
APPENDIX C6 MEETING NOTES





VAT Reg No.: 4780226736

PIXLEY PARK CLUSTER THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 4 SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) ENERGY FACILITIES (TOTAL **CAPACITY OF 500MW)**

MEETING NOTES OF THE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS MEETING HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 13 APRIL 2022 AT 17H00 **VENUE: MICROSOFT TEAMS, VIRTUAL MEETING**

Notes for the Record prepared by:

Nondumiso Bulunga

Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd **E-mail:** publicprocess@savannahsa.com

Please address any comments to Savannah Environmental at the above address

MEETING ATTENDEES

Name	Organisation	Position
Johan Janse van	Mulilo Renewable Project	Project Engineer
Rensburg	Developments	
Andrew Pearson	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental Manager
	Developments	
Lloyd Barnes	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental and Land permitting officer
	Developments	
Karen Low	juwi Renewable Energy	Sustainability Manager
Ansoné Esterhuizen	Savannah Environmental	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
Nondumiso Bulunga	Savannah Environmental	Lead Consultant: Social, Stakeholder
		Engagement & GIS

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

Nondumiso Bulunga welcomed the attendees at the Public Participation Process Meeting for the Pixley Park Cluster. A round of introductions were provided to attendees of the meeting.

The agenda was provided, and purpose of the meeting was presented by Nondumiso Bulunga.

APOLOGIES

None tendered.

BACKGROUND & TECHNICAL ASPECTS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Ansoné Esterhuizen provided an overview of the proposed Pixley Park Cluster for the proposed development of 4 Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Energy Facilities, Northern Cape Province, as well as a summary of the findings of the Scoping Report. **Ansoné Esterhuizen** presented the following key information:

- Provide stakeholders with an overview of the planned project
- Summary of the Scoping and EIA Assessment Process
- Provide stakeholders the opportunity to seek clarity regarding the environmental studies
- Opportunity to provide valuable input into/to inform the EIA process
- Record comments for inclusion in the submissions to DFFE

A copy of the virtual participants' attendance is attached as **Appendix A** and the presentation is attached as **Appendix B** to the meeting notes.

DISCUSSION SESSION

Raised by	Question / Comment	Response
Raised by Karen Low	This meeting is not representative of the public as it only has the Mulilo team. The public participation has been poor. How will needs of the locals be addressed as the presentation gives information mostly on the environmental matters. In De Aar there has been a lot of concerns around employment and the impact it has on the	Nondumiso Bulunga responded that the public have been informed about the meeting using various means such as advertisement in the newspaper, direct correspondence with registered parties, to mention a few. The meeting is also catering for those working during the day and has been set at 17:00pm to allow those who are working and may not have the opportunity to attend during the day. This is one of many other planned meetings for the project planned in the next two weeks. Andrew Pearson added that having a representation from the Mulilo team should not be seen in a negative light, in fact it should be seen that the team is willing to engage. Ansoné Esterhuizen responded that social impact will be investigated in the social impact assessment and as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) this information will
	community because apart from electricity what other benefits can be empowered? When will there be a public meeting for the grid connection? Pasted to the MS Teams Chat Function It is mentioned that the grid connection infrastructure will be connected to either Vetlaagte MTS or Wag 'n Bietjie MTS and two separate EAs are currently under way for either MTS. Pasted to the MSN Chat Function 1. Why was there a need for 2 MTSs?	Ansoné Esterhuizen indicated that the meeting for the grid connection will likely take place in July/August 2022 once the investigations for this have been completed, but exact details will be provided in due course. While it was noted that the MTS is not part of the application for EA to which this PPP process is for, Andrew Pearson provided a high-level response to the queries regarding the grid connection. Andrew Pearson mentioned that some of these questions require absent members of the Mulilo Team to respond, so Mulilo

