# Kleinfontein Solar PV1 Comments & Responses Report

### **Public Participation Process Followed**

Initial advertising of the Mercury Solar PV Cluster project (inclusive of Hormah Solar PV1; Ratpan Solar PV1, Vlakfontein Solar PV1, Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 as well as the Kleinfontein Solar PV1).

The PPP was confirmed for the Mercury Solar PV Cluster project by the DFEE on 26 October 2021 and confirmed during the Pre-Application Meeting (2021-10-0013 Mercury PV Cluster) held with the DFFE on 5 April 2022.

The Public Participation Programme (PPP) that is followed is described below. The PPP was conducted in terms of the Sections 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the NEMA EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.

# IAP Register: Landowner, Government Departments, Municipalities and other IAPs An Interested & Affected Party (IAP) register was compiled which includes the directly affected landowners, adjacent landowners, municipalities, government departments and other applicable

organisations. This register is being updated throughout the EIA process.

#### Focus Group Meetings with Directly Affected Landowners

Onsite meetings were held with the relevant directly affected landowners at different locations on Thursday 18 November 2021.

#### Initial Advertising & Communication

During this initial advertising the newspaper advertisements, onsite notices and Background Information Document (BID) advertised the entire Mercury Solar PV Cluster (5x solar PV facilities as well as the associated grid connections).

#### Onsite notification

Three A2 laminated onsite notices were placed on 22 March 2022 at the following places:

- Along the S729 (from which road the facility will be accessed) that connects the R76 and the S643
- The Mercury Main Transmission Substation
- The gate of the Viljoenskroon Post Office

#### Newspaper advertisement

Newspaper advertisements were placed in

- The Citizen (national newspaper) on 30 March 2022
- The Vrystaat Kroon (local newspaper) on 30 March 2022

#### **Background Information Document**

A BID was distributed to everyone on the IAP Register for a 30-day commenting period (31 March – 4 May 2022).

## Public Participation undertaken for the Kleinfontein Solar PV1, but the reference number of DFFE Ref Nr. 14/12/16/3/3/1/2740 is no longer applicable.

#### Distribution of the Draft BAR dated March 2023

The Draft BAR was distributed as follows:

- All IAPs identified in the IAP Register received notification via email that the Draft BAR was available for comment (proof thereof will be provided in the Final BAR).
- The Draft BAR was distributed for a 30-day (excluding public holidays). The commenting period commenced on 4 April 2023 and ended on 10 May 2023.
- All IAPs received an email with the Executive Summary and Draft BAR as an attachment. A link
  to the Draft BAR and all the Appendices were available on the Landscape Dynamics website
  (www.landscapedynamics.co.za) detailed instructions on how to access these documents were
  provided in the said e-mail.
- A hard copy of the Draft BAR was made available at the Nostalgia Coffee Shop in Viljoenskroon the availability of the hard copy of the Draft BAR at this location was mentioned in the abovementioned e-mail.
- The Application Form together with the Draft BAR was submitted to DFFE for comment via their online system.

#### The DFFE refusal of the Final BAR

Comment received on the Draft BAR was included in the Comment & Responses Report submitted as Appendix G(6) of the BAR. The Final BAR was not distributed for a further 30-day commenting because no substantial changes to the BAR have been made that could negatively impact on the rights of any of the IAPs.

Unfortunately the Final BAR submitted on 14 July 2023 was refused by the DFFE because the deadline for submission was on 7 July 2023. The EAPs were subsequently informed that the project was deleted from the DFFE system and the Draft BAR has to be communicated again for a 30-day commenting period as part of a new application to the DFFE.

## <u>Public Participation Process undertaken for the Draft BAR dated July 2023 submitted</u> with a new application to the DFFE

The PPP is conducted in terms of the Sections 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the NEMA EIA Regulations 2014, as amended.

### • IAP Register: Landowner, Government Departments, Municipalities and other IAPs

The Interested & Affected Party (IAP) register for the Mercury Solar PV Cluster has again been confirmed. This list includes the directly affected landowners, adjacent landowners, municipalities, government departments and other applicable organisations. This register is being updated throughout the EIA process.

#### Notification and Distribution of the Draft BAR

#### Onsite notification

Three A2 laminated onsite notices will be placed on 21 July 2023 at the following places:

- Along the S729 (from which road the facility will be accessed)
- o The Mercury Main Transmission Substation

The gate of the Viljoenskroon Post Office

#### Newspaper advertisement

Newspaper advertisements will/have been placed as follows (with notification that the Draft BAR is available for comment):

- The Citizen on 19 July 2023
- The Vista newspaper on 20 July 2023

#### **Notification Letters**

- All IAPs identified in the IAP Register will receive via e-mail notification of the project and that the Draft BAR is available for comment (proof thereof will be provided in the Final BAR). The notification e-mail will include an Executive Summary of the Draft BAR as an attachment. A link to the Draft BAR and all the appendices will be made available on the Landscape Dynamics website (www.landscapedynamics.co.za) detailed instructions on how to access these documents will be provided in the said e-mail.
- The Draft BAR will be distributed for a 30-day commenting period (excluding public holidays). The commenting period commences on 20 / 21 July 2023 and ends on 21 August 2023.
- The Application Form together with the Draft BAR was submitted to the DFFE for comment via their online system.

#### • The Submission of the Final BAR

Comment received on the Draft BAR will be included in the Comment & Responses Report submitted as part of the Final BAR. The Final BAR will not be distributed for a further 30-day commenting if substantial changes to the BAR are not required that could negatively impact on the rights of any of the IAPs.

#### **Comments & Responses**

All comments and responses received regarding this project are summarised and addressed on the following pages under the following headings:

- Communication during Focus Group Meetings
- Communication resulting from the Initial Advertising Period
- Communication om the Draft BAR, dated March 2023
- o Communication on the Draft BAR dated July 2023 submitted as part of a new application

### **Communication during Focus Group Meetings**

Focus Group Meetings held with the Directly Affected	Response from the EAP
The purpose of the meetings with the farmers was to obtain the following information:  Confirm the areas of land on their properties which they want to make available for solar farm development  Obtain their opinion in terms of agricultural potential of the land  Confirm restrictions in terms of agricultural development on specific portions of land.  Cey notes resulting from discussions with the landowners:  The Gossayn Family  They confirmed that sections of land are plantable but not highly producing.  Sections of land have little water retention and are situated on shallow soils and 'ouklip'.  The land suitable for planting is mostly limited to maize faming. Other farm products include peanuts, soya, beans and sunflowers.  They have little rainfall and no formal irrigation in place.  They indicated on the A1 map provided which areas are economically viable to farm and which cannot be economically farmed for the reasons provided above. This information will be considered by Mr Lanz in his agric screening report.  No farm labourers will be replaced, neither will any of the 7	The way forward was confirmed as follows:-  Mr Johann Lanz (the agricultural specialist) would provide a risk assessment based on his site verification as well as the communication with the farmers. His findings would be integrated with the recommendations of the other specialists and a combined preliminary sensitivity map could then be submitted to Mulilo for consideration. Should Mulilo decide to go ahead with this project, his detailed report will include a soil analysis of the relevant sections of land.
(i)	ne purpose of the meetings with the farmers was to obtain the llowing information:  Confirm the areas of land on their properties which they want to make available for solar farm development  Obtain their opinion in terms of agricultural potential of the land  Confirm restrictions in terms of agricultural development on specific portions of land.  rey notes resulting from discussions with the landowners:  The Gossayn Family  They confirmed that sections of land are plantable but not highly producing.  Sections of land have little water retention and are situated on shallow soils and 'ouklip'.  The land suitable for planting is mostly limited to maize faming. Other farm products include peanuts, soya, beans and sunflowers.  They have little rainfall and no formal irrigation in place.  They indicated on the A1 map provided which areas are economically viable to farm and which cannot be economically farmed for the reasons provided above. This information will be considered by Mr Lanz in his agric screening report.

