Environmental Impact Assessment Process:

Draft Scoping Report

Prieska Power Reserve Phase 3: Wind Turbines & Associated Infrastructure, Northern Cape Province



Prieska Power Reserve Construction of Wind Electricity Generation Turbines and Associated Infrastructure, Prieska

Project Details

PROJECT TITLE:	Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines & Associated Infrastructure, Prieska, Northern Cape: Phase 3
PROJECT PROPONENT:	PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD 07 Brebner Rd. Westdene Bloemfontein, 9310 Phone: 082 444 2219
ENVIRONMENTAL	
CONSULTANT:	Green-Box Consulting P.O. Box 37738 Langenhovenpark, 9330 Phone: 082 435 2108 Email: info@green-box.co.za
LEAD EAP:	Danie Krynauw (EAPASA – 2019/1348) Email: danie@green-box.co.za
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT	
TEAM:	D. Krynauw C. Worthmann
DOCUMENT STATUS:	Draft (version 0)
DATE:	16 September 2022

Green-Box Consulting Revision & Tracking Schedule

Document Title	Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines & Associated		
	Infrastructure, Prieska, Northern Cape: Phase 3		
Client name & Address	PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) L	.TD	
Green-Box Consulting	38/P/2020/DK		
Project Number			
Status	Draft Scoping Report for 30-day public review		
Issue Date	16 September 2022		
Lead Authors	Mr. Danie Krynauw		
Reviewer	Mr. C. Worthmann		
Registered EAP	Mr. Danie Krynauw – EAPASA – 2019/1348		
Report Distribution	Circulate to	No. of hard copies	No. electronic copies
	PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD 1		1
	Authorising Authority 1 1		
	I&AP and Stakeholders 1 1		

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of Green-Box Consulting appointment and contains intellectual property and proprietary information that is protected by copyright in favour of Green-Box Consulting. The document may therefore not be reproduced, used or distributed to any third party without the prior written consent of Green-Box Consulting. This document is prepared exclusively for use by GBC's client. GBC accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes for which it was prepared. No person other than the client may copy (in whole or in part) use or rely on the contents of this document, without the prior written permission of GBC. The document is subject to all confidentiality, copyright and trade secrets rules, intellectual property law and practices of South Africa.



Purpose of the Scoping Report

PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD is a planning, managing, contracting, and financing facilitator for solar plants. It now also expands into wind energy and, in addition, also want to facilitate, at a much higher level, the establishment of downstream businesses.

An opportunity arose in 2014 for PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD when access was gained to leasable land about 10 km southeast of Prieska in the Northern Cape. Since then, PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD has undertaken feasibility investigations for the flexible production of green hydrogen and ammonia from variable solar and wind energy. Prieska and surrounding areas proof to have extremely good solar and wind load factors. PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD developed a model to utilise these load factors to produce green ammonia of which the hydrogen content is derived from the renewable energy sources, solar and wind (energy production).

Planned industries for the Power Reserve Hub proposal in the Prieska area is anticipated to be developed in phases.

Phase 1: Development and construction of a PV plant with associated infrastructure;

Phase 2: Development and construction of an industrial park for green hydrogen and ammonia production; and Phase 3: Development and construction of a wind generation plant with associated infrastructure.

This report deals with Phase 3, the development of a wind generation plant with associated infrastructure.

PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD is proposing the establishment of the wind generating facility and associated infrastructure on sections of the following land portions, with the area set aside for turbines:

- Karabee 3/50 (289,44 ha); Jan-se-Plaas
- Karabee 9/50 (36,03 ha); Stoffelshoek
- Prieska's Poort (2/51) (19,53 ha); Prieska's Poort
- Prieska's Poort (11/51) (347,46 ha); Prieska's Poort
- Karabee 4(RE)/50 (84,38 ha); Wonderpan
- Karabee 8(RE)/50 (123,72 ha); Wonderpan
- T'Keikans Poort (12(RE)/71) (51,93 ha); Pienaar Boerderye
- RE of Erf 1, Prieska (55,20 ha); Municipal Land /Townlands (refer to Figure 1).

Prieska is in the Siyathemba Local Municipality. The total area set aside for all planned turbines currently is 1007,69 ha (actual footprint of 34 turbines is only 32,64 ha). In the first phase of the wind turbine project, a total of 21 turbines will be erected, delivering 138,6 MW AC. The second phase will bring the erection of the other thirteen turbines and an additional 85,8 MW AC.

PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD has appointed Green-Box Consulting as the independent environmental assessment practitioner to undertake the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the proposed facility. The EIA process is being undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the EIA Regulations of 2014 (as amended), promulgated in terms oof the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA: Act No. 107 of 1998).

The Draft Scoping Report represents the findings of the Scoping Phase of the EIA process and contains the following sections:

- Chapter 1 provides background to the proposed wind turbine facility and the environmental impact assessment process.
- Chapter 2 describes the component of the proposed project.
- Chapter 3 outlines the process which was followed during the Scoping Phase of the EIA process and identifies project alternatives.
- Chapter 4 describes the existing biophysical and socio-economic environment affected by the proposed project.
- Chapter 5 provides a desktop assessment of the potential environmental and social impacts associated with the project.
- Chapter 6 provides the approach to the public participation followed.
- Chapter 7 describes the Plan of Study for EIA.
- Chapter 8 provides references used in the compilation of this Scoping Report.

Invitation to comment on the Draft Scoping Report

This Draft Scoping Report is made available for public review at the following places, which lie in the vicinity of the proposed project area from **09 September – 10 October 2022.**

- Prieska Public Library;
- Prieska Municipal Offices.

The report is also available for download on:

www.green-box.co.za

Please submit your comments to		
Danie Krynauw of Green-Box Consulting		
P.O. Box 37738, Langenhovenpark, 9330		
Tel: 082 435 2108		
Email: info@green-box.co.za		
The due date for comments on the Draft Scoping Report is 17 October 2022		

Comments can be made as written submission via post or email.

Report details

Title:	DRAFT SCOPING REPORT	
	Prieska Power Reserve (Pty) Ltd Phase 3, Wind Turbines & Associated	
	Infrastructure, Northern Cape	
Purpose of this report:	Affected Parties (I&AP's) for Poview and Comment	
	This Draft Scoping Report forms part of a series of reports and information sources that are being provided during the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the proposed Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines & Associated Infrastructure, Project. This is the first report in the series that that forms part of the environmental process. Registered I&APs will be given an opportunity to comment on the following reports as part of this environmental process: - Draft Scoping Report, - Draft Environmental Impact Assessment Report, and - Draft Environmental Management Programme	
	In accordance with the regulations, the objective of a scoping process is to, through a consultative process:	
	 (a) identify the relevant policies and registation relevant to the activity, (b) motivate the need and desirability of the proposed activity, including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location; (c) identify and confirm the preferred activity and technology alternative through an impact and risk assessment and ranking process; (d) identify and confirm the preferred site, through a detailed site selection. 	
	process, which includes an impact and risk assessment process inclusive of cumulative impacts and a ranking process of all the identified alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, and cultural aspects of the environment;	
	(e) identify the key issues to be addressed in the assessment phase; (f) agree on the level of assessment to be undertaken, including the methodology to be applied, the expertise required as well as the extent of further consultation to be undertaken to determine the impacts and risks the activity will impose on the preferred site through the life of the activity, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts to inform the location of the development footprint within the preferred site; and (g) identify suitable measures to avoid, manage or mitigate identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.	
	The Draft Scoping Report is available to all stakeholders for a 30-day review & comment period, 16 September – 17 October 2022.	
Prepared for:	Prieska Power Reserve (Pty) Ltd	
Published by:	Valozone 197 cc t/a Green-Box Consulting	
Authors:	Mr. Danie Krynauw	
Reviewed by:	Miss. Charissa Worthmann	
Green-Box Consulting Ref:	38/P/2020/DK	
Date:	16 September 2022	

Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines

Draft Scoping Report Content

1.	Main Report - Introduction	9
1.1	Summary of the proposed development	9
1.2	Rational for the proposed Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines	12
1.3	Need and Desirability	12
1.3.1	Feasibility consideration	13
1.3.2	Wind Resources & Energy Production	13
1.3.3	Employment and Skills Transfer	14
1.3.4	Need (time)	15
1.3.5	Desirability (Place)	16
1.4	Requirements of an Environment Impact Assessment Process	18
1.4.1	Scoping Process	25
1.5	Details of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner and Expertise conduct the Scoping and EIA Phase	to 25
2.	PPROJECT DESCRIPTION	26
2.1	Key Components of the Proposed Wind Turbines	26
2.2	Overview of Project Development Cycle	26
2.2.1	Wind Turbines Area Construction	28
2.2.2	Wind Turbines Area Operation	29
2.2.3	Wind Turbines Area Decommission	29
3.	PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	30
3.1	Land-use Alternatives	30
3.1.1	Agriculture	30
3.1.2	Renewable Energy Alternatives	30
3.2	Technology Alternatives	31
3.3	Layout Alternatives	32
3.4	No-Go Action Alternative	32
4.	DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	33
4.1	Regional Setting: Location of Study Area	33
4.2	Biophysical Environment	33
4.2.1	Climatic Conditions	33

4.2.2	Topography, Geology and Soils	34
4.2.3	Vegetation Type	35
4.2.4	Conservation Status	35
4.2.5	Water Catchment- and Drainage	36
4.2.6	Avifauna	37
4.3	Heritage	39
4.4	Preliminary Site Environmental Sensitivity Screening	39
4.5	Socio-Economic Environment	42
4.5.1	Demographic baseline	42
4.6	Economic Profile	50
4.6.1	Economic activities	50
4.6.2	Labour force	51
4.6.3	Income levels	51
4.6.4	ECONOMIC DIVERSITY	52
4.6.5	NATURAL RESOURCE INTENSITY	53
5.	SCOPING OF ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPOSED PRIESKA POWER RESERVE WIND TURBINES	54
5.1	Potential Impacts	54
5.1.1	Biodiversity Impacts	54
5.1.2	Soil/Land Impacts	55
5.1.3	Hydrological Impacts	55
5.1.4	Heritage and Archaeological Impacts	56
5.1.5	Visual Impacts	56
5.1.6	Regional Economy and Employment	57
5.1.7	Avifauna Impacts	57
5.1.8	Traffic Generation Impacts	57
5.1.9	Cumulative Impacts	58
6.	Approach to Public Participation	60
6.1	Principles for Scoping and Public Participation	60
6.2	Objective of the Scoping Process	61
6.3	Tasks in the scoping phase	62
6.4	Registration of key stakeholders	63
6.5	Notification of availability of the Draft Scoping Report	64
6.6	Comments and response on the Draft Scoping Report	64

6.7	Availability of the Draft Scoping Report	64
7.	Plan of Study for EIA	65
7.1	Purpose of EIA and Requirements of the 2017 EIA Regulations	65
7.2	Overview of Approach to Preparing the EIA Report and EMPr	66
7.3	Public Participation Process	66
7.3.1	Authority Consultation during the EIA Phase	68
7.4	Approach to Impact Assessment	68
7.4.1	Assessment methodology	68
7.5	Specialist Studies	71
7.6	Environmental Impact Statement	74
7.7	Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)	74
8.	REFERENCES	75
9.	APPENDIXES	76



Draft Scoping Report Appendixes

Appendix A: Location Maps Appendix B: Details of EAP and expertise Appendix C: Declaration of EAP Appendix D: Scoping Phase Public Participation Appendix E: Specialists Terms of Reference Appendix F: Additional information

ACRONYMS USED IN THIS REPORT

CA	Competent Authority
CBA	Critical Biodiversity Areas
CBD	Central Business District
DFFE	Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment
DME	Department of Minerals and Energy
DMR	Department of Mineral Resources
EAPASA	Environmental Assessment Practitioners Association of South Africa
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
ESA	Ecological Support Area
I&AP	Interested and Affected Party
IDP	Integrated Development Plan
IEM	Integrated Environmental Management
LED	Local Economic Development
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act of 1998 as amended
NBA	National Biodiversity Act
NCPSDF	Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework
РРР	Public Participation Process
PSEIA	Plan of Study for Environmental Impact Assessment
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute
SDF	Spatial Development Framework
SMME	Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises
TOR	Terms of Reference

1. Main Report - Introduction

PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD is proposing the establishment of a wind generating facility with its associated infrastructure approximately 10km south-east from Prieska Town, which is located within the Siyathemba Local Municipality in the Northern Cape (refer to **Figure 1**).

The wind turbine facility is proposed to accommodate several turbines and associated infrastructure such as service roads, and transmission lines. From a regional perspective, this area is considered favourable for the development of wind electricity generating facilities by virtue of the climatic conditions, orographic conditions, relief and the extent of the site and the availability of direct transmission connection to serve a hydrogen manufacturing plant in the industrial zone of Prieska town. The identified site is available for development, and has road access via the R357 provincial road, onto an existing gravel track running towards the mountain where the turbine site is located.

This wind turbine project forms phase 3 of the Prieska Power Reserve, hydrogen conversion and ammonia production Project. The production of hydrogen is reliant on renewable energy sources such as solar and wind for energy production. Priska Power Reserve is exploring both sources. The nature and extent of the proposed wind energy generating facility, as well as potential environmental impacts associated with the construction, operation and decommissioning phases are explored in more detail in this Draft Scoping Report.

1.1 Summary of the proposed development

The Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines & Associated Infrastructure project is proposed to be established on various portions of land covering an approximate 33.64ha. (see **Figure 1**, Locality Map).

The extent of the broader site is larger than the area required for the facility's development footprint. A proposed development footprint inclusive of associated infrastructure of <50ha can be appropriately placed within the boundaries of the broader site (1007,69 ha) while aiming to avoid any environmental sensitivities identified throughout the EIA process. The facility can therefore be appropriately placed within the larger site taking any identified environmental constraints into consideration.

In the first phase of the wind turbine project, a total of only 21 turbines will be erected, delivering 138,6 MW AC. The second phase will bring the erection of the other thirteen turbines and an additional 85,8 MW AC. The actual footprint of a single turbine is only 120m x 80m (0,96ha), they will be spaced at least 400m from each other. The following associated infrastructure will be included:

- 🎍 34 Turbines;
- A 132 kV transmission lines;
- Security fencing;
- 🔌 Laydown area;
- ՝ Roads;
- Combiner Stations; and
- Substation and water lines.



Figure 1: Location Map for the PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD Wind Turbine Facility (Planet GIS, 2021)



Figure 2: Aerial image for the PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD Wind Turbine Facility (EcoFocus, 2021)

The overarching objective for the wind turbine facility is to maximise electricity production for the proposed ammonia and hydrogen manufacturing industry, as phase 3 of the Prieska Power Reserve Project. Electricity production from the wind turbines will only be during night time to allow the hydrogen manufacturing plant operational 24hours a day. This can be achieved through exposure to the wind resource, while minimising infrastructure, operational and maintenance costs, as well as social and environmental impacts. In order to meet these objectives local level environmental and planning issues will be assessed through site-specific studies in order to delineate areas of sensitivity within the broader site, this will serve to inform the design of the facility.

The scope of the proposed Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Area, including details of all elements of the project (for the design/planning, construction, operation, and decommissioning Phases) is discussed in more detail in Chapter 2.

1.2 Rationale for the proposed Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines

The wide and arid expanses of the Northern Cape make for hard living and has become repellent to many industries for obvious reasons. The latest Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (NCPSDF) seems to harden this perception in the case of Prieska since the arguably justified intention of this planning document is to centralise the development and population in the main centres that will become developmental magnets. However, some industries cannot be manipulated in this manner since other elements are at play, e.g. the resource itself as with Copperton. The intersection of services and resources around Prieska where the Prieska Power Reserve Project is proposed, is a similar case. Hence the DFFE is requested to positively consider the imperatives of this locality in terms of its unique economic imperatives and not in terms of the centralisation that have its own merits elsewhere. Because of these available elements around Prieska establishing a new industrial market for green energy is a positive step towards economic growth for the town and immediate surrounding areas.

Electricity provision: The electricity produced is used for the production of hydrogen and ammonia in the Prieska industrial area. Hydrogen is produced by electrolysis but another process will combine hydrogen and nitrogen to produce ammonia. While the electrolysis could be interrupted or shutdown relatively easy, the production of ammonia requires a long period of shutdown and start-up. That is why the production of electricity is required 24 hours per day and hence the importance of turbine power production at night.

However, the opportunity to bring energy-hungry industries with a zero-carbon footprint to the locality of generation should also be considered. Logistically such downstream industries could also benefit since a railway line with a siding skirt is located at Prieska including the R357 road that runs near the project leased land.

In the event that the project being developed, it will provide both economic stimulus to the local economy through the construction process and long-term employment in site management and operation and maintenance of the facility.

1.3 Need and Desirability

In keeping with the requirements of an integrated Environmental Impact process, the DFFE 7 Guidelines on Need and Desirability (2010 & 2011) were referenced to provide the following estimation of the activity in relation to the broader societal needs. The concept of need and desirability can be explained in terms of its two components, where need refers to time, and desirability refers to place. Questions pertaining to these components are answered in the sections below.

The section above considers the overall need for alternative, so-called 'green energy' in light of the known environmental burdens associated with the impact of coal power generation through which most of our country's electricity is currently being generated. Associated aspects such as air pollution, water use, and carbon tax are discussed in order to further explain the need and desirability for 'green energy' projects in general. The Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbines Project forms part of the broader hydrogen and green ammonia production project, the zero carbon Prieska Power Reserve Industrial Hub Project, aiming to shift from current energy systems to one that is better in terms of sustainability, environmental impact, climate change, human health, economics, employment, and social equity.

1.3.1 Feasibility consideration

The commercial feasibility for the proposed 224.4MW Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility considered to be built near Prieska, has been informed by its contextual location, and economic, social and environmental impacts and influence to form the first phase of the broader Prieska Power Reserve Green Ammonia Production Project. The project has gathered sufficient information and conducted studies of the site and the region to make qualified and reliable assumptions on the project's various impacts. The proposed Project is in line with provincial development priorities to support the energy related enterprises and the green economy in the province.

The Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility is mere one step towards the creation of a green hydrogen production and distribution hub. The broader focus of PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD, is to be in line with the recently developed, Northern Cape Green Hydrogen Strategy.

Extensions towards non-renewable energy generation during the night has been investigated and location for an ammonia plant has also been considered near Prieska industrial area, making the contextual location of all components in and around Prieska feasible.

1.3.2 Wind Resources & Energy Production

The Northern Cape region is economically challenged due to its arid climate, challenging agricultural conditions, lack of water and limited natural resources (away from the Orange River). The Northern Cape is well-known for the large number of copper and zinc mines in the area, but since the early 1990's, many of these mines have closed down, leaving a devastating trail of unemployment behind.

The local economy, mainly supported by limited agriculture and mining activities, simply isn't enough to accommodate the high level of unemployment.

Private sector development is seen to offer opportunities to access Enterprise Development funds of the main mining groups. This can contribute to entrepreneurial activities linked to their supply chain. The same applies to the investment, in terms of employment opportunities and entrepreneurial activities, associated with renewable energy projects.

The potential to produce electricity from wind turbines as well as by the upcoming Active Wave Engineering (AWE) technology in South Africa is significantly greater and much more widely spread than initially thought, as is revealed by a recent study by the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR).

The study, conducted over 11 months (May 2015 to March 2016), provides the quantified fact base for the combined use of wind and solar energy into the South African power system. It shows that many more geographic regions in South Africa could be penetrated by this combined or hybrid system. Isolated single mode solar PV and wind farms display strong short-term (intra-hour) variability. However, the portfolio allows for a more widespread higher production profile from the effect of aggregating the power output of several farms spread over a wide area which leads to the elimination of serious intermittence and other forms of short-term fluctuation. The aggregated wind portfolio power output exhibits intra-day variability with changes over several hours. This level of variability is more manageable from a system operator's point of view when wind and solar are combined in the same production system.

"The magnitude and cost competitiveness of wind power in South Africa is on par with that of solar PV. In addition, wind and solar PV are complementary, with wind supply peaking in the evening and solar PV peaking at midday" says Crescent Mushwana, Research Group Leader at the CSIR's Energy Centre.

