cultural areas
	Unproper storage handling and transport of construction material
Soils	chemicale wastes and demolition materials may lead to spillages
50115	which could give rise to soil contamination
	The key impacts identified include the following:
Marine	Leaks or accidental releases during construction operation and
Marine	decommissioning activities could impact on the marine
	environment
	 Marine habitate fauna and flora may be impacted by the EPP
	• Walling habitats, faulta and hora may be impacted by the FFT
	 Depending on the technology selected water used in the
	Depending on the technology selected, water used in the cooling process may be discharged into the marine
	cooling process may be discharged into the marine
	1ito
Cumulativa Impacta	A sumulative impact is defined as an impact that results from
Cumulative impacts	incremental changes caused by other past, present or reasonably
	foreseable actions together with the Project. The sumulative
	impact assessment will consider the impact of the Project along with
	the impact of other inductrial developments in the area that may
	also impacts on the same recenters and recourses
	also impact on the same receptors and resources.
	The following categories of cumulative impacts will be addressed in
	the FIR.
	• Air
	Noise:
	Biodiversity:
	Socio-economic effects:
	Infrastructure and services

	Project Activity	Ambient Air Quality	Global Climate	Noise	Vibration	Groundwater Quality	Surface Water Quality	Hydrology	Geohydrology	Soil	Topography	Landscape & Visual Character	Use of Natural Resources	Terrestrial Habitats	Terrestrial Flora	Terrestrial Fauna	Aquatic Habitats (Freshwater)	Aquatic Flora & Fauna (Freshwater)	Protected Areas	Community Health, Safety & Security	Local Community	Road Traffic & Transportation	Cultural Heritage	Aesthetics (Visual)	Tourism/ Recreation	Employment & Income	Economy	Public Utilities
Α	On-Shore Site Preparation for Transmission Line and Switchyard																											
1	Employment of labour																			s	s					р	р	
2	Procurement of materials, equipment and services																									р	р	
3	Transportation of manpower, equipment and materials to/ from the site	i	i	i		s	s			s				S	S	s				i		i						
4	Presence of work force													i	i	i				s	s		i				р	
5	Site clearance (incl. laydown areas, camps, offices, access roads, backfilling, compacting, grading and trenching and operation and maintenance of mobile equipment).	i	i	i						i	i	i		s	s	s			i				s	i				s
6	Temporary power generation	i	i	i																								
7	Hazardous and non-hazardous waste disposal																											s
8	Wastewater generation and disposal						i		i	i				i	i													s
9	Water supply								i																			s
В	Construction of On-Shore Transmission Line and Switchyard																											
1	Employment of labour																			s	s					p	р	<u> </u>
2	Procurement of materials, equipment and services																									p	р	<u> </u>
3	Transportation of manpower, equipment and materials to/ from the site	i	i	i										i	i					i		s						
4	Presence of work force													s	s	s				s	s						p	
5	Earthworks (all managed within footprint of project)									i													s					
6	Foundation building and piling			i	i											i					i							
7	Material storage, handling and use on-site	i		i								i												i				
8	Temporary power generation (mobile generators)	i	i	i																								
9	Construction and installation of transmission line and switchyard			i						i	i	i							i					i		<u> </u>		
10	Hazardous and non-hazardous waste disposal																									<u> </u>		s
11	Wastewater generation and discharges																									<u> </u>		s
12	Water supply								i																	<u> </u>		s
С	Operation of Transmission Line and Switchyard																											
1	Gas Pipeline (and maintenance)																									<u> </u>		S
3	Transmission of electricity			i																i				<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
4	Water supply								i																	 		s
5	Procurement of materials and services																							<u> </u>		p	р	
6	Employment of labour																				i			<u> </u>		р	р	
7	Hazardous and non-hazardous waste disposal																									<u> </u>		S
8	Physical presence of structures and facilities (transmission line and switchyard)											S			S	S			i					S	S	<u> </u>		
9	Shut down and start up for maintenance	i	i																								p	<u> </u>

	Project Activity	Ambient Air Quality	Global Climate	Noise	Vibration	Groundwater Quality	Surface Water Quality	Hydrology	Geohydrology	Soil	Topography	Landscape & Visual Character	Use of Natural Resources	Terrestrial Habitats	Terrestrial Flora	Terrestrial Fauna	Aquatic Habitats (Freshwater)	Aquatic Flora & Fauna (Freshwater)	Protected Areas	Community Health, Safety & Security	Local Community	Road Traffic & Transportation	Cultural Heritage	Aesthetics (Visual)	Tourism/ Recreation	Employment & Income	Economy	Public Utilities
10	Transmission line maintenance														i													
С	Decommissioning of Transmission Line and Switchyard																											
1	Vehicular movements and traffic	i	i	i									i							i		i						
2	Demolition of buildings and removal of infrastructure	i	i	i						i		i		i	i	i				i	i						p	
3	Waste generation and disposal			i						i												i						s
4	Wastewater generation and disposal																											s

No interaction

i

An interaction with the environment or receptor which is not expected to be significant

An interaction with the environment or receptor that could be significant (Also identifies data gaps)

p Denotes a positive interaction

	Project Activity	Ambient Air Quality	Global Climate	Noise	Vibration	Use of Natural Resources	Seabed	Sediment	Marine Water Quality	Marine Habitats	Marine Flora	Marine Fauna	Protected Areas	Community Health, Safety & Security	Local Community	Workforce	Road Traffic & Transportation	Fishing & Navigation	Marine Traffic & Transportation	Cultural Heritage	Aesthetics (Visual)	Tourism/ Recreation	Employment & Income	Economy	Public Utilities
Α	Siting of FPP and offshore components																								
1	Employment of labour													S	S								р	p	
2	Procurement of materials, equipment and services																						р	p	
3	Transportation of equipment and materials	i	i	i										i			i								
4	Presence of work force													S	S									p	
5	Hazardous and non-hazardous waste disposal																								S
6	Wastewater generation and disposal									S	S	S													
7	Water supply					S			S	i															S
8	Siting of marine infrastructure			S	i			S	S	i	S	S			i										
В	Operation of FPP																								
1	Re-fuelling of the FPP			i					S	S															s
2	Raw water demand / water supply								S	i															s
3	Procurement of materials and services																						p	p	
4	Employment of labour														i								p	p	
5	Transportation of materials and products																	i							
6	Process wastewater/ effluent discharges								s	S															S
7	Hazardous and non-hazardous waste disposal								i	i	i														
10	Physical presence of marine structures and facilities												i								s	S			
11	Operation and maintenance of FPP		_						s	s	s														
D	Decommissioning																								
1	Transport of marine infrastructure									i	i	i							s						
3	Waste generation and disposal																								i
4	Wastewater generation and disposal									i	i	i													i

I An:

No interaction

An interaction with the environment or receptor which is not expected to be significant

An interaction with the environment or receptor that could be significant (Also identifies data gaps)

Denotes a positive interaction

8.1 INTRODUCTION

The screening and preliminary assessment of impacts represents an initial step of the EIA process. This step is followed by a scoping of the Project's potential impact and identification of key issues and includes consultations with key stakeholders.

A key outcome of screening and scoping activities (described in Chapter 6) is the Plan of Study for the EIA. The purpose of the Impact Assessment Phase of an EIA is:

- to address issues that have been raised during the Scoping Phase;
- address and assess alternatives to the proposed activity in a comparative manner;
- · address and assess all identified significant impacts; and
- formulate mitigation measures.

This *Chapter* provides the proposed Plan of Study for the EIA and is structured as follows.

- Overview of the Impact Assessment Phase;
- Specialist studies;
- Impact Assessment methodology;
- Proposed structure of the EIA Report; and
- Provisional schedule for the EIA process.

8.2 SPECIFIC CHALLENGES AND APPROACH TO THIS EIA

The EIA process has been structured to accommodate a variety of project design alternatives that may be submitted by Independent Power Producers as part of the Department of Energy's IPP Procurement Programme. As a result, the EIA is aimed at assessing the worst case scenario so as to allow for the mitigation measures to cover worst case impacts. The project description defined in Section 3 is sufficiently detailed to allow for the assessment of the most likely significant effects.

This approach is referred to as the '**Rochdale Envelope'** Approach (Infrastructure Planning Commission, Advice Note 9: Using the Rochdale Envelope¹) which is an acknowledged way of dealing with an application comprising an EIA where details of a project have not been resolved at the time when the assessment is undertaken. The '**Rochdale Envelope'** Approach has been adopted in the UK by the Infrastructure Planning Commission when

¹ Infrastructure Planning Commission (2011). Advice Note 9: Using the Rochdale Envelope.

there are good reasons why the details of the whole project are not available when the EIA application is submitted.

As development progresses and more detail and certainty are available, further information regarding potential impacts can be provided (if not already addressed in the EIA).

Some details of a proposed development may be unknown to the applicant at the time of the application; the Rochdale Envelope allows for a flexible approach of evaluating the maximum potential adverse effects of that particular Project.

There are various factors necessitating the need for this approach to the Floating Power Plants EIA including:

- There is uncertainty as to whether the successful IPP will develop the FPP Project in terms of a power barge or a power ship;
- The technology to be used by the successful IPP is unknown at this stage i.e. air-cooled or water-cooled;
- There is no existing of LNG supply and therefore liquid fuel types are being considered for the initial stage of the project (a preferred fuel type has not yet been selected).

To evaluate the potential impacts of the proposed FPP in a meaningful way, the realistic 'worst case' scenario impacts will be assessed.

8.3 OVERVIEW OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE

Once public comments on the Scoping Report have been concluded, the Final Scoping Report will be submitted to the DEA for consideration. This represents the end of the Scoping Phase of the EIA. The Impact Assessment phase is described in more detail below.

8.3.1 Assessment of Alternatives

As previously mentioned, one of the objectives of an EIA is to investigate alternatives to the proposed project. Fundamental and Incremental Alternatives have been discussed in Section 3.8. These alternatives will be carried through to the EIA phase for further assessment and will be compared to the "no go" or baseline option.

8.3.2 Impact Assessment

Following the Scoping phase of the Project, the EIA team will:

- update and finalise the technical project description as further engineering details become available, working closely with project engineers to confirm information such as the final facility layout, and construction and operation plans;
- conduct additional consultation and further refine the scope of the EIA as necessary;
- collect additional baseline data through desktop research and field studies in the Project Area of Influence (AoI) to complete a comprehensive description of the environmental and social conditions;
- undertake an impact assessment of the project activities interactions with the key environmental and social resources and receptors;
- develop mitigation and enhancement measures and outline an environmental and social management plan (ESMP) including an approach for monitoring; and
- report findings in a comprehensive EIR.

8.3.3 Stakeholder Engagement Activities

During the Impact Assessment phase the following stakeholder engagement activities will be undertaken:

- The draft EIR and EMP document will be made available for a comment period to stakeholders and the relevant authorities.
- A notification letter will be sent to all registered I&APs on the project database. This letter will invite I&APs to comment on the draft EIR.
- Newspaper adverts will be placed in local newspapers notifying stakeholders of the availability of the Draft EIR report for review and inviting them to public meetings.
- A public open house will be held during the comment period in order to present the findings to stakeholders.
- The final EIR will then be compiled and submitted to the DEA for decision-making. All comments made during the comment period will be compiled in a comments and responses report in the final EIR.
- I&APs will be notified of the Environmental Authorisation and the statutory appeal period.

8.3.4 Authority Interaction

Authority consultation is integrated into the public consultation process, with additional one-on-one meetings held with the lead authorities where necessary. It is proposed that the competent authority (national DEA) as well as other lead authorities will be consulted at various stages during the EIA process. The DoE will liaise closely with the DEA on the timeframes for DEA review and decision-making with regards to the Final Environmental Impact Assessment Report.

8.4 SPECIALIST STUDIES

Various specialist studies will be conducted with the objectives as follows:

- Develop a sound understanding of the affected environment based on existing information and previous working knowledge of the area (limited primary baseline data collection will be undertaken);
- Assist in defining possible constraints associated with the proposed project and transmission line alignment;
- Determine the potential indirect, direct and cumulative impacts on environmental resources and social receptors ;
- Advise on mitigation measures for identified significant impacts and measures to enhance positive opportunities/impacts of the project;
- Provide details for ongoing monitoring during the construction and operational phases.

8.4.1 Defining the Area of Influence (AoI)

The extent of the effect of a project activity on a particular physical, biological or social resource is termed the Area of Influence (AoI).

Specifically, the AoI encompasses the following:

- the area likely to be affected by the Project its facilities and planned activities, and unplanned, but predictable development caused by the Project that may occur later or at a different location ;
- associated facilities, which are not part of the Project but are required and would not have been constructed or expanded if the Project did not exist and without which the Project would not be viable; and
- cumulative impacts that result from the incremental impact on areas or resources directly impacted by the Project from other existing, planned or reasonably defined developments.

The impact assessment considers that the AoI will vary depending on the type of effect, but in each case it is defined to include the entire Project area where it is likely that significant impacts could result. A conservative but reasonable approach will be taken in defining the AoI.

8.4.2 Desktop Studies

Desktop studies shall be undertaken to acquire environmental and social data for the EIA study. Materials to be considered shall include other EIAs, articles, reports, maps, and photographs. A literature search will be carried out to identify publically available research and scientific reports with relevance to the Project site and general area. Some information already exists, including:

- Various EIAs conducted in the immediate and surrounding area;
- air quality monitoring data from a number of stations in the area;
- Critical Biodiversity Area mapping;
- The Richards Bay Municipality Environmental Management Framework; and
- Local Municipality IDPs.

As a result of the amount of available baseline data in the project area, it is unlikely that detailed primary baseline studies will be undertaken.

8.4.3 Identified Specialist Studies

A number of issues have been identified during this Scoping Study which requires specialist studies to understand the potential impact in more detail. The following specialist studies have been identified to address the key issues and data gaps:

- Air Quality;
- Noise;
- Terrestrial Ecology;
- Cultural and Heritage;
- Palaeontology;
- Marine Ecology;
- Marine Modelling (plume dispersion and oil spill modelling);
- Socio-economic; and
- Quantitative Risk Assessment (QRA).

The below table identifies the provisional Terms of Reference for each proposed specialist study.

Table 8.1Scope of Work for Terrestrial Specialist Studies

Topic	Terms of Reference
Cultural and heritage	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop; Desktop review of the available information and review of available mapping; Physical survey of transmission line route to identify the presence of cultural heritage sites and their importance; Preparation of a baseline environment description. Application/notice of intent (NiD) to be developed and submitted to the Amafa aKwaZulu Natali. NiD to include paleontology inputs for the paleontology specialist; Identification of cultural heritage impacts associated with the proposed development. Provide input into the comments and response report to be prepared as part of the stakeholder engagement process during the Scoping and Impact Assessment Phases of the Project; Undertake an impact assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts; Attendance and participation in a mitigation workshop; Document results of the impact assessment including proposed mitigation measures and ongoing monitoring requirements and chance find procedures; Input into the environmental management plan.
Palaeontology	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop. Desktop review of the available information and review of available mapping. Physical survey of transmission line route to identify the presence of palaeontological sites and their importance; Preparation of a baseline environment description. Identification of palaeontological impacts associated with the proposed development. Provide input into the comments and response report to be prepared as part of the stakeholder engagement process during the Scoping and Impact Assessment Phases of the Project; Undertake an impact assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts; Document results of the impact assessment including proposed mitigation measures and ongoing monitoring requirements and chance find procedures;; Attendance and participation in a mitigation workshop; Input into the environmental management plan.
Social	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop; Desktop review of available secondary social baseline data for the proposed sites and immediate surrounds; Identify gaps in baseline data to be addressed through primary baseline data collection; Collection of additional primary social baseline data where required; Identification of social impacts associated with the proposed development. Provide input into the comments and response report to be prepared as part of the stakeholder engagement process during the Scoping and Impact Assessment Phases of the Project; Undertake an impact assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts; Document results of the impact assessment including proposed mitigation and ongoing monitoring requirements; Attendance and participation in a mitigation workshop; Input into the environmental management plan.
Air quality	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop; Collection and assessment of available ambient air quality data and information to describe the current state of the receiving atmospheric

Topic	Terms of Reference
	 environment; Prepare a baseline environment description; Document all regulatory requirements and relevant air quality standards and guidelines; Collect an inventory of likely atmospheric emissions for the different technology, design and input alternatives and advise on the likely envelope for the impact assessment; Identification of air quality impacts associated with the proposed development. Provide input into the comments and response report to be prepared as part of the stakeholder engagement process during the Scoping and Impact Assessment Phases of the Project Undertake a qualitative comparative assessment for the different technology, design and input alternatives; Undertake dispersion modelling in accordance with the legislation for the envelope of the impact assessment; Assessment of air quality impacts of the Project and the implications for human health by evaluating predicted ambient concentrations of air pollutants with the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS); Undertake an impact assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts; Document results of the impact assessment plan; Describe monitoring measures for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the project; Attendance at the stakeholder feedback meetings; A workshop with the client to discuss the results of the study and potential mitigation and ongoing monitoring requirements; Meeting with the air quality regulators to introduce the project and refine scope of work for the air emissions license application.
Terrestrial Ecology (Transmission Line & Switch Yard)	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop; Collection of available baseline biodiversity data to establish the biodiversity value of the study area, particularly hotspots where biodiversity is concentrated and / or where populations of threatened species, Red Data Species, conservation worthy species, medicinal plants and critical habitats are confirmed to occur. Areas to be mapped and used to inform the transmission line alignment; Physical survey of transmission line route to identify sensitive biodiversity habitats or species; Prepare a baseline environment description including a description of fauna, flora and wetlands (including mapping); Identify ecological impacts associated with the proposed development. Provide input into the comments and response report to be prepared as part of the stakeholder engagement process during the Scoping and Impact Assessment Phases of the Project; Undertake an impact assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts; Attendance and participation in a mitigation workshop; Document results of the impact assessment including proposed mitigation and ongoing monitoring requirements; Input into the environmental management plan.
Noise	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop; Collection and assessment of available ambient noise levels and information to describe the current state of the receiving atmospheric environment; The identification of potential noise-sensitive receptors using available information; Site visit to assess the local sound character and define the status of any identified potential noise-sensitive developments; Undertake noise measurements if required to assist in the definition of the baseline environment; Prepare a baseline environment description ; Advise on the relevant noise standards and guidelines relevant to the project;

Topic	Terms of Reference
	• Collect an inventory of noise emissions for the different technology, design and input alternatives and advise on the likely envelope for the impact assessment;
	• Identify noise-related impacts associated with the proposed development. Provide input into the comments and response report to be prepared as part of the stakeholder engagement process during the Scoping and Impact Assessment Phases of the Project;
	Undertake a qualitative comparative assessment for the different technology, design and input alternatives;
	Undertake noise modelling in accordance with the legislation for the envelope of the impact assessment;
	Assess noise impacts of the Project and the implications by evaluating predicted noise levels against the legislative requirements;
	Undertake an impact assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts ;
	 Document results of the impact assessment including proposed mitigation and ongoing monitoring requirements;
	Input into the environmental management plan;
	Attendance and participation in a mitigation workshop;
	Describe monitoring measures for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the project.

Table 8.2Scope of Work for Marine Specialist Studies

Resource	Terms of Reference		
Air Quality	Marine components dealt with in the terrestrial specialist study ToR above.		
Marine Ecology	 Collection of available baseline marine biodiversity data to establish the biodiversity value of the study area, particularly hotspots where biodiversity is concentrated and / or where populations of threatened species, Red Data Species, conservation worthy species, and critical habitats are confirmed to occur. Areas to be mapped; Prepare a baseline environment description including a description of marine fauna and flora, sediment and water quality and hydrodynamics; Identify impacts associated with the proposed development. Provide input into the comments and response report to be prepared as part of the stakeholder engagement process during the Scoping and Impact Assessment Phases of the Project; Undertake an impact assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative impacts taking into consideration the outputs of the marine modelling studies; Attendance and participation in a mitigation workshop; Document results of the impact assessment including proposed mitigation and ongoing monitoring requirements; 		
Marine Modelling (plume dispersion and oil spill modelling)	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop; Collection and review of available information and data and development of a baseline report; Apply advanced hydrodynamic models to assess the physical marine impacts of the project. The following models will be compiled: A three-dimensional hydrodynamic model of the site to simulate waves, currents and water temperatures due to tides, wind and atmospheric forcing, Hot water plume modelling (model the dispersion of the thermal plume), Oil spill modelling. Liaison with marine ecologist to assist in the interpretation of impacts. 		
QRA Socio, economic	 Attendance and participation in an introductory workshop; Collection of available baseline; Identify hazard sources and process blocks; Undertake consequence and frequency modelling to calculate risks; Undertake an impact assessment ; Input into the environmental management plan; Attendance and participation in a mitigation workshop; Describe monitoring measures for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the project. 		

8.5 IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The adequate assessment and evaluation of the potential impacts and benefits that will be associated with the proposed Project necessitates the development of a methodology that will reduce the subjectivity involved in making such evaluations. A clearly defined methodology is used in order to accurately determine the significance of the predicted impact on, or benefit to, the surrounding natural and/or social environment. For this the Project must be considered in the context of the area and the people that will be affected. The Area of Influence will be defined in the EIA.

Nonetheless, an impact assessment will always contain a degree of subjectivity, as it is based on the value judgment of various specialists and EIA practitioners. The evaluation of significance is thus contingent upon values, professional judgment, and dependent upon the environmental and community context. Ultimately, impact significance involves a process of determining the acceptability of a predicted impact to society.

The purpose of impact assessment is to identify and evaluate the likely significance of the potential impacts on identified receptors and resources according to defined assessment criteria, to develop and describe measures that will be taken to avoid, minimize, reduce or compensate for any potential adverse environmental effects, and to report the significance of the residual impacts that remain following mitigation. There are a number of ways that impacts may be described and quantified.

8.5.1 Impact Identification and Characterisation

An 'impact' is any change to a resource or receptor brought about by the presence of a project component or by a project-related activity. In this assessment, the impacts are described in terms of their characteristics, including the impact's type and the impact's spatial and temporal features (namely extent, duration, scale and frequency). While an impact assessment typically focuses on the negative impacts, an impact can also be positive. The definitions of these terms used in this EIA are described in *Table 8.3*.

Characteristic	Definition	Terms
Туре	A descriptor indicating	Direct - Impacts that result from a direct interaction
	the relationship of the	between the Project and a resource/receptor (e.g.,
	impact to the Project (in	between occupation of a plot of land and the
	terms of cause and	habitats which are affected).
	effect).	
		Indirect - Impacts that follow on from the direct
		interactions between the Project and its environment
		as a result of subsequent interactions within the
		environment (e.g. viability of a species population
		resulting from loss of part of a habitat as a result of
		the Project occupying a plot of land).

Table 8.3Impact Characteristic Terminology

		Induced - Impacts that result from other activities
		(which are not part of the Project) that happen as a
		consequence of the Project.
		Cumulative - Impacts that arise as a result of an
		impact and effect from the Project interacting with
		those from another activity to create an additional
		impact and effect.
Duration	The time period over	Temporary - (period of less than 3 years -negligible/
	which a resource /	pre-construction/ other).
	receptor is affected.	
		Short term - (period of less than 5 years i.e.
		production ramp up period).
		Long term - (period of more than 5 years and less
		than 19 years i.e. life of plant).
		Permanent - (a period that exceeds the life of plant –
		i.e. irreversible.).
Extent	The reach of the impact	On-site - impacts that are limited to the Project site.
	(i.e. physical distance an	
	impact will extend to)	Local - impacts that are limited to the Project site
		and adjacent properties.
		Regional - impacts that are experienced at a
		regional scale.
		National - impacts that are experienced at a national
		scale.
		Trans-boundary/International - impacts that are
0.1		experienced outside of South Africa.
Scale	Quantitative measure of	Quantitative measures as applicable for the feature
	the impact (e.g. the size	or resources affects. No fixed designation as it is
	of the area damaged or	intended to be a numerical value.
	impacted the fraction of	
	a resource that is lost or	
	affected, etc.).	
Frequency	Measure of the	No fixed designations; intended to be a numerical
	constancy or periodicity	value or a qualitative description.
	of the impact.	

