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## GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ACRONYMS

| CEA | Cumulative Effects Assessment |
| :---: | :---: |
| DFFE | Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment |
| DM | District Municipality |
| DMRE | Department of Mineral Resources and Energy |
| DWS | Department of Water and Sanitation |
| EA | Environmental Authorisation |
| EAP | Environmental Assessment Practitioner |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| EMPr | Environmental Management Programme |
| EP | Equator Principles |
| EPFI | Equator Principles Financial Institutions |
| Environmental impact | Any change to the environment, whether adverse or beneficial, wholly or partially resulting from an organization's environmental aspects. |
| GNR | Government Notice Regulation |
| I\&AP | Interested and affected party |
| IDP | Integrated Development Plan |
| IFC | International Finance Corporation |
| IPP | Independent Power Producer |
| kV | Kilo Volt |
| LM | Local Municipality |
| Mitigate | Activities designed to compensate for unavoidable environmental damage. |
| MW | Megawatt |
| NEMA | National Environmental Management Act No. 107 of 1998 |
| NERSA | National Energy Regulator of South Africa |
| NWA | National Water Act No. 36 of 1998 |
| PPP | Public Participation Process |
| PV | Photovoltaic |
| REIPPP | Renewable Energy IPP Procurement Process |
| SAHRA | South African Heritage Resources Agency |


| SDF | Spatial Development Framework |
| :--- | :--- |
| SPP | Solar Power Plant |
| VU | Vegetation Unit |

## CONTEXT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT

According to Eskom, the demand for electricity in South Africa has been growing at approximately $3 \%$ per annum. This growing demand, fueled by increasing economic growth and social development, is placing increasing pressure on South Africa's existing power generation capacity. Coupled with this, is the growing awareness of environmentally responsible development, the impacts of climate change and the need for sustainable development. The use of renewable energy technologies, as one of a mix of technologies needed to meet future energy consumption requirements is being investigated as part of the national Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMRE) (previously referred to as the Department of Energy) long-term strategic planning and research process.

The primary rationale for the proposed solar photovoltaic (PV) facility is to add new generation capacity from renewable energy to the national electricity mix for offtake by third parties and to aid in achieving the goal of $42 \%$ share of all new installed generating capacity being derived from renewable energy forms, as targeted by DMRE (Integrated Resource Plan Update 2010-2030). The IRP also identifies the preferred generation technologies required to meet the expected demand growth up to 2030 and incorporates government objectives including affordable electricity, reduced greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, reduced water consumption, diversified electricity generation sources and localisation and regional development. In terms of the Integrated Resource Plan Update (2019 IRP Update, 2010-2030), over the short term (of the next two or three years), clear guidelines arose; namely to continue with the current renewable bid programme with additional annual rounds of 1000 MW from PV, with approximately 8.4 GW of the renewable energy capacity planned to be installed from PV technologies over the next twenty years.

The proposed project is intended to either form part of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMREs) Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement (REIPPP) Programme or the electricity generated by the facility will be wheeled into the national grid for offtake by third parties as part of other generation opportunities. The REIPPP Programme aims to secure 14725 Megawatts (MW) of new generation capacity from renewable energy sources, while simultaneously diversifying South Africa's electricity mix. According to the 2021 State of the Nation Address, Government will soon be initiating the procurement of an additional 11800 MW of power from renewable energy, natural gas, battery storage and coal in line with the Integrated Resource Plan 2019 and fulfilling their commitments under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and its Paris Agreement which include the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Eskom, our largest greenhouse gas emitter, has committed in principle to net zero emission by 2050 and to increase its renewable capacity.

In response to the above, DPT Henneman (Pty) Ltd is proposing the development of a photovoltaic solar facility and associated infrastructure for the purpose of commercial electricity generation on an identified site located on the Remaining Extent of Farm Vogelsrand No. 373, Registration Division Ventersburg, situated within the Matjhabeng Local Municipality area of jurisdiction, Free State Province (refer to Figure A for the locality map). The project entails the generation of up to 20 MW electrical power through photovoltaic (PV) technology. The total development footprint
of the project will be approximately 53 hectares (including supporting infrastructure on site and including the overhead power line) within the 70 hectares identified and assessed as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment process, which is located within the affected property. From a regional site selection perspective, this region is preferred for solar energy development due to its global horizontal irradiation value of around $2118 \mathrm{kwh} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Like many other small and developing municipalities in the country, the Matjhabeng Local Municipality faces a number of challenges in addressing the needs of sustainable growth and improved quality of life (SDF, 2021). The Matjhabeng Local Municipality Spatial Development Framework (2020/2021-2024/2025) identifies specific threats and weaknesses experienced in the municipal area which includes lack of proper infrastructure, poor maintenance of infrastructure, lack of financial governance, lack of employment opportunities, lack of bulk services, uncontrolled development and lack of skills development, to name a few.

The Matjhabeng Local Municipality's Integrated Development Plan (IDP, 2022-23) identifies the goals of the municipality as improved efficiency and effectiveness of the municipal administration, improved provision of basic and environmental services in a sustainable way to our communities, increased economic growth, improve community confidence in the system of local government and enhanced financial viability and improved financial management. The IDP considers the economic structure and performance and how the municipality relies heavily on the agricultural sector and the general decline of the sector. It indicates that alternative sectors to the declining sectors of the area needs to be explored, which includes the renewable energy sector.

DPT Henneman (Pty) Ltd intends to develop a 20MW photovoltaic solar facility and associated infrastructure on the Remaining Extent of Farm Vogelsrand No. 373, Registration Division Ventersburg, situated within the Matjhabeng Local Municipality and Lejweleputswa District Municipality area of jurisdiction, Free State Province. The town of Henneman is located approximately 4 km southeast of the proposed development (refer to Figure $A$ and $B$ for the locality and regional map). The total footprint of the project will approximately be 53 hectares (including all associated and supporting infrastructure on site). The site was identified as being highly desirable due to its suitable climatic conditions, topography (i.e. in terms of slope), environmental conditions (i.e. agricultural potential, ecological sensitivity and archaeology), proximity to a grid connection point (i.e. for the purpose of electricity evacuation), as well as site access via a main road (i.e. to facilitate the movement of machinery, equipment, infrastructure and people during the construction phase).

In terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998), with specific reference to Sections 24 and 24D, as read with GNR 324-327, as amended, Environmental Authorisation is required for the proposed solar energy facility. The following listed activities have been identified with special reference to the proposed development and are listed in the EIA Regulations (as amended):

- Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327): "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts."
- Activity 12(ii)(a)(c) (GN.R. 327): "The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 meters of a watercourse measured from the edge of a watercourse."
- Activity 14 (GNR 327): "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres."
- Activity 19 (GN.R. 327): "The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse."
- Activity 28(ii) (GN.R. 327): "Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture, game farming, equestrian purposes or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare."
- Activity 1 (GN.R. 325): "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more..."
- Activity 15 (GN.R. 325): "The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation..."
- Activity 4 (b)(i)(ee)(gg) (GN.R 324): "The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (b) the Free State, (i) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (gg) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA...."
- Activity $10(\mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{ee})(\mathrm{gg})(\mathrm{hh})$ (GN.R 324): "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (b) in the Free State, (i) outside urban areas,(ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans, (gg) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA... and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland."
- Activity 12 (b)(i)(ii)(vi) (GN.R 324): "The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (b) in the Free State, (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans and (vi) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland."
- Activity 14(ii)(a)(c)(b)(i)(ff)(hh) (GN.R 324): "The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more, where such development occurs (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse, (b) within the Free State, (i) outside urban areas within (ff) critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA...."

Activities required for the development of the solar facility which are listed under Listing Notice 1,2 and 3 (GNR 327, 325 and 324) implies that the development could potentially have an impact on the environment that will require mitigation. Subsequently a 'thorough assessment process' is required as described in Regulations 21-24 of the EIA Regulations in order to obtain Environmental Authorisation. Environamics has been appointed as the independent consultant to undertake the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) on behalf of DPT Hennenman (Pty) Ltd.

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations requires that a scoping report must contain the information set out in Appendix 2 of the Regulations or comply with a protocol or minimum information requirements relevant to the application as identified and gazetted by the Minister in a government notice. Appendix 2 of GNR326 requires that information which is necessary for a proper understanding of the process, informing all preferred alternatives, including location alternatives, the scope of the assessment, and the consultation process undertaken be set out in the scoping report.

It has been determined through the scoping process that the proposed development will have a net positive impact for the area and will subsequently ensure the optimal utilisation of resources and land. All negative environmental impacts can be effectively mitigated through the recommended mitigation measures and no residual negative impacts are foreseen. The potentially most significant environmental impacts associated with the development, as identified in this scoping phase, are briefly summarised below.

It must be noted that the EIA phase of the project will consider the impacts on a more detailed level and provide feedback on the facility layout for the proposed project.

Impacts during the construction phase:
During the construction phase minor negative impacts are foreseen over the short term. The latter refers to a period of 12 months. The potentially most significant impacts relate to habitat destruction caused by clearance of vegetation and socio-economic impacts such as the creation of direct and indirect employment opportunities, economic multiplier effects from the use of local goods and services and temporary increase in traffic disruptions and movement patterns.

## Impacts during the operational phase:

During the operational phase the site will serve as a solar PV energy facility and the potential impacts will take place over a period of 35 years. The negative impacts are generally associated with habitat destruction caused by clearance of vegetation, displacement of priority avian species
from important habitats and collision of avifauna with PV panels. The provision of sustainable services delivery needs to still be confirmed. The operational phase will have a direct positive impact (albeit to a limited extent) through the creation of employment opportunities and skills development, development of non-polluting, renewable energy infrastructure and contribution to economic development and social upliftment.

## Impacts during the decommissioning phase:

The negative impacts generally associated with the decommissioning phase include: the loss of permanent employment. However, skilled staff will be eminently employable, and a number of temporary jobs will also be created in the process. It is not expected that the facility will be decommissioned, but rather that the technology used will be upgraded.

## Cumulative impacts:

Cumulative impacts could arise as other similar projects are constructed in the area. According to the Department of forestry, Fisheries and Environment database thirteen (13) other solar plants have been proposed in relatively close proximity to the proposed activity.

The potential for cumulative impacts may therefore exist. The Draft Scoping Report includes an assessment of the potential cumulative impacts associated with the proposed development. Potential cumulative impacts with a significance rating of negative medium during the construction phase relate to: habitat destruction, seasonal drainage channel degradation, displacement of priority avian species from important habitats, loss of important avian habitats, impacts of employment opportunities, business opportunities and skills development and impact associated with large-scale in-migration of people. Cumulative impacts during the operational phase relate to: habitat destruction and visual intrusion. The cumulative effect of the generation of waste was identified as being potentially significant during the decommissioning phase.

Regulation 23 of the EIA Regulations determines that an EIA report must be prepared and submitted for the proposed activity after the competent authority approves the final scoping report. The EIA report will evaluate and rate each identified impact and identify mitigation measures that may be required. The EIA report will contain information that is necessary for the competent authority to consider the application for Environmental Authorisation and to reach a decision contemplated in Regulation 24 of the EIA Regulations.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

This section aims to introduce the Scoping Report and specifically to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include- (a) details of:
(i) the EAP who prepared the report; and
(ii) the expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae.

### 1.1 LEGAL MANDATE AND PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The National Environmental Management Act identifies listed activities (in terms of Section 24) which are likely to have an impact on the environment. These activities cannot commence without obtaining an Environmental Authorisation (EA) from the relevant competent authority. Sufficient information is required by the competent authority to make an informed decision and the project is therefore subject to an environmental assessment process which can be either a Basic Assessment Process or a full Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment process.

The Listing Notices 1, 2 and 3 (GNR 327, 325 and 324) outline the activities that may be triggered and therefore require EA. The following listed activities with special reference to the proposed development is triggered:

Table 1.1: Listed activities

| Relevant <br> notice: | Activity <br> No (s) | Description of each listed activity as per project description: <br> (as. <br> amended in <br> 2017) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Activity 11(ii) | - "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the <br> transmission and distribution of electricity (i) outside <br> urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of <br> more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts." |  |
| -Activity 11(i) is triggered since the proposed <br> photovoltaic solar facility will transmit and distribute <br> electricity of 132 kilovolts outside an urban area. The <br> infrastructure for the distribution of electricity will <br> include a power line (132kV), an on-site HV/MV <br> substation and switching station (132kV). It is expected <br> that generation from the facility will tie in with the <br> existing Kroonstad-Everest 132kV Power Line via a loop- <br> in loop-out connection. The connection point is located <br> within the site on the affected property. |  |  |


| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity $12(\mathrm{ii})(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{c})$ | - "The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 meters of a watercourse measured from the edge of a watercourse." <br> - Activity $12(\mathrm{ii})(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{c})$ is triggered based on the presence of a seasonal drainage channel located within the south of the site. <br> Should the development footprint be optimized to avoid the feature, this listed activity will no longer be relevant. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GNR. 327 <br> (as <br> amended in 2017) | Activity 14 | - "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres." <br> - Activity 14 is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel) in containers with combined capacity of 80 cubic metres. The capacity will not exceed 500 cubic metres. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 19 | - "The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse." <br> - Activity 19 is triggered based on the presence of a seasonal drainage channel located within the south of the site. The development will result in the removal of more than 10 cubic meters of rock from the watercourse. <br> Should the development footprint be optimized to avoid the feature, this listed activity will no longer be relevant. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 28(ii) | - "Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare." |


|  |  | - Activity 28(ii) is triggered as portions of the affected property have been used for grazing and the property will be re-zoned to "special" use for the proposed development. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 53 ha in extent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 1 | - "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more." <br> - Activity 1 is triggered since the proposed photovoltaic solar facility will generate up to 20 megawatts electricity through the use of a renewable resource. |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 15 | - "The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation." <br> - In terms of vegetation type the site falls within the VaalVet Sandy Grassland which is described by Mucina and Rutherford (2006) as Endangered. Activity 15 is triggered since portions of the site has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years; therefore, more than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation will be removed. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 53 ha in extent. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Activity } \quad 4 \\ & (\mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{ee})(\mathrm{gg}) \end{aligned}$ | - "The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (b) the Free State, (i) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (gg) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA...." <br> - Activity 4 (b)(i)(ee)(gg) is triggered as internal and perimeter access roads with a width of 4 meters will be constructed and a section of the site is located within a CBA 1 area. Furthermore, the site is located approximately 5 km from the Thabong Game Ranch. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 10 <br> (b)(i)(ee)(gg) <br> (hh) | - "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (b) in the Free State, (i) outside |


|  |  | urban areas, ,(ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans, ( $g g$ ) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA... and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland." <br> - Activity $10(\mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{ee})(\mathrm{gg})(\mathrm{hh})$ is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel and oils) in containers with a capacity exceeding 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres. The project is located within the Free State Province and a section of the site is located within a CBA 1 area. Furthermore, a seasonal drainage channel is located within the south of the site and the site is located approximately 5 km from the Thabong Game Ranch. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 12 (b)(i)(ii)(vi) <br> (b)(i)(ii)(vi) | - "The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (b) in the Free State, (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans and (vi) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland." <br> - Activity 12 (b)(i)(ii)(vi) is triggered since the proposed development is located in the Free State province and portions of the site has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years and therefore indigenous vegetation is present on the site. In terms of vegetation type the site falls within the Vaal-Vet Sandy Grassland which is described by Mucina and Rutherford (2006) as Endangered. A section of the site is located within a CBA 1 area. Furthermore, a seasonal drainage channel is located within the south of the site. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 53 ha in extent. |


| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14(ii)(a)(c)(b) } \\ & \text { (i)(ff)(hh) } \end{aligned}$ | - "The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more, where such development occurs (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse, (b) within the Free State, (i) outside urban areas within (ff) critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA...." <br> - Activity 14(ii)(a)(c)(b)(i)(ff)(hh) is triggered based on the presence of a seasonal drainage channel located within the south of the site. A section of the site is located within a CBA 1 area. Furthermore, the site is located approximately 5 km from the Thabong Game Ranch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

The activities triggered under Listing Notice 1, 2 and 3 (Regulation 327, 325 and 324) for the project implies that the development is considered as potentially having a significant impact on the environment. Subsequently a 'thorough assessment process' is required as described in Regulations 21-24. According to Appendix 2 of Regulation 326 the objective of the scoping process is to, through a consultative process:

- Identify the relevant policies and legislation relevant to the activity;
- Motivate the need and desirability of the proposed activity, including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location;
- Identify and confirm the preferred activity and technology alternative through an identification of impacts and risks and ranking process of such impacts and risks;
- Identify and confirm the preferred site, through a detailed site selection process, which includes an identification of impacts and risks inclusive of identification of cumulative impacts and a ranking process of all the identified alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, and cultural aspects of the environment;
- Identify the key issues to be addressed in the assessment phase;
- Agree on the level of assessment to be undertaken, including the methodology to be applied, the expertise required as well as the extent of further consultation to be undertaken to determine the impacts and risks the activity will impose on the preferred site through the life of the activity, including the nature, significance, consequence,
extent, duration and probability of the impacts to inform the location of the development footprint within the preferred site; and
- Identify suitable measures to avoid, manage or mitigate identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

This Draft Scoping Report has been submitted to the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) for review and comment. According to Regulation 326 all registered I\&APs and relevant State Departments (including Organs of State) must be allowed the opportunity to review and provide comment on the scoping report. The Draft Scoping Report has been made available to I\&APs and all relevant State Departments. They have been requested to provide written comments on the report within 30 days of receiving it. All issues to be identified and comments received during the review period will be documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of this Final Scoping Report. Where comments have been received prior to the release of the Draft Scoping Report for the 30-day review and comment period, these comments have been included in Appendix C4 and C5 and has also been included and responded to in the Comments and Responses Report (Appendix C6).

### 1.2 DETAILS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER (EAP)

Environamics was appointed by the applicant as the independent EAP to conduct the EIA and prepare all required reports. All correspondence to the EAP can be directed to:

Contact person: Lisa de Lange
EAPASA Registration: 2020/2150
Postal Address: 14 Kingfisher Street, Tuscany Ridge Estate, Potchefstroom, 2531
Telephone: 0849203111 (Cell)
Electronic Mail: lisa@environamics.co.za

And/or
Contact person: Christia van Dyk
Postal Address: 14 Kingfisher Street, Tuscany Ridge Estate, Potchefstroom, 2531
Telephone: 0784705252 (Cell)
Electronic Mail: christia@environamics.co.za
Regulation 13(1)(a) and (b) determines that an independent and suitably qualified and experienced EAP should conduct the EIA. In terms of the independent status of the EAP a declaration is attached as Appendix A to this report. The expertise of the EAP responsible for conducting the EIA is also summarized in the curriculum vitae included as part of Appendix A.

### 1.3 DETAILS OF SPECIALISTS

Table 1.2 provides information on the specialists that have been appointed as part of the EIA process. Regulation $13(1)(a)$ and (b) determines that an independent and suitably qualified, experienced specialist should conduct the specialist study, in the event where the specialist is not independent, a specialist should be appointed to externally review the work of the specialist as contemplated in sub regulation (2), must comply with sub regulation 1 . In terms of the independent status of the specialists, their declarations are attached as Appendix $D$ to this report. The expertise of the specialists is also summarized in their respective reports.

Table 1.2: Details of specialists

| Study | Prepared by | Contact Person | Postal Address | Tel | e-mail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geotechnical Feasibility Assessment | Rocksoil Consult | JL Roux | Box 69027 <br> Highveld <br> 0169 | Cell: 0836026734 | info@rocksoilsoncult.co.za |
| Avifaunal Impact Assessment | Agreenco | ASH Haagner | PO Box 19896 <br> Noordbrug, <br> Potchefstroom 2522 | Cell: 0822143738 | adrian.haagner@agreencogroup.com |
| Ecological and Wetland Impact Assessment | Enviroguard Ecological Services cc | Prof. L.R. Brown | - | Cell: 0824641021 | envguard@telkomsa.net |
| Heritage Screening Report (including archaeology and palaeontology) | CTS Heritage | Jenna Lavin | 34 Harries St, Plumstead, Cape Town, 7800 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cell: } 082303 \text { 7870/ } \\ & 0836190854 \end{aligned}$ | info@ctsheritage.com |
| Agricultural Compliance Statement |  | Johann Lanz | P. O. Box 6209 <br> Uniedal ,Stellenbosch 7612 | Tel: 0218661518 <br> Cell: 0829279018 | johann@johannlanz.co.za |
| Visual Impact Assessment | Donaway <br> Environmental Consultants | Johan Botha | 30 Fouche Street <br> Steynsrus, 9515 | Tel: 0823167749 | phala.env@gmail.com |
| Social Impact Assessment | Donaway Environmental Consultants | Marelie Botha | 30 Fouche Street Steynsrus, 9515 | Cell: 0824935166 | phala.env@gmail.com |
| Traffic Assessment Study | BVi Consulting Engineers | Liza Botha | Edison Square, Century City, 7441 | Cell: 0605577467 | lizab@bviwc.co.za |

### 1.4 STATUS OF THE EIA PROCESS

The EIA process is conducted strictly in accordance with the stipulations set out in Regulations 2124 of Regulation No. 326. Table 1.3 provides a summary of the EIA process and future steps to be taken. It can be confirmed that to date:

- A pre-application meeting request and public participation plan was submitted to DFFE on 28 March 2022.
- The DFFE accepted the public participation plan in an email dated 05 May 2022.
- A newspaper advertisement was placed in the Vista Newspaper on 31 March 2022, informing the public of the EIA process and for the public to register as I\&APs.
- A site visit was conducted by the EAP on 24 February 2022.
- Site notices were erected on site on 24 February 2022 informing the public of the commencement of the EIA process.
- An application form and the draft Scoping Report has been submitted to DFFE on 11 November 2022.
- The draft Scoping Report has been made available for a 30-day review and comment period from 11 November 2022 to 12 December 2022.