#### farms

- No graves occur on the land.
- No land claims are registered against the land.
- Mr Gossayn said that they could provide offset land, but Mr Lanz confirmed that DALRRD wants to protect land with agricultural potential to be used in the future.
- They agreed to obtain evidence in terms of soil tests, depths, classification, etc

#### Mr Hans Pretorius

- He only farms with maize, but is restricted due to shallow ground and 'ouklip'.
- The depth of his soil is less than 120cm.
- A large portion of his land (approximately 340ha) has been used only for grazing over the last 10 years.
- His land has a relative flat topography and some areas are waterclogged which results in the drowning of the crops.
- Mr Lanz confirmed that DALRRD is not as concerned about grazing land as it is about land on which crop cultivation can take place.

#### Messrs Peet & Cobus Botha

- They indicated on the A1 map provided which areas do not have good agricultural potential.
- This is based on the occurrence of lots of sand, limited soil depths, 'ouklip' and a bluegum bush.
- They confirmed that the maize either drowns or dies of drought in specific areas

### Comment resulting from the Initial Advertising Period

A Background Information Document (BID) was distributed to all IAPs and a 30-day commenting period (31 March – 30 April 2022) applied. Comments received, and responses thereto are supplied in the table below.

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
South Africa Heritage Resource Agency  APM Assistant: Sityhilelo Ngcatsha  Comment received via SAHRIS on 22 April 2022	<ul> <li>Archaeological sites spanning the Earlier, Middle and Later Stone Age have been found in the region despite the extensive agricultural transformation. Burial grounds were identified within the broader region, therefore, an archaeological field survey is recommended. The archaeological component of the HIA should follow the SAHRA 2007 Minimum Standards: Archaeological Component of Impact Assessment Report.</li> <li>Given the identification of palaeontological sites near part of the study area and the indicators of fossil sensitivity identified during the Screening assessment, it is recommended that a palaeontologist conduct a field survey of the proposed solar PV areas. The report must comply with the 2012 Minimum Standards: Palaeontological Components of Heritage Impact Assessments.</li> <li>Any other heritage resources as defined in section 3 of the NHRA that may be impacted, such as built structures over 60 years old, sites of cultural significance associated with oral histories, burial grounds and graves, graves of victims of conflict, and cultural landscapes or viewscapes must also be assessed.  The NEMA EIA documents and appendices must be submitted at the start of the public review periods in order for an informed comment to be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>An archaeological field survey was undertaken and is discussed under Chapter 6 of this report and is attached under Appendix E(5).</li> <li>A Palaeontology desktop assessment was undertaken and it concluded that the palaeontological heritage is extremely low and mitigation is not proposed.</li> <li>A comprehensive HIA was undertaken and it concluded that no heritage, archaeological or palaeontological findings that require specific mitigation was identified and the project should, from a heritage perspective, proceed.</li> <li>All NEMA documents will be submitted to SAHRA for their comment and record keeping as part of the public participation programme.</li> </ul>

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
	issued that can be incorporated into the final reports for submission to the competent authority.	
Department of Water & Sanitation	The DWS confirmed receipt of the BID document and stated that it is receiving attention.	No further comment from DWS was received at that stage.
Office of the provincial head: Pule Joseph Lenong		
Received via e-mail on 30 March 2022		
Department of Police, Roads & Transport  Assistant Director Land Acquisition: Mr Hannes Maree and Directorate Road Asset Management Systems: Mr JPW Maree (Ref P29/4/201/P15/2)  Received via e-mail on 6 April 2022	The following conditions of the Department of Police, Roads & Transport must be adhered to  The following provincial roads are being affected:  Vlakfontein Solar PV1  Secondary road S643 (statutory road reserve width of 25m)  Tertiary road T3762 (statutory road reserve width of 16m)  Kleinfontein Solar PV1  Tertiary roads T3762 and T4388 (statutory road reserve width of 16m)  Zaaiplaats Solar PV1  Secondary road S729 (statutory road reserve width of 25m)  Hormah Solar PV1  Primary road P15/2 (statutory road reserve width of 32m)	All these conditions had been included in the EMPr.

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
	<ul> <li>Secondary road S1294 (statutory road reserve width of 25m)</li> </ul>	
	Ratpan Solar PV1  O Primary road P15/2 (statutory road reserve width of 32m)  O Secondary road S1294 (statutory road reserve width of 25m)	
	<ul> <li>The Department supports the above-mentioned development subject to the following conditions:         <ul> <li>No structures may be erected within 95m, measured from the centre line of the provincial road without written approval for the relaxation of the building line (structures include any overhead power line that will be erected parallel with or across the alignment of a provincial road).</li> <li>The Department will only be able to consider and approve any specific access/es on receipt of a completed application and drawing that shows the geometric layout and exact locality of the access/es. An application form was attached. The application for access can be considered</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>once a formal application has been submitted.</li> <li>The condition of the provincial gravel roads (secondary roads S642, S643, S729, S1294 and tertiary roads T3762 and T4388) is not in a good condition. The increase in traffic during the construction phase will require more intense routine maintenance and certain sections will have to be re-gravelled. Mulilo Renewable</li> </ul>	

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
	<ul> <li>Project Developments (Pty) Ltd will therefore be required to carry out such maintenance at their expenditure.</li> <li>No provincial borrow pits may be utilised for construction of the development. The Department must be contacted to indicate the positions of the provincial borrow pits (there are no provincial borrow pits and on the properties affected by the Mercury Solar PV Cluster).</li> <li>Applications for wayleave for any other operations, such as power lines, within the 95m measured form the centre line of the provincial roads, must be submitted on the similar application form as attached to their comment.</li> </ul>	
SOLA Group  Jnr Project Developer Ms Abigail Forbes  Received via e-mail on 5 May 2022	<ul> <li>Ms Forbes requested to be registered as a stakeholder for the Mulilo Mercury Cluster PV Projects on the basis that SOLA is developing similar projects in the area.</li> <li>A KML file of the development proposal was requested.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ms Forbes was added to the IAP register and the relevant KML files were emailed to her.</li> <li>No further comment was received</li> </ul>
Environamics  Senior EAP: Ms Lisa Opperman Received via e-mail on 12 April 2022	<ul> <li>Ms Opperman requested to be registered as an IAP since they are the EAPs for the Phofu Solar Power Plant, which is just south of the proposed Ratpan PV1 facility.</li> <li>The project information and access to any reports which have been released to the public to date were requested.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The IAP Register was updated with the contact details as requested.</li> <li>The BID, which was the only documented distributed to date, was attached for their perusal and comment.</li> <li>Landscape Dynamics requested to be added to the IAP</li> </ul>