An additional characteristic that pertains to unplanned events (e.g. incidents, spills) is likelihood (*Table 8.4*). The likelihood of an unplanned event occurring is determined qualitatively, or when data is available, semi-quantitatively. Likelihood is estimated on the basis of experience and/or evidence that such an outcome has previously occurred. It is also important to distinguish that likelihood is a measure of the degree to which the unplanned event is expected to occur, not the degree to which an impact or effect is expected to occur as a result of the unplanned event.

Table 8.4Definitions for Likelihood

Likelihood	Definition	
Unlikely	The event is unlikely but may occur at some time during normal	
	operating conditions.	
Possible	The event is likely to occur at some time during normal operating	
	conditions.	
Likely	The event will occur during normal operating conditions (i.e., it is	
	essentially inevitable).	

8.5.2 Determining Impact Magnitude

Once an impact's characteristics are defined, the next step in the impact assessment phase is to assign each impact a 'magnitude'. Magnitude is typically a function of some combination (depending on the resource/receptor in question) of the following impact characteristics:

- extent
- duration
- scale
- frequency

Magnitude (from small to large) is in practice a continuum, and evaluation along the spectrum requires the exercise of professional judgement and experience. Each impact is evaluated on a case-by-case basis, and the rationale for each determination is noted. The universal magnitude designations, for negative effects, are: negligible, small, medium and large. The magnitude designations themselves are universally consistent, but the definition for the designations varies by issue. In the case of a positive impact, no magnitude designation has been assigned as it is considered sufficient for the purpose of the impact assessment to indicate that the Project is expected to result in a positive impact.

The magnitude of an impact takes into account the various dimensions of a particular impact in order to make a determination as to where the impact falls on the spectrum from negligible to large. Some impacts will result in changes to the environment that may be immeasurable, undetectable or within the range of normal natural variation. Such changes can be regarded as essentially having no impact, and are characterised as having a negligible magnitude.

In the case of impacts resulting from unplanned events, the same resource/ receptor-specific approach to concluding a magnitude designation is utilised. The likelihood factor is also considered, together with the other impact characteristics, when assigning a magnitude designation.

Determining Magnitude for Biophysical Impacts

For biophysical impacts, the semi-quantitative definitions for the spatial and temporal dimension of the magnitude of impacts used in this assessment are provided below:

High Magnitude Impact affects an entire area, system (physical), aspect, population or species (biological) and at sufficient magnitude to cause a significant measureable numerical increase in measured concentrations or levels (to be compared with legislated or international limits and standards specific to the receptors) (physical) or a decline in abundance and/ or change in distribution beyond which natural recruitment (reproduction, immigration from unaffected areas) would not return that population or species, or any population or species dependent upon it, to its former level within several generations (physical and biological). A high magnitude impact may also adversely affect the integrity of a site, habitat or ecosystem.

Moderate Magnitude Impact affects a portion of an area, system, aspect (physical), population or species (biological) and at sufficient magnitude to cause a measurable numerical increase in measured concentrations or levels (to be compared with legislated or international limits and standards specific to the receptors) (physical) and may bring about a change in abundance and/or distribution over one or more plant/animal generations, but does not threaten the integrity of that population or any population dependent on it (physical and biological). A moderate magnitude impact may also affect the ecological functioning of a site, habitat or ecosystem but without adversely affecting its overall integrity. The area affected may be local or regional.

Low Magnitude Impact affects a specific area, system, aspect (physical), group of localized individuals within a population (biological) and at sufficient magnitude to result in a small increase in measured concentrations or levels (to be compared with legislated or international limits and standards specific to the receptors) (physical) over a short time period (one plant/animal generation or less, but does not affect other trophic levels or the population itself), and localized area.

Determining Magnitude for Socioeconomic Impacts

For socioeconomic impacts, the magnitude considers the perspective of those affected by taking into account the likely perceived importance of the impact, the ability of people to manage and adapt to change and the extent to which a human receptor gains or loses access to, or control over socio-economic resources resulting in a positive or negative effect on their well-being. The quantitative elements are included into the assessment through the designation and consideration of scale and extent of the impact.

8.5.3 Determining Receptor Sensitivity

In addition to characterising the magnitude of impact, the other principal step necessary to assign significance for a given impact is to define the sensitivity of the receptor. There are a range of factors to be taken into account when defining the sensitivity of the receptor, which may be physical, biological, cultural or human. Where the receptor is physical (for example, a water body) its current quality, sensitivity to change, and importance (on a local, national and international scale) are considered. Where the receptor is biological or cultural (i.e. the marine environment or a coral reef), its importance (local, regional, national or international) and sensitivity to the specific type of impact are considered. Where the receptor is human, the vulnerability of the individual, community or wider societal group is considered. As in the case of magnitude, the sensitivity designations themselves are universally consistent, but the definitions for these designations will vary on a resource/receptor basis. The universal sensitivity of receptor is low, medium and high.

For ecological impacts, sensitivity is assigned as low, medium or high based on the conservation importance of habitats and species. For habitats, these are based on naturalness, extent, rarity, fragility, diversity and importance as a community resource. For the sensitivity of individual species, *Table 8.5* presents the criteria for deciding on the value or sensitivity of individual species.

For socio-economic impacts, the degree of sensitivity of a receptor is defined as the level of resilience (or capacity to cope) with sudden social and economic changes. The sensitivity of a resource is based on its quality and value/importance, for example, by its local, regional, national or international designation, its importance to the local or wider community, or its economic value. *Table 8.5* and *Table 7.4* present the criteria for deciding on the value or sensitivity of biological and socioeconomic receptors.

Table 8.5Biological and Species Value / Sensitivity Criteria

Value / Sensitivity	Low	Medium	High
Criteria	Not protected or listed	Not protected or listed but may	Specifically protected
	as common /	be a species common globally	under South African
	abundant; or not	but rare in South Africa with	legislation and/or
	critical to other	little resilience to ecosystem	international
	ecosystem functions	changes, important to ecosystem	conventions e.g.
	(e.g. key prey species	functions, or one under threat or	CITIES
	to other species).	population decline.	Listed as rare,
			threatened or
			endangered e.g. IUCN

Note: The above criteria should be applied with a degree of caution. Seasonal variations and species lifecycle stage should be taken into account when considering species sensitivity. For example, a population might be deemed as more sensitive during the breeding/spawning and nursery periods. This table uses listing of species (e.g. IUCN) or protection as an indication of the level of threat that this species experiences within the broader ecosystem (global, regional,

local). This is used to provide a judgement of the importance of affecting this species in the context of project-level changes.

Table 8.6Socio-economic Sensitivity Criteria

Sensitivity	Low	Medium	High
Criteria	Those affected are able to adapt with relative ease	Able to adapt with some difficulty and maintain pre-	Those affected will not be able to adapt
	and maintain pre-impact status.	impact status but only with a degree of support.	to changes and continue to maintain- pre impact status.

8.5.4 Assessing Significance

Once magnitude of impact and sensitivity of a receptor have been characterised, the significance can be determined for each impact. The impact significance rating will be determined, using the matrix provided in *Figure 8.1*.

Figure 8.1 Impact Significance

		Sensitivity/Vulnerability/Importance of Resource/Receptor		
		Low	Medium	High
t	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
fagnitude of Impa	Small	Negligible	Minor	Moderate
	Medium	Minor	Moderate	Major
Z	Large	Moderate	Major	Major

The matrix applies universally to all resources/receptors, and all impacts to these resources/receptors, as the resource/receptor-specific considerations are factored into the assignment of magnitude and sensitivity/vulnerability/ importance designations that enter into the matrix. *Box 8.1* provides a context for what the various impact significance ratings signify.

Box 8.1 Context of Impact Significances

An impact of **negligible** significance is one where a resource/receptor (including people) will essentially not be affected in any way by a particular activity or the predicted effect is deemed to be 'imperceptible' or is indistinguishable from natural background variations.

An impact of **minor** significance is one where a resource/receptor will experience a noticeable effect, but the impact magnitude is sufficiently small and/or the resource/receptor is of low sensitivity/ vulnerability/ importance. In either case, the magnitude should be well within applicable standards.

An impact of **moderate** significance has an impact magnitude that is within applicable standards, but falls somewhere in the range from a threshold below which the impact is minor, up to a level that might be just short of breaching a legal limit. Clearly, to design an activity so that its effects only just avoid breaking a law and/or cause a major impact is not best practice. The emphasis for moderate impacts is therefore on demonstrating that the impact has been reduced to a level that is as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP). This does not necessarily mean that impacts of moderate significance have to be reduced to minor, but that moderate impacts are being managed effectively and efficiently.

An impact of **major** significance is one where an accepted limit or standard may be exceeded, or large magnitude impacts occur to highly valued/sensitive resource/receptors. An aim of IA is to get to a position where the Project does not have any major residual impacts, certainly not ones that would endure into the long-term or extend over a large area. However, for some aspects there may be major residual impacts after all practicable mitigation options have been exhausted (i.e. ALARP has been applied). An example might be the visual impact of a facility. It is then the function of regulators and stakeholders to weigh such negative factors against the positive ones, such as employment, in coming to a decision on the Project.

8.5.5 *Mitigation Potential and Residual Impacts*

One of the key objectives of an EIA is to identify and define socially, environmentally and technically acceptable and cost effective measures to manage and mitigate potential impacts. Mitigation measures are developed to avoid, reduce, remedy or compensate for potential negative impacts, and to enhance potential environmental and social benefits.

In keeping with the mitigation hierarchy, the priority is to first apply mitigation measures to the source of the impact (i.e. to avoid or reduce the magnitude of the impact from the associated Project activity), and then to address the resultant effect to the resource/receptor via abatement or compensatory measures or offsets (i.e. to reduce the significance of the effect once all reasonably practicable mitigations have been applied to reduce the impact magnitude). Once mitigation measures are declared, the next step in the impact assessment process is to assign residual impact significance. This is essentially a repeat of the impact assessment steps discussed above, considering the assumed implementation of the additional declared mitigation measures. The approach taken to defining mitigation measures is based on a typical hierarchy of decisions and measures, as described in *Table 8.7*.

Table 8.7Mitigation Hierarchy

Avoid at Source; Reduce at Source: avoiding or reducing at source through the design of the Project (e.g. avoiding by siting or re-routing activity away from sensitive areas or reducing by restricting the working area or changing the time of the activity).

Abate on Site: add something to the design to abate the impact (e.g. pollution control equipment).

Abate at Receptor: if an impact cannot be abated on-site then control measures can be implemented off-site (e.g. traffic measures).

Repair or Remedy: some impacts involve unavoidable damage to a resource (e.g. material storage areas) and these impacts require repair, restoration and reinstatement measures.

Compensate in Kind; Compensate Through Other Means where other mitigation approaches are not possible or fully effective, then compensation for loss, damage and disturbance might be appropriate (e.g. financial compensation for degrading agricultural land and impacting crop yields).

8.5.6 Residual Impact Assessment

Once mitigation measures are declared, the next step in the impact assessment process is to assign residual impact significance. This is essentially a repeat of the impact assessment steps discussed above, considering the assumed implementation of the additional declared mitigation measures.

8.5.7 *Cumulative Impacts*

A cumulative impact is one that arises from a result of an impact from the Project interacting with an impact from another activity to create an additional impact. How the impacts and effects are assessed is strongly influenced by the status of the other activities (eg. already in existence, approved or proposed) and how much data is available to characterize the magnitude of their impacts.

The approach to assessing cumulative impacts is to screen potential interactions with other projects on the basis of:

- projects that are already in existence and are operating;
- projects that are approved but not as yet built or operating; and
- projects that are a realistic proposition but are not yet built.

8.6 PROPOSED STRUCTURE OF THE EIA REPORT

An outline of the proposed contents of the main volume of the EIA report is provided in *Table 8.8.* The content may change through the evolution of the project but it is anticipated to accord broadly within the suggested framework.

Table 8.8Proposed EIA Report Structure

Chapter Number	Contents Heading	Explanatory Note
Executive	0	Summary of the entire EIA report.
1	Introduction	This <i>Chapter</i> will outline the development and structure of the EIA report including the background, terms of reference and declaration.
2	Project Description	This <i>Chapter</i> will provide a concise description of the project and its geographical and temporal context. It will include a site description, an overview of the facility Project design and details of project inputs and outputs.
3	Administrativ e Framework	This <i>Chapter</i> will outline the policy, legal and institutional framework within which the EIA has been conducted.
4	Baseline Condition	This <i>Chapter</i> will summarise the available baseline data on the environmental and social resources and receptors within the Facility Project Study Area. It will be based on both primary and secondary data sources and will consider changes in the baseline condition without the development in place.
5	Public Participation Process	This <i>Chapter</i> will present the results of consultation undertaken as part of the EIA, plus plans for future consultation. It will identify key project stakeholders and present their feedback on the Project.
6	Impact Assessment Methodology	This <i>Chapter</i> will summarise the methodology used to assess the impacts of the Project on the bio-physical, terrestrial and socio-economic environment.
7	Impact Assessment	This <i>Chapter</i> will summarise the predicted positive and negative impacts of the Project, outline general and specific mitigation measures to reduce, remove or avoid negative impacts to environmental and social receptors as well as measuring for monitoring these impacts. Any residual impacts (post mitigation) will be outlined. Cumulative impacts will be assessed as appropriate.
8	Environmental Management Plan (EMP)	The EMP will draw together the possible mitigation measures; group them logically into components with common themes; define the specific actions required and timetable for implementation; identify training needs, institutional roles and responsibilities for implementation; and estimate the costs of the measures.
9	Conclusion	This <i>Chapter</i> will summarise conclusions that are made based on the assessment as well as outline any further recommendations.
Bibliography & References		All references made in the report and documents drawn upon during the course of the assessment
Annexes		These will include technical annexes with details of specific technical surveys, the bibliography and list of acronyms.

8.7 PROVISIONAL SCHEDULE FOR THE EIA PROCESS

A provisional schedule for the EIA is provided in *Table 8.9*.

Table 8.9Provisional EIA Schedule

Task	Timing
Disclosure of Draft EIA Report	8 February 2016- 8 March 2016
Submission of Draft EIA Report to DEA	8 February 2016
Submit EIA Report to DEA	21 March 2016

Given the current energy shortage, there is a need to fast-track early power projects from economically viable generation sources as identified in this report. This is aimed at maximising the socio-economic benefit to South Africa, while also striving to balance the country's energy security and diversity requirements.

This Draft Scoping Report presents a description of the proposed project and also identifies a number of key issues/potential impacts that are expected as a result of this application process. These will form the focus of the EIA phase of the assessment. The Plan of Study (PoS) for the EIA has been included in Section 8.

The Draft Scoping Report will be a made available to the public for a 30 day comment period. Please refer to the website for all available documentations and please feel free to contact the person if you have any queries.

Stephanie Gopaul of ERM Southern Africa: Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax to email: 086 719 5869 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21, Westville, 3635 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project Website: www.erm.com/gastopower ERM Ref Number: 0320839 Annex A

Details of Environmental Assessment Practitioner

Stuart Heather-Clark

Partner, Impact Assessment & Planning

Stuart Heather-Clark is a Partner in the **Impact Assessment and Planning Team** within ERM Southern Africa based in Cape Town, South Africa.

Mr Heather-Clark's has over 20 years of experience in infrastructure, industrial, oil & gas and renewable energy related ESIAs and Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEA) throughout Africa. His experience has afforded him a sound understanding of the sustainability issues facing development in Africa. He has been involved in a number of internationally funded projects in Cameroon, Ethiopia, Zambia, Tanzania, Angola, Botswana, Namibia, Uganda and Mozambique. All of these projects involved interaction with lenders, developers, local stakeholders, including NGO's, government officials and local communities. Mr Heather-Clark has an in-depth understanding of the Equator Principles and IFC performance Standards.

Mr Heather-Clark has been involved in Environmental and Social Assessment and Management training and capacity building over the past 14 years. He has also facilitating numerous ESIA/SEA and EMS training courses for the World Bank, Universities and the private and public sector in South Africa and other African countries. More recently Mr Heather-Clark has been involved in developing and facilitating training courses on the Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards for various sectors including various Financial Institutions.

Professional Affiliations & Registrations

- Registered Professional Environmental Assessment Practitioner in South Africa
- International Association for Impact Assessment (IAIA) South Africa Member

Fields of Competence

- Environmental and social impact assessment
- Environmental and social management plans
- Environmental and Social Due Diligence
- Strategic environmental assessment
- Environmental and social screening studies
- Sustainability strategy and reporting
- Environmental Management capacity building



Education

- BSc Civil Engineering Univ. of Cape Town (1992)
- MPhil. Environ Science Univ. of Cape Town (1996)
- Certification Course ISO 14001 SABS (1997)
- Environmental Conflict Management Course (1997)
- Advanced Environ Management Course (1997)
- Coastal Engineering Course (1998)
- Strategic Environmental Assessment Course (1998)

Languages

- English
- Afrikaans

Key Industry Sectors

- Infrastructure including ports and harbour developments
- Large industrial and infrastructure developments
- Oil and gas
- Renewable Energy

Publications:

Sep 2007: Co-author of case study for IIEDs 'User Guide' to effective tools and methods for integrating environment and development. South African case study: *Role of environmental and social screening in informing the conceptual design and planning of large-scale projects in the pre-feasibility stage.* **Aug 2003:** Author of a case study on the SEA for the Port of Cape Town, contained in "The Status and Potential of Strategic Environmental Assessment" by Barry Dalal-Clayton and Barry Saddler, DRAFT 17 September 2003.

March 2002: Strategic Integrated Port Planning: Moving from EIA to SEA. International Conference on Coastal Zone Management and Development, Kuwait 18 to 20 March 2002. Nov 2000: Sustainable Port Development: Report on the preparatory seminar for Africa. 7th International Conference of the International Association for Cities and Ports, Marseilles – France.

Mar 2000: The development of Strategic Environmental Assessment in South Africa: Journal of Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, Vol 18, Number 3, pg 217-223. September 2000.

April 1999: Integrating environmental opportunities and constraints into Port Planning, Development and Operation. 5th International Conference on Coastal and Port Engineering in Developing Countries, Cape Town, 19 to 23 April 1999.



SOUTH AFRICAN ESIA'S - ALL SECTORS

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Burgan Oil Fuel Storage Deport in the Port of Cape Town, Burgan Oil, South Africa, 2014-15

Mr Heather-Clark was the project director for the ESIA for the Burgan Oil Fuel Storage Deport in the Port of Cape Town.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Expansion of Transnet's existing Manganese Ore Export Railway Line and Associated Infrastructure, South Africa, 2012.

Mr Heather-Clark is the Project Director for the ESIA for the Expansion of Transnet's existing Manganese Ore Export Railway Line and Associated Infrastructure in the Northern and Eastern Cape, South Africa.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the Gamsberg Zinc Mine, South Africa, Black Mountain Mine (Vedanta) 2012-13

Mr Heather-Clark is the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for a new Zinc Mine in the Northern Cape Province in South Africa. The ESIA includes the assessment of the mine and all associated infrastructure including waste rock dumps, tailing dams, processing plant, transmission lines, a new township development, upgrade of a water pipeline and associated water treatment facilities, and transport options to the Port of Saldanha via both road and rail. The ESIA is being undertaken as an integrative process to meet various environmental legal requirements including National Environmental Management Act (NEMA): EIA Regulations, NEM: Waste Act, NEM: Air Quality Act, NEM: Biodiversity Act, National Heritage Resource Act, National Water Act and the Minerals Petroleum Resources Development Act. The process includes various specialist studies, full stakeholder engagement as well as integration with a Biodiversity Off-sets process.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for Venetia Diamond Mine, De Beers, South Africa, 2011

Mr Heather-Clark was the project director for the Scoping and ESIA for the proposed new underground mine and EMP consolidation for existing mining activities. The ESIA was undertaken as an integrative process to meet various environmental legal requirements including National Environmental Management Act (NEMA): EIA Regulations, NEM: Waste Act, NEM: Air Quality Act, NEM: Biodiversity Act, National Heritage Resource Act, National Water Act and the Minerals Petroleum Resources Development Act.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for a LPG import and distribution facility, Sunrise Energy, South Africa, 2011-2012

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Scoping/EIA for a LPG importation, storage and distribution facility which includes a marine offloading facility in Saldanha Bay, a pipeline and a storage facility. The environmental permitting process required the liaison with local and provincial environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 380MW renewable energy facility north of Touwsrivier in the Western Cape, 2010-2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 170MW renewable energy facility east of Touwsrivier in the Western Cape, 2010-2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 670MW renewable energy facility south of Sutherland in the Western and Northern Cape, 2010-2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 500MW renewable energy facility south of Beaufort West in the Western Cape, 2010-2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 120MW renewable energy facility south east of Victoria West in the Northern Cape, 2010-2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

Basic Assessment for the installation of wind measuring masts on six sites in the Western Cape and two sites in the Northern Cape, 2010. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake Basic Assessments to install wind measuring masts at eight sites in South Africa. The scope of work included the submission of the application, public participation, preparation of an EMP and submission of the Basic Assessment report.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for two solar farm (pv) development, South Africa, 2010

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director of the EIA for the development of two solar power farms (photovoltaic cell plants) in the Northern Cape and Free State Provinces of South Africa. ERM undertook the required studies to obtain environmental approval for these developments, including specialist studies such as landscape and visual and cultural heritage assessments, and stakeholder engagement.

EIA for a 225MW wind farm in the Richtersveld, Western Cape, 2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed wind farm. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, coordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 750MW wind farm in the Roggeveld, Western Cape and Northern Cape, 2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed wind farm. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, coordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 225MW renewable energy facility between Vredenburg and Velddrif in the in the Western Cape, 2010-2011. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping/EIA for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process requires the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies, public participation and impact assessment.

EIA for a 100MW renewable energy facility north of Velddrif in the in the Western Cape, 2010. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping process for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process required the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies and public participation.

EIA for a 300MW renewable energy facility east of Lambert's Bay in the Western Cape, 2010. Project Director

ERM was commissioned to undertake a Scoping process for a proposed renewable energy facility incorporating wind and photovoltaic power generating technologies. The environmental permitting process required the liaison with local, provincial and national environmental authorities, co-ordination of specialist studies and public participation.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the services corridor associated with the development of a greenfield CTL Plant, Sasol, South Africa, 2009 Mr. Heather-Clark was the Project Director for an ESIA of a services corridor to support the development of a greenfield CTL plant development in South Africa. The ESHIA process was conducted in accordance to the South African EIA Regulations and the IFC performance standards.

Environmental Assessment for the dredging and disposal of dredge spoil at the Port of Saldanha, Transnet, South Africa, 2008

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for this project and was responsible for guidance of technical studies which included dredging studies and marine sediment contamination characterization. The study included the assessment of dredge spoil dumping alternatives. Stakeholder engagement included an important component of the project.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the upgrade of a 1100 km railway line in South Africa, Transnet, 2008- 2009 Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the upgrade of a commodities railway line across South Africa. The project included a number of specialist studies, managing subcontractors, interfacing with the railway engineering team, report writing, managing an extensive stakeholder consultation process, client liaison and management of project finances.

EIA for a Metal Recovery Plant and Slag Crushing, Screening and Weathering facility at Arcelor Mittal Saldanha Works, MultiServ, South Africa, 2007 – 2008.

As Project Director, Mr Heather-Clark was responsible for client liaison, quality control and final review of all reports. The project involved undertaking an EIA, including a public consultation process and the following specialist studies: air quality, groundwater, noise impact assessment, botanical and archaeology studies and a traffic impact assessment.