It is envisaged that the Final Scoping Report will be submitted to the Department in December 2022 and that the Final Scoping Report will be accepted by the Department in February 2023. See Table 1.3 for the estimated timeframe.

Table 1.3: Estimated timeframe for completion of the 'scoping and EIA process'

| Activity | Prescribed <br> timeframe | Timeframe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site visit |  | 24 February 2022 |
| Public participation (BID) | 30 Days | 2 June - 4 July 2022 |
| Submit application form and DSR | - | 11 November 2022 |
| Public participation (DSR) | 30 Days | 11 <br> 12 December 2022 |
| Submit FSR | 44 Days | December 2022 |
| Department acknowledges receipt | 10 Days | January 2023 |
| Department approves/reject | 43 Days | By February 2023 |


| Public participation (DEIR) | 30 Days | March - April 2023 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submission of FEIR \& EMPr | - | May 2023 |
| Department acknowledges receipt | 10 Days | May 2023 |
| Decision | 107 Days | August 2023 |
| Department notifies of decision | 5 Days | August 2023 |
| Registered I\&APs notified of decision | 14 Days | August 2023 |
| Appeal | 20 Days | September 2023 |

### 1.5 SPECIALIST STUDIES IDENTIFIED IN THE DFFE SCREENING TOOL REPORT

The table included below provides an indication of the specialist studies identified by the DFFE Screening Tool Report (Appendix B), an indication of whether the studies were undertaken or not and a motivation or confirmation of the studies being included or not.

| Study identified in the DFFE Screening Tool and sensitivity | Study included? | Confirmation / motivation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Impact Assessment Sensitivity: High | Yes | An Agricultural Compliance Statement is included in Appendix D4. The high sensitivity is disputed by the report based on the current conditions of the site. |
| Landscape / Visual Impact Assessment <br> Sensitivity: Very High | Yes | A Visual Impact Assessment is included in Appendix D3. |
| Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment <br> Sensitivity: Low | Yes | A Heritage Impact Assessment (including consideration of the archaeology of the area) is included in Appendix D5. |
| Palaeontological Impact Assessment Sensitivity: Very High | Yes | A Heritage Impact Assessment (including consideration of the palaeontology of the area) is included in Appendix D5. |


| Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment <br> Sensitivity: Very High | Impact | Yes | An Ecological Impact Assessment is included in Appendix D1. <br> This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 - refer to the content of the report. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aquatic Biodiversity Assessment <br> Sensitivity: Low | Impact | No | An Ecological Impact Assessment (which also considers wetlands) is included in Appendix D1. <br> This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 - refer to the content of the report. |
| Civil Aviation Assessment Sensitivity: Low |  | No | The Civil Aviation Authority has been consulted regarding the development of the project since the commencement of the EIA Process. No specific negative impacts or issues have been raised to date by the CAA regarding the project. The project is also not located within an area considered to be of a high sensitivity. |
| Defence Assessment Sensitivity: Low |  | No | The sensitivity for the entire extent of the site is low and therefore no assessment has been included. |
| RFI Assessment <br> Sensitivity: Low |  | No | The RFI theme sensitivity is low for the entire extent of the project. The South African Radio Astronomy Observatory (SARAO) has been consulted regarding the development of the project since the commencement of the EIA Process. No specific negative impacts or issues have been raised to date by the SARAO regarding the project. The project is also not located within an area considered to be of a high sensitivity. |


| Geotechnical Assessment <br> Sensitivity: Not indicated | No | A GeotechnicalFeasibilityAssessmentPreliminaryGeotechnical Investigation) isincluded as Appendix D8. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio-Economic Assessment Sensitivity: Not indicated | Yes | A Social Impact Assessment is included in Appendix D6. |
| Plant species Assessment <br> Sensitivity: Low | Yes | An Ecological Impact Assessment is included in Appendix D1. <br> This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 - refer to the content of the report. |
| Animal Species Assessment Sensitivity: High | Yes | An Ecological Impact Assessment is included in Appendix D1. <br> This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 - refer to the content of the report. |

### 1.6 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

This report is structured in accordance with the prescribed contents stipulated in Appendix 2 of Regulation No.326. It consists of eight sections demonstrating compliance to the specifications of the regulations as illustrated in Table 1.4.

Table 1.4: Structure of the report

| Requirements for the contents of a scoping report as specified in the RegulationsSection in <br> report <br> (a)details of - <br>  <br> (i) the EAP who prepared the report; and <br> ii) the expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| (b) | the location of the activity, including- |  |
|  | (i) the 21-digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel; | 2 |
|  | (ii) where available, the physical address and farm name; |  |


|  | (iii) where the required information in items (i) and (ii) is not available, the coordinates of the boundary of the property or properties; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (c) | a plan which locates the proposed activity or activities applied for at an appropriate scale, or, if it is- |  |
|  | (i) a linear activity, a description and coordinates of the corridor in which the proposed activity or activities is to be undertaken; or |  |
|  | (ii) on land where the property has not been defined, the coordinates within which the activity is to be undertaken; |  |
| (d) | a description of the scope of the proposed activity, including- |  |
|  | (i) all listed and specified activities triggered; |  |
|  | (ii) a description of the activities to be undertaken, including associated structures and infrastructure. |  |
| (e) | A description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process; | 3 |
| (f) | a motivation for the need and desirability for the proposed development including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location; | 4 |
| (g) | a full description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred activity, site and location of the development footprint within the site, including |  |
|  | (i) details of all the alternatives considered; |  |
|  | (ii) details of the public participation process undertaken in terms of regulation 41 of the Regulations, including copies of the supporting documents and inputs; |  |
|  | (iii) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated, or the reasons for not including them. | 5 |
|  | (iv) the environmental attributes associated with the alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects; |  |
|  | (ix) the outcome of the site selection matrix; |  |
|  | (x) if no alternatives, including alternative locations for the activity were investigated, the motivation for not considering such and |  |


|  | (xi) a concluding statement indicating the preferred alternatives, including preferred location of the activity; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (g) | (v) the impacts and risks which have informed the identification of each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of such identified impacts, including the degree to which these impacts- (aa) can be reversed; (bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and (cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated; <br> (vi) the methodology used in identifying and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks associated with the alternatives; | 6 |
|  | (vii) positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity and <br> alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may be affected focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects; <br> (viii) the possible mitigation measures that could be applied and level of residual risk; |  |
| (i) | a plan of study for undertaking the environmental impact assessment process to | 8 |
|  | (i) a description of the alternatives to be considered and assessed within the preferred site, including the option of not proceeding with the activity; |  |
|  | (ii) a description of the aspects to be assessed as part of the EIA process; |  |
|  | (iii) aspects to be assessed by specialists; |  |
|  | (iv) a description of the proposed method of assessing the environmental aspects, including aspects to be assessed by specialists; |  |
|  | (v) a description of the proposed method of assessing duration and significance; |  |
|  | (vi) an indication of the stages at which the competent authority will be consulted; |  |
|  | (vii) particulars of the public participation process that will be conducted during the EIA process; and |  |
|  | (viii) a description of the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the EIA process; |  |
|  | (ix) identify suitable measures to avoid, reverse, mitigate or manage identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored. |  |


| (j) | an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to- |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | (i) the correctness of the information provided in the report; |  |
|  | (ii) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and interested and <br> affected parties; and | Appendix <br> A to the <br> report |
|  | (iii) any information provided by the EAP to I\&APs and any responses by the EAP <br> to comments or inputs made by I\&APs; | an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to the level of <br> agreement between the EAP and I\&APs on the plan of study for undertaking the <br> EIA; |

## 2 ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:
Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-
(b) the location of the activity, including-
(i) the 21-digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel;
(ii) where available, the physical address and farm name;
(iii) where the required information in items (i) and (ii) is not available, the coordinates of the boundary of the property or properties;
(c) a plan which locates the proposed activity applied for at an appropriate scale, or, if it is-
(i) a linear activity, a description and coordinates of the corridor in which the proposed activity or activities is to be undertaken; or
(ii) on land where the property has not been defined, the coordinates within which the activity is to be undertaken;
(d) a description of the scope of the proposed activity, including-
(i) all listed and specified activities triggered;
(ii) a description of the activities to be undertaken, including associated structures and infrastructure.

### 2.1 THE LOCATION OF THE ACTIVITY AND PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The activities entail the development of a photovoltaic solar facility and associated infrastructure on the Remaining Extent of Farm Vogelsrand No. 373, Registration Division Ventersburg, situated within the Mathjhabeng Local Municipality area of jurisdiction. The proposed development is located in the Free State Province in the northern central interior of South-Africa (refer to Figure $B$ for the regional map). The town of Henneman is located approximately 4 km southeast of the proposed development (refer to Figure A for the locality map).

The project entails the generation of up to 20 MW electrical power through the installation and operation of photovoltaic (PV) panels. An area of approximately 70 ha has been identified within the affected property and assessed as part of this Scoping Report, and a smaller area for the placement of the infrastructure (including supporting infrastructure on site), known as the development footprint, has been placed within the larger area assessed. The development footprint is proposed to be 53ha in extent. Refer to Table 2.1 for general site information.

The property on which the facility is to be constructed will be leased by DPT Henneman (Pty) Ltd from the property owner, Bennie de Klerk, for the life span of the project (minimum of 35 years).

The proposed project is intended to either form part of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMREs) Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement (REIPPP) Programme or the electricity generated by the facility will be wheeled into the national grid for offtake by third parties as part of other generation opportunities. It is expected that generation from the facility will tie in with the existing Kroonstad-Everest 132 kV Power Line via a loop-in loopout connection through the development of a new 132 kV power line. The connection point is located within the site on the affected property.

Table 2.1: General site information

| Description of affected farm portion | Solar Power Plant <br> Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373 <br> Power Line <br> Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Province | Free State |
| District Municipality | Lejweleputswa District Municipality |
| Local Municipality | Matjhabeng Local Municipality |
| Ward numbers | 3 |
| Closest towns | The town of Henneman is located approximately 4 km southeast of the proposed development. |
| 21 Digit Surveyor General codes | Solar Power Plant <br> Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373: F035000000000373000001 <br> Power Line <br> Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373: F035000000000373000001 |
| Type of technology | Photovoltaic solar facility |
| Structure Height | Panels $\sim 2 \mathrm{~m}$, buildings $\sim 6 \mathrm{~m}$, power line $\sim 18 \mathrm{~m}$ and Battery Storage Facility $\sim 4 m$ height |
| Battery storage | Within a 4-hectare area |
| Surface area to be covered (Development footprint) | Approximately 53 ha |
| Area assessed as part of the EIA process | Approximately 70 ha |
| Structure orientation | The panels will either be fixed to a single-axis horizontal tracking structure where the orientation of the panel varies according to the time of the day, as the sun moves |


|  | from east to west or tilted at a fixed angle equivalent to <br> the latitude at which the site is in order to capture the <br> most sun. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Generation capacity | Up to 20 MW |

The site is located in a rural area and is bordered by agricultural land uses, as well as mining activities that are present within the general area. The site survey revealed that the affected property currently consists of agricultural activities, primarily grazing - refer to plates 1-12 for photographs of the affected property and proposed development footprint area.

### 2.2 ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

The proposed development will trigger the following activities:
Table 2.2: Listed activities

| Relevant <br> notice: | Activity <br> No (s) | Description of each listed activity as per project description: <br> GNR. 327 <br> (as <br> amended in <br> 2017) <br> Activity 11(ii) | - "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the <br> transmission and distribution of electricity (i) outside <br> urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of <br> more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts." |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -Activity 11(i) is triggered since the proposed <br> photovoltaic solar facility will transmit and distribute <br> electricity of 132 kilovolts outside an urban area. The <br> infrastructure for the distribution of electricity will <br> include a power line (132kV), an on-site HV/MV <br> substation and switching station (132kV). It is expected <br> that generation from the facility will tie in with the <br> existing Kroonstad-Everest 132kV Power Line via a loop- <br> in loop-out connection. The connection point is located |  |  |  |
| within the site on the affected property. |  |  |  |


|  |  | Should the development footprint be optimized to avoid the feature, this listed activity will no longer be relevant. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 14 | - "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres." <br> - Activity 14 is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel) in containers with combined capacity of 80 cubic metres. The capacity will not exceed 500 cubic metres. |
| GNR. 327 <br> (as <br> amended in 2017) | Activity 19 | - "The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse." <br> - Activity 19 is triggered based on the presence of a seasonal drainage channel located within the south of the site. The development will result in the removal of more than 10 cubic meters of rock from the watercourse. <br> Should the development footprint be optimized to avoid the feature, this listed activity will no longer be relevant. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 28(ii) | - "Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare." <br> - Activity 28(ii) is triggered as portions of the affected property have been used for grazing and the property will be re-zoned to "special" use for the proposed development. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 53 ha in extent. |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { GNR. } & 325 \\ \text { (as } \end{array}$ | Activity 1 | - "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more." |


| amended in 2017) |  | - Activity 1 is triggered since the proposed photovoltaic solar facility will generate up to 20 megawatts electricity through the use of a renewable resource. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 15 | - "The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation." <br> - In terms of vegetation type the site falls within the VaalVet Sandy Grassland which is described by Mucina and Rutherford (2006) as Endangered. Activity 15 is triggered since portions of the site has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years; therefore, more than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation will be removed. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 53 ha in extent. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | $\begin{array}{lr} \hline \text { Activity } \quad 4 \\ \text { (b)(i)(ee)(gg) } \end{array}$ | - "The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (b) the Free State, (i) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (gg) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA...." <br> - Activity 4 (b)(i)(ee)(gg) is triggered as internal and perimeter access roads with a width of 4 meters will be constructed and a section of the site is located within a CBA 1 area. Furthermore, the site is located approximately 5 km from the Thabong Game Ranch. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | ```Activity 10 (b)(i)(ee)(gg) (hh)``` | - "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (b) in the Free State, (i) outside urban areas, ,(ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans, ( gg ) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA... and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland." |


|  |  | - Activity $10(\mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{ee})(\mathrm{gg})(\mathrm{hh})$ is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel and oils) in containers with a capacity exceeding 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres. The project is located within the Free State Province and a section of the site is located within a CBA 1 area. Furthermore, a seasonal drainage channel is located within the south of the site and the site is located approximately 5 km from the Thabong Game Ranch. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Activity } 12 \\ & \text { (b)(i)(ii)(vi) } \end{aligned}$ | "The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (b) in the Free State, (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans and (vi) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland." <br> - Activity 12 (b)(i)(ii)(vi) is triggered since the proposed development is located in the Free State province and portions of the site has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years and therefore indigenous vegetation is present on the site. In terms of vegetation type the site falls within the Vaal-Vet Sandy Grassland which is described by Mucina and Rutherford (2006) as Endangered. A section of the site is located within a CBA 1 area. Furthermore, a seasonal drainage channel is located within the south of the site. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 53 ha in extent. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity $\begin{aligned} & 14(\mathrm{ii})(\mathrm{a})(\mathrm{c})(\mathrm{b}) \\ & \text { (i)(ff)(hh) } \end{aligned}$ | - "The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more, where such development occurs (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse, (b) within the Free State, (i) outside urban areas within (ff) critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 |



The potentially most significant impacts will occur during the construction phase of the development, which will include the following activities:

- Site clearing and preparation: Certain areas of the site and access roads will need to be cleared of vegetation and some areas may need to be levelled.
- Civil works to be conducted:
- Terrain levelling if necessary- Levelling will be minimal as the potential site chosen is relatively flat.
- Laying foundation- The structures will be connected to the ground through cement pillars, cement slabs or metal screws. The exact method will depend on the detailed geotechnical analysis.
- Construction of access and inside roads/paths - existing paths will be used where reasonably possible. Access will be obtained via the R70 located to the north of the site. Additionally, the turning circle for trucks will also be taken into consideration.
- Trenching - all Direct Current (DC) and Alternating Current (AC) wiring within the PV plant will be buried underground. Trenches will have a river sand base, space for pipes, backfill of sifted soil and soft sand and concrete layers where vehicles will pass.


### 2.3 PHOTOVOLTAIC TECHNOLOGY

The term photovoltaic describes a solid-state electronic cell that produces direct current electrical energy from the radiant energy of the sun through a process known as the Photovoltaic Effect. This refers to light energy placing electrons into a higher state of energy to create electricity. Each PV cell is made of silicon (i.e. semiconductors), which is positively and negatively charged on either side, with electrical conductors attached to both sides to form a circuit. This circuit captures the released electrons in the form of an electric current (direct current). The key components of the proposed project are described below:

- PV Panel Array - To produce up to 20 MW , the proposed facilities will require numerous linked cells placed behind a protective glass sheet to form a panel. Multiple panels will be required to form the solar PV arrays which will comprise the PV facility. The PV panels will be mounted to a single access tracking frame system
- Wiring to Central Inverters - Sections of the PV array will be wired to inverters. The inverter is a pulse width mode inverter that converts direct current (DC) electricity to alternating current (AC) electricity at grid frequency.
- Connection to the grid - Connecting the array to the electrical grid requires transformation of the voltage from 800 V to 33 kV to 132 kV . The normal components and dimensions of a distribution rated electrical substation will be required $(22 / 132 \mathrm{kV})$. Output voltage from the inverter is 800 V and this is fed into step up transformers to 132 kV . An onsite substation will be required on the site to step the voltage up to 132 kV , after which the power will be evacuated into the national grid via the proposed 132 kV power line. It is expected that generation from the facility will connect to the national grid via a loop-in loop-out connection into the existing Kroonstad-Everest 132kV Power Line. The proposed connection point into the national grid is located within the Remaining Extent of Farm Vogelsrand No. 373.
- Electrical reticulation network - An internal electrical reticulation network will be required and will be lain ${ }^{\sim} 0.5 \mathrm{~m}-1 \mathrm{~m}$ underground as far as practically possible.
- Supporting Infrastructure - The following auxiliary buildings with basic services including water and electricity will be required on site:
- Office / Control Room ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ );
- 22 kV Switch gear and relay room ( $\sim_{200}{ }^{2}$ );
- $22 \mathrm{kV} / 132 \mathrm{KV}$ Outdoor Switchyard ( $5000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ );
- Security control ( $\sim 60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ )
- Battery Energy Storage System - A Battery Storage Facility with BESS Containerized solution and associated operational, safety and control infrastructure will be required. A maximum height of 4 m and a maximum volume of $6400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of batteries and associated operational, safety and control infrastructure is expected to be required.
- Roads - Access will be obtained via the R70 regional road to the north of the site. An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and associated infrastructure. Internal roads are expected to have a width of up to 4 m .
- Fencing - For health, safety and security reasons, the facility will be required to be fenced off from the surrounding properties. Fencing with a height of up to 2.5 meters will be used (will be of a Clearvu type fence).


### 2.4 LAYOUT DESCRIPTION

The layout plan will consider and adhere to the limitations of the site and aspects such as environmentally sensitive areas, roads, fencing and servitudes on site - refer to Figure G and I. The total surface area proposed for the layout includes the PV panel arrays (spaced to avoid shadowing), access and maintenance roads and associated infrastructure (buildings, power inverters, power line, battery energy storage system, on-site substation and switching station and
perimeter fences). Limited features of environmental significance exist on site, however the sensitivities that do exist have to be avoided in the layout of the solar facility (refer to Figure $G$ and I). Table 2.3 below provides detailed information regarding the layout for the proposed facility as per DFFE requirements.