The total technical wind power potential in South Africa if wind farms were to be installed all across the country except in exclusion areas (National Parks, settlement areas, etc.) is 6 700 GW, which is a wind fleet large enough to supply the entire world's electricity demand. In order to generate 250 TWh per year, which is approximately today's South African electricity demand, only 0.6% of the available South African land surface would have to be dedicated to wind farms with an installed capacity of approximately 75 GW.

South Africa exhibits low seasonality in both wind and solar PV supply, which makes the integration easier, because no seasonal storage is required to balance fluctuations.

Power generation is one of the rare growth opportunities for the Northern Cape due to the wind speed levels available almost all year and its strategic position relative to the National Transmission Network. This setup creates unprecedented growth opportunities for the area and the establishment of a renewable energy project is considered important to diversify and compliment the economic development of the region.

1.3.3 Employment and Skills Transfer

The benefits of renewable energy facilities to local regions are not confined to the initial investment in the project. They also provide a reliable and on-going income for landowners and municipality, creating direct employment opportunities for locals, as well as flow-on employment for local businesses through provision of products and services to the project and its employees. It is exactly PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD aim to utilise renewable energy sources in the region of Prieska to facilitate a green hydrogen (ammonia) industry.

PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD will have a positive impact on local employment. Prieska Power Reserve Project employment opportunity projection is as follows:

PRIESKA POWER RESERVE PROJECTED EMPLOYMENT			
OPPORTUNITIES CREATED			
ITEM CONSTRUCTION OPERATION			
Wind Facility	223	28	

Table 1: Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility job creation projection

During the estimated 24month wind generation facility construction phase, the project will employ approximately 223 individuals of various qualifications. The majority will be provided by the local labour market. During operations, the wind facility is expected to generate up to 28 employment opportunities ranging from security staff to administration and artisans. Due the fact that there is very low skilled labour in the field of renewable energy to date, the employment structure will consist of both local and overseas capacity. To guarantee successful operations over the lifetime of the investment, PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD will likely use the skills of outside labour to cross-train local specialists. This cross training and skills development will take place especially in the area of technical maintenance and administration.

Note a Social Impact Assessment will be undertaken for this project and will be presented to I&AP's in the Impact Assessment phase of the environmental process. The baseline social study undertaken by SED Southern Economic Development is appended to this report.

1.3.4 Need (time)

Is the land use considered within the timeframe intended by the existing approved Spatial Development Framework (SDF)? (I.e. is the proposed development in line with the projects and programmes identified as priorities within the credible IDP?

Yes, 'the employment of renewable energy technology' / development has a spatial strategic place in the Siyathemba Municipality SDF while the need for a policy on the development of sustainable wind turbine farms has been identified as Key Development Priority / Project.

Should the development occur here at this point in time?

Yes, the proposed Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility is to be located outside the Prieska urban edge. Various other similar renewable energy projects occur in the area. The Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility serves as phase 3 of the green hydrogen industry project, and serves to expand in the stream of sustainable renewable energy developments in the area (identified as a priority development strategy IDP & SDF).

Does the community / area need the activity and the associated land use concerned?

The Siyathemba Municipality identified the opportunity for a renewable energy project through their IDP processes, which include public participation. The proposed renewable energy development will allow for a diversification of employment, skills and contribute to the potential development of small business associated with its construction, operation and maintenance activities.

Are the necessary services with adequate capacity currently available?

Some existing, some new. Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility requires the installation of 33 kV internal connector lines and a 132 kV distribution line to connect to the Hydrogen Industry, two electrical combiners as well as an access road to the development site. The cost of supplying the new infrastructure will be covered by the applicant.

The water required for the construction and operation of the wind facility will be sourced from the Siyathemba Municipality and will be carted to the proposed site via water tankers and JoJo tanks (Proof of confirmation of availability will be included in the Environmental Impact Report).

Construction waste (General Waste) will be disposed of at the existing landfill site at Prieska - confirmation of capacity of the municipal landfill site to accept the estimated volumes of general waste will be included in the Draft Environmental Impact Report. Defunct and damaged panels identified during construction will be returned to the supplier for recycling and/or disposal.

Is this development provided for in the infrastructure planning of the municipality?

Yes. Attracting private investment and the employment of renewable energy development are identified as priority strategies to create sustainable urban and rural settlements. The opportunity to bring energy-hungry industries with a zero-carbon footprint to the locality of generation should also be considered. Logistically such downstream industries could also benefit since a railway line exist in the Prieska area.

Is this project part of a national programme to address an issue of national concern or importance?

Yes. In order to meet the increasing power demand within South Africa, Eskom has set a target of 30% of all new power generation to be derived from independent power producers (IPPs). In addition, Infrastructure South Africa (ISA) was established by Cabinet on the 27th of May 2020 under the Executive Authority of the Minister of the Department of Public Works and Infrastructure. Infrastructure South Africa is responsible for developing a credible and robust project pipeline that stimulates aggregate demand, creates jobs, builds confidence in the economy and crowds in private sector funding for major public and private sector infrastructure projects.

Promoting commercially viable Green Hydrogen projects are a particular focus area for SA. In this regard, the Energy Technical Working Group has reviewed the Early Business Case submission for the Prieska Power Reserve Project. The Presidency Republic of South Africa supports the Prieska Power Reserve Project, with its various infrastructure development phases. A letter of support has been issued by the Investment and Infrastructure Office of the Presidency, on 16 August 2021), the Prieska Power Reserve Project has also since been listed as a Presidential Infrastructure Project (see Appendix D).

1.3.5 Desirability (Place)

Is the development the best practicable environmental option for this land / site?

The target property is outside Prieska's Urban Edge and as such may not be considered for an alternative land use such as urban development. The property has a poor agricultural potential due to the arid climate inundation with black thorn trees and other limiting factors. These factors have rendered the property vacant with limited land use option alternatives.

Would the approval of this application compromise the integrity of the existing approved and credible municipal IDP and SDF?

No. According to the IDP, attracting Renewable Energy Investment is seen as an IDP Strategy and economic driver to alleviate unemployment and poverty and "to ensure sustainable economic and social transformation in the district".

Do location factors favour this land use at this place?

Yes. The Northern Cape region has been identified as being one of the most viable for wind turbine generation due to the following factors:

- Excellent wind availability (almost all year around).
- Close to existing main transport routes and access points.
- Close to connection points to the local and national electrical infrastructure.

The ecologically sensitive areas on and surrounding the wind site have informed the optimal location and layout for the proposed wind energy project, with minimal impact to the receiving environment, subject to implementation of mitigation measures.

How will the activity or the land use associated with the activity applied for, impact on sensitive natural and cultural areas?

The alternatives considered for the wind facility development have been iteratively designed and informed by various investigations and assessments that considered both the natural and cultural landscapes. The natural and culturally sensitive areas have been identified and where possible, avoided to prevent negative impacts on such areas.

How will the development impact on people's health and wellbeing?

The site is located outside of the Prieska urban edge and as a result is unlikely to impact negatively on the community's health and wellbeing.

Will the proposed activity or the land use associated with the activity applied for, result in unacceptable opportunity costs?

Unlikely. The next best land use alternative to the wind facility is limited agriculture (the status-quo). However, the proposed wind development site does not have any significant agricultural value and has not been utilized for any intensive agricultural purposes. The carrying capacity of the site is too low to generate noteworthy financial benefit from agricultural activities. The economic benefits and opportunities that the proposed wind development holds for the landowner and the local economy of the municipal area cannot be recovered from the current or potential agricultural activities. It is further the aim of the project to reinstate natural character of the area surface by limiting livestock overgrazing. Vegetation growth will continue under the wind turbines.

The opportunity costs in terms of the water-use requirements of the wind facility are within acceptable bounds if one considers the minimal demand on the resources.

Will the proposed land use result in unacceptable cumulative impacts?

Unlikely. Due to the fact that Northern Cape has been identified as an area with high potential for renewable energy generation: wind generation and availability of vast tracts of land with low sensitivity, there are a number of ongoing renewable energy applications in the region already. The potential for further, future wind generating developments in the area cannot be discounted. However, these will have synergistic benefits for the economy and growth of the area, while the contribution to cumulative habitat loss in the area associated with this and potential future wind development would be relatively small in relation to the land resources available, with low impacts restricted to the local area.

1.4 Requirements of an Environment Impact Assessment Process

Section 24(4) of NEMA prescribes that the procedures for the investigation, assessment and communication of the potential consequences or impacts of activities on the environment must, *inter alia*, with respect to every application for environmental authorization, ensure that the general objectives of integrated environmental management laid down in NEMA and the NEMA Principles set out in NEMA are taken into account, and include an investigation of the potential consequences or impacts of the alternatives to the activity on the environment and assessment of the significance of those potential consequences or impacts, including the option of not implementing the activity.

In terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended) (NEMA) and the 2017 NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, promulgated in Government Gazette 40772 and Government Notice (GN) R326, R327, R325 and R324 on 7 April 2017, a full Scoping and EIA Process is required for the construction of the proposed Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility. PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD has appointed Green-Box Consulting to undertake the EIA Process in order to determine the biophysical, social and economic impacts associated with undertaking the proposed activities. Given that the energy generation from this proposed project aims to supply electricity to the bigger Prieska Power Reserve Project industrial phase the proposed Wind Facility requires authorisation from the Provincial Department of Agriculture, Environmental Affairs, Rural Development and Land Reform as the Competent Authority (CA), acting in consultation with other spheres of government.

As noted above, in terms of the EIA Regulations promulgated under Chapter 5 of the NEMA published in GN R326, R327, R325 and R324 on 7 April 2017, a full Scoping and EIA Process is required for the proposed project. The need for the full Scoping and EIA is triggered by, amongst others, by the inclusion of Activity 1 listed in GN R325 (Listing Notice 2):

 "The development of facility or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more, excluding where such development of facility or infrastructure is for photovoltaic installations and occurs (a) within an urban area; or (b) on existing infrastructure".

The purpose of the EIA is to identify, assess and report on any potential impacts the proposed project, if implemented, may have on the receiving environment. The environmental assessment therefore needs to show the CA, and the project applicant, what the consequences of their choices will be in terms of impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment and how such impacts can be, as far as possible, enhanced or mitigated and managed as the case may be.

Government Notices R 324, R 325, R 326 and R 327, in Government Gazette No 40772 (dated 07 April 2017), in terms of Chapter 5 of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No 107 of 1998 (as amended), contain the EIA Regulations, as well as a schedule of activities that may have substantial detrimental effects on the environment and therefore require authorisation from the competent environmental authority. The listed activities that will be associated with the proposed construction of the 224 MW Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility and associated infrastructure include the following (see **Table 2**):

Listed	Listed Activity Description	Description of the project activity that				
Activity		potentially triggers the relevant listed activity				
Number	GN R327					
Activity 11 (i)	The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity— (i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts.	The proposed facility will be required to evacuate electricity through a 33kV connector lines and a 132kV distribution line to the a proposed hydrogen Plant.				
Activity 12 (ii) and (a), (c)	The development of— ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; where such development occurs— a. within a watercourse; c. if no development setback exists, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse;	The proposed wind facility and infrastructure will entail the construction of building infrastructure and structures (such as the turbine erecting areas, electricity distribution line, laydown area and security enclosures etc.). Based on the preliminary sensitivity screening undertaken for the site, drainage features occur onsite, and the buildings and infrastructure are expected to exceed a footprint of 100 m ² and some are likely to occur within 32 m of the watercourses. The proposed project will take place outside of an urban area. Additional information regarding the presence of watercourses on site will be confirmed by an Ecological Impact Assessment, which will be undertaken during the FIA Phase.				
Activity 19	The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse	The proposed project will entail the excavation, removal and moving of more than 10 m ³ of soil, sand, or rock from the nearby identified drainage channels. The proposed project would also entail the infilling of more than 10 m ³ of material into the nearby watercourses. Based on the preliminary sensitivity screening undertaken for the site, drainage lines occur on the site.				
Activity 28 (ii)	Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture, game farming, equestrian purposes or	The proportion land earmarked for the wind facility has an agricultural zoning. The proposed wind facility which is considered to be a commercial/industrial development, will have an estimated footprint of roughly 32,64 ha and				

Table 2: Identified listed activities triggered by the Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility project

	afforestation on or after 01 April 1998 and where such development:	1 ha additionally for the two electrical combiners. Thus, equating to 33,64 hectares.
	(ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than	
	1 hectare.	
	The development of facilities or	
Activity 1	infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more, excluding where such development of facilities or infrastructure is for photovoltaic installations and occurs — (a) within an urban area; or (b) on existing infrastructure.	The proposed project will entail the construction of a 224 MW wind generating facility.
		The proposed wind facility footprint will cover an estimated 33,64 ha.
Activity 15	The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation.	Additional information regarding the presence of indigenous vegetation on site will be provided in the Ecological Impact Assessment, which will be undertaken during the EIA Phase.
	GN R324	
Activity 4 (g)(ii)(ee)	The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres. (g) Northern Cape. (ii) Outside urban areas. (ee) Critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans.	A section of the proposed electricity connection line falls within a CBA2 area, access to the wind facility is proposed along the electricity line corridor.
Activity 12 (g)(ii)	The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan. (g) Northern Cape. (ii) Within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans.	The proposed wind facility will entail the construction of associated infrastructure such as an access road, and electricity connection line. A section of the electricity line corridor and access road falls within a CBA2 area. It is anticipated that more than 300 m ² of vegetation will be removed for the installation of electricity pylons and access road.
Activity 14 (ii)(a)(g)(ii)(ff)	The development of— ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more; where such development occurs— (a) within a watercourse; (c) if no development setback has been adopted, within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse. (g) Northern Cape, (ii) Outside urban areas, (ff) Critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans.	Construction of structures larger than 10m ² within a watercourse or within 32 meters from a watercourse may be required.

The Environmental Impact Assessment Process is being conducted in two phases, *i.e.* an Environmental Scoping Study and an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), including an Environmental Management Programme (EMP). The sequence of documents, the legislative process, and opportunities to comment can be more clearly seen in the process flow chart following:



Figure 3: EIA Process Flow Chart

1.4.1 Scoping Process

The Scoping phase describes the preliminary decision-making processes regarding the project, including the investigation of development alternatives and the selection of preferred alternatives. The specific activities expected to form part of the proposed development is also described.

The study will then provide a description of the receiving environment and investigate how this environment may be directly, indirectly and cumulatively affected by the proposed development. Potential significant impacts (both economic, social and biophysical) that may result from the construction, operation and maintenance phases of the proposed development will be identified. Additional issues for consideration, identified during the first phase of the participatory and transparent Public Participation Process, which has been conducted concurrently with the scoping phase, will also be outlined and supporting documentation provided. This Scoping Study serves to identify any fatal flaws, gaps in knowledge, alternatives and mitigation alternatives for evaluation and investigation during the EIA phase of the project.

1.5 Details of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner and Expertise to conduct the Scoping and EIA Phase

Green-Box Consulting has been appointed by PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD to undertake the EIA required for the proposed project. The EIA team which is involved in this Scoping and EIA Process is listed in Table 3 below. This team includes a number of specialists which have either been involved to date or are planned to provide inputs during the EIA Process.

NAME	ORGANISATION	ROLE/STUDY TO BE UNDERTAKEN
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEN	/IENT SERVICES	
Danie Krynauw	Green-Box Consulting	Lead EAP (EAPASA) Certified
Charissa Worthmann	Green-Box Consulting	Project Officer
SPECIALISTS		
Mr. Rikus Lampbrecht	Eco Focus	Ecological Impact Assessment
Dr. Lloyd Possouw	Horitago	Heritage Impact Assessment (Archaeology,
DI. LIUYU KUSSUUW	nentage	Palaeontology and Cultural Landscape)
Mr. Chris van Rooyen	Van Rooyen Consulting	Avifauna Impact Assessment
Mr. Wian Esterhuizen	Visual	Visual Impact Assessment
Ms. An Kritzinger	Socio-Economic	Socio-Economic Impact Assessment

Table 3: EIA Team

Danie Krynauw is the sole director and owner of Green-Box Consulting and has a Master's degree in Town and Regional Planning and completed studies in Environmental Management at the University of the Free State. D. Krynauw has over 18 years' experience in the environmental management field and is an EAPASA registered Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAPASA – 2019/1348). He is also a member of the International Association of Impact Assessments South Africa. He has been project manager of several EIAs, Basic Assessments, Mining Permits and Water Use Licensing applications.

Danie will be supported by the EIA Team as outlined within Table 23.

2. **P**PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This section provides an overview of the conceptual project design and an overview of the site and technology selection process for the Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility as provided by PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD.



Figure 4: Wind turbine positions

2.1 Key Components of the Proposed Wind Facility

A summary of the key components of the proposed project is described below. It is important to note at the outset that the exact specifications of the proposed project components will be determined during the detailed engineering phase.

The project is being developed with a maximum possible production capacity of 224 MW AC of electricity. The preferred project site includes approximately 1007,69 hectare of land in total with a footprint of 33,64 hectares.

The two main components of the project will consist of the wind generation field and the associated infrastructure. The technical components forming part of the facility are discussed below. The wind facility will consist of the following components:

- Thirty-four (34) separate wind turbines and associated laydown areas of approximately 80 m x 125 m (0.96 ha) in size each
- Two electrical combiners
- Connection 33kV powerline that will run parallel to the existing Cuprum-Burchell line
- Access road
- Security towers and a utility building, with ablutions
- 🎍 Laydown area, and
- Perimeter fencing and internal security

Technical Aspects

The conversion of the kinetic energy carried by wind to usable electrical energy generates a pressure difference creating lift and drag (figure 5). This happens through the aerodynamic design of wind turbine blades allow the wind to move at different speeds on each side of the blade. When the lift force happens, torque and rotation is generated. At this point, kinetic energy now becomes rotational energy. The axis of the turbine which has been consistently rotating is connected to a specialized generator which turns it into electric energy. This process is known as the *electromechanical conversion principle*. Strategically fitted converters will then match the voltage and frequency aspects of the generated energy into that which matches the design grid. This will then aim to achieve the of 224MW design capacity.



Figure 5: Overview of the forces acting on the wind turbine blade (Maria & Jacobson, 2007)

The most important parts of wind generating systems are way in which the blades are designed to catch the wind and rotate where they then disperse air pressure (figure 6).



Figure 6: Basic diagram depicting air pressure dispersion in a rotating wind turbine (Researchgate, 2020)

✓ Wind Turbine Components

A wind turbine is made up of the following components:

- (i) Towers generally built out of concrete and assembled on site.
- (ii) The blades which are made of composite material
- (iii) The hub (or the nose) which mechanically connects the three blades and helps control the pitch and stop the blades when conditions get dangerous
- (iv) A nacelle which houses all the equipment that makes the functioning of a wind turbine possible. This includes components such as a gearbox, generator, converter, transformer, and control equipment.

- (v) System data monitor which shows how much energy is flowing in from the energy sources and how much is flowing out to the loads; and
- (vi) A balance of system hardware.
- 2.2 Overview of Project Development Cycle

2.2.1 Wind Generation Facility Construction

In order to construct the proposed wind turbine facility and associated infrastructure, a series of activities will need to be undertaken. The construction process is discussed in more detail below.

Prior to initiating construction, a number of surveys will be required including, but not limited to:

- Geotechnical survey the geology and topography of the development footprint will be surveyed. The
 geotechnical study will focus on foundation conditions, potential for excavations, and the availability of
 natural construction materials. Thew geotechnical examination will include surface and subsurface
 exploration, soil sampling and laboratory analysis.
- Site survey will be done for the finalisation of the design layout of the wind turbines, and other infrastructure. The micro-siting footprint will consider any environmental sensitivity identified during the EIA Phase investigations and will need to be confirmed in line with the Environmental Authorisation issued for the facility.