Raised by	Question / Comment	Response
	Pasted to the MSN Chat Function	will send a formal response to the
	2. Are these MTS's Hydra B and Hydra C	questions.
	according to the Eskom TDP	Feedback from Mulilo Technical Grid
	(Transmission Development Plan)	Team: Based on the latest Eskom
	Pasted to the MSN Chat Function	reports (GCCA 2024 &TDP 2022) and
	3. Will access to either MTS (which will	the current feedback from Eskom at
	be handed over to Eskom) be	Hydra specifically, the Hydra MTS has
	provided by Mulilo as they will have	capacity at 400kV but does not have
	rights over the property that either	capacity at the 132kV level and
	MTS will be located on?	cannot be further expanded to
	Pasted to the MSN Chat Function	accommodate the additional
	4. If Mulilo does not grant access to the	transformation required to facilitate
	MTS, will Eskom be able to over-ride	further IPP connections beyond those
	their decision?	already awarded. In order to
		connect further generation at the
		400kV level, in a separate EA
		application, Mulilo as the IPP will
		develop two MTSs and hand them
		back to Eskom as part of a standard
		Self Build Contract with Eskom.
		Again, not part of this EA application,
		but neither of the two MTS's form part
		of the Eskom Transmission
		Development Plan at this stage as
		they are not part of Eskoms internal
		Transmission expansion plan and
		have not been allocated funding as
		part of Eskoms tariff applications or
		Regulatory Clearing Account
		applications with NERSA, nor have
		they been taken through the Self
		Build design and concept design
		approval process. These processes
		can only occur during the Budget
		Quote stage, post preferred bidder
		award following positive EA. The
		application for the two MTS's is to
		reduce the risk of a potential fatal
		flaw on one of the sites, there is an
		alternative option available to
		connect the IPP Projects. There is
		ongoing consultation with Eskom with

Raised by	Question / Comment	Response
		regards to the design requirements of
		the MTS.
	Pasted to the MSN Chat Function	Andrew Pearson responded that at
	5. Will servitude access be provided by	this stage it is assumed that 3 rd party
	Mulilo to other projects to reach	access to the MTS once constructed
	either Vetlaagte MTS or Wag 'n Bietjie	and handed over to Eskom for
	MTS or any of the mentioned	operations, would be for Eskom to
	Switching Stations?	approve or refuse. This decision would
		be confirmed following the 3 rd party's
		submission of the Eskom Standardized
		Cost Estimate Application in order for
		Eskom to determine the optimum
	Barrie d to the MCN Chart Francisco	connection to the national grid.
	Pasted to the MSN Chat Function 6. Design flaw as it does not take into	Ansoné Esterhuizen noted the concern and indicated that this
	consideration other projects' grid	would be considered by the Mulilo
	connection. Has an open servitude	team.
	been incorporated into the design to	Mulilo Response:
	allow other projects to access Hydra?	This query is not related to the current
		EA application. The proposed MTS's
		are separate EA applications whose
		design is not flawed and is fit for
		purpose. In responding to the
		concerns, firstly access to Hydra MTS
		is not impacted as the proposed MTS
		has been specifically located away
		from the Hydra infrastructure to allow
		a number of new powerline corridors
		to be constructed between the
		proposed MTS's and Hydra. Secondly the proposed MTS will use existing
		400kV powerlines already connected
		to Hydra MTS so no new feeder bays
		or feeders at Hydra would be
		required. Thirdly, the proposed MTS
		has been specifically developed due
		to the congestion and expansion
		limitations already present Hydra and
		thus is a technical solution to the
		capacity constraints the Northern
		Cape Grid is currently experiencing.
		Lastly the design has incorporated an
		expansion area for Eskom to extend
		the busbars and install further

Raised by	Question / Comment	Response
		incoming feeder bays and
		transformers should it be required
		offering additional connections in
		future, servitudes would be registered
		in favor of Eskom following concept
		design approval, post preferred
		bidder award.
	Cumulative impacts need to be considered	Ansoné Esterhuizen responded that
	and all transmission lines should be included	the inclusion of the cumulative
	in the maps.	impacts will be part of the EIA phase.
		The maps to be included in the EIA
		Report will be updated to show all
		transmission lines, including existing
		Eskom lines and proposed new lines
		associated with other already
		authorized projects where
		information on these is available.

WAY FORWARD AND CLOSURE

Nondumiso Bulunga asked whether there were any other environmental-related concerns or comments. It was noted by all attendees that no further comments needed to be raised at this time. Nondumiso Bulunga noted that any further comments can be directed to the project team during the remainder of the public review period.