Table 2.3: Technical details for the proposed facility

| Component | Description / dimensions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Height of PV panels | 2.2 meters |
| Area of PV Array | 53 Hectares (Development footprint) |
| Number of inverters required | Minimum 59 |
| Area occupied by inverter / transformer stations / substations / BESS | 22 kV Switch gear and relay room: $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 22kV/132KV Outdoor Switchyard: $5000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; <br> BESS: $2000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 22kV/132kV Facility Substation: $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Capacity of on-site substation | 24MVA ONAN; 22/132KV |
| Capacity of the power line | 132kV |
| Area occupied by both permanent and construction laydown areas | Permanent Laydown Area: 53 Hectares Construction Laydown Area: ~2000 m² |
| Area occupied by buildings | Office / Control Room : ~300m² <br> 22 kV Switch gear and relay room: $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> $22 \mathrm{kV} / 132 \mathrm{KV}$ Outdoor Switchyard: $5000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$; <br> Security control: ~60m² |
| Battery storage facility | Maximum height: 4 m <br> Maximum volume: $40 \mathrm{~m} \times 40 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{~m} / 6400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| Length of internal roads | To be determined |
| Width of internal roads | Up to 4m |
| Proximity to grid connection | Approximately 18 metres |
| Grid connection corridor length | Less than 50m |
| Power servitude width | 32m |
| Height of fencing | Approximately 2.5 meters |

### 2.5 SERVICES PROVISION

The following sections provides information on services required on the site e.g. water, sewage, refuse removal, and electricity.

### 2.5.1 Water

Adequate provision of water will be a prerequisite for the development. Water for the proposed development will most likely be obtained from ground water resources or alternatively collected with water trucks from an authorized water service provider and stored on site. The Department of Water and Sanitation will be contacted by the project proponent to confirm the water resource availability in the relevant catchment management area in order to ensure sustainable water
supply. A full assessment of the application for water use authorisation will be undertaken following receipt of the Environmental Authorisation.

During construction 140000 litres / $140 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water will be required for civil works, which is expected to be for a 35 day period, after which 45000 litres / $45 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water will be require for the remainder of the construction phase, which will also be for the undertaking of dust suppression.

During the operation phase 70000 litres $/ 70 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ will be required each month for the operation and maintenance of the facility. Washing of the PV panels will be required, it is expected that 5040 litres / $5.04 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water will be required per annum to undertake the washing.

Drinking water supplied will comply with the SANS:241 quality requirements. Water quality from the borehole will be tested to confirm SANS:214 quality, if water quality is not sufficient for drinking, bottled water will be supplied to staff during the construction and operational phases of the project.

Water saving devices and technologies such as the use of dual flush toilets and low-flow taps, the management of stormwater, the capture and use of rainwater from gutters and roofs will be considered by the developer. Furthermore, indigenous vegetation will be used during landscaping and the staff will be trained to implement good housekeeping techniques.

### 2.5.2 Stormwater

To avoid soil erosion, it is recommended that the clearing of vegetation be limited. Stormwater management and mitigation measures will be included in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) to be submitted as part of the EIR.

### 2.5.3 Sanitation and waste removal

Portable chemical toilets will be utilised, that will be serviced privately or by the local municipality. Waste will be disposed of at a licensed landfill site. The construction- and hazardous waste will be removed and disposed of at licensed landfill sites accepting such kinds of wastes. During the operational phase household waste will be removed to a licensed landfill site by a private contractor or by the local municipality. No municipal sanitation services will be required as an on site sewage system will be installed and waste removal will be undertaken by a contracted company.

### 2.5.4 Electricity

During the construction phase of the development, electricity will either be generated on site through a small solar system or through the use of generators or the existing Eskom supply on the farm will be utilised. This will depend on the Engineering, Procurement, and Construction (EPC) contractor appointed. During operation electricity use will be limited and will primarily be related to the lighting of the facility and domestic use. Design measures such as the use of energy saving light bulbs will be considered by the developer. During the day, electricity will be sourced from the photovoltaic plant, and from the electricity connection at night.

### 2.6 DECOMMISSIONING OF THE FACILITY

The operating period will be 35 years from the commencement date of the operation phase. Thereafter rights of renewal periods will be relevant. It is anticipated that new PV technologies and equipment will be implemented, within the scope of the Environmental Authorisation, when influencing the profitability of the solar facility.

A likely extension of the plant's lifetime would involve putting new, more efficient, solar panels on the existing structures to improve the efficiency of the facility as the technology improves. The specifications of these new panels will be the same as the current panels under consideration, but the conversion efficiency of sunlight to energy will be greater (comparable to new computer chips, that are the same, but faster and more efficient). If, for whatever reason the plant halts operations, the Environmental Authorisation and contract with the landowner will be respected during the decommissioning phase.

## The decommissioning process will consist of the following steps:

- The PV facility would be disconnected from the Eskom grid.
- The BESS, inverters and PV modules would be disconnected and disassembled.
- Concrete foundations (if used) would be removed and the structures would be dismantled.
- Wastewater storage conservancy tank would be responsibly removed and area would be rehabilitated.
- The underground cables would be unearthed and removed and buildings would be demolished and removed.
- The fencing would be dismantled and removed.
- The roads can be retained should the landowner choose to retain them, alternatively the roads will be removed and the compaction will be reversed.
- Most of the wires, steel and PV modules are recyclable and would be recycled to a reasonable extent. The Silicon and Aluminium in PV modules can be removed and reused in the production of new modules.
- Any rubble and non-recyclable materials will be disposed of at a registered landfill facility.

The rehabilitation of the site would form part of the decommissioning phase. The aim would be to restore the land to its original form (or as close as possible). The rehabilitation activities would include the following:

- Removal of all structures and rubble;
- Breaking up compaction where required, loosening of the soil and the redistribution of topsoil; and
- Restoration of the surface to the original contours and application of hydro seeding.


## 3 LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY CONTEXT

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:
Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-
(e) a description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process;

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

Environmental decision making with regards to solar PV energy facilities is based on numerous policy and legislative documents. These documents inform decisions on project level environmental authorisations issued by the National Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) as well as comments from local and district authorities. Moreover, it is significant to note that they also inform strategic decision making reflected in the IDPs and SDFs. Therefore, to ensure streamlining of environmental authorisations it is imperative for the proposed activity to align with the principles and objectives of key national, provincial and local development policies and legislation. The following acts and policies and their applicability to the proposed development are briefly summarised:

- The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act No. 108 of 1996)
- National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) [NEMA]
- The National Energy Act, 2008 (Act 34 of 2008)
- National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)
- National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008)
- National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004)
- The National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999)
- Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 85 of 1983)
- The National Forests Act, 1998 (Act 84 of 1998)
- The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa (1998)
- The White Paper on Renewable Energy (2003)
- Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for South Africa (2010-2030)
- National Development Plan of 2030
- National Infrastructure Plan of South Africa (2012)
- New Growth Path Framework (2010)
- Climate Change Bill (2018)
- Climate Change Bill (2021) - for public comment
- Strategic Integrated Projects (SIPs) (2010 - 2030)
- Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for wind and solar PV Energy in South Africa (2014)
- Free State Provincial Spatial Development Framework (PSDF) (2012)
- Lejweleputswa District Municipality Final Integrated Development Plan (IDP) 2021-2022 (2021)
- Matjhabeng Local Municipality Integrated Development Plan 2022/2023 (2022)
- Matjhabeng Municipal Spatial Development Framework Phase 4 (SDF) (2020/2021 2024/2025) (2021)

The key principles and objectives of each of the legislative and policy documents are briefly summarised in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 to provide a reference framework for the implications for the proposed activity.

Table 3.1: Legislative context for the construction of photovoltaic solar plants

| LEGISLATION | ADMINISTERING AUTHORITY | DATE | SUMMARY / IMPLICATIONS FOR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Constitution of South Africa (Act No. 108 of 1996) | National Government | 1996 | The Constitution is the supreme law of the Republic and all law and conduct must be consistent with the Constitution. The Chapter on the Bill of Rights contains a number of provisions, which are relevant to securing the protection of the environment. Section 24 states that "everyone has the right to (a) an environment that is not harmful to their health or well-being and (b) to have the environment protected, for the benefit of present and future generations, through reasonable legislative and other measures that - (i) prevent pollution and ecological degradation; (ii) promote conservation; and (iii) secure ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources while promoting justifiable economic and social development. The Constitution therefore, compels government to give effect to the people's environmental right and places government under a legal duty to act as a responsible custodian of the country's environment. It compels government to pass legislation and use other measures to protect the environment, to prevent pollution and ecological degradation, promote conservation and secure sustainable development. <br> The development of the solar energy facility and the aspects related thereto considers the creation of an environment which is not harmful or degraded through the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures. |
| The National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998) | National Department of Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) and the Free State | 1998 | NEMA provides for co-operative governance by establishing principles and procedures for decision-makers on matters affecting the environment. An important function of the Act is to serve as an enabling Act for the promulgation of legislation to effectively address integrated environmental management. Some of the principles in the Act are accountability; affordability; cradle to grave management; equity; integration; open information; polluter pays; subsidiary; waste avoidance and minimisation; co-operative governance; sustainable development; and environmental protection and justice. |


|  | Province Department of Economic, Small Business <br> Development, <br> Tourism and <br> Environmental <br> Affairs (DESTEA) | The mandate for EIA lays with the National Environmental Management Act (107 of 1998) and the EIA Regulations No. 324, 325, 326, and 327 promulgated in terms of Section 24 of NEMA. The EIA Regulations determine that an Environmental Authorisation is required for certain listed activities, which might have a detrimental effect on the environment. <br> The EIA process undertaken for the proposed solar energy facility is in-line with the requirements of NEMA for the Application for Environmental Authorisation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The National Energy Act (Act No. 34 of 2008) | Department of 2008 Mineral Resources and Energy | One of the objectives of the National Energy Act was to promote diversity of supply of energy and its sources. In this regard, the preamble makes direct reference to renewable resources, including solar: "To ensure that diverse energy resources are available, in sustainable quantities, and at affordable prices, to the South African economy, in support of economic growth and poverty alleviation, taking into account environmental management requirements (...); to provide for (...) increased generation and consumption of renewable energies..." (Preamble). <br> Considering that the solar energy facility is proposed to make use of PV technology and the solar resource for the generation of electricity, the proposed project is in-line with the Act. |
| The $\quad$ National Water Act (Act No. 36 of 1998) | Department of Water 1998 Affairs (now known as Department of Water and Sanitation) | Sustainability and equity are identified as central guiding principles in the protection, use, development, conservation, management and control of water resources. The intention of the Act is to promote the equitable access to water and the sustainable use of water, redress past racial and gender discrimination, and facilitate economic and social development. The Act provides the rights of access to basic water supply and sanitation, and environmentally, it provides for the protection of aquatic and associated ecosystems, the reduction and prevention of pollution and degradation of water resources. <br> As this Act is founded on the principle that National Government has overall responsibility for and authority over water resource management, including the equitable allocation and beneficial use of water in the public interest, a person can only be entitled to use water if the use is permissible under the Act. Chapter 4 of the Act lays the basis for regulating water use. <br> The site is located within the C42J quaternary catchment and is situated in the Middle Vaal Water Management Area. |

Also, should a water use license be required for the project, the National Water Act will be applicable in terms of obtaining the relevant license.

| National <br> Environmental <br> Management: <br> Waste Act <br> (Act No. 59 of 2008) | National Department Environmental <br> Affairs (DEA) (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) | 2008 | NEMWA has been developed as part of the law reform process enacted through the White Paper on Integrated Pollution and Waste Management and the National Waste Management Strategy (NWMS). The objectives of the Act relate to the provision of measures to protect the health, well-being and the environment, to ensure that people are aware of the impact of waste on their health, well-being and the environment, to provide for compliance with the measures, and to give effect to section 24 of the Constitution in order to secure an environment that is not harmful to health and well-being. <br> Regulations No. R921 (of 2013) promulgated in terms of Section 19(1) of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act (59 of 2008) determines that no person may commence, undertake or conduct a waste management activity listed in this schedule unless a license is issued in respect of that activity. It is not envisaged that a waste permit will be required for the proposed development as no listed activities in terms of waste management are expected to be triggered. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National <br> Environment <br> Management: Air <br> Quality Act <br> (Act No. 39 of 2004) | National Department Environmental Affairs (DEA) <br> (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) | 2004 | The object of this Act is to protect the environment by providing reasonable measures for the protection and enhancement of the quality of air in the Republic; the prevention of air pollution and ecological degradation; and securing ecologically sustainable development while promoting justifiable economic and social development. <br> Regulations No. R248 (of 31 March 2010) promulgated in terms of Section 21(1)(a) of the National Environmental Management Act: Air Quality Act (39 of 2004) determine that an Atmospheric Emission License (AEL) is required for certain listed activities, which result in atmospheric emissions which have or may have a detrimental effect on the environment. The Regulation also sets out the minimum emission standards for the listed activities. It is not envisaged that an Atmospheric Emission License will be required for the proposed development. |


| The National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999) | ```South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA)``` | 1999 | The Act aims to introduce an integrated and interactive system for the management of heritage resources, to promote good governance at all levels, and empower civil society to nurture and conserve heritage resources so that they may be bequeathed to future generations and to lay down principles for governing heritage resources management throughout the Republic. It also aims to establish the South African Heritage Resources Agency together with its Council to coordinate and promote the management of heritage resources, to set norms and maintain essential national standards and to protect heritage resources, to provide for the protection and management of conservation-worthy places and areas by local authorities, and to provide for matters connected therewith. <br> The Act protects and manages certain categories of heritage resources in South Africa. For the purposes of the Heritage Resources Act, a "heritage resource" includes any place or object of cultural significance. In this regard the Act makes provision for a person undertaking an activity listed in Section 28 of the Act to notify the resources authority. The resources authority may request that a heritage impact assessment be conducted if there is reason to believe that heritage resources will be affected. <br> A case file has been opened on SAHRIS for the proposed solar energy facility and all relevant documents were submitted for their comments and approval. The Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken for the solar power plant is included as Appendix D5, which covers both the archaeological and palaeontological components. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (Act No. 85 of 1983) | National and Provincial Government | 1983 | The objective of the Act is to provide control over the utilisation of the natural agricultural resources of the Republic in order to promote the conservation of the soil, the water sources and the vegetation and the combating of weeds and invader plants; and for matters connected therewith. <br> Consent will be required from the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) in order to confirm that the proposed development is not located on high potential agricultural land and to approve the long term lease agreement. |

A Soils and Agricultural Compliance statement has been undertaken for the proposed solar energy facility and is included as Appendix D4.

| The National <br> Forests Act, 1998 <br> (Act 84 of 1998) | Department of 1998 Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) | The purposes of this Act are to: <br> (a) promote the sustainable management and development of forests for the benefit of all; <br> (b) create the conditions necessary to restructure forestry in State forests; <br> (c) provide special measures for the protection of certain forests and trees: <br> (d) promote the sustainable use of forests for environmental, economic, educational, recreational, cultural, health and spiritual purposes. <br> (e) promote community forestry; <br> (f) promote greater participation in all aspects of forestry and the forest products industry by persons disadvantaged by unfair discrimination. <br> Section 12(1) read with s15(1) of the NFA stated that the Minister may declare a particular tree, group of trees, woodland; or trees belonging to a particular species, to be a protected tree, group of trees, woodland or species. A list of protected tree species was gazetted in GN 635 of 6 December 2019. The effect of the declaration is that no person may (a) cut, disturb, damage or destroy; or (b) possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree, or any forest product derived from a protected tree, except under a license granted by the Minister; or in terms of an exemption published by the Minister in the Gazette. <br> An Ecological and Wetland Impact Assessment has been undertaken for the proposed solar energy facility and is included in Appendix D1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 3.3 POLICY CONTEXT

Table 3.2: Policy context for the construction of photovoltaic solar plants

| POLICY | ADMINISTERIN DATE G AUTHORITY | SUMMARY / IMPLICATIONS FOR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa | Department of 1998 Mineral <br> Resources and Energy | The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa establishes the international and national policy context for the energy sector, and identifies the following energy policy objectives: <br> - Increasing access to affordable energy services <br> - Improving energy governance <br> - Stimulating economic development <br> - Managing energy-related environmental and health impacts <br> - Securing supply through diversity <br> - Energy policy priorities <br> The White Paper sets out the advantages of renewable energy and states that Government believes that renewables can in many cases provide the least cost energy service, particularly when social and environmental costs are included. The White Paper acknowledges that South Africa has neglected the development and implementation of renewable energy applications, despite the fact that the country's renewable energy resource base is extensive, and many appropriate applications exist. <br> The White Paper notes that renewable energy applications have specific characteristics that need to be considered. Advantages include: <br> - Minimal environmental impacts in operation in comparison with traditional supply technologies; and <br> - Generally lower running costs, and high labour intensities. <br> Disadvantages include: <br> - Higher capital costs in some cases; <br> - Lower energy densities; and |



- Lower levels of availability, depending on specific conditions, especially with sun and wind-based systems.

The proposed solar energy facility is in line with this policy as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource.

This White Paper on Renewable Energy supplements the White Paper on Energy Policy, which recognises that the medium and long-term potential of renewable energy is significant. This Paper sets out Government's vision, policy principles, strategic goals and objectives for promoting and implementing renewable energy in South Africa.

The White Paper notes that while South Africa is well-endowed with renewable energy resources that have the potential to become sustainable alternatives to fossil fuels, these have thus far remained largely untapped. Government's long-term goal is the establishment of a renewable energy industry producing modern energy carriers that will offer in future years a sustainable, fully non-subsidised alternative to fossil fuels. The medium-term (10-year) target set in the White Paper is: 10000 GWh ( 0.8 Mtoe) renewable energy contribution to final energy consumption by 2013, to be produced mainly from biomass, wind, solar and small-scale hydro. The renewable energy is to be utilised for power generation and non-electric technologies such as solar water heating and bio-fuels. This is approximately $4 \%$ ( 1667 MW ) of the projected electricity demand for 2013 ( 41539 MW) (Executive Summary, ix).

The proposed solar energy facility is in line with this paper as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource.

| Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for South Africa | Department of 2010- Mineral 2030 Resources and Energy | The Integrated Resource Plan for Electricity for South Africa of 2010-2030 (further referred to as the IRP) is a "living plan" which is expected to be revised and updated continuously as necessary due to changing circumstances. According to the Summary of the plan the current IRP for South Africa, which was originally initiated by the Department of Energy (DoE) in June 2010 (the Department is now known as Department of Mineral Resources and Energy), led to the Revised Balanced Scenarios (RBS) for the period 2010-2030. <br> "This scenario was derived based on the cost-optimal solution for new build options (considering the direct costs of new build power plants), which was then "balanced" in accordance with qualitative measures such as local job creation". In addition to all existing and committed power plants, the RBS included 11,4 GW of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

renewables, which relates to the proposed solar energy facility. In 2010 several changes were made to the IRP model. The main changes in the IRP were the disaggregation of renewable energy technologies to explicitly display solar photovoltaic (PV), concentrated solar power (CSP), and wind options (RSA, 2011a).

The summary of the IRP further explains that traditional cost-optimal scenarios were developed based on the previously mentioned changes in the IRP. This resulted in the Policy-Adjusted IRP, which stated that:
"The installation of renewables (solar PV, CSP and wind) have been brought forward in order to accelerate a local industry; To account for the uncertainties associated with the costs of renewables and fuels, a nuclear fleet of 9,6 GW is included in the IRP; The emission constraint of the RBS ( 275 million tons of carbon dioxide per year after 2024) is maintained; and Energy efficiency demand-side management (EEDSM) measures are maintained at the level of the RBS" (RSA, 2011a:6).
"The Policy-Adjusted IRP includes the same amount of coal and nuclear new builds as the RBS, while reflecting recent developments with respect to prices for renewables. In addition to all existing and committed power plants (including 10 GW committed coal), the plan includes $9,6 \mathrm{GW}$ of nuclear; 6,3 GW of coal; 17,8 GW of renewables; and 8,9 GW of other generation sources" (RSA, 2011a:6).
The IRP highlights the commitments before the next IRP. The commitments pertaining to the purpose of the proposed project in renewable energy is: "Solar PV programme 2012-2015: In order to facilitate the connection of the first solar PV units to the grid in 2012 a firm commitment to this capacity is necessary. Furthermore, to provide the security of investment to ramp up a sustainable local industry cluster, the first four years from 2012 to 2015 require firm commitment."
"Solar PV 2016 to 2019: As with wind, grid upgrades might become necessary for the second round of solar PV installations from 2016 to 2019, depending on their location. To trigger the associated tasks in a timely manner, a firm commitment to these capacities is necessary in the next round of the IRP at the latest. By then, the assumed cost decreases for solar PV will be confirmed" (IRP, 2011a:17).

