AQUATIC SPECIALIST IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: DU PLESSIS DAM PV1 GRID CONNECTION



Report prepared for:	Report prepared by:
The second secon	BLUE SCIENCE
LANDSCAPE	www.bluescience.co.za
Landscape Dynamics Environmental Consultants	Ms Toni Belcher and Mr Dana Grobler
PO Box 947; Groenkloof; Pretoria; 0027	PO Box 455, Somerset Mall, 7137
Tel : 082 566 4530 / 012 460 6043	Tel: (021) 851 0555
E-mail : <u>annelize@landscapedynamics.co.za</u> /	Email: toni@bluescience.co.za
agrobler@landscapedynamics.co.za	

May 2022

Contents

1. Int	roduction	7					
1.1	Scope, Purpose and Objectives of this Specialist Input to the Scoping Report	7					
1.2	Details of Specialist	7					
1.3	Terms of Reference	8					
2. Ap	proach and Methodology	9					
2.1	Information Sources	9					
2.2	Assumptions, Knowledge Gaps and Limitations	. 10					
3. De	scription of Project Aspects relevant to Aquatic Biodiversity	. 10					
4. Ba	seline Environmental Description	. 12					
4.1.	General Description	. 12					
4.1	.1 Geology and soils	. 12					
4.1	.2 Climate, Hydrology and Geohydrology	. 12					
4.1	.3 Vegetation	. 13					
4.1	.4 Aquatic Habitats and Biota	. 13					
4.1	.5 Aquatic Biodiversity Sensitivity and Conservation Importance	. 13					
4.1	.6 Aquatic Ecological Integrity	. 17					
4.2.	Identification of Environmental Sensitivities	. 18					
4.2	2.1 Sensitivities identified by the National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool	. 18					
4.2	2.2 Specialist Sensitivity Analysis and Verification	. 18					
4.2	2.3 Sensitivity Analysis Summary Statement	. 19					
5. Iss	ues, Risks and Impacts	. 20					
6. Im	pact Assessment	. 21					
6.1	Potential Impacts during the Construction Phase	. 21					
6.2	Potential Impacts during the Operational Phase	. 22					
6.3	Consideration of Alternatives	. 22					
7. Sc	oping Level Impact Assessment Summary	. 23					
8. Le	gislative and Authorisation Requirements	. 23					
9. Re	ferences	. 24					
Append	ix A - Specialist Expertise	. 25					
Append	Appendix B - Specialist Statement of Independence						
Append	Appendix C: Site Sensitivity Verification						
Append	Appendix D: Impact Assessment Methodology						

List of Figures

FIGURE 1. LOCALITY MAP FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT	7
FIGURE 2. PROPOSED PROJECT ELEMENTS UNDER CONSIDERATION IN THIS SPECIALIST ASSESSMENT, WITH THE UPPER GO	OGLE EARTH IMAGE
SHOWING THE GENERAL LAYOUT ARRANGEMENT AND THE LOWER IMAGE SHOWING THE DETAIL AT THE PROPOSED	SWITCHING
STATION	
FIGURE 3. FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEM PRIORITY AREAS WITHIN THE WIDER STUDY AREA (2011 CSIR NATIONAL FRESHW	ATER ECOSYSTEM
Priority Areas, obtained from SANBI Biodiversity GIS, May 2022)	
FIGURE 4. NFEPA WETLAND AND NATIONAL WETLAND MAP 5 MAPPING FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND SURROUN	DING AREA (C APE
Farm Mapper, May 2022)	
FIGURE 5. 2016 NORTHERN CAPE CRITICAL BIODIVERSITY AREAS MAP FOR THE STUDY AREA (OBTAINED FROM SANBI	BIODIVERSITY GIS
IN MAY 2022)	
FIGURE 6. VIEW OF THE LARGER TRIBUTARY IN THE SOUTH OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT, NEAR HYDRA SUB-STATION WITH	ITS MORE
SIGNIFICANT VEGETATION THAT IS DOMINATED BY JUNCUS KRAUSSII	
FIGURE 7. VIEW OF ONE OF THE SMALLER EPHEMERAL WATERCOURSES WITHIN THE PROPERTY THAT CONTAINS NO DISTI	NCT RIPARIAN OR
INSTREAM VEGETATION	
FIGURE 8. DFFE SCREENING TOOL MAP OF THE SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA, FOR THE MAPPED AQUATIC BIODIVERSIT	TY COMBINED
Sensitivity	
FIGURE 9. GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE SHOWING THE MAPPED AQUATIC FEATURES AND THEIR SENSITIVITIES. THE WHITE LINE	S INDICATE THE
RECOMMENDED BUFFER AREAS.	

List of Tables

TABLE 1. INFORMATION SOURCES FOR THE AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT	9
TABLE 2: KEY WATER RESOURCES INFORMATION FOR THE PROPOSED PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AREA	12
TABLE 3: IMPACT TABLE FOR GRID CONNECTION AND SWITCHING STATION – PV2 CONSTRUCTION PHASE	21
TABLE 4: IMPACT TABLE FOR GRID CONNECTION AND SWITCHING STATION – PV2 OPERATION PHASE	22
TABLE 5: OVERALL IMPACT SIGNIFICANCE (POST MITIGATION)	23

List of Abbreviations

BA	Basic Assessment	
СВА	Critical Biodiversity Area	
CSIR	Council for Scientific and Industrial Research	
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs	
DFFE	Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment	
DWA(F)	Department of Water Affairs (and Forestry)	
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
EI&ES	Ecological Importance and Ecological Sensitivity	
EMPr	Environmental Management Program	
ESA	Ecological Support Area	
FEPA	Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area	
GA	General Authorisation	
GG	Government Gazette	
GIS	Global Information System	
GN	Government Notice	
ha	hectare	
HI Habitat Integrity		
IUCN International Union for Conservation of Nature		
kW	kilowatt	
MMP Maintenance Management Plan		
MW megawatt		
ONA	A Other Natural Areas	
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act	
NFEPA	National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area	
NWA	National Water Act	
PA	Protected Area	
PES	Present Ecological Status	
REC	Recommended Ecological Condition	
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute	
SEA	Strategic Environmental Assessment	
SCC	Species of Conservation Concern	
WMA	Water Management Area	
WUL	Water Use License	
WULA	Water Use License Application	