The construction of the plant is expected to take up to 36 months with a project lifespan of 30 years or more. Approximately 223 individuals will be employed on site and the procurement of local labour will be according to the labour laws and social development laws of South Africa. The main works for the construction of the wind generation facility include the following:

i. Site establishment (this will also include a lay-down areas measuring 80mx125m each which will be used for the storage of materials during construction). This will be converted to a permanent lay-down area during the operation phase;

ii. Erection of security fences;

iii. Foundation construction;

- iv. Turbine assembly;
- v. Line construction and line connection;
- vi. Electrical site works; and
- vii. Access road construction.

The following associated activities are included:

Site establishment -

Site preparation activities will include clearance of vegetation at the footprint of each project component. These activities will require the stripping of topsoil which will need to be stockpiled, backfilled and/or spread on site.

Transport of components and equipment to site -

The component and equipment required for the construction of the proposed facility will be brought to site in sections by means of national and provincial roads and then proposed internal access road. The existing gravel track access to the project site running parallel to an existing powerline will be upgraded to accommodate construction vehicle traffic and serve as permanent access to the wind generation facility site

Establishment of laydown areas on site –

Laydown and storage areas will be required for the typical construction equipment which will be required on site. Once the required equipment has been transported to site, a dedicated equipment construction camp and laydown area will need to be established adjacent to the workshop area. The laydown area will be used for the assembly of the wind generation infrastructure and the general placement/storage of construction equipment.

Establishment of ancillary infrastructure –

Ancillary infrastructure includes a 132kV power line feeding into the Hydrogen Plant. The establishment of the overhead powerline will require the clearing of vegetation and levelling of the development site and the excavations prior to construction of the pylons.

On full commissioning of the facility, any access points to the site which are not required during the operational phase must be close and rehabilitated. All temporary facilities, equipment and waste materials will be removed from site.

Undertake site remediation -

Once construction is completed and once all construction equipment is removed, the site must be rehabilitated where practical and reasonable.

2.2.2 Wind Turbine Facility Operation

A wind generation facility needs low but regular maintenance, and this will consist of scheduled proactive maintenance which is planned in advance and aimed at preventing faults from occurring, as well as to keep the facility operating at an optimum level. The following activities will be undertaken:

- i. Blade and turbine servicing;
- ii. Checking nacelle components and module connections;
- iii. Inspection and servicing of electrical components and hardware;
- iv. Inspecting mechanical integrity of relevant structures;
- v. Vegetation control; and
- vi. Routing balance of facility servicing.

2.2.3 Wind Turbine Facility Decommissioning

The wind turbine facility will be decommissioned at the end of the 30-40 years. The following activities will be carried out:

i. Removal of wind turbine structures and all appurtenant above ground equipment;

ii. Restoration of the disturbed soil and re-vegetation of the site to its pre-construction condition with native vegetation similar to plants in the surrounding vicinity;

iv. Restoration of roads to their pre-construction condition unless the land owner elects to retain the improved roads for access throughout that land owner's property; and

v. Removal of permanent operations and maintenance building.

The dismantled blades and the electrical wiring will be recycled accordingly.

3. **P**ROJECT ALTERNATIVES

This section identifies and describes the alternative infrastructure options and motivation for site and site selection for the proposed project. In terms of the NEMA EIA Regulations, one of the criteria to be taken into account by the competent authority when considering an application is "any feasible and reasonable alternatives to the activity which is the subject of the application and any feasible and reasonable modifications or changes to the activity that may minimise harm to the environment". Alternatives are defined in the Regulations as "different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity". It is therefore necessary to provide a description of the need and desirability of the proposed activity and any identified alternatives to the proposed activity that are feasible and reasonable, including the advantages and disadvantages that the proposed activity or alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may be affected by the activity.

The "feasibility" and "reasonability" of an alternative will therefore be measured against the general purpose, requirements and need of the activity and how it impacts on the environment and on the community that may be affected by the activity. It is therefore vital that the identification, investigation and assessment of alternatives address the issues/impacts of a proposed wind generation facility.

There are two types of alternatives – Fundamental Alternatives and Incremental Alternatives.

Fundamental Alternatives -

Fundamental alternatives are developments that are totally different from the proposed project and usually involve a different type of development on the proposed site, or a different location for the proposed development.

Different types of developments -

3.1 Land-use Alternatives

3.1.1 Agriculture

At present the proposed site is zoned for agricultural land-use. The agricultural potential is uniformly low to medium across the preferred and alternative sites and the choice of placement of the proposed facility on the selected farm portions and therefore has minimal influence on the significance of agricultural impacts. Hence, agricultural land use is not a preferred alternative.

3.1.2 Renewable Energy Alternatives

Where the "activity" is the generation of electricity, possible reasonable and feasible land-use alternatives for the proposed property include biomass energy. However, based on the preliminary investigations undertaken by the project applicant, no other renewable energy technologies are deemed to be appropriate for the site. The unsuitability of other renewable energy developments for the site, as well as the potential risks and impacts of each, is discussed below.

Biomass Energy

The proposed project site lacks any abundant or sustainable supply of biomass. According to the South African Renewable Energy Resource Database (SARERD), the project site is identified as having no cumulative biomass energy potential (as shown in Figure 8), therefore, the implementation of a Biomass Facility at the proposed site is therefore considered to be an unfeasible alternative to the implementation of the proposed wind energy facility. Should biomass energy be selected for the site, significant negative socio-economic implications could be created as it would not be feasible in terms of operations. A biomass facility is also likely to result in unnecessary pollution due to waste generation (especially wastewater generated during the operational phase).



Figure 8: South African renewable resource database – Biomass (DME, 2003)

For this reason, no fundamental alternatives will be investigated.

3.2 Technology Alternatives

Once environmental constraining factors have been determined through the Scoping and EIA process, PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD will consider various wind turbine options. The preferred option will be informed by efficiency as well as environmental impact and constraints (such as noise associated with the turbine and sensitive biophysical features).

There is a limited range of alternative technologies available for commercial-scale wind energy turbine facilities and PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD therefore confirms wind energy technology as the preferred technology alternative for the development of the wind generation facility. No further technology alternatives will be considered.

3.3 Layout Alternatives

The findings of the specialist studies will be used to inform the layout within the development footprint of the project. The specialist studies that will be conducted during the EIA Phase will identify the various environmental sensitivities present on site that should be avoided, which will be taken into account during the determination of the proposed layout of the wind generation facility.

The aim of the EIA Phase (in terms of the layout of the proposed facility), will be to determine a buildable area for the proposed project within the development footprint of the site, which will be assessed by the specialists and considered during the EIA Phase. It is already foreseen through a preliminary area sensitivity assessment that the proposed footprint required will be accommodated within the available property boundaries, considering avoidance of sensitive environmental receptors.

Incremental alternatives will therefore be considered and assessed for this project. Modifications or variations to the design of the project that provide different options to reduce or minimise environmental impacts will be assessed. There are several incremental alternatives that will be considered, including:

- The design or layout of the activity;
- The technology to be used in the activity, and
- The operational aspects of the activity.

3.4 No-Go Action Alternative

The description of the baseline or existing environment or status quo is essential to all environmental assessments, and should be focussed on the key characteristics of, and values or importance attached to the environment. The baseline, or 'no-go' option, as well as all other relevant alternatives must be described, assessed, and evaluated at the same scale and level of detail that enables adequate comparison with the proposed project. If no wind facility project is constructed on the proposed site, the area will remain in its existing condition with no structures or facilities being constructed or operated. It would also lessen the PRIESKA POWER RESERVE (PTY) LTD larger project proposal to materialise in developing green energy through ammonia and hydrogen manufacturing. A detailed assessment of the advantages and the disadvantages of not proceeding with the proposed 224 MW Wind Generation Plant will be assessed during the environmental impact phase.

4. DESCRIPTION OF AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

This Section of the Scoping Report provides an overview of the affected environment. The receiving environment is understood to include biophysical, socio-economic and heritage aspects which could be affected by the proposed development or which in turn might impact on the proposed development.

This information is provided to identify the potential issues and impacts of the proposed project on the environment. The information presented here has been sourced from:

- Scoping input from the specialists that form part of the project team;
- Review of information available on the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) Biodiversity Geographical Information System (BGIS) and Agricultural Geo-Referenced Information System (AGIS);
- DEFF Screening tool; and
- Siyathemba Local Municipality, Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality IDPs and the Northern Cape PSDF.

It is important to note that this chapter intends to provide an overview and does not represent a detailed environmental study. Detailed studies focused on significant environmental aspects of this project within the development footprint of the project will be provided during the EIA Phase.

4.1 Regional Setting: Location of Study Area

The project is situated in Siyathemba Local Municipality (SLM) which falls under the Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality. The assessment areas are situated approximately 10 km south-east of the town of Prieska. The town forms part of the Siyathemba Local Municipality which in turn, forms part of the Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality, Northern Cape Province. Access to the assessment areas is obtained by way of the R 357 provincial road and subsequent dirt roads, from the west. The Municipality is located within the central eastern parts of the Northern Cape Province on the banks of the Orange River, and falls within the boundaries of the Pixley Ka Seme District. The nearest business centre is Kimberley, which is about 220km away.

The main settlements in the Siyathemba Local Municipality are the towns of Prieska, Marydale, Niekerkshoop, Draghoender and Coppeton.

4.2 Biophysical Environment

4.2.1 Climatic Conditions

The climate of the area is typical of the desert and is categorised as arid. The rainfall of the region peaks during the summer months and the Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP) of the area is approximately 244 mm (www.climate-data.org). The maximum average monthly temperature is approximately 26.9°C in the summer months while the minimum average monthly temperature is approximately 9.8°C during the winter. Maximum daily temperatures can reach up to 34.6°C in the summer months and dip to as low as 1°C during the winter.
4.2.2 Topography, Geology and Soils

The study area is situated on a mountain range that is almost flat on the top and elevation varies from 1 220 m to 1 320 m. The average wind speed is exceeding 7 m/s @150 m and is available almost for the whole year. This profile has the potential for high yields using wind turbines, which will incorporate the latest technology to optimise yields in the windspeed-range of 6 - 9 m/s.



Figure 9: Elevation map of the Prieska area, average elevation 1052m (https://en-za.topographicmap.com/maps/77oq/Prieska/)

According to Mucina & Rutherford (2006) the geology of the landscape and associated vegetation types can be described as the following:

The three mountaintop plateau assessment areas have a complicated geology: banded iron formation and amphibolites of the Asbestos Hills Subgroup. Metamorphic rocks of the Mokolian Erathrem include quartzites and gneisses of the Korannaland Supergroup. Soils are shallow and skeletal (Mispah and Glenrosa are dominant), mainly of Ib and Ic land types.

The flat bottomland assessment areas surrounding the mountain range, are mainly covered by recent alluvium and calcrete. Superficial deposits of the Kalahari Group are also present. Soils are mostly red-yellow apedal and free-draining, mainly of Ag and Ae land types.

4.2.3 Vegetation Type

According to SANBI (2006-2019), the three mountaintop plateau assessment areas form part of the Lower Gariep Broken Veld vegetation type (NKb 1), which mainly consists of hills and low mountains, slightly irregular plains with some rugged terrain. The sparse vegetation is mainly dominated by shrubs and dwarf shrubs with perennial grasses and herbs. Groups of scattered low trees such as Aloe dichotoma and Senegalia mellifera occur on slopes of koppies and on sandy soils of foot slopes. This vegetation type is classified as Least Concerned (SANBI, 2006-2019). The flat bottomland assessment areas surrounding the mountain range, however fall within the Bushmanland Arid Grassland vegetation type (NKb 3). This vegetation type mainly consists of extensive to irregular plains on a slightly sloping plateau sparsely vegetated by grassland and mostly dominated by white grasses such as Stipagrostis species (SANBI, 2006-2019). The vegetation type has the characteristics of semi desert (SANBI, 2006-2019). This vegetation type is classified as Least Concerned (SANBI, 2006-2019).



Figure 10: Vegetation map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the assessment area

4.2.4 Conservation Status

The entirety of the three-mountaintop plateau- and the flat bottomland assessment areas form part of a broad mosaic of Ecological Support Area (ESA) and Other Natural Area (ONA), according to the Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Biodiversity Plan 2016 (NCPSBP), which sets out biodiversity priority areas in the province. ESA's are areas that must be maintained in at least fair ecological condition (semi-natural/moderately modified state) in order to support the ecological functioning of a Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA) or protected area, or to generate or deliver ecosystem services, or to meet remaining biodiversity targets for ecosystem types or species when it is not possible or not necessary to meet them in natural or near-natural areas (Collins, 2018). See conservation status maps below.



Figure 11: Conservation status map illustrating the conservation categories associated with the assessment area as well as the 132 kV electrical transmission line and access/service road

4.2.5 Water Catchment- and Drainage

An extensive linear topographic highpoint/ridge apex which roughly lies in a north-west to south-east direction, traverses the two southerly situated mountaintop plateaus. This highpoint/ridge apex and subsequently also the mountain range act as a natural linear surface water runoff- and drainage separator, between the portions of the three mountaintop plateaus and surrounding flat bottomland areas situated north and south of the highpoint/ridge apex, respectively. Surface water runoff from the three mountaintop plateaus and surrounding flat bottomland areas consequently mainly drains either in a northerly- or southerly direction, depending on which side of the highpoint/ridge apex the area is situated.

The portions of the mountaintop plateaus situated to the north of the highpoint/ridge as well as the overwhelming majorities of the proposed main site access/service road and 132 kV electrical transmission line with its associated access/service road, fall within the D72A quaternary surface water catchment- and drainage area. The portions of the mountaintop plateaus situated to the south of the highpoint/ridge as well as the most southerly portions of the proposed main site access/service road and 132 kV electrical transmission line with its associated access/service road, however rather fall within the D62H quaternary surface water catchment- and drainage area. The entirety of the three mountaintop plateaus and the flat bottomland areas surrounding the mountain range, fall within the Lower Orange Water Management Area (WMA 14).

The proposed wind turbine access/service road network and the 33 kV electrical transmission line network with its associated access/service road network, will merely traverse five (5) small ephemeral water drainage lines/preferential flow paths as well as a single significant first-order ephemeral watercourse, throughout the three mountaintop plateaus. These drainage lines/flow paths and single significant watercourse assist with channelling and discharging surface water runoff from small portions of the mountaintop plateaus, towards the surrounding flat bottomland areas.

From a hydrological perspective, these drainage lines/flow paths and single significant watercourse therefore merely play a minor assisting role in the local catchment and drainage, associated with surface water runoff from the mountain range. These drainage lines/flow paths and single significant watercourse are therefore not viewed as being of high conservational significance/value, from a hydrological or ecological perspective. It is however not anticipated that the proposed development at these flow path/drainage line- and single significant watercourse crossings, should significantly impede or impact on their flow regimes.

Due to the lack of continuous water flow throughout the mountaintop plateaus and flat bottomland areas surrounding the mountain range, none of the watercourses and flow paths/drainage lines possess any distinct riparian zones or significant variations in vegetation species composition or -structure, relative to the surrounding terrestrial landscape. Merely slight to moderate increases in density of woody shrub individuals are evident within-and along the edges of the significant watercourses

4.2.6 Avifauna

The footprint of the wind facility field of the proposed development is currently utilised, or have the potential of being utilised, by a range of bird species. Based on the information currently available, it is tentatively concluded that the proposed footprint area is not extensively utilised by any of the Red Data species occurring in the area.

The mountainous areas of the wind facility footprint may be utilised by specifically the Verreaux Eagle. The Verreaux Eagle is rated "Vulnerable". Additionally, there may be a presence of the Lanner Falcon, also rated as "Vulnerable" in South Africa.

After the initial first site survey the following was found:

Turbine site	
Species composition	Number
All Species	60
Priority Species (10%)	6
Non-Priority Species	54
Total count	Count
Drive transects	545
Walk transects	310
Total:	855
Control site	
Species composition	Number
All Species	49
Priority Species (4%)	2

Non-Priority Species	47
Total count	Count
Drive transects	650
Walk transects	516
Total:	1166

Figures 12 and 13 present the priority species transect count data for the development site and the control site, presented as an Index of Kilometric Abundance (IKA = birds/km).



Figure 12: IKA for drive transect wind priority species at the development area vs. control site



Figure 13: IKA for walk transects wind priority species at the development area vs. control site.

Verreaux's Eagle (SA status: Vulnerable)

There are eight (8) suspected Verreaux's Eagle (SA Red Data status = Vulnerable) nests within 8km or less of the proposed turbine area. No Verreaux's Eagle flights were recorded during the first round of vantage point watches, but the species was observed during transect counts at the turbine site. Visits to the eight focal point nests that were identified by a previous avifaunal specialist was complicated by access problems, resulting in time running out to visit all the nest locations.

The second edition of the Verreaux's Eagle best practice guidelines (VE guidelines) recommends the application of the VERA model in addition to the conventional monitoring, to determine high risk areas that need to be avoided by wind turbines¹. Alternatively, if the developer opts not to use VERA, the guidelines recommend a pre-cautionary buffer of 5.2km around the nests, which should provide the approximate equivalent of the VERA high and medium risk zones. The guidelines recommend that no turbines are constructed within the 5.2km precautionary zone if possible. The guidelines further recommend that no turbines should be constructed under any circumstances closer than 3.7km from a nest, which is the equivalent of the VERA high risk zone. If the high and medium-risk zones are avoided, monitoring can be completed after one year. Should the developer choose to place turbines in medium-

¹ MURGATROYD, M., BOUTEN, W. & AMAR, ARJUN. 2020. A predictive model for improving placement of wind turbines to minimise collision risk potential for a large soaring raptor. Journal of Applied Ecology. 2020;00:1 – 12. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.13799.

risk areas, then the guidelines recommend that monitoring is extended to two years. All turbines in medium-risk zones should be pro-actively mitigated with a proven mitigation strategy.

However, in this instance the developer is proposing a novel mitigation strategy, namely, to implement blanket shutdown of turbines during daylight hours, starting at first light before sunrise, continuing throughout the day and for a period after sunset during dusk until nightfall. The idea is that the above requirements for buffers and two years of monitoring will fall away, due to the proposed blanket shutdown of turbines in daytime, except for a 1 (one) km no disturbance buffer around nests. With the permission of the developer, the specialists engaged with BirdLife South Africa (BLSA) to get their views on the proposed strategy. The response from Samantha Ralston - Paton, Birds and Renewable Energy Project Manager, was cautiously supportive of the proposed strategy. She referred the specialists to Dr Megan Murgatroyd from Hawkwatch International for further input. Dr Murgatroyd was subsequently approached, and she was also cautiously supportive but indicated that she would need to analyse her data on tagged eagles to establish if here are movement of birds after dark. She also suggested a larger buffer around the nests, but the specialists pointed out that the 1km buffer is all that the developer can accommodate, and that it is in line with the recommended buffer size in the previous edition (2017) of the VE guidelines². She also indicated that she would need funding to analyse her data.

Lanner Falcon (SA Status: Vulnerable)

The only other Red List species that was recorded during the first survey was Lanner Falcon, which was recorded during VP watches, transect counts and incidentally while travelling on the site. The passage rate for Lanner Falcons was low at 0.03 birds/hour or roughly from 1 bird every three days. Two individuals were also recorded incidentally. This may point to a regular presence of the species at the site. This will be established during future surveys.

4.3 Archeology

The area proposed for the development of the Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility is located in an undeveloped rural area south-east of the town of Prieska.

Oldest bedrock sediments in the study area are represented by Late Archaean / Early Proterozoic, Transvaal Supergroup carbonate rocks (c. 2.6 Ga), made up of banded iron formations (BIF) containing haematite, crocidolite and chert layers (Vk) located in the basinal facies of the Ghaap Group (Figure 18). Localized outcrops of Early Permian Dwyka sediments (C-pd cf. Mbizane Formation, Karoo Supergroup, c. 320-290 Ma) represent valley and inlet fill deposits left behind on the Transvaal basement rocks by retreating glaciers about 300 million years ago (Visser et al. 1990; Johnson et al. 2006). The basement rocks are capped by localized surface calcretes as well as variable clasts of surface gravels and scree. Quaternary wind-blown sand (Qg) and alluvial overbank sediments occur along ephemeral water courses and low-lying areas.