In conclusion the IRP recommends that an accelerated roll-out in renewable energy options should be allowed with regards to the benefits of the localization in renewable energy technologies (RSA, 2011a). It is however important to take note that since the release of the IRP in 2011 there has been a number of developments in the energy sector of South Africa. Therefore, the IRP was updated and was open for comments until March of 2017. The new IRP of 2019 was formally published in October 2019. For the
> revision scenario, analysis was conducted. The results revealed that for the period ending 2030 that: "The committed Renewable Energy Independent Power Producers Programme, including the 27 signed projects and Eskom capacity rollout ending with the last unit of Kusile in 2022, will provide more than sufficient capacity to cover the projected demand and decommissioning of plants up to approximately 2025"; "Imposing annual build limits on renewable energy will not affect the total cumulative capacity and the energy mix for the period up to 2030"; and "the scenario without renewable energy annual build limits provides the least-cost option by 2030" (RSA, 2018:34).

Lastly, the draft IRP of 2018 also included the scenario analysis for the period post 2030. Here it was observed that: "Imposing annual build limits on renewable energy will restrict the cumulative renewable installed capacity and the energy mix for this period; adopting no annual build limits on renewables or imposing a more stringent strategy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions implies that no new coal power plants will be built in the future unless affordable cleaner forms of coal-to-power are available; and the scenario without renewable energy annual build limits provides the least-cost option by 2050" (RSA, 2018:34-35).

In the final IRP of 2019 key considerations were taken into account together with required actions to be taken for the IRP of 2019 to be credible. In terms of renewable energy technologies like solar and wind, the IRP stated that "The application of renewable build limits 'smoothes out' the capacity allocations for wind and solar PV which provides a constant pipeline of projects to investment; this addresses investor confidence". The decision stated against this key consideration is to "retain the current annual build limits on renewables (wind and PV) pending the finalization of a just transition plan" (RSA, 2019:46). Hereby the IRP also recognises renewable technologies' potential to diversify the electricity mix, create new industries and job opportunities and localize across the value chain (RSA, 2019:13).

The proposed solar energy facility is in line with this plan as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource and will contribute to the energy mix of the country as set out in this plan.


The development of the proposed solar energy facility will contribute to the intervention strategy as identified within the plan.

| National Infrastructure Plan of South Africa | Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Commission | 2012 | In the year 2012 the South African Government adopted a National Infrastructure Plan (hereafter referred to as the Plan). The aim of this Plan is to transform the economic landscape, while strengthening the delivery of basic services and creating new employment opportunities. This Plan also supports the integration of African communities, and also sets out the challenges and enablers that our country needs in order to respond to the planning and development of infrastructure with regards to fostering economic growth (RSA, 2012). The Plan has developed eighteen (18) strategic integrated projects (further referred to as SIPs). These SIPs stretch over all nine (9) provinces, covering social and economic infrastructure, and projects that enhances development and growth. Of the eighteen (18), five (5) are geographically focused, three (3) spatial, three (3) energy, three (3) social infrastructure, two (2) knowledge, one (1) regional integration, and one (1) water and sanitation focussed. The three (3) SIPs according to the Plan, which are energy focused and correlate to the proposed project are as follow: <br> - SIP 8: Green energy in support of the South African economy; <br> - SIP 9: Electricity generation to support socio-economic development; and <br> - SIP 10: Electricity transmission and distribution for all. <br> SIP 8 according to the Plan "support sustainable green energy initiatives on a national scale through a diverse range of clean energy options as envisaged in the IRP 2010 and support bio-fuel production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


#### Abstract

facilities". The purpose of SIP 9 according to the Plan is to "accelerate the construction of new electricity generation capacity in accordance with the IRP 2010 to meet the needs of the economy and address historical imbalances". SIP 9 should also monitor the implementation of major projects such as new power stations like Medupi, Kusile and Ingula. Lastly, SIP 10 aims to "expand the transmission and distribution network to address historical imbalances, provide access to electricity for all and support economic development" (RSA, 2012:20).

The proposed solar energy facility is in line with this plan as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource which supports socio-economic development and will contribute to meeting the electricity demand of the country as set out in this plan.

The New Growth Path was developed after 16 years of South Africa's democracy, to respond to emerging opportunities and risks while building on policies. This framework provides a dynamic vision on how to collectively achieve a more developed, equitable and democratic society and economy. This framework mainly reflects the commitment of the South African Government to create employment opportunities for its people in all economic policies (RSA, 2011b).

This framework sets out the markers for job creation and growth and also identify where there are viable changes in the character and structure of production, in order to create a more inclusive, greener economy in the long-term. It is stated in the framework that in order for this framework to reach its objectives, the Government is committed to:


- Identify the possible areas of employment creation; and
- Develop a policy to facilitate employment creation especially with regards to social equity, sustainable employment and growth in the creation of employment activities (RSA, 2011b).

This framework also identifies investments in five key areas, one of which is energy. This framework also states that the green economy is a priority area, which includes the construction of and investment in renewable energy technologies like solar (RSA, 2011b). In this regard it will also assist creating employment opportunities over the medium- and long-term.

Considering that the construction of and investment in renewable energy is a key area identified within the framework, the proposed solar energy facility is considered to be in-line with the framework.

| Climate Change Bill | National <br> Department of Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the <br> Environment) | 2018 | On 08 June 2018 the Minister of Environmental Affairs published the Climate Change Bill ("the Bill") for public comment. The Bill provides a framework for climate change regulation in South Africa aimed at governing South Africa's sustainable transition to a climate resilient, low carbon economy and society. The Bill provides a procedural outline that will be developed through the creation of frameworks and plans. The following objectives are set within the Bill: <br> - Provide for the coordinated and integrated response to climate change and its impacts by all spheres of government in accordance with the principles of cooperative governance; <br> - Provide for the effective management of inevitable climate change impacts through enhancing adaptive capacity, strengthening resilience and reducing vulnerability to climate change, with a view to building social, economic, and environmental resilience and an adequate national adaptation response in the context of the global climate change response; <br> - Make a fair contribution to the global effort to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that avoids dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system within a timeframe and in a manner that enables economic, employment, social and environmental development to proceed in a sustainable manner. <br> The proposed solar energy facility comprises a renewable energy generation facility and would not result in the generation or release of emissions during its operation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Climate Change Bill | National <br> Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment | 2021 | The Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment has published a new Climate Change Bill for public comment. The bill notes that climate change represents an urgent threat to human societies and the planet, and requires an effective, progressive and incremental response from both government and citizens. <br> It recognises that South Africa has a global responsibility to reduce greenhouse gasses and that the anticipated impacts arising as a result of climate change have the potential to undermine achieving of the country's developmental goals. |

The main objective of the bill is to enable the development of an effective climate change response and the long-term, just transition to a climate-resilient and lower-carbon economy and society, and to provide for matters connected therewith.

The proposed solar energy facility comprises a renewable energy generation facility and would not result in the generation or release of emissions during its operation.

| Strategic | The Presidential | $2010-$ | Th |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Integrated | Infrastructure | 2030 | a |
| Projects (SIPs) | Coordinating |  | t |
|  | Committee |  | t |

The Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Committee (PICC) is integrating and phasing investment plans across 18 Strategic Infrastructure Projects (SIPs) which have five core functions: to unlock opportunity, transform the economic landscape, create new jobs, strengthen the delivery of basic services and support the integration of African economies. A balanced approach is being fostered through greening of the economy, boosting energy security, promoting integrated municipal infrastructure investment, facilitating integrated urban development, accelerating skills development, investing in rural development and enabling regional integration. SIP 8 and 9 of the energy SIPs supports the development of the solar energy facility:

- SIP 8: Green energy in support of the South African economy: Support sustainable green energy initiatives on a national scale through a diverse range of clean energy options as envisaged in the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP 2010-2030) and supports bio-fuel production facilities.
- SIP 9: Electricity generation to support socio-economic development: The proposed solar energy facility is a potential SIP 9 Project as electricity will be generated and social and economic upliftment, development and growth will take place within the surrounding communities. SIP 9 supports the acceleration of the construction of new electricity generation capacity in accordance with the IRP 2010 to meet the needs of the economy and address historical imbalances.

The proposed solar energy facility could be registered as a SIP project. The project would then contribute to the above-mentioned SIPs.


- Indicates the spatial implications of the core development objectives of the Free State Provincial Growth and Development Strategy.
- Serves as a spatial plan that facilitates local economic development.
- Lays down strategies, proposals and guidelines as it relates to sustainable development.
- Facilitates cross-boundary co-operation between municipalities, adjoining provinces, and bordering countries.
- Serves as a manual for integration and standardisation of the planning frameworks of all spheres of government in the Province.

The Free State Provincial Growth and Development Strategy states that sustainable economic development is the only effective means by which the most significant challenge of the Free State, namely poverty, can be addressed is. The PSDF gives practical effect to sustainable development, which is defined as development that meets the needs of the present generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.

The PSDF is prepared in accordance with bioregional planning principles that were adapted to suit the sitespecific requirements of the Free State. It incorporates and complies with the relevant protocols, conventions, agreements, legislation and policy at all applicable levels of planning, ranging from the international to the local.

The PSDF builds upon achievements and learns from mistakes of the past, reacts to the challenges of our time, incorporates the traditional knowledge of the people of the Free State, and builds upon international best-practice and technology.

The development of the proposed solar energy facility is in-line with the framework based on the contributions and opportunities presented by a development of this nature.

| Lejweleputswa | Lejweleputswa | 2021- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District | District | 2022 |
| Municipality | Municipality |  |
| Integrated |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The long-term vision of the Lejweleputswa DM is to be: "A leader in sustainable development and service delivery to all".

The above stated vision defines what Lejweleputswa District Municipality would like to attain over medium to long-term, and for that achievement to effectively materialize, their mission is: "Providing sound financial management. Providing excellent, vibrant public participation and high quality local municipal
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support programmes by maintaining good working relations in the spirit of co-operative governance, and enhancing high staff morale, productivity and motivation".

The IDP identified specific objectives, strategies and projects for the district as per the District Rural
Development Plan and the District Rural Development Implementation Plan. Key Performance Area 3
relates to Local Economic Development and lists that the development of a solar plant as one of the Municipal Focus Areas with the objective to revive the regional economy of the District Municipality with the intention of creating sustainable economies.

The development of the proposed solar energy facility is in line with the plan, considering the relevant Key Performance Area stated in the IDP.

| Matjhabeng | Matjhabeng 2022/ The long-term strategic focus is to be a "benchmark developmental municipality in service delivery |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Local | Local | 2023 | excellence" which can be attained through: |

- Effective use of scarce resources
- Attraction of additional funds
- Improved and speedy service delivery
- Strengthening of democracy through public participation
- Promotion of coordinated planning between the Local, Provincial and National Government
- Planning that works to dismantle the legacy of the past era of apartheid

The IDP consider the economy structure and performance of the area and indicates that there is a high dependency on the mining sector which is declining. Therefore, alternatives to the declining sector has to be explored such as gas and renewable energy.

The development of the proposed solar energy facility will contribute to the goals of the area, albeit to a limited extent.

Environamics Environmental Consultants-

| Matjhabeng | Matjhabeng | 2020/ | The SDF provides broad land use management guidelines for the municipal area. Specific development |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal | Local | 2021- | objectives are identified which related to the development of renewable energy facilities. These include |
| Spatial | Municipality | 2024/ | integrated and broad-based agrarian transformation leading to sustainable livelihoods, increased rural |
| Development |  | 2025 | economic development and improved land reform., and efficient, integrated spatial development of |
| Framework (SDF) |  |  | infrastructure and transport systems in shared focus areas. Both of these objectives refer to the development of renewable energy facilities, and in particular makes mention of solar power plants. |
|  |  |  | The development of the proposed solar energy facility will contribute to the objective of the area, albeit to a limited extent. |

### 3.4 OTHER LEGISLATION

Other legislation mainly refers to the following:
$>$ Planning legislation governing the rezoning process and approval of the layout plan.
$>$ Design standards and legislation for services provision such as water, sewerage, electricity, etc.
> Municipal bylaws related to building plans, building regulations, etc.

### 3.5 RELEVANT GUIDANCE

The following guidance was considered in conducting the EIA:
> The Equator principles III (2013) ${ }^{1}$
> World Bank Group Environmental, Health and Safety General Guidelines (EHS Guidelines) (2007)
> Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines for Electric Power Transmission and Distribution (2007)
> International Finance Corporation's Policy on Environmental and Social Sustainability (2012)
$>$ DEA. (2013). Draft National Renewable Energy Guideline. Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria, South Africa
> DEA, (2012), Guideline 5 - Final companion to the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations of 2010
> DEA, (2012), Guideline 7 - Public participation in the Environmental Impact Assessment process
> DEA, (2012), Guideline 9 - Need and desirability
> DEA, (2006), Guideline 3 - General guide to the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations
> DEAT, (2006), Guideline 4 - Public participation in support of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations
> DEAT, (2006), Guideline 5 - Assessment of alternatives and impacts in support of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations

[^0]> BirdLife, (2017). Best Practise Guidelines Birds \& Solar Energy: Guidelines for assessing and monitoring the impact of solar power generating facilities on bird in southern Africa.

### 3.6 CONCLUSION

The EIA is undertaken in accordance with the EIA Regulations (as amended) published in GNR 326, in terms of Section 24(5) and 44 of the NEMA as amended as well as all relevant National legislation, policy documents, national guidelines, the World Bank EHS Guidelines, the IFC Performance Standards, and the Equator Principles.

The legislative and policy context plays an important role in identifying and assessing the potential social impacts associated with the proposed development, as well as an indication of the need and desirability of the proposed development from a national, provincial and local level. For this reason, the proposed development will be assessed in terms of its fit with the key legislative, policy and planning documents discussed above.

The main findings of the review of the policy documents on all spheres of Government indicated that strong support was given towards renewable energy, specifically PV solar energy and therefore it is concluded that there is support for the development of the proposed solar energy facility. The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa of 1998 stated that due to the fact that renewable energy resources operate from an unlimited resource base, i.e. the sun, renewable energy can increasingly contribute towards a long-term sustainable energy supply for future generations. This policy further highlights that due to the unlimited resources base of renewable energy in South Africa, renewable energy applications, like PV solar energy and associated infrastructure, are more sustainable in terms of social and environmental costs. The Integrated Resource Planning for Electricity for South Africa of 2010-2030, the National Infrastructure Plan of South Africa and the New Growth Path Framework all support the development of the renewable energy sector. In particular, the IRP also indicated that $43 \%$ of the energy generation in South Africa is allocated to renewable energy applications. On a District and Local level limited attention is given explicitly to renewable sources like PV solar energy, however the documents reviewed do make provision for such developments and efficiency in improving the quality of lives in terms of efficient physical infrastructure as well as socio-economic growth. At Provincial, District and Local level the policy documents support the applications of renewables.

The review of the relevant policies and documents related to the energy sector therefore indicate that renewables, like solar energy and the establishment of solar energy facilities and associated infrastructure, are supported on all spheres of Government. The proposed solar energy facility located near Hennenman is therefore supported by the related policy and planning documents reviewed in this section of the report.

## 4 THE NEED AND DESIRABILITY

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:
Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include - (f) a motivation for the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location.

### 4.1 THE NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY

The proposed activity is a direct result of the growing demand for electricity and the need for renewable energy in South Africa. According to Eskom, the demand for electricity in South Africa has been growing at approximately $3 \%$ per annum. This growing demand, fuelled by increasing economic growth and social development, is placing increasing pressure on South Africa's existing power generation capacity. Coupled with this, is the growing awareness of environmentally responsible development, the impacts of climate change and the need for sustainable development.

Over $90 \%$ of South Africa's electricity generation is coal based, the Word bank estimates that this results in an annual, per capita carbon emission of $\sim 8.9$ tons per person. Based on 2008 fossil-fuel CO2 emissions statistics released by the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Centre, South Africa is the 13th largest carbon dioxide emitting country in the world and the largest emitter in Africa (Boden, et al. 2011). In August 2021 an article confirmed that South Africa is the 12th highest greenhouse gas emitter in the world (source: https://www.news24.com/fin24/economy/eskom-will-only-able-to-meet-global-air-quality-standards-by-2050-owing-to-financial-woes-20210818).

The proposed project is intended to form part of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMREs) Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement (REIPPP) Programme or the electricity generated by the facility will be wheeled into the national grid for offtake by third parties as part of other generation opportunities. The REIPPP Programme aims to secure 14725 Megawatts (MW) of new generation capacity from renewable energy sources, while simultaneously diversifying South Africa's electricity mix. According to the 2021 State of the Nation Address, Government will soon be initiating the procurement of an additional 11800 MW of power from renewable energy, natural gas, battery storage and coal in line with the Integrated Resource Plan 2019 and fulfilling their commitments under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and its Paris Agreement which include the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Eskom, the largest greenhouse gas emitter of South Africa, has committed in principle to net zero emission by 2050 and to increase its renewable capacity.

During the 2022 State of the Nation Address it was indicated that during the past year the government had taken "firm steps" to bring additional generation capacity online as quickly as possible to close the shortfall in terms of electricity. As a result, it was confirmed that several new generation projects will be coming online over the next few years. Even though the project might also be for private use by the third party offtakers (if not successful in the REIPPP Programme) the proposed development will still contribute to close the shortfall of electricity supply to these offtakers, albeit to a limited extent.

Besides capacity additions, several assumptions have changed since the promulgation of the IRP 2010-2030. Key assumptions that changed include the electricity demand projection, Eskom's existing plant performance, as well as new technology costs. These changes necessitated the review and update of the IRP which resulted in the draft IRP 2018 as per table 4.1 below:

Table 4.1: Published Draft IRP 2018 (Approved by Cabinet for Consultation)

|  | Coal | Nuclear | Hydro | Storage (Pumped Storage) | PV | Wind | CSP | Gas / Diesel | Other Cocen, ciomens Liomsis, Landill) | Embedded Generation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | 39126 | 1860 | 2196 | 2912 | 1474 | 1980 | 300 | 3830 | 499 | Unknown |
| 2019 | 2155 |  |  |  |  | 244 | 300 |  |  | 200 |
| 2020 | 1433 |  |  |  | 114 | 300 |  |  |  | 200 |
| 2021 | 1433 |  |  |  | 300 | 818 |  |  |  | 200 |
| 2022 | 711 |  |  |  | 400 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 2023 | 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 2024 | 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 2025 |  |  |  |  | 670 | 200 |  |  |  | 200 |
| 2026 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 1500 |  | 2250 |  | 200 |
| 2027 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 1600 |  | 1200 |  | 200 |
| 2028 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 1600 |  | 1800 |  | 200 |
| 2029 |  |  |  |  | 1000 | 1600 |  | 2850 |  | 200 |
| 2030 |  |  | 2500 |  | 1000 | 1600 |  |  |  | 200 |
| TOTAL INSTALLED | 33847 | 1860 | 4696 | 2912 | 7958 | 11442 | 600 | 11930 | 499 | 2600 |
| Installed Capacity Mix (\%) | 44.6 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 10.5 | 15.1 | 0.9 | 15.7 | 0.7 |  |
| Installed Commit New Ad | Capaci | y | y (IRP | Upd Cap | city |  |  |  |  |  |

According to the South African Energy Sector Overview (2021), there is currently 1723 MW of installed PV capacity, while an additional 2600 MW from wind and solar has been rewarded as part of Bid window 5 of the REIPPP Programme. Even though the project will not be bid as part of the REIPPP Programme it will contribute to the total installed PV capacity of the country.

