



the denc

Department:
Environment & Nature Conservation
NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE
REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Private Bag X6102, Kimberley, 8300, Metlife Towers, T-Floor, Tel: 053 807 7300, Fax: 053 807 7328

BASIC ASSESMENT REPORT

(For official use only)

File Reference Number:

Application Number:

Date Received:

Project applicant:	Phokwane Local Municipality		
Business reg. no./ID. no.:	N/A		
Contact person:	Mr Bafedile Shadrack Lenkoe		
Postal address:	Private Bag X3, Hartswater, 8570		
Telephone:	053 474 9700	Cell:	082 888 3884
E-mail:	bslenkoe@gmail.com / bslenkoe@ncpg.gov.za	Fax:	053 474 1768

Prepared by:

Environmental Assessment Practitioner/Firm:	Sustainable Environmental Solutions (Pty) Ltd		
Business reg. no./ID. no.:	2000/008328/07		
Contact person:	Victoria Napier		
Postal address:	Suite 51, Private Bag X108, Centurion, 0046		
Telephone:	012 643 0190	Cell:	078 278 2898
E-mail:	vici@sesolutions.co.za	Fax:	086 664 6885

Vici Napier has more than 7 years' experience as an EAP Project Manager, with over 9 years as an EAP. She is highly experienced in managing large multi-disciplinary project teams for various types of environmental assessments and authorisations, and has often been described by colleagues and clients as having specialist Project Management skills. In addition, she has experience in training and skills transfer within the Environmental Management field. Vici is a Registered Professional Natural Scientist with SACNASP (400215/09) and a member of the South African Chapter of the International Association of Impact Assessment (IAIA).

The full CVs of Ms Napier as well as the AECOM Project Team (who supported the EAP) are provided in Appendix G.

Kindly note that:

1. This **basic assessment report** is a standard report that may be required by a competent authority in terms of the EIA Regulations, 2014 and is meant to streamline applications. Please make sure that it is the report used by the particular competent authority for the activity that is being applied for.
2. The report must be typed within the spaces provided in the form. The size of the spaces provided is not necessarily indicative of the amount of information to be provided. The report is in the form of a table that can extend itself as each space is filled with typing.
3. Where applicable **tick** the boxes that are applicable or **black out** the boxes that are not applicable in the report.
4. An incomplete report may be returned to the applicant for revision.
5. The use of “not applicable” in the report must be done with circumspection because if it is used in respect of material information that is required by the competent authority for assessing the application, it may result in the rejection of the application as provided for in the regulations.
6. This report must be handed in at offices of the relevant competent authority as determined by each authority.
7. No faxed or e-mailed reports will be accepted.
8. The report must be compiled by an independent environmental assessment practitioner.
9. Unless protected by law, all information in the report will become public information on receipt by the competent authority. Any interested and affected party should be provided with the information contained in this report on request, during any stage of the application process.
10. A competent authority may require that for specified types of activities in defined situations only parts of this report need to be completed.

SECTION A: ACTIVITY INFORMATION

Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?

YES NO

If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed:

Any specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

The wetland and groundwater specialist reports are attached in Appendix D.

1. ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

Describe the activity, which is being applied for, in detail:

The Phokwane Local Municipality (LM) is applying for a Waste Management License (WML) for the closure of the existing unlicensed Hartswater Landfill. This landfill is to be decommissioned, as the LM obtained a WML for the new (existing) Hartswater Landfill in 2010. The unlicensed landfill is located approximately 1.2 km south east of the Hartswater CBD, Northern Cape.

Although the unlicensed landfill has not been used by the Applicant since 2013, illegal dumping of general waste is still taking place within the landfill boundaries. The site is not licensed but is classified as a G:C:B-, due to the waste types and volume received and the climatic conditions of the area. A general description of the landfill (following a site visit conducted on the 17/08/2015) includes the following:

- The landfill site is enclosed by perimeter fencing and a gate. However, no access control is conducted and the fence has been removed on the eastern side to allow for semi-formal housing;
- This facility is not lined with any impervious surfacing;
- No hazardous waste was reported to be received on site;
- No record keeping of the influx (amount) of waste received was kept;
- A wetland has formed in the centre of the landfill, with some erosion gullies towards the north-eastern corner; and,
- Illegal dumping was found to occur inside the landfill facility.

The closure and rehabilitation activities will comply with the Minimum Requirements for Waste Disposal by Landfill (Second Edition, 1998). Closure activities will commence within 12 months from the date of issue on the WML. In compliance with the requirements for a communal landfill, during closure of the landfill the following activities will be conducted:

- Closure:
 - Consolidation of waste on site in skips for disposal at the licensed Hartswater landfill. Waste within the wetland area is to be removed by hand using local unskilled labour with adequate personal protective equipment.
 - Placement of a “no dumping” notice at the site.
 - Closing and locking the gate, as well as re-establishing the boundary fence along the eastern edge so that no illegal dumping can take place.
 - Providing a skip for the community to dump their waste in. The skip will be taken to the licensed Hartswater landfill site at least once a week.
 - Repair all erosion gullies and re-establish vegetation on site.
 - Remediate any pollution on the land or in the groundwater.
- Stormwater:
 - Ensure proper stormwater measures are in place to enhance natural features of the wetland and prevent erosion.

- Design of stormwater management measures for surface water leaving the landfill site (such as: contouring, berms, trenches, etc.) to comply with Government Notice 704 of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998).
- Final Cover:
 - After the waste has been removed from site, the site will be shaped where and if required in areas where erosion has taken place, and covered with a 150mm topsoil layer in areas outside the wetland.
 - The site will then, immediately following capping with topsoil (excluding the wetland area), be seeded with a mixture of indigenous grasses.
 - Vegetation establishment must be monitored post decommissioning to ensure successful rehabilitation.

Closure activities should be completed within 12 months from commencement. It has to be noted the LM will be required to obtain a General Authorisation (GA) from the Department of Water and Sanitation (in terms of GN No. R. 1198 of December 2009) for closure activities associated with the rehabilitation measures required for the onsite wetland.

2. FEASIBLE AND REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

As the application entails the closure of an existing landfill site, only the option of not implementing closure is considered.