4.4 Preliminary Site Environmental Sensitivity Screening

The mountaintop plateaus as well as the flat bottomland areas and transitional zone surrounding the mountain range, both scored moderate Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) values and are therefore viewed as being of moderate conservational significance/value for habitat preservation and ecological functionality persistence in

 $^{^{2}}$ The 2021 VE guidelines do not prescribe specific buffer size, but it is highly unlikely that a buffer size of less than 1km will be acceptable to BLSA.

support of the surrounding ecosystem, broader vegetation type, Ecological Support Area (ESA), nationally- and provincially protected species individuals and the ecological functionality and -integrity of the local and broader quaternary surface water catchment- and drainage area.

The mechanical clearance associated with the proposed development, will in all probability completely transform the majority of the existing surface vegetation throughout all the different footprint areas, associated with the mountaintop plateaus as well as the flat bottomland areas and transitional zone surrounding the mountain range. The combined total size of all these different proposed footprint areas is however minute relative to the landscape surrounding them. The small wind turbine laydown areas are also spread-out and spaced far apart throughout the mountaintop plateaus. The local and broader region surrounding the assessment areas furthermore constitutes a vast, continuous undeveloped natural landscape. The applicant also intends to establish and manage the three mountaintop plateaus as an eco-friendly wildlife camp. It is consequently not anticipated that the proposed development would necessarily pose any significant risk to achieving and maintaining national and/or provincial conservation and persistence targets of the area or to the continued ecological functionality and -integrity of the local and broader surrounding landscape.

Destruction of-/damage to Red Data Listed, nationally- and/or provincially protected species individuals/habitats associated with the assessment area, terrestrial and aquatic alien invasive species establishment throughout the flat bottomland areas and transitional zone surrounding the mountain range as well as impeding and contamination of the flow regimes of the numerous watercourses and water drainage lines/preferential flow paths, within the associated local and broader quaternary surface water catchment- and drainage area, were identified and addressed as significant potential long-term ecological impact, associated with the construction phase of the proposed development.

Continued impeding and contamination of the flow regimes of the numerous watercourses and water drainage lines/preferential flow paths, within the associated local and broader quaternary surface water catchment- and drainage area was furthermore identified and addressed as a continued significant potential long-term ecological impact, associated with the operational phase of the proposed development.

Although the broader region surrounding the assessment area constitutes a vast, continuous undeveloped natural landscape, the proposed development merely forms a small part of the first phase of a significantly sized and extensive renewable energy power generating hub, which is envisaged for the broader area to the south of the town of Prieska, over time. Significant future development expansion and subsequent transformation in the same geographical area, which could likely lead to further cumulative ecological impacts, will therefore in all probability take place within the local and broader area, over time.

The significant potential long-term ecological impacts identified for the proposed development, could therefore potentially add moderate cumulative impact to the existing and anticipated future negative impacts, associated with the envisaged significantly sized and extensive renewable energy power generating hub, over time.

A preliminary site environmental sensitivity map has been developed and highlights the identified site sensitive receptors, see Figure 16.



Figure 14: Site sensitivity map illustrating all the important/significant ecological features

4.5 Socio-Economic Environment

4.5.1 Demographic baseline

Between 2011 and 2016 the population of SLM grew at an average annual growth rate of 1-.1% from 21, 591 to 23,075 people in 2016. The growth rate of the municipal population was below the national population growth of 1.5% per annum during the same period, potentially implying some out-migration from the area during the period. The relative low female percentages and the high percentage of people in the working population in SLM suggests historic high in-migration rates (prior to 2011) and single male migrants having settled in the area. This could be due to the former activities at the copper-zinc mine at Copperton which opened in 1972 and was shut down in 1991 by the Anglovaal Mining Group. At its heyday the mining town hosted around 3000 workers and their families (SLM, 2019).

Since 2016, there could have been in-migration into the area due to a number of renewable energy projects implemented in the area and a large-scale mining project in planning phase. A new residential area consisting of 3,500 residential erven is planned for Prieska town as part of the planning for new mining activities in the area (Orion Minerals, 2020).

SLM consists of 5 wards. The majority of the population stays in and around Prieska town (wards 1,2 and 3):

- Ward 1 covers the northern portions of Prieska town (e'Thembeni and Parts of West-End).
- Ward 2 covers the southern section of Prieska town (Bonteheuwel and Parts of West-End).

- Ward 3 includes the rural areas to the east and northeast of Prieska town (including Niekershoop approximately 40km northeast of Prieska town).
- Ward 4 to the south of the town includes Copperton some 50km southwest from Prieska town and Marydale some 80km northwest from Prieska town.
- Ward 5 includes the immediate areas surrounding Prieska town including the industrial area to the south of the town (Ext 15, Smarty Town, Krygkor, the Bult and Town areas in Prieska).

	Tubic 0. Topula	tion Size and Dens	<i>mty,</i> 2010		
Area	Population	Population density (persons/km ²)	% Coloured population	% Females	Working population (18-64 years)
Siyathemba Local Municipality	23 075	1,6	74%	48,8%	62%
Ward 1 (Prieska town)	21,7%	2 991,2	-	-	-
Ward 2 (Prieska town)	30,1%	6 695,4	-	-	-
Ward 3 (Niekerkshoop)	14,1%	1,1	-	-	-
Ward 4 (Copperton & Marydale)	15,1%	0,4	-	-	-
Ward 5 (surrounding Prieska					
town)	19,1%	4,4	-	-	-
Pixley ka Seme	126 333	1,9	63%	50,1%	59%
Northern Cape	1 193 780	3,2	43%	50,0%	58%
South Africa	55 653 654	45,3	9%	51,0%	57%

Tahlo	6.	Ponul	lation	Sizo	and	Density	2016
rubie	ο.	Popul	ation	SIZE	unu	Density,	2010

Source: Based on Stats SA, 2016 (Community Survey) and Stats SA, 2011 (Census)

The number of households in SLM increased from in 2011 to 6 616 households in 2016 with an annual growth rate of 2,4%, much higher than the population growth of 1,1% per annum during the same period. This suggests the splitting off of households to form smaller family units. This, in turn, places pressure on local municipalities to provide basic households service in their areas.

Area	Number of households	Average household sizes	% Female headed Households			
Siyathemba Local Municipality	6 616	3,5	35.6%			
Pixley ka Seme	50 732	2,5	36.8%			
Northern Cape	353 710	3,4	38.9%			
South Africa	16 923 309	3,3	41.3%			

Table 7: Households Characteristics, 2016

Source: Based on Stats SA, 2016 (Community Survey) and Stats SA, 2011 (Census)

4.5.1.1 Land-use patterns

The land use patterns in the area are indicated in Figure 15 below.



Figure 16: Distribution of Municipal Land, Source: Municipal Demarcation Board, 2018

The table shows the relative high contribution of natural /grazing areas as percentage of municipal land compared to the national average. Cultivated land (producing oilseed, grains, grapes) is mainly prevalent along the irrigated lands along the banks of the Orange River that runs through the municipal area. In terms of percentage degraded land, figure 16 could be misleading, vast hectares of land in the Siyathemba Local Municipal area are inundated with invader species due to overgrazing practices.

The withdrawal of degraded agricultural land for the emergence of solar and windfarms would encourage support for a future with large pockets of sheltered land where natural non-human animal populations and more balanced plant populations could recover.

4.5.1.2 Institutional profile

Basic household services delivery and infrastructure

Table 8 below shows that households in the Northern Cape, Pixley ka Seme and SLM fared slightly better than national households in terms of basic service delivery. In SLM, 9% of households live in informal houses or shacks compared to 13% of provincial and national households; 59% did not have access to piped water inside their houses – compared to 70% nationally and 19% of households did not have access to a flush toilet in house compared to 26% nationally. The municipality also fared better than the provincial and national averages in terms of waste collection and access to electricity (Community Survey 2016).

Tuble 8. Dusie Household Services, 2011 (Wards) and 2010						
		% of households				
Area	living in informal houses/shacks	With no access to piped water in house	Without flush toilet	Without regular refuse removal	Without access to electricity	
Siyathemba Local Municipality	8.5%	59%	19%	12%	5%	
Pixley ka Seme	9.9%	54%	17%	22%	6%	
Northern Cape	12.8%	55%	20%	35%	7%	
South Africa	13,0%	70%	26%	43%	7%	

Table 8: Basic Household Services, 2011 (wards) and 2016

Source: Stats SA, 2016 (Community Survey) and Stats SA, 2011 (Census)

Water supply infrastructure: SLM is considered to have abundant water supply from the Orange River, with the Gariep and the Vanderkloof Dams on the upstream side of the river. Siyathemba Municipality is the Water Services Authority (WSA) and Water Services Provider (WSP) for Prieska, Niekerkshoop and Marydale. The Municipality is also supplying bulk water to Copperton, but Alkantpan (Armscore) is responsible for the delivery of water, sanitation, and electricity services. No services are currently being rendered on farms. Prieska is abstracting water from the Orange River whilst Marydale and Niekerkshoop are dependent on groundwater (boreholes). Copperton receives its water from the Orange River via Prieska. There are no water treatment works facilities in Marydale and Niekerkshoop. It is envisaged that the bulk water supply to Marydale and Niekerkshoop could become a problem within the next 15 to 18 years. The municipality are furthermore experiencing challenges in terms of maintenance of infrastructure on an ad-hoc basis as well as water quality management (SLM, 2019).

Waste Treatment/Sanitation infrastructure: Services are rendered in Prieska, Niekerkshoop and Marydale. No services are rendered to Copperton, since Alkantpan (Amscor) is responsible for the delivery of water, sanitation, and electricity services. No services are currently being rendered on farms. The Wastewater Treatment (WWT) works in the Niekershoop and Marydale mainly consists of oxidation ponds. WWT in Prieska consists of an internal sanitation system with waterborne sanitation network and onsite sanitation facilities. Furthermore, there are some septic tanks in areas not serviced with the sewer network. In 2019, the following challenges were highlighted related to wastewater treatment facilities in the area, namely the lack of a wastewater treatment works in Marydale, high service delivery backlogs, collapsing VIP toilets, and lack of maintenance on infrastructure (SLM, 2019). In 2021 the municipality spent some R34m to upgrade WWT works in the municipal area (Magoum, 2021).

Waste management infrastructure: There are currently no formal Municipal driven waste minimisation activities taking place in the Siyathemba Municipality. The landfill site in Prieska is authorised and has enough airspace for the next 20 years. The Niekerkshoop and Marydale disposal sites are however not authorized. Waste disposal sites are not well managed due to financial, personnel constraints as well as an ageing vehicle fleet. Wind-blown litter is a serious problem at the site. The Municipality is also experiencing dumping of waste in areas not demarcated for waste disposal (SLM, 2019).

Electricity infrastructure: Two areas in Prieska still need to be upgraded because the electricity network is old and power failures occur. The biggest part of Prieska, as well as the whole of Marydale and Niekerkshoop, is being supplied by ESKOM directly to customers. The municipality needs to update is Energy Master plan for the Municipality that was last developed during 1998 (SLM, 2019).

Health status and facilities

The population with access to medical aid funds are slightly higher in SLM (14%) than nationally (13%) albeit still at a very low level (Municipal Demarcation Board, 2018)

In 2010, the HIV/AIDS prevalence rate of the Siyathemba population was 6.0% compared to the district rate of 6.5%. These rates compared well to the Northern Cape (7.6%) and South African (12.6%) averages in the same year (SLM, 2019). Prieska town is also known for its legal battles of workers who demanded compensation for asbestosis after working in the Koegas mine. Many residents allegedly suffered from asbestosis and other asbestos-related disease through direct exposure through working at the mine or secondarily from asbestos-containing materials in the town (Blignaut et.al, 2009).

There are five public health care facilities in SLM including PHC clinics in Niekerkshoop (one), Prieska (two), Marydale (one) as well as the Bill Prickard district hospital in Prieska. All facilities are serviced with water and sanitation services. The support services to these health facilities such as roads, electricity, water and sanitation are also sufficient. The municipality recorded a ratio of 17 clinics per 100 000 persons in 2016 compared to the national ratio of 12. In the same vein, the ratio of hospitals to population was also much higher in SLM (4) than nationally (Municipal Demarcation Board, 2018).

In terms of health shortages, SLM reported challenges in the areas of ambulances (Niekerkshoop), and Medical Practitioner shortages (SLM, 2019)

Education status and facilities

The table below shows that a higher percentage of the labour force were unskilled with no schooling or some schooling (73%) than in the district (70%), province (66%) or nationally (56%). Only 23% of the SLM labour force were medium skilled (completed matric) compared to 36% nationally and only 4% could be considered highly skilled compared to 8% nationally.

Area	No schooling	Some schooling but less than matric	Completed Matric	Tertiary
Siyathemba Local Municipality	7,3%	65,9%	23,1%	3,7%
Pixley ka Seme	12,2%	58,2%	25,9%	3,8%
Northern Cape	8,1%	57,4%	29,9%	4,6%
South Africa	7,3%	48,8%	36,3%	7,7%

Table 9: Adult (19 years' plus) education levels 2016 (municipal and provincial level)

Source: Stats SA, 2016 (Community Survey) and Stats SA, 2011 (Census)

SLM had a ratio of 3,9 primary schools per 10 000 of the population compared to 3,8 nationally and only 1,7 secondary schools per 10 000 people compared to 3,9 nationally. On average there were about 565 pupils per educational institution compared to 463 nationally, suggesting some shortage in the number of primary and secondary educational institutions in the area. The number of learners per educator was also slightly higher in SLM at 25,3 compared to the national average of 24,9 leaners per educator (Municipal Demarcation Board, 2018). However according to the Integrated Development Plan (IDP), the Municipality does not experience much challenges with regards to the availability of schools. The support services such as roads, electricity, water and sanitation are also sufficient (SLM,2019). Of the 10 schools in the area, 6 are located in Prieska town; two are farm schools, one is located in Marydale and one in Niekershoop.

The DRU-A Professional Further Education and Training College (DRU-A-FET) is the only tertiary education institution in SLM. It is a private institution that was established in 2008 with a main campus in Kimberley and branches in Warrenton and Prieska. The college presents courses in education, local government and business. The only other tertiary education institution in the area was the Vaal University of Technology (VUT) Upington Campus that closed on June 2021. VUT was a sole public provider of higher education within a 400km including Upington and neighbouring towns such as Keimoes, Kakamas, Kenhardt, Olifantshoek, Groblershoop and Prieska, as well as other regions such as Namakwaland, Pixley ka Seme (De Aar-Prieska area), JTG (Kuruman area). The college provided courses in the agricultural field including a National Diploma in Agricultural Management Tourism Management, Internal Auditing, Marketing Management, Retail Business Management, Human Resources Management and Cost & Management Accounting (Vaal University of Technology, 2021).

The Siyathemba Municipality have appointed a service provider to pursue and implement its Siyathemba Integrated Education and Skills Development Initiative (SIESDi) (SLM, 2019).

4.5.1.3 Transport infrastructure

The 1,7km long airfield in Prieska is suitable for airplanes to land and take off of light aircrafts. There are 2 gravelled runways that are gravelled regularly. This airfield is registered with the Civil Aviation Authority and is inspected by them every year after which a license is issued to the Municipality.

The operating rail siding of Groveput on-route to the town of Prieska, provides rail access to the main Kimberley – De Aar railway line and from there the ports. (SLM, 2019).

SLM have a total road length of 584 km of which 63% are secondary gravel roads; 32% is the national tarred road (the R357) and 4% is secondary tarred roads. On a national level only 17% of South Africa roads are secondary gravel roads (Municipal Demarcation Board, 2018).

4.5.1.4 Telecommunications

There are 3 365 landlines per 100 000 people in SLM compared to 3 000 people nationally. Mobile phones are less prevalent with 19 792 mobile phones per 100 000 people compared to 22 518 nationally. Access to the internet is more limited in SLM than nationally with only 6,1% people having access to the internet in SLM compared to 7,4% nationally (Municipal Demarcation Board, 2018).

4.5.1.5 Community safety

There is a Police Station in each of the 3 towns (Prieska, Marydale and Niekershoop). There is no fire brigade in the municipal area. The Municipality does not have a Disaster Management Plan, but a Community Safety Plan is under development (SLM, 2019).

Table 8 below shows the relatively low per capita crime rate per capita in SLM compared to the provincial and national averages with 34 crimes reported for every 1,000 persons living in the municipality in 2019.

Table 10: Per capita crime rates (cases reported per 1000 persons)					
Area 2019					
Siyathemba Local Municipality	34				
Northern Cape 74					
South Africa 36					

Source: Crime Stats SA, 2020

The violent crime and property crime ratios for the municipality is both substantially below the national average with 1 231 violent crimes reported per 100 000 people in the municipal area compared to 1 946 nationally and 1 391 property related crimes reported in 2018 compared to 5 326 nationally.

SLM has also experienced a number of violent community protest actions that were prevalent across the country for the past two decades. These protests are mainly driven by municipal service delivery issues as well as high levels of local unemployment. Two recent protests that stand out in SLM include:

- In May 2021 there were public protests from community members related to a perceived lack of local procurement opportunities at the resumption of copper mining activities by the Australian mining company Orion in Copperton, just outside Prieska. These protests allegedly sparked intimidation and threats from armed community members which resulted in the mine obtaining an interdict against the protestors. The mining council and the mine considers the protest actions to be part of the former construction 'mafia' tactic that appears to have jumped the fence to mining, renewable energy and other sectors which are targeted by so-called local 'business forums' eager to negotiate their way into the procurement budgets by intimidating investors with community destabilisation. The source of this disturbance is the Preferential Procurement Policy Framework Act which allows 30% of all contract value above R30 million on state construction contracts to be allocated to certain designated groups, including black-owned small and medium-sized enterprises. The regulations do not apply to private sector construction contracts, but this has not deterred some local forums who sow confusion over the preferential procurement policy (Seccombe, 2021)
- In 2016 there was another violent community protests when residents marched to the municipal chambers protesting over the newly elected ANC mayor while blocking roads and setting tyres alight (ENCA, 2016).

4.5.1.6 Local development priorities

The national objectives over the medium term according to the 2020-2025 Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF) are (DEDAT, 2021)

• Building a capable development state

- Economic transformation and job creation
- Education skills and health
- Reliable and quality basic services
- Spatial integration and human settlements
- Social cohesion and safe communities
- Regional contribution towards better Africa and world

The development vision of the Northern Cape is to create a radically transformed economy in the Northern Cape and its mission to accelerate economic growth and development of the Northern Cape Province through diversification, empowerment, employment, business creation and sustainable development (DEDAT, 2021). To pursue these objectives, the Northern Cape's Department of Economic Development and Tourism (DEDAT) focuses its core activities on small business development; trade and sector development; business support, tourism development and research and innovation.

Core provincial development objectives that were identified for 2020 to 2025 include (DEDAT, 2021):

- Human capital development of youth
- Strengthening international trade
- Support diversification of economies, tourism and the knowledge economy, entertainment industry and energy-related enterprises
- Focus on green economy solutions

The larger development objectives of the Pixley ka seme District IDP include (Pixley ka Seme, 2020):

- Promote growth that is shared within the community
- Enhance integrated development planning in municipal operations
- Sound and financially stable local government that provide essential services, disaster management, health services and spatial development planning

The Siyathemba Local Municipality completed their last Local Economic Development Strategy (LED) in 2012 and have not yet developed an updated strategy. The basic tenets of the 2012 strategy were the provision of access to all basic services and the promotion of the equitable creation and distribution of wealth in the local area. To this end the LED strategy focussed on four anchor projects (SLM, 2012):

- **The Bos Development:** This entails the turn-around and rejuvenation of the "Die Bos" Holiday Resort in Prieska, not only as local, but also as a provincial growth strategy. The focus of this initiative includes the development of four municipal properties namely [1] Die Bos Holiday Resort situated on the bank of the Orange River, [2] Prieska Golf Course, [3] Die Koppie Nature Garden, and the [4] Municipal Settling Ponds.
- Solar Energy Project: SLM signed an MOU with the Department of Energy for a 5 000ha of Commonage Land in Prieska and Marydale to develop a Prieska Solar Park to initially generate 1 GW of solar energy into the Eskom Grid with the possible expansion, if the space permits, utilising variety of technologies.
- Aqua Commercial Fish Farming Project by the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI): The DTI and Pixley Ka Seme DM intend to put up Fish Dams for Fish Farming purposes on the Orange River. Aqua Eco have subsequently developed a feasibility study for the DTi on behalf of the Pixley Ka Seme DM and their findings suggest Prieska should be the site for the pilot project. This study included all the municipalities on the banks of the Orange River. The Siyathemba Municipality was identified as ideal for the pilot project provided.
- Square Kilometre Array (SKA) Strategic position outside the Demarcated Area: The technology types to be set up by the SKA project is highly sensitive and will not allow any major economic and signal developments within a certain core field hence the opportunity for secondary industries to be develop on the outskirts of their footprint actions, including the peripheral areas of Siyathemba, Emthanjeni and Khara Hais local municipal areas.