### 4.2 THE DESIRABILITY OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY

The facility's contribution towards sustainable development and the associated benefits to society in general is discussed below:

- Lesser dependence on fossil fuel generated power - The deployment of the facility will have a positive macro-economic impact by reducing South Africa's dependence on fossil fuel generated power and assisting the country in meeting its growing electricity demand. The lesser dependence will be specific to the third party offtakers.
- Increased surety of supply - By diversifying the sources of power for the third party offtakers, the surety of supply will increase for their respective operations. The power demands of South Africa are ever increasing and by adding solar power this demand can be met, even exceeded without increasing pollution in relation to the use of fossil fuels. The project has the potential of "securing" economic activity by assisting in removing supply constraints for the third party offtakers if Eskom generation activities
result in a supply shortfall. When supply is constrained, it represents a limitation to economic growth and operation of the third party offtakers. When a supply reserve is available, it represents an opportunity for economic growth.
- Local economic growth - The proposed project will contribute to local economic growth by supporting industry development in line with provincial and regional goals and ensuring advanced skills are drawn to the Free State Province. The project will likely encounter widespread support from government, civil society and businesses, all of whom see potential opportunities for revenues, employment and business opportunities locally. The development of the photovoltaic solar facility will in turn lead to growth in tax revenues for municipalities and sales of carbon credits, resulting in increased foreign direct investment. The location of the proposed development within the Matjhabeng Local Municipality is desirable as supply to third party offtakers will be increased with increased surety leading to better operation of the offtaker facilities and economic growth at the facilities.
- Lower costs of alternative energy - The proposed solar energy facility will reduce the cost of the power to be used by the third party offtakers. This will also contribute to the country's objective of utilising more renewable energy and less fossil fuel-based power sources.
- Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions - The additional power supplied through solar energy will reduce the reliance on the combustion of fossil fuels to produce power. The South African electricity grid is predominantly coal-fired and therefore GHG emissions intensive (coal accounts for more than $92 \%$ of the fuel used in South Africa's electricity generation). The reduction of GHG emissions as a result of the project implementation will be achieved due to reduction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions from combustion of fossil fuel at the existing grid-connected power plants in the country.
- CDM Project - A solar energy facility also qualifies as a Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) project (i.e. a financial mechanism developed to encourage the development of renewable technologies).
- Climate change mitigation - On a global scale, the project makes a contribution to greenhouse gas emission reduction and therefore contributes toward climate change mitigation.
- Reduced environmental impacts - The reduction in non-renewable electricity consumed from the grid will not only result in a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, but also the prevention of negative impacts associated with coal mining. For example, coal power requires high volumes of water, in areas of South Africa where water supply is already over-stretched and water availability is highly variable. Photovoltaic solar energy technology also does not produce the sulphur emissions, ash or coal mining concerns associated with conventional coal fired electricity generation technologies resulting in a relatively low level of environmental impacts. It is a clean technology which contributes toward a better-quality environment for employees and nearby communities.
- Social benefits - The project activity is likely to have significant long-term, indirect positive social impacts that may extend to a regional and even national scale. The larger scale impacts are to be derived in the utilization of solar power by the third
party offtakers and the experience gained through the construction and operation of the power plant. In future, this experience can be employed at other similar solar installations in South Africa.
- Provision of job opportunities - The main benefit of the proposed development operating in the area is that local companies or contractors will be hired (where possible and available) for the duration of the construction period. The operational phase will provide permanent job opportunities to the local communities from the surrounding area (where possible) since security guards and general labourers will be required on a full-time basis. Approximately 110 employment opportunities will be created during the construction and operational phases.
- Indirect socio-economic benefits - The increase in the demand for services such as accommodation, transportation, security, general maintenance and catering will generate additional indirect socio-economic benefits for the local community members, especially during the construction phase.
- Effective use of resources - In the relatively low rainfall of the site ( 494 mm per annum), soils without sufficient depth (more than 800 mm ) have too little moisture reservoir to support viable cropping. The site is used only for grazing. The long term grazing capacity of the site is 7 hectares per large stock unit. The proposed development in this specific area will generate alternative land use income through rental for the proposed energy facility, which will have a positive impact on the agriculture of the affected property. It will provide the farming enterprise with increased cash flow and rural livelihood, and thereby improve the financial sustainability of agricultural activities.
- Increased access to electricity: According to the Matjhabeng LM IDP, the national electricity crises of 2010 and the resultant effects on South African residents and the economy has highlighted how highly reliant we are on electricity as a source of energy. Government has committed to developing measures to promote energy saving, reduce energy costs to the economy, and reduce the negative impact of energy use on the environment. The proposed solar energy facility will increase access and supply to the third party offtakers.
- Cumulative impacts of low to medium significance - No cumulative impacts with a high residual risk have been identified. In terms of the desirability of the development, it may be preferable to incur a higher cumulative loss in such a region as this one, than to lose land with a higher environmental value elsewhere in the country.


## 5 DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:
Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-
(h) a full description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred activity, site and location of the development footprint within the site, including -
(i) details of all the alternatives considered;
(ii) details of the public participation process undertaken in terms of regulation 41 of the Regulations, including copies of the supporting documents and inputs;
(iii) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated, or the reasons for not including them.
(iv) the environmental attributes associated with the alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;
(ix) the outcome of the site selection matrix;
$(x)$ if no alternatives, including alternative locations for the activity were investigated, the motivation for not considering such and
(xi) a concluding statement indicating the preferred alternatives, including preferred location of the activity;

### 5.1 CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

The DEAT 2006 guidelines on 'assessment of alternatives and impacts' proposes the consideration of four types of alternatives namely, the no-go, location, activity, and design alternatives. It is, however, important to note that the regulation and guidelines specifically state that only 'feasible' and 'reasonable' alternatives should be explored. It also recognizes that the consideration of alternatives is an iterative process of feedback between the developer and EAP, which in some instances culminates in a single preferred project proposal.

An initial site assessment was conducted by the developer on Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373 and the farm was found favorable due to its close proximity to grid connections, solar radiation, ecology and relative flat terrain. Some parts of the farm have been deemed not suitable for the proposed development such as areas under cultivation and areas which have been considered by the applicant as being sensitive from an environmental perspective. Where specific features of environmental sensitivity are identified by the independent specialists as part of the Scoping Phase, these areas and the associated required buffers will be considered by the developer to ensure that the facility layout is appropriate considering the sensitive features present. The site selection also took the site geology, land capability, water availability and land use into consideration before deciding on the specific site within the affected property. A single alternative site on the same farm has been identified which is under assessment with an extent of approximately 70ha.

The following sections explore different types of alternatives in relation to the proposed activity within the affected property in more detail.

### 5.1.1 No-go alternative

This alternative considers the option of 'do nothing' and maintaining the status quo of the affected environment. The description provided in section 5.3 of this report could be considered the baseline conditions (status quo) to persist should the no-go alternative be preferred. The site is currently zoned for agricultural land uses. Should the proposed activity not proceed, the site will remain unchanged and will continue to be used for the current land uses and activities present. The area associated with the development has relatively low rainfall ( 494 mm per annum) and soils without sufficient depth (more than 800 mm ) that have too little moisture reservoir to support viable cropping. The potential opportunity costs in terms of alternative land use income through rental for the energy facility and the supporting social and economic development in the area would be lost if the status quo persists.

### 5.1.2 Location alternatives

This alternative asks the question, if there is not, from an environmental perspective, a more suitable location for the proposed activity. No other properties have at this stage been secured by DPT Hennenman (Pty) Ltd in the Hennenman area to potentially establish the proposed solar energy facility. From a local perspective the Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373 is preferred due to its suitable climatic conditions, topography (i.e. in terms of slope), environmental conditions (i.e. agricultural potential and archaeology), proximity to a grid connection point located within the affected property (i.e. for the purpose of electricity evacuation), as well as site access (i.e. to facilitate the movement of machinery, equipment, infrastructure and people during the construction phase).

Within the affected property, areas have been excluded from the area under assessment (i.e. 70ha) based on the requirements of the landowner for the current land use activities and areas considered as being sensitive by the developer from an environmental perspective. These areas are not deemed suitable for the project and is not being considered for development at all.

No alternative areas on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373 have been considered for the development, as the area identified and assessed in this draft Scoping Report is considered available and suitable for development from a technical and land use perspective without excluding the current agricultural land use activities from the property.

However, provision will be made in this scoping report to consider the results of the specialist studies to exclude the sensitive areas present, which includes no-go buffer areas recommended by the specialists, where relevant. The sensitive areas and associated buffers will be considered by the developer for the facility layout design to optimise the layout for avoidance of the environmental sensitivities identified.

As part of the specialist studies undertaken, areas that will need to be avoided has been identified which includes a seasonal drainage channel present within the area under assessment. The area under assessment is however large enough to enable the avoidance of the sensitive features and the associated buffers by the facility layout (i.e. development
footprint) and still provide an opportunity for the successful development and operation of the proposed solar energy facility from a technical perspective.

Therefore, a single preferred location alternative was assessed - refer to Figures 5.1.


Figure 5.1: Location of the single preferred location alternative located within the affected property assessed, within which the development footprint/facility layout will be placed

### 5.1.3 Activity alternatives

The scoping process also needs to consider if the development of a solar PV facility would be the most appropriate land use for the particular site.

- Photovoltaic (PV) solar facility - DPT Hennenman (Pty) Ltd considers the development of a PV facility as the most technically feasible option for the site based on the technical requirements and the solar resource available for the area. Therefore, this is considered as the preferred activity alternative for the affected property. Refer to Figure 5.2.
- Wind energy facility - Due to the local climatic conditions a wind energy facility is not considered suitable as the area does not have the required wind resource. Furthermore, the applicant has opted for the generation of electricity via solar power rather than the use of wind turbines based on the renewable energy resource available for the area. This alternative is therefore regarded as not feasible and will not be evaluated further in this report.
- Concentrated solar power (CSP) technology - CSP technology requires large volumes of water, and this is a major constraint for this type of technology considering the water challenges and limitation experienced not only in the country but also the local area. While the irradiation values are high enough to generate sufficient solar power, the water constraints render this alternative not feasible. It must also be noted that
the IRP no longer includes the use of CSP as part of the energy mix of the county. Therefore, this alternative will not be considered further in this report.


Figure 5.2: Global horizontal irradiation values for South Africa (Solar GIS, 2021) and the area under assessment for the proposed solar energy facility.

### 5.1.4 Technical alternatives

Possible technical alternatives for the development of a solar PV facility needs to be considered during the EIA process.

### 5.1.4.1 Distribution lines

It is expected that generation from the facility will tie in with an existing power line present within the affected property and area under assessment. The preferred grid connection point for the development is the existing Kroonstad-Everest 132kV Power Line. A new 132 kV power line will be constructed to connect the solar power plant to the connection point. Refer to Figure 5.3.

A grid connection corridor of 10 m long is being assessed for the placement of the power line.


Figure 5.3: Grid connection considered and assessed for the development of the proposed solar energy facility.

A 132 kV overhead distribution line is the only preferred alternative for the applicant due to the following reasons:

- Overhead Distribution Lines - Overhead lines are less costly to construct than underground lines. Therefore, the preference for overhead lines is mainly based on cost. Overhead lines allow high voltage operations, and the surrounding air provides the necessary electrical insulation to earth. Further, the surrounding air cools the conductors that produce heat due to lost energy (Swingler et al., 2006).

The overall weather conditions in the Free State Province are unlikely to cause damage and faults on the proposed overhead distribution power line. Nonetheless, if a fault occurs, it can be found quickly by visual means using a manual line patrol. Repair to overhead lines is relatively simple in most cases and the line can usually be put back into service within a few days. In terms of potential impacts associated with overhead distribution lines these include visual intrusion and threats to sensitive habitat (where applicable).

Furthermore, overhead power lines also provide an opportunity for the avoidance of sensitive environmental features as the overhead lines can span on-ground environmental features to ensure conservation, therefore providing more flexibility in terms of mitigation of the associated on-ground disturbance.

The choice of structure to be used for the power line will be determined in consultation with Eskom once the Engineers have assessed the geotechnical and topographical conditions and decided on a suitable structure which meets the prescribed technical requirements. The choice of structures to be used will not have any adverse impacts on
the environment. The line will be constructed according to the authorised standards for a power line approved by Eskom Holdings SoC Ltd.

The following alternatives may be considered for the overhead power line:

- Single Circuit Overhead Power Line

The use of single circuit overhead power lines to distribute electricity is considered the most appropriate technology and has been designed over many years for the existing environmental conditions and terrain as specified in the Eskom Specifications and best international practice. Based on all current technologies available, single circuit overhead power lines are considered the most environmentally practicable technology available for the distribution of power. This option is considered appropriate for the following reasons:

- More cost-effective installation costs;
- Less environmental damage during installation; and
- More effective and cheaper maintenance costs over the lifetime of the power line.
- Double Circuit Overhead Power Line

Where sensitive environmental features are identified, and there is sufficient justification, Eskom will consider the use of double circuit (placing 2 power lines on either side of the same tower structure) to minimise impacts. However, the use of double-circuiting has a number of technical disadvantages, which includes faults or problems on one power line may mean that the other power line is also disabled during maintenance, and this will affect the quality of supply to an area. Larger and taller towers as well as more towers are required for double-circuit power lines.

The double-circuit overhead power line proves more feasible as the entire plant would not have to be offline as one of the double circuit lines would still be able to supply electricity. However, due to the rapid requirement changes, this will only be determined before construction.

- Underground Distribution Lines - Underground cables have generally been used where it is impossible to use overhead lines (for example due to space constraints). Underground cables are oil cooled and are also at risk of groundwater contamination. Maintenance is also difficult on underground lines compared to overhead lines. When a fault occurs in an underground cable circuit, it is almost exclusively a permanent fault due to poor visibility. Underground lines are also more expensive to construct than overhead lines.


### 5.1.4.2 Battery Energy Storage Facility (BESS)

It is proposed that a Battery Energy Storage Facility for grid storage would be housed in stacked containers, or multi-storey building, with a maximum height of 4 m and a maximum volume of approximately $6400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of batteries and associated operational, safety and control infrastructure. Three types of battery technologies are being considered for the proposed project: Lithium-ion, Sodium-sulphur or Vanadium Redox flow battery. While there are
various battery storage technologies available, the preferred alternative is the utility-scale Lithium Iron Phosphate (LiFePO4) battery energy storage.

Battery storage offers a wide range of advantages to South Africa including renewable energy time shift, renewable capacity firming, electricity supply reliability and quality improvement, voltage regulation, electricity reserve capacity improvement, transmission congestion relief, load following and time of use energy cost management. In essence, this technology allows renewable energy to enter the base load and peak power generation market and therefore can compete directly with fossil fuel sources of power generation and offer a truly sustainable electricity supply option.

### 5.1.5 Design and layout alternatives

Design alternatives were considered throughout the planning and design phase (i.e. what would be the best design option for the development?). In this regard discussions on the design were held between the EAP and the developer, which also included the consideration of sensitive environmental areas and features present as identified by the independent specialists that needs to be avoided by the placement of infrastructure. The draft layout plan is included as Appendix I but it should be noted that the final layout plan will be submitted as part of the EIA Report.

The draft layout follows the limitations of the site and aspects such as environmental sensitive areas (supported by specialist input), roads, fencing and servitudes are considered. The total surface area proposed for the layout option include the PV panel arrays spaced to avoid shadowing, access and maintenance roads and associated infrastructure (buildings, power inverters, power lines, BESS and perimeter fences). With regards to the structure orientation, the panels will either be fixed to a single-axis horizontal tracking structure where the orientation of the panel varies according to the time of the day, as the sun moves from east to west or tilted at a fixed angle equivalent to the latitude at which the site is located in order to capture the most sun.

At this time no pylons are expected to be required for the development as the power line is proposed to be less than 50 m in length.

Should the construction of pylons be required, the choice of pylon structure to be used for the power line will be determined in consultation with Eskom and does not significantly affect the environmental impacts of the proposed development as provision has already been made for the visual, ecological and heritage impacts of erecting a power line and the line length is only expected to be less than 50 m . No defined structure has been confirmed at this stage and will depend on Eskom's technical requirements. The 132 kV line must be constructed according to the authorised standards for a power line approved by Eskom Holdings SoC Ltd. The structure to be utilised for the power line towers will also be informed by the local geotechnical and topographical conditions. The following alternatives are considered with regards to the proposed structures:

## Steel lattice towers:

The steel lattice towers provide the following advantages over the other tower types available:

- Enables multipath earthing which enhances the overall electrical performance of the power line.
- Is visually less obtrusive than the mono-pole options.
- Is more practicable that other options i.e. more cost effective and more practical to construct and maintain.
- Is safer to work on than the monopole and wood pole structures.
- Is more durable than the wood pole structures.


## Steel monopoles:

The steel monopole is considered less suitable than the steel lattice towers for the following reasons:

- Is visually more intrusive than the lattice towers.
- Is more expensive than the lattice towers.
- Requires more steel than the lattice towers.
- Is more difficult to erect.
- Is not as safe to work on as the lattice towers.


## Wood poles:

Wood pole structures are only used in extreme circumstances where a visual impact needs to be avoided. Wood pole structures may be cheaper to produce and to construct, but they have one tenth of the lifespan of the metal counterparts and are far more susceptible to weather conditions which makes them less efficient and practicable. The wood pole structure is also more susceptible to having the cross arms burnt off by electrical faults as well as being susceptible to deformation with height.

### 5.1.6 Technology alternatives

There are several types of semiconductor technologies currently available and in use for PV solar panels. Two, however, have become the most widely adopted, namely crystalline silicon and thin film. These technologies are discussed in more detail below:

- Crystalline (high efficiency technology at higher cost):

Crystalline silicon panels are constructed by first putting a single slice of silicon through a series of processing steps, creating one solar cell. These cells are then assembled together in multiples to make a solar panel. Crystalline silicon, also called wafer silicon, is the oldest and the most widely used material in commercial solar panels. Crystalline silicon modules represent $85-90 \%$ of the global annual market
today. There are two main types of crystalline silicon panels that can be considered for the solar facility:


- Mono-crystalline Silicon - mono-crystalline (also called single crystal) panels use solar cells that are cut from a piece of silicon grown from a single, uniform crystal. Mono-crystalline panels are among the most efficient yet most expensive on the market. They require the highest purity silicon and have the most involved manufacturing process.

- Poly-crystalline Silicon - poly-crystalline panels use solar cells that are cut from multifaceted silicon crystals. They are less uniform in appearance than mono-crystalline cells, resembling pieces of shattered glass. These are the most common solar panels on the market, being less expensive than mono-crystalline silicon. They are also less efficient, though the performance gap has begun to close in recent years (First Solar, 2011).
- Thin film (low-cost technology with lower efficiency):

Thin film solar panels are made by placing thin layers of semiconductor material onto various surfaces, usually on glass. The term thin film refers to the amount of semiconductor material used. It is applied in a thin film to a surface structure, such as a sheet of glass. Contrary to popular belief, most thin film panels are not flexible. Overall, thin film solar panels offer the lowest manufacturing costs, and are becoming more prevalent in the industry. Thin films currently account for $10-15 \%$ of global PV module sales. There are three main types of thin film used:


- Cadmium Telluride (CdTe) - CdTe is a semiconductor compound formed from cadmium and tellurium. CdTe solar panels are manufactured on glass. They are the most common type of thin film solar panel on the market and the most cost-effective to manufacture. CdTe panels perform significantly better in high temperatures and in low-light conditions.

- Amorphous Silicon - Amorphous silicon is the noncrystalline form of silicon and was the first thin film material to yield a commercial product, first used in consumer items such as calculators. It can be deposited in thin layers onto a variety of surfaces and offers lower costs than traditional crystalline silicon, though it is less efficient at converting sunlight into electricity.

- Copper, Indium, Gallium, Selenide (CIGS) - CIGS is a compound semiconductor that can be deposited onto many different materials. CIGS has only recently become available for small commercial applications, and is considered a developing PV technology (First Solar, 2011).
- Bifacial panels:

As the name suggests, bifacial solar panels have two faces, or rather, they can absorb light from both sides of the panel. A lot of potential energy transfer is lost in traditional solar cells when the light hits the back of a solar panel. Most bifacial solar panels use monocrystalline cells, whereas traditional cells use polycrystalline materials. The monocrystalline materials, alongside the clear light pathway on both sides of the panel, enable the light to be absorbed from either side of the cell, and it is thought that the overall efficiency of these cells can be up to $30 \%$ greater in commercial applications. Although, the exact amount is variable depending on the surface that they are installed on. The front side of the solar panel still absorbs most of the solar light, but the back side of the solar panel can absorb between $5-90 \%$ of the light absorbed by the front of the solar panel. Refer to Figure 5.4.

Traditional solar panels use an opaque back sheet. By comparison, bifacial solar panels either have a clear/reflective back sheet or have dual panes of glass. Most of these solar panels are frameless so any issues with potential-induced degradation (PID) are reduced. To efficiently convert light into electricity from both sides, bifacial solar cells have selective-area metallization schemes that enable light to pass between the metallized areas, rather than the conventional thick metal collectors as seen with monofacial solar panels.

The technology that (at this stage) proves to be most feasible and reasonable with respect to the proposed solar facility is crystalline silicon panels, due to it being nonreflective, more efficient, and with a higher durability. However, due to the rapid technological advances being made in the field of solar technology the exact type of technology to be used, such as bifacial panels, will only be confirmed at the onset of the project.


Figure 5.4: Bifacial vs Monoficial Solar Panel absorption.

### 5.2 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

The following sections provide detailed information on the public participation process conducted in terms of Regulations 39 to 44.

### 5.2.1 General

The public participation process was conducted strictly in accordance with Regulations 39 to 44. The following three categories of variables were taken into account when deciding the required level of public participation:

- The scale of anticipated impacts;
- The sensitivity of the affected environment and the degree of controversy of the project; and
- The characteristics of the potentially affected parties.

Since the scale of anticipated impacts is low, the general land use of the area is related to mining and agriculture, the limited environmental sensitivity of the site and the fact that no conflict was foreseen between potentially affected parties, no additional public participation mechanisms are considered at this stage of the process. The following actions have already been taken:
> Newspaper advertisement
Since the proposed development is unlikely to result in any impacts that extend beyond the municipal area where it is located, it was deemed sufficient to advertise in a local newspaper. An advertisement was placed in English in the local newspaper (Vista Newspaper) on the 31 March 2022 (see Appendix C1) notifying the public of the EIA process and requesting Interested and Affected Parties (I\&APs) to register with, and submit their comments to Environamics Environmental Consultants. I\&APs were given the opportunity to raise comments within 30 days of the advertisement.