The meeting was closed at 17h40pm.

DFFE	Department of Fisheries Forestry and
	the Environment
EA	Environmental Authorisation
PV	Photovoltaic
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
MTS	Main Transmission System
TDP	Transmission Development Plan
IPP	Independent Power Producer

From: Nondumiso Bulunga

Sent: Wednesday, 04 May 2022 08:45

To: Low, Karen

Subject: SE3272-Pixley Park - Public Participation Process (13Apr22-17h00) Rev 2.0 **Attachments:** SE3272-Pixley Park - Public Participation Process (13Apr22-17h00) Rev 2.0.pdf

Dear Karen,

Please find attached the meeting notes for the meeting held 13th April 2022.

Kindly provide your review on or before the end of the commenting period (Mon 16th May 2022).

Thank you,

Kind regards,



t: +27 (0)11 656 3237 f: +27 (0) 86 684 0547

Nondumiso Bulunga

Lead Consultant:Social, Stakeholder Engagement & GIS

e: nondumiso@savannahsa.com c: +27 (0) 79 458 0862

SAWEA Award for Leading Environmental Consultant on Wind Projects in 2013 & 2015

Processing of personal Information / POPIA compliance

We respect your privacy and acknowledge that this e-mail will contain Personal Information, which may belong to you, others and/or to your organization and which we will process. The processing of your personal information by Savannah Environmental may be included in reports submitted to governmental departments or on our public platforms, which processing will be done in accordance with our processing notice housed on our website - https://savannahsa.com/privacy-policy-privacy-policy-page/. By sending and/or receiving this message, you hereby consent to the lawful processing of personal information for the intended purposes, as described by the Protection of Personal Information Act, 2013 (Act No 4 of 2013).



VAT Reg No.: 4780226736

PIXLEY PARK CLUSTER THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 4 SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) ENERGY FACILITIES (TOTAL **CAPACITY OF 500MW)**

MEETING NOTES OF THE FOCUS GROUP MEETING NORTHERN CAPE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS, RURAL DEVELOPMENT & LAND REFORM MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, 19 APRIL 2022 AT 10H00 **VENUE: MICROSOFT TEAMS, VIRTUAL MEETING**

Notes for the Record prepared by:

Nondumiso Bulunga

Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd **E-mail:** publicprocess@savannahsa.com

Please address any comments to Savannah Environmental at the above address

MEETING ATTENDEES

Name	Organisation	Position
Johan Janse van	Mulilo Renewable Project	Project Engineer
Rensburg	Developments	
Ryan David	Mulilo Renewable Project	Mulilo Permitting Manager
Andersen	Developments	
Andrew Pearson	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental Manager
	Developments	
Lloyd Barnes	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental and Land permitting
	Developments	officer
Jessie Yuill	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental Intern
	Developments	
Elsabè Swart	Department of Agriculture,	Environmental Research and
	Environmental Affairs, Rural	Development Unit Manager
	Development and Land Reform	
Natalie Uys	Northern Cape Department of	Production Scientist: Botanist
	Agriculture, Environmental Affairs,	
	Rural Development and Land	
	Reform	
Ansoné Esterhuizen	Savannah Environmental	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
Nondumiso Bulunga	Savannah Environmental	Lead Consultant: Social, Stakeholder
	3avariian enviorineniai	Engagement & GIS

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

Nondumiso Bulunga welcomed the attendees at the Focus Group Meeting for the Pixley Park Cluster. A round of introductions were provided to attendees of the meeting.

The agenda was provided, and purpose of the meeting was presented by Nondumiso Bulunga.

APOLOGIES

Jacolene Manns from Chief Forester: NFA Regulations - Department of Forestry, Fisheries, and the Environment

BACKGROUND & TECHNICAL ASPECTS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Ansoné Esterhuizen provided an overview of the proposed Pixley Park Cluster for the proposed development of 4 Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Energy Facilities, Northern Cape Province, as well as a summary of the findings of the Scoping Report. **Ansoné Esterhuizen** presented the following key information:

Provide stakeholders with an overview of the planned project

- Summary of the Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process
- Provide stakeholders the opportunity to seek clarity regarding the environmental studies
- Opportunity to provide valuable input into/to inform the EIA process
- Record comments for inclusion in the submissions to DFFE

A copy of the virtual participants' attendance is attached as **Appendix A** and the presentation is attached as **Appendix B** to the meeting notes.