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
		Register for the Phofu Solar Power Plant project.
Adjacent landowner  The Remainder of the Farm Kleinfontein, No 369 and the Owner of Wawielpark Holiday Resort: Mr Hansie Muller. Comment written and submitted by Mr Hannes Ollewagen on behalf of Mr Hansie Muller.  Received via e-mail on 6 May 2022	<ul> <li>Mr Muller has in principle no objection to the development of solar PV facilities in the Viljoenskroon area.</li> <li>It seems as if some of the proposed PV facilities are being planned on high quality crop land, which would most probably not being approved by the Department of Agricultural. Other solar developments in the area use grazing and not crop land for solar developments.</li> <li>The Wawielpark Holiday Resort is situated to the north of the proposed Mercury solar PV Cluster and it should be determined what the impact of the developments will be on this holiday resort. The resort must be easily accessible at all times and construction of the solar PV facilities must not hinder access to this development at any time. Holiday resorts have suffered greatly during the last 2 years.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is noted that Mr Muller has in principle no objection to the proposed solar PV development.</li> <li>A comprehensive Agricultural Impact Assessment was undertaken for this project and the development proposal as submitted in the BID changed to specifically exclude high quality agricultural land. Further note that application has been made to the Department of Agriculture for No Objection letters, without which Environmental Authorisation will in all probability not being granted. High quality agricultural land will thus not be developed.</li> <li>The Wawielpark Holiday Resort is approximately 6km away from the closest proposed solar PV facility. The resort is furthermore on the banks of the Vaal River and not in close proximity to the major routes that will be used during either the construction or operational period of the proposed solar PV facilities. A Traffic &amp; Transport Management Plan was compiled by JG Afrika traffic engineers and it was concluded that, with the implementation of mitigation measures, the impact on the traffic during all phases of development will be low and acceptable. It is highly unlikely that access to the Wawielpark Holiday Resort will be hindered during all phases of the Mercury Solar PV Cluster development.</li> </ul>

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
		Mercury Solar PV Cluster  Google Earth
Harmony Gold Mining Company  Electrical Engineer — Renewable Energy: Mr Louis Botha  Received via e-mail on 7 and 13 April 2022.	<ul> <li>Mr Botha requested to be registered as an IAP</li> <li>The KML files of the proposed development was requested</li> <li>Mr Botha phoned Landscape Dynamics and explained that a special procedure needs to be followed when power lines crosses land belonging to a mine.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mr Botha and the Harmony mine is a registered IAP.</li> <li>The requested KML files were emailed to Mr Botha</li> <li>It is Landscape Dynamics' understanding that the following two properties may be affected (the white blocks on the map below): <ul> <li>a. Remainder of the Farm, Moab, No 279</li> <li>b. The Farm Zaaiplaats, Portion 2, No 190</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
		<ul> <li>Landscape Dynamics requested Mr Botha in several emails to raise his concerns in writing or that a meeting with Mulilo can be arranged, but no further reply from Mr Botha was received.</li> <li>Appropriate liaison will take place with the mine during the grid registration application (separate to this EA Application) as part of the Public Participation process required in terms of the Government Notice Nr 2313, 27 July 2022: Standard for the Development and Expansion of Power Lines and Substations within identified Geographical Areas, promulgated on 27 July 2022</li> </ul>

### Comment on the Draft Basic Assessment Report dated March 2023

Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
Department of Forestry & Fisheries	Project description/ components	
5 May 2023 Received via email DFFE Chief Director: Integrated Environmental Authorisations: Ms Olivia Letlalo	On page vii of the draft BAR, it is mentioned that "the <u>onsite 132kV</u> <u>switching station</u> and a 132kV power line which will be handed over to Eskom after construction will be dealt with according to the above-mentioned stipulations in a separate application. The <u>substation</u> however forms part of the project components of the Kleinfontein PV facility and will be dealt with under this application." Please clarify if the substation and the onsite switching station both refer to the	The IPP Substation indicated on the project layout submitted with the Final BAR is a separate substation to the Eskom Substation/Switching Station for which a separate application for registration in terms of Government Notice Nr 2313, 27 July 2022: Standard for the Development and Expansion of Power Lines and Substations
DFFE Ref Nr: 14/12/16/3/3/1/2740	"Onsite 132 kV Independent Power Producer (IPP) Substation" that forms part of the project component on page 11 of the draft BAR.	within identified Geographical Areas, promulgated on 27 July 2022 will be made to the DFFE as it

• In addition to the above, there is contradiction between the project components on page ii of the draft BAR, and the statement on page iv of the draft BAR which states "Components of a separate application for Environmental Authorisation as it would be handed over to Eskom (to be developed by the IPP under a self-build agreement with Eskom): the onsite 132kV Eskom switching station, and a 132kV power line connecting the Kleinfontein Solar PV1 facility to the Mercury MTS". Please ensure that the information submitted with the final BAR is clear on the project components for this application.

#### **Application form and Listed Activities**

- It has been noted that the exclusions on the triggered listed activities applied for are not included. Therefore, you are advised to include in the amended application form as well as final BAR all the relevant exclusions related to the listed activities applied for. For instance, activity 11 of Listing Notice (LN) 1 has been applied for, however the exclusions have not been quoted in the application form.
- It has been noted that the word "could and should" has been used in the description of the activity 15 of LN 1 and 10 of LN 3. Please refrain from using such word, since it creates an uncertainty regarding the applicability of the listed activity applied for.
- For activity 15 of LN 1 it is indicated that "the total property is 354ha in extent of which the renewable infrastructure will affect approximately 290ha". As per the LN 1 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 this activity is triggered if there will be clearance of an area of 1ha or more but less than 20ha of indigenous vegetation. You are required to clarify the applicability of this activity and it is also unclear as to whether indigenous vegetation will be cleared or not. Alternatively, remove this listed activity in the amended application form and final BAR, if

would be handed over to Eskom.

The Eskom switching station and the 132kV powerline connecting the PV facility with the grid does not form part of the application for Environmental Authorisation for the Kleinfontein Solar PV1. The information is provided to give additional background information and is provided separate to the table that contains the project components which require Environmental Authorisation as part of this application for the Kleinfontein Solar PV1.

#### **Application form and Listed Activities**

The application form (Version April 2021) had been amended with the following:

- All the exclusions were added to the listed activities in the amended Application Form as well as Final BAR.
- The words 'could' and 'should' were all replaced with 'will' in the amended Application Form as well as Final BAR.
- The list of applicable activities had been reassessed (including Activities 15 and 19 from LN1 and Activity 10 of LN3) and changes were made where required.
- The applicable main and sub-activities are clearly indicated.

not triggered.

- For activity 19 of LN 1, it is noted that the description provided is that "a farm dam (confirmed by the ecologist as having a low sensitivity) will be filled up to expand the developable area of the PV farm". You must indicate why the activity is triggered by specifying the amount of material to be excavated or infilled.
- For activities 24 and 28 of LN 1, main activities (i) and (ii) have been included in the application form and DBAR. Please ensure that the revised application form and final BAR indicate only the applicable main activities.
- Please ensure that all relevant listed activities are applied for, are specific and can be linked to the development activity or infrastructure as described in the project description. In addition, the onus is on the applicant and the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) to ensure that all the applicable listed activities are included in the application and the final BAR. Failure to do so may result in unnecessary delays in the processing of the application.
- If the activities applied for in the application form differ from those
  mentioned in the final BAR, an amended application form must be
  submitted for final review and decision making. Please note that the
  Department's has been amended and can be downloaded from the
  following link https://www.environment.gov.za/documents/forms
- According to the LN 3 activities 4, 10, 14 and 18 applied for, the
  proposed development is located 1.2km from the Protected Area
  National Park and Nature Reserve (Mispah Game Farm). You are
  required to provide proof of approval in terms of Section 50 of NEM:
  PAA from the relevant management authority of such protected area.