## $>$ Site notices

Site notices were placed on site in Afrikaans and English on 24 February 2022 to inform surrounding communities and immediately adjacent landowners of the proposed development. I\&APs were given the opportunity to raise comments by 28 March 2022. Photographic evidence of the site notices is included in Appendix C2.

## $>$ Direct notification of identified I\&APS

Identified I\&APs, including key stakeholders representing various sectors, has been directly informed of the EIA process on 02 June 2022 via registered post, telephone calls, WhatsApps and emails (as relevant). The Background Information Document (BID) was distributed with the notification. For a complete list of I\&APs with their contact details see Appendix C3 to this report. It was expected from I\&APs to provide their inputs and comments by 04 July 2022. To date no comments have been received (Appendix C4 - C6).

## $>$ Direct notification of surrounding landowners and occupiers

Written notices were also provided via registered post, WhatsApp or email (as relevant) to all surrounding landowners and occupiers on 02 June 2022. The surrounding landowners were given the opportunity to raise comments within 30 days. For a list of surrounding landowners see Appendix C3. The surrounding landowners were given the opportunity to raise comments by 04 July 2022. To date no comments have been received (Appendix C4 - C6). Refer to Figure 5.5.

## $>$ Circulation of Draft Scoping Report

Copies of the draft Scoping report has been provided to all I\&APs via courier, Dropbox and/or email (as relevant). Hard copies of the report will be made available on request and where an I\&AP does not have the resources to view the report on an online platform. I\&AP's and organs of state were requested to provide their comments on the report from 11 November 2022 until 12 December 2022. All issues identified during the 30-day review and comment period will be recorded and documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of the Final Scoping Report for decisionmaking.

### 5.2.2 Consultation process

Regulation 41 requires that the landowner, surrounding landowners, municipality, relevant ward councillor, any organ of state having jurisdiction in respect of any aspect of the activity should be given written notice of the activity. A complete list of all the consultees who received written notice as well as proof of correspondence is attached as Appendices C4 and C5.

### 5.2.3 Registered I\&APs

I\&APs include all stakeholders who deem themselves affected by the proposed activity. According to Regulation 43(1) "A registered interested and affected party is entitled to comment, in writing, on all reports or plans submitted to such party during the public participation process contemplated in these Regulations and to bring to the attention of the proponent or applicant any issues which that party believes may be of significance to the consideration of the application, provided that the interested and affected party discloses any direct business, financial, personal or other interest which that party may have in the approval or refusal of the application."

This report is the Draft Scoping Report which has been made available to all potential and/or registered I\&APs and State Departments. They have been provided with a copy of the Draft Scoping Report and have been requested to provide written comments on the report within 30 days. All issues identified during this review period will be documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of the Final Scoping report.

All comments received prior to the release of the Draft Scoping Report for the 30-day review and comment period have been included in this report as Appendix C4, Appendix C5 and Appendix C6 to provide I\&APs an opportunity to confirm that their comments raised during the initial public participation phase has been included and considered as part of the EIA process.


Figure 5.5: Surrounding landowners.

### 5.2.4 Issues raised by I\&APs and consultation bodies

To date the interim comment from SAHRA has been received and is summarised in the Comments and Response Report included in Appendix C6.

Any comments received during the circulation of the draft Scoping Report will be summarised in the final Scoping Report. The full wording and original correspondence are included in Appendix C5.

### 5.3 THE ENVIRONMENTAL ATTRIBUTES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

The following sections provide general information on the biophysical and socio-economic attributed associated with the preferred alternative (i.e. the location of the area under assessment and the associated development footprint situated within the affected property).

### 5.3.1 Biophysical environment

The biophysical environment is described with specific reference to geology, soils, agricultural potential, vegetation and landscape features, climate, biodiversity, heritage features (in terms of archaeology and palaeontology), the visual landscape and the social environment to be affected. A number of specialists were consulted to assist with the compilation of this chapter of the report - refer to the Table 1.2.

However, due to the fact that the area proposed for development (i.e. the area under assessment with an extent of 70ha) exclusively consists of land used for agricultural activities, limited sensitive areas from an ecological conservation point have been identified which include a seasonal drainage channel located along the southern and western boundaries of the area under assessment. These features found within the area under assessment are described in more detail below.

### 5.3.1.1 Geology, soils and agricultural potential

According to the Agriculture Compliance Statement (attached in Appendix D4) the site is on gently sloping land with a southerly aspect. Slope gradient is steeper at the top (3\%). Below the boundary the slope is approximately $1 \%$. The geology is Adelaide Subgroup sandstone and mudstone with dolerite sills in places. The entire site falls within one land type, Bc30. The land type comprises mostly soils with limited depth of the Bainsvlei and Westleigh soil forms, but it does also include deep soils predominantly of the Hutton and Avalon soil forms. The on-site soil investigation found that the soils across the site have limited depth. In the relatively low rainfall of the site ( 494 mm per annum), soils without sufficient depth (more than 800 mm ) have too little moisture reservoir to support viable cropping. The site is used only for grazing. The long-term grazing capacity of the site is 7 hectares per large stock unit.

The Geotechnical Feasibility Assessment (Appendix D8) has indicated the following geotechnical conditions and constraints associated with the site:

- The on-site transported and residual soils have a pinhole to voided soil structured, with a collapse potential.
- Expected shallow seasonal seepage water conditions and/or saturated soil profiles (during and immediately after heavy and/or continuous downpours) based on the presence of the fairly prominent pedogenic formation present in the upper and lower soil horizons.
- The transported colluvium, slightly calcreteous colluvium and residual dolerite generally have a shattered soil structure. The transported colluvium, slightly calcreteous colluvium and residual dolerite is expected to have a medium heave potential.
- The transported soils are generally deemed compressible due to the nature of deposition and unconsolidated state. The transported horizons encountered on the centre to southern portions of the site are relatively thick. Considering the encountered conditions, a moderate soil compressibility can be expected for the soils in the centre to southern portions of the site.
- Considering the nature of the upper soil horizons and topography of the site, the onsite soils are expected to have an intermediate to high susceptibility to erosion, especially when cleared and subjected to concentrated water flow.
- It is expected that the highly weathered dolerite can be excavatable/rippable with a larger excavator, dozer and/or excavator with pneumatic tools in unconfined excavation conditions.
- Surface related instability due to undermining is not of any concern for the development.
- The site is not underlain by potentially soluble formations such as dolomite or limestone. Surface related instability due to soluble rock formations is not of any concern.
- Steep slope angles are not present on-site. The regional slopes do not pose any concern for slope related hazards such as global failures, landslides or mudflows.
- No unstable natural slopes are present locally or regionally.
- The site falls within seismic active Zone II (SANS10160-4, 2017). The structural engineer should consider the possible impact of seismicity on the structures under consideration, as guided by the national standards (SANS10160-4, 2017).
- The site is not situated in or adjacent to any prominent rivers or drainage features that poses a flood risk.

When considering the DFFE Screening Tool Report (Appendix B), the classified land capability of the site is 8 , which translates to a medium agricultural sensitivity. The classification of the site as high agricultural sensitivity (red in Figure 5.6 below) is because the land is classified as cropland in the data et used by the screening tool. However, that dataset is outdated. The lands indicated as croplands on the screening tool are not currently under crops and have not been for at least 13 years according to the historical imagery available on Google Earth. All cropping on these lands was stopped and they are now used only for grazing. These lands should therefore no longer be classified as cropland or classified as high sensitivity because of it. The high agricultural sensitivity classification by the screening tool as a result of cropping status is therefore disputed by the Agricultural Compliance Statement (Appendix D4).


Figure 5.6: Agricultural sensitivity of the development footprint as per the results of the DFFE Screening Tool (Appendix B)

This site sensitivity verification verifies the entire site as being of less than high agricultural sensitivity, with a land capability value of 7 . The land capability value is in keeping with the combination of soil and climate that makes the site too marginal for crop production. The required level of agricultural assessment is therefore confirmed as an Agricultural Compliance Statement.

### 5.3.1.2 Vegetation and, topography and landscape features

The area drains towards the southeast and no rivers or ridges are located within close proximity to the site. The site is located in an area with relatively low significance in elevation, meaning that the site is not located on a mountain, at the foot of a mountain or in an area with a significant difference in elevation. The preferred site is located at an above mean sea level (amsl) of approximately 1446 m at the highest elevation and at an amsl of 1413 m at the lowest elevation. Elevation profiles were taken over a 10 km radius from the site from all 8 wind directions. The site lies completely within Dry Highveld bioregion.

The vegetation of the area under assessment (refer to Figure 5.7) belongs to the endangered Vaal-Vet Sandy Grassland vegetation type (Gh 10) (Mucina \& Rutherford 2006). This vegetation type occurs at altitudes ranging between 1260-1360 m within the North West and Free State Provinces. It occurs on plains dominated areas and consist of undulating terrain. The dominance of the vegetation by the climax grass Themeda triandra is characteristic. Areas that are heavily overgrazed are characterised by the prominence of the grasses Elionurus muticus and Cymbopogon spp. The vegetation type is found on aeolian and colluvial sand overlying sand and mudstone.


Figure 5.7: Approximate location (red lines) of the area under assessment within the Vaal-Vet Sandy Grassland vegetation type (Gh 10).

The vegetation is dominated by the grasses Anthephora pubescens, Aristida congesta, Cymbopogon caesius, Cynodon dactylon, Digitaria argyrograpta, Elionurus muticus, Eragrostis chloromelas, Setaria sphacelata, Themeda triandra, Eragrostis trichophora, Heteropogon contortus, and the forbs Stachys spathulata, Barleria Macrostegia, Geigeria aspera, Monsonia
burkeana, Hermannia depressa, Hibiscus pusillus Selago densiflora. The low shrubs Pentzia globosa and Ziziphus mucronata are also prominent.

This vegetation type is regarded as being endangered with only $0.3 \%$ statutorily conserved of the target of $24 \%$. More than $60 \%$ is already transformed due to cultivation and overgrazing. The vegetation of the largest section of the study area shows little resemblance with this vegetation type, with only vegetation unit 3 showing some resemblance in the dominance of the grass Themeda triandra only, but with most of the other species characteristic for this vegetation type, not present.

## Vegetation Units:

The vegetation units on the site vary according to soil characteristics, topography, and land use. Vegetation units were identified in the area under assessment and can be divided into four distinct vegetation units according to soil types and topography (Figure 5.8 and Table 5.1). The units include:

1. Seasonal Drainage Channel
2. Planted pastures
3. Themeda triandra grassland
4. Degraded areas


Figure 5.8: Vegetation units present within the area under assessment

Table 5.1: Summary of the Vegetation units present within the site

| Vegetation Unit | Description | Characteristics | Photograph |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seasonal Drainage Channel | - This seasonally wet drainage channel is located along the south-eastern and a small section of the south-western boundary of the site. <br> - The area forms a $3-5 \mathrm{~m}$ wide channel and is dominated by grasses. <br> - The herbaceous layer dominates the vegetation and consists of short-medium tall grasses that have the highest cover. <br> - The vegetation is dominated by the grasses Digitaria eriantha, Eragrostis plana and Paspalum dilatatum. Other species present include the grasses Chloris gayana, Urochloa mosambicensis and the forbs Persicaria lapathifolia, Schoenoplectus corymbosus and Verbena bonariensis. Single individuals of the woody Searsia lancea and the dwarf shrub Pentzia globosa are also present in the channel. <br> - No red data species were found to be present in this unit. <br> - Alien plant species - Verbena bonariensis. | - Vegetation Structure: Shortmedium tall grassland <br> - Topography: N/A <br> - Soil: Clay - Loam <br> - Unit size: 2.96ha <br> - Need for rehabilitation: Medium <br> - Conservation Priority: High <br> - Red data species: None observed <br> - Protected species: None observed |  |
| Planted pastures | - This vegetation unit is the largest section of the area under assessment and occurs in the southern and northern sections of the site. The soil is deep loamy red with no rocks present. <br> - There are no trees present and the grasses have the highest cover followed by the forbs. <br> - The area is used for the planting of pastures and is dominated by the grasses Digitaria eriantha, Eragrostis plana, Aristida congesta subsp. barbicollis and Chloris virgata. The dwarf shrub Pentzia globosa is prominent throughout the area. Other species present include the forbs Felicia muricata, Conyza bonariensis, Tagetes minuta and Nidorella hottentotica. | - Vegetation Structure: Medium tall grassland <br> - Topography: Mostly level with slight south-eastern slope. <br> - Soil: Red Loam <br> - Unit size: 52.2 ha <br> - Need for rehabilitation: Medium high <br> - Conservation Priority: Low <br> - Red data species: None observed <br> - Protected species: None observed |  |
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|  | - The alien plant specie Verbena brasiliensis is present in this vegetation unit. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Themeda triandra grassland | - This vegetation unit is located in the central part of the site and comprises 43.8 ha. <br> - The area is dominated by grasses with no rocks present. <br> - The vegetation is dominated by the grass Themeda triandra with Cynodondactylon and Eragrostis chloromelas prominent in patches. Other species present include the grass Panicum natalense and the forbs Conyza bonariensis, Hypoxis argentea and Gomphrena celosioides. There are few woody species present with the dwarf shrub Pentzia globosa present throughout the unit. | - Vegetation Structure: Medium tall grassland <br> - Topography: Level with slight south eastern slope (1-3 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) <br> - Soil: Red Loam <br> - Unit size: 19.5ha <br> - Need for rehabilitation: Medium <br> - Conservation Priority: Low Medium <br> - Red data species: None observed <br> - Protected species: None observed |  |
| Degraded areas | - This vegetation unit occurs as a narrow section along the northern boundary in the central part of the site. <br> - The area is dominated by grasses with no rocks present. <br> - The vegetation is characterised by the dominated by the grasses Cynodon dactylon and Eragrostis chloromelas. Other species present include the grass Eragrostis plana and the forbs Schkuhria pinnata and Solanum incanum. There are few woody species present with the dwarf shrub Pentzia globosa present throughout the unit. <br> - The alien plant species Cirsium vulgare is present in this vegetation unit. | - Vegetation Structure: Short grass and forb land <br> - Topography: Level with slight south eastern slope (1-3 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) <br> - Soil: Red Loam <br> - Unit size: 2.4ha <br> - Need for rehabilitation: High <br> - Conservation Priority: Low <br> - Red data species: None observed <br> - Protected species: None observed |  |

## Protected Areas, Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA) and Ecological Support Areas (ESA)

According to the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment's South African Protected Areas Database (SAPAD, Quarter 3, 2021) the project site is not located near / within 5 km of a protected area. The Thabong Game Ranch that occurs 5.2 km west of the project is the closest protected area.

The Free State Biodiversity Conservation Plan has been considered for the identification of the relevant Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA) associated with the proposed development. Most of the proposed development footprint represents other natural areas (ONA), including the seasonal drainage channel (VU1).

Refer to Figure 5.9.


Figure 5.9: Ecosystem classification of the site according to Free State Nature Conservation for the project site.

Furthermore, the project site does not infringe on any focus areas associated with the National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES). The closest NPEAS is located to the 15 km southeast of the project and is known as the Free State Highveld Grassland NPAES.

## Species of Conservation Concern

A list of red data plant species previously recorded in the grid square in which the proposed development is planned was obtained from SANBI. 13 red listed plant species occur in the Quarter Degree Square however no species were recorded in the site and marginal habitat exists on site.

The DFFE Screening Report also did not highlight any red listed flora (Appendix B).

## Protected Plants in terms of the Free State Nature Conservation Ordinance

Plant species are also protected in the Free State Province according to the Free State Nature Conservation Ordinance. According to this ordinance, no person may pick, import, export, transport, possess, cultivate, or trade in a specimen of a specially protected or protected plant species. Communication with Provincial authorities indicates that a permit is required for all these species if they are expected to be affected by the proposed project.

After a detailed survey was conducted during March 2022, no protected plants in terms of the Free State Nature Conservation Ordinance were present on the site.

## Declared Invasive Alien Species

The Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (GNR 599 of 2014) are stipulated as part of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (10/2004). The regulation listed a total of 559 alien species as invasive and further 560 species are listed as prohibited and may not be introduced into South Africa. Below is a brief explanation of the four categories of Invasive Alien Plants as per the regulation.

Category 1 plants are prohibited plants which must be controlled or eradicated. These plants serve no economic purpose and possess characteristics that are harmful to humans, animals or the environment.

- Category 1a: Plants are high-priority emerging species requiring compulsory control. All breeding, growing, moving and selling are banned
- Category 1b: Plants are widespread invasive species controlled by a management program.

Category 2 plants are invaders with certain useful qualities, such as commercial use or for woodlots, animal fodder, soil stabilisation, etc. These plants are allowed in demarcated areas under controlled conditions and in biocontrol reserves.

Category 3 plants are alien plants that are currently growing in, or have escaped from areas such as gardens, but that are proven invaders. No further planting is allowed (except with special permission), nor trade in propagative material. Existing plants may remain but must be prevented from spreading. Plants within the flood line and watercourses must be removed (Bromilow, 2010).

The following alien invasive and exotic plant species were recorded on site during the surveys as stipulated in the Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (GNR 599 of 2014):

- Circium vulgare (Savi) Ten. - Category 1b
- Verbena brasiliensis Vell. - Category 1b


## Medicinal Plants

Two medicinal plants were recorded on the site (refer to table 5.2). None of the medicinal plant species present are threatened and occur abundantly within the province.

Table 5.2: List of medicinal plant species identified on the site

| Plant name | Plant part used | Medicinal use | Vegetation unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vachellia <br> karroo | Leaves, bark and <br> gum | Diarrhoea \& dysentery <br>  <br> haemorrhage. | $1 ; 2$ |
| Ziziphus <br> mucronata | Roots, bark or <br> leaves | Cough \& chest problems; <br> diarrhoea; pain relief | 2 |

### 5.3.1.3 Wetlands and Riparian Features

The site lies completely within the Middle Vaal Water Management Area (WMA) and is located within the C42J quaternary catchment. A Seasonal Drainage channel (vegetation unit 1) occurs along the south-eastern boundary and a small section along the southern boundary of the study site (refer to Figure 5.10). It forms a moderate to narrow waterway that channels surface water received from the adjacent and upland areas of the planted pastures and cultivated fields and channels it towards the south-east. The channel is approximately 1 m deep in areas, while other sections are ill-defined and is similar in species composition and structure to that of the surrounding grasslands/planted pastures. No other wetlands or riparian features are present in the site.

Single individuals of woody species occur in areas but covers less than $1 \%$ of the area. The vegetation is mostly natural and although these areas are used for grazing by cattle, it has not been overgrazed with the vegetation cover remaining high and the vegetation composition being natural typical of seasonally wet systems. Watercourses are important ecosystems not only due to their water channelling and retention functions, but also due to the habitat it provides for various insect and aquatic organisms contributing to high biodiversity. From a plant ecological point of view this area is regarded as having a high conservation value and ecosystem functioning.


Figure 5.10: Seasonal drainage channel located on the southern border of the site

### 5.3.1.4 Climate

The project is situated within the summer and autumn rainfall region with very dry winters and frequent frost that occurs during the colder winter months (refer to Figure 5.11). The spatial and temporal distribution of rainfall is very complex and has great effects on the productivity, distribution and life forms of the major terrestrial biomes. The mean annual precipitation for the region is around 560 mm . The mean annual temperature for the area is $15.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the mean annual frost days is 43 days. Mean Annual Potential Evaporation is 2226 mm , with Mean Annual Soil Moisture Stress of 78\%.


Figure 5.11: Climate diagram representative of the site (Mucina \& Rutherford, 2007)

### 5.3.1.5 Biodiversity

The primary cause of loss of biological diversity is habitat degradation and loss (IUCN, 2004; Primack, 2006). In the case of this study special attention was given to the identification of sensitive species or animal life and birds on site. The following section will discuss the state of biodiversity on the site in more detail.

## Avifaunal

According to the Avifaunal Impact Assessment (Appendix D2) the project is situated in an area of moderate avifaunal diversity, and the site is in the endangered Vaal-Vet Sandy Grassland ecosystem type. Much of the surrounding area has been impacted by pasture production and grazing practices. The resident avifauna is represented by relatively moderate species richness and abundance, for which the total transformation of habitat will generate impacts.

The typical species occurring on the site are common across the western highveld, with good representation from the widespread larks, pipits, cisticolas, finches, widowbirds, bishops, and whydahs in particular. Aerial feeding swallows were also well represented. Most palearctic migrants were not present on the site during the late summer assessments, and most intraAfrican migrants appeared to have departed. Raptors were poorly represented, as were gamebirds.