“**alternatives**”, in relation to a proposed activity, means different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity, which may include alternatives to—

- (a) the property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;
- (b) the type of activity to be undertaken;
- (c) the design or layout of the activity;
- (d) the technology to be used in the activity;
- (e) the operational aspects of the activity; and
- (f) the option of not implementing the activity.

Describe alternatives that are considered in this application. Alternatives should include a consideration of all possible means by which the purpose and need of the proposed activity could be accomplished in the specific instance taking account of the interest of the applicant in the activity. The no-go alternative must in all cases be included in the assessment phase as the baseline against which the impacts of the other alternatives are assessed. The determination of whether site or activity (including different processes etc.) or both is appropriate needs to be informed by the specific circumstances of the activity and its environment. After receipt of this report the competent authority may also request the applicant to assess additional alternatives that could possibly accomplish the purpose and need of the proposed activity if it is clear that realistic alternatives have not been considered to a reasonable extent.

Paragraphs 3 – 13 below should be completed for each alternative.

3. ACTIVITY POSITION

Indicate the position of the activity using the latitude and longitude of the centre point of the site for each alternative site. The co-ordinates should be in degrees and decimal minutes. The minutes

should have at least three decimals to ensure adequate accuracy. The projection that must be used in all cases is the WGS84 spheroid in a national or local projection.
List alternative sites, if applicable.

As the Project entails the closure of an existing landfill site, no alternative sites are considered.

Alternative:
Alternative S1¹ (preferred or only site alternative)
Alternative S2 (if any)
Alternative S3 (if any)

Latitude (S):		Longitude (E):	
27°	44'.46.78	24°	47'59.85
N/A			
N/A			

4. PHYSICAL SIZE OF THE ACTIVITY

Indicate the physical size of the preferred activity/technology as well as alternative activities/technologies (footprints):

Alternative:
Alternative A1² (preferred activity alternative)
Alternative A2 (if any)
Alternative A3 (if any)

Size of the activity:

62,514 m ²
N/A m ²
N/A m ²

Indicate the size of the alternative sites or servitudes (within which the above footprints will occur):

Alternative:
Alternative A1 (preferred activity alternative)
Alternative A2 (if any)
Alternative A3 (if any)

Size of the site/servitude:

62,514 m ²
N/A m ²
N/A m ²

5. SITE ACCESS

Does ready access to the site exist?
If NO, what is the distance over which a new access road will be built
Describe the type of access road planned:

YES	<input type="checkbox"/>
N/A m	

N/A

Include the position of the access road on the site plan and required map, as well as an indication of the road in relation to the site.

6. SITE OR ROUTE PLAN

Please refer to Appendix A for the Site Plan. No alternative sites are being considered as this will be a closure process for an existing facility.

A detailed site or route plan(s) must be prepared for each alternative site or alternative activity. It must be attached as **Appendix A** to this document.

The site or route plans must indicate the following:

¹ "Alternative S.." refer to site alternatives.

² "Alternative A.." refer to activity, process, technology or other alternatives.

- 6.1 the scale of the plan which must be at least a scale of 1:500;
- 6.2 the property boundaries and numbers of all the properties within 50 metres of the site;
- 6.3 the current land use as well as the land use zoning of each of the properties adjoining the site or sites;
- 6.4 the exact position of each element of the application as well as any other structures on the site;
- 6.5 the position of services, including electricity supply cables (indicate above or underground), water supply pipelines, boreholes, street lights, sewage pipelines, storm water infrastructure and telecommunication infrastructure;
- 6.6 all trees and shrubs taller than 1.8 metres;
- 6.7 walls and fencing including details of the height and construction material;
- 6.8 servitudes indicating the purpose of the servitude;
- 6.9 sensitive environmental elements within 100 metres of the site or sites including (but not limited thereto):
 - rivers;
 - the 1:100 year flood line (where available or where it is required by DWA);
 - ridges;
 - cultural and historical features;
 - areas with indigenous vegetation (even if it is degraded or invested with alien species);
- 6.9 for gentle slopes the 1 metre contour intervals must be indicated on the plan and whenever the slope of the site exceeds 1:10, the 500mm contours must be indicated on the plan; and
- 6.10 the positions from where photographs of the site were taken.

7. SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Colour photographs from the centre of the site must be taken in at least the eight major compass directions with a description of each photograph. Photographs must be attached under **Appendix B** to this form. It must be supplemented with additional photographs of relevant features on the site, if applicable.

8. FACILITY ILLUSTRATION

A detailed illustration of the activity must be provided at a scale of 1:200 as **Appendix C** for activities that include structures. The illustrations must be to scale and must represent a realistic image of the planned activity. The illustration must give a representative view of the activity.

N/A. The closure of the unlicensed Hartswater landfill does not involve any activities that include structures, hence no facility illustrations are required. Note that detailed engineering designs for closure of the landfill site are not included within the scope of this assessment. The Applicant will have to appoint a professional engineer to draw up detailed closure plans based on the WML issued, the attached draft Environmental Management Programme (EMPr), and requirements of the relevant authorities prior to commencing with decommissioning activities on site.

9. ACTIVITY MOTIVATION

9(a) Socio-economic value of the activity

- What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion?
- What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a result of the activity?
- Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure?
- Is the activity a public amenity?

R 10,000,000	
R 0	
YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

How many new employment opportunities will be created during the closure and rehabilitation of the landfill?	35
What is the expected value of the employment opportunities during the decommissioning/ closure phase?	R 850,000.00
What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?	100 %
How many permanent new employment opportunities will be created during the operational phase of the activity?	0
What is the expected current value of the employment opportunities during the first 10 years?	N/A
What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?	N/A

9(b) Need and desirability of the activity

Motivate and explain the need and desirability of the activity (including demand for the activity):

Service delivery is an issue of national concern / importance. Thus, the closure of an illegal community landfill in order to provide a more sustainable and efficient service is considered part of this programme. This licensing process undertaken in terms of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) (NEMWA) for the unlicensed waste disposal facilities within the Phokwane LM is in accordance with an initiative driven by the National Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) to ensure the legal compliance of all municipal landfills, which in turn ensures appropriate and effective environmental management of these sites.

Indicate any benefits that the activity will have for society in general:

The site is an illegal community waste facility. The closure of the community landfill will not compromise the ability of the municipality to perform service delivery, as a new licensed facility has been commissioned and is operational. Closure of the unlicensed site advances the LM's commitment to effective and environmentally sustainable waste management. Rehabilitation of the site will ensure that the environment (a national asset) is no longer polluted.