DISCUSSION SESSION

Raised By	Question / Comment	Response
Elsabè Swart	The images on the presentation show	Ansoné Esterhuizen responded that
	sensitivity for the specific sites, but are the	there are maps in the report that show
	larger sensitivity representing the CBA	the larger CBA and ESA areas in the
	areas shown in the reports? The area of	Scoping Report.
	extent would need to be monitored.	
	Will there be a climatic study undertaken	Andrew Pearson responded that it is
	for example a heat island study as there	the developer's intention to propose
	has been concerns around the amount	these four developments, however it
	of Solar PV built around the De Aar having	should be clear that not all projects will
	potential impacts to the climate.	be constructed at the same or
		prepared for a REIPPP BID Round.
		Should a climatic study be required
		following the specialist investigation
		then as a company they would need
		to ensure this is considered. In addition,
		the company has other operational
		projects where such studies have not
		been required, however it would be
		appreciated if the department could
		send further research and other studies
		showing the need for such a study as
		part of this environmental investigation.
		Furthermore background leading to
		the need for this study by other officials
		and validity thereof should be
		considered.
Natalie Uys	If all projects, go ahead it is the local	Andrew Pearson responded that if
	climatic change that needs to be	there are other operations or other
	considered. Will things such as powerline	studies done then it would be
	on the maps be included in the sensitivity	appreciated if the Department could
	mapping because De Aar has important	forward these. It is interesting to
	bird area that need to consider as part of	understand what negative impacts a
	the cumulative impacts.	500MW of Solar PV would have as

Raised By	Question / Comment	Response
		compared to a coal-fired power station.
		Post Meeting Notes The department provided the following studies on 19th April 2022: 1. Exhibit-2-Heat-Island- Executive-Summary_with- appendices 2. sustainability-12-03403-v3
	Will things such as powerlines on the maps be included in the sensitivity mapping because De Aar has important bird areas that need to consider as part of the cumulative impacts.	Andrew Pearson responded that at this stage the details of grid connection is not available. Separate Basic Assessments will be undertaken for the grid connections. When these processes for the power lines go ahead details will be provided.
		The study area does not fall within a REDZ, so a full Scoping & Environmental Impact Assessment is required for the PV facilities. During these studies, areas of environmental importance and important bird areas will be looked at in much greater detail. A fully detailed independent bird study will be included in both the EIA for the PV facilities and the BA processes for the power lines.
	Has this project considered alternative sites?	Andrew Pearson responded that no alternatives have been considered in the EIA process. This is because as part of the initial investigations the developer looked at other options south of the proposed portions and undertook their own internal mapping and environmental considerations. Some areas were then excluded due to environmental constraints and engineering considerations of where the PV would be positioned. The only alternatives that will be considered in

Raised By	Question / Comment	Response
		the EIA process are design alternatives within the identified development area
		and, the no-go option and technological alternatives. This is detailed in the Scoping Reports.

WAY FORWARD AND CLOSURE

Nondumiso Bulunga asked whether there were any other environmental-related concerns or comments. It was noted by all attendees that no further comments needed to be raised at this time. **Nondumiso Bulunga** noted that any further comments can be directed to the project team during the remainder of the public review period.

The meeting was closed at 10h40am.

СВА	Critical Biodiversity Area
ESA	Ecologically Sensitive Areas
PV	Photovoltaic
REDZ	Renewable Energy Development
	Zones
DFFE	Department of Fisheries Forestry and
	the Environment
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
MW	Megawatts

From: Nondumiso Bulunga

Sent: Wednesday, 04 May 2022 08:53

To: Elsabe Swart; Natalie Uys

Subject: SE3272-Pixley Park -FGM (19Apr22-10h00)

Attachments: SE3272-Pixley Park -FGM (19Apr22-10h00) Rev 2.0.pdf

Dear Elsabe & Natalie,

Please find the meeting notes for the meeting held 19th April 2022.