There are Red Data species that could possibly occur on site, even as vagrants and the likelihood of their occurrence must be assessed. No Red Data species were recorded during
the surveys, although suitable habitat does exist on site for the following species that has a reasonable likelihood of occasionally occurring on site:

- Secretarybird- Vulnerable. Not recorded in the pentads or during the site visit but habitat on site appears suitable, and, therefore, should be expected to have at least a reasonable likelihood of occasionally occurring on site.
- Lanner Falcon- Vulnerable. Not recorded in the pentads or during the site visit but habitat on site appears suitable, and, therefore, should be expected to have at least a reasonable likelihood of occasionally occurring on site.
- Red-footed Falcon- Near Threatened. Not recorded in the pentads or during the site visit but habitat on site appears suitable, and, therefore, should be expected to have at least a reasonable likelihood of occasionally occurring on site
- Blue Crane- Near-Threatened. Not recorded in the pentads or during the site visit but habitat on site appears suitable, and, therefore, should be expected to have at least a reasonable likelihood of occasionally occurring on site.
- Abdim's Stork- Near-Threatened. Not recorded in the pentads or during the site visit but habitat on site appears suitable, and, therefore, should be expected to have at least a reasonable likelihood of occasionally occurring on site.
- Black-winged Pratincole- Near Threatened. Not recorded in the pentads or during the site visit. Habitat suitability is marginal on the site but is expected to occasionally occur in the surrounding croplands.

The Red Data species listed above as occurring in the wider area or having reasonable likelihood of even occasional occurrence will be considered in the impact assessment and the methodology for mitigations.

In terms of range-restricted or endemic species, South Africa has a rich diversity of nationally and regionally endemic species that are found nowhere else on earth and, therefore, warrant consideration for assessment of sensitivity to potential developments. The following endemic or near-endemic (most of the global range is within South Africa's borders) species were recorded either during prior SABAP2 assessments or during this SPP assessment:

- Blue Korhaan- not recorded on site but recorded during SABAP2 assessments for the wider pentad. Endemic to South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland.
- Cloud Cisticola- recorded on site at numerous transects. Near-endemic.
- Fairy Flycatcher - not recorded on site but recorded during SABAP2 assessments for the wider pentad. Near-endemic.
- Pririt Batis- not recorded on site but recorded during SABAP2 assessments for the wider pentad. Near-endemic.
- South African Cliff Swallow- recorded on site at one transect. Breeding Endemic to South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland.

All of the endemic or near-endemic species listed above that have either been confirmed as occurring on site during this assessment or during past SABAP2 assessments have wide distributional ranges and reportedly healthy populations and should not present and substantial threats as a result of development of this site.

## Fauna

Much of the large and medium-sized mammal fauna that previously occurred on the site is now locally extinct or occurs in small, fragmented populations in reserves. Most of the habitat types are fragmented. Therefore, the expected mammalian richness on these areas is considered low, although slightly higher richness values are expected from the more intact grassland, woodland and wetland habitats.

The Highveld Ecoregion contains a higher number of mammals, although only the orange mouse (Mus orangiae) is restricted to the ecoregion, and the rough-haired golden mole (Chrysospalax villosa) is near-endemic. The ecoregion also supports populations of several large mammal species, some of which are rare in southern Africa. Among these are the brown hyena (Hyaena brunnea), African civet (Civettictis civetta), leopard (Panthera pardus), pangolin (Manis temminckii), honey badger (Mellivora capensis), striped weasel (Poecilogale albinucha), aardwolf (Proteles cristatus), oribi (Ourebia ourebi), and mountain zebra (Equus zebra hartmannae).

Predators that still roam freely in the area include larger predators such brown hyena, while smaller predators such as caracal, serval and honey badger are common throughout the larger area. Antelope species such as duiker and steenbok will roam freely through the area and are not restricted by game fences. Smaller mammal species such as honey badgers and serval can become habituated to anthropogenic influences, while other species such as brown hyena will rather move away from the construction activities and will seldom use the area. The wetlands/water features are an important habitat and dispersal corridor for moisture-reliant small mammals.

Most mammal species are highly mobile and will move away during construction of the solar development. The most important corridors that need to be preserved for free-roaming mammal species in the area include the riparian zones, wetlands/water features and indigenous grasslands.

Twenty-nine amphibians occur within the ecoregion, but none are endemic. Breeding habitat of frogs and toads can be found mostly in the permanent wet zone of the wetlands and dams in the larger area. Amphibian species potentially occurring in the larger area include Common River Frog, Natal Sand Frog, Gutteral Toad, Raucous Toad and Bubbling Kassina. These species are non-threatened and widespread, and as such the development will not have any impact on amphibian conservation within the region. The seasonal drainage channel could provide habitat for the red listed giant bullfrog, and therefore the recommended mitigation measures provided by the specialist must be adhered to.

Relatively few reptile species occur within the Highveld Ecoregion, mainly due to its cool climate. However, the ecoregion supports some of Africa's most characteristic reptile species, including Nile crocodile (Crocodylus niloticus), African rock-python (Python sebae), water monitor (Varanus niloticus) and veld monitor (Varanus exanthematicus albigularis). There are two strict endemic reptiles: giant girdled lizard (Cordylus giganteus), and Agama distanti. Several additional reptile species are near-endemics, including Drakensberg rock gecko (Afroendura niravia), giant spinytail lizard (Cordylus giganteus), and Breyer's whiptail (Tetrodactylus breyeri).

A few terrestrial lizards (Yellow-throated Plated Lizard, Variegate Skink), typical for Highveld Grassveld, are expected to be present. A variety of smaller snake species characteristic for Highveld Grassveld will be present (Common Wolf Snake, Brown House Snake), although some might be dependent on by the presence of dead termitaria. The only venomous snakes, which has been reported as being common, is as expected, the Rinkhals, Mozambique spitting cobra, snouted cobra and the Puffadder for this QDS. All the reptile species are common and widespread, and as such the development will not have any impact on reptile conservation within the region. The sungazer lizard occurs in some of the grassland areas, while the southern spiny agama and the striped harlequin snake may occur in small numbers in suitable habitat.

### 5.3.1.6 Visual landscape

According to the Visual impact Assessment (Appendix D3), the area drains towards the southeast and no rivers or ridges are located within close proximity to the site.

The site is located in an area with relatively low significance in elevation, meaning that the site is not located on a mountain, at the foot of a mountain or in an area with a significant difference in elevation. The preferred site is located at an above mean sea level (amsl) of approximately 1446 m at the highest elevation and at an amsl of 1413 m at the lowest elevation. The landform and drainage described is unlikely to limit visibility. Areas within 5 km from the proposed development might have a clear view without taking existing screening into account.

The observers in a 5 km radius include:

- Eskom power line infrastructure.
- Sedibeng Water Barbant Substation
- Quarry
- Various homesteads on farms.
- Hennenman
- R70
- Farm roads
- Various urban services within Hennenman
- Livestock grazing and crop farming

Other observers are located outside of the 5 km radius from the site which includes the Harmony Masimong 5 Mine, guesthouses located within Hennenman, Glen Harmony Mine, Harmony Gold Saaiplaas mine, Rietspruit and Sandrivier.

In terms of possible landscape degradation, the landscape does not appear to have any specific protection or importance and is characterised by mines and agricultural developments. Figure 5.12 and Figure 5.13 below indicates the Zone of Theoretical Visibility for the solar power plant and the proposed grid connection corridor.

The ZTV assessment did not consider existing screening such as buildings and vegetation cover but rather the terrain's above mean sea level (AMSL) which indicates line of sight. The main visual receptors in the area are agricultural developments.


Figure 5.12: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) for the project site.


Figure 5.13: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) for the proposed grid connection corridor.

### 5.3.1.7 Traffic consideration

According to the Traffic Impact Study (Appendix D7), the existing external road network in the vicinity of the proposed development consist of the R70, S173, S251, S236, Breet St and Hertzog St. Access to the project can be either via the R70 or an unknown gravel road. Two (2) possible access points have been identified for the 20 MW buildable area. The preferred access point is located off an unknown gravel road off the R70. In addition, an alternative access point has been identified, which is located off Regional Route 70 (R70). It must be noted, however, that an extension of the existing gravel tracks may be required.

A formal application for these access points will need to be lodged with the Matjhabeng Local Municipality and the Free State Department: Police, Roads and Transport. The formalisation of these access points to the standard, will in all probability be a requirement as part of the wayleave approval.

An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and associated infrastructure. It is anticipated that approximately 15 km of internal roads will be required for the facility. Furthermore, an additional 15 km of smaller tracks may be required, for cleaning and maintenance of the solar modules.

Two (2) possible ports of entry has been identified from where the solar panel technology and large electrical components will be transported, namely: Durban and Richards Bay. The distance from Durban to the project, via road, is approximately 585 km via the N3 and N5 and from Richards Bay to the project is approximately 685 km via the N5. It is critical to ensure that the abnormal load vehicle will be able to move safely and without obstruction along the
preferred route.

### 5.3.2 Description of the socio-economic environment

The socio-economic environment is described with specific reference to social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects.

### 5.3.2.1 Socio-economic conditions

The project is proposed within the Free State Province, although is the third-largest province in South Africa, it has the second-smallest population and the second-lowest population density. It covers an area of $129825 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and has a population of $2834714-5.1 \%$ of the national population. Languages spoken include Sesotho (64.4\%), Afrikaans (11.9\%) and Zulu (9.1\%). The Free State Province contributes $5.4 \%$ to South Africa's total gross domestic product (2006).

Free State Province is the landlocked core of the country. It is centrally placed, with good transport corridors to the north and the coast. It is the third biggest of South Africa's nine provinces in terms of size, and primary agriculture is a key economic sector. Mining is also important but has been declining steadily since 2008.

The Free State is situated in the heart of the country, between the Vaal River in the north and the Orange River in the south, bordered by the Northern Cape, Eastern Cape, North West, Mpumalanga, KwaZulu-Natal and Gauteng provinces, as well as Lesotho. The Free State is a rural province of farmland, mountains, goldfields, and widely dispersed towns. This province is an open, flat grassland with plenty of agriculture that is central to the country's economy. Mining is its largest employer.

Bloemfontein is the capital and is home to the Supreme Court of Appeal, as well as the University of Free State and the Central University of Technology. The province also has 12 gold mines, producing 30 percent of South Africa's output.

Agriculture is a key economic sector - 8\% of the country's produce comes from Free State. In 2010, agriculture provided 19.2\% of all formal employment opportunities in the region. The economy is dominated by agriculture, mining and manufacturing. Known as the 'bread-basket' of South Africa, about $90 \%$ of the province is under cultivation for crop production. It produces approximately $34 \%$ of the total maize production of South Africa, $37 \%$ of wheat, $53 \%$ of sorghum, $33 \%$ of potatoes, $18 \%$ of red meat, $30 \%$ of groundnuts and $15 \%$ of wool. The province is the world's fifth-largest gold producer, with mining the major employer.

Other mineral resources - gold, diamonds, and low-grade coal - are also important to the province; mining contributed 9\% to the local economy and employed some 33000 people in 2010. Other commodities include clay, gypsum, salt, and uranium.

## Lejweleputswa District Municipality

The Lejweleputswa District Municipality is a Category C municipality situated in the northwestern part of the Free State. It borders the North West Province to the north, Fezile Dabi and Thabo Mofutsanyana to the north-east and east respectively, Mangaung and Xhariep to the south, and the Northern Cape Province to the west.

The District Municipality makes up almost a third of the province, covering an area of $32287 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, and consists of the following five local municipalities, with approximately 18 towns distributed throughout: Masilonyana, Tokologo, Tswelopele, Matjhabeng and Nala.

It is accessible from Johannesburg, Cape Town, Klerksdorp and Kimberley along the N1, one of the country's main national roads. The main economic sectors include: Mining (31\%), construction, transport, electricity and trade. In 2011 the Municipality had a population of 624746 with a dependency ratio of 51.3. By 2016 the population has increased to 646920 and the dependency ratio was reduced to 46.2.

## Matjhabeng Local Municipality

The Matjhabeng Local Municipality is a Category B municipality situated in the Lejweleputswa District in the Free State. It is bound by Nala to the north, Masilonyana to the south, Tswelopele to the east and Moqhaka to the west and covers an area of $5690 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. It is one of five municipalities in the district. Matjhabeng represents the hub of mining activity in the Free State Province.

There is one formal land-based protected area in the municipality, being the Willem Pretorius Nature Reserve. There are no Ramsar sites. There are six towns in the municipality, namely, Allanridge, Henneman, Odedaalsrus, Ventersburg, Virginia and Welkom. The main economic sectors in the municipality are mining and manufacturing.

### 5.3.2 $\mathbf{2}$ Cultural and heritage aspects

According to the Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix D5), archaeological sites spanning the Earlier, Middle and Later Stone Age have been found in the region despite the extensive agricultural transformation of the area. However, despite this, no heritage resources of significance were identified by Van der Walt (2013) in his assessment of the adjacent farm. Additionally, no significant archaeological sites have been recorded in the vicinity of the project area on SAHRIS. Van der Walt (2013) notes that "some MSA finds might be possible around pans on the farm. It is important to note that the lack of sites can be attributed to a lack of sustainable water sources (no pans exist in the development footprint) in the development area as well as the lack of raw material for the manufacturing of stone tools.

No Sites dating to the Early or Middle Iron Age have been recorded or is expected for the study area. The same goes for the Later Iron Age period where the study area is situated outside the western periphery of distribution of Late Iron Age settlements in the Free State. However to the north of the study area, ceramics from the Thabeng facies belonging to the Moloko branch of the Urewe tradition were recorded at Oxf 1 and Platberg 32/71 (Maggs 1976, Mason 1986). Similarly to the east Makgwareng ceramics belonging to the Blackburn Branch of the Urewe tradition was recorded (Dreyer 1992 and Maggs 1976). There is however a low likelihood of finding sites dating to this period in the study area."

A survey was conducted on foot of the area under assessment and sought to assess the presence and significance of archaeological occurrences within the site. There was no evidence of Stone or Iron Age archaeology within the site. No graves were identified within the survey and visibility was reasonably good for stone structures, so the latter finding could be considered comprehensive. However, the substantial grass cover and soil formation across
the entire footprint was a pertinent constraint to documenting stone artefacts and other smaller potential surface remains such as ceramics. The field assessment did not document any archaeological remains.

## Palaeontology

According to the SAHRIS Palaeosensitivity Map the development sites are underlain by sediments of moderate and Very High fossil sensitivity (Figure 5.14). The Adelaide Formation of the Beaufort Group is the very highly sensitive formation and caenozoic regolith is the moderately sensitive formation underlying the development area according to the extract from the CGS 2726 Kroonstad Geology Map.

A desktop Palaeontological assessment (2013) was completed by Millsteed for an adjacent development which is of relevance here. Millsteed (2013) notes that "The Cainozoic regolith and the Adelaide Subgroup are both potentially fossiliferous and their stratigraphic equivalents are known to contain scientifically important fossil assemblages elsewhere in South Africa. Accordingly, it may be reasonably expected that significant fossils may be present within the project area." He goes on to note that "Thus, the historical farming processes have probably destroyed any fossil materials that may have been present at surface in these areas. Similarly, where present the regolith cover would hide any fossils contained within the underlying Adelaide Subgroup from discovery. The potential for a negative impact on the fossil heritage of the area can be quantified in the following manner. Any fossil materials that may have been present at/or near the surface in the cultivated regolith will have been historically destroyed and the likelihood of any negative impact is categorised as negligible. The possibility of a negative impact on the depth interval between the maximum depth of ploughing and the maximum depth of excavations within the regolith is categorised as low (due to the scarcity of fossils in general)."

Although the presence of the Adelaide Subgroup would normally require a field scoping study be conducted before excavation takes place, the entire footprint of the proposed development has been modified for agricultural purposes and is covered by dense grasses. This makes it unlikely that a field scoping study would provide any more information on the likelihood of the project resulting in irreversible loss of the palaeontological heritage. Based on this, along with the presence of Quaternary superficial deposits covering half of the fossiliferous sediments (Beaufort Group), and the lack of fossils finds in the SAHRIS list of heritage resources within close proximity to the development area, it is anticipated that the impact of the development will mainly be low to moderate.


Figure 5.14: Palaeontological sensitivity of the project site

### 5.4 SITE SELECTION MATRIX

Due to the nature of the proposed development, the location of the solar power plant is largely dependent on technical and environmental factors such as solar irradiation, climatic conditions, topography of the site, access to the grid and capacity of the grid. Studies of solar irradiation worldwide indicate that the Free State Province has a high potential for the generation of power from solar.

The receptiveness of the site to PV Development includes the presence of optimal conditions for the sitting of a solar energy facility due to high irradiation values and optimum grid connection opportunities (i.e. the grid connection point is located within the affected property which minimizes the length of power line development and consolidates the overall impacts and disturbance of the project within the affected property). The Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373, on which the project is proposed to be located, is considered favorable and suitable from a technical perspective due to the following characteristics:

- Climatic conditions: Climatic conditions determine if the project will be viable from an economic perspective as the solar power plant is directly dependent on the annual direct solar irradiation values of a particular area. The Free State receives high averages of direct normal and global horizontal irradiation, daily. This is an indication that the regional location of the project includes a low number of rainy days and a high number of daylight hours experienced in the region. Global Horizontal Radiation of $\sim 2118 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ year is relevant in the area.
- Topographic conditions: The surface area on which the proposed facility will be located has a favourable level of topography, which facilitates work involved with construction and maintenance of the facility and ensures that shadowing on the panels do not occur. The topographic conditions, which are favourable, minimizes the significance of the impact that will occur during the clearing and leveling of the site for the construction activities.
- Extent of the site: A significant portion of land is required to evacuate the prescribed 20MW and space is a constraining factor in PV facility installations. Larger farms are sought after to make provision for any constraints imposed by the Department of Agriculture on the extent of land that may be used for such facilities per farm, as well as the opportunities presented for the avoidance of sensitive environmental features present. The Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373, and the area assessed therein for the placement of the development footprint is considered to provide an opportunity for the successful construction and operation of a solar power plant with a capacity of 20 MW , as well as opportunities for the avoidance and mitigation of impacts on the affected environment and sensitive environmental features.
- Site availability and access: The land is available for lease by the developer. Reluctant farm owners or farmers over capitalizing hamper efforts to find suitable farms. Access will be obtained via a gravel road off of the R70 north of the site.
- Grid connection: In order for the PV facility to connect to the national grid the facility will have to construct an on-site substation, Eskom switching station and a power line from the project site to connect to the Eskom grid. Available grid connections are becoming scarce and play a huge role when selecting a viable site. The grid connection point is located within the affected property which presents an opportunity for the consolidation of infrastructure and disturbance within the affected landscape. An insignificant length of power line will need to be developed for the project.
- Environmental sensitivities: From an environmental perspective the proposed site is considered highly desirable due to limited environmental sensitivities in terms of geology, and soils, agricultural potential, vegetation and landscape features, climate, biodiversity and the visual landscape - refer to Section 5.3.1 of this report. The area proposed for development exclusively consists of land used for agriculture, but water features are located in the area under assessment that will need to be considered by the developer for the placement of the facility infrastructure within the development footprint.

It is evident from the discussion above that Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373 may be considered favourable and suitable in terms of the site and environmental characteristics. As mentioned previously, no alternative areas on the property have been considered for the placement of the development footprint as the assessed area is considered as appropriate from a technical and environmental perspective. The development footprint of this project will cover a significant portion of the farm, however, provision will be made to exclude any sensitive areas from the facility layout to be developed within the development footprint.

### 5.5 CONCLUDING STATEMENT ON ALTERNATIVES

When considering the information provided by the specialists with regards to the site selection criteria, the site is identified as preferred due to the opportunities presented on the site to develop the project in such a way which avoids the areas and features (including the associated buffers) of environmental sensitivity.

Therefore, development of a Solar Energy Facility on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Vogelsrand No. 373 is the preferred option.

Considering the environmental sensitive features present within the areas under assessment, the Applicant has proposed a draft facility layout which considers these features, and thereby aim to avoid any direct impact on these features. The draft layout will be further assessed as part of the EIA Phase of the project. Refer to Figure I for the draft layout proposed for development. L

## 6 DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPACTS AND RISKS

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:
Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-
(v) the impacts and risks identified for each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts-
(aa) can be reversed;
(bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and
(cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated;
(vi) the methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks associated with the alternatives;
(vii) positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity and alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may be affected focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;
(viii) the possible mitigation measures that could be applied and level of residual risk;

### 6.1 SCOPING METHODOLOGY

The contents and methodology of the scoping report aims to provide, as far as possible, a user-friendly analysis of information to allow for easy interpretation.
$>$ Checklist (see section 6.1.1): The checklist consists of a list of structured questions related to the environmental parameters and specific human actions. They assist in ordering thinking, data collection, presentation and alert against the omission of possible impacts.
> Matrix (see section 6.1.2): The matrix analysis provides a holistic indication of the relationship and interaction between the various activities, development phases and the impact thereof on the environment. The method aims at providing a first order cause and effect relationship between the environment and the proposed activity. The matrix is designed to indicate the relationship between the different stressors and receptors which leads to specific impacts. The matrix also indicates the specialist studies that have been conducted to address the potentially most significant impacts.