In the 2019/20 LED review in the Siyathemba IDP challenges related to the LED strategy includes the lack of an updated strategy since 2012 as well as the lack of an implementation plan for the LED strategy (SLM, 2020).

4.5.1.7 Local government capacity

The high vacancy rates of senior management at SLM as indicated in Table 11 are noticeable. The high municipal personnel per capita ratio couples with high vacancy rates also could suggest some over-staffing in terms of middle management and junior positions.

The financial management of the municipality furthermore experiences challenges as is evident from the qualified audit that the municipality received in the 2018/19 financial year. This audit result indicated that the Auditor-General did not have all of the underlying documentation needed to determine an opinion.

As indicated in the table below, financial challenges that the municipality face that are even more severe than on a national level include the following:

- The low debt collection rates
- The cash flow of the municipality is under pressure, and this is largely due to the low payment culture
- The under-spending of the capital budget suggests under-delivery of basic services.
- Over-spending on the operational budget
- No financial provision is made for the maintenance of municipal assets which, coupled with the current low public investment levels, could have dire implications for municipal service delivery in future.

Other local municipal governance challenges that are highlighted by the municipal IDP (SLM, 2019) include:

- The Municipality does not have an Integrated Human Resource Strategy in place to address all issues with regards to people already on the organogram of the Municipality as well as to retain employees and attract skilled people
- Although the Municipality does have a skills development plan in place, the improvement of skills within the Municipality remains a challenge
- Audit opinions tracked over time indicate that the Municipality is NOT improving its Audit
- New developments in the municipal area place pressure on the municipality in terms of technical capabilities spatial development planning; roads, works and storm water master planning; water and sanitation planning; the planning of electricity and energy infrastructure and Distribution; the development of technology hub including cyber security planning
- Contract management of IPPs including the lease regulations.

Governance issue	Siyathemba Local Municipality	South Africa
Municipal election results (2016):		
African National Congress	60%	60%
Democratic Alliance	31%	26%
Economic Freedom Fighters	3%	9%
Municipal Audit Result (2018/19)	Qualified	Unqualified with findings
Money Generated Locally % of Revenue (2018)	60%	-
Current Debtors Collection Rate (%) (70%-80%) (2018)	0,2%	64%
Fruitless And Wasteful Expenditure (Norm=0) (2018)	63%	13%
Spending Of Capital Budget (% Over Or –Below) (Norm 5% +/-) (2018)	-94%	-29%
Spending Operational Budget (% Over + Or –Below) (Norm 5% +/1) (2018)	+35% (2016)	+9%
Cash Coverage (Months of Operating Expenses that can be covered with cash available) (Ideally 3 Months) (2018)	2,9 months	1.6 months average

Table 11: Local Government Capacity

Spending on Maintenance and Repairs % of Capital Equipment	0,0%	0.1%
(2018)		(8% norm)
Municipal Staff per 100 000 population (2018)	745	405
% of vacancies at municipality (2018)	20%	14%
% Senior Management Vacancies	33%	
% Vacancy of Environmental Management Positions	0% (no positions)	
% Vacancy of Technical Positions	10%	
% Vacancy of Finance Manager Positions	4%	

Source: National Treasury, 2021 and Municipalities of South Africa, 2021

4.6 Economic Profile

4.6.1 Economic activities

The SLM economy provided 5,600 formal job opportunities in 2017 and produced a GVA of R970million (current prices). Table 12 shows the sector distribution of economic activities in SLM compared to the national economy. The economic structure of SLM shows the following characteristics:

- The agricultural sector plays a major role in the local economy made the second highest contribution after the services (government and personal) sector. Most of the region surrounding Prieska and Niekerkshoop are regarded as low potential arable land. This indicates that the area is not suitable for the cultivation of crops, but is appropriate for cattle, sheep and goat farming. Game farming also takes place in the area and aids in the development of tourism and hunting activities. The Orange River runs through the Municipality and provides ideal conditions for irrigation farming in Siyathemba, especially the cultivation of grains and vegetables.
- **Mining** historically played a large role in the local economy due to the copper-zinc mine at Copperton, which had been opened in 1972 but was shut down in 1991 by the Anglovaal Mining due to falling copper prices. In its heyday Copperton housed about 3,000 workers and their families; amenities included a school and recreation facilities, including a golf course. Today, most of the buildings have been demolished and only a few houses are used by Armscor, who operate a weapons' testing centre, Alkantpan Test Range. In 2017, the mining sector played a relatively small role in the local economy and was mainly dominated by mining of various semi-precious stones, such as tiger-eye. In 2020, the Australian junior miner conducted a feasibility study to revive the old Anglovaal copper mine in Copperton. Construction activities and operations are planned for 2021 with an anticipated life of mine of 20 years or more. The revived mine will create 900 additional jobs, potentially increasing the contribution of the local mining employment from 400 jobs in 2017 to 1,300 in the next few years.
- **The manufacturing sector** in SLM is relatively small and confined to agro-processing activities including a cotton mill; a bakery; the production of various meat products: manufacture of furniture, built-in cupboards; cattle fodder pellets; and a tiger's eye processing plant.
- While the utilities sector (energy and water) still played a minor role in 2017, its role could have increased substantially since then due to several new renewable energy projects (solar and wind) having been allocated through the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Programme (REIPPP) including Mulilo Sonnedix solar PV plant (since 2016) (75MW); Copperton wind farm (since 2017) (102 MW) and Garob wind farm (since 2018) (136 MW).

Tuble 12. Contribution of upperent economic sectors to the local economy, 2017/19						
	Siyathemba Local Municipality		Siyathemba Local M		Sout	h Africa
Sector	% employ	% GVA	% employ	% GVA		
Agriculture	22,6%	23,5%	6.4%	2.1%		
Mining	6,9%	4,1%	3.4%	8.3%		
Manufacturing	2,1%	3,5%	10.9%	13.2%		

Table 12: Contribution of different economic sectors to the local economy, 2017/19

	Siyathemba Local Municipality		Sout	h Africa
Sector	% employ	% GVA	% employ	% GVA
Utilities	0,4%	2,7%	0.8%	3.8%
Construction	5,7%	4,3%	6.0%	3.8%
Trade	12,6%	12,4%	17.5%	15.1%
Transport	1,3%	10,7%	4.6%	9.8%
Finance	8,9%	14,6%	18.3%	19.7%
Services	39,5%	24,1%	32.0%	24.1%
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: Based on Northern Cape Provincial Treasury, 2019 and Stats SA, 2019 (a) (Regional GDP)

The local economy grew at an average annual growth rate of 3% between 2007 and 2017 compared to a district growth rate of 1.4% and a national rate of 1,7% for the same period (Northern Cape Provincial Treasury, 2019).

The tourism sector does not play a large role in the local economy and only contributed to 6% of the total 545 344 bed-nights spent in the Pixley ka Seme District in 2017. The bed-nights spent in the area furthermore declined since 2007. Tourism spending in SLM could have been in the region of 4% of GVA compared to 6% nationally (NC Treasury, 2019). Tourism attractions in the area:

- Die Bos Nature Reserve
- British Fort
- Green Valley Nuts
- The Oranjezicht and the "Keikamspoort Hiking Trails
- Khoisan Rock Art
- Memorial Garden
- Prieska Museum
- Ria Huysamen Aloe Garden
- Schumann Rock Collection
- Wonderdraai Island

4.6.2 Labour force

As indicated in Table 13 below, the Northern Cape unemployment rate lower than the national average in 2016, i.e. close to 27,5% of the labour force (excluding discouraged work-seekers) not in employment. The unemployment rate in SLM was lower than the national and provincial averages in 2016. The unemployment rate stayed relatively constant in SLM since 2011 to 2016 at 24% of the labour force.

Labour Force Segment	Siyathemba LM	Northern Cape	South Africa
Formal employment	4 861	238 079	11 491 279
Informal employment	571	43 863	1 640 901
Unemployment (narrow)	1 728	106 723	5 594 055
Total labour force (LF)	7 160	388 665	18 726 235
Unemployment (narrow (%)	24,1%	27,5%	29,9%

Table 13: Composition of the labour force (2016)

Source: Based on Stats SA Census, 2011 and Stats SA Community Survey, 2016

Informal activities play a smaller role in the labour force (7%) of SLM compared to provincially (11%) or nationally (8% of the labour force). Most of the informal activities are in the trade, service and construction sectors (Northern Cape Provincial Treasury, 2019).

4.6.3 Income levels

As indicated in Table 14 below, there are three official income poverty rates in South Africa namely the food poverty line (FPL) only making provision for basic nutritional needs, the lower-bound poverty line (LPL) also making provision to some extent for other basic needs such as basic clothing, shelter and education. The upper-bound poverty line (UPL) makes full provision for all basic needs including food, clothing, shelter and basic education.

Poverty line	Rand per households per year, 2011	Rand per households per year, 2017
Food poverty line (FPL)	13,220	19,337
Lower-bound poverty line (LPL)	19,771	27,92
Upper-bound poverty line (UPL)	30,742	42,292

 Table 14: National Poverty Lines, South Africa, 2011 and 2017 (nominal terms)

Source: Stats SA, 2019 (b) (National Poverty Lines)

Table 15 below shows that the percentage of households that earned below the lower bound poverty line (LBPL) in 2011 (roughly equating R20 000 per year) were slightly lower in SLM than in provincially and nationally - also in line with the unemployment trends discussed above. The larger parts of households in SLM earn less than R75 000 per year while much smaller percentages than nationally earn an income of more than R300 000 per year.

% Of households earning per annum:	Siyathemba LM	Northern Cape	South Africa
less than R20k per year	39,6%	41,6%	44,5%
R20k - R40k	25,9%	21,3%	19,0%
R40k - R75k	16,4%	14,7%	13,0%
R75k - R150k	8,8%	10,2%	9,2%
R150k - R300k	5,6%	7,1%	7,1%
R300k - R600k	2,8%	3,6%	4,6%
R600k - R1.2M	0,5%	1,0%	1,8%
more than R1.2m	0,4%	0,5%	0,8%
Total	100,0%	100,0%	100,0%

Table 15: Percentage of households per income category, 2011

Source: Stats SA, 2011

The percentage of households in SLM that fall below the UPL is even much higher, estimated to be close to close to 60% in 2011 albeit declining to around 45% in 2017 ((Northern Cape Provincial Treasury, 2019).

4.6.4 ECONOMIC DIVERSITY

The economic stability of an economy is influenced by the diversity of production activities as well as the diversity of demand for the products produced by these markets.

The table below shows the production diversity of the SLM with the aid of tress indices. A tress index is a single index that provides an indication of the level of concentration of economic activity in particular economic activities. A tress value closer to 100 shows that an economy is concentrated in a few economic sectors while an index closer to 0 shows that the economy is more evenly distributed across a large number of sectors.

The table shows the medium tress index value of the SLM economy compared to the national economy in 2017. This means that economic activities are currently fairly evenly spread among different economic sectors without one sector dominating the rest. With new investments in the mining and renewable energy sectors after 2017, the economic diversity of the local economy is poised to increase further over the next few years.

Table 16: Diversity Indicators, 2017		
Diversity indicators	Siyathemba LM	National
Output (Tress) 2017	43,6	40.8

Source: Northern Cape Provincial Treasury, 2019

4.6.5 NATURAL RESOURCE INTENSITY

The table shows the energy and water efficiency of the national economy. It shows that on average the national economy produces R16,517 worth of GVA for every MWh energy used (including petroleum, gas, electricity, and coal products). In comparison, the SLM only produces R 13,942 of GVA for every MWh energy used. The GMM economy is therefore less energy efficient than the national economy. The main reason for the lower energy efficiency of the SLM economy is the relatively large contribution of the energy inefficient agriculture sector to the SLM economy.

As indicated in the table below, the SLM is however more water efficient than the national economy despite the relative water inefficiency of the agriculture sector. This is due to the relatively large role played by the more water efficient services and trade sectors.

Area	Energy Efficiency	Water efficiency
	GVA (R)/MWh used	GVA (R) /cubic meter water
		used
Siyathemba Local Municipality	13,942	440
National economy	16,517	207

 Table 17: Resource Efficiency of the Govan Mbeki Municipality, 2017/2019

Source: Department of Energy (2019), Connigarth (2019), Stats SA (2018)

5. Scoping of issues associated with the proposed prieska power reserve wind turbine facility

The purpose of this chapter is to present a synthesis of the key issues and potential impacts that have been identified thus far as part of the Scoping Process. These issues and impacts have been identified via the environmental status quo of the receiving environment (environmental, social and heritage features present on site) (discussed in Section 4 of this Scoping Report), a review of environmental impacts from other similar renewable energy projects and input from specialists that form part of the project team. The Terms of Reference for the specialist studies that have been deemed necessary, based on the relevant issues and impacts discussed within this chapter, are incorporated into the Plan of Study for the EIA (discussed in chapter 6).

5.1 Potential Impacts

The potential impacts of the predominant phases of the proposed development (i.e. construction and operation) are identified, described in this chapter. The majority of the environmental impacts are expected to occur during the construction phase for a facility of this nature.

5.1.1 Biodiversity Impacts

The mechanical clearance associated with the proposed wind facility development, will only transform existing surface vegetation within the grid-, internal access/services road- substation and turbine base footprints. The following impacts are anticipated during the construction of access roads, wind turbine base and associated infrastructure:

- Habitat destruction due to the removal and damage of vegetation through soil stripping;
- Vegetation may be impacted through removal and site disturbances due to the construction activities, leading to shifts in vegetation community and habitat unit structures;
- The movement of heavy machinery will result in soil compaction that will modify habitats, destroy vegetation and inhibit re-vegetation;
- Cabling at a sub-surface level;
- Establishment of electrical combiners;
- Establishment of wind turbines;
- Fencing of the site;
- Establishment of towers for powerlines/transmission lines, and
- Other supportive infrastructure.

Positive impacts on the quality of land systems will also be considered during the EIR phase. Some invader species on the development site follow on overgrazing. Other natural occurring but indigenous plant species are signalling a practice of overgrazing, hence they have a disproportionate presence. Maps showing clear evidence of erosion resulting from overgrazing over 1,5 centuries. The development of a new land use such as the proposed wind generation facility will remove land from the abusive practices of extensive farming and in that way create shelters for land recovery are created by obsoleting extensive farming.

The construction phase is a relatively short term undertaking, although "intensive" in terms of the rapid physical changes that arise on site. The operational phase is more benign in nature, with limited staff and minor activity in and around the proposed wind generation facility. Given this, it is expected that the following impacts of an ecological nature may arise during the construction and operational phases.

Construction Impacts

Terrestrial impacts-

- Ousting of fauna through increased anthropogenic activities and general change in habitat;
- Increased electrical light pollution leading to changes in nocturnal behavioural patterns amongst fauna;
- Exclusion (or entrapment) of in particular, larger fauna on account of the fencing of the site;
- Changes in soils on account of excavation and import of material, leading to alteration of plant communities and fossorial species in and around these points; and
- Removal of protected species in terms of the Northern Cape Conservation Act.

Operation

Terrestrial impacts-

- Alteration of ecological processes on account of the exclusion of certain species inherent to the functional state of land within the wind generation facility i.e. larger fossorial species and predators will be excluded from the facility site by virtue of its fencing, generally leading to possible variations in populations of other species that remain within the site, with concomitant ecological change;
- Increased wind pressures behind turbine blades as a consequence of the rotating turbines, will lead to changes in small mammal relations and possible changes in community structures within the site; and
- The fencing of the site, possibly with electric fencing, is likely to impact upon faunal behaviour, leading to the exclusion of certain species and possible mortalities. Alternatively, such changes may also favour some specific individuals, particularly those that remain within the confines of the proposed wind generation facility, which is likely to lead to further localised alteration in habitat and ecological processes within the facility.

5.1.2 Soil/Land Impacts

As noted in the previous chapters, the proposed project is expected to cover an area of <50 ha of a total 1007,69 ha area extent. This area will be removed (to a certain extent) from the current land use potential of the farm if the wind generation facility project proceeds, although livestock grazing will continue outside the fenced wind facility.

Using the large amount of existing information for soils and agricultural potential in the area (several EIAs have been conducted in in close proximity and adjacent to the development footprint), the following have been identified as potential impacts on agricultural resources and productivity:

Construction & operation Impacts

- Loss of agricultural land use due to direct occupation by the infrastructural footprint of the development for the duration of the project. This will take affected portions of land out of agricultural production.
- Soil erosion by wind or water due to alteration of the land surface characteristics. Alteration of surface characteristics may be caused by construction related land surface disturbance, vegetation removal, and the establishment of hard standing areas, surfaces and roads. Erosion will cause loss and deterioration of soil resources and may occur during all phases of the project.
- Degradation of veld vegetation beyond the direct facility footprint due to constructional disturbance and potential trampling by vehicles.
- Loss of topsoil due to poor topsoil management (burial, erosion, etc.) during construction related soil profile disturbance (levelling, excavations, road surfacing etc.) and resultant decrease in that soil's.

All the above impacts are local in extent and confined to the site. The significance of potential agricultural impacts is influenced by the extremely limited agricultural capability of the site, with no cultivation on it. None of the above impacts are therefore likely to be of high significance. Mitigation measures can also be put in place to reduce the significance of many of these impacts.

5.1.3 Hydrological Impacts

During the construction phase, there are a number of possible sources of water pollution. The following impacts are considered:

Construction Impacts:

- Water may be illegally abstracted from water bodies for construction activities such as dust suppression;
- Servitude clearing would increase surface water runoff;
- Soil erosion from servitude clearing would increase sedimentation in drainage lines and water courses;
- Landscaping may have an indirect impact on the existing drainage lines and dry water courses by causing increased run off, erosion and limited seepage.
- Formation of new drainage lines may also take place due to obstructions to water flow.

Operation during routine maintenance, water may be impacted by the following:

- Servitude clearing would increase surface water runoff and sedimentation in local water bodies;
- Fuel leaks from maintenance vehicles or spills of materials such as oil during maintenance would result in a deterioration of water quality;
- Waste or maintenance material may be dumped in local water bodies.

5.1.4 Heritage and Archaeological Impacts

Significant impacts to heritage resources are likely to be limited to archaeological resources and may be easily avoided by the final layout. Surface archaeological sites tend to be very easy to record and sample and, as such, mitigation could be very easily affected should this be required. Based on desktop research as well as a field investigation undertaken by the specialist in the scoping phase, many instances of stone age archaeological material were found and recorded. However, the vast majority were of very low significance and do not merit further attention in terms of the siting of the proposed wind turbine facilities.

Construction and operational impacts:

- General cutting and filling; and
- Foundation excavations;
- Direct disturbance and/or destruction of archaeological material;
- Direct impacts to the landscape through introduction of industrial type facilities.

5.1.5 Visual Impacts

The activities that will be undertaken as part of the construction and operation phases of the proposed project that will result in potential visual impacts are discussed below. A preliminary analysis of potential visual impacts suggests that the main contributions to the significance of the visual impact for this project will focus on the proposed wind field and on-site substation during the operational phase of the plant.