Kindly provide your input on or before the end of the commenting period (Mon 16th May 2022).

Kind regards,



Nondumiso Bulunga

Lead Consultant:Social, Stakeholder Engagement & GIS

e: nondumiso@savannahsa.com

c: +27 (0) 79 458 0862

t: +27 (0)11 656 3237 f: +27 (0) 86 684 0547

SAWEA Award for Leading Environmental Consultant on Wind Projects in 2013 & 2015

Processing of personal Information / POPIA compliance

We respect your privacy and acknowledge that this e-mail will contain Personal Information, which may belong to you, others and/or to your organization and which we will process. The processing of your personal information by Savannah Environmental may be included in reports submitted to governmental departments or on our public platforms, which processing will be done in accordance with our processing notice housed on our website - https://savannahsa.com/privacy-policy-privacy-policy-page/. By sending and/or receiving this message, you hereby consent to the lawful processing of personal information for the intended purposes, as described by the Protection of Personal Information Act, 2013 (Act No 4 of 2013).

VAT Reg No.: 4780226736

PIXLEY PARK CLUSTER THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 4 SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) ENERGY FACILITIES (TOTAL CAPACITY OF 500MW)

MEETING NOTES OF THE FOCUS GROUP MEETING MUNICIPAL OFFICIALS - PIXLEY KA SEME DISTRICT & EMTHANJENI LOCAL HELD ON TUESDAY, 19 APRIL 2022 AT 14H00 VENUE: MICROSOFT TEAMS, VIRTUAL MEETING

Notes for the Record prepared by:

Nondumiso Bulunga

Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd
E-mail: publicprocess@savannahsa.com

Please address any comments to Savannah Environmental at the above address

+27 (0)11 656 3237 +27 (0)86 684 0547 info@savannahsa.com www.savannahsa.com www.savannahsa.com

MEETING ATTENDEES

Name	Organisation	Position
Johan Janse van	Mulilo Renewable Project	Project Engineer
Rensburg	Developments	
Ryan David	Mulilo Renewable Project	Mulilo Permitting Manager
Andersen	Developments	
Andrew Pearson	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental Manager
	Developments	
Lloyd Barnes	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental and Land permitting
	Developments	officer
Jessie Yuill	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental Intern
	Developments	
Conrad Jafta	Emthanjeni Municipality	IDP Officer
Ansoné Esterhuizen	Savannah Environmental	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
Nondumiso Bulunga	Savannah Environmental	Lead Consultant: Social, Stakeholder
	Savarinan Environmental	Engagement & GIS

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

Nondumiso Bulunga welcomed the attendees at the Focus Group Meeting for the Pixley Park Cluster. A round of introductions were provided to attendees of the meeting.

The agenda was provided, and purpose of the meeting was presented by **Nondumiso Bulunga**.

APOLOGIES

None tendered.

BACKGROUND & TECHNICAL ASPECTS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Ansoné Esterhuizen provided an overview of the proposed Pixley Park Cluster for the proposed development of 4 Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Energy Facilities, Northern Cape Province, as well as a summary of the findings of the Scoping Report. **Ansoné Esterhuizen** presented the following key information:

- Provide stakeholders with an overview of the planned project
- Summary of the Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process
- Provide stakeholders the opportunity to seek clarity regarding the environmental studies
- Opportunity to provide valuable input into/to inform the EIA process
- Record comments for inclusion in the submissions to DFFE

A copy of the virtual participants' attendance is attached as **Appendix A** and the presentation is attached as **Appendix B** to the meeting notes.

DISCUSSION SESSION

No questions were asked during this session. Mr Conrad Jafta responded in the MSN Meeting Chat that he had no comments at this time.

WAY FORWARD AND CLOSURE

Nondumiso Bulunga asked whether there were any other environmental-related concerns or comments. It was noted by all attendees that no further comments needed to be raised at this time. Nondumiso Bulunga noted that any further comments can be directed to the project team during the remainder of the public review period.

The meeting was closed at 14h34pm.