Glossary

AquiferA geological formation that has structures or textures that hold water or permit appreciable water movement through them.CatchmentThe area from which any rainfall will drain into the watercourse or watercourses or part of a watercourse, through a surface flow to a common point or common pointsCritical Biodiversity AreasAreas that are required to meet biodiversity targets for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under strass as a result of changes in flow or water quality
appreciable water movement through them.CatchmentThe area from which any rainfall will drain into the watercourse or watercourses or part of a watercourse, through a surface flow to a common point or common pointsCritical Biodiversity AreasAreas that are required to meet biodiversity targets for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changer in flow or water quality
CatchmentThe area from which any rainfall will drain into the watercourse or watercourses or part of a watercourse, through a surface flow to a common point or common pointsCritical Biodiversity AreasAreas that are required to meet biodiversity targets for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changer in flow or water curvative.
or part of a watercourse, through a surface flow to a common point or common pointsCritical Biodiversity AreasAreas that are required to meet biodiversity targets for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changer in flow or water guality.
pointsCritical Biodiversity AreasAreas that are required to meet biodiversity targets for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changer in flow or water quality.
Critical Biodiversity AreasAreas that are required to meet biodiversity targets for species, ecosystems or ecological processes and infrastructure.Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality.
Areasecological processes and infrastructure.Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality.
Drainage featureA minor channel down which surface water naturally concentrates and flows that is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changer in flow or water quality.
is poorly defined and usually does not contain any distinctive riparian and aquatic vegetation or habitat.Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality.
vegetation or habitat. Ecological Importance and Sensitivity The rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality.
Ecological Importance and SensitivityThe rating of any given wetland or river reaches that provides an indication of the ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality.
and Sensitivity ecological importance of the aquatic system using criteria such as conservation needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality.
needy habitat or species, protected ecosystems or unique habitat observed. The sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality.
sensitivity is then derived by assessing the resilience the habitat exhibits under
stress as a result of changes in flow or water quality
suess as a result of changes in now of water quality.
Ecological Support Areas Areas that are not essential for meeting biodiversity targets, but that play an
important role in supporting the functioning of Protected Areas or Critical
Biodiversity Areas and are often vital for delivering ecosystem services.
Other Natural Areas Areas that have not been identified as a priority in the biodiversity spatial plans
but retain most of their natural character and perform a range of biodiversity and
ecological infrastructure functions. Although they have not been prioritised for
meeting biodiversity targets, they are still an important part of the natural
ecosystem.
Pans or Depression A basin-shaped area with a closed elevation contour that allows for the
wetlands accumulation of surface water. It may also receive sub-surface water. An outlet is
usually absent, and therefore this type is usually isolated from the stream channel
network.
Perennial / Non- Perennial rivers are those rivers that exhibit a continuous flow of water
perennial rivers throughout the year except during extreme drought conditions. Non-perennial
rivers are those rivers that have no flow for at least a part of the year.
I nese rivers are seasonal.
Present Ecological State The current ecological condition of a watercourse as measured against the
Distorted Areas
Protected Areas Areas that are formally protected by law and recognised in terms of the National
Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act. This includes gazetted private
Piparian babitat The physical structure and associated vegetation of the areas associated with a
watercourse which are commonly characterised by alluvial soils, and which are
injundated or flooded to an extent and with a frequency sufficient to support
vegetation of species with composition and physical structure distinct from those
of adjacent land areas
River FEPA Rivers currently in a good condition (A or B ecological category) that have been
identified to achieve biodiversity targets for river ecosystems and
threatened/near-threatened fish species. They should remain in a good condition
to contribute to the biodiversity goals of the country.
(a) a river or spring; (b) a natural channel in which water flows regularly or
intermittently; (c) a wetland. lake or dam into which, or from which, water flows:
Watercourse and (d) any collection of water which the Minister of DWS may, by notice in the
Gazette, declare to be a watercourse, and a reference to a watercourse includes.
where relevant, its bed and banks;

	An area established as a management unit in the national water resource strategy
Water management area	within which a catchment management agency will conduct the protection, use,
	development, conservation, management and control of water resources
	Land which is transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the
Watland	water table is usually at or near the surface, or the land is periodically covered
wettand	with shallow water, and which land in normal circumstances supports or would
	support vegetation typically adapted to life in saturated soil.
	Wetlands currently in a good condition (A or B ecological category) that have been
Wotland FEDA	identified to achieve biodiversity targets for river ecosystems and
Wettallu FEFA	threatened/near-threatened fish species. They should remain in a good condition
	to contribute to the biodiversity goals of the country.

AQUATIC BIODIVERSITY AND SPECIES SPECIALIST IMPACT ASSESSMENT

This report serves as Aquatic Biodiversity and Species Specialist Impact Assessment Report input into the required authorisations for the proposed Du Plessis Dam PV1 Grid Connection near De Aar, Northern Cape Province.

1. Introduction

1.1 Scope, Purpose and Objectives of this Specialist Input to the Scoping Report

Du Plessis Dam Solar PV1 Grid Connection (two route alternatives considered) entails the construction of an approximate 6km, 132kV power line that will connect the Du Plessis Dam Solar PV1 facility to the MTHS Central Substation. This report provides input in terms of the aquatic constraints within the project area and the associated aquatic ecosystem impacts for the proposed activities.



Figure 1. Locality map for the proposed project

1.2 Details of Specialist

This specialist assessment has been undertaken by Toni Belcher of BlueScience (Pty) Ltd. She is registered with the South African Council for Natural and Scientific Professions (SACNASP), with Registration Number 400040/10 in the fields of Ecological Science and Environmental Science. A curriculum vitae is included in Appendix A of this specialist assessment.

In addition, a signed specialist statement of independence is included in Appendix B of this specialist assessment.