EIA for the upgrade and expansion of the existing sinter plant at Vanderbijlpark, ArcelorMittal, South Africa, 2006

Mr. Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the EIA and stakeholder engagement process to meet South African requirements. This included coordination of the technology review, air quality, health and waste management specialist studies and compilation of the integrated Scoping and EIA Report.

EIA of a proposed expansion of the Container Terminal Stacking area at the Port of Cape Town, National Ports Authority, South Africa, 2003, 2004

National Ports Authority, South Africa, 2003-2004 Mr Heather-Clark was the project manager for this EIA. The project included the expansion of the Cape Town container terminal into the sea though dredging 1 million m³ of material for reclamation. The project included a detailed study on alternative sources for fill material and other studies which focused on marine archaeology, coastal erosion, marine hydrodynamics and water quality, visual, noise and traffic. The EIA included full stakeholder engagement throughout the EIA process.

Environmental Impact Assessment for the Eskom SABRE-GEN wind turbine test facility, Eskom, South Africa, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was the project manager for the EIA. The EIA included stakeholder engagement throughout the process and included the following specialist studies: visual assessment, bird strike modelling and noise assessment.

Scoping Phase of the Environmental Impact Assessment for the expansion of the Container Terminal at the Port of Cape Town, Portnet, South Africa, 2000

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Manager for the EIA for the expansion of the container terminal at the Port of Cape Town. The project included the dredging of 1 million m³ dredge material to provide fill for the expansion of the port. Specialist studies that were required included coastal dynamic modelling, hydrodynamic modelling to assess water quality issues associated with dredging, marine archaeological issues, marine ecology issues, traffic, visual and noise.

ESIA IN OTHER AFRICAN COUNTRIES – ALL SECTORS

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Batoka Gorge Hydropower Project, ZRA, Zambia/Zimbabwe, 2014-15

Mr Heather acted as advisor to the ESIA team undertaking the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the proposed Batoka Gorge Hydropower Plant on the Zambezi River below the Victoria Falls. The project includes the construction of a dam wall, hydropower plants, transmission lines and associated infrastructure. The ESIA is being conducted in alignment with the IFC Performance Standards and the World Bank Safeguard Policies.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Tete-Macuse Railway Line and Macuse Power Development, Italthai, Mozambique, 2014-15 Mr. Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the proposed Tete-Macuse railway line and Macuse Port development for the export of coal from Tete Province in Mozambique. The project includes the development of over 700km of railway line and a new port development.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Rehabilitation of the Kariba Dam Wall, ZRA, Zambia/Zimbabwe, 2014-15

Mr. Heather-Clark acted as the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for the proposed Kariba Dam Rehabilitation Project. The project includes the rehabilitation of the plunge pool and spillway of the dam wall. The project is being funded by the World Bank, African Development Bank and the EU.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Lesotho Highland 150 MW Wind Power Project, Breeze Power, Lesotho, 2011

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Scoping Phase of the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for a 150MW wind farm development in Lesotho. The Scoping Phase included the analysis of available information to identify key environmental and social risks associated with the siting of the wind farm.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the upgrade of the Principe Airport, HBD, Principe, 2011-2012

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the upgrade of the airport in Principe.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for a river barging project on the Zambezi River, Riversdale Mining, Mozambique, 2010-2011

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the project which includes the assessment of environmental and social impacts associated with dredging over 500km of the Zambezi River. The project includes full stakeholder engagement, coordination of various specialist studies with extensive field work and the integration of all information into an ESIA report and ESMP.

Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for 2D seismic exploration project in the Rovuma Basin, Petronas, Mozambique, 2009

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the ESIA for the offshore seismic exploration activities in Blocks 3 & 6, situated in the Rovuma Basin off the coast of Mozambique. The exploration activities comprise 2D seismic surveys in deepwater.

ESIA for an Early Production System (EPS) and Power Plant for Kaiso-Tonya Area, Exploration Area 2, Tullow Uganda Operations Pty Ltd, Uganda, 2007/8 Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the project which included a full ESIA for an Early Production System and associated Power Plant in the Kaiso-Tonya area on the banks of Lake Albert, Uganda. The intent of the project is to produce oil (and small amounts of gas) which will be converted into electrical power and distillate products (kerosene and diesel) for consumption within Uganda. The electrical power will be fed into the main grid supplementing the Ugandan electrical power grid while the distilled products (diesel and kerosene) will be used to displace the currently imported fuels. The ESIA included a detailed assessment of alternative sites for the proposed EPS and power plant, together with various environmental and social baseline studies and stakeholder engagement.

ESIA for a new high voltage overhead transmission power line in Cameroon, AES Sonel, Cameroon, 2007-2009

The project included co-ordinating a multidisciplinary team to undertake an Environmental and Social Impact Assessment and a full Resettlement Action Plan, for a new electricity distribution project, comprising a 113km overhead power line, for AES Sonel. As Project Director, Mr Heather-Clark was responsible for client liaison, quality control and final review of all reports.

ESIA monitoring studies for Sasol's Off-shore gas exploration activities in Inhambane and Sofala Provinces, Mozambique, Sasol Petroleum Sofala & Empresa Nacional de Hidrocarbonetos, 2007/8 Mr Heather-Clark directed an extensive environmental monitoring survey programme for Sasol's offshore hydrocarbon exploration activities. Monitoring studies included seismic noise modelling and monitoring, dugong surveys, artisanal fish catch monitoring, coral reef surveys and monitoring, sea turtle monitoring and tourism monitoring.

ESIA for seismic surveys and exploration well drilling and testing in Blocks 16 and 19 off the coast of Mozambique, Sasol Petroleum Sofala & Empresa Nacional de Hidrocarbonetos, 2005 - 2006 Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Manager for the ESIA which involved undertaking an ESIA and compiling

EMPs for offshore exploration activities in Blocks 16 & 19, situated to the east of the Bazaruto Archipelago National Park, off the coast of Mozambique. The exploration activities comprised 2D and 3D seismic surveys in deepwater and shallow water as well as exploration well drilling and testing activities.

EIA of the Moatize Coal Mine and associated railway line and deep water port infrastructure, CVRD, Tete Province, Mozambique 2006-2007

Mr Heather-Clark acted as the Project Coordinator and Cost Controller on this project. ERM was commissioned by CVRD, a Brazilian Mining Company, to undertake environmental studies related to the green fields development of a coal mine in Tete Province, Mozambique. The project included the development of a power plant, railway line and port for the export of coal.

ESIA of the proposed seismic survey in licence area 2814a on the continental shelf of Namibia, Shell Exploration and Production Namibia B.V., Namibia, 2001 Mr Heather-Clark was a team member of the ESIA for the offshore seismic exploration project. The ESIA included all issues associated with seismic surveys including seismic noise impacts on marine mammals, oil spill modelling and general environmental management issues.

ESIA of the Phase 2 expansion of the Mozal Aluminium Smelter and Matola Port Terminal in Maputo, BHP Billiton, Mozambique, 2000-2001 Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Manager and integrative writer for this ESIA. The EIA included an assessment of the expansion of the port terminal at the Port of Matola and a review of the Phase 2 expansion of the aluminium smelter. All reports together with the EIA process were reviewed and approved by the International Finance Corporation (IFC).

Environmental Impact Review for the abandonment of the Cuntala Well Protector Platform off the coast of Angola (Block 2), Texaco Panama Inc., Angola, 2001 Mr Heather-Clark was part of the project team who developed a decommissioning plan for a well protector platform off the coast of Angola.

STRATEGIC ENVIRONEMNTAL ASSESSMENT - ALL SECTORS

Strategic Environmental Assessment of the New Town Integrated Development Zone, TFM Mining, Katanga Province, DRC, 2014

Mr Heather-Clark was Project Director for the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the New Town Integrated Development Zone undertaken for Tenke Fungurume Mining (TFM) in Katanga Province, DRC.

Strategic Environmental Assessment for the supporting infrastructure for the Baynes Hydropower Project, Baynes PJTC, Namibia/Angola, 2014-15 Mr Heather-Clark was Project Director for the Strategic Environmental Assessment of the associated infrastructure for the Baynes hydropower project. The SEA covered the assessment of access roads for construction, transmission lines routing in Angola and Namibia and locations of an airfield.

Cumulative Impact Assessment of the development of numerous hydropower plants on the Cuanza River, Odebrecht, Angola, 2014-15

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Cumulative Impact Assessment of the development of a number of hydropower plant on the Cuanza River in Angola. Strategic Environmental Assessment for the Mozambican Regional Gateway Programme, MRGP, Mozambique, Malawi, Zambia, Zimbabwe, 2012 Mr Heather-Clark was the Partner Director for the SEA of the MRGP. The MRGP aims to support the improvement of the Southern African transport (roads, rail and ports), regional infrastructure network, which uses Mozambique as a gateway for international trade. The MRGP geographic scope encompasses the Beira and Nacala Transport Corridors and the respective links to the Maputo and Limpopo Corridors. The SEA identified enviroemtnal and social issues that need to be considered in the long terms planning an dimplemanetion of the rail and port infrastructure that makes up the Beira and Nacala Transport Corridors.

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for the coastline of Mozambique, MICOA, Mozambique 2012 Mr Heather-Clark is an advisor on the SEA for the coast of Mozambique. The SEA aims to identify potential conflicts between various uses of the coastal zone and to recommend strategic interventions to facilitate sustainable development within the coastal zone. Various users of the coastal zone that are being considered include off-shore oil and gas operations, coastal mining, tourism, conservation and artisanal and industrial fishing.

Strategic Environmental and Social Overview and ESIAs for offshore exploration well drilling activities in Blocks 2 and 3A, Lake Albert, Uganda. Tullow Oil Plc and Heritage Oil and Gas Limited, 2006-2008 Mr Heather-Clark was Project Director for this project. The project involved undertaking a strategic overview study of Lake Albert that provided background information on the limnological (physical, chemical and biological) features of the lake as well as environmental and socio-economic resources (such as nature reserves, tourism nodes, prime fishing areas etc). It also presented areas of environmental risk and opportunity associated with oil explorations on, and immediately adjacent to, the lake. The strategic overview provided a framework within which ESIAs were undertaken for the offshore drilling project. A site selection study was undertaken for onshore support infrastructure. Baseline studies included shoreline sensitivity mapping, oil spill modelling, water and sediment quality surveys, fish and fisheries surveys, socio-economic surveys and terrestrial ecology surveys. An extensive pubic participation process was undertaken as part of the ESIAs.
Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for the Port of Cape Town, National Ports Authority of South Africa, South Africa, 2003

Mr Heather-Clark was the project manager for this project and played a lead role in directing the course and outcome of the SEA. The SEA focussed on key environmental and social opportunities and constraint to the future long term development of the Port of Cape Town. A Sustainability Framework was developed to address key opportunities and constraints and to set up long terms monitoring programs. A key component of this study was to understand the Port-City linkages and developing mechanisms to ensure that port planning was supported by city planning and visa-versa.

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for the Port of Richards Bay, National Ports Authority of South Africa, South Africa, 2003

Mr Heather-Clark was the project adviser for this project and played a lead role in directing the course and outcome of the SEA. The SEA focussed on key environmental and social opportunities and constraint to the future long term development of the Port of Cape Town. A Sustainability Framework was developed to address key opportunities and constraints and to set up long terms monitoring programs. A key component of this study was to understand the Port-City linkages and developing mechanisms to ensure that port planning was supported by city planning and visa-versa.

Strategic Environmental Assessment: Scoping Phase Port of Richards Bay, National Ports Authority of South Africa, South Africa, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Leader and integrative writer for the Scoping Phase of the SEA for the Port of Richards Bay. This phase included detailed stakeholder consultation to identify opportunities and constraints to long term port development at the Port of Richards Bay.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SCREENING STUDIES - ALL SECTORS

Environmental and Social Screening Study for a Gas Power Plant, Confidential Client, South Africa, 2015

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for an Environmental and Social Screening study for the establishment of a gas power plant in South Africa. The screening study outputs included a permitting strategy, environmental opportunities and constraints maps, and input into the site selection process.

Millennium Challenge Account – Malawi: Infrastructure Development Project – Energy Sector (hydropower plants, transmission and distribution lines and substations), MCC, Malaiw, 2014-15 Mr Heather-Clark acted as the Independent Engineer to review all the Contracting Engineers environmental and social studies associated with the Infrastructure Development Project. The project includes the upgrade and development of new infrastructure including hydropower plants, transmission lines, distribution lines and substations.

Environmental and Social Screening Study for port options in Pemba Bay, Anadarko, Mozambique 2012 Mr Heather-Clark was the project lead for a Environmental and Social Screening Study for various port options in Pemba Bay. The screening study includes a multi-criteria assessment of various port locations taking into account marine and terrestrial ecology, social issues, land ownership, legal aspects and physical marine conditions.

Ore Line Expansion Project for the Sishen-Saldanha Ore Line and Port of Saldanha, various Mining Companies and Transnet, South Africa 2011-2012 Mr Heather-Clark is the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Screening Study for the Prefeasibility Phase of the Ore Line Expansion Project. This included compiling a detail Environmental and Social Design Criteria Report together with initial Stakeholder Engagement. A detailed multi-criteria assessment for various port and stockpile options was undertaken. The project included upgrading over a 1000 km of railway line and upgrading the port facilities including stockpiles, stacker-reclaimers, conveyors and shiploading facilities. The stakeholder engagement process was specifically designed to obtain buy-in from stakeholder who were strongly apposed some components of the port and rail expansion.

Environmental and Social Screening Study for a Mine development in Angola, Confidential Client, Angola 2011-2012

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Screening Study for the Concept Phase for a new mine development in Angola. The study included identifying environmental and social risks to the project and costing a full ESIA according to IFC Performance Standards and Equator Principles.

Environmental Screening Study for a Wind Farm Development in the Southern Cape, South Africa, 2011

Mr Heather-Clark is the Project Director for the Environmental Screening Study for a wind farm development in the Southern Cape.

Environmental Sensitivity Study of the Durban Airport Site Expansion Project , South Africa, Transnet, 2010

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the Environmental Sensitivity Study for the proposed digout port currently being considered by Transnet at the Durban International Airport Site. The aim of this assessment was to determine the biophysical, natural and social opportunities and constraints to the development of the dig-out port, as well as provide a strategic overview of the environmental context of the site. In addition, the sensitivity study provided strategic guidance in terms of the environmental due process and licensing requirements with respect to the National Environmental Management Act, and associated legislation.

Environmental and Social Screening Study for a river barging project on the Zambezi River, Riversdale Mining, Mozambique, 2009

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the project which included the assessment of environmental and social risks associated with dredging over 500km of the Zambezi River. The project included reviewing existing information, mapping key sensitivities and facilitating a specialist workshop in order to develop Terms of Reference for detailed baseline studies that will be required should the project proceed to a full ESIA.

Environmental and Social Screening Study, Port of Saldanha, Transnet, South Africa, 2008.

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the screening study which included an assessment of alternative berth options for the export of iron ore at the iron ore terminal at the Port of Saldanha, South Africa. The work included ongoing interaction with the port engineering and design teams, together with stakeholder engagement.

Environmental and Social Baseline Assessment for a green fields coal mine and CTL plant development, Sasol, South Africa, 2008

Mr. Heather-Clark was the Project Director the environmental and social baseline studies to support the evaluation of sites for potential development of a green fields coal mine and associated CTL Plant in South Africa. Mr. Heather-Clark has assisted with review and quality control of the various baseline studies.

Environmental and Social Screening and Qualitative Risk Assessment <u>Western Ports and Rail Corridor</u>, Transnet, South Africa, 2007

As Project Director, Mr Heather-Clark was involved in identifying environmental and social risks associated with future port development in the Port of Saldanha, Port of Cape Town and Port of Mossel Bay. The scope of the study included the review of previous EIAs, SEAs and other planning documents to identify environmental and social drivers and assess their risk to future port planning, development and operations. As the environmental team, ERM interacted on a regular basis with the port engineering and design teams to develop a port development framework for a 30 year planning period.

Environmental and Social Screening and Qualitative Risk Assessment <u>Central Ports and Rail Corridor</u>, Transnet, South Africa, 2007

As Project Director, Mr Heather-Clark was involved in identifying environmental and social risks associated with future port development in the Port of East London, Port of Port Elizabeth and Port of Ngqura. The scope of the study included the review of previous EIAs, SEAs and other planning documents to identify environmental and social drivers and assess their risk to future port planning, development and operations. As the environmental team, ERM interacted on a regular basis with the port engineering and design teams to develop a port development framework for a 30 year planning period.

Environmental and Social Screening and Qualitative Risk Assessment <u>Eastern Ports and Rail Corridor</u>, Transnet, South Africa, 2007

As Project Director, Mr Heather-Clark was involved in identifying environmental and social risks associated with future port development in the Port of Durban and Port of Richards Bay. The scope of the study included the review of previous EIAs, SEAs and other planning documents to identify environmental and social drivers and assess their risk to future port planning, development and operations. As the environmental team, ERM interacted on a regular basis with the port engineering and design teams to develop a port development framework for a 30 year planning period.

Environmental Site Suitability Study for a manganese smelter, Asia Minerals Limited, 2004

Mr Heather-Clark was part of the project team that undertook a preliminary site selection process for a manganese smelter by identifying key environmental and social issues for potential sites within Southern Africa. Sites included the Belualane Industrial Park (Mozambique) and Richards Bay, the Coega Industrial Development Zone (IDZ) and Saldahna (South Africa).

Environmental screening study for the establishment of a deep-water port at Ponta Dobela, Confidential Client, Mozambique, 2001

Mr Heather-Clark was a member of the project team who undertook a screening study to identify environmental, social and economic issues and show stoppers associated with the development of a deepwater port on the coast on Mozambique.

ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL DUE DILIGENCE AND TRAINING

Environmental and Social Gap Analysis for a 98 MW wind farm in South Africa, Confidential Client, South Africa, 2015

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the ESDD.

Environmental and Social Gap Analysis for a 2 x 75 MW solar pv farm in South Africa, Confidential Client, South Africa, 2015

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the ESDD.

Environmental and Social Due Diligence (ESDD) for a 74 MW wind farm in South Africa, Confidential Client, 2015

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Director for the ESDD.

Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards Training, Vedanta Resources Plc, Zambia 2012

Mr Heather-Clark was the lead facilitator of a 5-day training course on the implementation of the Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards for a number of Vedanta's mining operations across Southern Africa, Europe and Australia.

Environmental and Social Due Diligence for a Wind Farm Development in Coega, Electrawinds, South Africa, 2011

Mr Heather-Clark is the Project Director for the Environmental and Social Due Diligence for a wind farm development in the Coega.

External adviser and reviewer for an ESIA for a wind farm development in the Eastern Cape, Confidential Client, South Africa, 2010

Mr Heather-Clark is acted as adviser and reviewer for an EIA for the development of a wind farm in the Eastern Cape.

Environmental Advisor Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Mphanda Nkuwa Hydropower Project in Mozambique, 2010 Mr Heather-Clark was appointed to act as advisor for the ESIA for the Mphanda Nkuwa Hydropower Project in Mozambique. The core service was to advise the project team on international standards such as the IFC Performance Standards and World Commission on Dams.

Equator Principled and IFC Performance Standards Review and Training, African Housing Solutions, South Africa, 2009

ERM was appointed to review and ESIA and Resettlement Policy Framework, for a housing development in Nigeria, against the Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards. Mr Heather-Clark was responsible for reviewing the ESIA Report and for presenting a 2 ½ day training course on the Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards.

Advisor to the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Baynes Hydropower Project in Namibia and Angola, 2009.

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Advisor for the Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the proposed Baynes Hydropower Project on the Kunene River. The ESHIA process is being conducted in accordance to the Angolan EIA Regulations, the Namibian EIA Regulations, the World Bank Safeguard Policies and the IFC performance standards.

Implementation of the Equator Principles for Standard Bank's Project Financing Processes, Standard Bank, South Africa, 2008

ERM was commissioned to assist Standard Bank in adopting the Equator Principles. ERM developed an assessment system (based on the IFC Performance Standards) to link with Standard Bank's project finance transaction life-cycle. This involved the development of "tools" and guidance documents to form a system, together with training on the use of the system for all project finance staff. Mr Heather-Clark was one of the lead facilitators who undertook the training component of this project.

Independent Environmental Advisers to the Financing Parties of the Gautrain Rapid Rail Link project, Bowman Gilfillan. 2006

Mr Heather-Clark acted was appointed by The Bombela Consortium as Independent Environmental Advisers as to the Financing Parties. He provided review and advisory services through Bowman Gilfillan on Enviroemntal Management Plans for the Gautrian Rapid Link project.

Development of guideline document for the integration of environmental and social issues into the

project lifecycle for mine development, De Beers, South Africa, 2008

Mr Heather-Clark was part of the project team that assisted the client in developing a detailed guideline document for the integration of social and environmental issues into mine planning. This included all phases of the planning process from Concept through to Pre-feasibility, Feasibility and Implementation. Mr Heather-Clark, as lead facilitator, presented a 2 day training course on these guidelines, to mine planners and engineers.

Comparative review of EIAs undertaken by ERM globally for electricity utilities, Eskom, South Africa, 2007

Mr Heather-Clark was Project Director for this project. The project included research to provide Eskom with an overview of different EIA governance systems and approaches to managing EIAs in other countries, as well as identifying trends in EIA practice.

Corporate Social Responsibility Strategy development for a leading South African retailer, South Africa, 2006

Mr Heather-Clark was the lead facilitator for this project. The project involved identifying and prioritising the company's sustainability issues and defining a strategy to address these issues. The process was driven by the need for the company to be listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchanges SRI Index.

Review of Sustainability Report and Sustainability

Management System, Confidential, South Africa, 2004 Mr Heather-Clark played a lead role in reviewing the Sustainability Report of a leading retailer in South Africa and providing adhoc advice on sustainability issues. This included compiling a monthly news letter to staff on relevant sustainability issues facing the retail industry in South Africa.

EIA/SEA Capacity Building, Environmental Public Authority (EPA), State of Kuwait, 2003

Mr Heather-Clark was the lead facilitator for a 2 day training course on SEA and EIA for the Environmental Public Authority (EPA) of the State of Kuwait.

Training Workshop on Strategic Environmental Assessment for South Eastern Africa and the Western Indian Ocean Island States, SEACAM, Mozambique, 2003

Mr Heather-Clark was the lead course facilitator for the SEA training course funded by SEACAM. The training course included the principles of SEA, SEA process and case studies of SEA's in Southern Africa.

Improving the Effectiveness of EIA and the Potential of SEA in Southern Africa: Case Study on SEA of the National Commercial Ports Policy and SEA for the Port of Cape Town, World Bank/SAIEA, Namibia, 2003.

Mr Heather-Clark was invited to present two case studies on SEA at a regional workshop funded by the World Bank and SAIEA.

Due Diligence of the Phase 2 Maputo Port Revitalisation and Rehabilitation Project, Standard Corporate Merchant Bank, Mozambique, 2003 Mr Heather-Clark acted as the Environmental Adviser to the Standard Corporate Merchant Bank for the review of the EIA and Risk Assessment studies undertaken for the Phase 2 Maputo Port Revitalisation and Rehabilitation Project. The EIA was reviewed against the Mozambican and International Best Practice guidelines and detailed recommendation made on how

guidelines and detailed recommendation made on how to manage the environmental risks associated with the revitalisation project.

Environmental Audit and Assessment of the Socioeconomic Impacts of the Trans-Kgalagadi Highway, Botswana, Development Bank of Southern Africa, Botswana, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark played a lead role in reviewing the EIA and EMP implementation for the Trans-Kalagadi corridor in Botswana. The review included site visits, detailed interviews and review of secondary data and records.

World Bank EIA Project Management Training Course, World Bank/SAIEA, Zambia, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was the lead facilitator for the 5 day EIA Project Management Training Course. The course was presented to 20 African delegates from southern Africa. The course focused on the practical aspects of EIA project management including budgeting and scheduling an EIA, contract negotiations with clients, managing specialist studies, managing the public participation phase and compiling an integrated EIA report. The course formed part of a Southern Africa capacity building initiative lead by the SAIEA.