 Find attached as Appendix G(7) confirmation of approval for the project from the management authority in terms of Section 50 of NEM: PAA.

#### **Alternatives**

- It has been noted on page iii of the draft BAR that the Solid-State
  Batteries (SSB) is the preferred Battery Energy Storage System
  (BESS) that will be constructed on approximately 4.5ha. However, it is
  unclear if the BESS will come to site preassembled or not. Please
  provide clarity with regard to the above concern in the final BAR.
- Please note that Appendix 1(3)(1)(h)(x) of the Environmental Impact
  Assessment (EIA) Regulations, 2014, as amended, requires that "if no
  alternatives, including alternative locations for the activity were
  investigated, the motivation for not considering such" must be
  included in the BAR. You are therefore required to provide a
  motivation should other alternative sites, routes, layouts, and
  technologies not be considered.
- A description of the process followed to reach the preferred alternative within the site as per Appendix 1(3)(1)(h)(i) of the EIA Regulations (2014), as amended, must be incorporated into the final BAR.

#### **Layout & Sensitivity Maps**

- The CA acknowledges the inclusion of the Environmental Sensitivity map in Appendix B of the draft BAR. However, you are advised to submit a layout map that indicates the following (but not limited to the below). Please ensure the findings of the specialists advise what needs to be incorporated in the development layout.
  - The PV development area.
  - Position of all infrastructure e.g., BESS, on-site substation and their coordinates.
  - Permanent laydown area footprint.
  - All supporting onsite infrastructure e.g., roads (existing and proposed).
  - Connection routes (including pylon positions) to the distribution/transmission network; and
  - o All existing infrastructure on the site.

#### **Alternatives**

- Solid State Batteries (SSB) as the preferred Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) will get to the site pre-assembled
- Alternatives have been considered comprehensively in this application and are described in detail in Chapter 5 of the Final BAR.
- A description of the process followed to reach the preferred alternative as well as the details of the alternatives considered are described in detail under Chapter 5 of the Final BAR.

#### **Layout & Sensitivity Maps**

The following maps (amongst other) are provided in the Final BAR as per the DFFE requirement:

- B(3) SANBI Map, Free State Conservation Plan 2015
- B(4) Protected Area Map
- B(5) Combined Environmental Sensitivities Map
- B(6) Cumulative Maps
  - B(6)(a) Cumulative Map Renewable Energy Projects 30km Buffer
  - B(6)(b) Cumulative Map Renewable Energy Projects in Focused Area
- B(7) KMZ File of Combined Environmental Sensitivities Map
- Clear legends are included in the Combined

- The location of sensitive environmental features on site e.g., CBAs, ESAs, heritage sites, wetlands, drainage lines etc. that will be affected.
- Buffer areas of the above sensitive areas; and
- All "no-go" areas.
- Please ensure that the above map has a clear legend that communicates with details of the map.
- The above map must be overlain with a sensitivity map and a cumulative map which shows the proposed Solar PV development as well as neighbouring renewable energy developments.
- Images and Google maps will not be accepted for decision-making purposes.

#### **Specialist Assessments**

- According to the screening report, the Aquatic Biodiversity theme,
   Landscape theme and Terrestrial Biodiversity theme are rated as very
   high sensitivity. Agricultural theme, and Palaeontology theme are rated
   as high sensitivity. However, Animal Species theme, and Plant Species
   theme have medium sensitivity, whereas Archaeological and Cultural
   Heritage theme, Avian theme, Civil Aviation theme, Defence theme and
   RFI theme, all have low sensitivity. Therefore, you are advised to submit
   a site verification report and motivation for the exclusions of any
   specialist studies identified by the screening tool.
- It is brought to your attention that Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation, which were published in Government Notice No. 320 of 20 March 2020 (i.e., "the Protocols"), and in Government Notice No. 1150 of 30 October 2020, have come into effect. Please note that specialist assessments must be conducted in accordance with these protocols unless proof is provided to demonstrate that the specialist assessments were commissioned prior

- Sensitivity Map and the Cumulative Maps as per the DFFE requirement.
- Google maps were not used for the finalisation thereof.

#### **Specialist Assessments**

- Chapter 6, paragraph 6.2 deals exclusively with the DFFE Screening Tool. Motivation is provided why certain specialist studies were not conducted for this project.
- The Site Verification Report has been compiled and is included as Appendix B(1)(b) in the Final BAR.
- All specialist studies were done according to the applicable protocols of the DFFE.
- Specialist assessments were *not* commissioned prior to 50 days after the promulgation of GN 320 and after promulgation of GN1150 (30 October 2020).

to 50 days after the promulgation of GN 320 and after promulgation of GN1150 (30 October 2020).

#### **Additional Specialist Requirement**

- In addition to the above, you are hereby drawn to the following:
  - The Specialist Declaration of interest forms must be attached for all specialist studies to be conducted in the final BAR. The forms are available on Department's website (please use the Department's template).
  - Specialist studies to be conducted must provide a detailed description of their methodology, as well as indicate the locations and descriptions of proposed rehabilitation, and all other proposed structures that they have assessed and are recommending for authorisations.
  - The specialist studies must also provide a detailed description of all limitations to their studies. All specialist studies must be conducted in the right season and providing that as a limitation, will not be accepted.
  - Should the appointed specialists specify contradicting recommendations, the EAP must clearly indicate the most reasonable recommendation and substantiate this with defendable reasons; and where necessary, include further expertise advice.
  - ➤ Please note further that the protocols require certain specialists' to be registered with SACNASP. Refer to the relevant protocols in this regard.
  - Please include a table in the final BAR summarising the specialist studies required by the Screening Tool, a column indicating whether these studies will be conducted or not, and motivation if any study will not be undertaken. Please note that if any of the specialists' studies and requirements recommended in the Department's Screening Tool are not commissioned, motivation for such must be provided in the report, as per the requirements

#### **Additional Specialist Requirement**

- Specialist Declaration of interest forms are attached under Appendix I(4). The Department's template was obtained from the DFFE website.
- The specialists confirmed that they did provide the methodologies of their assessments and have considered the relevant project components and provided appropriate and clear mitigation.
- The ecologists confirmed that their site assessments were conducted in the correct season.
- The relevant specialists' reports contain a description of limitations where relevant.
- No contradicting recommendations were made by the specialists.
- All the relevant specialists are registered with SACNASP. Their certificates are provided in Appendix I(5).
- Chapter 6, paragraph 6.2 deals exclusively with the screening tool. Motivation is provided why certain specialist studies were not conducted for this project. This information is provided in column format.
- The Site Verification Report has been compiled and is included as Appendix B(1)(b) in the Final BAR.
- The site verification of each specialist is summarised under paragraph 6.2.3 and the detail site verification reports are included in each

- of the Protocols.
- ➤ Please also ensure that the final BAR includes the Site Verification Report as required by the relevant environmental themes and assessments.
- Should it be determined that there is a need for additional specialist studies to be undertaken based on the outcome of public participation, these must be commissioned and be included in the final BAR reports for public comment.