1.3 Terms of Reference

The scope of works for this specialist impact assessment report is as follows:

- Conduct field surveys and compile specialist studies in adherence to:
 - the gazetted Environmental Assessment Protocols of the NEMA EIA Regulations (2014, as amended), where applicable (Protocol for the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements of Environmental Impacts on Aquatic Biodiversity (GG 43110 / GN 320, 20 March 2020)). This protocol replaces the requirements of Appendix 6 of the 2014 NEMA EIA Regulations (as amended); and
 - o any additional relevant legislation and guidelines that may be deemed necessary.
- The Specialist must undertake a site visit to identify the level of sensitivity assigned to the project areas and to verify and confirm this sensitivity and land use as per the national Screening Tool. Provide sensitivities in KMZ or similar GIS format.
- Based on the outcome of the site sensitivity verification, the Specialist must compile an Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment Report, as documented in the Assessment Protocols published on 20 March 2020, in Government Gazette 43110, GN 320, that includes:
 - Determine, describe and map the baseline environmental condition and sensitivity of the study areas. Specify setbacks or buffers and provide clear reasons for these recommendations. Also, map the extent of disturbance and transformation of the sites.
 - Provide input on the preferred infrastructure layout i.e. PV modules, on-site substations, etc. following the sensitivity analysis and layout identification.
 - The report must also describe the aquatic ecology features of the project areas, with a focus on features that are potentially impacted by the proposed projects. The description should include the major habitat forms within the study sites, giving due consideration to aquatic fauna and flora, and freshwater ecosystems, in particular natural wetlands.
 - Consider seasonal changes and long-term trends, such as due to climate change.
 - o Identify any species of conservation concern (SCC) or protected species on site.
 - The assessment is to be based on existing information, national and provincial databases, and professional experience and fieldwork conducted by the Specialist, as considered necessary and in accordance with relevant legislated requirements. The assessment must also consider the maps generated by the National Screening Tool.
 - Identify and assess the potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the proposed development on aquatic biodiversity and species. Impact significance must be rated both <u>without</u> and <u>with</u> mitigation and must cover the construction, operational and decommissioning phases of the project.
 - Identify and delineate wetlands that may occur on the sites, using the relevant protocols established.
 - Compile a Risk Matrix (Appendix A to GN R509 of 2016) and determine if a Water Use License (WUL) is required and if so, determine the requirements thereof.
 - Identify any additional protocols, legal and permit requirements that are relevant to this project and the implications thereof.
 - Provide recommendations with regards to potential monitoring programmes.
 - Determine mitigation and/or management measures, which could be implemented to as far as possible reduce the effect of negative impacts and enhance the effect of positive impacts. Also, identify best practice management actions, monitoring requirements, and rehabilitation guidelines for all identified impacts. This must be included in the EMPr.
- The Impact Assessment Reports must also be in adherence to any additional relevant legislation and guidelines that may be deemed necessary.

2. Approach and Methodology

Input into this report was informed by a combination of desktop assessments of existing freshwater ecosystem information for the study area and surrounding catchments, as well as by a more detailed assessment of the freshwater features on the various farm portions that comprise the study area. The site was visited on 3 March 2022 to verify the aquatic features occurring on the site. No additional site visits are deemed necessary. The field visit comprised of delineation, characterisation and integrity assessments of the aquatic habitats within the site. Mapping of the freshwater features was undertaken using a GPS Tracker and mapped in PlanetGIS and Google Earth Professional.

2.1 Information Sources

A summary of the main information sources used in this assessment are provided in Table 1 below:

Data / Information	Source	Date	Туре	Description
Satellite imagery	Google Earth	May 2002	Spatial	Recent history of aerial
		to Jan 2021		imagery for the site
Northern Cape	Northern Cape	2016	Report &	Spatial conservation
Biodiversity Sector Plan	Department of Economic		Spatial	planning units and
(NCBSP)	Development,			associated management
	Environmental Affairs			recommendations for the
	and Tourism			Northern Cape province
National Biodiversity	South African National	2018	Report and	Latest assessment of South
Assessment	Biodiversity Institute		Spatial	African biodiversity and
	(SANBI)			ecosystems, including
	CANDI	2010	Deve entre en el	wetlands and rivers.
National vegetation Map	SAINBI	2018	Report and	tune manning
South African Atlas of		2012	Spatial	Climate data
Climatology and	R.E. SCHUIZE	2012	Spatial	Cilmate data
Aquifer classification and	Department of Water	2005 2012	Snatial	Manning of aquifer class
Groundwater Resource	and Sanitation	and 2013	Spatia	type yields susceptibility
Assessment information		1111 2013		and Vulnerability as well as
				depths, recharge and
				quality
National Soil types	ENPAT		Spatial	Mapping of soil types
National Freshwater	CSIR	2011	Report and	Mapping of areas of
Ecosystem Priority Areas			spatial	aquatic ecosystem
(FEPA)				conservation importance
National River Present	DWA	2012	Spreadshee	River reach assessments of
Ecological Status,			ts and	ecological importance,
Ecological Importance and			spatial	sensitivity and condition
Ecological Sensitivity				
National Wetland Map 5	CSIR and SANBI - South	2018	Spatial	Mapping of wetland
	African National			habitats
	Biodiversity Assessment			
	2018			

 Table 1. Information Sources for the Aquatic Biodiversity Assessment

2.2 Assumptions, Knowledge Gaps and Limitations

Limitations and uncertainties often exist within the various techniques adopted to assess the condition of ecosystems. The methodologies and techniques used in this assessment have been developed nationally and are typically of a rapid nature, as is required for this freshwater impact assessment.

Very limited aquatic features occur within the site and surrounding area. No baseline long-term monitoring was undertaken as part of this assessment. There is also very little existing information available for the aquatic features within the study area. Data was utilised for adjacent aquatic ecosystems where available. The nature of the proposed activities however also allows them to be placed some distance from any mapped aquatic features such that the likely impacts would be very low. It is usually the associated infrastructure that has the potential to have a greater impact on the aquatic features. The impacts of roads and powerlines on the aquatic features are, however, well understood and can be effectively mitigated to ensure the impacts remain low. The preferred mitigation measure is to limit the disturbance to aquatic features as far as possible by avoiding and minimising the number of crossings and providing adequate buffer areas. This will also ensure that the cumulative impacts will remain low.

The level of aquatic assessment undertaken was considered to be adequate for this study. No further fieldwork will be required. The ground-truthing of aquatic features was undertaken when the use of vegetation as an indicator was possible. As it was not possible to cover the entire site in a high level of detail, extrapolation of the areas ground-truthed to those not covered was done using the latest available aerial imagery for the site.

3. Description of Project Aspects relevant to Aquatic Biodiversity

In terms of the potential aquatic ecosystem impacts of the proposed development, it is typically the footprint of the development and its associated infrastructure, placed in or adjacent to aquatic features, that may alter the aquatic habitat, have water quality impacts or modify the run-off in the aquatic ecosystems within the area. The proposed project is shown in Figure 2.