DFFE	Department of Fisheries Forestry and	
	the Environment	
MSN	Microsoft Network	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	

From: Nondumiso Bulunga

Sent: Wednesday, 04 May 2022 09:01

To: Conrad Jafta

Subject: SE3272-Pixley Park - FGM (19Apr22-14h00)

Attachments: SE3272-Pixley Park - FGM (19Apr22-14h00) Rev 2.0.pdf

Dear Mr Conrad,

Please find the meeting notes for the meeting held 19th April 2022.

Kindly provide your input on or before the end of the commenting period (Mon 16th May 2022).

Kind regards,



t: +27 (0)11 656 3237 f: +27 (0) 86 684 0547

Nondumiso Bulunga

Lead Consultant:Social, Stakeholder Engagement & GIS

e: nondumiso@savannahsa.com

c: +27 (0) 79 458 0862

SAWEA Award for Leading Environmental Consultant on Wind Projects in 2013 & 2015

Processing of personal Information / POPIA compliance

We respect your privacy and acknowledge that this e-mail will contain Personal Information, which may belong to you, others and/or to your organization and which we will process. The processing of your personal information by Savannah Environmental may be included in reports submitted to governmental departments or on our public platforms, which processing will be done in accordance with our processing notice housed on our website - https://savannahsa.com/privacy-policy-privacy-policy-page/. By sending and/or receiving this message, you hereby consent to the lawful processing of personal information for the intended purposes, as described by the Protection of Personal Information Act, 2013 (Act No 4 of 2013).



VAT Reg No.: 4780226736

PIXLEY PARK CLUSTER THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF 4 SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC (PV) ENERGY FACILITIES (TOTAL **CAPACITY OF 500MW)**

MEETING NOTES OF THE KEY STAKEHOLDER WORKSHOP HELD ON THURSDAY, 21 APRIL 2022 AT 10H00 **VENUE: MICROSOFT TEAMS, VIRTUAL MEETING**

Notes for the Record prepared by:

Nondumiso Bulunga

Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd **E-mail:** publicprocess@savannahsa.com

Please address any comments to Savannah Environmental at the above address







MEETING ATTENDEES

Name	Organisation	Position
Johan Janse van	Mulilo Renewable Project	Project Engineer
Rensburg	Developments	
Andrew Pearson	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental Manager
	Developments	
Lloyd Barnes	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental and Land permitting
	Developments	officer
Jessie Yuill	Mulilo Renewable Project	Environmental Intern
	Developments	
Warren Morse	Mulilo Renewable Project	Director of Solar and Energy Storage
	Developments	
Aulicia Maifo	Department of Forestry, Fisheries	Biodiversity Mainstreaming EIA Intern
	and the Environment	
Portia Makitla	Department of Forestry, Fisheries	CBO: Biodiversity Mainstreaming & EIA
	and the Environment	
Lizell Stroh	SACAA	Obstacle Inspector
Ansoné Esterhuizen	Savannah Environmental	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
Jo-Anne Thomas	Savannah Environmental	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
Nondumiso Bulunga	Savannah Environmental	Lead Consultant: Social, Stakeholder
	3avariilari Eriviloriirilerilar	Engagement & GIS

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

Nondumiso Bulunga welcomed the attendees at the Key Stakeholder Workshop for the Pixley Park Cluster. A round of introductions were provided to attendees of the meeting.

The agenda was provided, and purpose of the meeting was presented by **Nondumiso Bulunga**.

APOLOGIES

None tendered.

BACKGROUND & TECHNICAL ASPECTS REGARDING THE PROPOSED PROJECT

Ansoné Esterhuizen provided an overview of the proposed Pixley Park Cluster for the proposed development of 4 Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Energy Facilities, Northern Cape Province, as well as a summary of the findings of the Scoping Report. **Ansoné Esterhuizen** presented the following key information:

- Provide stakeholders with an overview of the planned project
- Summary of the Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Process

- Provide stakeholders the opportunity to seek clarity regarding the environmental studies
- Opportunity to provide valuable input into/to inform the EIA process
- Record comments for inclusion in the submissions to DFFE

A copy of the virtual participants' attendance is attached as **Appendix A** and the presentation is attached as **Appendix B** to the meeting notes.