#### **Participation Process**

- Please ensure that comments from all relevant stakeholders are submitted to the Department with the final BAR. This includes but not limited to the Department of Forestry, Fisheries, and the Environment (DFFE): Protected Areas Planning and Management Effectiveness Directorate, Biodiversity Planning and Conservation (BCAdmin@environment.gov.za); Free State Department of Economic Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs, South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA), South African Civil Aviation Authority, Endangered Wildlife Trust, Birdlife South Africa, Department of Human Settlement, Water and Sanitation, South African National Defence Force, Local interest groups, for example: Councillors and Rate Payers associations; Surrounding landowners, Farmer Organisations, Environmental Groups and NGOs; and Grassroots communities and structures as well as the affected district and local municipalities.
- Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders must be included in the Final BAR. Should you be unable to obtain comments, proof must be submitted to the Department of the attempts that were made to obtain comments.
- The Public Participation Process must be conducted in terms of Regulations 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended and the approved Public Participation Plan.
- The comments and response trail report (C&R) must be submitted

- specialist assessment report, as attached under Appendix E.
- No additional specialist studies were identified during the course of the PPP.

#### **Participation Process**

- Comments received from the relevant stakeholders are all included in this Comments & Responses Report.
- Copies of the written comment received and communication is attached in Appendix G(3(f) and G(5).
- Proof of attempts made to obtain comment, is attached under Appendix G(3)(e) and G(4)(f).
- Public participation was conducted in terms of Regulations 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA Regulations 2014, as amended. The PP plan and the DFFE approval thereof are attached as Appendix G(6).
- The C&R Report (this document) is attached as Appendix G(6) of the Final BAR as a separate document. It contains all comment received. The format of the C&R Report is as per the DFFE request.
- All comment is comprehensively captured and adequately addressed in this document.

- with the final BAR. The C&R report must incorporate all comments for this development. The C&R report must be a separate document from the main report and the format must be in the table format as indicated in Annexure 1 of this comments letter.
- Please ensure that all issues raised, and comments received during
  the circulation of the BAR from registered I&APs and organs of state
  which have jurisdiction (including this Department's comments) in
  respect of the proposed activity are adequately addressed. Comments
  made by I&APs must be comprehensively captured (copy verbatim if
  required) and responded to clearly and fully. Please note that a
  response such as "Noted" is not regarded as an adequate response to
  I&AP's comments.

#### **Cumulative Impact**

- It has been noted on page 2 of the draft BAR that, the Kleinfontein Solar PV1 is part of the Mercury Solar PV Cluster EA application submitted to the CA within the geographic area of investigation. Further to this it is indicated on page 94 of the draft BAR that," there are currently three approved renewable energy projects within a 30km radius around the proposed Mercury Solar PV Cluster", therefore, the cumulative impact assessment for all identified and assessed impacts must be refined to indicate the following:
- Assess the cumulative impacts of the proposed (not yet authorised), authorised (not yet constructed) and existing solar energy facilities.
- Detailed process flow and proof must be provided, to indicate how the specialist's recommendations, mitigation measures and conclusions from the various similar developments in the area were taken into consideration in the assessment of cumulative impacts and when the conclusion and mitigation measures were drafted for this project.
- > The cumulative impacts significance rating must also inform the need and desirability of the proposed development.
- A cumulative impact environmental statement on whether the proposed development must proceed.

#### **Cumulative Impact**

- The cumulative impact is comprehensively addressed in Chapter 9, Paragraph 9.2.3 of the Final BAR. All specialists amended their reports where required to include a detailed cumulative impact description as per the DFFE's comment.
- Cumulative impact maps are also included under Paragraph 9.2.3. and are attached as Appendices B(6)(a) and B(6)(b).

#### **Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)**

- A 132kV IPP substation is being mentioned as part of the infrastructure to form part of the proposed development in activity 11 of Listing Notice 1. Therefore, ensure that the generic EMPr that complies with the GN 435 of March 2022 is submitted in the final report.
- The EMPr that complies with Appendix 4 of the EIA Regulations, as amended, for the facility must be submitted with the final report and must include all recommendations and mitigation measures recorded in the BAR and the specialist studies conducted.
- The EMPr must distinguish between impact management actions and impact management outcomes as per Appendix 4(1)(1)(d) & (f) of the EIA Regulations 2014 (as amended).
- The EMPr must not contain any ambiguity. Where applicable, statements containing the word "should" or "may" are to be amended to "must".

#### General

- You are further reminded to comply with Regulation 19(1)(a) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, which states that: Where basic assessment must be applied to an application, the applicant must, within 90 days of receipt of the application by the competent authority, submit to the competent authority -
  - (a) a basic assessment report, inclusive of specialist reports, an EMPr, and where applicable a closure plan, which have been subjected to a public participation process of at least 30 days and which reflects the incorporation of comments received, including any comments of the competent authority."

#### **Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)**

- The Generic EMPr that complies with the GN 435 of March 2022 and applicable to substations with associated addenda is included as follows: Appendix H(2)
  - (a) The Gazetted Generic Environmental Management Programme
  - (b) Part B, Section 2 Site specific information and Declaration for the IPP Substation
  - (c) DFFE Screening Tool Report.
- Part B: Section 2 of the Generic EMPr has been signed on the last page of the document.
- The EMPr does distinguish between impact management actions and impact management outcomes as per Appendix 4(1)(1)(d) & (f) of the EIA Regulations 2014 (as amended).
- The EMPr does not contain any ambiguity and, where applicable, statements containing the word "should" or "may" were amended to "must".

#### General

- The BA process does comply with Regulation 19(1)(a) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014 and the final BAR is submitted to the DFFE within 90 days. The BAR includes all specialist reports, an EMPr and was subject to a 30-day commenting period (excluding public holidays) and all comment received is reflected herein.
- No significant changes or new information was added to the BAR or EMPr and it is not required to

	<ul> <li>Should there be significant changes or new information that has been added to the BAR or EMPr which changes or information was not contained in the reports or plans consulted on during the initial public participation process, you are required to comply with Regulation 19(b) of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, which states: "the applicant must, within 90 days of receipt of the application by the competent authority, submit to the competent authority – (b) a notification in writing that the basic assessment report, inclusive of specialist reports an EMPr, and where applicable, a closure plan, will be submitted within 140 days of receipt of the application by the competent authority, as significant changes have been made or significant new information has been added to the basic assessment report or EMPr or, where applicable, a closure plan, which changes or information was not contained in the reports or plans consulted on during the initial public participation process contemplated in subregulation (1)(a) and that the revised reports or, EMPr or, where applicable, a closure plan will be subjected to another public participation process of at least 30 days".</li> <li>Should you fail to meet any of the timeframes stipulated in Regulation 19 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2014, as amended, your application will lapse.</li> <li>You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998, as amended, that no activity may commence prior to an Environmental Authorisation being granted by the Department.</li> </ul>	distribute the BAR for a further 30-day commenting period.  All timelines as stipulated in Regulation 19 have been met.  No activity will commence in the absence of Environmental Authorisation issued by the DFFE.
DFFE Directorate Biodiversity Conservation  Kamogelo Mathetja	They acknowledged receipt of the Draft BAR for review and comment. The names of the two case officers for the project had also been confirmed.	No comment had been received. A follow-up e-mail was sent by the EAPs on 12 May 2023. No comment was received up to the date that the BAR was finalised (10 July 2023).
Received via e-mail on 13 April 2023		

They confirmed the <u>findings of CTS Heritage</u> in terms of the Kleinfontein Solar PV1:

#### Archaeological sites spanning the Earlier, Middle and Later Stone Age have been found in the region despite the extensive agricultural transformation of the area. The development region is underlain by the underlain by Quaternary deposits and the Malmani subgroup along with the Allanridge Formation. It is therefore, recommended that an archaeological and palaeontological assessment of the proposed area be undertaken.