The proposed project is envisaged to consist of the following components:

- ±2km, 12m wide access road
 - \circ Starting point at the R48 and ends at the PV1 switching station
 - o This access road is existing but will be widened to 12m
- Du Plessis Eskom Switching Station of \pm 0.5 hectares in size (50m x 100m)
 - Internal access roads of 6m wide
- 132kV power line of ±8km
 - The power line will connect the PV1 Eskom Switching Station with the Mulilo Cluster 1 Substation
 - Servitude width approximately 31m
- ±6m wide access road will be constructed along the line route for construction and maintenance purposes *this road will be inside the servitude*
- A laydown area of ±1 hectares directly adjacent to the PV1 Switching Station
- Diesel storage of less than 80m³ for the 132kV Switching Station:
 - During construction, diesel is required for construction vehicles as well as generators for the construction camp and commissioning whilst waiting for the Eskom grid connection works to be completed
 - During operations, diesel is required for Operations & Maintenance vehicles at the PV plants but also required for backup Diesel generators at the substations. The Generators supply auxiliary power to the substation's protection and communications systems, should



there be outages on the grid. This is an Eskom requirement, together with a battery room at the substations to act as UPS for these critical systems.

Figure 2. Proposed project elements under consideration in this specialist assessment, with the upper Google Earth image showing the general layout arrangement and the lower image showing the detail at the proposed switching station

4. Baseline Environmental Description

4.1. General Description

The proposed area in which the PV facilities and the associated infrastructure under consideration are to be constructed is located in the Emthanjeni Local Municipality in the Pixley ka Seme District Municipality. The site is located approximately 1 km to 4 km east of De Aar. Smaller towns of Britstown, Philipstown, Hanover and Richmond occur within a 65km radius of De Aar. The area surrounding De Aar includes the town, renewable energy projects that have been constructed or are under construction and farming areas that are mostly used for livestock grazing. Several Eskom powerlines and substations occur in the area of which the Hydra Substation is the most significant.

The majority of the landscape consists of flat to slightly undulating plains with shallow valleys that are drained by tributaries of the Brak River, a northward-flowing tributary of the Lower Orange River. Occasional low hills occur in the wider study area. The elevation of the study area ranges from approximately 1240 to 1300 m.a.s.l. Table 2 provides an overview and summary of the water resource information for the study area.

Descriptor	Name / details	Notes
Water Management Area (WMA)	Lower Orange WMA	
Catchment Area	Brak River	Tributary of the Lower Orange River
Quaternary Catchment	D62D	
Present Ecological state	Largely natural (B Category)	DWS (2012) assessment for the Brak
Ecological Importance and Sensitivity	Low	River
Location of the proposed Du Plessis	30°38'17.3"S	Latitude
Dam PV1 Switching Station	24° 2'47.1"E	Longitude

 Table 2: Key water resources information for the proposed project development area

4.1.1 Geology and soils

The geology of the study area can be described as being underlain by flat-lying sedimentary rocks of the Karoo Supergroup, which have been intruded by innumerable sills and dykes of dolerite. The overlying soils are variable from shallow to deep, red-yellow apedal, freely draining soils to very shallow Glenrosa and Mispah forms. The soils in the study site are primarily red soils of a restricted soil depth, excessive drainage, high erodibility and low fertility. Calcrete soils are also prevalent as a result of the climatic conditions and underlying parent material.

4.1.2 Climate, Hydrology and Geohydrology

At De Aar, the summers are hot; the winters are short, cold, and windy; and it is dry and mostly clear yearround. Average temperatures vary from 16 °C in June/July to 32 °C in January and February. The wet season occurs from mid-November to mid-April with February tending to be the wettest month and July the driest month. The mean annual rainfall for the area is 282 mm. The site is not in a Strategic Water Source Area for surface water. Due to the climatic conditions of the area, the smaller watercourses and the wetland areas that occur in the area are ephemeral (non-perennial), only containing water for short periods, immediately following local rainfall events. A dominant feature of the larger rivers is the alluvial floodplains that are characterised by multiple channels that are interchangeably used during higher flow events. These sandy floodplains tend to have mostly bare beds, with vegetation occurring in clumps along the bed and more densely along the banks. The ephemeral watercourses are highly dependent on groundwater discharge.

The area has been mapped as a Strategic Water Source Area for groundwater (De Aar Region). A major fractured aquifer occurs within the area. The water table typically occurs at depths of about 8 m below

ground level and the yield of the aquifer is less than 2 liters a second. Both the surface and groundwater quality tend to be slightly brackish, with natural electrical conductivity concentrations of between 70 and 150 mS/m. The estimated groundwater recharge in the area is 12.3 mm/a. The aquifer is of medium susceptibility and vulnerability.

4.1.3 Vegetation

The study area lies near the eastern edge of the Nama Karoo biome and is mapped according to the national vegetation types (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006, updated in 2009, 2012 and 2018) as being of the vegetation type Northern Upper Karoo which is considered to be least threatened. The vegetation cover is generally dominated by sparse dwarf karroid scrub and tufted grass with bare patches of sand in between. Portions of the area are in a disturbed condition, most likely as a result of livestock grazing. Along the Brak River and its larger tributaries, the common reed *Phragmites australis* dominates with very little discernible riparian vegetation.

4.1.4 Aquatic Habitats and Biota

The aquatic features within the wider study area comprise ephemeral unnamed tributaries of the Brak River. The Brak River is a seasonal tributary within the Lower Orange River System. The river flows approximately 3 km to the north of the study area with a larger tributary crossing the eastern extent of the farm, flowing in a northerly direction to join the Brak River. Associated with these larger watercourses are wider floodplains and some depression wetlands. Several smaller watercourses and drainage lines drain into these larger river corridors.

The ephemeral streams and floodplains provide aquatic habitat to a diverse array of faunal species that are adapted to the brief periods of inundation to carry out much of their life phases. Amphibians such as the Karoo Dainty Frog, *Cacosternum karooicum* and Karoo Toad, *Vandijkophrynus gariepensis* use the inundated pools for breeding in. Other biota that uses the temporary wet habitats comprise migratory birds and many invertebrates such as water fleas (*Daphnia* spp.) and tadpole shrimps (*Triops* spp.). Connectivity between aquatic ecosystems and the surrounding terrestrial landscape is essential for supporting the fauna of these ecosystems.