Ecologically Sustainable Industrial Development Programme, United Nations Industrial Development Organisation (UNIDO), Tanzania, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was part of a project team appointed to review the Industrial Development Strategy for Industrial Development in Tanzania. The focus of the project was to integrate environmental and social issues into the programme.

White Paper on National Commercial Ports Policy, National Ports Authority, South Africa, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was coordinated the review of the White Paper on National Commercial Ports Policy for South Africa. The review focussed on the integration of environmental and social issues into the port planning process. Mr Heather-Clark made a formal submission and presentation to the Portfolio Committee on Transport in the South African Parliament.

Environmental Liability and Risk Assessment for the Multi-Purpose Terminal at the Port of Saldanha, National Ports Operations, South Africa, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Manager for the project. The purpose of the project was to identify key environmental risks associate with the material handling at the Multi-Purpose Terminal at the Port of Saldanha.

Environmental Overview of South Africa's major ports with special reference to future container terminal development, National Ports Authority Container Terminal Strategy, National Ports Authority, South Africa, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was appointed to undertake a comparative assessment of the relative environmental sensitivity of the seven commercial ports in South Africa with reference to future container terminal development. The study included a detail review of secondary environmental information of all the ports, the identification of specific environmental criteria and the use of these criteria to rank each port in terms of its sensitivity to future container terminal development.

Review of the EIA undertaken for the Maputo Port Privatisation and Rehabilitation Project, Development Bank of Southern Africa (DBSA), South Africa, 2002 Mr Heather-Clark was appointed as the Environmental Adviser to the Development Bank of Southern Africa to review the Phase 1 EIA for the Maputo Port Privatisation and Rehabilitation Project. The review was undertaken against the Mozambican EIA Regulations and International Best Practice.

OTHER STUDIES

Research project on the effects of water scarcity on the fresh produce supply to a major South Africa retailer, South Africa, 2006

As Project Leader Mr Heather-Clark coordinated a group of researchers to identify water scarce areas and to plot these against the location of fresh produce suppliers for a major retailer in South Africa. This researched form a core component of the companies Sustainability Strategy.

DFID funded project to assess progress towards meeting the water relater targets of the Millennium Development Goals, DIFD, Zambia, 2004

Mr Heather-Clark was the Country Coordinator for Zambia on this project. The project included detailed stakeholder surveys secondary data analysis to establish the countries progress towards meeting the Millennium Development Goals, specifically related to water supply and sanitation.

Roll-out of ISO14001 and OHSAS18001 management systems to 2 industrial sites in South Africa, Confidential, South Africa, 2004.

As Project Manager Mr Heather-Clark was responsible for undertaking ISO14001 training at two industrial sites. The project formed part of a global initiative to have several industrial sites throughout Africa and Europe ISO14001 certified.

National Oil Spill Contingency Plan for Cameroon, funded by the World Bank, Cameroon Government, Cameroon, 2003

Mr Heather-Clark was part of the team that compiled a comprehensive Oil Spill Contingency Plan for Cameroon (OSCP). The OSCP form a core component of the Chad Cameroon Pipeline and included contingency plans for both onland and marine based spills. The OSCP was compiled according to the IPEACA guidelines and was reviewed by the World Bank.

Oil Spill Contingency Plan, Agip Angola oil operations, Angola, 2002

Mr Heather-Clark was a member of the team to develop an oil spill contingency plan according to the IPEICA International Guidelines.

Legal, Technical and Economic Feasibility Study for the Commercialisation of the SSF Association Milnerton Tank Farm and its links to the Port of Cape Town, SFF, Cape Town, 2001

Mr Heather-Clark was the Project Manager for this project.

Presentations and Lectures

- 2014: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course.
- 2013: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course.
- 2012: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course.
- 2011: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course.
- 2011: EIA Project Management Course, Impacto, Mozambique.

- 2010: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course.
- 2009: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course. Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards Training
- 2008: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course.
- 2007: EIA Project Management Course. University of Freestate, South Africa. Masters Course.
- 2006: Corporate Social Responsibility course to University of Cape Town Masters Students
- 2005: Corporate Social Responsibility course to University of Cape Town Masters Students EIA Course presenter to Masters Students at the University of the Freesate. A 3 day course focusing on EIA Project Management EIA Course presenter to Masters Students at the University of the Western Cape
- 2004: SEA course facilitator and presenter. Training workshop in Mozambique on Strategic Environmental Assessment for the countries of eastern Africa and the Western Indian Ocean Island States, funded by SEACAM.2004: Course facilitator for the EIA Project Management Course at the University of Freestate and University of Stellenbosch. Course facilitator for the EIA Project Management Course at the University of Freestate and University of Stellenbosch.
- 2003: Guest Speaker at the "Successfully Conducting Environmental Impact Assessments" conference held in Midrand on the 24 to 26 November 2003. Presented a paper titled "Moving from EIA to SEA: Proactive integration of biophysical, social and economic issues into the planning stages of the development cycle.
- 2003: Presenter for the IAIA International SEA Training Course for China funded by the World Bank: Presented the case study of the SEA for the Port of Cape Town (Video presentation).
- 2002: Various presentations on environmental assessment and management to Peninsula Technikon, Univ. of Stellenbosch (Planning, Environmental and Public Admin Departments), and Univ. of Free State, Amatola District Council.
- 2001: Various presentations on environmental assessment and management to Cape Tech, Pen Tech, Univ. of Stellenbosch, Univ. of Cape Town and Univ. of Free State.
- 2000: Introductory Course on Environmental Impact Assessment and Management, presented to the Ethiopian Environmental Protection Authority and other regional authorities – Dire Dawa and

Harar, Ethiopia. Various EIA/SEA presentations to Cape Tech, Univ. of Stellenbosch and Univ. of Free State.

- 1999: Introductory Course on Environmental Impact Assessment and Management, presented to the Ethiopian Environmental Protection Authority -Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- 1999: Various Integrated Environmental Management courses presented to the Department of Health -EIA process and regulations.

Debbie Donkin

Principal Consultant, Impact Assessment and Planning

Debbie Donkin is a Principal Consultant in the Impact Assessment and Planning Team within ERM Southern Africa, based in Durban, South Africa.

She has over 13 years' consultancy experience in sustainable and environmental management, including the management of environmental & social impact assessments (ESIAs), production and implementation of environmental management plans (EMPs); and management of public participation processes. She has experience in due diligence assessments, strategic environmental assessments, the production of integrated environmental programs and spatial development frameworks as well as the production of strategic plans for the removal of alien invasive vegetation.

Debbie's recent experience has focused on major infrastructure developments, ranging from prefeasibility studies through to feasibility, approvals and implementation. In all these phases, her role has been to ensure that environmental and sustainability criteria are taken into account and that the projects have complied with the relevant environmental legislation and international best practice.

Fields of Competence

- Environmental impact assessment
- Environmental audits/reviews/due diligence
- General environmental management and advisory
- Public participation
- Environmental assessment and feasibility
- Environmental management programs and plans
- · Wetland delineation and functionality assessment

Education

- MSc (Environmental Biology), University of Natal, Durban, 2000.
- BSc (Hons) (Ecology), University of Natal, Durban, 1997.
- BSc Environmental and Cellular Biology, University of Natal, Durban, 1996.

Languages

- English
- Afrikaans



Key Industry Sectors

- Infrastructure development
- Transport (road, rail, port)
- Urban & rural development

Professional Affiliations & Registrations

- International Association for Impact Assessment-South African Affiliate (IAIAsa)
- Founding member of the Environmental Assessment Practitioners Association of SA (EAPASA)
- Environmental Law Association, SA

Publications

- Donkin, D.A. & Butler, G.W. 2011. From economic to holistic focus, an EIA success. IAIA International, Puebla, Mexico, May 2011. (Presenting author)
- Van Rooyen, M. & Donkin, D.A. 2012. Environment, Health & Safety Lessons learnt from the Southern African Context. IAIA International, Porto, Portugal, May 2012. (Co-author)



KEY PROJECTS

Kusipongo Coal Reserve Scoping and EIA (Kangra Coal), South Africa (2014-2015)

Kangra Coal submitted a mining right application to expand their current mining operations outside Piet Retief, Mpumalanga, South Africa. Environmental and public participation manager responsible for the development of the scoping report as well as the public consultation processes involved with the scoping and the EIA phases.

EIA: Haliburton Completion Tools (HCT) Facility, Takoradi, Ghana (2014)

As part of their operations in Ghana, Haliburton sought to construct an HCT Facility on an undeveloped site in Takoradi. Environmental manager responsible for the appointment and management of the local consultant undertaking the EIA as well as for providing inputs to the EIA and reviewing the studies produced by the specialists. Also responsible for review of designs to ensure compliance and alignment to the EIA.

EIA: Liquid Mud Plant Extension, Takoradi, Ghana (2014)

Haliburton intend to extend their existing Liquid Mud Plant in Takoradi, Ghana. Environmental manager responsible for the appointment and management of the local consultant undertaking the EIA as well as for providing inputs to the EIA and reviewing the studies produced by the specialists. Also responsible for review of designs to ensure compliance and alignment to the EIA.

Due diligence: Concentrated Solar Power Plants (Lender's Technical Advisors) (2012)

Team member responsible for the assessment of the environmental licensing and permitting requirements for the proposed development and construction of two CSP facilities in Northern Cape, South Africa.

Due diligence: Photovoltaic Power Plants (Lender's Technical Advisors) (2012)

Team member responsible for the assessment of the environmental licensing and permitting requirements for the proposed development and construction of six PV facilities across South Africa.

Feasibility for Biomass Combustion Power Plant (2012)

A study was undertaken to determine the feasibility of developing a solid biomass combustion power plant, which would use timber waste from sawmilling operations and possibly alien invasive biomass to generate power. Responsible for compilation of the report which detailed an environmental feasibility assessment of the two sites under consideration; an assessment of the impacts associated with the routing of the power line from the biomass combustion power plant to the nearest substation; and, information on the legal framework applicable to the proposed development of the biomass combustion power plant and associated infrastructure.

Design, Environmental and Social Impact Assessment, and Supervision of the Construction of 8 COSDECs in Namibia (Millennium Challenge Account: Namibia) (2012-2014)

The project involved the design of eight community skills development centres across Namibia following the completion of environmental and social assessments for each site. Environmental manager responsible for compiling the environmental assessment reports for each site as well as the site specific Environmental Management Plans, Public Health & Safety Plans and HIV/AIDS Awareness Plans for the construction phase as well as management of the Environmental Officer during the construction phase.

Development of an Arts & Crafts Centre, Swakopmund, Namibia (Millennium Challenge Account: Namibia) (2012-2014)

The project involves the design of an Arts & Crafts Centre in Swakopmund, Namibia, preceded by the completion of an environmental and social assessment for the site. Environmental manager responsible for compiling the environmental assessment report for the site as well as the site specific Environmental Management Plan, Public Health & Safety Plan and HIV/AIDS Awareness Plan for the construction phase.

EIA: Sibaya Node 4 (Tongaat Hulett Developments) (2011-2014)

Project manager for the EIA for the development of a 116Ha area for residential, community, commercial and retail developments. Responsible for overall management of the EIA process, including managing the production of environmental scoping and impact reports and management plan; for the public participation process including facilitation of public meetings, focus group sessions and one-on-one meetings.

EIA: Expansion of the Gateway Theatre of Shopping (Old Mutual Life Assurance SA) (2011-2013)

Project manager for the EIA for the expansion of the Gateway Theatre of Shopping. Responsible for overall management of the EIA process, including managing the production of environmental scoping and impact reports and management plan; for the public participation process including facilitation of public meetings, focus group sessions and one-on-one meetings. Positive authorisation received.

Basic Assessment: Expansion of the Mpungose Water Scheme (BJFC Consulting Engineers) (2012)

Project manager for the basic assessment for expansion of the Mpungose Water Scheme. Responsible for overall management of the basic assessment process, including managing the production of the basic assessment report and environmental management plan; for the public participation process including facilitation of public meetings, focus group sessions and one-on-one meetings. Positive authorisation received.

Basic Assessment: Mpungose Reticulation Scheme (BJFC Consulting Engineers) (2013)

Project director for the basic assessment for the Mpungose Reticulation Scheme. Responsible for client liaison, staff training and quality assurance. Positive authorisation received.

Swaziland Rail FEL 2, South Africa & Swaziland (Transnet Group Planning & Monitoring) (2012-2013)

The principal requirement of this project is to provide an alternative link for general freight traffic from South Africa, via Swaziland, to Richards Bay. Environmental and sustainability manager responsible for overall management of the Environmental and Social assessment required the analysis of the proposed route (including alternatives), identifying the environmental and social impacts and opportunities, identification of sensitive ecological habitats, development of a stakeholder engagement strategy as well as development of environmental and sustainability design criteria.

Swaziland Rail Link FEL3, South Africa & Swaziland (Transnet Capital Projects) (2013-2014)

Transnet commissioned Mott MacDonald PDNA to undertake the Feasibility study (FEL-3) for the engineering component of the new proposed rail link between Lothair in the Republic of South Africa to Sidvokodvo in Swaziland. This study forms part of a greater scheme linking the Coal Line with the Ports of Maputo and Richards Bay through a new western connection to the Swaziland network. Environmental and sustainability manager responsible for overall management of the Environmental & Community and Sustainability deliverables associated with the FEL3 stage, i.e. finalisation of environmental and sustainability design criteria, route reviews in line with these criteria as well as the outcomes of the EIAs being carried out in SA and Swaziland, interface between client environmental team and our design team.

Eastern Cape Infrastructure, South Africa (Transnet Capital Projects) (2012-2014)

The contract involves the establishing of a team to manage the full programme of projects required at Port Elizabeth, Port of Ngqura and East London. This incorporates port infrastructure and building works including Admin Craft Basin, the associated works for a new Tank Farm Facility with two new berths, the relocation of two ship-to-shore (STS) cranes from Durban to Port Elizabeth, as well as the provision of foreshore protection and the dismantling of a Grain Elevator Export Gantry at East London. Environmental Discipline Lead responsible for the management of the environmental team appointed to the project; review and quality control of documentation and, ensuring the incorporation of Equator Principles and IFC performance Standards as well as advising on international best practice.

Nacala Port & Rail Outline Design, Mozambique (Eurasian Natural Resources Corporation) (2011-2013)

(Eurasian Natural Resources Corporation) (2011-2013) Environmental manager responsible for the management of the environmental sub-consultant carrying out the EIAs for the 1,200km rail and new port developments associated with the export of coal from Tete province, Mozambique. Apart from managing the consultant, responsibilities include co-ordination of input from the design team to the sub-consultant and identification of environmental constraints and opportunities.

Ithaca Rail Pre-feasibility Study (Ithaca Resources) (2012-2013)

Ithaca Resources proposed the development of a coal project in the Wahau area of the East Kalimantan, Indonesia. The Pre-feasibility Study aimed to identify potential coal transportation options from the project site to ship loading facilities as well as to identify other issues that need to be addressed during the Feasibility Studies to follow. Environmental manager responsible for developing a framework (Equator Principles and Legal) for the environmental and social assessment of the routes, undertaking the assessment, outlining key assumptions and limitations inherent at pre-feasibility stage and recommendations on the way forward. Further responsibilities included identification of sustainability benchmarks, development of sustainability objectives and KPIs.

High-Level Transport Infrastructure Logistics Study (Bushveld Minerals Ltd) (2013)

Team was appointed to investigate and propose supply chain solutions relating to the transportation of materials from mine to port. The Bushveld Iron Ore project comprises two prospecting licences covering a total of almost 7,500Ha in Limpopo Province, South Africa. As this area is located approximately 45km from existing rail and road transport infrastructure and there was a need to evaluate the corridors connecting the site to seaports in Maputo, Mozambique and Richards Bay, South Africa. The project involved the assessment all of the services required to provide the infrastructure to develop the appropriate logistics solution associated with transporting magnetite from the mine to a suitable port terminal, via rail. Environmental manager responsible for undertaking an environmental and social fatal flaws and hot spots analysis based on outputs from route assessments as well as for capturing environmental and sustainability criteria (for mine, rail and port) for future consideration.

Liberia Port & Rail Assessment (ENRC) (2012)

High-level review of two proposed routes for railway lines linking the interior of Liberia with the coast, to transport ore from various mines to the Ports of Monrovia and Buchanan.

Durban Point Car Terminal (Transnet Capital Projects) (2007-2010)

Environmental manager for the Durban Point Car Terminal suite of projects which involved providing an integrated solution to the Client for the transport, handling and holding of motor vehicles for import and export. Environmental management responsibilities included the implementation of the Environmental Management System (EMS) for the construction phase, compilation and adjudication of the environmental portion of construction tenders; and management of the environmental assessment practitioner undertaking the basic assessment for development of the Isipingo Vehicle Staging Area.

Container Terminal Strategy (Transnet Capital Projects) (2011)

Management and compilation of the environmental assessment component of the feasibility study undertaken to determine the opportunities and constraints related to various sites proposed for the development of container terminals for Transnet.

Durban Container Terminal Re-engineering (Transnet Capital Projects) (2007-2011)

Environmental manager for the construction phase of the Durban Container Terminal (DCT) Re-engineering project, the aim of which was to expand Port functionality through the re-engineering of the DCT to maximise the container stacking area available. This involved the relocation of three workshops, materials storage facilities, waste water treatment works and numerous staff facilities, from the DCT to an area referred to as the Y-site. Once the new facilities were constructed and commissioned, the existing buildings were demolished. Responsibilities included implementing the EMS as well as compilation and adjudication of the environmental portion of construction tenders; development and roll out of training for the client's site staff and the contractors.

Durban 2005 (Transnet Capital Projects) (2007-2009)

Environmental manager for the Port of Durban Development 2005 suite of projects which were aimed at expanding and improving the functionality of the Port of Durban. Environmental management responsibilities included the implementation of the EMS for the construction phase as well as compilation and adjudication of the environmental portion of construction tenders.

Pier 1 Tunnel Repairs (Transnet Capital Projects) (2010)

Environmental officer monitoring the contractor's compliance with the projects construction environmental management plan and standard environmental specifications during repairs to the service tunnels on Pier 1, Durban Harbour.

KwaDukuza Climate Change Response Strategy (CCRS) (KwaDukuza Local Municipality) (2012-2013)

Project director overseeing the overall project execution, quality control and client liaison as required, for the development of the KwaDukuza CCRS.

Ugu Environmental Management Framework (EMF) (Ugu District Municipality) (2012-2014)

Project director overseeing the overall project execution, quality control and client liaison as required, for the development of the Ugu EMF. Also responsible for producing the Air Quality report for the Status Quo phase and drafting of the Desired State Report.

Present Ecological Status and EMPr: ACSA Offices, OR Tambo (ACSA) (2013)

Project entailed determining the present ecological status (PES) of a wetland system situated adjacent to a service road at O.R Tambo International Airport, as well as the development of an Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) to recommend mitigation measures for managing the construction of upgraded stormwater infrastructure adjacent to the service road. Project director responsible for undertaking the PES, managing the development of the EMPr and ensuring quality assurance on the project.

Msunduzi Spatial Development Framework (SDF) (Msunduzi Municipality) (2014)

Appointed as a sub-consultant to Iyer Urban Design Studio to provide environmental inputs into the development of the SDF (i.e. status quo (mapping and report), vision development, SDF and implementation, monitoring and evaluation process). Internal project director responsible for overseeing the environmental status quo phase followed by direct project involvement from the vision development phase onwards.

Programme Management Support for the Implementation of the Neighbourhood Development Grant (NDPG) in Msunduzi Municipality (Greater Edendale Area) (Msunduzi Municipality) (2014) Appointed as a sub-consultant to Iyer Urban Design Studio to undertake the environmental, engineering and funding assessment and proposals for the Msunduzi NDPG project for the Edendale area. Internal project director responsible for quality assurance and review of reports. Specific responsibility for development of the Environmental Status Review Report and the ICT Status Review Report.

Ekurhuleni Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network (Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality) (2011-2012)

Strategic assessment of the legislative framework and environmental risks and constraints which would apply to the construction and implementation of the proposed Ekurhuleni Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network, including roads and associated infrastructure, passenger stations and holding depots.

eThekwini Integrated Rapid Public Transport

Network (eThekwini Transport Authority) (2011-2013) Strategic assessment of the legislative framework and environmental risks and constraints which would apply to the construction and implementation of the proposed eThekwini Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network, including roads and associated infrastructure, passenger stations and holding depots.

Msunduzi Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network (Msunduzi Municipality) (2012–2013)

Environmental manager overseeing the strategic assessment of the legislative framework and environmental risks and constraints which would apply to the construction and implementation of the proposed Msunduzi Integrated Rapid Public Transport Network, including roads and associated infrastructure, passenger stations and holding depots.

Environmental Compliance Monitoring: Expansion of the Mpungose Water Scheme (BJFC Consulting Engineers) (2012-2013)

Project manager responsible for introducing the environmental management system, initial site audit, providing training to the Environmental Control Officer and quality assurance.

Due diligence: Establishment of a Women and Children's Hospital (FMO: American Hospital Ltd, Nigeria) (Lender's Technical Advisors) (2014)

Team member responsible for the review of available documentation (EIA Report and specialist studies), and an evaluation of the documentation and processes thus far against the requirements of the local legislation, the Equator Principles and the IFC Performance Standards.

Due diligence: Establishment of a Specialist Hospital (ICD, Nigeria) (Lender's Technical Advisors) (2014)

Team member responsible for the review of available documentation (EIA Report and specialist studies), and an evaluation of the documentation and processes thus far against the requirements of the local legislation, the Equator Principles and the IFC Performance Standards.

Due Diligence: Mamba cement - 2800tpd cement

plant, SA (Technical Lender's Advisors) (2012-2014) The first phase of the project entailed undertaking an E&S due diligence assessment of the proposed project to determine the extent to which the Developer complied with environmental legislation governing the establishment of the plant and the quarries as well as a high level review with respect to compliance with the Equator Principles. The second phase (currently underway) entails undertaking audits of the construction site to ensure compliance with the environmental authorisation and EMP.

Due Diligence: Mamba cement plant, Tanzania (Standard Chartered) (Technical Lender's Advisors) (2013-2014)

Team member responsible for the E&S due diligence assessment and risk review of the proposed project to determine the extent to which the Developer complies with environmental legislation governing the establishment of the cement plant and the quarries as well as a review with respect to compliance with the Equator Principles and IFC Performance Standards.

Due diligence: Business Plan Review: CamTel Cameroon – National Broadband Network (Lender's Technical Advisors) (2012-2013)

Project entailed the establishment of a broadband network in Cameroon. Team member responsible for the review and assessment of environmental impact reports and approvals for the project in light of Equator Principles and the IFC Performance Standards.

CamTel Environmental & Social Action Plan (CamTel) (2013-2014)

Project manager responsible for the development of an Environmental and Social Action Plan, in line with IFC Performance Standards, for an optic fibre network in the Cameroon.

Due Diligence: ESIA Manufacturing Plant, Kenya (Frontier Market Fund Managers) (2012)

Due diligence assessment of a manufacturing company to determine if it met the IFC Performance Standards with respect to its environmental and social policies regarding business as usual and a proposed expansion project. Project manager responsible for the review and assessment of the relevant documentation related to current operations and proposed expansion in light of the relevant Kenyan legislation as well as the Equator Principles and the IFC Performance Standards. Outcomes included proposed improvements to meet the standards and review and acceptance of the company's action plan.

Due Diligence: Glass manufacturing plant), Tanzania (Lender's Technical Advisors) (2012)

Team member responsible for the assessment of the proposed project to determine the extent to which the Developer complied with environmental legislation governing the establishment of the plant as well as a high level review with respect to compliance to the Equator Principles.

Due diligence: Johannesburg Broadband (Rand Merchant Bank) (2012)

Team member responsible for the assessment of the environmental and permitting requirements involved in the development and construction of a broadband network within the greater Johannesburg area.