DISCUSSION SESSION

Raised By	Question / Comment	Response
Portia Makitla	Is the Main Transmission Substation (MTS) application underway for an Environmental Authorisation (EA)?	Ansoné Esterhuizen confirmed that it is correct that there is another 2 separate EA processes underway for the 2 MTS's proposed by Mulilo.
	Are there two applications underway for the substation?	Ansoné Esterhuizen confirmed that there are two separate applications underway.
	What if the approval of the substation is not feasible, or one that is not suitable?	Andrew Pearson responded that the two separate processes for the
	Why is this EA application underway when the substation is not approved?	substation have progressed and are well underway and that connection will take place at either one of them. The developer has already undertaken its own internal screening, and external screening by the project EAP and specialists, and at this stage of the process no major issues have been found in the specialist studies. The developer is taking a risk; however, this risk has been calculated and measured a while before the EA application for the PV facilities commenced.
		This particular EA application/s (i.e. for the Pixley Park Solar Cluster is required to begin, as it is a longer process than the EA process for the proposed MTS, with longer lead specialist studies such as bird monitoring.
		Warren Morse added that these two separate applications (i.e the

Raised By	Question / Comment	Response
		MTS applications) have been
		underway for some time. Each
		application has 2 alternatives in
		order to the reduce risk of neither
		being approved. This means that
		a total of four alternatives have
		been considered. Should this
		option not be approved then the
		option of considering connection
		to the Hydra Substation or the
		newly built Hydra B (about 50km
		away) would need to be considered. This may require the
		Pixley Park EAs needing to obtain
		amendments, or to obtain new EAs
		for new grid routes, and that is a risk
		that Mulilo has calculated and is
		willing to take.
	What did the screening tool in the DFFE refer	Ansoné Esterhuizen responded
	to regarding the ecological sensitivity? The	that the biodiversity theme in the
	specialist would need to ensure the correct	screening tool report was
	regulation/protocol is followed for the	indicated as very high sensitivity. It
	mitigation measures?	can be confirmed that the
		specialist will use and follow the
		correct regulation/protocol.
		Andrew Pearson added that part
		of the high sensitivity in the area is
		contributed by the site being in an
		important bird area, that is why a
		bird specialist study is underway as
		well as other specialist studies that
		will form part in the EIA phase.

WAY FORWARD AND CLOSURE

Nondumiso Bulunga asked whether there were any other environmental-related concerns or comments. It was noted by all attendees that no further comments needed to be raised at this time. **Nondumiso Bulunga** noted that any further comments can be directed to the project team during the remainder of the public review period.

The meeting was closed at 10h35am.

EA	Environmental Authorisation	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
DFFE	Department of Fisheries Forestry and	
	the Environment	
PV	Photovoltaic	
MTS	Main Transmission Substation	

From: Nondumiso Bulunga

Sent: Wednesday, 04 May 2022 09:11

To: Portia Makitla; Aulicia Maifo; Lizell Stroh **Subject:** SE3272-Pixley Park - KSW (21Apr22-10h00)

Attachments: SE3272-Pixley Park - KSW (21Apr22-10h00) Rev 2.0.pdf

Dear Colleagues,

Please find the meeting notes for the meeting held 21th April 2022.

Kindly provide your input on or before the end of the commenting period (Mon 16th May 2022).

Kind regards,



Nondumiso Bulunga

Lead Consultant:Social, Stakeholder Engagement & GIS

e: nondumiso@savannahsa.com

c: +27 (0) 79 458 0862

t: +27 (0)11 656 3237 f: +27 (0) 86 684 0547

SAWEA Award for Leading Environmental Consultant on Wind Projects in 2013 & 2015

Processing of personal Information / POPIA compliance

We respect your privacy and acknowledge that this e-mail will contain Personal Information, which may belong to you, others and/or to your organization and which we will process. The processing of your personal information by Savannah Environmental may be included in reports submitted to governmental departments or on our public platforms, which processing will be done in accordance with our processing notice housed on our website - https://savannahsa.com/privacy-policy-privacy-policy-page/. By sending and/or receiving this message, you hereby consent to the lawful processing of personal information for the intended purposes, as described by the Protection of Personal Information Act, 2013 (Act No 4 of 2013).