• Isolated individual artefacts along with a site of a small concentration of artefacts were documented within the development footprint along with one isolated historic burial and a historic burial ground. It is extremely unlikely that fossils would be preserved in the overlying deep soils and sands of the Quaternary. In the northernmost section (Kleinfontein PV1 only north of the grid connection), there is a very small chance that fossils may occur in the shales below ground of the early Permian Vryheid Formation. The impact on the palaeontological heritage would be low, therefore, as far as the palaeontological is concerned, the projects should be authorised.

#### Recommendations

- A no development buffer of 20m must be implemented around site VK4.
- A no-development buffer of 40m is recommended around the isolated burial (CVK100) and a no-development buffer of 100m is recommended around the burial ground (CVK101) to ensure that no impact takes place and that the sense of place associated with the burials is retained.
- Ongoing community access to these burials, as well as their conservation into the future, must be ensured. This can be managed through the development of a Heritage Management Plan for the burials to be implemented for the

#### Findings of CTS Heritage

- An archaeological field survey was undertaken and is discussed under Chapter 6 of this report and attached under Appendix F.
- A Palaeontology desktop assessment was undertaken and it concluded that the palaeontological heritage is extremely low and mitigation is not proposed.
- A comprehensive HIA was undertaken and the heritage, archaeological and palaeontological findings that require specific mitigation were identified. The mitigation measures had been addressed in the layout and the requirement in terms of layout and buffer zones, compilation of a Heritage Management Plan and a Pre-Construction Archaeological Walk-down and Fossil Chance Find Protocol are now included in the EMPr.
- Site VK4 in the SAHRA comment does not fall in the Kleinplaats Solar PV1 project area. It falls within the Ratpan Solar PV1 site.
- Sites CVK10 and CVK101 in the SAHRA comment do not fall within the Kleinfontein project area, it falls within the Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 project site.
- There are no grave sites on the Kleinfontein Solar PV1 site; therefore the proposed Heritage Management Plan is not relevant to the Kleinfontein Solar PV1 site.
- CTS Heritage concluded that from a heritage (inclusive of archaeology and palaeontology) there is no reason why the proposed Kleinfontein Solar

## South Africa Heritage Resource Agency

APM Assistant: Sityhilelo Ngcatsha

Comment received via SAHRIS on 4 May 2023

Case ID: 21031

- duration of the project.
- A pre-construction Archaeological Walkdown is recommended to identify any unmarked or hidden burials or significant archaeological resources within the development area.
- Should any buried archaeological resources or human remains or burials be uncovered during the course of development activities, work must cease in the vicinity of these finds. The South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) must be contacted immediately in order to determine an appropriate way forward.
- The proposed development area is underlain by Quaternary sands and alluvium the northernmost part of Zaaiplaats PV 1 and Biesiesfontein PV 1 are underlain by very highly sensitive rocks of the Vryheid Formation, however the study area has been disturbed by agricultural activities. A Fossil Chance Find Protocol should be added to the EMPr.

#### Additional SAHRA requirement:

The following comments are made as a requirement in terms of section 3(4) of the NEMA Regulations and section 38(8) of the NHRA in the format provided in section 38(4) of the NHRA and must be included in the Final BAR Report and EMPr:

- 38(4)a The SAHRA Development Application Unit (DAU) and the Burial Grounds and Graves (BGG) Unit has no objections to the proposed development;
- 38(4)b The recommendations of the specialists are supported and must be adhered to. Further conditions are recommended for the development:
  - A Heritage Management Plan must be developed for the maintenance of the identified burial sites. In the event that grave relocation is deemed feasible, the

PV1 cannot be approved, on condition that the mitigation measures proposed are implemented.

#### Additional SAHRA requirement

- All the SAHRA conditions had been included in the EMPr in Appendix H(1).
- All NEMA documents will be submitted to SAHRA for their comment and record keeping as part of the public participation programme.

- prescribed 60 days consultation process must be initiated to obtain consent for from the next of kin(s);
- 38(4)c(i) If any evidence of archaeological sites or remains (e.g. remnants of stone-made structures, indigenous ceramics, bones, stone artefacts, ostrich eggshell fragments, charcoal and ash concentrations), fossils or other categories of heritage resources are found during the proposed development, SAHRA APM Unit (Sityhilelo Ngcatsha/Natasha Higgitt 021 202 8660) must be alerted as per section 35(3) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51(1)e of the NHRA and item 5 of the Schedule;
- 38(4)c(ii) If unmarked human burials are uncovered, the SAHRA Burial Grounds and Graves (BGG) Unit (Thingahangwi Tshivhase/Ngqabutho Madida 012 320 8490), must be alerted immediately as per section 36(6) of the NHRA. Non-compliance with section of the NHRA is an offense in terms of section 51(1)e of the NHRA and item 5 of the Schedule;
- 38(4)d See section 51 of the NHRA regarding offences;
- 38(4)e The following conditions apply with regards to the appointment of specialists:
- Oll fheritage resources are uncovered during the course of the development, a professional archaeologist or palaeontologist, depending on the nature of the finds, must be contracted as soon as possible to inspect the heritage resource. If the newly discovered heritage resources prove to be of archaeological or palaeontological significance, a Phase 2 rescue operation may be required subject to permits issued by SAHRA;
- The Final BAR Report and EMPr must be submitted to SAHRA for record purposes;
- The decision regarding the EA Application must be communicated to SAHRA and uploaded to the SAHRIS Case application.

## Department of Police, Roads & Transport

Assistant Director Land Acquisition: Mr Hannes Maree and Directorate Road Asset Management Systems: Mr JPW Maree

5 April 2023

Comment received via e-mail Department of Police, Roads & Transport: Assistant Director Land Acquisition: Mr Hannes Maree and Directorate Road Asset Management Systems: Mr JPW Maree They again provided their comment and specifications supplied in their letter dated <u>6 April 2022</u> (summarised above) and stated that in addition to the comment provided before, they require the following:

A Traffic Impact Study (TIA) must be undertaken by a professional traffic engineer who is registered with ECSA. This must be submitted to them for review and comment. The TIA will determine the extent of the effect of the development on the provincial road network and the access requirement. Their Department's final comment will only be provided one the TIA has been reviewed.