Vryheid Urban Design Framework (Abaqulusi Municipality) (2012-2014)

The Abaqulusi municipality in South Africa requires a framework to guide future planning and development in their local economic hub – the town of Vryheid. MMPDNA was appointed as sub-consultants to IYER Urban Design Studio to evaluate the current environmental constraints and opportunities for the study area in order to inform the development of this framework. Internal project director overseeing (report reviews and quality assurance) the environmental constraints mapping for the Vryheid town area and local precinct planning as well as the municipal open space planning and the creation of development setback lines.

Ilembe Regional Spatial Development Plan (ILembe District Municipality) (2012)

The IRSDP involved 5 reporting phases, from status quo to implementation planning. MMPDNA were part of a multi-disciplinary team that completed: environmental constraints mapping, constraints reporting, the identification of climate change adaptation opportunity areas through spatial planning, programming of environmental projects for the 2050 horizon and drafting the Scope of Works for several 'top priority' environmental programs as triggers for sustainable development over the next 10 year municipal planning cycle. Opportunities for the green economy were also explored across all sectors. Specific project role was as internal project director responsible for report reviews and quality assurance.

Coastal Management Programme Review (uThungulu District Municipality) (2011-2012)

Project manager for the Review of the Uthungulu Coastal Management Programme, involving the compilation of a Situational Analysis Report, as well as the revision of the existing Coastal Management Programme to include the incorporation of current issues and updating listed projects.

EIA: Inanda Road Petrol Filling Station (Private) (2008)

Management of EIA for the establishment of a petrol filling station. Responsibilities included management of the public participation process, production of reports including the development of an environmental management plan.

EIA: Assagay Retirement Village (C'Est La Vie) (2009-2011)

Management of EIA for the establishment of a retirement village associated with the CareNet group. Responsibilities included management of the public participation process, production of reports including the development of an environmental management plan. A positive environmental authorisation was received.

Basic Environmental Assessment: Warehouse

Development, New Germany (Leith Trading cc) (2008) Management of a basic assessment for the establishment of a warehouse and associated offices. Responsibilities included management of the public participation process; production of reports including the development of an environmental management plan. A positive environmental authorisation was received.

Basic Environmental Assessment: Waterways Residential Development, Tongaat (Cedar Point Trading 20 cc) (2008-2009)

Management of a basic assessment for the establishment of a low to middle income residential development aimed at providing accommodation in close proximity to the airport under construction at La Mercy. Responsibilities included management of the public participation process, production of reports including the development of an environmental management plan.

Environmental Compliance Monitoring, Kindlewood Residential Estate (various individual property owners/ developers) (2010-2012)

Monitoring numerous contractors for compliance with an approved environmental management plan, reporting to the estate management committee as well as to the responsible provincial department.

EIA: Sibaya Precinct (Moreland Developments, now known as Tongaat Hulett Developments) (2006-2007) Responsible for the production of reports and an

Responsible for the production of reports and an environmental management plan for the proposed Sibaya Precinct. The development covers an area of 855Ha, and includes residential, commercial and retail developments. A large proportion of the site will fall under a conservation servitude to be protected and maintained in the long term. A positive environmental authorisation was received for the development of two nodes of the development in the short term.

EIA: Taxi rank, Isipingo (eThekwini Transport Authority) (2007)

Management of EIA for the establishment of a taxi rank in Isipingo. Responsibilities included management of the public participation process, production of reports including the development of an environmental management plan. A positive environmental authorisation was received.

Environmental Management Plan, Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone (uMhlathuze Municipality) (2006)

Responsible for the production of an environmental management plan commissioned by the uMhlathuze Municipality for the construction phase of the Richards Bay Industrial Development Zone.

EIA: P50 Upgrade (KZN Department of Transport) (2003)

Project entailed the upgrading of the P50/15 through the Nkandla Forest. Project assistant responsible for the production of reports, aspects of public participation and site inspections.

Environmental Compliance Auditing: Mtomuhle Low Cost Housing, Verulam (eThekwini Municipality, Housing Department) (2003-2004)

ECO responsible for auditing contractor compliance with the approved EMP and environmental authorisation for the development of 400+ houses in Verulam.

Environmental Compliance Auditing: Waterloo Low Cost Housing, Verulam (eThekwini Municipality, Housing Department) (2003-2004)

ECO responsible for auditing contractor compliance with the approved EMP and environmental authorisation for the development of 400+ houses outside Verulam.

uMhlathuze Water Alien Vegetation Removal Programme (uMhlathuze Municipality) (2003)

Team member involved in the production of a strategic plan for the removal and control of alien invasive plants within the uMhlathuze River catchment. Tasks involved data collection, information ground truthing and mapping culminating in the production of the strategic plan.

Environmental Impact Assessment, Umhlanga College (Moreland Developments, now known as Tongaat Hulett Developments) (2002-2003)

Management of EIA for the establishment of a private school in Umhlanga. Responsibilities included production of reports including the development of an environmental management plan. A positive environmental authorisation was received.

Annex B

Stakeholder Engagement

- B1 I&AP Database
- B2 Initial Notification Material
- B2.1 Notification Letter
- B2.2 Adverts
- B2.3 Background Information Document
- B3 Open House Meeting
- B3.1 Attendance registers
- B3.2 Presentation
- B3.3 Meeting Notes
- B4 Comments and Responses Report
- B4.1 Comments Received

B1 I&AP DATABASE

Name	POSITION	INSTITUTION
National Authorities		
Ms Nosipho Ngcaba	Director General	Department of
		Environmental Affairs
Milicent Solomons	Director: Integrated	Department of
	Environmental	Environmental Affairs
	Authorisation	
Mohammed Essop	Assistant Director - Strategic	Department of
	Infrastructure Developments	Environmental Affairs
Nitasha Baijnath-	CWDP	Department of
Pillay		Environmental Affairs
Tshililo Aubrey	CWDP	Department of
Ramaru		Environmental Affairs
Lucas Mahlangu	Waste	Department of
		Environmental Affairs
Mark Gordon	Chief Director: Integrated	Department of
	Env Authorisations	Environmental Affairs
Dr Monde Mayekiso	Deputy Director General	Department of
		Environmental Affairs :
		Oceans and Coasts
Mr Lindelani Mudau	Chief Directorate: Integrated	Department of
	Coastal Management	Environmental Affairs :
		Oceans and Coasts
Potlako Khati	Chief Director: Integrated	Department of
	Coastal Management and	Environmental Affairs :
	Development (CD: ICM&D).	Oceans and Coasts
Alan Boyd	Oceans and Coasts	Department of
		Environmental Affairs :
		Oceans and Coasts
Andy Cockroft	Ocean and Coasts	Department of
		Environmental Affairs :
		Oceans and Coasts
Dr Yazeed Peterson		Department of
		Environmental Affairs :
		Oceans and Coasts
Professor Edith Vries	The Director General:	Department of
	Agriculture	Agriculture, Forestry
		and Fisheries
Ms S. Ndundane	(Acting) DDG: Fisheries	Department of
	Management	Agriculture, Forestry
		and Fisheries
Ms Fatima Samodien	Assistant Director	Department of
		Agriculture, Forestry
		and Fisheries

Ms Margaret-Anne	Director General	Department of Water
Diedricks		and Sanitation
Mr A Starkey	Chief Director	Department of Water
5		and Sanitation
Mr Hopewell Mkhize	Principle Officer	South African Maritime
-	-	Safety Authority
		(SAMSA) (Durban)
Cpt. Lobo	Principle Officer	South African Maritime
-1	- I	Safety Authority
		(SAMSA) (Richards Bav)
David Manley	Principle Officer	South African Maritime
2 01 100 101000		Safety Authority
		(SAMSA)
Mr Pasoka Nku	Acting Chief Executive	National Energy
IVII I dSCRa INRU	Officer	Regulator of South
	Officer	$\Delta frice (NEDCA)$
Mr. Derla Cadfreer DC	Diversion Concernal	National Concernments
Mr Pule Goarrey PG	Director General	National Government:
Selepe		Department of Transport
Ms Rene de Kock	Statutory Control	South African National
		Roads Agency Ltd
Dr. Wolsey Barnard	Acting Director General	Department of Energy
Dr Thibedi T	Director-General	Department of Mineral
Ramontja		Resources
Mr Mziwonke	Director-General	Department of Public
Dlabantu		Works
Mr Malcolm Nelson		SA Navy Hydrographic
Mr Malcolm Nelson		SA Navy Hydrographic Office
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities		SA Navy Hydrographic Office
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender	Managing Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender	Managing Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha	Managing Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha	Managing Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha	Managing Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca	Managing Director General Manager	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca	Managing Director General Manager	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko Zanele Gwala	Managing Director Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director Assistant Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko Zanele Gwala	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director Assistant Director	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko Zanele Gwala Nonkululeko	Managing Director Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director Assistant Director Environmental Officer	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko Zanele Gwala Nonkululeko Khumalo	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director Assistant Director Environmental Officer	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko Zanele Gwala Nonkululeko Khumalo Thembeka Ndlovu	Managing Director Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director Assistant Director Environmental Officer District Manager	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources
Mr Malcolm Nelson Provincial Authorities Vishnu Govender Jeffrey Maivha Tandaza Ntikinca Mayo Sosibo Thembheka Ndlovu Karoon Moodley Nkosazana Maseko Zanele Gwala Nonkululeko Khumalo Thembeka Ndlovu	Managing Director General Manager Lands Claim Commissioner Deputy Director Deputy Director Regional Director Assistant Director Environmental Officer District Manager	SA Navy Hydrographic Office Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Department of Human Settlements Department of Land Affairs Department of Land Affairs Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources Department of Mineral Resources

		Reform
Coleen Moonsamy	Environmental Officer	Department of Water Affairs
Athalia Sebayana	Water Quality Officer	Department of Water Affairs
Nompumelelo Mdlalose	Environmental Officer	Department of Water Affairs
Omar Paruk	Env. Manager	DEDTEA: Coastal Management
Muzi Mdamba	Environmental Director	Dept of Agriculture and Environmental Affairs
Nelisa Mchunu	Head: Economic Development	Dept of Economic Development
Ross Hoole	Spatial Planning	Dept of Land Affairs
Dejond Chetty	Applications	Dept of Traditional Affairs and Local Govt
Chris Davel	Deputy Director	DWAF - Forestry
Sbusiso Gumbi	Regional Director	KZN Department of Transport
Bongiwe Ndlovu	Head: Transport	KwaZulu-Natal Department of Transport
Local Authorities		1 1
Dr. Nhlanhla J.	Municipal Manager	uMhlathuze Local
Sibeko		Municipality
Cllr Mduduzi	Mayor	uMhlathuze Local
Mhlongo		Municipality
Mthokozisi Mhlongo	Project Manager	uMhlathuze Local Municipality
Sharin Govender	Planning and Development	uMhlathuze Local
	Manager	Municipality
Nkosi Sibiya	PA to Municipal Manager	uMhlathuze Local
,	(uMhlathuze)	Municipality
Thendeka Mchunu	Mayor	Uthungulu District
		Municipality
Mandla Nkosi	Municipal Manager	Uthungulu District
NT 1 1/1 (1)		Municipality
Nozipho Khathi	AQ officer	Uthungulu District Municipality
Ward Councillors		1 5
Frederik Bosman	Ward 1	uMhlathuze Local
		Municipality
Alen Viljoen	Ward 2	uMhlathuze Local
		Municipality
David Merryweather	Ward 3	uMhlathuze Local Municipality
Nicholas Madondo	Ward 4	uMhlathuze Local
		Municipality
John Cele	Ward 12	uMhlathuze Local

		Municipality
Cyprian Kweyama	Ward 13	uMhlathuze Local
		Municipality
Traditional Leaders		
Tulisiwe Ncube		Dube Traditional
		Authority
Charles Chili	Chairperson	Dube Traditional
		Council
Jowel Dube	Member	Dube Traditional
		Council
Zandile Chili	Secretary	Dube Traditional
		Council
Sarah Dube	Member	Dube Tribal Authority
Qobile		Kwamonambi
		Traditional Authority
Wilson Zungu	Trustee	Mkhwanazi Traditional
		Council
Nikeziwe Mthinyane	Secretary	Sokhulu Traditional
		Authority
C S Kweyama		Kwa-Dube Tribal
		Authority
Bhejane Mkhamango		Bhejane Tribal Authority
Mkusawabathethwa		Mhlana Tribal Authority
Mthethwa		(Kwambonambi)
NGOs and Interest Gr	oups	
Lawrence Mkhaliphi	Outage facilitator	Biowatch South Africa
Martin Taylor	Manager -Avi Tourism	Birdlife South Africa
Barbara Chedzey		Coast Watch / Mtunzini
		Conservancy
D P Cyprus	Director	Coastal Research Unit
Ken Findlay		Earthwatch/UCT
Chris Ballot	Managing Director	Exxaro
Dominic Wieners	IEM Process/Development	Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife
	Planner	
Tania Dunne		Foskor
Tokoloho Masilo		Mhlathuze Water
Rob Buller		Mhlathuzi water
Chris Burchmore	Environmental Manager	Mondi Forest
Cladura Navilar		Mondi Vroft
Bouerlow Terrer	National Managar	National Accessibilian for
beverley Terry	National Manager	Clean Air
David Lemmer	Project Manager	Pulp United
Sandy Camminga		
Sundy Cummingu	Chairman EIA Sub-	RB Clean Air

Jeremy Smith	Managing Director	Richards Bay Ratepayers
		& Residents Association
Rita Gopalkista		SA Portal Operation
Gerhard de Beer		Spoornet
Eben Scholtz	Planning and Development	Ticor South
	Manager	Africa/Exxaro KZN
		sands
Andrew Venter	CEO	Wildlands Trust
Carolyn Schwegman		Coastwatch
B. B. Biyela	Chairperson	Zululand Chamber of
		Business
Magugu Rapuleng	C.E.O	Zululand Chamber of
		Business
Frans van der Walt		Zululand Chamber of
		Commerce and Industry
Debbie Smith		Zululand Environmental
		Alliance (ZEAL)
Digby Cyrus		Zululand Environmental
		Alliance (ZEAL)
Tim Condon		Zululand Wildlife
		Forum
Theo Graham		Mhlathuze Water
Vishnu Govender		Cooperative Governance
		and Traditional Affairs
		(COGTA)
Mnyamezile Dlamini		Regional Land Claims
		Commission
RBIDZ		
Sihle Ngcamu	Executive Manager :	RBIDZ
	Business Development and	
	Strategy	
Percy Langa	Environmental Manager	RBIDZ
Khanyi Dlamini	Specialist planner in the	RBIDZ
	office of the CEO	
Eskom		
Ed Bunge		Eskom
Troyd Govender	Senior Environmental	Eskom
	Advisor, Eastern Region	
Transnet National Por	ts Authority Richards Bay	
Preston Khomo	Port manager	TNPA
Basil Ngcobo	Port engineer	TNPA
Vumani Ndlovu	Environmental Manager	TNPA
Sabelo Mdlalose	Port captain	TNPA
Cobie Snyman	Real estate	TNPA
Interested and Affecte	d Party	
Russell Stow		

Darryl Hunt		Dynamic Energy
		Consultants cc
Danie van Wyk	Director	Motla Consulting
		Engineers (Pty) Ltd
Daniele Ventura	Project Design Engineer	Canopus Energy (Pty)
		Ltd
Izel van Rooy	Town Planner	Canopus Energy (Pty)
		Ltd
Sonia Miszczak	Analyst	Atlantic Renewable
		Energy Partners (Pty)
		Ltd
David Peinke	Director	Atlantic Renewable
		Energy Partners (Pty)
		Ltd
Nomvula Mthembu	Office Administrator	Magnacorp 367
Jacolette Adam	Resident	
Mr Nathi Mthethwa	Chief Operations Officer	uMhlathuze Local
		Municipality
Dominic José	Business Development	Abengoa
Goncalves	Manager (Africa)	
Robert Løseth		Blystad Energy
		Management
Vumani Ndlovu	Environmental Manager	Transnet National Ports
		Authority (TNPA)
Hiadee von Well	Environmental Consultant	Ages (Pty) Ltd
Engela Grobler	EAP	Ages Limpopo (Pty) Ltd
Gladys Naylor	Environmental Manager	Mondi
Riaan Swart		Mondi
Mark Miller		Mondi
Sandy Camminga	Director	Richards Bay Clean Air
5 0		Association
Wendy Forse		Mtunzini Conservancy
5		and Mtunzini Residents'
		Association (MRA)
Neil Davies Evans		
Barbara Chedzey		
Jim Chedzey		
Doggy Kewley		
Barbara Kewley		
Anne Balmer		
Bruce Hopwood		
_		
Jeremy Nottingham		Mtunzini Residents'
		Association (MRA)
George Flook		
Steve Hermann		

Marlene Smit		
Gael Muir		
Paul S Venter		
Nigel Rossouw	Environmental Planner	Shell South Africa
		(Upstream International
		Integrated Gas)
Londeka Ngcobo	Control Environmental	DEA: uThungulu
	Officer	District Municipality
André Smuts	Operations Specialist	CNFO & Submarine
		Networks
Wayne Glossop	Business Development	Wärtsilä South Africa
	Manager	(Pty) Ltd.
	Energy Solutions	
Jeffrey Maivha		Department of
		Agriculture, Forestry
		and Fisheries
Frans van der Walt	Quantity Surveyors &	QS2000 Plus
	Project Managers	
IPP Stakeholders		
Seiji Iijima	Head of Business	ITOCHU Corporation
	Development	-
	Power & Energy	
Eiichi Takahashi		ITOCHU Corporation
		1
Tebogo More	Business Development	GDF SUEZ Energy
		Southern Africa (Pty)
		Ltd
David Peinke	Director	Atlantic Renewable
		Energy Partners (Pty)
		Ltd
Sonia Miszczak	Analyst	Atlantic Renewable
		Energy Partners (Pty)
		Ltd
Giovanni Serra		ENEL Power
Taf Mhlanga		Tirsano Partners
Mluleki Majola		MOGS
Vi Truong Dinh	Business development	EDF South Africa
Public Meeting 03 Nov	vember 2015	
David Merryweather		Umhlatuze Councillor
TA7 1 T		ward 3
Wendy Forse	Chee Member	Mtunzini Conservancy
		and Coastwatch
Izel van Rooy		Canopus Energy (Pty)
		Ltd
Antonino Strand		

Daniele Ventura	PM	Canopus Energy (Pty)
		Ltd
Vumani Ndlovu	Environmental Manager	Transnet National Ports
		Authority (TNPA)
Solomon	Manager	Magnacorp 367
Alen Viljoen	Ward 2	uMhlathuze Local
		Municipality
Engela Grobler	Consultant	Ages
Preston Khomo	Manager	TNPA
Basil Ngcobo	Port engineer	TNPA
Frans van der Walt	Quantity Surveyors &	QS2000 Plus
	Project Managers	
Mia Moererof	Jounalist	Zululand Observer
Sharin Govender	Environmental Manager	uMhlathuze Local
		Municipality
Sabelo Mdlalose	Harbour Master	TNPA

B2.1 NOTIFICATION LETTER

Figure 2.1 Notification letter to stakeholders

21 October 2015

ERM Ref: 0320839

Dear Stakeholder

Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. Transnet SOC Ltd (Transnet) will need to grant the rights in the Port for this project and, in collaboration with the DoE, also plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme.

The Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities each require Environmental Authorisation through an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998, as amended. This notification serves to announce the commencement of the separate EIA processes for each Project. For further information about the EIAs, the associated public participation process and how you can register as an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP), please refer to the attached Background Information Document.

ERM invites you to an open house event to find out more, raise issues and pose questions to the Project team.

When: 3 November 2015 Where: Premier Hotel The Richards, 3 Hibbert Drive, Meerensee, Richards Bay Time: The Project Team will be available at the venue from 14:30 to 19:00 and a presentation will be given at 17:00.

To RSVP or register as an I&AP contact Stephanie Gopaul of ERM: Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax: 031 265 0150 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Visit the Project website: <u>www.erm.com/gastopower</u>

Yours sincerely

Tougheeda Aspeling

Environmental Resources Management

2nd Floor Great Westerford 240 Main Road, Rondebosch 2700, Cape Town, South Africa

Postnet Suite 90 Private Bag X12 Tokai, 7966 Cape Town, South Africa

Tel: +27 (0) 21 681 5400 Fax: +27 (0) 21 686 0736 www.erm.com



Registered Office Address Environminial Resources Managemen Southern Africa (Phy) Lhd 14/Floor, Huilding 32 The Woodhands Office/ Park Woodhands Office/ Park Woodhands Drive, Woodhand 2148, Johannesburg, South Africa

Company Registration Number 2003/004404/07

Directors Ian Baley (UK) (Chairman) Umailia Boh (Non-Esacotive) Esda Kumisenba Ieremy Sobel (Maraging) Tanka Swanepool Bruce Walker

A member of the Environmental Resources Management Group

Figure 2.2 Proof of email to stakeholders

To:	Tougheeda Aspeling
Cc:	Lindsev Bungartz: Debbie Donkin: Nadia Mol
Boc:	nnocaba@environment.gov.za; msolomons@environment.gov.za; MEssop@environment.gov.za;
	NBPillav@environment.gov.za; taramaru@environment.gov.za; lmahlangu@environment.gov.za;
	mgordon@environment.gov.za; mmayekiso@environment.gov.za; lmudau2@environment.gov.za;
	pkhati@environment.gov.za; ajboyd@environment.gov.za; AndrewC@daff.gov.za;
	ypeterson@environment.gov.za; DG@daff.gov.za; SiphokaziN@daff.gov.za; fatimaS@daff.gov.za;
	fsamodien@gmail.com; pa.ddgfisheries@daff.gov.za; Centralp@dwa.gov.za; StarkeyA@dws.gov.za;
	mmptsana@samsa.org.za; Wobo@samsa.org.za; DManley@samsa.org.za; paseka.nku@nersa.org.za;
	selepegilidot.gov.za; Dekockniinra.co.za; Wolsey.Bamardinenergy.gov.za;
	invapisa. gwarwashemom, gov.za; 0g.pamgow.gov.za; mydrosanoiarinca.com;
	visit in the market index and the second market with a second market in the second sec
	nkoszan, meskoliten ov. za: zanek nwakilon, ny za: noku khumakilon, ny za:
	thembeka.ndlovu@drdlr.cov.za; moonsamvo@dws.co.za; sebavanaa@dws.oov.za;
	mdlalosen2mdws.gov.za; omar.parukitikzndae.gov.za; mdambamitienviroe.kznti.gov.za;
	mchunun@kznded.gov.za; RJHoole@dla.gov.za; dejondchetty@kznlgta.gov.za; davelc@dws.gov.za;
	Sbusiso.Gumbi@Kzntransport.gov.za; sphiwe.thusi@richemp.org.za; GovendS@umhlathuze.gov.za;
	sibiyafn@umhlathuze.gov.za; sibiyat@uthungulu.co.za; nkosim@uthungulu.co.za;
	khathin®uthungulu.co.za: Erik.bosman@gmail.com; BosmanEG@umhlathuze.gov.za; alchns@imweb.co.za;
	ViloenAdoumharhuze.gov.za; MenvweatherDJioumharhuze.gov.za; MadondoNMoumharhuze.gov.za;
	Celebration in advice dov.zz; kweyanac Sourin advice dov.zz; charesorum cazuchiegy a doccon;
	washington to zay chris balletillarian an zay userardiil/zmuldlife comi tractellarimhite ao zay
	chuller@mblath.ze.oze.david.jemmer@pulp.inted.com: camminga@iafrica.com:
	ieremy, smith@absamail.co.za; ritao@saportoos.co.za; and rewy@wildands.co.za; afromatz@itelkomsa.net;
	sceo@uthungulu.co.za; magugu@zululandchamber.co.za; frans@gs2000plus.co.za; 2009zeal@gmail.com;
	dovrusili panuzulu.ac.za; tim.condon@shaw.ca; dtgraham@mweb.co.za;
	vishnu.govender@kzrcogta.gov.za; mryamezeli.dlamini@drdir.gov.za; Sihle.Ngcamu@rbidz.co.za;
	Percy.Langa@rbidz.co.za; Khanyi.Diamini@rbidz.co.za; troy.govender@reskom.co.za;
	preston.khomo@utransnet.net; basil.ngcobo@itransnet.net; vumani.ndlovu2@itrastsnet.net;
	sabeto-moladosegiti asinshetivec; copie snymanigiti ansheti net; nussensorgcis-sa.biz; injmaiorochu.co.za;
	takanasin-eenisoruutojis teoopunsioengoissectomis daviomatianologiutomis giovannuseriaiseeneutomis
Subjects	Independent Dauer Deal and the Deal and the second second base of the second se
Subject	Exciting. During Reards Ray
Date:	21 October 2015 04:38:00 PM
Attachments	Richards Bay BID 21-10-15 odf
	image001.png

Dear Stakeholder

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. Transnet SOC Ltd (Transnet) will need to grant the rights in the Port for this project and, in collaboration with the DoE, also plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme.

The Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities each require Environmental Authorisation through an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998, as amended. This notification serves to announce the commencement of the separate EIA processes for each Project. For further information about the EIAs, the associated public participation process and how you can register as an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP), please refer to the attached Background Information Document.

ERM invites you to an open house event to find out more, raise issues and pose questions to the Project team.

When: 3 November 2015

Where: Premier Hotel The Richards, 3 Hibbert Drive, Meerensee, Richards Bay Time: The Project Team will be available at the venue from 14:30 to 19:00 and a presentation will be given at 17:00.

Figure 2.3 Proof of postage

	03201	54,
Bongiwe Ndlovu		Cllr
Head: Transport		May
KwaZulu-Natal Department o)İ	uM
Transport		Priv
172 Burger Street		Rich
Pietermaritzburg		3900
3200		

0320754. ERM

Cllr Mduduzi Mhlongo Mayor uMhlathuze Local Municipality Private Bag X1004, Richards Bay, 3900

Cathy Van Wyk 7 Lavender Road Worcester 6850

Г	POSTNET	٦
	3 0 OCT 2015	
	TOKAI	_

7XB5 letters

Figure 2.4 Advertisement that was published

ERM Reference Number: 0320839

Environmental Impact Assessment for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities in the Port of Richards Bay INVITATION TO REGISTER AND COMMENT

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. In addition, Transnet plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme.



Notice is hereby given of the public participation process required as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment processes (EIA) in terms of the National Environment Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA). Two (2) separate environmental authorisation applications are being undertaken for these respective projects. The Floating Power Plant Project may trigger the following Listed Activities in terms of the EIA Regulations of 2014 under NEMA:

- EIA Regulations Listing Notice 1 (GNR 983 of 2014) Activities 11(ii), 19(i)(iii), 27, 30.
- EIA Regulations Listing Notice 2 (GNR 984 of 2014) Activities 2, 4, 6(i), 14(ii)(iii), 26(iii)(v), 28(i).
- EIA Regulations Listing Notice 3 (GNR 985 of 2014) Activity 12 (vi).

The LNG Import Facilities will have marine and land-based infrastructure and may trigger the following Listed Activities in terms of the EIA Regulations of 2014 under NEMA:

Listing Notice 2 (GNR 984 of 2014) Activities 4, 7(i)(ii), 14, 26.

The following additional permits may be required: a Water Use Licence in terms of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998); an Air Emissions Licence in terms of the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004); a permit in terms of the National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998), and/ or the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004), for the removal of protected species; and a Coastal Water Discharge Permit in terms of the National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act, 2008 (Act No. 24 of 2008).

The competent authority for the two (2) EIAs is the National Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA). Environmental Resources Management (ERM) has been appointed as the independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to undertake the EIAs and associated Public Participation Processes.

Stakeholders are invited to register as Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) and to participate in the EIA processes by identifying issues of concern and providing suggestions to enhance benefits. A draft Scoping Report and draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report will be made available for comment during each EIA process. Registered I&APs will be kept informed about the Projects and will be notified when these reports are available for comment.

For more information the Background Information Document can be downloaded: www.erm.com/gastopower



19 October 2015

Figure 2.5	The Mercury	published	on 19 October 2015
0			



ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT



LWAZY MBUTHO

AKAPHENDULI nakancane uTrevor Noah ongusomahlaya

AKAPHENDULI nakancane uTrevor Noah ongusomahlaya ngezinsolo zokuntshontsha hlaya ngesikhathi emenywe ohleven iPoliticon eLos Angeles. bannika tihuha lokuzihombian uNoah njengoba sebeqalile ukubheka izinto ezincane aba-zomcofa ngazo selokhu eqaili ukutheka izing ezinto ezincane ukutheka izing ezinto ezincane aba-zomcofa ngazo selokhu eqaili ukutheka izing ezinto ezin

Kusaphele amasonto amathathu kuphela uNoah usebhekene nokuyzekwa ngokuntshontsha ihlaya likaso-dana nokuyzekwa ngokuntshontsha ihlaya likaso-dana ngowa Chappelle, alenze ngowa Lib6 ihlaya likaso-danze ngowa Lib6 ihlaya kodwa useba ngowa likaso likaso zinasha zakata happela. Tiza uNoah eshintshe izinto azindawa kuleli hlaya kodwa jusfana na likaschappela. Broliticon wuhlet haya kodwa jusfana na likaso lowenziwa hukhoma, luhlanganisa osoma-huka nasogolitik, okulapho ulinaba enza bioma a li hlangho ulina

kwiThe Daily Show, bemhala-lisela ngokuthola ifuba elikhu-lu kwazise kalandile. Kuqhamuke imiblko yokuthi ujabulisa ababukeli balolu helelo abase-United States of America njengoba bekukhona idlanzana

abase-o linket Status ol Ambrieka hiengoba bekuthona idlamzana ebelingeneme ngokumgena kwakhe kulona. Lezi zinsolo zokumtshontsha hilaya kukahoah ziza ngemuva kokuba abahieli balolu hlelo bedatule ukuthi selokhu ku-ngene le nsizwa uhlelo lowehle ngezibalo ezingama 33% wababukeli. Sekuphele isonto kuphumile lezi nsolo kodwa uNoah naba-hleli be/The Daily Show kabaka-ze baphawule ngazo.



08:00AM T



B2.3 BACKGROUND INFORMATION DOCUMENT





Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA





Background Information Document

Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay: ERM Ref Number: 0320839

Purpose of this Background Information Document and ERM's Role

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. Transnet will need to grant the rights in the port for this project and also plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme. The DoE and Transnet are considering similar projects in the Ports of Saldanha Bay and Ngqura.

The Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities each require Environmental Authorisation through Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) from the National Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended. This document provides background information on both projects and EIA processes. It aims to assist interested and affected parties to understand the background to the projects, and to provide guidance on getting involved. Interested and affected parties can play a very important role in the EIA processes and therefore we encourage you to register as an interested and affected party. This will help us to keep you informed throughout the EIA processes. You will have opportunities to engage in discussions on issues, provide comment on the draft Scoping Reports, various specialist study findings as well as the draft EIA Reports that will be produced through the EIA processes. Your input will inform the report's content, and will also be included in the final submissions to DEA, the body that will take the environmental decision on the proposed developments.

ERM's Role in the FPP and LNG Facilities

The DoE has appointed Environmental Resources Management Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd (ERM) as the independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner for the EIAs for both the Floating Power Plant and the LNG Import facilities in Richards Bay. The EIA will be undertaken in several steps, scoping issues and alternatives, coordinating specialist studies and compiling Environmental Impact Reports that set out the anticipated impacts and how these might be mitigated. The EIA reports are prepared to inform an environmental authorisation decision to be taken by the DEA, the competent authority. A further crucial part of ERM's role is to facilitate the active involvement of interested and affected parties in the process.

Get involved. Register as an interested and affected party. Please complete the enclosed registration/comment sheet or contact ERM to register as an I&AP. Stephanie Gopaul Tel: 031 265 0033 • Fax: 031265 0150 • Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21, Westville, 3630 Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

The Gas to Power Programme

The National Development Plan (NDP) identifies the need for South Africa to invest in a strong network of economic infrastructure designed to support the country's medium- and long-term economic and social objectives. This requires the development of 10 000 MW of additional electricity capacity to be established by 2025. To achieve this, the DoE has developed a 20year energy plan for South Africa, the Integrated Resources Plan 2010-2030 (IRP 2010), which encourages the participation of independent power producers (IPPs) in electricity generation in South Africa.

The Independent Power Producers (IPP) Office was established by the DoE, the National Treasury and the Development Bank of Southern Africa (DBSA) to facilitate the involvement of IPPs in the generation of electricity. The IPP Office has to date successfully procured 6 327 megawatts (MW) under the Renewable Energy IPP Procurement Programme. It is currently intended that a further 3126 MW of new generation capacity will be generated from natural gas. For the Gas IPP Procurement Programme, the DoE through the IPP Office has, in collaboration with Transnet, developed a two-phased approach. The first phase is to introduce Floating Power Plants in three of South Africa's commercial ports – Saldanha Bay, Ngqura and Richards Bay. The second phase is to facilitate the import of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) in the same three ports, to allow for the development of medium- to longterm gas power plants outside of the port boundaries.

Separate applications and studies are being undertaken by private parties for gas power plants and related infrastructure near the Port. Following a competitive bidding process to be conducted by the DoE through the Independent Power Producer (IPP) Office, the DoE plans to select only one of these parties to develop a gas power plant outside the Port boundary. The competing bidders need to conduct EIAs for their respective project proposals.



Project Description

Floating Power Plants

Floating Power Plants are special purpose marine vessels which incorporate power generation equipment and only require a land based switchyard to distribute power. The proposed Floating Power Plant Project has both land-based (terrestrial) and marine-based components, including the following:

- Floating Power Plant which may be a power barge or a selfpropelled powership (marine);
- Mooring infrastructure in the form of anchors, dolphin structures and a piled temporary access jetty;
- Floating fuel storage facilities (marine);
- Connection of the fuel storage facility to the Floating Power Plant for the transfer of liquid fuel/gas on board (marine);
- Underground or aboveground power lines connecting the Floating Power Plant to a floating or terrestrial switchyard for the conversion of the power to a higher voltage (marine and terrestrial);
- Transmission line to either the Bayside or Impala substation for distribution into the national power grid (terrestrial).

Several Floating Power Plants could be moored within each port depending on the power generation capacity, the capacity of the relevant substation to distribute this power and space within the Port. There is currently 820 MW capacity available at the Bayside substation.

The Floating Power Plant will be powered by liquid fuel and may be converted to a gas powered facility at a later date. Fuel is typically supplied from a bunker barge or tanker vessel moored close to the Floating Power Plant. Refuelling the fuel storage vessel typically takes place once a week via a fuel supply vessel. The Floating Power Plant will operate 24 hours per day for 365 days per year. The Floating Power Plant would be moored within the Port of Richards Bay. Power would be evacuated via a switching yard and a 132 kV transmission line (approximately 2.5 km) to the Bayside or Impala substation, both of which are managed by Eskom.



An illustration of the proposed FPP and the associated infrastructure required to supply power into the national grid

LNG Import Facilities

The proposed LNG Import Facilities aim to secure gas supplies to feed to land-based gas power plants, other industrial users and FPPs. The facilities will provide for the importation, storage, regasification and the transmission of natural gas to a distribution hub, and will include both land-based (terrestrial) and marine-based components. There are currently two (2) infrastructure technologies under consideration for this, including the following::



Floating Regasification

The option would consist of the following components:

- A marine import facility consisting of a loading quay, berthing and mooring dolphins, access and services trestle and pipeline;
- A permanently moored Floating Storage and Regasification Unit (FSRU) (marine); and
- A gas pipeline connecting the fuel storage and regasification facility to a common gas distribution hub from which the gas will be distributed to the power plant and domestic users via pipeline.



An illustration of the floating egasifi ation technology and how this would link to a landside gas to power plant

Land-based Regasification

The option would consist of the following components:

- A marine import facility consisting of a loading quay, berthing and mooring dolphins, access and services trestle and pipeline;
- A dock at an existing facility in the port or a special purpose docking facility to be constructed for an LNG transport ship;
- A cryogenic gas pipeline connecting the LNG carrier to storage and regasification facilities on land;
- A gas pipeline from the regasification facility to a gas distribution hub which will then distribute the gas further to a power plant and other gas users. Electricity is connected from the power plant to the national grid.



An illustration of the land-based regasifi ation technology and how this would link to a landside gas to power plant

Once operational, LNG carriers will supply the LNG Import Facility which will discharge the LNG load to the FSRU/FSO over a period of approximately 24 hours. It is envisaged that the LNG Import Facility will operate for 24 hours per day for 365 days per year. The location of the LNG import facility would be within the Port of Richards Bay.

Project Inputs, Outputs and and Potential Concerns

There are a number of activities associated with the construction and operation of a Floating Power Plant and an LNG Import Facility that may result in environmental and social impacts. At this stage the issues of concern shown below will be addressed as part of the EIA process. Additional issues and concerns will be identified during the public participation process.

- The potential impact of noise and air emissions associated with each Project, and what this means for people and the broader environment in the area.
- The potential impact of the Projects on terrestrial animals and plants.
- The potential impact of the Projects on marine life.
- The management of waste during the Project lifespan.
- The benefits associated with the Projects, such as increased energy production for the country, and employment creation.



Project Inputs and Outputs for the FPP



Project inputs and outputs for the LNG Facilities using a Floating Storage and Regasifi ation Unit



Project inputs and outputs for the LNG Facilities using land-based regasifi ation
The EIA Processes

- The two (2) Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) for the proposed Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility are being conducted in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended (NEMA). The proposed Projects trigger listed activities in EIA Regulations Listing Notice 1 (GNR R983), Notice 2 (GNR 984) and Notice 3 (GNR 985), as well as activities listed in the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008. Therefore, these Projects will require full Scoping and EIA Processes to support any environmental authorisation decisions. A typical full Scoping/EIA Process is explained below.
- Scoping Phase The purpose of the scoping phase is to communicate the proposed project to interested and affected parties, to identify possible positive and negative impacts, alternatives, as well as to determine the terms of reference for specialist studies to be conducted in the EIA phase. This will be set out in the Scoping Report. The Draft Scoping Reports for the projects will be made available for a thirty (30) day public comment period.
- EIA Phase The possible positive and negative impacts identified in the scoping reports will be assessed in the EIA Reports. The significance of the impacts will be rated using a prescribed methodology. As the preferred design and technology has not been selected for the projects, an envelope of project description options and impacts will be assessed. The Environmental Impact Reports arising from this phase will include Environmental Management Programmes, which will detail proposed management measures to minimise negative impacts and enhance positive impacts. The draft EIAs will be made available for a thirty (30) day public comment period.

In addition to environmental authorisation being applied for through NEMA, the following permits may be required:

- Water Use Licences in terms of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998);
- Air Emissions Licences in terms of the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004); and
- Coastal Water Discharge Permits in terms of the National Environmental Management: Integrated Coastal Management Act, 2008
 (Act No. 24 of 2008).

The National Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) is the competent authority for both ElAs. The Final Scoping Reports and ElA Reports, along with all stakeholder comments, will be submitted to the DEA for decision making.





General Port and Substation Overview



8

EIA for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility, Port of Richards Bay

Registration and Comment Sheet

October 2015

Send your queries, comments or suggestions on the proposed project to us. You can email, fax, post or hand them to us.

Stephanie Gopaul Tel: 031 265 0033; Fax: 031265 0150 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Comments

Please fill-in our contact details below for the stakeholder database.

Title and Name:		
Organisation:		
Telephone:	Position:	
Cellphone:	Email:	
Postal Address:		



Annexure A



Examples of Floating Power Plants



Example of a Liquid Natural Gas Import facility

B3 OPEN HOUSE MEETING

B3.1 ATTENDANCE REGISTERS

	EIA for a Floating
03/11/2015	Power Plant and LNG
	Import Facility

DAMIE LE CANOPUS DH	ANDRINO STRANO	van Rooj Caropus Evergy	Wendy Miunzuni Consensivy Citee Forse el, mende mende	DAVID MEnnywEntum MEnnywEntum Councilien Ward Ward	Title, First name & Organisation Name Organisa
08,72,506,120	0252 de 2000	08241971925	035 34025&	Sol 083425	in Telephone / Cellphone ion Numbers
			P.O. Box 611 Mtuzini 3867	P.a. Box 20446 FIEHAMDS RAY	Fax Number Postal Address
DEVELOPMENTQ LEWTON, CO. ZA	at tom has strates a lips Strater.a	planwize @ telkomsg ionopus energy@gmail	twigh @ Ancar con	·	Email Address

EIA for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility 03/11/2015

	1		
		Ne Vyman	Title, First name & Surname
		J VP P	Organisation Name
		tru pesso mensor	Position in Organisation
		2632 281031 2325 Jos	Telephone / Cellphone Numbers
)	Fax Number
		Fox 18) Kinnaros	Postal Address
		UMMANI ~ 10 NOLANZ	Email Address

EIA for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility 03/11/2015

Title, First name & Surname	Organisation Name	Position in Organisation	Telephone / Cellphone Numbers	Fax Number	Postal Address	Email Address
FRANZ VAN	asroco Sml	PEANZ APRIL	222 220 0007280 19877554 (520)	58)h422	P. O. KA 10376 MEZERANE Selen	frans & g szuce
Mia Macricolat (email copy of presentation)	Zululand Observer	Journalist	025 779 0500		suite Z Ura Link Kichards Bay	mig(@ zululand observer, co, zg
Sharin Governder	any of any	Manager	17240 087280		SMart. Strasse Lichard V Bay	Sparin Greeder Complethuze grize
SABELO. MOLALOSE	TNPA	Horseur Master	035-905 3131/3080	035-905 14728	P.O., Boy (81) C. (412000 - Bay 2900	SABED. MOLALOSE Q

03/11/2015	EIA for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Factorial States of the second seco
	ort Facility

MAN LENGINGAL	R P F I KI	ja 2	035299363	No Attact	T PLP PT	PARSIL
H	proster. Khomo		035 905 320/	Maragor	Trent	Concon
egroblese ages-group.can	Box 2526 Polohuane 0700	0152911577	0152911577	Consultant	ACES	Engela
Allahis C malh- 10.21	Mulder .	\$	Car 4 do have	Q.	Corril WAM 02	Aldrin Solo
Magna Corp 367 @ telkom Sa.NE	Do MADRIUE		08234833	MANANGER	MAGACORP 367	Solomon
Email Address	Postal Address	Fax Number	Telephone / Cellphone Numbers	Position in Organisation	Organisation Name	Title, First name & Surname

B3.2 *PRESENTATION*





Agenda Item	Objectives
Welcome & Introduction (including meeting objectives)	Introductions by ERM
The Gas to Power Programme	Overview of the G2P Programme and why its needed
Project Description FPP	Description of Floating Power Plant and associated infrastructure.
Project Description LNG Import Facilities	Description of LNG Import Facilities and associated infrastructure.
EIA Process	 Legislative requirements Process Current status Future engagement – opportunities to comment Stakeholders identified
Issues to be addressed in the EIA	Potential impacts.Proposed studies to address the impacts
Next steps and contact details	











4













LNG Import Facilities

- The LNG import facilities in the port will feed a land-based gas power plant outside the port and other potential gas users
- Main project components include:
 - o LNG import berth
 - o Storage and regasification facilities
 - o Gas transmission via pipelines
 - o (Land based power plant)
- The storage and regasification facility can be either:
 - o Floating storage and regasification unit (FSRU)
 - o or Land-based storage and regasification

The world's leading sustainability consultancy







Seprow ERM





LNG Import Facilities – FSRU Terminal

- · LNG carriers will supply LNG to the terminal
- LNG discharge will take approximately 24 hours
- LNG import facilities will be available to operate 24/7
- Plan to develop the terminal and start operations in 3 to 5 yrs
- Terminal will operate for 20 to 25 yrs

- Dredging will be required for the dig out berth option
- Seawater may be used in the FSRU regasification process. Discharge water will be 5 to 10 degrees colder























Next steps FPP . • Draft Scoping Report will be released mid-November 2015 • Specialists will commence their studies in 2015 • Final Scoping Report will be submitted to the DEA in early 2016 Land-based Power Plant . • Develop project description • Initiate scoping exercise • Draft Scoping Report to be released at end of 2015 LNG • • Draft Scoping Report will be released in early 2016 • Final Scoping Report will be submitted to the DEA in early 2016 9 29 ERM The world's leading sustainability consultancy



B3.3 *MEETING NOTES*

Independent Power Producer Programme:

EIAs for a Floating Power Plant, On-land Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

ERC Presentation

10 November 2015



energy Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

TRANSNE





Contents

Item	Objectives
The Gas to Power Programme	Overview of the G2P Programme and why its needed
Project Description FPP	 Description of Floating Power Plant and associated infrastructure.
Project Description: On-land Early Power	 Description of On-land Power Plant and associated infrastructure.
Project Description LNG Import Facilities	 Description of LNG Import Facilities and associated infrastructure.
EIA Process	 Legislative requirements Process Current status
Issues to be addressed in the EIA	Potential impacts
Next steps and contact details	



2

Background

South Africa - overall strategic context

- The South African economy needs to diversify primary energy sources to ensure optimal economic growth and development, both the short and long term.
- Emerging requirement for "load-following" capability to support expansion of the Renewable Energy strategy.
- The National Development Plan ("NDP"), the draft Integrated Energy Plan ("IEP") and the Integrated Resources Plan 2010-2030 ("IRP 2010"), acknowledges gas as key to economic growth of the country.
- IRP 2010 explicitly requires natural gas as an alternative energy source for electrical power generation.
- However, until gas readily available, other fuel sources to be used with technology would be convertible to gas as fuel source.



energy

Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Why Gas?

- Gas is cleaner with less CO2 emissions compared to burning coal or other petroleum fuels.
- Combined Cycle Gas Turbines (CCGT's) generate electricity with 55 to 60% efficiency compared to coal at 32% 37%.
- The logistics of gas supply is becoming more flexible and internationally available.





Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Objectives of Programme

- Early Power which is convertible to gas.
- Timely, reliable and affordable power and gas.
- Delivery and funding through the private sector.
- Minimising the burden on the National balance sheet.
- Ensuring that our actions facilitate the longer term development of the power and gas industry in South Africa – providing an anchor demand for gas and stimulating economic growth.
- Having appropriate involvement and participation of South Africa State.
- Taking an approach that will involve "least regrets".



energy

Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Independent Power Producer Programme

On-land & Floating Power Plant		LNG Import Facilities for Gas to Power
Modular units & Floating Power Plant (Barge/Ship)	Power Plant	Land Based Power Station
5 - 15 years	PPA Contract	20 - 25 years
320/600/ 820 MW	Target Supply	3126 MW
Liquid Fuels /LPG → Natural Gas	Fuel Type	Natural Gas from LNG terminal
SB Ng RB	Ports	SB Ng RB



SALDANHA BAY

NGQURA





Floating Power Plants (FPP)

Special purpose marine vessels requiring limited landside infrastructure

Project components include:

- Floating Power Plant (i.e. more than one FPP required in port)
- Mooring infrastructure
- Floating fuel storage (e.g. Bunker barge)
- Connection between fuel storage and FPP
- Power evacuation (Cables or overhead power line)
- Switchyard (for multiple FPPs)



Bunker barge servicing multiple FPP

• Transmission line to the Eskom substation (Bayside or Impala)

The FPP will operate continuously (24/7; base load)

Seawater may be used for cooling and warmer water discharged into the bay





Richards Bay FPP Location



FPP Options

- FPP can be barges or self propelled ships
- FPP capacities range from 50 MW to 500 MW
- Engines or Turbines
- Engines and turbines can run on liquid fuels and/or gas
- Engines and turbines can run in open (simple) cycle configurations or in combined cycle
- Combined cycle includes a steam turbine that uses heat from the Engine/Turbine (most efficient)
- Steam turbines require significant cooling infrastructure



Barge with single gas turbine







Engine

Gas Turbine



Barge with multiple engines


On-land Early Power Project

Project components include:

- Modular units with engines or aero derived Gas Turbine (GT) based generation sets
- Fuel storage
- Connection between fuel storage and modules
- Switchyard (for multiple modules)
- Transmission line to Bayside substation (existing)



The On-land Early Power Project will operate on a 2 shift basis (mid-merit demand) Generating capacity – max 200MW





LNG Import Facilities

- The LNG import facilities in the port will feed a land-based gas power plant outside the port and other potential gas users
- Main project components include:
 - o LNG import berth
 - Storage and regasification facilities
 - o Gas transmission via pipelines
 - o (Land based power plant)
- The storage and regasification facility can be either:
 - Floating storage and regasification unit (FSRU)
 - o or Land-based storage and regasification









Richards Bay LNG Terminal Location



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

LNG Import Facilities – FSRU Terminal

Floating Storage and Regasification (FSRU) Terminal Option

- Main project components include:
 - o LNG and FSRU berth
 - Permanently moored floating storage and regasification unit (FSRU)
 - o Access, services and pipeline jetty
- Gas distribution pipeline





The world's leading sustainability consultancy

LNG Import Facilities – FSRU Terminal

- LNG carriers will supply LNG to the terminal
- LNG discharge will take approximately 24 hours
- LNG import facilities will be available to operate 24/7
- Plan to develop the terminal and start operations in 3 to 5 yrs
- Terminal will operate for 20 to 25 yrs
- Dredging will be required for the dig out berth option
- Seawater may be used in the FSRU regasification process. Discharge water will be 5 to 10 degrees colder









LNG Import Facilities – Land based Terminal

Land-based Storage and Regasification Terminal Option

Main project components include:

- LNG import berth
- Access, services and pipe jetty
- Cryogenic pipeline
- Storage and regasification terminal
- Gas distribution pipeline.







The world's leading sustainability consultancy

Environmental Assessment Practitioner

- Environmental Resources Management Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd (ERM) is the independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner.
- ERM will undertake three EIAs.
- ERM will facilitate the active involvement of interested and affected parties in the process.

CONTACT DETAILS: ERM DURBAN OFFICE

Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax: 031 265 0150 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21, Westville, 3630 Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

16

EIA Process

- Full Scoping and EIA Processes in terms of:
 - National Environmental Management Act, 1998, (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended (NEMA)
 - EIA Regulations Listing Notice 1 (GNR R983), Notice 2 (GNR 984) and Notice 3 (GNR 985)
- Scoping Phase Objectives
 - to communicate the proposed project to interested and affected parties
 - identify possible impacts, alternatives, and define the terms of reference for specialist studies



EIA Process

- EIA Phase Objectives
 - Assess possible positive and negative impacts identified
 - Rate significance of the impacts
 - Environmental Management Programmes will detail proposed management measures







The world's leading sustainability c

19

Issues to be addressed in the EIA



Project Inputs and Outputs for the FPP



Issues to be addressed in the EIA



Project inputs and outputs for the LNG Facilities using a Floating Storage and Regasification Unit



Project inputs and outputs for the LNG Facilities using land-based regasification



21

The world's leading sustainability consultancy



- FPP
 - Draft Scoping Report will be released mid-November 2015
 - Specialists will commence their studies in 2015
 - Final Scoping Report will be submitted to the DEA in early 2016
- Land-based Power Plant
 - Initiate scoping exercise
 - Draft Scoping Report to be released November 2015
- LNG

22

- Draft Scoping Report will be released in early 2016
- Final Scoping Report will be submitted to the DEA in early 2016



Further comment

CONTACT DETAILS: ERM DURBAN OFFICE

Debbie Donkin/ Stephanie Gopaul Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax: 031265 0150 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21, Westville, 3630 www.erm.com/gastopower_



Meeting Notes

		Management
	DoE Floating Power Plant, DoE On-land Early Power	0
	Project & LNG Import Facility: Richards Bay	17 The Boulevard, Suite S005
Subject/Ref	Richards Bay IDZ Environmental Review Committee	Westway Office Park, Westville
	meeting notes	Kwazulu Natal, 3629
Venue	RBIDZ Office , Richards Bay	Durban T: +27 31 265 0033
Date of Meeting	10/11/2015	F: +27 31 265 0150 http://www.erm.com
Attendance	Debbie Donkin, ERM	
	ERC	
Date	10/11/2015	ERM

Environmental

Resources

Issues Raised

- 1. Air pollution and the cumulative impact of all three studies
- 2. Dredging not supported
- 3. LNG Import Facility: proposed locations would lead to total transformation of the area.
- 4. Are biodiversity offsets being considered? These are not supported as there are no successful case studies.
- 5. Asked if Transnet have been a part of the site selection process.

Meeting	
Notes	

1 Voteb		Management
	DoE Floating Power Plant & LNG Import Facility:	C
	Richards Bay	17 The Boulevard, Suite S005
Subject/Ref	TNDA Mooting Notos	Westway Office Park,
Subjectikej	Thi A meeting holes	Westville
		Kwazulu Natal, 3629
Venue	TNPA Offices, Richards Bay	T· ±27 31 265 0033
		F: +27 31 265 0150
Date of Meeting	10/15/2015, 14:00	http://www.erm.com
Attaudauss		ł
Attenaance	ERM: Debbie Donkin	
	TNPA: Preston Khomo, Basil Ngcobo, Vumani Ndlovu,	
	Cobie Snyman and Sabelo Mdlalose	
Date	15/10/2015	ERM

Environmental Resources

Issues raised

- 1. Asked if there will be wayleaves between the port and substation and who is dealing with those landowners
- 2. Vumani:
 - a. Are we doing a WULA?
 - b. What are the emissions? Air emissions could be a fatal flaw for the FPP in RB given the limited "air space" available. Against HFO use.
 - c. What specialist studies are we doing? Have we included geohydrology?
 - d. FSRU no specialist studies done so far.
 - e. Have alternatives been considered?
 - f. Safety concerns.
- 3. Basil:
 - a. Supportive.
- 4. Cobie:
 - a. Aquaculture project planned for exact location of FPP. However, only running to November 2016.
 - b. Said we must flag the contractual agreements that will need to be entered into now so that the legal dept can start preparing documentation now (could be common across all three ports) so that when the time comes to roll out the project there is not suddenly a delay while these things are sorted out.
 - c. Is Eskom ready for this?
- 5. Sabelo:
 - a. The FPP will require a safety buffer.

Meeting Notes		Environmental Resources Management
	DoE Floating Power Plant & LNG Import Facility: Richards Bay	17 The Boulevard, Suite S005 Wortway Office Park
Subject/Ref	uMhlathuze Municipality – Environmental Management	Westway Office Fark,
	Dept Meeting Notes	Kwazulu Natal, 3629
Venue	ICC, Durban	Durban T: +27 31 265 0033 F: +27 31 265 0150
Date of Meeting	10/16/2016	http://www.erm.com
Attendance	Debbie Donkin, ERM	
	Sharin Govender, uMhlathuze Municipality	
Date	16/10/2015	ERM

Issues raised

- 1. Concerned about loss of environmentally sensitive habitats.
- Air pollution.
 Asked about the location of the FPP and what alternatives had been considered.

Meeting Notes		Environmental Resources Management
Subject/Ref	DoE Floating Power Plant & LNG Import Facility: Richards Bay Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife Meeting Notes	17 The Boulevard, Suite S005 Westway Office Park, Westville
Venue	Teleconference	Kwazulu Natal, 3629 Durban T: +27 31 265 0033 E: +27 31 265 0150
Date of Meeting	16/10/2016	http://www.erm.com
Attendance	Debbie Donkin, ERM Dominic Wieners, Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife	
Date	16/10/2015	ERM

Issues raised

- 1. Concerned about loss of environmentally sensitive habitats (South Dunes Corridor of concern).
- 2. Air pollution.
- 3. Cumulative impacts of all the developments proposed in the port.

B4.1 COMMENTS RECEIVED

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Russell Stow <russells@gcs-sa.biz> 21 October 2015 09:10 AM ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox gastowpower

Dear Tougheeda

I have received notification of the EIAs for the gas to power projects in Richards Bay and Saldanha.

Please add me to your I&AP list and send me your available information. The links on your webpage do not work.

Regards Russell Stow

From:	Sandy Camminga <camminga@iafrica.com></camminga@iafrica.com>
Sent:	21 October 2015 09:42 AM
То:	ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox
Cc:	Debbie Donkin; Stephanie Gopaul
Subject:	IAP REGISTRATION - Floating Power Plant
Attachments:	EIA Notice - Floating Power Plant DoE.pdf

Dear Stephanie

The attached EIA Notice which appeared in the Zululand Observer 19 October, has reference.

Kindly register the Richards Bay Clean Air Association (RBCAA) as an Interested & Affected Part.

Please may we receive the Background Information Document.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Sandy Camminga | Director | Richards Bay Clean Air Association [NGO] P O Box 10299, Meerensee, 3901, Office A6-A7, Smart Plan Building, 95 Dollar Drive, Richards Bay T: +27 (35) 786 0076 | C: +27 (83) 515 2384 | E: camminga@iafrica.com | www.rbcaa.co.za

"IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT FOR ALL"

From: Debbie Donkin [mailto:Debbie.Donkin@erm.com] Sent: 13 October 2015 12:08 PM To: Sandy Camminga Subject: RE: RBCAA \ ERM Information Session

HI Sandy,

I will be coming up again and will send you the BID once it has been signed off by the client.

Our open house has been scheduled for 3 or 4 November to enable us to meet our programme. However, we could have a more formal meeting with you prior to the open house to present what we will be presenting on the day?

Kind regards, Debbie

From: Sandy Camminga [mailto:camminga@iafrica.com] Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2015 11:59 AM To: Debbie Donkin Subject: RE: RBCAA \ ERM Information Session

Hi Debbie

Thank you for the update.

Unfortunately I am out of town from tomorrow for the remainder of the week.

Are you coming up again before the open day, or we could have a telephonic discussion?

In deciding on a date for your open day, please would you consider that I will not be available 3, 4 & 5 November.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Sandy Camminga | Director | Richards Bay Clean Air Association [NGO] P O Box 10299, Meerensee, 3901, Office A6-A7, Smart Plan Building, 95 Dollar Drive, Richards Bay T: +27 (35) 786 0076 | C: +27 (83) 515 2384 | E: camminga@iafrica.com | www.rbcaa.co.za

"IMPROVING THE ENVIRONMENT FOR ALL"

From: Debbie Donkin [mailto:Debbie.Donkin@erm.com] Sent: 13 October 2015 11:37 AM To: Sandy Camminga Subject: RE: RBCAA \ ERM Information Session

Hi Sandy,

Thank you for meeting with Stephanie and I a few weeks ago. We're finally on the verge of going public with two EIAs for the Department of Energy and Transnet. We will be holding an open day in early November, but I would like to meet with you prior to the event to provide you with the strategic overview and get your thoughts on our proposed approach to the public participation.

I will be in Richards Bay tomorrow and Thursday so if you have a gap it would be great to meet up for another discussion.

I will also be contacting Frans van der Walt so not sure if we should try for a combined meeting?

Kind regards, Debbie

-----Original Appointment-----From: Sandy Camminga [mailto:camminga@iafrica.com] Sent: Monday, September 21, 2015 10:20 AM To: Debbie Donkin; franz.schmidt@tskzn.co.za; Michelle Boshoff; Chetty, Yogen (BHA) Cc: Stephanie Gopaul Subject: RBCAA \ ERM Information Session When: 23 September 2015 12:00 PM-01:30 PM (UTC+02:00) Harare, Pretoria. Where: RBCAA Boardroom, Smart Plan Building, 95 Dollar Drive, Richards Bay

Please visit ERM's web site: http://www.erm.com

Please visit ERM's web site: http://www.erm.com

This electronic mail message may contain information which is (a) LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and (b) intended only for the use of the Addressee (s) names herein. If you are not the Addressee (s), or the person responsible for delivering this to the Addressee (s), you are hereby notified that reading, copying, or distributing this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

This electronic mail message may contain information which is (a) LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and (b) intended only for the use of the Addressee (s) names herein. If you are not the Addressee (s), or the person responsible for delivering this to the Addressee (s), you are hereby notified that reading, copying, or distributing this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

From:	Darryl Hunt <darryl.hunt@dynamicenergy.co.za></darryl.hunt@dynamicenergy.co.za>
Sent:	22 October 2015 10:00 AM
То:	Tougheeda Aspeling
Subject:	Registration as IAAP: Saldanha Bay & Richards Bay Gas-to-Power
-	

Importance:

Hi Tougheeda

Please register me as an IAAP for the following:

High

RICHARDS BAY

- FPP
- LNG IMPORT

SALDANHA BAY

- FPP
- LNG IMPORT

Thanks Darryl Hunt

o : +27 (0) 21 553 0440 c : +27 (0) 82 804 3907 e : <u>darryl.hunt@dynamicenergy.co.za</u> : darryl.hunt1 www.dynamicenergy.co.za

Dynamic Energy Consultants cc 4 Star Fish Way Atlantic Beach Golf Estate Melkbosstrand 7441 SOUTH AFRICA



please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Debbie Donkin 21 October 2015 02:31 PM Danie van Wyk Tougheeda Aspeling RE: DoE and Transnet: Gas to power projects in the Port of Richards Bay

Hi Danie,

Thank you for registering as an IAP. My colleague will send you the background information document and information on our upcoming open house event planned for 3 November.

Kind regards, Debbie

Debbie Donkin

Principal Consultant

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd

Suite S005 | 17 The Boulevard | Westway Office Park | Westville | 3635 | Durban | South Africa T +27 31 265 0033 | F +27 31 265 0150 | M +27 83 556 4087 E <u>debbie.donkin@erm.com</u> | W <u>www.erm.com</u>



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

From: Danie van Wyk [mailto:Daniev@motla.co.za]
Sent: Wednesday, October 21, 2015 2:29 PM
To: Debbie Donkin
Subject: DoE and Transnet: Gas to power projects in the Port of Richards Bay

Hi Debbie

I received your information from Frans van der Walt and would like to register as an interested party for the above proposed project.

Please confirm registration by return e-mail.

Kind regards **Danie van Wyk** (B Eng; Hons B Com) Pr Eng Director Motla Consulting Engineers (Pty) Ltd

daniev@motla.co.za

t +27 35 789 8510 f +27 86 622 5729 m +27 79 260 4921 www.motla.co.za



Motla is a 110% Level 3 BBBEE Contributor Please refer to **www.motla.co.za** for disclaimer GO GREEN Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail

From:	Frans Van Der Walt <frans@qs2000plus.co.za></frans@qs2000plus.co.za>
Sent:	21 October 2015 05:31 PM
To:	Tougheeda Aspeling
Cc:	Lindsey Bungartz; Debbie Donkin; Nadia Mol
Subject:	RE: Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay
Attachments:	20151016 - Zululand Observer - EIA's.pdf

Hallo Tougheeda,

Thank you for the information and Invitation to the Open House and as Interested and Affected Party.

You may not be aware of very recent happenings in the region, with exiting announcements ?

- 1. http://zululandobserver.co.za/86983/global-investors-bank-on-local-oil-hub/
- 2. Refer the advert which was published last week (Richards Bay had and extremely busy week !!!)
- 3. I assume you are aware of the **Vopak-Reatile** plans at South Dunes, next to RBCT?

Refer the document hereunder which also reflect most known developments on the cards. https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B05Dyk4DM783dWxiblNoX0VNaFE/view?usp=sharing

The document is on my website and is updated as and when I become aware of a Development. I must confess that the last few weeks I have been extremely busy and have not updated it, but the next "release" is imminent. It therefor always recommend that people preferably not print it, because it is only accurate to the date on the cover. (but, I did "cheat" by updating Phangela's value to the current.)

Regards,

Frans van der Walt (B.Sc (QS), Pr.QS (2167), PMAQS, MRICS) **QS2000 Plus** (Quantity Surveyors & Project Managers)



From: Tougheeda Aspeling [mailto:Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com]

Sent: 21 October 2015 16:40 PM

To: Tougheeda Aspeling <Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com>

Cc: Lindsey Bungartz <Lindsey.Bungartz@erm.com>; Debbie Donkin <Debbie.Donkin@erm.com>; Nadia Mol <Nadia.Mol@erm.com>

Subject: Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

Dear Stakeholder

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. Transnet SOC Ltd (Transnet) will need to grant the rights in the Port for this project and, in collaboration with the DoE, also plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme.

The Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities each require Environmental Authorisation through an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998, as amended. This notification serves to announce the commencement of the separate EIA processes for each Project. For further information about the EIAs, the associated public participation process and how you can register as an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP), please refer to the attached Background Information Document.

ERM invites you to an open house event to find out more, raise issues and pose questions to the Project team.

When: 3 November 2015Where: Premier Hotel The Richards, 3 Hibbert Drive, Meerensee, Richards BayTime: The Project Team will be available at the venue from 14:30 to 19:00 and a presentation will be given at 17:00.

To RSVP or register as an I&AP contact Stephanie Gopaul of ERM: Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax: 031 265 0150 Email: <u>G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com</u> Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Visit the Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Yours sincerely

Tougheeda Aspeling

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd 2nd Floor | Great Westerford | 240 Main Road | Rondebosch | 7700 | Cape Town | South Africa T +27 21 681 5400 | F 086 5404 072 | M +27 84 2066187 E Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com| W www.erm.com



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

This electronic mail message may contain information which is (a) LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and (b) intended only for the use of the Addressee (s) names herein. If you are not the Addressee (s), or the person responsible for delivering this to the Addressee (s), you are hereby notified that reading, copying, or distributing this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: http://www.erm.com

From:	Frans Van Der Walt <frans@qs2000plus.co.za></frans@qs2000plus.co.za>
Sent:	21 October 2015 05:57 PM
To:	Tougheeda Aspeling
Cc:	Lindsey Bungartz; Debbie Donkin; Nadia Mol
Subject:	RE: Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

PS: I must add that I am not a fan of "Open House" events, simply because then Stakeholders do not hear the same (different people convey different details differently), nor get to hear other questions and comments, etc. (Eg. in the Vopak-Reatile first EIA session they planned and Open House, and then at request of those there, turned it into a Presentation with Question and Answers session.)

I shall therefor try to be their early, to hopefully participate in a Presentation session.

Regards,

Frans van der Walt (B.Sc (QS), Pr.QS (2167), PMAQS, MRICS) **QS2000 Plus** (Quantity Surveyors & Project Managers)



From: Tougheeda Aspeling [mailto:Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com]

Sent: 21 October 2015 16:40 PM

To: Tougheeda Aspeling < Tougheeda. Aspeling@erm.com >

Cc: Lindsey Bungartz <<u>Lindsey.Bungartz@erm.com</u>>; Debbie Donkin <<u>Debbie.Donkin@erm.com</u>>; Nadia Mol <<u>Nadia.Mol@erm.com</u>>

Subject: Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

Dear Stakeholder

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. Transnet SOC Ltd (Transnet) will need to grant the rights in the Port for this project and, in collaboration with the DoE, also plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme.

The Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities each require Environmental Authorisation through an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998, as amended. This notification serves to announce the commencement of the separate EIA processes for each Project. For further information about the EIAs, the associated public participation process and how you can register as an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP), please refer to the attached Background Information Document.

ERM invites you to an open house event to find out more, raise issues and pose questions to the Project team.

When: 3 November 2015 Where: Premier Hotel The Richards, 3 Hibbert Drive, Meerensee, Richards Bay

Time: The Project Team will be available at the venue from 14:30 to 19:00 and a presentation will be given at 17:00.

To RSVP or register as an I&AP contact Stephanie Gopaul of ERM: Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax: 031 265 0150 Email: <u>G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com</u> Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Visit the Project website: <u>www.erm.com/gastopower</u>

Yours sincerely

Tougheeda Aspeling

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd

2nd Floor | Great Westerford | 240 Main Road | Rondebosch | 7700 | Cape Town | South Africa T +27 21 681 5400 | F 086 5404 072 | M +27 84 2066187 E Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com| W www.erm.com



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

This electronic mail message may contain information which is (a) LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and (b) intended only for the use of the Addressee (s) names herein. If you are not the Addressee (s), or the person responsible for delivering this to the Addressee (s), you are hereby notified that reading, copying, or distributing this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: http://www.erm.com

From:	Carolyn <afromatz@telkomsa.net></afromatz@telkomsa.net>
Sent:	22 October 2015 08:13 AM
То:	Tougheeda Aspeling
Cc:	Lindsey Bungartz; Debbie Donkin; Nadia Mol
Subject:	RE: Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for
•	LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

Thanks, Tougheeda

I have requested that Coastwatch KZN is registered in this process but have not received confirmation thereof. It was just yesterday but I don't want to lose sight of it. Perhaps you could check for me -?

Regards Carolyn

Carolyn Schwegman

Cell: +27 (0) 83 981 4814 Fax2email: +27 (0) 86 725 1884:



COASTWATCH Coastwatch KZN 135-408 NPO afromatz@telkomsa.net P O Box 343 Pennington 4184 Tel: +27 (0) 39 9752147

> From: Tougheeda Aspeling [mailto:Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com] Sent: 21 October 2015 04:40 PM To: Tougheeda Aspeling Cc: Lindsey Bungartz; Debbie Donkin; Nadia Mol Subject: Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

Dear Stakeholder

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. Transnet SOC Ltd (Transnet) will need to grant the rights in the Port for this project and, in collaboration with the DoE, also plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme.

The Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities each require Environmental Authorisation through an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998, as amended. This notification serves to announce the commencement of the separate EIA processes for each Project. For further information about the EIAs, the associated public participation process and how you can register as an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP), please refer to the attached Background Information Document.

ERM invites you to an open house event to find out more, raise issues and pose questions to the Project team.

When: 3 November 2015 Where: Premier Hotel The Richards, 3 Hibbert Drive, Meerensee, Richards Bay Time: The Project Team will be available at the venue from 14:30 to 19:00 and a presentation will be given at 17:00.

To RSVP or register as an I&AP contact Stephanie Gopaul of ERM: Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax: 031 265 0150 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com

Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Visit the Project website: <u>www.erm.com/gastopower</u>

Yours sincerely

Tougheeda Aspeling

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd 2nd Floor | Great Westerford | 240 Main Road | Rondebosch | 7700 | Cape Town | South Africa T +27 21 681 5400 | F 086 5404 072 | M +27 84 2066187 E Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com| W www.erm.com



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

This electronic mail message may contain information which is (a) LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and (b) intended only for the use of the Addressee (s) names herein. If you are not the Addressee (s), or the person responsible for delivering this to the Addressee (s), you are hereby notified that reading, copying, or distributing this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: http://www.erm.com

Registration and Comment Sheet

October 2015

Send your queries, comments or suggestions on the proposed project to us. You can email, fax, post or hand them to us.

Stephanie Gopaul

Tel: 031 265 0033; Fax: 031265 0150 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Comments

Canopus Energy (Pty) Ltd hereby kindly requests to be registered as an Interested and Affected Party

We are planning related projects in the Richards Bay area and are interested in the progress and development

of the Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility in the Port of Richards Bay.

Canopus Energy (Pty) Ltd reserves its rights for submission of further inputs or comments in this EIA process.

Please fill-in your contact details below for the stakeholder database.

Title and Name:	MRS. IZEL VAN ROOY		
Organisation:	CANOPUS ENERGY (PTY) LTD		
Telephone:	0824497626	Position:	TOWN PLANNER
Cellphone:	0824497626	Email:	canopusenergy@gmail.com planwize@telkomsa.net
Postal Address:	4th Floor, Aloe Grove, Houghton Estate Office Park 2 Osborn Road, Houghton 2198		
	PO Box 225, Highlands Nort	h 2037	





Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA



TRANSNE



Registration and Comment Sheet

October 2015

Send your queries, comments or suggestions on the proposed project to us. You can email, fax, post or hand them to us.