4.1.5 Aquatic Biodiversity Sensitivity and Conservation Importance

The catchments of the tributaries of the Brak River near the Du Plessis Dam PV1 Grid Connection Project are mapped as Upstream Management Areas (Figure 3) that are sub-catchments in which human activities need to be managed to prevent the degradation of downstream Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPAs) and Fish Support Areas. There is only one FEPA Wetland mapped along the proposed grid connection route (Figure 4). **This wetland was determined during the field assessment as an off-channel farm dam/reservoir that is not considered of any aquatic biodiversity conservation significance.** Some wetland habitat has also been mapped further to the east of the proposed route that is associated with the Brak River Tributary. The wetlands are located some distance from the proposed activities and are unlikely to be impacted by the proposed project.

In the 2016 Northern Cape Critical Biodiversity Areas mapping (Figure 5), the entire area within and surrounding the site is mapped as Other Natural Areas, with the exception of the western extent that is within a Critical Biodiversity Area (terrestrial). The Other Natural Areas are natural or semi-natural areas that are not required to meet biodiversity targets or support natural ecological processes.



Figure 3. Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas within the wider study area (2011 CSIR National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas, obtained from SANBI Biodiversity GIS, May 2022)



Figure 4. NFEPA Wetland and National Wetland Map 5 mapping for the proposed project and surrounding area (Cape Farm Mapper, May 2022)



Figure 5. 2016 Northern Cape Critical Biodiversity Areas map for the study area (obtained from SANBI Biodiversity GIS in May 2022)

4.1.6 Aquatic Ecological Integrity

The rivers in the wider study area comprise unnamed tributaries of the Brak River, a tributary of the Lower Orange River System that joins the river near Prieska. The larger watercourses all mostly drain in a northwesterly direction. The rivers can all be characterised as foothill streams within the Nama Karoo Ecoregion. The watercourses and associated wetlands and floodplains are largely natural to moderately condition due to the low level of impact in the area. The watercourses tend to be more disturbed north of De Aar and downstream of the site as a result of the disturbance currently taking place within the area surrounding the town. The associated vegetation usually has a distinct zone that is comprised of grass species with some shrubs (*Lycium cinereum. Stipagrostis spp., Rhigozum trichotomum* and *Galenia africana*). Instream vegetation is dominated by *Juncus kraussii* rushes (Figure 6). The smaller ephemeral streams and drainage features do not have distinct vegetation (Figure 7).



Figure 6. View of the larger tributary in the south of the proposed project, near Hydra Sub-station with its more significant vegetation that is dominated by *Juncus kraussii*



Figure 7. View of one of the smaller ephemeral watercourses within the property that contains no distinct riparian or instream vegetation

Impacts on the watercourses in the study area are associated with agricultural encroachment, livestock grazing, and road and powerline construction. The ephemeral aquatic ecosystems are particularly vulnerable to changes in hydrology as they are specifically adapted to the sporadic flow conditions that naturally occur. Contaminants and sediment are not regularly flushed from these streams.

4.2. Identification of Environmental Sensitivities

4.2.1 Sensitivities identified by the National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool

The Screening Tool has indicated that the catchment of the Brak River Tributary at the site is mapped as being of very high Aquatic Biodiversity Combined Sensitivity (Figure 8). The very high sensitivity is linked to the Strategic Water Source Area for groundwater that has been identified in the wider area, as mentioned in Section 4.1. The proposed project is unlikely to impact the Strategic Water Source Area.



Figure 8. DFFE Screening Tool map of the site and surrounding area, for the mapped Aquatic Biodiversity Combined Sensitivity

4.2.2 Specialist Sensitivity Analysis and Verification

The aquatic constraints of the wider study area are shown below in Figure 9. The larger watercourse, a tributary of the Brak River, and its associated floodplain through the area are deemed to be of moderate aquatic ecological sensitivity. The smaller watercourses and drainage lines that should not pose an aquatic ecosystem constraint to the proposed are considered to be of low sensitivity.

Based on the present ecological condition (largely natural to moderately modified) and ecological importance and sensitivity, as well as the recommended ecological condition of the watercourses (largely natural to moderately modified), buffers have been recommended to protect these ecosystems. The recommended buffer area between the aquatic features and the project components to ensure these aquatic ecosystems are not impacted by the proposed activities is as follows:

- The larger tributary: at least 50m, measured from the top of bank of the river channels; and
- Smaller streams and drainage features: at least 30m from the centre
- of these streams.



Figure 9. Google Earth image showing the mapped aquatic features and their sensitivities. The white lines indicate the recommended buffer areas.

The proposed grid connection and switching station for PV2 are located outside of the wider floodplain area of a Brak River Tributary that lies to the east of the project activities. The tributary and its associated floodplain are considered of medium ecological sensitivity. A 50m buffer is recommended as a development setback to the floodplain area. Some minor watercourses of low ecological sensitivity occur near the route that is of low sensitivity and have poorly defined channels and little associated aquatic habitat and biota. The proposed activities are thus unlikely to have any impact on the aquatic features.

A small dam has been constructed along the proposed route that is mapped as a FEPA wetland and has some associated artificial aquatic habitat. Considering that the habitat is artificial, associated with a constructed dam and along a gravel farm access road, as well as the fact that the proposed powerline can easily span the feature, no aquatic ecosystem of any significance is likely to be associated with the proposed activity at the dam.

4.2.3 Sensitivity Analysis Summary Statement

This assessment has found the larger aquatic features on-site to be of moderate sensitivity and the smaller features to be of low sensitivity. The **Very high** Aquatic Biodiversity Combined Sensitivity mapping of the screening tool differs as it is linked to the SWSA for groundwater. The proposed activities are, however, unlikely to impact the SWSA.

5. Issues, Risks and Impacts

The potential impacts identified during this basic freshwater assessment are as follows:

Construction Phase:

Direct Impacts: Disturbance of aquatic habitat and associated biota; increased water use and water quality;

Indirect Impacts: Hydraulic and habitat modification and growth of invasive alien riparian vegetation *Operational Phase*:

Direct Impacts: Aquatic habitat disturbance

Indirect Impacts: Degradation of the ecological condition of aquatic ecosystems; modification of flow and water quality, erosion; and alien vegetation invasion in aquatic features

Decommissioning Phase:

Direct Impacts: Disturbance of aquatic habitats and water quality impacts.

Cumulative impacts:

Indirect Impacts: Degradation of the ecological condition of aquatic ecosystems.

Most of the potential aquatic ecosystem impacts of the proposed activities are likely to take place during the construction phase. These potential impacts and the associated issues identified include:

- Construction activities could result in the disturbance of aquatic habitats and biota. The proposed activities are however placed far from any aquatic habitats. The construction activities would thus be unlikely to modify aquatic habitat and biota to such an extent that the present or future desired state of the watercourses would be compromised.
- 2. Demand for water for construction could place stress on the existing available water resources. During construction, water is required to suppress dust and use in concrete batching. The volume of water needed for construction is however likely to be low.
- 3. During construction, the earthworks expose and mobilise soil while construction materials and chemicals may contaminate water resources. Given the low rainfall in the area and the distance of the works from watercourses, this impact would be unlikely, particularly if undertaken in the dry season.

During the operational phase, potential impacts would include:

- 1. Ongoing disturbance of aquatic features and associated vegetation along access roads or adjacent to infrastructure that needs to be maintained. As for the disturbance of aquatic features described under construction impacts, the disturbance of aquatic habitat is unlikely.
- 2. Modified run-off characteristics particularly along the access roads has the potential to result in erosion. Limited hardening of surfaces will take place as a result of the proposed projects that may concentrate and convey run-off, with its associated erosion. Any structures within the watercourses associated with the proposed project must not impede flow in the watercourses. Given the episodic flow in the watercourses, the structures at the road crossings should consist of nothing more than low water crossings that will not impede water or sediment movement.
- 3. The current presence of alien vegetation on the site is limited. Sources of alien seed should be prevented from being brought onto the site with imported materials. Monitoring post-construction for the growth of alien vegetation can mitigate this potential impact.

The cumulative impact of the project activities together with the existing activities in the area could have the potential to reduce the integrity of the watercourses if not properly mitigated and managed. By implementing suitable buffers (50m for the larger streams and 30m for the smaller watercourses is recommended) along the watercourses and minimising the works within the river/stream corridors the impact of the proposed project activities would be low and unlikely to impact the integrity of the aquatic ecosystems. The proposed activities are all some distance away from the delineated aquatic features.

No consultation process was deemed to be required during preparing this freshwater specialist report.

6. Impact Assessment

The potential aquatic biodiversity impacts of the proposed activities are likely to be **negligible** in terms of any potential impact to aquatic habitat, biota, water quality, or flow for all phases of the proposed development.

6.1 Potential Impacts during the Construction Phase

Degradation of the ecological condition of aquatic ecosystems and water quality impacts

Construction Phase: Construction of the Main Transmission Substation, switching station and the powerline connection for PV2 will require disturbance of the surface area and removal of vegetation cover for clearing and preparation of the various project component footprints. Only a limited amount of water is utilised during construction for the batching of cement for the construction activities. Concrete foundations will need to be constructed. A construction camp with a temporary laydown area and the concrete batching plant would likely need to be placed within the site for the construction works. There is thus also the potential for some water quality impacts associated with construction activities on the site. The location of the proposed works is located sufficiently far from the delineated aquatic features that they do not pose any significant risk to the aquatic features.

Proposed mitigation:

The recommended buffers of at least 30 and 50 m between the delineated aquatic ecosystems and all the proposed project activities should be maintained. During the construction phase, site management must be undertaken at the laydown and construction sites. This should specifically address on-site stormwater management and prevention of pollution measures from any potential pollution sources during construction activities.

Table 3: Impact table for Grid connection and Switching Station – PV2 Construction Phase

Impact Description

• Disturbance of aquatic habitat; water abstraction and water quality impacts

Cumulative impact description

• Aquatic ecosystem deterioration

Mitigation

- All the proposed project activities should remain outside the recommended buffers of at least 30 and 50 m adjacent to the delineated aquatic ecosystems.
- During the construction phase, site management must be undertaken at the laydown and construction sites. This should specifically address on-site stormwater management and prevention of pollution measures from any potential pollution sources during construction activities such as hydrocarbon spills.

Impact Assessment						
Name of Impact	ame of Impact Extent Duration Probability				Significance without mitigation	Significance after mitigation
Disturbance of aquatic habitat; water quality impacts Site Site term Ur		Unlikely	High	Low	None	
Impact on Irreplaceable Resources (<i>after</i> mitigation) If yes, please explain					NO	NO
Cumulative impact rating (<i>after</i> mitigation) Low				Low	Low	Very Low to None

6.2 Potential Impacts during the Operational Phase

Degradation of the ecological condition of aquatic ecosystems; modification of surface water runoff; erosion; and alien vegetation invasion in aquatic features

During the operation phase, change to the run-off characteristics along the access roads and in the developed areas may lead to increased erosion and sedimentation of the adjacent areas. An impact of very low to negligible significance post-mitigation may occur in terms of its impact on aquatic ecosystems in the area.

Proposed mitigation:

Invasive alien plant growth and signs of erosion should be monitored on an ongoing basis to ensure that the disturbed areas do not become infested with invasive alien plants.

Stormwater run-off from the project infrastructure and access roads must be designed to mitigate the flow impacts of any stormwater leaving the developed areas. The run-off should rather be dissipated over a broad area covered by natural vegetation or managed using appropriate shaping of the road with berms or channels and swales adjacent to hardened surfaces where necessary. Should any erosion features develop, they should be stabilised as soon as possible.

Table 4: Impact table for Grid connection and Switching Station – PV2 Operation Phase

Impact	Description
•	Degradation of the ecological condition of aquatic ecosystems; modification of flow; erosion; and
	alien vegetation invasion in aquatic features

Cumulative impact description

Aquatic ecosystem deterioration

Mitigation

- Invasive alien plant growth and signs of erosion should be monitored on an ongoing basis to ensure that the disturbed areas do not become infested with invasive alien plants.
- Stormwater run-off infrastructure must be designed to mitigate the flow impacts of any stormwater leaving developed areas. The run-off should rather be dissipated over a broad area covered by natural vegetation or managed using appropriate shaping with berms, channels and swales.

• Should any erosion features develop, they should be stabilised as soon as possible.

Impact Assessment

Inipact Assessment						
Name of Impact	Extent	Duration	Probability	Reversibilit of impact	Significance without mitigation	Significance after mitigation
Degradation of the ecological condition of aquatic ecosystems; modification of flow and water quality; erosion; and alien vegetation invasion in aquatic features	Site	Short term	Unlikely	High	Low	None
Impact on Irreplaceable Resources (<i>after</i> mitigation)						
If yes, please explain						
Cumulative impact rating (after mitigation)					Very low to	
If high, please explain	1.11811		None			

6.3 Consideration of Alternatives

The alternative route provided for the proposed project would have the same potential aquatic ecosystem impacts as the proposed and a No-go alternative, that is negligible significance.

7. Scoping Level Impact Assessment Summary

The overall impact significance of the proposed activities is provided in the table below for the lifespan of the project.

Phase	Overall Impact Significance
Construction	None
Operational	None
Cumulative Impact	Overall Impact Significance
Cumulative - Construction	Very low to None
Cumulative - Operational	Very low to None

Table 5: Overall Impact Significance (Post Mitigation)

8. Legislative and Authorisation Requirements

The main legislation associated with the protection of aquatic ecosystems and water resources over and above the National Environmental Management Act, Act 107 of 1998, is the National Water Act, Act No. 36 of 1998. The purpose of the National Water Act, 1998 (NWA) is to provide a framework for the equitable allocation and sustainable management of water resources. Both surface and groundwater sources are redefined by the Act as national resources which cannot be owned by any individual, and rights which are not automatically coupled to land rights, but for which prospective users must apply for authorisation and register as users. The NWA also provides measures to prevent, control and remedy the pollution of surface and groundwater sources.

The Act aims to regulate the use of water and activities (as defined in Part 4, Section 21 of the NWA), which may impact water resources through the categorisation of 'listed water uses' encompassing water abstraction and flow attenuation within catchments as well as the potential contamination of water resources, where the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS) is the administering body in this regard. Defined water use activities require the approval of DWS in the form of a General Authorisation (GA) or a Water Use Licence (WUL). There are restrictions on the extent and scale of listed activities for which General Authorisations apply.

According to the preamble to Part 6 of the NWA, 1998, "This Part established a procedure to enable a responsible authority, after public consultation, to permit the use of water by publishing general authorisations in the Gazette..." and further states that "The use of water under a general authorisation does not require a licence until the general authorisation is revoked, in which case licensing will be necessary..."

The GAs for Section 21 (c) and (i) water uses (impeding or diverting flow or changing the bed, banks or characteristics of a watercourse) as defined under the NWA were revised in 2016 (Government Notice R509 of 2016). Determining if a water use licence is required for these water uses is now associated with the risk of degrading the ecological status of a watercourse. A low risk of impact could be authorised in terms of a GA. Given however that all of the proposed activities are located some distance from the delineated aquatic features and thus do not pose a risk of changing the bed, banks or characteristics of the watercourses or impede or divert flow in the watercourses, there is no associated Section 21 (c) and (i) water use activities and thus no risk assessment is deemed to be required for the proposed project.

9. References

ADU. 2016. Summary Data of the Frogs of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland

CapeFarmMapper 2021. Version 2.6.2. [Online]. Available: https://gis.elsenburg.com/apps/cfm/

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. (1998). National Water Act. Act 36. South Africa.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. (1999a). *Resource Directed Measures for Protection of Water Resources. Volume 4: Wetland Ecosystems Version 1.0.* Resource Directed Measures for Protection of Water Resources, Pretoria, South Africa.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. (2005a). A practical field procedure for identification and delineation of wetland and riparian areas. DWAF, Pretoria.

Department of Water Affairs and Forestry. (2009). Government Gazette No. 32805. *Impeding or Diverting the Flow of Water in a Watercourse [Section 21(c)] and Altering the Bed, Banks, Course or Characteristics of a Watercourse* [Section 21(i)]. Pp66-71, Pretoria.

Driver, Nel, Snaddon, Murray, Roux, Hill. (2011). *Implementation Manual for Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas*. Draft Report for the Water Research Commission.

Holness, S. and Oosthuysen, E. (2016). Critical Biodiversity Areas of the Northern Cape: Technical Report.

IUCN. 2021. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020.3 [Online]. Available: www.iucnredlist.org.

Middleton, B.J., Midgley, D.C and Pitman, W.V., (1990). *Surface Water Resources of South Africa*. WRC Report No 298/1.2/94.

Mucina, L. and Rutherford, M. C. (eds.) (2004) *Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland*. Strlitzia 18. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

SANBI (2009). *Further Development of a Proposed National Wetland Classification System for South Africa*. Primary Project Report. Prepared by the Freshwater Consulting Group (FCG) for the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI).

SANBI Biodiversity GIS 2021 [Online] Available: http://bgis.sanbi.org/MapViewer

Van Ginkel, C. E., Glen, R. P., Gordon-Gray, K. D., Cilliers, C. J., Muasya, M. and P. P. van Deventer (2011) *Easy identification of some South African wetland plants.* WRC Report No TT 479/10

WRC. (2011). Atlas for Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas – Maps to support sustainable development of water resources (WRC Report No. TT 500/11).

Appendix A - Specialist Expertise

TONI BELCHER

Full Name	Antonia Belcher
Cell Number	083 883 8055
Email	toni@bluescience.co.za
Address	53 Dummer St, Somerset West, 7130
Profession	Aquatic Ecologist and Environmental Management (P. Sci. Nat. 400040/10)
Years in Profession	31+ years

Toni Belcher worked for the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry for more than 17 years. During this period, she worked for the Directorate Water Quality Management, the Institute for Water Quality Studies and the Western Cape Regional Office and has built up a wide skills base on water resource management and water resource quality for rivers, estuaries and the coastal marine environment. Since leaving the Department in 2007, she has been working in her private capacity and was co-owner of BlueScience (Pty) Ltd, working in the field of water resource management and has been involved in more than 500 aquatic ecosystem assessments for environmental impact assessment and water use authorisation purposes. In 2006 she was awarded a Woman in Water award for Environmental Education and was a runner up for the Woman in Water prize for Water Research.

Professional Qualifications:

- 1984 Matriculation Lawson Brown High School
- 1987 B.Sc. Mathematics, Applied Mathematics University of Port Elizabeth
- 1989 B.Sc. (Hons) Oceanography University of Port Elizabeth
- 1998 M.Sc. Environmental Management (cum laude) Potchefstroom University

Key Skills:

Areas of specialisation: Aquatic ecosystem assessments, Monitoring and evaluation of water resources, Water resource legislation and authorisations, River classification and Resource Quality Objectives, River Reserve determination and implementation, Water Quality Assessments, Biomonitoring, River and Wetland Rehabilitation Plans, Catchment management, River maintenance management, Water education.