Indicate any benefits that the activity will have for the local communities where the activity will be located:

Closure and the rehabilitation of the site will have a positive impact on surrounding areas (in terms of the natural environment) in that pollution will be removed and prevented in future. The negative visual impact of the existing dumping facility will be minimized as the site will be rehabilitated in that existing waste will be removed to the existing licensed Hartswater landfill site and the area (excluding the wetland) covered in a layer of topsoil and revegetated. The existing wetland area is considered a sensitive area and will remain on site, however limited rehabilitation activities in terms of removal of existing waste and bank stabilization will take place to enhance the wetland area. The potential for groundwater contamination will be minimized with the removal of existing waste on site and a positive impact on the health and safety of the community (due to the reduction of potential infectious diseases which may spread and the occurrence of fires within the landfill area) are anticipated.

DESIRABILITY:			
1.	Does the proposed land use / development fit the surrounding area?	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	Does the proposed land use / development conform to the relevant	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	structure plans, SDF and planning visions for the area?		
3.	Will the benefits of the proposed land use / development outweigh the negative impacts of it?	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	If the answer to any of the questions 1-3 was NO, please provide further motivation / explanation: N/A		
5.	Will the proposed land use / development impact on the sense of place?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO
6.	Will the proposed land use / development set a precedent?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO
7.	Will any person's rights be affected by the proposed land use / development?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO
8.	Will the proposed land use / development compromise the "urban edge"?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO
9.	If the answer to any of the question 5-8 was YES, please provide further motivation / explanation. N/A		

BENEFITS:			
1.	Will the land use / development have any benefits for society in general?	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	<p>Explain:</p> <p>Service delivery is an issue of national concern / importance. Thus, the closure of an illegal community landfill in order to provide a more sustainable and efficient service is considered part of this programme. This licensing process undertaken in terms of the NEMWA for the unlicensed waste disposal facilities within the Phokwane LM is in accordance with an initiative driven by the National DEA to ensure the legal compliance of all municipal landfills, which in turn ensure appropriate and effective environmental management of these sites.</p> <p>The site is an illegal community waste facility. The closure of the community landfill will not compromise the ability of the municipality to perform service delivery, as a new licensed facility has been commissioned and is operations. Closure of the unlicensed site advances the LM's commitment to effective and environmentally sustainable waste management. Rehabilitation of the site will ensure that the environment (a national asset) is no longer polluted.</p>		
3.	Will the land use / development have any benefits for the local communities where it will be located?	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	<p>Explain:</p> <p>The closure of the community landfill will not compromise the ability of the municipality to perform service delivery, as waste will be redirected to the existing licensed Hartswater landfill site. Rehabilitation of the site will ensure that the environment (a national asset) is no longer polluted. The negative visual impact of the existing dumping facility will be minimized as the site will be rehabilitated in that existing waste will be removed to the existing licensed Hartswater landfill site and the area (excluding the wetland area) covered in a layer of topsoil and revegetated. The existing wetland area is considered a sensitive area and will remain on site, however limited rehabilitation activities in terms of removal of existing waste and bank stabilization will take place to enhance the wetland areas. The potential for groundwater contamination will be minimized with the removal of existing waste on site and a positive impact on the health and safety of the community (due to the reduction of potential infectious</p>		

diseases which may spread and the occurrence of fires within the landfill area) are anticipated.
--

10. APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES

List all legislation, policies and/or guidelines of any sphere of government that are applicable to the application as contemplated in the EIA regulations, if applicable:

Title of legislation, policy or guideline:	Administering authority:	Date:
National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008) GN 921 of 2013, amended 2014.	Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (DENC)	2008
National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998)	DENC	1998
Suite of various NEMA EIA Guideline Documents	DENC	Various
National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)	DWS	1998
National Environment Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004)	DENC	2004
Minimum Requirements Document (2nd edition) for the Handling, Classification and Disposal of Waste	DWS	1998
National Environmental Management: Waste Act (2008) - National Norms and Standards for the Storage of Waste No 926	DENC	2008
National Environmental Management: Waste Act (2008) - Waste Classification and Management Regulations No. 634	DENC	2008
ZF Mgcawu District Municipality Final Integrated Development Plan (2014/2015)	Kai Garib Local Municipality	2015

11. WASTE, EFFLUENT, EMISSION AND NOISE MANAGEMENT

11(a) Solid waste management

Will the activity produce solid construction waste during the construction/initiation phase?

YES	NO
-----	----

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

m ³

How will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

N/A – the activity is for the closure of the landfill site, no construction is therefore required. The site contains little waste, which can be collected and transported to the licensed Hartswater landfill.

Where will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

N/A

Will the activity produce solid waste during its operational phase?

NO

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

N/A m ³

How will the solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

N/A

Where will the solid waste be disposed if it does not feed into a municipal waste stream (describe)?

N/A

If the solid waste (construction or operational phases) will not be disposed of in a registered landfill site or be taken up in a municipal waste stream, then the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Can any part of the solid waste be classified as hazardous in terms of the relevant legislation?

	NO
--	----

If yes, inform the competent authority and request a change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Is the activity that is being applied for a solid waste handling or treatment facility?

	NO
--	----

If yes, then the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

11(b) Liquid effluent

Will the activity produce effluent, other than normal sewage, that will be disposed of in a municipal sewage system?

	NO
--	----

If yes, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

N/A m³

Will the activity produce any effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of on site?

	NO
--	----

If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

Will the activity produce effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of at another facility?

	NO
--	----

If yes, provide the particulars of the facility:

Facility name:			
Contact person:			
Postal address:			
Postal code:			
Telephone:		Cell:	
E-mail:		Fax:	

Describe the measures that will be taken to ensure the optimal reuse or recycling of waste water, if any:

N/A – No waste water will be generated

11(c) Emissions into the atmosphere

Will the activity release emissions into the atmosphere?

	YES	
--	-----	--

If yes, is it controlled by any legislation of any sphere of government?

	NO
--	----

If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

If no, describe the emissions in terms of type and concentration:

Closure activities are anticipated to result in minimal air emissions, in the form of dust, and would therefore not require a permit or legal process.

11(d) Generation of noise

Will the activity generate noise?

	NO
--	----

If yes, is it controlled by any legislation of any sphere of government?

	NO
--	----

If yes, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

If no, describe the noise in terms of type and level:

Considering the nature of the activity, it is unlikely that the project will result in significant noise impacts.

12. WATER USE

Please indicate the source(s) of water that will be used for the activity by ticking the appropriate box(es)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	the activity will not use water
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--

If water is to be extracted from groundwater, river, stream, dam, lake or any other natural feature, please indicate

the volume that will be extracted per month:

N/A litres	
<input type="checkbox"/>	NO

Does the activity require a water use permit from the Department of Water Affairs?

If yes, please submit the necessary application to the Department of Water Affairs and attach proof thereof to this application if it has been submitted.

Water Use License Applications (WULAs) and/or General Authorisation (GA) confirmations are outside the scope of the DEA project which only licenses the unlicensed facilities in terms of the NEMWA. The DWS must provide a Record of Recommendation to the Competent Authority as part of the WML application process. The DWS will in this communication highlight whether or not the LM is eligible for GA in terms of closure and rehabilitation activities on site or whether a full WULA must be submitted in terms of Section 21 of the NWA.

13. ENERGY EFFICIENCY

Describe the design measures, if any, that have been taken to ensure that the activity is energy efficient:

N/A

Describe how alternative energy sources have been taken into account or been built into the design of the activity, if any:

N/A

SECTION B: SITE/AREA/PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

Important notes:

- For linear activities (pipelines, etc) as well as activities that cover very large sites, it may be necessary to complete this section for each part of the site that has a significantly different environment. In such cases please complete copies of Section C and indicate the area, which is covered by each copy No. on the Site Plan.

Section C Copy No. (e.g. A):

- Paragraphs 1 - 6 below must be completed for each alternative.

3. Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section? YES NO
 If YES, please complete form XX for each specialist thus appointed:
 All specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

The wetland and groundwater specialist reports are attached in Appendix D.

1. GRADIENT OF THE SITE

Indicate the general gradient of the site.

Alternative S1:

1:10 – 1:7,5

No alternative sites are being considered as this will be a closure process for the unlicensed landfill facility.

2. LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2.1 Ridgeline | 2.6 Plain |
| 2.2 Plateau | 2.7 Undulating plain / low hills |
| 2.3 Side slope of hill/mountain | 2.8 Dune |
| 2.4 Closed valley | 2.9 Seafront |
| 2.5 Open valley | |

3. GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE

Is the site(s) located on any of the following (tick the appropriate boxes)?

	Alternative S1:		Alternative S2 (if any):		Alternative S3 (if any):	
Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES	NO	YES	NO
Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES	NO	YES	NO
Unstable rocky slopes or steep slopes with loose soil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
Any other unstable soil or geological feature	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	YES	NO	YES	NO
An area sensitive to erosion	YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	YES	NO	YES	NO

If you are unsure about any of the above or if you are concerned that any of the above aspects may be an issue of concern in the application, an appropriate specialist should be appointed to assist in the completion of this section. (Information in respect of the above will often be available as part of the project information or at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by the Council for Geo Science may also be consulted).

The study area is located within the Lower Vaal Water Management Area (WMA) and falls within the Quaternary Catchment C33A. Quaternary Catchment C33A has a Moderate Conservation status and a largely modified (Class D) Present Ecological State (PES).

A hydrocensus was conducted within a 2 km radius as a site familiarisation exercise and collection of essential groundwater related data from the study area and surrounding environments. During the hydrocensus, five (5) boreholes were available for groundwater level measurement. The groundwater levels varied between a minimum of 2.5 m to the north of the landfill to a maximum of 10.5 m below ground level to the south east of the landfill. Two (2) water samples were collected from 2 boreholes around the site during the investigation. None of the analysed constituents are found to be above the DWS guidelines for irrigation.

No noticeable concentration of targeted petroleum hydrocarbon compounds (diesel range organics, Poly Aromatic Compounds and gasoline range organics) were detected in the water collected from the hydrocensus boreholes.

Refer to the Groundwater Assessment in Appendix D for further details.

4. GROUNDCOVER

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 4.1 Natural veld – good condition^E | 4.7 Cultivated land |
| 4.2 Natural veld – scattered aliens^E | 4.8 Paved surface |
| 4.3 Natural veld with heavy alien infestation^E | 4.9 Building or other structures (residential houses within landfill footprint) |
| 4.4 Veld dominated by alien species^E | 4.10 Bare soil |
| 4.5 Gardens | |
| 4.6 Sport field | |

The location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).

			Veld dominated by alien species^E	
			Building or other structure	

If any of the boxes marked with an “E” is ticked, please consult an appropriate specialist to assist in the completion of this section if the environmental assessment practitioner doesn’t have the necessary expertise.

The wetland and groundwater specialist reports are attached in Appendix D.

5. LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA

Indicate land uses and/or prominent features that does currently occur within a 500m radius of the site and give description of how this influences the application or may be impacted upon by the application:

- 5.1 Natural area
- 5.2 Low density residential**
- 5.3 Medium density residential**
- 5.4 High density residential
- 5.5 Informal residential^A**
- 5.6 Retail commercial & warehousing
- 5.7 Light industrial
- 5.8 Medium industrial^{AN}
- 5.9 Heavy industrial^{AN}
- 5.10 Power station
- 5.11 Office/consulting room
- 5.12 Military or police base/station/compound
- 5.13 Spoil heap or slimes dam^A
- 5.14 Quarry, sand or borrow pit
- 5.15 Dam or reservoir
- 5.16 Hospital/medical centre
- 5.17 School**
- 5.18 Tertiary education facility
- 5.19 Church
- 5.20 Old age home
- 5.21 Sewage treatment plant^A
- 5.22 Train station or shunting yard^N
- 5.23 Railway line^N
- 5.24 Major road (4 lanes or more)^N
- 5.25 Airport^N
- 5.26 Harbour
- 5.27 Sport facilities**
- 5.28 Golf course
- 5.29 Polo fields
- 5.30 Filling station^H
- 5.31 Landfill or waste treatment site
- 5.32 Plantation
- 5.33 Agriculture**
- 5.34 River, stream or wetland**
- 5.35 Nature conservation area
- 5.36 Mountain, koppie or ridge
- 5.37 Museum
- 5.38 Historical building
- 5.39 Protected Area
- 5.40 Graveyard
- 5.41 Archaeological site
- 5.42 Other land uses (describe)

If any of the boxes marked with an "N" are ticked, how this impact will / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain:	N/A
------------------------------	-----

If any of the boxes marked with an "An" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain:	N/A
------------------------------	-----

If any of the boxes marked with an "H" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity.