Stephanie Gopaul

Tel: 031 265 0033; Fax: 031265 0150 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Comments

Canopus Energy (Pty) Ltd hereby kindly requests to be registered as an Interested and Affected Party

We are planning related projects in the Richards Bay area and are interested in the progress and development

of the Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility in the Port of Richards Bay.

Canopus Energy (Pty) Ltd reserves its rights for submission of further inputs or comments in this EIA process.

Please fill-in your contact details below for the stakeholder database.

Title and Name:	MR. DANIELE VENTURA		
Organisation:	CANOPUS ENERGY (PTY) LTD		
Telephone:	0719052480	Position:	PROJECT DESIGN ENGINEER
Cellphone:	0719052480	Email:	canopusenergy@gmail.com development@vidigenix.co.za
Postal Address:	4th Floor, Aloe Grove, Houghton Estate Office Park 2 Osborn Road, Houghton 2198		
	PO Box 225, Highlands North 2037		





Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA





Registration and Comment Sheet

October 2015

Send your queries, comments or suggestions on the proposed project to us. You can email, fax, post or hand them to us.

Stephanie Gopaul Tel: 031 265 0033; Fax: 031265 0150 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Comments

Please fill-in your contact details below for the stakeholder database.

Title and Name:	MS SONIA MISZCZAK		
Organisation:	ATLANTIC RENEWABLE ENERGY PARTNERS (PTY) LTD		
Telephone:	021 418 2596	Position:	ANALYST
Cellphone:	083 244 5861	Email:	SONIA@ATLANTICEP. COM
Postal Address:	POBOX 704 GREENPOINT 8001		



Registration and Comment Sheet

October 2015

Send your queries, comments or suggestions on the proposed project to us. You can email, fax, post or hand them to us.

Stephanie Gopaul Tel: 031 265 0033; Fax: 031265 0150 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Comments

All sources and a

Please fill-in your contact details below for the stakeholder database.

Title and Name:	MR DAVID PEINK	E	
Organisation:	ATLANTIC ENERGY	PARTNE	fs
Telephone:	021 418 2596	Position:	PIRECTOR
Cellphone:	084 401 9015	Email:	Aavid Portlanticep.co
Postal Address:	IST FLOOR, WEST QUAY ED BUILDING, 7 WEST		
	QUAY ROAD, WATER FRONT, CARE TOWN, 8000		





Department: Energy REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA





From:Jacolette Adam <jacolette.adam@gmail.com>Sent:25 October 2015 09:22 PMTo:ERM South Africa EIA MailboxSubject:Registration for EIA for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility, Port of Richards Bay

Good day

Please register me as an I&AP for this project.

I am a resident of Richards Bay and have an interest in the proposed development of the facility within the harbour.

Regards

Jacolette Adam

082 852 6417
From: Sent: To: Subject: Nongcebo Madlala <MadlalaNL@umhlathuze.gov.za> 23 October 2015 04:12 PM ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox Fwd: Re: Independent Power Producer Programme: EIA for a Floating Power Plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities, Port of Richards Bay

Dear Stephanie

Kindly register Mr Nathi Mthethwa from uMhlathuze Local Municipality to attend the above programme.

Regards, Nongcebo Madlala Executive Secretary: Chief Operations Officer (COO)



Tel: <u>035-9075260</u> Cell: <u>071 343 6449</u>

>>> Nathi Mthethwa 2015/10/22 05:46 PM >>> Please RSVP & Diarise

>>> Tougheeda Aspeling <<u>Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com</u>> 2015/10/21 04:39 PM >>> Dear Stakeholder

The Department of Energy (DoE) plans to procure power from a Floating Power Plant to be located within the Port of Richards Bay to help meet South Africa's electricity requirements. Transnet SOC Ltd (Transnet) will need to grant the rights in the Port for this project and, in collaboration with the DoE, also plans to enable the development of Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facilities within the Port of Richards Bay to support the DoE's gas-to- power programme.

The Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facilities each require Environmental Authorisation through an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998, as amended. This notification serves to announce the commencement of the separate EIA processes for each Project. For further information about the EIAs, the associated public participation process and how you can register as an Interested and Affected Party (I&AP), please refer to the attached Background Information Document.

ERM invites you to an open house event to find out more, raise issues and pose questions to the Project team.

When: 3 November 2015Where: Premier Hotel The Richards, 3 Hibbert Drive, Meerensee, Richards BayTime: The Project Team will be available at the venue from 14:30 to 19:00 and a presentation will be given at 17:00.

To RSVP or register as an I&AP contact Stephanie Gopaul of ERM: Tel: 031 265 0033 Fax: 031 265 0150 Email: <u>G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com</u> Postal address: Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Visit the Project website: <u>www.erm.com/gastopower</u>

ERM Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd

2nd Floor | Great Westerford | 240 Main Road | Rondebosch | 7700 | Cape Town | South Africa

- **T** +27 21 681 5400 | **F** 086 5404 072 | **M** +27 84 2066187
- E Tougheeda.Aspeling@erm.com| W www.erm.com

ERM The world's leading sustainability consultancy

This electronic mail message may contain information which is (a) LEGALLY PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY IN NATURE, OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED BY LAW FROM DISCLOSURE, and (b) intended only for the use of the Addressee (s) names herein. If you are not the Addressee (s), or the person responsible for delivering this to the Addressee (s), you are hereby notified that reading, copying, or distributing this message is prohibited. If you have received this electronic mail message in error, please contact us immediately and take the steps necessary to delete the message completely from your computer system. Thank you.

Please visit ERM's web site: http://www.erm.com

From:	Dominic José Goncalves <dominic.goncalves@abengoa.com></dominic.goncalves@abengoa.com>
Sent:	22 October 2015 04:50 PM
To:	ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox
Cc:	Pablo Lopez-Campos Gavini; Tauq-feeka Adonis
Subject:	I&AP Registration Request - Richards Bay FPP and LNG Import Facility
Attachments:	Richards Bay BID 21-10-15.pdf

Dear Ms Stephanie Goupal

I'm contacting you from Abengoa, an international IPP, South African trading name Abeinsa Business Development (Pty) Ltd). We kindly request to be registered as an I&AP for the EIA for the Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility at the Port of Richards Bay.

Abengoa aims to act as a full IPP for the upcoming Gas to Power Programme, offering a full Bundled Solution, including Gas Supply, FSRU and Power Plant (CCGT), together with our consortium partners.

As such, we would appreciate to be kept aware of all public notices and developments regarding the EIA process.

Thank you kindly and sincere regards

Dominic José Goncalves - Business Development Manager (Africa)

ABENGOA

ABEINSA Abengoa E&C (Abeinsa) South Africa 3 Kiepersol Close, Kendon House Plattekloof, Cape Town Phone: (27) 21 937 0247 Cell: (27) 84 027 5505 dominic.goncalves@abengoa.com www.abengoa.com

Eco-Tip: Printing e-mails is usually a waste.

From:Robert Løseth <robert.loseth@blystadenergy.com>Sent:22 October 2015 07:58 AMTo:ERM South Africa EIA MailboxSubject:Interested and affected party to Richards Bay EIA assessment

Please register my name / company as interested and affected party of EIA in Richards Bay EIA for floating power plant and EIA for LNG Import Facilities

Best Regards

Robert Løseth Blystad Energy Management

www.blystadenergy.com

Skype: robert.loseth Mob : +47 94360850

The information contained in or attached to this e-mail may contain confidential information. If you have received it in error you should notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and delete the message from your system.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vumani Ndlovu <Vumani.Ndlovu2@transnet.net> 21 October 2015 01:45 PM ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox Request to Register as an IAP

Hi Stephanie

Kindly include my name as interested and affected party of the Richards Bay G2P Project. My contact details are given the email signature below.

Regards,



Vumani Ndlovu Environmental Manager Transnet National Ports Authority (TNPA) First Floor, Environment Section Osizweni Building Ventura Road Richards Bay 3900

+27 35 905 3342 +27 81 031 3635 www.transnet.net Mailto:vumani.ndlovu2@transnet.netP O Box 181 Richards Bay 3900

DISCLAIMER: The information contained in this email and its attachments is both confidential and subject to copyright. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified not to read, disclose copy or use the contents thereof in any manner whatsoever, but are kindly requested to notify the sender and delete it immediately. This e-mail message does not create any legally binding contract between Transnet SOC LTD and the recipient, unless the contrary is specifically stated. Statements and opinions expressed in e-mails may not represent those of Transnet SOC LTD. While Transnet will take reasonable precautions, it cannot give any guarantee or warrant that this email will be free of virus infections, errors, interception and, therefore, cannot be held liable for any loss or damages incurred by the recipient, as a result of any of the above-mentioned factors.

From:	Hiadee von Well <hvonwell@ages-group.com></hvonwell@ages-group.com>
Sent:	26 October 2015 11:42 AM
То:	ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox
Cc:	egrobler@ages-group.com
Subject:	Registration for EIA: Floating Power plant & LNG, Richards Bay
Attachments:	Transnet LNG PPP registration.pdf

Dear Stephanie Gopaul

Attached please find our registration for the above project. Kindly register us as an I&AP please.

Kind regards

Hiadee von Well

Environmental Consultant

AGES (PTY) LTD



TOUCHING ALLICA

Reg: 2002/001298/07 Vat: 4200204891

LIMPOPO OFFICE 120 Marshall Street POLOKWANE 0699

Tel: +27 15 291 1577 Fax:+27 15 291 1577 Cell: +27 72 609 1996

www.ages-group.com

Disclaimer: The views expressed in this email are, unless otherwise stated, those of the author and not of AGES or its management. The information is confidential and is intended solely for the addressee. All reasonable steps are taken to insure the accuracy, integrity and confidentiality of information. No liability or responsibility is accepted if information is corrupted or does not reach its intended destination.

splease consider the environment before printing this email

EIA for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility, Port of Richards Bay

Registration and Comment Sheet

October 2015

Send your queries, comments or suggestions on the proposed project to us. You can email, fax, post or hand them to us.

Stephanie Gopaul Tel: 031 265 0033; Fax: 031265 0150 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Comments

We are	e curre	ently	conc	lucting	an EIA	on a the	ermal Power
plant	close	by	and	wish	synergy	in the	various
proce	sses.						

Please fill-in your contact details below for the stakeholder database.

Title and Name:	MS ENGELA GROBLER			
Organisation:	AGES LIMPOPO (Pty) Ltd			
Telephone:	015 2911577 Position: EAP			
Cellphone:		Email:	egrobler@ages-group.com	
Postal Address:	POBOX 2526 POLOKWANE 0700			



From: Sent: To: Subject: Naylor Gladys (ZA, Merebank) <Gladys.Naylor@mondigroup.co.za> 21 October 2015 12:56 PM ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox Registration as I&AP

Good day Stephanie,

Please could you register the following people as I&APs for this EIA:

Gladys Naylor – <u>gladys.naylor@mondigroup.co.za</u> Riaan Swart – <u>riaan.swart@mondigroup.co.za</u> Mark Miller – mark.miller@mondigroup.co.za

regards

Gladys Naylor Environmental Manager

South Africa Division

Mondi

Merebank Mill Travancore Drive, Merebank, 4052 P.O. Box 31024, Merebank, 4059, South Africa Tel: +27 (0)31 451 2170, Fax: +27 (0)31 451 2779 Cell: +27 (0)82 8011 950 E-mail: gladys.naylor@mondigroup.co.za www.mondigroup.com

Mondi Limited 1967/013038/06

This e-mail as well as any files transmitted with it is confidential and may well contain information which is legally privileged. All information transmitted belongs to Mondi Limited. It is intended solely for the use of the individual or the entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, you are hereby on notice of this status. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, dissemination or publication of the information contained therein is strictly prohibited, unless you have been permitted thereto by the sender, and might be a breach of confidence. If you are not the intended recipient, please return this e-mail immediately to the sender and then delete this message from your system. The sender is not liable for the proper transmission of this information nor for any delay in its receipt.

Kindly confirm the authority of the author before assuming the binding nature of this message.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more <u>Click Here</u>.

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Carolyn <afromatz@telkomsa.net> 21 October 2015 11:32 AM ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox 'Judy Bell'; 'Sandy Camminga'; W Forse FW: LNG Facilities, Floating Power Plant

From: Carolyn [mailto:afromatz@telkomsa.net] Sent: 21 October 2015 11:21 AM To: 'GWRrichardsbay.eia@erm.com' Cc: 'Judy Bell'; 'Sandy Camminga'; W Forse Subject: LNG Facilities, Floating Power Plant

Dear Ms Gopaul

In response to the notification of the 2 EIAs to be undertaken within the Port of Richards Bay for –

- 1. A floating power plant
- 2. LNG Import Facilities

Please register Coastwatch KZN as an I&AP (using the contact details set out below) and we shall be grateful to receive any information which is presently available.

Coastwatch is an organisation of volunteers with experts in coastal and marine issues. We comment consistently on development applications where there is potential impact on the coastal and marine environment which gives rise at times to a significant amount of information which is simply onerous and impractical to download from websites. May I therefore request that information is sent to the undersigned.

Regards Carolyn

Carolyn Schwegman



COASTWATCH Coastwatch KZN 135-408 NPO afromatz@telkomsa.net P O Box 343 Pennington 4184 Tel: +27 (0) 39 9752147 Cell: +27 (0) 83 981 4814 Fax2email: +27 (0) 86 725 1884:

From: Wendy Forse <twiga@iafrica.com> Sent: 21 October 2015 12:41 PM To: 'Carolyn'; ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox Cc: 'Judy Bell'; 'Sandy Camminga'; Barbara Chedzey; Jim Chedzey; N Subject: RE: LNG Eacilities_Eloating Power Plant</twiga@iafrica.com>	Neil Davies Evans
--	-------------------

Thanks Caro. Have just sent through a request that they register the Mtz Conservancy as an IAP as well, given that there appears to be a potential link to this proposed oil & LNG storage facility.

From: Carolyn [mailto:afromatz@telkomsa.net] Sent: Wednesday, October 21, 2015 11:32 AM To: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Cc: 'Judy Bell'; 'Sandy Camminga'; W Forse Subject: FW: LNG Facilities, Floating Power Plant

From: Carolyn [mailto:afromatz@telkomsa.net] Sent: 21 October 2015 11:21 AM To: 'GWRrichardsbay.eia@erm.com' Cc: 'Judy Bell'; 'Sandy Camminga'; W Forse Subject: LNG Facilities, Floating Power Plant

Dear Ms Gopaul

In response to the notification of the 2 EIAs to be undertaken within the Port of Richards Bay for –

- 1. A floating power plant
- 2. LNG Import Facilities

Please register Coastwatch KZN as an I&AP (using the contact details set out below) and we shall be grateful to receive any information which is presently available.

Coastwatch is an organisation of volunteers with experts in coastal and marine issues. We comment consistently on development applications where there is potential impact on the coastal and marine environment which gives rise at times to a significant amount of information which is simply onerous and impractical to download from websites. May I therefore request that information is sent to the undersigned.

Regards Carolyn

Carolyn Schwegman



COASTWATCH Coastwatch KZN 135-408 NPO afromatz@telkomsa.net P O Box 343 Pennington 4184 Tel: +27 (0) 39 9752147 Cell: +27 (0) 83 981 4814 Fax2email: +27 (0) 86 725 1884:



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

From: Sent:	Wendy Forse <twiga@iafrica.com> 21 October 2015 12:51 PM</twiga@iafrica.com>
То:	ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox
Cc:	Neil Davies Evans; Barbara Chedzey; mbutler@iafrica.com; Jim Chedzey; doggy.kewley@telkomsa.net; Barbara Kewley; Balmer, Anne; Bruce Hopwood; time4fun@telkomsa.net
Subject:	FW: LNG import facilities and floating power plant EIA I&AP registration
Importance:	High

Corrected email address. Please see request below.

From: Wendy Forse [mailto:twiga@iafrica.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 21, 2015 12:39 PM
To: 'GWRrichardsbay.eia@erm.com'
Cc: Neil Davies Evans; Barbara Chedzey; mbutler@iafrica.com; Jim Chedzey; 'doggy.kewley@telkomsa.net'; Barbara Kewley; Balmer, Anne (abalmer@telkomsa.net); Bruce Hopwood; time4fun@telkomsa.net
Subject: LNG import facilities and floating power plant EIA I&AP registration
Importance: High

Dear Ms Gopaul

We understand that there are 2 EIAs to be undertaken within the Port of Richards Bay for -

- 1. A floating power plant
- 2. LNG Import Facilities

We also believe from a recent ZO article, that this may be linked to a pipeline which will be just offshore of Mtunzini to head towards a proposed oil and LNG storage facility on the coast south of Mtunzini in the Fairbreeze area, hence we have a direct interest in the two EIAs as per the newspaper notice, as well as the future EIA process concerning the proposed oil and LNG storage facility.

We would therefore appreciate it if you could register the Mtunzini Conservancy as an I&AP and we shall be grateful to receive any information which is presently available. If you have an I&AP form, please can you forward to me then I can get it filled in with the official Conservancy contact details.

Thank you.

Regards Wendy

Wendy Forse P.O. Box 611, Mtunzini, 3867 Tel/fax: 035 – 340 2586 Cell : 082 722 3333 Email: <u>twiga@iafrica.com</u> Facebook: <u>http://www.facebook.com/MtunziniConservancy</u>



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. www.avast.com

From:	Wendy Forse <twiga@iafrica.com></twiga@iafrica.com>
Sent:	21 October 2015 12:47 PM
To:	ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox
Cc:	jeremy.nottingham@gmail.com;
Subject:	Registration of Mtunzini Residents Association as an IAP for the EIA on the LNG import and power plant project in RB
Importance:	High

Dear Ms Gopaul

We understand that there are 2 EIAs to be undertaken within the Port of Richards Bay for -

- 1. A floating power plant
- 2. LNG Import Facilities

We also believe from a recent ZO article, that this may be linked to a pipeline which will be just offshore of Mtunzini to head towards a proposed oil and LNG storage facility on the coast south of Mtunzini in the Fairbreeze area, hence we have a direct interest in the two EIAs as per the newspaper notice, as well as any future EIA process concerning the proposed oil and LNG storage facility.

We would therefore appreciate it if you could register the Mtunzini Residents' Association (MRA) as an I&AP and we shall be grateful to receive any information which is currently available.

Just in case you are confused, I serve on both the MRA and Mtunzini Conservancy committees in the planning and development portfolios. Hence the 2 separate requests for registration!

Thank you.

Regards Wendy

Wendy Forse P.O. Box 611, Mtunzini, 3867 Tel/fax: 035 – 340 2586 Cell : 082 722 3333 Email: <u>twiga@iafrica.com</u>



This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. <u>www.avast.com</u>

From: Sent: To: Subject: Nigel.Rossouw@shell.com 29 October 2015 01:04 PM ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox Register as I&AP

Dear Tougheeda,

I would like to register as an I&AP for both the EIAs for the LNG Import Facility and the Floating Power Plant.

Regards Nigel Rossouw Environmental Planner

Shell South Africa (Upstream International Integrated Gas) Telephone: +27 21 408 4091 Mobile: + 27 83 642 3040 Email: <u>nigel.rossouw@shell.com</u> Internet: <u>http://www.shell.com/za-en</u>

From: Sent: To: Subject: Londeka Ngcobo <ngcobolo@uthungulu.co.za> 29 October 2015 08:09 AM ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox RSVP

Dear Stephanie

This serves as an rsvp for the 3/11/2015 at the Premier (The Richards Hotel). I am an Environmental Officer & I will be representing the Planning & Economic Development within uThungulu DM as an I&AP.

Regards,

Londeka Ngcobo Control Environmental Officer DEA: uThungulu District Municipality uThungulu House,Krugerrand,Richards Bay. Tel: 035 799 2684 Cell: 060873 1874

EIA for a Floating Power Plant and LNG Import Facility, Port of Richards Bay

Registration and Comment Sheet

October 2015

Send your queries, comments or suggestions on the proposed project to us. You can email, fax, post or hand them to us.

Stephanie Gopaul Tel: 031 265 0033; Fax: 031265 0150 Postnet Suite 59, Private Bag X21,Westville, 3630 Email: G2Prichardsbay.eia@erm.com Project website: www.erm.com/gastopower

Comments

As a permanent resident in Meerensee, Richards Bay, I oppose the granting of permission to have a power plant as close as 2 km from residential dwellings.

The prevailing winds are from/to the South East that will result in noise, gas and air pollution being blown directly in the direction of the Meerensee residential area.

A power plant in the proposed location will exacerbate the air quality problem in Richards Bay.

Please fill-in your contact details below for the stakeholder database.

Title and Name:	Mr ANDRE SMUTS		
Organisation:	PRIVATE RESIDENT		
Telephone:		Position:	
Cellphone:	0813476678	Email:	smutsa3@telkomsa.net
Postal Address:	PO Box 102326, MEER	RENSEE, 3901	



From:	Glossop, Wayne <wayne.glossop@wartsila.com></wayne.glossop@wartsila.com>
Sent:	04 November 2015 10:41 AM
То:	ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox; ERM South Africa EIA Mailbox
Subject:	Request to be I&AP

Good day,

I would like to express my interest in being registered as an I&AP for the FPP and LNG projects in both Saldanha and Richards Bay respectively.

Please see all my contact details below.

Kindest regards,

Wayne Glossop

Business Development Manager Energy Solutions Wärtsilä South Africa (Pty) Ltd. Block A, Wedgefield Office Park, 17 Muswell Rd, Bryanston Tel. +27 (0) 11 317 3640 Mob. +27 (0) 82 040 4778 E-mail: wayne.glossop@wartsila.com

www.wartsila.com



agriculture, forestry & fisheries

Department: Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

F = 0333458783 T = 0333927721 JeffreyMAI@daff.gov.za DAFF Forestry Regulation & Support P/Bag x9029 Pietermaritzburg 3200. Mr. Jeffrey Maivha 06 November 2015

ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT SA (PTY) LTD

Postnet Suite 59 Private Bag x21 Westville 3630

Attention: Stephanie Gopaul

PROPOSED FLOATING POWER PLANT AND LNG IMPORT FACILITIES, PORT OF RICHARDS BAY.

The Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) appreciates the opportunity given to review and comment on the Background Information Document (BID) for the above mentioned project. DAFF via the Sub-Directorate Forestry Regulations and Support is mandated to regulate activities affecting natural forests and tree species protected in terms of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) in South Africa.

At this stage DAFF cannot provide informed comments on the proposed activities hence currently it is not known whether the proposed development will affect any natural forests and/or tree species protected in terms of the above said Act. Therefore, more information is still required regarding the potential impacts of the projects on terrestrial vegetation (i.e. natural forests and protected trees). This Department is looking forward to receipt and review of upcoming documentation regarding the proposed activities.

This letter does not exempt you from considering other environmental legislations. Should any further information be required, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Yours faithfully

Mr. Jeffrey Maivha

Forestry Regulations & Support - KZN

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mtshali, Sandile <s.mtshali@smit.com> 10 November 2015 10:18 AM Tougheeda Aspeling I&AP Registration

Dear Tougheeda

Thank you for taking my call a few minutes ago. As mentioned, we would like to register as an Interested and Affected Party for the Floating Power Plant & LNG Import project in order to keep track of where things are as we look to opportunities for ourselves and our partners moving into the future.

Any and all registration material would be appreciated and I look forward to your kindest response.

Kind regards

Sandile Mtshali | Business Development & B-BBEE Officer | SMIT Amandla Marine (Pty) Ltd Switchboard: (+27) 21 507 5777 | Direct Line: (+27) 21 507 5874 | Fax: (+27) 21 507 5885 Mobile: (+27)827389704 | Email: <u>s.mtshali@smit.com</u> Website: www.smitamandlamarine.co.za | Facebook: www.facebook.com/smitamandlamarine

We're a Level Three Contributor to Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment

