

Re Brederode Permit

Hi Jonathan

Subsequent to the meeting 28/01/2013 with C. Shapiro and Steve Valentine to facilitate the renewal of the permit and draw up a much needed Heritage Agreement, you informed us that there was some outstanding information required.

We have studied the regulations No: 584 of 2nd June 2000 of the National Heritage resources Act and attempted to address each relevant item to ensure we have complied with all the requirements. Up to now we already have supplied the following to S.A.H.R.A:

- The dive plan to carry out the excavation
- A conservation plan
- An outline of (Section 35.4)-26(e) archaeological plan and motivation
- A SARS Salvage licence
- A list of names and I.D's of partners and divers (full list of divers to be handed in once project commences)
- A signed agreement between the partners and a collaborating institution as required by Section 35(4) 26.2 (d)

With this letter we will attempt to address any outstanding issues or concerns that have been raised by S.A.H.R.A and the permits committee.

After going through the regulations we feel that there are a number of requirements which are difficult to fulfil to S.A.H.R.A's satisfaction until a Heritage Agreement is drawn up but could be included in the Heritage agreement to be complied with prior to commencement of the excavation.

- Section 3(1) financial deposit if required by SAHRA to ensure satisfactory performance. This would be supplied by the investor once we have a heritage agreement. He would obviously require to see the 'working agreement' he is signing up for before committing to guarantees. He would also provide source of funds, address etc to comply with Section 35(4) point 26.2 (g).
- Section 34(4) point 26.1(c) name I.D etc of archaeologist acceptable to S.A.H.R.A. could be written in as a requirement in Heritage Agreement. He/she would need to peruse the agreement to see what they are committing to as well as for us to negotiate a commencement date, salary etc. Once an archaeologist is on the team he/she could draw up a detailed archaeological plan to comply with Section 35 (4) point 29(2) a-h that meet S.A.H.R.A approval before commencement of the excavation.
- We would also like to confirm with S.A.H.R.A under standards of practice section (6) only recognised archaeological tools such as airlifts will be used, no heavy equipment will be employed.

All artefacts recovered will be conserved at the partners cost and presented to the collaborating museum and only items requested by them under agreement will be recovered.

All items under standards of practise will be complied with and most importantly 29.2 g. The site will be stabilised using Geotextiles along the same lines that experts of the Western Australian Museum and the Dutch Heritage agencies have approved.

It is the intention of partners to comply fully with Section 4 and 5 of Chapter II of the act with regards to submission of reports and write up.

Having studied the regulation the partners feel we have supplied all the required information and undertaken to comply fully with the spirit of the act. We would respectfully request that we be allowed to continue to the recovery phase of the project. If there are any other sections in the act that you require, further information or undertaking within reason, we would gladly provide such. We would expect most of this to be written into the Heritage agreement as was the case with other permits like the Grosvenor (1682)

Best Regards

Brederode Partners