If YES, specify and explain:	N/A
------------------------------	-----

6. CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES

Are there any signs of culturally or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including

Archaeological or paleontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site?

If YES, explain:

	NO
N/A	

If uncertain, conduct a specialist investigation by a recognised specialist in the field to establish whether there is such a feature(s) present on or close to the site.

Briefly explain the findings of the specialist:			N/A
Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way?	■		NO
Is it necessary to apply for a permit in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)?	■		NO

If yes, please submit or, make sure that the applicant or a specialist submits the necessary application to SAHRA or the relevant provincial heritage agency and attach proof thereof to this application if such application has been made.

SECTION C: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

REFER TO APPENDIX E FOR PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DOCUMENTATION

1. ADVERTISEMENT

The person conducting a public participation process must take into account any guidelines applicable to public participation as contemplated in section 24J of the Act and must give notice to all potential interested and affected parties of the application which is subjected to public participation by—

- (a) fixing a notice board (of a size at least 60cm by 42cm; and must display the required information in lettering and in a format as may be determined by the competent authority) at a place conspicuous to the public at the boundary or on the fence of—
 - (i) the site where the activity to which the application relates is or is to be undertaken; and
 - (ii) any alternative site mentioned in the application;
- (b) giving written notice to—
 - (i) the owner or person in control of that land if the applicant is not the owner or person in control of the land;
 - (ii) the occupiers of the site where the activity is or is to be undertaken or to any alternative site where the activity is to be undertaken;
 - (iii) owners and occupiers of land adjacent to the site where the activity is or is to be undertaken or to any alternative site where the activity is to be undertaken;
 - (iv) the municipal councillor of the ward in which the site or alternative site is situated and any organisation of ratepayers that represent the community in the area;
 - (v) the municipality which has jurisdiction in the area;
 - (vi) any organ of state having jurisdiction in respect of any aspect of the activity; and
 - (vii) any other party as required by the competent authority;
- (c) placing an advertisement in—
 - (i) one local newspaper; or
 - (ii) any official *Gazette* that is published specifically for the purpose of providing public notice of applications or other submissions made in terms of these Regulations;
- (d) placing an advertisement in at least one provincial newspaper or national newspaper, if the activity has or may have an impact that extends beyond the boundaries of the metropolitan or local municipality in which it is or will be undertaken: Provided that this paragraph need not be complied with if an advertisement has been placed in an official *Gazette* referred to in subregulation 54(c)(ii); and
- (e) using reasonable alternative methods, as agreed to by the competent authority, in those instances where a person is desiring of but unable to participate in the process due to—
 - (i) illiteracy;
 - (ii) disability; or
 - (iii) any other disadvantage.

2. CONTENT OF ADVERTISEMENTS AND NOTICES

A notice board, advertisement or notices must:

- (a) indicate the details of the application which is subjected to public participation; and

- (b) state—
- (i) that the application has been submitted to the competent authority in terms of these Regulations, as the case may be;
 - (ii) whether basic assessment or scoping procedures are being applied to the application, in the case of an application for environmental authorisation;
 - (iii) the nature and location of the activity to which the application relates;
 - (iv) where further information on the application or activity can be obtained; and
 - (iv) the manner in which and the person to whom representations in respect of the application may be made.

3. PLACEMENT OF ADVERTISEMENTS AND NOTICES

Where the proposed activity may have impacts that extend beyond the municipal area where it is located, a notice must be placed in at least one provincial newspaper or national newspaper, indicating that an application will be submitted to the competent authority in terms of these regulations, the nature and location of the activity, where further information on the proposed activity can be obtained and the manner in which representations in respect of the application can be made, unless a notice has been placed in any *Gazette* that is published specifically for the purpose of providing notice to the public of applications made in terms of the EIA regulations.

Advertisements and notices must make provision for all alternatives.

4. DETERMINATION OF APPROPRIATE MEASURES

The practitioner must ensure that the public participation is adequate and must determine whether a public meeting or any other additional measure is appropriate or not based on the particular nature of each case. Special attention should be given to the involvement of local community structures such as Ward Committees, ratepayers associations and traditional authorities where appropriate. Please note that public concerns that emerge at a later stage that should have been addressed may cause the competent authority to withdraw any authorisation it may have issued if it becomes apparent that the public participation process was inadequate.

5. COMMENTS AND RESPONSE REPORT

The practitioner must record all comments and respond to each comment of the public before the application is submitted. The comments and responses must be captured in a comments and response report as prescribed in the EIA regulations and be attached to this application. The comments and response report must be attached under Appendix E.

6. AUTHORITY PARTICIPATION

Authorities are key interested and affected parties in each application and no decision on any application will be made before the relevant local authority is provided with the opportunity to give input. The planning and the environmental sections of the local authority must be informed of the application at least 30 (thirty) calendar days before the submission of the application.

List of authorities informed:

- Department of Environment and Nature Conservation (DENC)
- Department of Water & Sanitation (DWS)
- Northern Cape Provincial Heritage Authority
- Department of Health
- Frances Baard District Municipality
- Phokwane Local Municipality Ward Councillor (Ward 1)

List of authorities from whom comments have been received:

All comments received during the review and comment period of the Draft BAR will be summarized here within the Final BAR as well as in the Comment and Response Report in Appendix E.

7. CONSULTATION WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS

Note that, for linear activities, or where deviation from the public participation requirements may be appropriate, the person conducting the public participation process may deviate from the requirements of that sub regulation to the extent and in the manner as may be agreed to by the competent authority.

Any stakeholder that has a direct interest in the site or property, such as servitude holders and service providers, should be informed of the application at least 30 (thirty) calendar days before the submission of the application and be provided with the opportunity to comment.

Has any comment been received from stakeholders?

NO

If "YES", briefly describe the feedback below (also attach copies of any correspondence to and from the stakeholders to this application):

N/A

All comments received during the review and comment period of the Draft BAR will be summarized here within the Final BAR as well as in the Comment and Response Report in Appendix E.