Summary of Experience:

1987 - 1988 Part-time field researcher, Department of Oceanography, University of Port Elizabeth 1989 - 1990 Mathematics tutor and administrator, Master Maths, Randburg and Braamfontein Colleges, Johannesburg 1991 - 1995 Water Pollution Control Officer, Water Quality Management, Department of Water Affairs, Pretoria 1995 – 1999 Hydrologist and Assistant Director, Institute for Water Quality Studies, Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria 1999 - 2007 Assistant and Deputy Director, Water Resource Protection, Western Cape Regional Office, Department of Water Affairs, Cape Town 2007 - 2012 Self-employed 2013 - 2020 Senior Aquatic Specialist and part owner, BlueScience

2020 - present Self employed, Associate of BlueScience

Appendix B - Specialist Statement of Independence

I, Antonia Belcher, declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, Regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, Regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my
 possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with
 respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document
 to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- all the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of regulation 48 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.

Belcher Signature of the Specialist:

Name of Company: BlueScience (Pty) Ltd

Date: 11 May 2022

Appendix C: Site Sensitivity Verification

Prior to commencing with the Aquatic Biodiversity Specialist Assessment in accordance with the Specialist Assessment and Minimum Report Content Requirements for Environmental Impacts on Aquatic Biodiversity (Government Notice 320, dated 20 March 2020), a site sensitivity verification was undertaken to confirm the current land use and environmental sensitivity of the proposed project area as identified by the National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool (Screening Tool).

The details of the site sensitivity verification are noted below:

Date of Site Visit	3 March 2022
Specialist Name	Toni Belcher
Professional Registration Number	400040/10
Specialist Affiliation / Company	BlueScience (Pty) Ltd

The proposed site for the **Du Plessis Dam PV1 Grid Connection** near De Aar in the Northern Cape Province, was assessed in terms of its aquatic biodiversity sensitivity using a desktop analysis using available aquatic ecosystem mapping, aerial imagery and a site visit, undertaken on 3 March 2022. A literature survey was also undertaken to determine any aquatic biodiversity sensitivities that may occur in the surrounding area.

The field visit comprised of delineation, characterisation and integrity assessments of the aquatic habitats within the site. Mapping of the freshwater features was undertaken using a GPS Tracker and mapped in PlanetGIS and Google Earth Professional.

The following techniques and methodologies were utilised to undertake the assessments:

- The guideline document, "A Practical Field Procedure for the Identification and Delineation of Wetlands and Riparian Areas" document, as published by DWAF (2005) was followed for the delineation of the aquatic habitats;
- The present ecological condition of the watercourses was determined using the national River Health Programme and Wet-Health methodologies;
- The ecological importance and ecological sensitivity (EI&ES) assessment of the watercourses were conducted according to the guidelines as developed by DWAF (1999); and
- Recommendations are made concerning the adoption of buffer zones within the site were based on watercourse functioning and site characteristics as well as the DWS buffer tool.

The aquatic features associated with the Du Plessis Dam PV1 Grid Connection project comprise ephemeral unnamed tributaries of the Brak River. Associated with the larger tributary is a wider floodplain. Some smaller watercourses drain into the larger river corridor. The rivers can all be characterised as foothill streams within the Nama Karoo Ecoregion. The watercourses and associated floodplains are in largely natural to moderately condition due to the low level of impact in the area. It is recommended that the larger watercourse and floodplain within the site are not allowed to degrade further from their current ecological condition of largely natural to moderately modified.

Impacts to the watercourses in the study area are associated with agricultural encroachment, livestock grazing, road and powerline construction. The ephemeral aquatic ecosystems are particularly vulnerable to changes in hydrology as they are specifically adapted to the sporadic flow conditions that naturally occur. Contaminants and sediment are not regularly flushed from these streams.

The Screening Tool has indicated that the upper catchment of the Brak River at the site as being of very high Aquatic Biodiversity Combined Sensitivity. The very high sensitivity is linked to the Strategic Water Source

Areas that occurs in the wider De Aar area. The proposed project is unlikely to impact the Strategic Water Source Area.



Google Earth image with the Aquatic Ecosystem Sensitivity mapping where the green area indicates low sensitivity and the yellow the moderate sensitivity areas. The white lines indicate the recommended buffers.

The proposed grid connection and switching station for PV2 are located outside of the wider floodplain area of a Brak River Tributary that lies to the east of the project activities. The tributary and its associated floodplain are considered of medium ecological sensitivity. A 50m buffer is recommended as a development setback to the floodplain area. Some minor watercourses of low ecological sensitivity occur near the route that is of low sensitivity and have poorly defined channels and little associated aquatic habitat and biota. The proposed activities are thus unlikely to have any impact on the aquatic features.

A small dam has been constructed along the proposed route that is mapped as a FEPA wetland and has some associated artificial aquatic habitat. Considering that the habitat is artificial, associated with a constructed dam and along a gravel farm access road, as well as the fact that the proposed powerline can easily span the feature, no aquatic ecosystem of any significance is likely to be associated with the proposed activity at the dam.

Appendix D: Impact Assessment Methodology

Impacts are evaluated and assessed in terms of the following criteria:

Extent of impact	Explanation of extent
Site	Impacts limited to construction site and direct surrounding area
Local	Impacts affecting environmental elements within the local area / district
Regional	Impacts affecting environmental elements within the province
National	Impacts affecting environmental elements on a national level

Duration of impact	Explanation of duration
Short term	0 - 5 years. The impact is reversible in less than 5 years.
Medium term	5 - 15 years. The impact is reversible in less than 15 years.
Long term	>15 years, but where the impacts will cease if the project is decommissioned
Permanent	The impact will continue indefinitely and is irreversible.

Probability of impact	Explanation of Probability
Unlikely	The chance of the impact occurring is extremely low
Possible	The impact may occur
Probable	The impact will very likely occur
Definite	Impact will certainly occur

Reversibility of impact	Explanation of Reversibility Ratings
Low	The affected environment will not be able to recover from the impact - permanently modified
Medium	The affected environment will only recover from the impact with significant intervention
High	The affected environmental will be able to recover from the impact

Significance of impact	Explanation of Significance
None	There is no impact at all
Low	Impact is negligible or is of a low order and is likely to have little real effect
Moderate	Impact is real but not substantial
High	Impact is substantial
Very high	Impact is very high and can therefore influence the viability of the project