Construction impacts:

- Change of the visual character of the site and this could affect the aesthetics and sense of place of the region;
- The visibility of ancillary infrastructure (i.e.. Turbines, power line, access road, workshop building) on people who live in close proximity to the site;
- A slight increase in traffic can be expected on rural roads, particularly large construction and freight vehicles;
- Light pollution due to operational, safety and security lighting of the facility at night.

Operation

- Potential landscape impact of introducing a large wind plant into a remote rural landscape;
- Potential visual intrusion of a wind turbines on the existing views of sensitive visual receptors;

- Potential visual intrusion of tall, relatively large structures on the existing views of sensitive visual receptors; and
- Potential impact of night lighting of the development on the relatively dark rural nightscape.

5.1.6 Regional Economy and Employment

There will be employment and business opportunities for both regional and local residents and businesses during the construction and operational phases. The strategy to be adopted when employing should be in line with and guided by the objectives and policies of Government. The contractor shall be encouraged to hire local residents and sub-contractors whenever possible.

Based on the status quo conditions of the study area and the nature of the proposed developments, the following social impacts are anticipated:

- Influx of jobseekers;
- Increased competition for urban-based employment;
- Increases in social deviance;
- Increases in incidence of HIV/AIDS infections;
- Decrease in the property value;
- Expectations regarding jobs;
- Local spending;
- 🞍 Local employment; and
- Job losses at the end of the project life-cycle.

The potential impacts described above are anticipated to mainly be of very low negative significance after mitigation, whilst some high positive impacts may be expected.

5.1.7 Avifauna Impacts

The activities that will be undertaken as part of the construction and operation phases of the proposed project that will result in potential impacts to avifauna species, and thus bird monitoring has been undertaken (pre-scoping) to understand these impacts up front.

Field work to date has made the following findings with respect to avifauna:

- Habitat preferences of bird species with distribution patterns that overlaps with the study area, identified various bird species that could possibly be located within the study area (these will be investigated during the EIR phase);
- Verreauxs Eagle breeding territory exists around the site, and Lanner Falcon transection exists on site.

Construction and operational impacts:

- Destruction of bird habitat;
- Disturbance of birds during construction;
- Fatality of birds at the facility (through collision with infrastructure and electrocution on electrical infrastructure);
- Nesting of birds on infrastructure;
- Altered water runoff on site, and

5.1.8 Traffic Generation Impacts

During all phases (construction, operation and decommissioning) of the project, traffic will be generated. The highest traffic volumes will be created during the construction phase. The activities that will generate traffic during

the construction phase include site preparation and the transportation of construction materials and associated infrastructure to the site, as well as the transportation of employees to and from the site on a daily basis.

5.1.9 Cumulative Impacts

The cumulative impacts will be assessed by identifying other wind turbine project proposals and other applicable projects, such as construction and upgrade of electricity generation, transmission or distribution facilities in the local area that have been approved (i.e. positive EA has been issued) or the EIA is currently underway.

Cumulative effects associated with these similar types of projects include inter alia:

- Traffic generation;
- Avifaunal collisions and mortalities;
- Habitat destruction and fragmentation;
- Increase in stormwater run-off and erosion;
- Loss of agricultural land;
- Job creation;
- Social upliftment; and
- Upgrade of infrastructure.

The projects that are being undertaken or are proposed to be undertaken within 30 km of the proposed project is detailed in Table 18.

The above listed possible impacts associated with wind power developments, will all be tested through the EIR phase and be informed by Specialist Investigations.

Project name	Distance from Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility Site	Applicant	DFFE Ref. No.	Phase
The Proposed Construction of A 75mw Photovoltaic Power Plant And Its Associated Infrastructure On A Portion Of The Remaining Extent Of Erf 1 Prieska Within The Siyathemba Local Municipality, Northern Cape Province	3.5	Kala-Hari Survey Solutions and Products cc	14/12/16/3/3/2/345	Approved
Proposed Bosjesmansberg wind turbine facility site near Copperton, Siyathemba Local Municipality, Northern Cape	28.9	Networx Renewables (Pty) Ltd	14/12/16/3/3/2/579	Approved
The proposed 2MW Mahoebe wind turbine facility and associated infrastructure on portion 19 of the farm De Hoek 32, NC	9.3	Mahoebe Eiendomme BPK	14/12/16/3/3/1/1475	Approved
Proposed 75MW IPMS Solar power plant in Prieska, NC	5.1	IPMS Consulting (Pty) Ltd	14/12/16/3/3/1/981	Approved
Proposed Bosjesmansberg wind turbine facility site near Copperton, Siyathemba Local Municipality, Northern Cape	28.9	Networx Renewables (Pty) Ltd	14/12/16/3/3/2/579/1	Approved
115 MW Camel Thorn Photovoltaic Wind turbine Facility on the Remaining Extent of Portion 2 of the Farm Karabee 50 east of Prieska within the Siyathemba Local Municipality	6	Camel Thorn Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd	14/12/16/3/3/2/937	Approved

Table 18: EIA Processes currently underway within 30 km of the proposed project

6. Approach to Public Participation

This chapter presents the steps in the Scoping and Public Participation component of the EIA (in accordance with Regulations 41, 42, 43 and 44 of GN R326), and the schedule for the EIA Process.

6.1 Principles for Scoping and Public Participation

The PPP (Public Participation Process) for this Scoping and EIA Process is being driven by a stakeholder engagement process that will include inputs from authorities, I&APs, technical specialists, and the project proponent. Guideline 4 on "Public Participation in support of the EIA Regulations" published by the then called DEAT in May 2006, states that public participation is one of the most important aspects of the EA Process. This stems from the requirement that people have a right to be informed about potential decisions that may affect them and that they must be afforded an opportunity to influence those decisions. Effective public participation also improves the ability of the Competent Authority (CA) to make informed decisions and results in improved decision-making as the view of all parties are considered.

An effective PPP could therefore result in stakeholders working together to produce better decisions than if they had worked independently.

- "Provides an opportunity for I&APs, EAPs and the CA to obtain clear, accurate and understandable information about the environmental impacts of the proposed activity or implications of a decision:
 - Provides I&APs with an opportunity to voice their support, concern and question regarding the project, application or decision;
 - Enables an applicant to incorporate the needs, preferences and values of affected parties into its application;
 - Provides opportunities for clearing up misunderstanding about technical issues, resolving disputes and reconciling conflicting interests;
 - Is an important aspect of securing transparency and accountability in decision-making; and
 - Contributes toward maintaining a health, vibrant democracy."

To the above, one can add the following universally recognised principles for public participation:

- Inclusive consultation that enables all sectors of society to participate in the consultation and assessment processes;
- Provision of accurate and easily accessible information in a language that is clear and sufficiently nontechnical for I&APs to understand, and that is sufficient to enable meaningful participation;
- Active empowerment of grassroots people to understand concepts and information with a view to active and meaningful participation;
- Use of a variety of methods for information dissemination in order to improve accessibility, for example, by way of discussion documents, meetings, workshops, focus group discussions, and the printed and broadcast media;
- Affording I&APs sufficient time to study material, to exchange information, and to make contributions at various stages during the assessment process;
- Provision of opportunities for I&APs to provide their inputs via a range of methods, for example, via briefing sessions, public meetings, written submissions or direct contact with members of the EIA team.
- Public participation is a process and vehicle to provide sufficient and accessible information to I&APs in an
 objective manner to assist I&APs to identify issues of concern, to identify alternatives, to suggest opportunities
 to reduce potentially negative or enhance potentially positive impacts, and to verify that issues and/or inputs
 have been captured and addressed during the assessment process.

At the outset it is important to highlight two key aspects of public participation:

- There are practical and financial limitations to the involvement of all individuals within a PPP. Hence, public
 participation aims to generate issues that are representative of societal sectors, not each individual. Hence,
 the PPP will be designed to be inclusive of a broad range of sectors relevant to the proposed project.
- The PPP will aim to raise a diversity of perspectives and will not be designed to force consensus amongst I&APs. Indeed, diversity of opinion rather than consensus building is likely to enrich ultimate decision-making. Therefore, where possible, the PPP will aim to obtain an indication of trade-offs that all stakeholders (i.e. I&APs, technical specialists, the authorities and the development proponent) are willing to accept with regard to the ecological sustainability, social equity and economic growth associated with the project.

6.2 Objective of the Scoping Process

This Scoping Process is being planned and conducted in a manner that is intended to identify and provide sufficient information to enable the authorities to reach a decision regarding the scope of issues to be addressed in this EIA Process, and in particular to convey the range of specialist studies that will be included as part of the Environmental

Impact Reporting Phase of the EIA, as well as the approach to these specialist studies.

As highlighted in Chapter 1 of this Scoping Report, within this context, the objectives of this Scoping Process (as per the 2014 EIA Regulations) are to:

- Identify and inform a broad range of stakeholders about the proposed development;
- Confirm the process to be followed and opportunities for stakeholder engagement;
- Clarify the project scope to be covered;
- Identify and confirm the preferred activity and technology alternative;
- Identify and confirm the preferred site for the preferred activity;
- Clarify the alternatives being considered and ensure due consideration of alternative options regarding the proposed development, including the "No-go" option;
- Conduct an open, participatory and transparent approach and facilitate the inclusion of stakeholder issues in the decision-making process;
- Identify and document the key issues to be addressed in the impact assessment phase (through a process of broadbased consultation with stakeholders) and the approach to be followed in addressing these issues; and
- Confirm the level of assessment to be undertaken during the impact assessment
- 6.3 Tasks in the scoping phase

This section provides an overview of the tasks being undertaken in the Scoping Phase, with a particular emphasis on providing a clear record of the PPP followed.

PPP for the proposed project will entail that all public participation documents (such as newspaper advertisements, site notices, notification letters etc.) will serve to notify the public and organs of state of the availability of all reports and will provide I&APs with an opportunity to comment on the reports.

Chapter 6 of regulation 326 details the public participation process that must take place as part of an environmental process. The table below provides a quick reference to show how this environmental process has or intends to comply with these legislated requirements relating to public participation.

Table 19: Public participation requirements in terms of S39 of R326

Regulated Requirement	Description
39(1) If the proponent is not the owner or person in	Proof of landowner consent for the wind facility is
control of the land on which the activity is to be	attached in Appendix D.
undertaken, the proponent must, before applying for	
an environmental authorisation in respect of such	The Landowner/s have been automatically registered
activity, obtain the written consent of the landowner	as interested and affected party and given an
or person in control of the land to undertake such	opportunity to comment on this scoping report.
activity on that land.	
40(1) The public participation process to which the—	This draft Scoping report is made available to all I&APs
(b) scoping report submitted in terms of regulation 21	and identified stakeholders for 30 days.
and the environmental impact assessment report and	
EMPr submitted in terms of regulation 23; was	
subjected to must give all potential or registered	
interested and affected parties, including the	
competent authority, a period of at least 30 days to	
submit comments.	
(2) The person conducting a public participation	A Notice board was places two positions along the
process must take into account any relevant	R357 road.
guidelines applicable to public participation as	
contemplated in section 24J of the Act and must give	Photographic evidence of these notices is attached in
notice to all potential interested and affected parties	Appendix D.
of an application or proposed application which is	
subjected to public participation by—	
(a) fixing a notice board at a place conspicuous to and	
accessible by the public at the boundary, on the fence	
(i) the site where the activity to which the application	
or proposed application relates is or is to be	
undertaken: and	
(ii) any alternative site:	
(h) giving written notice in any of the manners	The landowners of the areas proposed for the wind
provided for in section 47D of the Act. to—	turbine placements welcomed the project to the
(i) the occupiers of the site and, if the proponent or	Prieska area, and confirmed its support. Evidence of
applicant is not the owner or person in control of the	this is attached to Appendix D.
site on which the activity is to be undertaken, the	Free Press
owner or person in control of the site where the	Owners of adjacent properties have been notified of
activity is or is to be undertaken and to any alternative	this environmental process. Such owners have been
site where the activity is to be undertaken;	requested to inform the occupiers of the land of this
(ii) owners, persons in control of, and occupiers of	environmental process. Please refer to Appendix D for
land adjacent to the site where the activity is or is to	copies of these notifications.
be undertaken and to any alternative site where the	
activity is to be undertaken;	The ward councillor has been notified of this
(iii) the municipal councillor of the ward in which the	environmental process. Please refer to Appendix D for
site and alternative site is situated and any	copies of these notifications.
organisation of ratepayers that represent the	
community in the area;	Please refer to Table 20 below showing the list of
(iv) the municipality which has jurisdiction in the area;	organs of state that were notified as part of this
(v) any organ of state having jurisdiction in respect of	environmental process. Please refer to Appendix D
any aspect of the activity; and	for copies of these notifications.
(vi) any other party as required by the competent	
authority;	

	DAERL will be given an opportunity to comment on
	this Draft Scoping Report and any other requirements
	highlighted by them will be complied with.
(c) placing an advertisement in—(i) one local	An advert calling was placed in the Gemsbok
newspaper; or	newspaper.
(ii) any official Gazette that is published specifically for	
the purpose of providing public notice of applications	There is currently no official Gazette that has been
or other submissions made in terms of these	published specifically for the purpose of providing
Regulations;	public notice of applications.
(e) using reasonable alternative methods, as agreed to	
by the competent authority, in those instances where	Notifications have included provision for alternative
a person is desirous of but unable to participate in the	engagement in the event of illiteracy, disability or any
process due to—	other disadvantage. In such instances, Green-Box
(i) illiteracy;	Consulting will engage with such individuals in such a
(ii) disability; or	manner as agreed on with the competent authority.
(iii) any other disadvantage.	
42 A proponent or applicant must ensure the opening	A register of I&AP has been opened for this
and maintenance of a register of interested and	application and is presented in table 19 below and
affected parties and submit such a register to the	Appendix D.
competent authority, which register must contain the	
names, contact details and addresses of—	
(a) all persons who, as a consequence of the public	
participation process conducted in respect of that	
application, have submitted written comments or	
attended meetings with the proponent, applicant or	
EAP;	
(b) all persons who have requested the proponent or	
applicant, in writing, for their names to be placed on	
the register; and(c) all organs of state which have	
jurisdiction in respect of the activity to which the	
application relates.	
44(1) The applicant must ensure that the comments	All comments of I&APs will be listed in a comments
of interested and affected parties are recorded in	and response trail report, with responses to these
reports and plans and that such written comments,	comments. This comments and response trail report
including responses to such comments and records of	will be included in the Final Scoping Report.
meetings, are attached to the reports and plans that	
are submitted to the competent authority in terms of	
these Regulations.	

6.4 Registration of key stakeholders

A number of key stakeholders were automatically registered and will be given an opportunity to comment on this Draft Scoping Report. Copies and proof of these notifications are included in Appendix D. A list of key stakeholders registered for this process included in the table below.

Table 20: Key Stakeholders automatically registered as part of the environmental process

Stakeholder Register
Neighbouring property owners
Siyathemba: Ward 6 Councillor
South African Heritage Resources Agency
Northern Cape Heritage Resources Authority
Provincial Department of Agriculture
Northern Cape Department of Environmental Affairs, Biodiversity Directorate
Siyathemba Municipality: Municipal Manager
South African National Roads Agency Limited
Department of Transport and Public Works
Department of Health
Department of Minerals and Energy
Eskom
Department of Mineral Resources
Birdlife Africa
Department of Water and Sanitation
The South African Square Kilometre Array
The South African Civil Aviation Authority
SENTECH

6.5 Notification of availability of the Draft Scoping Report

Automatically registered I&APs as well as those who responded to the advert and site notices will be notified of the availability of the Draft Scoping report for review and comment. A digital copy of the report will be placed on the Green-Box Consulting website and hard copies will also be available at the Prieska Library and at the Municipal Offices. In order to facilitate effective comments, all State Departments and Key stakeholders listed are provided with digital copies of the report through email.

6.6 Comments and response on the Draft Scoping Report

All comments received on this report will be considered, responded to, and included in the Final Scoping Report that will be submitted to the authorising authority for decision making.

6.7 Availability of the Draft Scoping Report

The Draft Scoping Report is made available for a 30-day comment period extending from **16 September 2022 – 17 October 2022.**

Copies of the report were available at the following locations:

- Green-Box Consulting Website: www.green-box.co.za
- Prieska local library, and Prieska municipal offices

All key stakeholders, State Departments and Organs of State were provided with a copy of the Scoping report via email.

7. **P**lan of Study for EIA

This section presents the Plan of Study for the EIA (PSEIA), which sets out the process to be followed in the EIA Phase (as required by the 2017 EIA Regulations). The PSEIA is based on the outcomes of the Scoping Phase (to date) and provides the Terms of Reference (TOR) for the specialist studies that have been identified, the alternatives that will be considered and assessed, as well as the PPP that will be undertaken during the EIA Phase.

This section presents the Plan of Study for the EIA (PSEIA), which sets out the process to be followed in the EIA Phase (as required by the 2017 EIA Regulations). The PSEIA is based on the outcomes of the Scoping Phase (to date) and provides the Terms of Reference (TOR) for the specialist studies that have been identified, the alternatives that will be considered and assessed, as well as the PPP that will be undertaken during the EIA Phase.

7.1 Purpose of EIA and Requirements of the 2017 EIA Regulations

The purpose of the EIA Phase is to:

- Address issues that have been identified through the Scoping Process;
- Assess alternatives to the proposed activity in a comparative manner;
- Assess all identified impacts and determine the significance of each impact; and
- Recommend actions to avoid/mitigate negative impacts and enhance benefits.

The EIA Phase consists of three parallel and overlapping processes:

- Central assessment process through which inputs are integrated and presented in an EIA Report that is submitted for approval to the DFFE and other commenting authorities;
- Undertaking of a PPP whereby findings of the EIA Phase are communicated and discussed with I&APs and responses are documented;
- Undertaking of specialist studies that provide additional information/assessments required to address the issues raised in the Scoping Phase.

Table 21 below shows the requirements for the PSEIA in accordance with Appendix 2 (1) (h) of the 2017 EIA Regulations.

Section of the EIA Regulations: Appendix 2 (1)(h)	Requirements for a PSEIA in the Scoping Report in terms of Appendix 2 of the 2017 NEMA EIA Regulations (GN R326)
i	 A plan of study for undertaking the EIA process to be undertaken, including - a description of the alternatives to be considered and assessed within the preferred site, including the option of not proceeding with the activity;
ii	 a description of the aspects to be assessed as part of the environmental impact assessment process;
iii	 aspects to be assessed by specialists;
iv	 a description of the proposed method of assessing the environmental aspects including aspects to be assessed by specialists;
v	 a description of the proposed method of assessing duration and significance;
vi	 an indication of the stages at which the competent authority will be consulted;
vii	 particulars of the public participation process that will be conducted during the environmental impact assessment process;

Table 21: Requirements for Plan of Study for EIA in accordance with the 2017 EIA Regulations

viii	 a description of the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the environmental impact assessment process; and
ix	 identify suitable measures to avoid, reverse, mitigate or manage identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

7.2 Overview of Approach to Preparing the EIA Report and EMPr

The results of the specialist studies and other relevant project information for the project will be summarised and integrated into the EIA Report. The EIA Report will be released for a 30-day I&AP and authority review period. All registered I&APs on the project database will be notified in writing of the release of the EIA Report for review. Comments raised, through written correspondence (emails, comments, forms) will be captured in a Comments and Responses Trail for inclusion in the EIA Reports that will be submitted to the authorising authority for decision-making in terms of Regulation 23 (1) (a) of the 2014 amended EIA Regulations. Comments raised will be responded to by the EIA team and/or the applicant. These responses will indicate how the issue has been dealt with in the EIA Process. Should the comment received fall beyond the scope of this EIA, clear reasoning will be provided. All comments received (and the associated responses from the EIA team) will be attached as an appendix to the EIA Report for submission to the authorising authority.

The EIA Report will include an EMPr, which will be prepared in compliance with the relevant regulations (i.e. Appendix 4 of the 2014 amended EIA Regulations). This EMPr will be based broadly on the environmental management philosophy presented in the ISO 14001 standard, which embodies an approach of continual improvement. Actions in the EMPr will be drawn primarily from the management actions in the specialist studies for the construction and operational phases of the project. If the project components are decommissioned or re-developed, this will need to be done in accordance with the relevant environmental standards and clean-up/remediation requirements applicable at the time.