SECTION D: IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The assessment of impacts must adhere to the minimum requirements in the EIA Regulations, 2010, and should take applicable official guidelines into account. The issues raised by interested and affected parties should also be addressed in the assessment of impacts.

1. ISSUES RAISED BY INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES

List the main issues raised by interested and affected parties.

All comments received during the review and comment period of the Draft BAR will be summarized here within the Final BAR as well as in the Comment and Response Report in Appendix E.

Response from the practitioner to the issues raised by the interested and affected parties (A full response must be given in the Comments and Response Report that must be attached to this report):

All comments received during the review and comment period of the Draft BAR will be summarized here within the Final BAR as well as in the Comment and Response Report in Appendix E.

2. IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE PLANNING AND DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATIONAL, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASES AS WELL AS PROPOSED MANAGEMENT OF IDENTIFIED IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES

The assessment methods used are in accordance with the requirements of the 2014 EIA Regulations published in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA).

The criteria used for the assessment of potential impacts are described in below.

Impact Assessment Criteria

Criteria	Description
Nature	Includes a description of what causes the effect, what will be affected and how it will be affected.
Extent	Physical and spatial scale of the impact.
Duration	Lifetime of the impact is measured in relation to the lifetime of the landfill.
Intensity	Examining whether the impact is destructive or benign, whether it destroys the impacted environment, alters its functioning, or slightly alters the environment.
Probability	This describes the likelihood of the impacts actually occurring. The impact may occur for any length of time during the lifecycle of the activity, and not at any given time.
Status	Description of the impact as positive, negative or neutral, and direct or indirect.
Significance	Synthesis of the characteristics described above and assessed as low, medium or high. Distinction will be made for the significance rating without the implementation of mitigation measures and with the implementation of mitigation measures.

Extent

The physical and spatial scale of the impact is classified below.

Description of Extent Criteria

Description	Explanation	Scoring
Footprint	Impacted area extends only as far as the activity, footprint.	1
Site	Impact could affect the whole, or a significant portion of the site.	2
Regional	Impact could affect the area around the site including neighbouring farms, transport routes and/or adjoining towns.	3
National	Impact could have an effect that expands throughout the country (South Africa).	4
International	Impact has international ramifications that go beyond the boundaries of South Africa	5

Duration

The lifetime of the impact is measured in relation to the lifetime of the proposed closure of the existing Hartswater landfill.

Description of Duration Criteria

Description	Explanation	Scoring
Short term	Impact will either disappear with mitigation or will be mitigated through a natural process in a period shorter than any of the development phases.	1
Short to medium term	Impact will be relevant through to the end of the construction phase.	2
Medium term	Impact will last up to the end of the development phases, where after it will be entirely negated.	3
Long term	Impact will continue or last for the entire operational lifetime of the development, but will be mitigated by direct human action or by natural processes thereafter.	4
Permanent	The only impact class that is non-transitory. Mitigation by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or time span that the impact can be considered transient.	5

Intensity

The assessment of the intensity of the impact will be measured using the criteria listed in the following table.

Description of Intensity Criteria

Description	Explanation	Scoring
Low	Impact alters the affected environment in such a way that the natural processes or functions are not affected.	2
Low-Medium	Impact alters the affected environment in such a way that the natural processes or functions are slightly affected.	4
Medium	Affected environment is altered, but functions and processes continue, albeit in a modified way.	6
Medium-High	Affected environment is altered, and the functions and processes are modified immensely.	8
High	Function or process of the affected environment is disturbed to the extent	10

Description	Explanation	Scoring
	where the function or process temporarily or permanently ceases.	

Probability

Probability describes the likelihood of the impact(s) occurring for any length of time during the lifecycle of the activity, and not at any given time. The following table shows the classes.

Description of Probability Criteria

Description	Explanation	Scoring
Improbable	Possibility of the impact occurring is none, due either to the circumstances, design or experience. The chance of this impact occurring is thus zero (0%).	1
Possible	Possibility of the impact occurring is very low, either due to the circumstances, design or experience. The chances of this impact occurring is defined as 25%.	2
Likely	There is a possibility that the impact will occur to the extent that provisions must therefore be made. The chances of this impact occurring is defined as 50%.	3
Highly likely	It is most likely that the impacts will occur at some stage of the Development. Plans must be drawn up before carrying out the activity. The chances of this impact occurring is defined as 75%.	4
Definite	Impact will take place regardless of any prevention plans, and only mitigation actions or contingency plans to contain the effect can be relied upon. The chance of this impact occurring is defined as 100%.	5

Confidence

The level of knowledge or information that the EAP project team or a specialist had in their judgement is rated as shown in the following table. Note that this criterion is not given a numerical value.

Description of Confidence Criteria

Description	Explanation
Low	Judgement is based on intuition and not on knowledge or information.
Medium	Judgement is based on common sense and general knowledge.
High	Judgement is based on scientific and/or proven information.

Reversibility

Reversibility is the ability of the affected environment to recover from the impact, with or without mitigation. Note that this criterion is not given a numerical value.

Description of Reversibility Criteria

Description	Explanation
Yes	The affected environment will be able to recover from the impact.
No	The affected environment will be unable to recover from the impact that is permanently modified.

Replaceability

Replaceability is an indication of the scarcity of the specific set of parameters that make up the affected environment. That is, if lost, can the affected environment be (a) recreated, or (b) is it a common set of characteristics and thus if lost is not considered a significant loss. Note that this criterion is not given a numerical value.

Description of Replaceability Criteria

Description	Explanation
Yes	Affected environment is replaceable, that is, an irreplaceable resource is not damaged, or the resource is not irreplaceable (not scarce).
No	Affected environment is irreplaceable.

Level of Significance

Based on the above criteria, the significance of issues will be determined using the following formula:

$$\text{Significance} = (\text{Extent} + \text{Duration} + \text{Intensity}) \times \text{Probability}$$

This is the importance of the impact in terms of physical extent and time scale, and is rated as follows:

Impact Assessment Significant Rating

Significance	Description	Scoring
No Impact	There is no impact	0 – 10
Low	Impacts are less important. Some mitigation is required to reduce the negative impacts.	11 – 30
Medium	Impacts are important and require attention. Mitigation is required to reduce the negative impacts.	31 – 60
High	Impacts are of high importance. Mitigation is essential to reduce the negative impacts.	61 – 89
Fatal Flaw	Impacts present a fatal flaw, and alternatives must be considered or the project rejected.	90 – 100

List the potential direct, indirect and cumulative property/activity/design/technology/operational alternative related impacts (as appropriate) that are likely to occur as a result of the planning and design phase, construction phase, operational phase, decommissioning and closure phase, including impacts relating to the choice of site/activity/technology alternatives as well as the mitigation measures that may eliminate or reduce the potential impacts listed.

Alternative (preferred alternative)

Impacts that may result from the planning, design and construction phase

As this application is for the closure/ decommissioning of the existing illegal Hartswater landfill site no impacts are associated with the planning, design and construction phase.

Impacts that may result from the operational phase

The landfill site is to be closed, thus no “operational phase” exists.

Impacts that may result from the decommissioning and closure phase

Potential impacts on geographical and physical aspects:	<p>It is not foreseen that the closure of the landfill will have any negative impacts on geographical or physical aspects as the project area has already been altered / disturbed.</p> <p>However, it is foreseen that the closure of the landfill will have positive impacts on the physical environment, as erosion within the landfill area will be rehabilitated, revegetation will be conducted and the wetland area enhanced.</p>
--	--

Potential impacts on socio-economic aspects:	Closure and rehabilitation activities will lead to the positive impact of additional (albeit temporary) employment opportunities within the Phokwane LM.
---	--

Potential impacts on cultural-historical aspects:	It is not foreseen that the closure of the landfill will have any impact on cultural-historical aspects as the project area has already been altered / disturbed.
--	---

Potential visual impacts:	It is anticipated that the closure of the landfill will have a positive impact on the visual environment, as all waste will be removed, erosion gullies repaired and re-vegetation of the site is to be done.
----------------------------------	---

Potential noise impacts:	
Nature of impact:	Noise generated as a result of machinery used and personnel required to implement the closure/ decommissioning activities on site.
Extent and duration of impact:	Site and Short Term
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Low
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Servicing of all vehicles and machinery to ensure good working order; and, • Use of silencers and mufflers on potentially noisy equipment.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low

Potential impacts due to air and dust emissions:	
Nature of impact:	<p>Emissions from vehicles transporting the remaining waste from the site to the licensed Hartswater Landfill, as well as other vehicles and equipment on site fitted with exhausts may cause a temporary decrease in air quality within the immediate surroundings.</p> <p>Similarly, dust generated during closure and rehabilitation activities may negatively impact on the surrounding areas ambient air quality.</p>
Extent and duration of impact:	Local and Short-Term
Probability of occurrence:	High
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Low
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Low
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	N/A

Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All reasonable measures should be taken to minimise air emissions in the form of smoke, dust and gases from vehicles/ equipment used on site. No fires are allowed. The Landfill Supervisor shall implement measures to restrict the generation of dust during rehabilitation activities. The Landfill Supervisor shall control dust from spoil dumps or stockpiles by ensuring that they are kept covered or must have a suitable dust palliative applied (such as water or commercial dust suppressants) to prevent windborne dust pollution.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low

Potential impact on health and safety:	
Nature of impact:	Health and safety incidents to workers during closure and rehabilitation activities.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local
Probability of occurrence:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	High
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	High
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	High
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	High
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safety training of staff is required to minimize accidents. All staff are required to wear the required Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) at all times.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low

Potential impact on health and safety:	
Nature of impact:	Movement of operational vehicles and equipment or danger associated with open areas (trenches, unstable ground etc.) may lead to potential safety impacts to the public if not demarcated as no go zones.
Extent and duration of impact:	Site
Probability of occurrence:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	High
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	High
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site must have access control. The public will not be allowed near the working areas. On site vehicles will be fitted with reversing horn. Staff on site will wear PPE and reflective clothing. Open excavations will be marked with danger tape.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low

Potential impact on surface water and soils:	
Nature of impact:	Contamination of soils and surface water due to hydrocarbon spills from vehicles/ equipment used during rehabilitation.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local
Probability of occurrence:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Medium
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Medium
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Precautionary measures must be taken to prevent any form of pollution. • Accidental pollution incidents shall be reported to the Municipal Manager immediately after they occur and shall be cleaned up (to the satisfaction of the ECO) by the Landfill Supervisor or a nominated clean-up organisation. • Vehicle and plant maintenance shall be confined to the areas demarcated for this purpose. Should any amount of fuel, oil, transmission or hydraulic fluids be spilled onto the soils, the Municipal Manager or ECO shall be informed immediately. Tests must be conducted to determine the extent of soil contamination as soon as a spillage occurs. The polluted soil shall be rehabilitated or remediated to the satisfaction of the ECO. • On-site stormwater management shall be to the satisfaction of the ECO. • Any spillage of waste, caused by any party during the closure activities, shall be cleaned up immediately and appropriately disposed of.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low

Potential illegal dumping/ littering impacts:	
Nature of impact:	<p>Night-time and / or weekend fly tipping (illegal dumping) may result in dumping of unacceptable waste streams increasing environmental, health and safety impacts and risks including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes in the expected composition of leachate from the waste disposal facility resulting in the pollution of soil and water resources. • Changes in expected landfill gas emissions resulting in flammability, toxicity, asphyxiation and other hazards as well as objectionable odour negatively impacting on on-site personnel (and other on-site persons) health and safety. • The increase for the landfill footprint in instances of uncontrolled dumping of wastes.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local
Probability of occurrence:	High
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	High
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	N/A
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	High
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All existing fencing shall be maintained and areas where fencing is down/ inadequate must be restored to prevent access for illegal dumping. • The local community shall be informed of the site closure and made aware of alternatives through

	<p>public meetings, the placement of notices in local newspapers, etc.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Municipal Manager shall ensure placement of signage close to the road and in other appropriate locations (e.g. along the eastern boundary) informing the public of site closure and providing details on alternative disposal sites or facilities. • Maintain security at the site for a short period after closure to prevent potential illegal dumping and / or vandalism. • Placement of skips near the community residential areas / notices informing community members of the waste transfer station for use to safely dispose of their waste.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low