All the conditions provided by the Department are included in the EMPr

## Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited

17 and 18 April 2028 Received via e-mail Mr Carlo Geel, the Environmental Manager, Harmony (North-West Operations), For the proposed PV cluster, they requested that the following be considered:

- make sure about firebreak/ firefighting arrangements (engage with neighboring farmers/ land owners)
- make sure about informing affected communities
- engage timeously with the local authority regarding authorized general waste disposal and potable water supply
- plan for the ultimate disposal of hazardous waste/ material e.g., batteries and solar panels
- ensure effective security arrangements (the area have a lot of illegal mining activities)

- All the conditions are included in the EMPr.
- At this stage it is believed that the solar facilities planned will not impact negatively on this Gliding Club, due to the nature of the solar facility structures and the nearest distance to the development area being approximately 8km southeast of the gliding club. The powerlines (grid connection) associated with the solar cluster are 132kV lines and all connect to the very nearby existing Mercury MTS numerous 132kV and 400kV powerline already traverse the macro area.
- The South African Civil Aviation Authority (CAA),

•	plan/ ensure for dust mitigation and preferably fall-out dust
	monitoring (solar farms expose a lot of unprotected land).

- ensure a complaints registers/ reporting system
- effectively assess/geology the land, as the planned areas might be prone to sinkhole formations (dolomitic areas)

They were also concerned about the impact pf the PV farm on the Gliding Club which is situated on the Vaal Reefs (Northwest side) of the Vaal River The provided an Google Map image to indicate the position of the facility.

Ms Lizell Stroh (Inspector: Obstacles (Solar and Windfarms) and Ms Evelyn Shogole (Environmental Specialist) who deals with obstacles have also been CC'd on this email and their response if any will be communicated with yourself.

 No feedback and/or comment had been received from the CAA.

## Department of Water & Sanitation,

Dr T Ntuli (Provincial Head: Free State), care of Z. Mathiso (Ref Nr 16/2/7/C702/D8/4)

25 April 2023 Received via e-mail • They provided their conditions in terms of water use authorisation.

- They confirmed the following:
  - The document assessed highlighted that groundwater abstraction and storage thereof will take place at the proposed activity however this will be determined during the design phase of the project and will be included in the application for water use authorization for section 21 (a) and section 21 (b) water use.
  - It is further noted that as some of the renewable infrastructure proposed activities are located near a delineated aquatic feature (within 500m from a wetland), they pose a risk of changing the bed, banks or characteristics of the watercourses or impeding or diverting flow in the watercourses. In this regards a section 21(c & i) of the National Water Act is triggered and the application will be required thereof.
  - It is important to note that responsibility rests with the applicant to ensure that the necessary authorisations required for undertaking any water uses as listed under Section 21 of the NWA are timeously applied for.
  - Notwithstanding the above, the responsibility rests with the applicant to identify any sources or potential sources of

- An Application for Water use Authorisation will be made as soon as the project had been awarded Preferred Bidder Status. At that time sufficient consideration will be given to all project components, volumes and associated activities that are triggered in terms of Section 21 of the National Water Act, Act 36 of 1998.
- All the conditions stated by the DWS are included in the EMPr.

- pollution from his undertaking and to take appropriate measures to prevent any pollution of the environment. Failure to comply with the requirements of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998) could lead to legal action being instituted against the applicant.
- In case of leakages or spillages of hydrocarbons this department must be informed within 24 hours and immediate clean-up procedure must be conducted as stipulated in section 19 of the National Water Act; (Act 36 of 1998), any clean-up of the contaminants must be disposed of in a permitted hazardous landfill site and remediation report for the clean-up measures must be sent to the Department for comments before implementation.
- O In cases where the applicant intent to construct any underground insulations (e.g., batteries), the applicant is required to provide this Department with any information regarding any underground installation. The applicant must also ensure to put measures in place to minimize the potential for leakage and contamination of water resources.
- The applicant must ensure that all hazardous and domestic waste generated is disposed of at a licensed landfill site. A signed copy of service agreement shall be submitted to this Department to demonstrate that indeed provision will be made to render such services.
- Storm water must be managed and channelled effectively also the details of the storm water management plan must be forwarded to the local municipality for approval.
- The applicant must at all times take note of the pollution control provisions of Section 19(1) of the NWA which states that:
  - 'An owner of land, a person in control of land or a person who occupies or uses the land on which (a) any activity or process if performed or undertaken; or (b) any other situation exists which causes, has caused or is likely to cause pollution of a

	<ul> <li>water resource, must take all reasonable measures to prevent any such pollution of a water resource from occurring, continuing or recurring.'</li> <li>The Applicant must inform the DWS of any incidents that are likely to have a pollution impact on water resources in relation to the proposed activity, within 24 hours of the occurring of such incidents.</li> </ul>	
The Free State Province Department of Community Safety, Roads & Transport  The Director: Road Asset Management Systems  Received via e-mail on 5 April 2023.  Ref Nr P29/4/201/P15/2 (Mr JPW Maree)	They again attached their comment provided during the Initial Advertising Process dated 6 April 2022. These comments are addressed under the relevant heading above.  In addition, they also require that a Traffic Impact Study be conducted by a professional traffic engineer registered with ECSA and that that document be submitted to their department for review and comment. The Traffic Impact Study will determine the extent of the effect of the development on the provincial road network and the access requirements. The Departments final comment will only be submitted once the TIA has been reviewed	A Traffic and Transport Management Impact Assessment was conducted by JG Afrika and was distributed with the Draft BAR for comment.  The requirement for continuous liaison with the department is included in the EMPr.
Eskom Transmission  11 April 2023, received via e-mail from Mr John Geeringh, Senior Consultant Environmental Management  12 April 2023, received via e-mail Mr Letlhonogo Padi, Senior Advisor Senior Advisor Land & Rights	<ul> <li>Eskom Transmission concerns and recommendations</li> <li>Mr Geeringh requested KMZ files of the affected properties for all of the proposed development footprints and proposed grid connections.</li> <li>Mr Padi provided their depictions of how the 2X 765kV power lines of the Mercury-Umtu 765kV project will be affected by each of the 5 solar plant facilities. He confirmed that the servitude width for both lines will be between 200m to 220m wide and will mostly be running parallel to the existing powerlines.</li> <li>Two meetings were arranged by Eskom with Mulilo (the Applicant) and the EAPs for both the Merensky-Umtu 765kV project and the Mercury Solar PV Cluster Project. These meetings were held via</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mr Geeringh was supplied with all the required information.</li> <li>Mr Warren Morse from Mulilo confirmed that all nine grid connection registrations applications made in terms of the Standard are done because it is at this stage unknown in which order the solar facilities will be built. The planning already accommodates relevant loop-in-loop-out lines from the onsite Eskom Substations to the ultimately one main line to enter the MTS. They trust this will successfully address the concern of congestion.</li> <li>The applications for Environmental Authorisation</li> </ul>

Teams on 4 May 2023 and 11 May 2023 respectively.

- The main issues were confirmed as the following:
  - New regulations are currently being drafted to exclude renewable energy facilities within a 2km radius from any Main Transmission Substation (MTS) without approval from Eskom Transmission. This proposed policy directly affects the proposed Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 and the Kleinfontein Solar Part 1 which falls almost entirely within this 2km corridor.
  - The directly adjacent proposed Kleinfontein Solar PV1 restricts future expansion of the MTS towards the east.
  - With the proposed solar farms and their associated grid connections, it will be difficult to enter and exit any additional lines into the MTS. Congestion will take place.
  - The main concerns therefore are the proposed grid connections associated with the solar farms; and the close location of specifically Kleinfontein Solar PV1 and to a lesser extent Zaaiplaats Solar PV1.
- Recommendations from the meeting were as follows:
  - Eskom will by 19 May 2023 provide their long-term planning (10 years) for the MTS. Mulilo will be advised as to where corridors are required with their widths so that it can be accommodated specifically in the Kleinfontein Solar PV1 layout, and if needed in the Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 layout.
  - They confirmed that there should not be a problem with the applications for Hormah Solar PV1, Ratpan Solar PV1 and Vlakfontein Solar PV1.
  - They recommended that Mulilo consider expanding the Eskom Substation on the Zaaiplaats facility to act as a collector substation for all five proposed solar facilities.
     From here, double circuit lines could possibly be constructed to connect to the MTS. This will alleviate the problem of congestion at the MTS.

- for Hormah Solar PV1, Ratpan Solar PV1 and Vlakfontein Solar PV1 could be submitted as these facilities are outside of the 2km radius of any Main Transmission Substation and do therefore not require approval from Eskom specifically in terms of their new buffer zone policy.
- The layouts for Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 and Kleinfontein Solar PV1 were amended and finalised in close communication with Eskom, prior to submission of the relevant Final BARs to the DFFE for approval:
  - The layouts were refined by removing the PV fields directly bordering and impacting the MTS and 765kV planned powerlines as well as future MTS expansion. Buffers were incorporated to allow future access to the MTS on top of the 765kV corridor.
  - A mutually beneficial agreement was communicated with Eskom to obtain their written consent, as specified in the Eskom Setback requirements document.
  - Mulilo agreed to assist Eskom in obtaining the required 765kV and 400kV servitude rights over the land portions Mulilo have secured land rights..

20 June 2023 (received 27 June 2023 via email, Mr Leslie Naidoo, the Senior Manager: Grid Planning, provided in Appendix G(8)	Eskom Transmission confirmed the following:  Eskom raised concerns that the proposed footprints of the Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 and Kleinfontein Solar PV1 projects were encroaching on the recommended 2 km buffer zone around Mercury Substation. The two PV projects in particular would severely restrict access to Mercury Substation for planned Eskom infrastructure and for other renewable energy developers in the area.  In consultation with Eskom, Mulilo has subsequently agreed to reduce the footprints of the Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 and Kleinfontein Solar PV1 project mainly to allow for sufficient corridors for the planned Eskom lines to connect to Mercury Substation and for expansion of the Mercury Substation site. Mulilo has also moved the position of their proposed project substations and accompanying Eskom collector stations further away from Mercury Substation thereby facilitating access for other developers in the area.  Although the two PV plants, as well as the Vlakfontein Solar PV1, Ratpan Solar PV1 and Hormah Solar PV1 projects, which form part of the Mulilo's Mercury cluster of solar PV projects, still lie within the recommended 2 km buffer zone around Mercury Substation, it is understood that moving them further away is not feasible and viable for Mulilo at this late stage of the project.  Eskom therefore grants Mulilo formal consent to encroach upon the 2 km buffer zone around Mercury Substation subject to the amended project footprints, layouts and substation positions. Eskom also discourages any future developments within the recommended buffer zones as per the Renewable Energy Generation Plant Setbacks to Eskom Infrastructure quideline	Consent letter for the Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 and the Kleinfontein Solar PV1  It is confirmed that Eskom Transmission has no objection to the two solar facilities that are planned within a 2km radius from the Mercury MTS. The EAPs could finalise and submit the Final BARs for the Zaaiplaats Solar PV1 and the Kleinfontein Solar PV1 to the DFFE for Environmental Authorisation.
Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited Harmony(North-West	guideline.  He requested consideration for the proposed PV cluster, of the following:  Community involvement and protection	Community involvement and protection

#### **Operations**

Mr Carlo Geel, the Environmental Manager

Received via e-mail on 17 April 2023

- make sure about firebreak/ firefighting arrangements (engage with neighboring farmers/ land owners)
- make sure about informing affected communities
- engage timeously with the local authority regarding authorized general waste disposal and potable water supply
- plan for the ultimate disposal of hazardous waste/ material e.g., batteries and solar panels
- ensure effective security arrangements (the area have a lot of illegal mining activities)
- plan/ ensure for dust mitigation and preferably fall-out dust monitoring (solar farms expose a lot of unprotected land).
- ensure a complaints registers/ reporting system

#### Geology

He proposed that the geology of the land be assessed, as the planned areas might be prone to sinkhole formations (dolomitic areas)

#### Gliding Club

He also requested consideration of the impact on the gliding club near the Vaal River, if any.

The relevant requirements in terms of community involvement and protection are included in the EMPr.

#### Geology

The Applicant will ensure that all necessary geological studies are done during the design phase to guide foundations and other structural specifications.

#### Gliding Club

The EAPs stated that the solar facilities planned will not impact negatively on this Gliding Club, due to the nature of the solar facility structures and the nearest distance to the development area being approximately 8km southeast of the gliding club as per Google Earth image provided. The powerlines (grid connection) associated with the solar cluster are 132kV lines and all connect to the very nearby existing Mercury MTS - numerous 132kV and 400kV powerline already traverse the macro area.

The South African Civil Aviation Authority (CAA), Ms Lizell Stroh (Inspector: Obstacles (Solar and Windfarms) and Ms Evelyn Shogole (Environmental

		Specialist) who deals with obstacles have also been CC'd on the email. No response from the CAA was received  Mr Geel confirmed he was satisfied with the response.
The Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited  Received via e-mail on 26 June 2023, the Environmental Manager, Mr Carlo Geel	<ul> <li>Consent Letter in terms of Section 50 NEM:PAA</li> <li>They confirmed the following:         <ul> <li>The Harmony Gold Mining Company, Khotsong Operations (Pty) Ltd is the registered landowner of the farm Mispah 274 on which the Mispah Game Reserve is situated.</li> <li>Harmony Gold Mining Company, Khotsong Operations (Pty) Ltd is in the process of de-registering the nature reserve. They confirmed proof of relevant correspondence with the authorities.</li> <li>The confirmed that Harmony Gold Mining Company - Khotsong Operations (Pty) Ltd has been informed of all information and documents throughout the Public Participation Process of the Mercury Solar PV Cluster Project (that includes the Vlakfontein Solar PV1, Zaaiplaats Solar PV1, Kleinfontein Solar PV1, Hormah SolarPV1 and the Ratpan Solar PV1).</li> </ul> </li> <li>As the management authority and owner of the Mispah Game Farm they, in terms of Section 50 of NEM: PAA (the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act 57 of 2003) give consent for the development, which is planned within 5km from the reserve. Harmony Gold Mining Company - Khotsong Operations (Pty) Ltd has no objection to the assurance of an Environmental Authorisation for the proposed cluster of PV plants and associated infrastructure.</li> </ul>	It is noted that the managing authority of the Mispah Game Farm has <u>no objection</u> to the proposed development which is situated within 5km from the proposed solar cluster, as per requirement in terms of Section 50 of the NEM:PAA.

### Comment on the Draft Basic Assessment Report dated July 2023 submitted as part of a new application

Date of comment Format of comment Name of organisation / IAP	Comment	Response from EAP
	Comment received will be provided and address in the Final BAR	