7.3 Public Participation Process

The key steps in the PPP for the EIA Phase are described below. This approach will be confirmed with the provincial and national environmental authorities through their review of the PSEIA.

The PPP for the Scoping Process is described in Section 3 of this Scoping Report. All advertisements, notification letters and emails etc. will serve to notify the public and organs of state of the availability of all reports for the project and will provide I&APs with an opportunity to comment on the reports.

Step 1:

The first step in the process will entail the release of the EIA Reports for a 30-day I&AP and stakeholder review period. Relevant organs of state and I&APs will be informed of the review process in the following manner:

• A letter will be sent via registered mail and email to all registered I&APs and organs of state (where postal, physical and email addresses are available) on the database. The letter will include an Executive Summary of the EIA Reports and a Comment and Registration Form.

The EIA Reports will be made available and distributed through the following mechanisms to ensure access to information on the project and to communicate the outcome of specialist studies:

- Copies of the reports will be placed at the Prieska local library for I&APs to access for viewing;
- Key authorities will be provided with either a hard copy and/or CD of the EIA Reports;
- The EIA Reports will be uploaded to the project website (i.e. www.green-box.co.za) and;
- Telephonic consultations will be held with key I&AP and organs of state groups, as necessary.

<u>Step 2:</u>

A key component of the EIA Process is documenting and responding to the comments received from I&APs and the authorities. The following comments on the EIA Reports will be documented:

- Written and emailed comments (e.g. letters and completed comment and registration forms);
- Telephonic communication with Green-Box project team; and
- One-on-one meetings with key authorities and/or I&APs (if required).

The comments received during the 30-day review of the EIA Reports will be compiled into a Comments and Responses Trail for inclusion in an appendix to the EIA Reports that will be submitted to the authorising authority in terms of Regulation 23 (1) (a) for decision-making. The Comments and Responses Trail will indicate the nature of the comment, as well as when and who raised the comment. The comments received will be considered by the EIA team and appropriate responses provided by the relevant member of the team and/or specialist. The response provided will indicate how the comment received has been considered in the EIA Reports for submission to the authorising authority and in the project design or EMPRs.

<u>Step 3:</u>

Following the 30-day commenting period of the EIA Reports and incorporation of the comments received into the reports, the EIA Reports (i.e. hard copies and electronic copies) will be submitted to the authoring authority for decision-making in line with Regulation 23 (1) of the 2014 amended EIA Regulations. In line with best practice, I&APs on the project database will be notified via email (where email addresses are available) of the submission of the EIA Reports to the authoring authority for decision-making.

The EIA Reports that are submitted for decision-making will also include proof of the PPP that was undertaken to inform organs of state and I&APs of the availability of the EIA Reports for the 30 day review (during Step 1, as explained above). To ensure ongoing access to information, copies of the EIA Reports that are submitted for decision-making and the Comments and Response Trail (detailing comments received during the EIA Phase and responses thereto) will be placed on the project website www.green-box.co.za).

The authoring authority will have 107 days (from receipt of the EIA Reports) to either grant or refuse EA (in line with Regulation 24 (1) of the 2014 amended EIA Regulations).

Step 4:

Subsequent to the decision-making phase, if an EA is granted by the authorising authority for the proposed projects, all registered I&APs and stakeholders on the project database will receive notification of the issuing of the EA and the appeal period. The 2017 EIA Regulations (i.e. Regulation 4 (1)) states that after the Competent Authority has a reached a decision, it must inform the Applicant of the decision, in writing, within 5 days of such decision. Regulation 4 (2) if the 2017 EIA Regulations stipulates that I&APs need to be informed of the EA and associated appeal period within 14 days of the date of the decision. All registered I&APs will be informed of the outcome of the EA and the appeal procedure and its respective timelines.

The following process will be followed for the distribution of the EA (should such authorisation be granted by the authoring authority) and notification of the appeal period:

- A letter will be sent via registered mail and email to all registered I&APs and organs of state (where postal, physical and email addresses are available) on the database. The letter will include information on the appeal period, as well as details regarding where to obtain a copy of the EA;
- A copy of the EA will be uploaded to the project website (www.green-box.co.za) and;
- All I&APs on the project database will be notified of the outcome of the appeal period in writing.

7.3.1 Authority Consultation during the EIA Phase

Authority consultation is integrated into the PPP, with additional one-on-one meetings held with the lead authorities, where necessary. It is proposed that the Competent Authority as well as other lead authorities will be consulted at various stages during the EIA Process. At this stage, the following authorities have been identified for the purpose of this EIA Process (additional authorities might be added to this list as the EIA Process):

- Department of Agriculture, Environmental Affairs, Rural Development and Land Reform, Northern Cape Province;
- DWS of the Northern Cape Province;
- Department of Energy of the Northern Cape Province;
- Department of Mineral Resources of the Northern Cape Province;
- Eskom Holdings SOC Ltd;
- Department of Social Development;
- National Energy Regulator of South Africa;
- DAFF of the Northern Cape Province;
- Department of Public Works, Roads and Transport of the Northern Cape Province;
- Department of Labour;
- 👙 SKA;
- 🞍 SAHRA;
- 🐓 🛛 Ngwao Boswa Kapa Bokoni (Heritage Northern Cape);
- South African Civilian Aviation Authority;
- South African National Road Agency Limited;
- Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality;
- Siyathemba Local Municipality.

The authority consultation process for the EIA Phase is outlined in Table 22 below.

Table 22: Authority communication schedule

Stage in EIA Phase	Form of Consultation
During EIA Process	Presentation to authorities, and site visit if required
During preparation of the EIA Report	Communication with competent authority on the outcome of Specialist Studies
On submission of the EIA Report for decision-making	Meetings with dedicated departments, if requested by the Authoring authority, with jurisdiction over particular aspects of the project (e.g. Local Authority) and potentially including relevant specialists.

7.4 Approach to Impact Assessment

7.4.1 Assessment methodology

Assessment Criteria for Environmental Impacts

Cumulative Effects

It is important to assess the natural environment using a systems approach that will consider the cumulative impact of various actions. Cumulative impact refers to the impact on the environment, which results from the incremental impact of the actions when added to other past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions regardless of what agencies or persons undertake such actions. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant actions or activities taking place over a period of time. Cumulative effects can take place so frequently in time that the effects cannot be assimilated by the environment. An assessment of the impact that the proposed development may have on the environment includes evaluating the impact according to a series of assessment criteria. This will be undertaken by considering the effects that may result should the impact occur.

Impact Assessment

The assessment of impacts was based on specialist's expertise, Green-Box Consulting professional judgement, field observations and desk-top analysis. The significance of potential impacts that may result from the proposed project was determined in order to assist decision-makers, specifically the competent authority and other relevant authorities, but to some extent also the proponent.

The **significance** of an impact is defined as a combination of the **consequence** of the impact occurring and the **probability** that the impact will occur. The criteria used to determine the consequence of the impacts assessed for the proposed project are listed in Table below, along with the ratings and rating definitions applicable to each consequence criterion.

Rating	Definition of Rating Sco				
A. Extent- the area over which the impact will be experienced					
Local	Confined to project area or part thereof 1				
Regional	Defined by regional context of study area, i.e. the WCDM and/or 2				
	quaternary catchment				
(Inter)national	Nationally and/or beyond	3			
B. Intensity- the magnitude of the impact in relation to the sensitivity of the receiving					
environment, taking into account the degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of					
resources					
Low	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions and processes	1			
	are negligibly altered				
Medium	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions and processes	2			
	continue albeit in a modified way				
High	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions or processes	3			
	are severely altered				
C. Duration- the timeframe over which the impact will be experienced and its reversibility					
Short-term	Up to 2 years and reversible	1			
Medium-term	2 to 15 years and reversible	2			
Long-term	More than 15 years and irreversible	3			

Table 2	Q· Critoria	used to	dotormino	the	conceau	ionco o	fan	imnac
TUDIE ZS	s: criteria	useu lo	uelennine	une	consequ	ience o	i un	impuci

The combined score of these three criteria corresponds to a *consequence rating*, as set out in Table 24.

Table 24: Method used to determine the consequence rating

Combined score (A+B+C)	3-4	5	6	7	8-9
Consequence rating	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High

Once the consequence is derived, the probability of the impact occurring is considered, using the probability classifications presented in Table 25 below.

Table 25: Probability classification

Probability – the likelihood of the impact occurring				
Improbable	< 40% chance of occurring			
Possible	40% - 70% chance of occurring			
Probable	>70% - 90% chance of occurring			
Definite	>90% chance of occurring			
The overall **significance** of an impact is determined by considering the consequence rating and the probability classification using the rating system prescribed in Table 26 below.

	, 3,	5			
		Probability			
		Improbable	Possible	Probable	Definite
eduence	Very Low	INSIGNIFICANT	INSIGNIFICANT	VERY LOW	VERY LOW
	Low	VERY LOW	VERY LOW	LOW	LOW
	Medium	LOW	LOW	MEDIUM	MEDIUM
	High	MEDIUM	MEDIUM	HIGH	HIGH
ŝuo	Very High	HIGH	HIGH	VERY HIGH	VERY HIGH
Ö					

Table 26: Impact significance rating

Finally, the impact is also considered in terms of its status (positive or negative) and the confidence in the ascribed impact significance rating.

The prescribed system for considering impact status and confidence (in the assessment) is laid out in Table 27 below.

Table 27: Impact status and confidence classification

Status of Impact			
Indication whether the impact is adverse (negative) or	+ ve (positive – a 'benefit')		
beneficial (positive).	 ve (negative – a 'cost') 		
Confidence in the assessment			
The degree of confidence in predictions based on available Low			
information, Green-Box Consulting judgment and/or Medium			
specialist knowledge.	High		

The impact significance rating should be considered by authorities in their decision-making process based on the implications of ratings ascribed below:

- **INSIGNIFICANT**: the potential impact is negligible and will not have an influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **VERY LOW**: the potential impact is very small and should not have any meaningful influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **LOW**: the potential impact may not have any meaningful influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **MEDIUM**: the potential impact should influence the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **HIGH**: the potential impact will affect the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.
- **VERY HIGH**: The proposed activity should only be approved under special circumstances.

Practicable mitigation and optimization measures are recommended, and impacts are rated in the prescribed way both without and with the assumed effective implementation of the recommended mitigation (and/or optimization) measures. Mitigation and optimization measures are either:

- Essential: measures that must be implemented and are non-negotiable; or
- **Best Practice**: recommended to comply with best practice, with adoption dependent on the proponent's risk profile and commitment to adhere to best practice, and which must be shown to have been considered and sound reasons provided by the proponent if not implemented.

Impacts will then be collated into the EMPr and these will include the following:

- Quantifiable standards for measuring and monitoring mitigatory measures and enhancements will be set. This will include a programme for monitoring and reviewing the recommendations to ensure their ongoing effectiveness.
- Identifying negative impacts and prescribing mitigation measures to avoid or reduce negative impacts. Where no mitigatory measures are possible this will be stated.
- Positive impacts will be identified and augmentation measures will be identified to potentially enhance positive impacts where possible.

Other aspects to be taken into consideration in the assessment of impact significance are:

- Impacts will be evaluated for the construction and operation phases of the development. The assessment
 of impacts for the decommissioning phase will be brief, as there is limited understanding at this stage of
 what this might entail. The relevant rehabilitation guidelines and legal requirements applicable at the time
 will need to be applied;
- Impacts will be evaluated with and without mitigation in order to determine the effectiveness of mitigation measures on reducing the significance of a particular impact;
- The impact evaluation will, where possible, take into consideration the cumulative effects associated with this and other facilities/projects which are either developed or in the process of being developed in the local area; and
- The impact assessment will attempt to quantify the magnitude of potential impacts (direct and cumulative effects) and outline the rationale used. Where appropriate, national standards are to be used as a measure of the level of impact.

7.5 Specialist Studies

In addition to the Terms of Reference listed below, the specialists will be guided by:

 Specialists Studies, Integrated Environmental Management, Information Series 4, which can be downloaded from the Department's website: www.environment.gov.za.

The following specialist studies have been identified based on the issues identified to date, as well as potential impacts associated with the project. The TORs for each specialist study are discussed in detail below. The specialist studies and associated specialists are shown in Table 28 below. Additional specialist studies could possibly be commissioned as a result of issues raised during the Scoping Process. It is important to note that due to the large number of existing studies completed in the area, as well as the large amount of research and information that is readily available, certain specialist studies (i.e. agricultural potential, and traffic) have not been commissioned, however, issues and impacts relating to these fields of expertise will still be considered in the EIA phase.

SPECIALISTS			
Mr. Bikus Lampbracht	Eco Focus	Ecological Impact Assessment, including	
IVIT. RIKUS Lampbrecht		terrestrial and surface water)	
	Horitago	Heritage Impact Assessment (Archaeology,	
DI. LIOYU KOSSOUW	nentage	Palaeontology and Cultural Landscape)	
Mr. Chris van Rooyen	Van Rooyen Consulting	Avifauna Impact Assessment	
Mr. Wian Esterhuizen	Visual	Visual Impact Assessment	
Ms. An Kritzinger Socio-Economic		Socio-Economic Impact Assessment	

Table 28: Specialist commissioned for the Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility

Terms Of Reference

Detailed ToR is included under Appendix E.

The following specialists' studies will be carried out:

A. ECOLOGICAL

Key Issues

- Impacts to protected fauna and flora species.
- Impacts on the vegetation type;

Approach

- Undertake baseline survey and describe affected environment within the project footprint;
- Take into consideration the Northern Cape Biodiversity Plan;
- Assess the current ecological status and the conservation priority within the project footprint;
- Undertake sensitivity study to identify protected species, Red Data species and alien species;
- Compile a plant rescue and protection plan which allows for the maximum transplant of conservation of important species from areas to be transformed.
- Prepare maps that indicate critical biodiversity areas and ecological support areas; critical endangered and endangered vegetation areas; and
- Recommend the preferred alternatives.

B. WETLAND AND DRAINAGE LINE DELINIATION

Key Issues

- Impact on wetlands (water courses is defined as wetlands, DWS);
- Impact on drainage lines.

Approach

- Delineate all wetlands as per the guideline by DWAF 2005
- Provide suitable mitigation measures to protect watercourses during project life-cycle;
- Recommend monitoring programme and measures to protect hydrological features and other sensitive features from construction impacts including spillages;
- Prepare a map as per National Freshwater Priority Areas including buffer zones.

C. HERITAGE AND PALEONTOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Key Issues

- Potential occurrence of heritage resources, paleontological objects, graves and structures older than 60 years within project footprint.
- Impact on graves- community member suggested there might be graves within the site.

Approach

- Undertake a Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment in accordance with the South African Heritage Resources Act (No. 25 of 1999);
- Undertake baseline study indicating the location of heritage resources, the nature and degree of significance and the present physical condition;
- Prepare a heritage sensitivity map, based on the findings of the study;
- Identify heritage resources to be monitored.

D. AVI-FAUNA

Key Issues

Impact on avifauna.

Approach

- Provide a description of the study area pertaining to the wind facility sensitive avifauna;
- Identify concerns and potential impacts on avifauna;
- Highlight sensitive and possible no-go areas;
- Provide an evaluation of the envisaged impacts on sensitive avifauna; and
- Provide recommendations on the envisaged impacts on avifauna and preferred alternatives; and
- Prepare a map that indicate locations of birds and bats including roosting and foraging.

NB: It is important to note that the study will be conducted according to the best practice guidelines for "assessing and monitoring the impact of wind power generating facilities on birds in Southern Africa" compiled by **BirdLife** in **January 2017**. Compliance with these guidelines will be included in the Avifaunal Specialist Study that will be conducted in the EIA phase.

E. SOCIO-ECONOMICS

Key Issues

Impact on economy and GDP of the region.

Approach

- Study on social upliftment contribution;
- Study on Job creation;
- Positive change of land use;
- Renewable energy contribution.

F. VISUAL

Key Issues

Impact on natural features and social disturbance of the region.

Approach

- Refining the baseline (Scoping) description of the visual character of the site and zone of visual influence;
- Refining the list of identified visual impacts resulting from the proposed installations (with consideration of any public and/or relevant authorities' concerns);
 - Evaluating the visual impacts based on standard Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) rating criteria, namely:
 - Quality of landscape the aesthetic excellence and significance of the visual resources and scenery;
 - Visual absorption capacity the potential of the landscape to conceal the proposed development;
 - Visibility including:
 - the ZVI as defined in the scoping report;
 - viewshed analysis the geographic area from which the project may be visible (view catchment);
 - visibility from selected viewpoints;
 - Visual intrusion (or integrity) the level of congruence or integration with existing landscape; and
 - Viewer sensitivity the level of viewer sensitivity as influenced by the type and number of visual receptors.
- Assessing the significance of the visual impacts, through:
 - Severity, extent, duration and probability to determine consequence; and
 - Consequence considered with status (positive or negative impact) and confidence to determine significance.

- Developing mitigation measures to reduce visual impacts and enhance any positive visual benefits; and
- Responding to stakeholder's queries and concerns, as required.
- 7.6 Environmental Impact Statement

The statement will summarize key findings of the Environmental Impact Assessment and compare the positive and negative implications of the proposed activity.

7.7 Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)

A draft Environmental Management Programme will be compiled, that addresses the impacts and the remediation measures recommended thereby ensuring that the significance of the identified negative impacts are at a minimum. The EMPr will also include the following:

i. A plant and rescue and protection plan;

- ii. An alien invasive management plan;
- iii. A storm water management plan;
- iv. An erosion management plan.

8. **R**EFERENCES

Crime Stats SA. (2020). https://www.crimestatssa.com/

Climate-data.org. (2022). https://en.climate-data.org/

Clean Energy Ministerual and International Renewable Energy Agency. (2014). The socio-economic benefits of largescale solar and wind: an econValue report.

Department of Energy. (2019), Connigarth (2019), Stats SA (2018), Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (Act 43 of 1983).

Maia, J., Giodano, T., Kelder, N., Bardien, G., Bodibe, M., Du Plooy, P., Jafta, X., Jarvis, D., Kruger-Cloete, E., Kuhn, G., Lepelle, R., Makaulule, L., Mosoma, K., Neoh,S., Netshitomboni, N., Ngozo, T., and Swanepoel, J. (2011). *Green Jobs: An estimate of the direct employment potential of a greening South African economy.*

Mucina, L. and Rutherford, M.C. (2006). *The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19*. South African National Biodiversity Institute: Pretoria.

Municipal Demarcation Board. (2018). https://www.demarcation.org.za/

New Climate Institute, and Climate Analytics. (2019). *Climate Governance: Assessment of the government's ability and readiness to transform South Africa into a zero emissions society, CAT Climate Governance Series, South Africa September 2019*.