Potential impact on water resources:	
Nature of impact:	Surface and groundwater water pollution may occur after closure if the engineering design/ instructions are not correctly implemented on site.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local
Probability of occurrence:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Medium
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Medium
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Low
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A professional engineer must provide detailed closure drawings and oversee and sign off on the closure of the landfill. • Maintenance of the site is ongoing until vegetation establishment has been completed.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	Unknown
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low

Loss of wetland habitat	
Nature of impact:	Closure activities may lead to the loss of wetland habitat
Extent and duration of impact:	Local
Probability of occurrence:	Definite
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	Partial
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Medium
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Medium (loss of wetland habitat)
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	Medium
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The fence around the landfill site should be maintained. • Vehicle movement must be prohibited within the wetland area. • A closure / decommissioning plan should be developed, which should strive to incorporate the artificial wetland as part of an end landuse plan. • The end-use plan of the LM should incorporate the 32m buffer area surrounding the wetland in order to avoid direct impacts to the wetland area.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Medium

Potential impact of alien invasive plants	
Nature of impact:	Alien plant species may establish on site post closure/ decommissioning of the landfill site. This may interfere with the capping layer and impact on natural terrestrial and wetland vegetation establishment/ ecosystems.
Extent and duration of impact:	Local
Probability of occurrence:	Medium
Degree to which the impact can be reversed:	High
Degree to which the impact may cause irreplaceable loss of resources:	Low
Cumulative impact prior to mitigation:	Medium (increased potential in spreading of alien invasive plants in the area)
Significance rating of impact prior to mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	Low
Degree to which the impact can be mitigated:	High
Proposed mitigation:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance of the site is ongoing until indigenous vegetation has successful established on site. • Any alien plants identified must be removed from site and destroyed. • Care must be taken not to control indigenous species.
Cumulative impact post mitigation:	N/A
Significance rating of impact after mitigation (Low, Medium, Medium-High, High, or Very-High)	No impact

Any other impacts:

N/A

3. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Taking the assessment of potential impacts into account, please provide an environmental impact statement that summarises the impact that the proposed activity and its alternatives may have on the environment after the management and mitigation of impacts have been taken into account, with specific reference to types of impact, duration of impacts, likelihood of potential impacts actually occurring and the significance of impacts.

Alternative A (preferred alternative)

Based on the findings of the Basic Assessment process, no impacts of high significance or environmental fatal flaws will result from the granting of a NEMWA WML (i.e. Closure License) for the existing unlicensed landfill facility at Hartswater. Due to the proximity to the semi-formal residential area, noise and dust generation during closure and rehabilitation may impact on residents. These impacts however, will be of a short duration, and can be mitigated as indicated in the EMPr. Potential impacts on surface and groundwater and the introduction of alien plant species can easily be managed by adhering to the recommended management measures in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr).

A closure / decommissioning plan should be developed for the LFS, which should strive to incorporate the artificial wetland as part of an end landuse plan. The wetland itself has aesthetic value and provides functional habitat for wildlife that could be incorporated as part of a formal residential development or other end landuse in the area at some stage in the future. This is based on the assumption that artificial wetland conditions within the study area can be maintained through rainfall only. Other impacts including stormwater runoff control and potential water pollution within the artificial wetland will have to be considered as part of such a development or end landuse proposal.

The closure and rehabilitation of the illegal landfill will have positive impacts in that the existing pollution source will be removed, the erosion gullies rehabilitated, , revegetated and managed in accordance with environmental legislation (i.e. NEMA and NEMWA) into the future by way of the comprehensive EMPr which has been prepared. All potential impacts during the closure phase of the unlicensed Hartswater landfill facility can be minimised through the implementation of the practical and appropriate mitigation measures contained in the EMPr (Appendix F).

Water Use License Applications (WULAs) and/or General Authorisation (GA) confirmations are outside the scope of the DEA project which only licenses the unlicensed facilities in terms of the NEMWA. The DWS must provide a Record of Recommendation to the Competent Authority as part of the WML application process. The DWS will in this communication highlight whether or not the LM is eligible for GA in terms of closure and rehabilitation activities on site or whether a full WULA must be submitted in terms of Section 21 of the NWA.

No-go alternative (compulsory)

The no-go alternative would imply that the current state of the landfill site would remain as it is not currently being utilised. In other words the waste still on site would remain and the site would not be rehabilitated, revegetated and erosion gullies repaired. The wetland's aesthetic value and functional habitat for wildlife will not be enhanced. The negative health and visual impacts associated with existing waste on site would remain into the future.

SECTION E. RECOMMENDATION OF PRACTITIONER

Is the information contained in this report and the documentation attached hereto sufficient to make a decision in respect of the activity applied for (in the view of the environmental assessment practitioner)?

YES	<input type="checkbox"/>
YES	<input type="checkbox"/>

Is an EMPr attached?

The EMPr must be attached as Appendix F.

If "NO", indicate the aspects that should be assessed further as part of a Scoping and EIA process before a decision can be made (list the aspects that require further assessment):

N/A

If "YES", please list any recommended conditions, including mitigation measures that should be considered for inclusion in any authorisation that may be granted by the competent authority in respect of the application:

- **Compliance to the mitigation measures and recommendations as indicated in the EMPr (Appendix F).**
- **An Environmental Control Officer (ECO) is to be appointed to audit compliance with the EMPr and WML. Once the closure of the site has been signed off by the Professional Engineer, the ECO is to submit a final audit report with findings and recommendations to the DENC. The DENC may decide to amend the frequency of future monitoring based on the results of the audit.**
- **All conditions contained within the DWS Record of Recommendation (RoR) should be captured as conditions of the WML issued (whether a WULA or GA is applicable).**

SECTION F: APPENDIXES

The following appendixes must be attached as appropriate:

Appendix A: Site plan(s)

Appendix B: Photographs

Appendix C: Facility illustration(s)

Appendix D: Specialist reports

Appendix E: Comments and responses report

Appendix F: Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)

Appendix G: Other information

G1: WML Application Form

G2: CV of EAP

G3: CVs of Team Members

Appendix A: Site plan(s)

Appendix B: Photographs

Appendix C: Facility illustration(s)

Appendix D: Specialist reports

Appendix E: Comments and responses report

Appendix F: Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)

Appendix G: Other information

Appendix G1: WML Application Form

Appendix G2: CV of EAP

Appendix G3: CVs of Team Members