Northern Cape Provincial Treasury. (2019). http://www.ncpt.gov.za/

South African Government. National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998)

South African Government. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004)

South African Government. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004); Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2014

South African Government. National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004); National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection, Government Gazette No 34809, 9 December 2011

South African Government. National Forests Act (Act 84 of 1998)

South African Government. National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998)

South African heritage Resources Agency. (2021). *Palaentosensitivity map.* <u>https://sahris.sahra.org.za/map/palaeo</u>

Stats SA. (2019) (b). National Poverty Lines. http://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P03101/P031012019.pdf

Stats SA. (2011) Census. https://www.statssa.gov.za/?page_id=3839

Stats SA. (2016) Community Survey. https://www.statssa.gov.za/?page_id=6283

Topographic-map.com. (2022). <u>https://en-za.topographic-map.com/maps/77oq/Prieska/</u>

9. **A**PPENDIXES

Appendix A-Location Maps



Location Map: Prieska Power Reserve Wind Generation Facility



Aerial Image Map: Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility proposed placement areas (Google EarthPro, 2022)



Aerial Image Map: Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine Facility proposed placement areas and associated infrastructure (Google EarthPro, 2022)

à	
XI0	PROJECT: Prieska Power Reserve DESCRIPTION Wind Farm
	Lecenced Water Pipelme 12//35V Electrical Thermisolan Line Easting Eas
	Address: 7 Breizner Street Westdane Blannforden - 5301 Pione : +27(0) 79 B602 065 Bread : Info@prinsiapower.com COMME These dange These dang
No.	Created on: 2022-03-16 Drawing 1 provide 1 provide 1 Provide 1 Revelue 1 Provide 1 Provid 1 Provide 1 Provide 1 Provide 1 Prov

Appendix B - Details of EAP and Expertise

DETAILS OF PERSON PREPAIRING THE SCOPING REPORT

REPORT PREPARED BY:	Danie Krynauw and Charissa Worthmann
CONTACT DETAILS:	Email: danie@green-box.co.za Email: charissa@green-box.co.za
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING COMPANY:	Green Box Consulting P.O. Box 37738 Langenhovenpark Tel: 083 412 1705 / 0824352108
QUALIFICATIONS OF EAPs:	Danie Krynauw has a master's degree in Town and Regional Planning (UFS) and completing his dissertation to obtain a master's degree in Environmental Management (UFS). D. Krynauw has over 14 years' experience in the environmental management field. He is registered with EAPASA (2019/1348) and is a member of the International Association of Impact Assessments South Africa.
	Charissa Worthmann has a PG. Dip in Integrated Water Management <i>(cum laude)</i> (UFS) and a master's degree in Environmental Management <i>(cum laude)</i> (UFS) and is a member of the International Association of Impact Assessments South Africa and the Ground Water Division of GSSA.

CURRICULUM VITAE – DANIE KRYNAUW

- 1. Family name: Krynauw
- 2. First name: Daniël
- 3. Date of birth: 14/12/1971
- 4. Nationality: South African
- 5. Contacts: Cell: 082 435 2108
 - Email: <u>danie@green-box.co.za</u>

6. Education:

Institution	Degree(s) or Diploma(s) obtained	
University of the Free State	Master in Environmental Management – Dissertation pending	
2001 – 2002		
University of the Free State	Masters in Urban and Regional Planning	
1996 – 1998		
University of the Free State	BA Geography and Sociology	
1993 – 1995		

- 7. Membership of professional bodies:
 - EAPASA 2019/1348
 - International Association of Impact Assessment South Africa (IAIAsa)

8. Present position:

• Environmental Scientist / Director – Green-Box Consulting

9. Current Responsibilities:

- Liaising with clients in both the private and public sectors.
- Conduct Environmental Impact Assessments and other Environmental Technical Investigations.
- Apply and obtain waste licenses, water licenses, mining permits and environmental authorisations for clients.
- Use different GIS datasets in order to create new information or investigate patterns for projects.
- Conduct environmental compliance and other environmental audits.
- Provide technical-level support for environmental remediation and mitigation projects, including remediation system design and determination of regulatory applicability for incoming projects.
- Collaborate with other environmental scientists, planners, engineers, and other specialists, and experts in law and business etc. to address environmental problems for clients.
- Conduct Environmental training.

10. Years within the organization:

• 7 years

11. Other skills (e.g. computer literacy, etc.):

• All suits of Microsoft Office, Arc View, ReGIS, and Project Professional.

12. Professional experience:

Date	2011 – Current
Organisation	Green-Box Consulting (Environmental Consultants)
Position	Environmental Scientist (Owner and Director)

Date	2009 – 2016
Organisation	Terra Works Environmental Consultants
Position	Senior Environmental Scientist and COO

Date	2001 – 2009
Organisation	Department of Economic Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs,
	Free State
Position	Principal Environmental Officer
Description of	Review Environmental Impact Assessments
duties	Review Environmental Management Programmes
	Issuing Environmental Authorisations

CURRICULUM VITAE – CHARISSA WORTHMANN

- 1. Family name: Worthmann
- 2. First name: Charissa
- 3. Nationality: South African
- 4. Contacts: Cell: 082 838 5062

Email: <u>charissa@green-box.co.za</u>

6. Education:

Institution	Degree(s) or Diploma(s) obtained
University of the Free State	Post Graduate Diploma in Integrated Water Resource Management
2018	(cum laude)
Goethe Institut	German B1 Certification
2018	
University of the Free State	Master of Environmental Management [with Specialization in
2019-2020	Biodiversity and Conservation Science] (<i>cum laude</i>)
South African Certification	ISO 14001:2015 Implementation & Facilitation
and Auditing Services	
2021	

7. Membership of professional bodies:

- International Association of Impact Assessment South Africa (IAIAsa)
- Ground Water Division

8. Present position:

• Environmental Scientist / Junior Consultant– Green-Box Consulting

9. Current Responsibilities:

- Assist with the information to conduct Environmental Impact Assessments and other Environmental Technical Investigations.
- Apply and obtain waste licenses, water licenses, mining permits and environmental authorisations for clients.
- Use different GIS datasets in order to create new information or investigate patterns for projects.

10. Years within the organization:

- 2 years
- 11. Other skills (e.g. computer literacy, etc.):
 - All suits of Microsoft Office, QGIS, and Project Professional
 - Fluent in German with B1 level certification

Date	2020-Present
Organisation	Green-Box Consulting (Environmental Consultants)
Position	Environmental Scientist & Junior Consultant

Date	2021-2022

Organisation	Free State Branch of the Botanical Society of South Africa
Position	Committee Member

Date	2020-2021	
Organisation	University of the Free State	
Position	Graduate Research Assistant	
	Research assistance surrounding groundwater management and	
	unconventional oil and gas development	

Date	2016
Organisation	University of the Free State
Position	Research Assistant
Description of	General research assistance
duties	

13. Publications

- UFS Masters Thesis 2021
- Accepted article for publication in the Royal Society Open Science journal (September 2022)
- <u>https://climatebiz.com/author/charissa/</u>

Appendix C - Declaration of the EAP

Appendix D - Scoping Phase Public Participation

- 1. Two perimeter site notices placed on 09 September 2022;
- 2. Advert placed in the local newspaper the Gemsbok on 15 September 2022;
- 3. Hard copy of the draft scoping report placed at the Public Library, and one placed at the Siyathemba Local Municipal offices on 09 September 2022;
- 4. Digital copy of the draft scoping report emailed to identified Stakeholders; and
- 5. Placement of the Draft Scoping Report on www.green-box.co.za

${f N}$ otices, onsite and public advert



Onsite notice 1: Placed Along the N10, 29⁰ 44' 08.27"S, 22⁰ 47' 35.34"E



ON-SITE NOTICE NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATION Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine and Associated Infrastructure Project

In terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (as amended April 2017) published under the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998) (NEMA), as amended, notice is hereby given of Prieska Power Reserve Pty Ltd intention to obtain environmental authorisation for a proposed development of a wind turbine facility with associated infrastructure. Green-Box Consulting has been appointed as the Environmental Assessment Practitioner.

Location of the proposed project: The land area earmarked for the facility is in general 12 km south of the Prieska CBD. The co-ordinates norther-most turbine is at: 29^o 45.991'5; 22^o 45.290'E. The area cover sections of the following land portions, with the area set aside for turbines: Karabee 3/50 (289,44 ha); Jan-se-Plaas, Karabee 9/50 (36,03 ha); Stoffelshoek, Prieska's Poort

(12(RE)/71) (51,93 ha); Pienaar Boerderye, RE of Erf 1, Prieska (55,20 ha); Municipal Land /Townlands

Environmental Authorisation: The project triggers activities listed in Listing 1, 2 and 3(GN R 327, 325, and 324) of the 2014 EIA Regulations (as amended April 2017), published under NEMA and, therefore required environmental authorisation via the undertaking of a Scoping/EIA process. The Scoping/EIA will be inclusive of technical studies and public participation. The competent authority responsible for issuing environmental authorization is the Northern Cape Department of Agriculture, Environment, Land Reform and Rural Development. The application for environmental authorisation is for the following listed activities: Listing Notice 1 (GNR No. 327) Activity 11, 12, 19, 28, (GN R 325) 1, 12, (GN R 324) 4, 12, 14.

Please note that an application for a General Authorisation for the utilization of groundwater will also be included through an application to the Department of Water and Sanitation. Invitation to participate: Interested and Affected Parties are requested/invited to register with Green-Box Consulting.

Green-Box Consulting- P.O. Box 37738, Langenhovenpark 9330, Cell: 082 435 2108, e-mail: danle@green-box.co.za, Date of notice placement: 09 September 2022



Onsite notice 1: Placed Along the N10, 29º 44' 08.27"S, 22º 47' 35.34"E



Onsite notice 2: Placed at the entrance point (access road to the wind turbine site), road R357 29° 45' 57.69"S, 22° 41' 11.28"E



ON-SITE NOTICE NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATION Prieska Power Reserve Wind Turbine and Associated Infrastructure Project

In terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (as amended April 2017) published under the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998) (NEMA), as amended, notice is hereby given of **Prieska Power Reserve Pty Ltd** intention to obtain environmental authorisation for a proposed development of a wind turbine facility with associated infrastructure. Green-Box Consulting has been appointed as the Environmental Assessment Practitioner.

Location of the proposed project: The land area earmarked for the facility is in general 12 km south of the Prieska CBD. The co-ordinates norther-most turbine is at: 29⁶ 45.991'5; 22⁶ 45.290'E. The area cover sections of the following land portions, with the area set aside for turbines:

CoVE3 sections of the following land portions, with the area set aside for turbines: Karabee 3/50 (289,44 ha); Jan-se-Plaas, Karabee 9/50 (36,03 ha); Stoffelshoek, Prieska's Poort, (2/51) (19,53 ha); Prieska's Poort, Prieska's Poort (11/51) (347,46 ha); Prieska's Poort, Karabee 4(RE)/50 (84,38 ha); Wonderpan, Karabee 8(RE)/50 (123,72 ha); Wonderpan, T'Keikans Poort (12(RE)/71) (51,93 ha); Pienaar Boerderye, RE of Erf 1, Prieska (55,20 ha); Municipal Land /Townlands

Environmental Authorisation: The project triggers activities listed in Listing 1, 2 and 3(GN R 327, 325, and 324) of the 2014 EIA Regulations (as amended April 2017), published under NEMA and, therefore required environmental authorisation via the undertaking of a Scoping/EIA process. The Scoping/EIA will be inclusive of technical studies and public participation. The competent authority responsible for issuing environmental authorization is the Northern Cape Department of Agriculture, Environment, Land Reform and Rural Development. The application for environmental authorisation is for the following listed activities: Listing Notice 1 (GNR No. 327) Activity 11, 12, 19, 28, (GN R 325) 1, 12, (GN R 324) 4, 12, 14.

Please note that an application for a General Authorisation for the utilization of groundwater will also be included through an application to the Department of Water and Sanitation. Invitation to participate: Interested and Affected Parties are requested/invited to register with Green-Box Consulting.

en-Box Consulting- P.O. Box 37738, Langenhovenpark 9330, Cell: 082 435 2108, e-mail: ie@green-box.co.za, Date of notice placement: 09 September 2022



Onsite notice 2: Placed at the entrance point (access road to the wind turbine site), road R₃₅₇ 29⁰ 45' 57.69"S, 22⁰ 41' 11.28"E



Copy of the draft scoping report placed at the municipal offices



Copy of the draft scoping report placed at the Municipal Library

18 SEPTEMBER 2022

1

PIRST



Advert placed in the Gemsbok newspaper of 15 September 2022

	I I.
	.
NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS	
Proposed development of the Prieska Power Reserve Phase 3, Wind Turbines & Associated Infrastructure, Prieska, NC	:
In terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014 (as amended April 2017) published under the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998) (NEMA), as amended, notice is hereby given of Prieska Power Reserve (Pty) Ltd intention to obtain environmental authorisation for a proposed wind energy generation facility and its associated infrastructure, near Prieska.	
Location of the proposed project: The project area is located about 10 km south- east of Prieska. The project site comprises of portions of the following farm portions: • Karabee 3/50 (289,44 ha); Jan-se-Plaas	
 Karabee 9/50 (36,03 ha); Stoffelshoek Prieska's Poort (2/51) (19,53 ha);Prieska's Poort Prieska's Poort (11/51) (347,46 ha); Prieska's Poort Karabee 4(RE)/50 (84,38 ha); Wonderpan Karabee 8(RE)/50 (123,72 ha); Wonderpan T'Keikans Poort (12(RE)/71) (51,93 ha); Pienaar Boerderye RE of Erf 1, Prieska (55,20 ha); Municipal Land /Townlands 	
Environmental Authorisation: The project triggers activities listed in Listings1 (GN R 327) and Listing 2 (GN R 325) of the 2014 EIA Regulations (as amended April 2017), published under NEMA and, therefore requires environmental authorisation via the undertaking of a Scoping/EIA process. The Scoping/EIA assessment will be inclusive of technical studies and public participation. The competent authority responsible for issuing environmental authorization is the Northern Cape Department of Agriculture, Environment, Rural Development and Land Reform. The application for environmental authorisation is for the following listed activities: Listing Notice 1 (GNR No. 327) Activities no. 11(i), 12(ii)(c), 24(ii), 28(ii) and 27. Listing Notice 2 (GN R 325) Activity no. 1.	
Please note that comments will be sought from the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act 25 of 1999.	
Green-Box Consulting has been appointed as the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to undertake and manage the Scoping/EIA process for the proposed Prieska Power Reserve Phase 3 Project on behalf of the applicant. Interested and /or Affected Parties (I&APs) are hereby notified of the release of the Draft Scoping Report for the proposed project for a 30-day commenting period, starting from the date of this advert placement. The draft Scoping Report can be downloaded from the following website: <u>www.green-box.co.za</u>	
Should you be interested in registering as an I&AP and to provide comments on the Draft Scoping Report, you are kindly requested to send your name, contact details, with an indication of interest in the application, along with any comments, to the EAP at:	3
Danie Krynauw, Green-Box Consulting- P.O. Box 37738, Langenhovenpark 9330, Cell: 082 435 2108, or e-mail: <u>danie@green-box.co.za</u>	

Advert placed in the Gemsbok newspaper of 15 September 2022

Database of identified Stakeholders

First	Surname	Contacts	Company Organisation
Name			
Elsabe	Swart	elsabe.dtec@gmail.com	Provincial Department of
		053 807 7300	Agriculture, Environmental Affairs,
		082 585 2954	Rural Development and Land
			Reform, Directorate, Biodiversity
Gawie	Van Dyk	vandykg@dws.gov.za	Department of Water and Sanitation
		053-830 8800	
Jacoline	Mans	jacolinem@daff.gov.za	Provincial DAFF
		060 973 1660	
Mashudu	Marubini	mashudum@daff.gov.za	National Department of Agriculture,
		012-319 7547	Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF)
Elizabeth	Taylor	Elizabeth.taylor@nersa.org.za	National Energy Regulator of South
		info@nersa.org.za	Africa
		012-401 4033	
IA	Bulane	knogwili@ncpg.gov.za;	Department of Transport, Roads and
Natasha	Corns	drpw-info@ncpg.gov.za	Public Works
		ncorns@ncpg.gov.za	
		054-332 4473	
Colene	Runkel	runkelc@nra.co.za;	South African National Roads Agency
Rene	De Kock	dekockr@nra.co.za	Limited - Northern Cape (Western
		021-957 4600	Region)
Shireen	Mohammed	053-874 9100	Department of Social Development, NC
Ratha	Timothy	rtimothy@nbkb.org.za	Northern Cape Provincial Heritage
		ratha.timothy@gmail.com	Resources Authority
		053-831 2537;	
		079 036 9695	
Harry	Roberts	obstacles@caa.co.za;	South African Civilian Aviation
Koos	Pretorius	pretoriusk@caa.co.za;	Authority
		mail@caa.co.za	
		011-545 1000;	
		011-545 1232	
John	Geeringh	<u>csonline@eskom.co.za</u> ;	ESKOM
		johan.geeringh@eskom.co.za	
		011-516 7233	
Adrian	Tiplady	atiplady@ska.ac.za	Department of Science and
		011-442 2434	Technology
			SKA SA
Mamello	Ratikane	info@energy.gov.za	Department of Energy Northern
		053-836 4000	Саре
М	Manong	mmanong@nc.sahra.org.za;	South African Heritage Resources
Natasha	Higgitt	nhiggitt@sahra.org.za	Agency
		021-462 4502	

First	Surname	Contacts	Company Organisation
Name			
R.	Matsoso	rmatsoso@ncpg.gov.za	Department of Mineral Resources
Vincent	Muila	eugene.nkatlholang@dmr.gov.za	
Itumeleng	Thatelo	ithatelo@salga.org.za	SALGA, environmental manager
		053-833 3828	
Johan	Koegelenberg	koegelenbergj@sentech.co.za	SENTECH
IWJ	Stadhouer	mm@siyathemba.gov.za	Siyathemba Local Municipality
		053-492 3369	
Rodney	Pieterse	pixley@telkomsa.net	Pixley Ka Seme District Municipality
		053-631 0891	
Stakeholders (NGOs and Conservation Organisations)			
Simon	Gear	info@birdlife.org.za;	Birdlife South Africa
		conservation@birdlife.org.za	
		011-789 1122	
Praneel	Ruplal	pruplal@icasa.org.za	Independent Communications
		0832621057	Authority of South Africa (ICASA)
Landowner/Adjacent Landowners			
Petrus	Nel	Grashoek.prieska@gmail.com	Karabee 5/50
		0833838316	
Frans	Lotz	vankerk@mjvn.co.za	Prieska Poort 51
		0837919072	

Appendix E-Site photos



Photo 1: Image illustrating cliffs of the mountaintop plateaus



Photo 2: Images illustrating examples of the flat to slightly undulating grassy karroid shrubland landscape, associated with the three mountaintop plateaus



Photo 3: Image illustrating the existing farm tracks associated with the proposed main site assess/service road route



Photo 4: Image illustrating example of a smaller ephemeral water drainage line/preferential flow path



Photo 4: Image of the mountaintop plateaus; the increased soil surface rockiness and slope gradient as well as the increased presence of the provincially protected species Euphorbia avasmontana

Appendix F-Additional Information

1. Presidential Infrastructure Office support letter



THE PRESIDENCY REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X1000, Pretoria, 0001, Tel: 012 300 5200 / Private Bag X 1000, Cape Town, 8000, Tel: 021 464 2100

Ms Meta Mhlarhi Executive Director Mahlako A Phahla Investments 345 Rivonia Road Block A, 1st floor Rivonia Johannesburg 2191

10 August 2021

RE: LETTER OF SUPPORT FOR THE PRIESKA POWER RESERVE PROJECT

Infrastructure South Africa (ISA) was established by Cabinet on the 27th of May 2020 under the Executive Authority of the Minister of the Department of Public Works and Infrastructure. Infrastructure South Africa is responsible for developing a credible and robust project pipeline that stimulates aggregate demand, creates jobs, builds confidence in the economy and crowds in private sector funding for major public and private sector infrastructure projects.

South Africa's National Infrastructure Plan is purpose-driven and focused on immediate, medium- and long-term infrastructure implementation and investment across its lifecycle. It is the flywheel to economic growth and recovery that will lead to major job creation and address the essential developmental and economic needs of communities in both our rural and urban areas. ISA's focus sectors are the network industries being energy, water and sanitation, telecommunications and transport. Agriculture and Agro-processing, Human Settlements and Social Infrastructure projects were included as high impact sectors for job creation.

Promoting commercially viable Green Hydrogen projects are a particular focus area for ISA. In this regard, the Energy Technical Working Group has reviewed the Early Business Case submission for your Prieska Power Reserve Project. Separate correspondence has been issued highlighting the issues that need to be resolved in finalising the Early Business Care and preparing the Intermediate Business Case.

We look forward to continuing to develop this project with you and your team.

Kind regards,

Dr Kgosientsho Ramokgopa Head: Investment and Infrastructure Office, Presidency Date:16 August 2021

Presidential Infrastructure Office, Support Letter

END OF REPORT