### 6.1.1 Checklist analysis

The independent consultant conducted a site visit on 24 February 2022. The site visit was conducted to ensure a proper analysis of the site-specific characteristics of the study area. Table 6.1 provides a checklist, which is designed to stimulate thought regarding possible consequences of specific actions and so assist scoping of key issues. It consists of a list of
structured questions related to the environmental parameters and specific human actions. They assist in ordering thinking, data collection, presentation and alert against the omission of possible impacts. The table highlights certain issues, which are further analysed in matrix format in section 6.2.

Table 6.1: Environmental checklist

| QUESTION | NES | NO | Un- | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Are any of the following located on the site earmarked for the development? |  |  |  |  |


| II. Visual Impacts |  |  |  | The VIA (refer to Appendix D3) <br> confirmed that the significance <br> of the visual impact will be a <br> "Negative Low Impact". The <br> only receptors likely to be <br> impacted by the proposed <br> development are the nearby <br> property owners and road users <br> on nearby roads. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| III. Noise pollution |  |  |  |  |


| X. Soil erosion | $\times$ |  | The site will need to be cleared or graded to a limited extent, which may potentially result in a degree of dust being created, increased runoff and potentially soil erosion. The time that these areas are left bare will be limited to the construction phase, since vegetation will be allowed to grow back after construction. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| XI. Installation of additional bulk telecommunication transmission lines or facilities |  | X | None. |
| 3. Is the proposed project located near the following? |  |  |  |
| I. A river, stream, dam or wetland | $\times$ |  | A seasonal drainage channel is located on the southern border of the site. NFEPA wetlands are located to the north of the project site. |
| II. A conservation or open space area | $\times$ |  | The project site is located adjacent to a Critical Biodiversity Area 1. |
| III. An area that is of cultural importance |  | X | None. |
| IV. A site of geological significance |  | X | None. |
| V. An area of outstanding natural beauty |  | X | None. |
| VI. Highly productive agricultural land |  | X | None. |
| VII. A tourist resort |  | X | The Goldfields Game Ranch is located 1.35 km to the southeast. It must be noted that the Springbok Solar Power Plant was recently authorised for development on this property. |
| VIII. A formal or informal settlement | $\times$ |  | Hennenman (located approximately 4 km to the east of the site) |

### 6.1.2 Matrix analysis

The matrix describes the relevant listed activities, the aspects of the development that will apply to the specific listed activity, a description of the environmental issues and potential impacts, the significance and magnitude of the potential impacts and possible mitigation measures. The matrix also highlights areas of particular concern (see Table 6.2) for more indepth assessment during the EIA process. An indication is provided of the specialist studies being conducted and which informed the initial assessment. Each cell is evaluated individually in terms of the nature of the impact, duration and its significance - should no mitigation
measures be applied. This is important since many impacts would not be considered insignificant if proper mitigation measures were implemented.

In order to conceptualise the different impacts, the matrix specify the following:

- Stressor: Indicates the aspect of the proposed activity, which initiates and cause impacts on elements of the environment.
- Receptor: Highlights the recipient and most important components of the environment affected by the stressor.
- Impacts: Indicates the net result of the cause-effect between the stressor and receptor.
- Mitigation: Impacts need to be mitigated to minimise the effect on the environment.

Please refer to Appendix $\mathbf{D}$ (specialist studies) a more in-depth assessment of the potential environmental impacts.

## Table 6.2: Matrix analysi

For ease of reference the significance of the impacts is colour-coded as follow:






$\qquad$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - Damaged and used batteries must be removed from site by the supplier or any other suitably qualified professional for recycling or appropriate disposal. <br> - The applicant should obtain a cradle to grave battery management plan from the supplier during the planning and design phase of the system. The plan must be kept on site and adhered to. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Local unemployment rate | - Job creation. <br> - Business opportunities. <br> - Skills development. |  | + | P | S | D | 1 | N/A | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Social Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) |
|  |  |  | Visual landscape | - Potential visual impact on residents of farmsteads and motorists in close proximity to proposed facility. <br> - Lighting impacts. <br> - Solar glint and glare impacts. <br> - Visual sense of place impacts. | - |  | L | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | M | Visual Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) |
|  |  |  | Traffic volumes | - Increase in construction vehicles. |  |  | L | S | Pr | CR | NL | Yes | - Delivery and construction trips will be insignificant when compared to the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) and will not affect the existing Level of Service (LOS). It can therefore be concluded that, on both routes, no mitigation measures will be necessary. | L | Traffic Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) |


|  |  |  | Health \& Safety | - Air/dust pollution. <br> - Road safety. <br> - Impacts associated with the presence of construction workers on site and in the area. <br> - Influx of job seekers to the area. <br> - Increased safety risk to farmers, risk of stock theft and damage to farm infrastructure associated with presence of construction workers on the site. <br> - Increased risk of veld fires. |  | - | L | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | See Table 6.3 | M | Social Impact Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Noise levels | - The generation of noise as a result of construction vehicles, the use of machinery such as drills and people working on the site. | - |  | L | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - During construction care should be taken to ensure that noise from construction vehicles and plant equipment does not intrude on the surrounding residential areas. Plant equipment such as generators, compressors, concrete mixers as well as vehicles should be kept in good operating order and where appropriate have effective exhaust mufflers. | L | Social Impact Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) |
|  |  |  | Tourism industry | - Since there are no sensitive tourism facilities in close proximity to the site, the proposed activities will not have an impact on tourism in the area. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  | Heritage resources | - Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | - |  | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix D5) |


|  |  |  | Paleontological Heritage | - Disturbance, damage or destruction of legallyprotected fossil heritage* within the development footprint during the construction phase | - |  | S | P | U | IR | ML | Yes | N/A | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix D5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327): <br> "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts." <br> Activity 1 (GN.R 325): "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more." <br> Activity 10 (b)(hh) (GN.R 324): <br> "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (b) in the Free State (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland." | The key components of the proposed project are described below: <br> - PV Panel Array - To produce up to 20 MW , the proposed facilities will require numerous linked cells placed behind a protective glass sheet to form a panel. Multiple panels will be required to form the solar PV arrays which will comprise the PV facility. The PV panels will be mounted to a single access tracking frame system <br> - Wiring to Central Inverters - Sections of the PV array will be wired to inverters. The inverter is a pulse width mode inverter that converts direct current (DC) electricity to alternating current (AC) electricity at grid frequency. <br> - Connection to the grid Connecting the array to the electrical grid requires transformation of the voltage from 800 V to 33 kV to 132 kV . The normal components and dimensions of a |  | Fauna \& Flora | - Fauna and Flora |  | - | L | L | Po | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Ecological Impact <br> Assessment (Appendix D1) |
|  |  |  | Avifauna | - Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats. <br> - Displacement of resident avifauna through increased disturbance. <br> - Collisions with PV panels leading to injury or loss of avian life. <br> - Insignificant impacts expected to be associated with the power line as the line is proposed to be of a very short length. |  | - | S | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | M | Avifaunal Impact Assessment (Appendix D2) |
|  |  |  | Air quality | - The proposed development will not result in any air pollution during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  | Soil | - Soil degradation, including erosion. <br> - Disturbance of soils and existing land use (soil compaction). <br> - Loss of agricultural potential (low significance relative to agricultural potential of the site). |  | - | L | L | D | PR | SL | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Agricultural and Soil Compliance Statement <br> (Appendix D4) |
|  |  |  | Geology | - Collapsible soil. <br> - Active soil (high soil heave). <br> - Erodible soil. <br> - Hard/compact geology. If the bedrock occurs close to surface |  |  | S | S | Po | PR | ML | Yes | - Surface drainage should be provided to prevent water ponding. <br> - Mitigation measures proposed by the | L | Geotechnical <br> Feasibility <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D8) |

distribution rated electrical substation will be required. Output voltage from the inverter is 800 V and this is fed into step up transformers to 132 kV . An onsite substation will be required on the site to step the voltage up to 132 kV , after which the power will be evacuated into the national grid via the proposed 132 kV power line. It is expected that generation from the facility will connect to the national grid via a loop-in loop-out connection into the existing Kroonstad-Everest 132kV Power Line. The proposed connection point into the national grid is located within the Remaining Extent of Farm Vogelsrand No. 373.

- Electrical reticulation network - An internal electrical reticulation network will be required and will be lain $\sim 0.5-1 \mathrm{~m}$ underground as far as practically possible.
- Supporting Infrastructure The following auxiliary buildings with basic services including water and electricity will be required on site:
- Office / Control Room ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ );
- 22 kV Switch gear and relay room ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ );

|  | it may present problems when driving power line columns. <br> - The presence of undermined ground. <br> - Instability due to soluble rock. <br> - Steep slopes or areas of unstable natural slopes. <br> - Areas subject to seismic activity. <br> - Areas subject to flooding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | detailed engineering geological investigation should be implemented. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groundwater | - Leakage of hazardous materials. The development will comprise of a distribution substation and will include transformer bays which will contain transformer oils. Leakage of these oils can contaminate water supplies. | - |  | L | L | Po | PR | ML | Yes | - All areas in which substances potentially hazardous to groundwater are stored, loaded, worked with or disposed of should be securely bunded (impermeable floor and sides) to prevent accidental discharge to groundwater. | L | - |
| Surface water | - Degradation of Watercourse |  | - | L | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - $\quad$ See Table 6.4 | L | Ecological Impact Assessment (Appendix D1) |
| Visual landscape | - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5 km radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a $5-10 \mathrm{~km}$ radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impacts of lighting at night on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts of glint and glare on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts on observers travelling along the roads and |  | - | L | L | D | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Visual Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) |

Groundwater

|  | it may present problems when driving power line columns. <br> - The presence of undermined ground. <br> - Instability due to soluble rock. <br> - Steep slopes or areas of unstable natural slopes. <br> - Areas subject to seismic activity. <br> - Areas subject to flooding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | detailed engineering geological investigation should be implemented. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groundwater | - Leakage of hazardous materials. The development will comprise of a distribution substation and will include transformer bays which will contain transformer oils. Leakage of these oils can contaminate water supplies. | - |  | L | L | Po | PR | ML | Yes | - All areas in which substances potentially hazardous to groundwater are stored, loaded, worked with or disposed of should be securely bunded (impermeable floor and sides) to prevent accidental discharge to groundwater. | L | - |
| Surface water | - Degradation of Watercourse |  | - | L | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - $\quad$ See Table 6.4 | L | Ecological Impact Assessment (Appendix D1) |
| Visual landscape | - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5 km radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a $5-10 \mathrm{~km}$ radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impacts of lighting at night on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts of glint and glare on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts on observers travelling along the roads and |  | - | L | L | D | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Visual Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) |


|  | it may present problems when driving power line columns. <br> - The presence of undermined ground. <br> - Instability due to soluble rock. <br> - Steep slopes or areas of unstable natural slopes. <br> - Areas subject to seismic activity. <br> - Areas subject to flooding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | detailed engineering geological investigation should be implemented. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groundwater | - Leakage of hazardous materials. The development will comprise of a distribution substation and will include transformer bays which will contain transformer oils. Leakage of these oils can contaminate water supplies. | - |  | L | L | Po | PR | ML | Yes | - All areas in which substances potentially hazardous to groundwater are stored, loaded, worked with or disposed of should be securely bunded (impermeable floor and sides) to prevent accidental discharge to groundwater. | L | - |
| Surface water | - Degradation of Watercourse |  | - | L | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - $\quad$ See Table 6.4 | L | Ecological Impact Assessment (Appendix D1) |
| Visual landscape | - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5 km radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a $5-10 \mathrm{~km}$ radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impacts of lighting at night on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts of glint and glare on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts on observers travelling along the roads and |  | - | L | L | D | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Visual Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) |


|  | it may present problems when driving power line columns. <br> - The presence of undermined ground. <br> - Instability due to soluble rock. <br> - Steep slopes or areas of unstable natural slopes. <br> - Areas subject to seismic activity. <br> - Areas subject to flooding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | detailed engineering geological investigation should be implemented. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groundwater | - Leakage of hazardous materials. The development will comprise of a distribution substation and will include transformer bays which will contain transformer oils. Leakage of these oils can contaminate water supplies. | - |  | L | L | Po | PR | ML | Yes | - All areas in which substances potentially hazardous to groundwater are stored, loaded, worked with or disposed of should be securely bunded (impermeable floor and sides) to prevent accidental discharge to groundwater. | L | - |
| Surface water | - Degradation of Watercourse |  | - | L | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - $\quad$ See Table 6.4 | L | Ecological Impact Assessment (Appendix D1) |
| Visual landscape | - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5 km radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a $5-10 \mathrm{~km}$ radius of the SPP. <br> - Visual impacts of lighting at night on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts of glint and glare on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. <br> - Visual impacts on observers travelling along the roads and |  | - | L | L | D | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Visual Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) |

it may present problems when driving power line columns.

- The presence of undermined ground.
- Instability due to soluble rock.
- Steep slopes or areas of unstable natural slopes.
- Areas subject to seismic activity.
- Areas subject to flooding
- Leakage of hazardous materials. The development will comprise of a distribution substation and will include transformer bays which will contain transformer oils. Leakage of these oils can contaminate water supplies. ismic
$\qquad$
detailed engineering geological investigation should implemented.
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { All areas in which } \\ \text { substances } & \text { potentially } \\ \text { hazardous } & \text { to }\end{array}$ groundwater are stored, loaded, worked with or disposed of should be securely bunded (impermeable floor and sides) to prevent accidental discharge groundwater.
- Degradation of Watercourse

$$
\begin{array}{ll|}
\hline \circ & 22 \mathrm{kV} / 132 \mathrm{KV} \\
& \text { Outdoor } \\
& \text { Switchyard } \\
& \left(5000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) ; \\
- & \text { Security } \quad \text { control } \\
& \left(\sim 60 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

- Battery Energy Storage System - A Battery Storage Facility with BESS Containerized solution and associated operational, safety and control infrastructure will be required. A maximum height of 4 m and a maximum volume of $6400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of batteries and associated operational, safety and control infrastructure is expected to be required.
- Roads - Access will be obtained via the R70 regional road to the north of the site. An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and associated infrastructure. Internal roads are expected to have a width of up to 4 m .
- Fencing - For health, safety and security reasons, the facility will be required to be fenced off from the surrounding properties. Fencing with a height of up to 2.5 meters will be used (will be of a Clearvu type fence).
residents at homesteads in close proximity to the power line structures.
- Visual impacts and sense of place impacts associated with the operation phase of SPP.

|  | residents at homesteads in close proximity to the power line structures. <br> - Visual impacts and sense of place impacts associated with the operation phase of SPP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic volumes | - The proposed development will not result in any traffic impacts during the operational phase. | - |  | L | L | Po | CR | NL | Yes | - | L | Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix D7) |
| Health \& Safety | - The proposed development will not result in any health and safety impacts during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | N/A |
| Noise levels | - The proposed development will not result in any noise pollution during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Heritage resources | - Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | - |  | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix D5) |
| Electricity supply | - Generation of additional electricity. The power line will transport generated electricity into the grid. | + |  | 1 | L | D | 1 | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |
| Electrical infrastructure | - Additional electrical infrastructure. The proposed solar facility will add to the existing electrical infrastructure and aid to lessen the reliance of electricity generation from coal-fired power stations. |  |  | 1 | L | D | I | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |


|  | residents at homesteads in close proximity to the power line structures. <br> - Visual impacts and sense of place impacts associated with the operation phase of SPP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic volumes | - The proposed development will not result in any traffic impacts during the operational phase. | - |  | L | L | Po | CR | NL | Yes | - | L | Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix D7) |
| Health \& Safety | - The proposed development will not result in any health and safety impacts during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | N/A |
| Noise levels | - The proposed development will not result in any noise pollution during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Heritage resources | - Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | - |  | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix D5) |
| Electricity supply | - Generation of additional electricity. The power line will transport generated electricity into the grid. | + |  | 1 | L | D | 1 | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |
| Electrical infrastructure | - Additional electrical infrastructure. The proposed solar facility will add to the existing electrical infrastructure and aid to lessen the reliance of electricity generation from coal-fired power stations. |  |  | 1 | L | D | I | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |


|  | residents at homesteads in close proximity to the power line structures. <br> - Visual impacts and sense of place impacts associated with the operation phase of SPP. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic volumes | - The proposed development will not result in any traffic impacts during the operational phase. | - |  | L | L | Po | CR | NL | Yes | - | L | Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix D7) |
| Health \& Safety | - The proposed development will not result in any health and safety impacts during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | N/A |
| Noise levels | - The proposed development will not result in any noise pollution during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Heritage resources | - Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | - |  | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix D5) |
| Electricity supply | - Generation of additional electricity. The power line will transport generated electricity into the grid. | + |  | 1 | L | D | 1 | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |
| Electrical infrastructure | - Additional electrical infrastructure. The proposed solar facility will add to the existing electrical infrastructure and aid to lessen the reliance of electricity generation from coal-fired power stations. |  |  | 1 | L | D | I | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |



- The proposed development will not result in any traffic impacts during the operational phase. will not result in any health and safety impacts during the operational phase.


The proposed development will not result in any noise pollution during operational phase. features or sites, heritage significance

## DECOMMISSIONING PHASE

Dismantlement of infrastructure During the decommissioning phase the Solar Energy facility and its associated infrastructure will be dismantled.

Rehabilitation of biophysical environment

The biophysical environment will be rehabilitated.

- Improvement of habitat through revegetation / succession over time
- Soil erosion and sedimentation.
- Spreading and establishment of alien invasive species
- Habitat degradation due to dust
- Spillages of harmful substances
- Road mortalities of fauna / impact of human activities on site.
- Air pollution due to the increase of traffic of construction vehicles.
- Soil degradation, including erosion.
- Disturbance of soils and existing land use (soil compaction).
- Physical and chemical degradation of the soils by construction (hydrocarbon spills)
- It is not foreseen that the $\begin{aligned} & \text { decommissioning phase will } \\
& \text { impact on the geology of the }\end{aligned}$

N/A N/A | N/A |
| :--- | impact on the geology of the site or vice versa.

- Generation of waste that needs to be accommodated at a licensed landfill site.
- Generation of sewage that needs to be accommodated by the municipal sewerage system and the local sewage plant.
- Increase in construction vehicles.
vehicles. vehicles.
- Increase in stormwater run-off

Ecological
Impact
Assessment
(Appendix D1)

| - |  | $s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

S D
D CR
R N
NL
Yes

- Regular maintenance of Regular maintenance of $\begin{array}{lr}\text { equipment } & \text { to ensure } \\ \text { reduced } & \text { exhaust }\end{array}$ emissions.




## Agricultural

and Soil
Compliance
Compliance
(Appendix D4)

Fauna \& Flora

## Air quality

$\qquad$

|  |  |  |  | - Pollution of water sources due to soil erosion. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | contaminated soil as hazardous waste. <br> - Removal hydrocarbons and other hazardous substances by a suitable contractor to reduce contamination risks. <br> - Removal of all substances which can result in groundwater (or surface water) contamination. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Visual landscape | - Potential visual impact on visual receptors in close proximity to proposed facility. <br> - The decommissioning phase of the project will result in the same visual impacts experienced during the construction phase of the project. However, it is anticipated that the proposed facility will be refurbished and upgraded to prolong its life. | - |  | L | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Visual Impact Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) |
|  |  |  | Traffic volumes | - Increase in construction vehicles. |  |  | L | S | Pr | CR | NL | Yes | - Movement of heavy construction vehicles through residential areas should be timed to avoid peak morning and evening traffic periods. In addition, movement of heavy construction vehicles through residential areas should not take place over weekends. |  | Traffic Impact Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) |
|  |  |  | Health \& Safety | - Air/dust pollution. <br> - Road safety. <br> - Increased crime levels. The presence of construction workers on the site may increase security risks |  |  | L | S | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Social Impact Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) |


|  |  |  |  |  | associated with an increase in crime levels as a result of influx of people in the rural area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Noise levels |  | - The generation of noise as a result of construction vehicles, the use of machinery and people working on the site. | - |  | L | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Social Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) |
|  |  |  | Tourism industry |  | - Since there are no tourism facilities in close proximity to the site, the decommissioning activities will not have an impact on tourism in the area. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  |  |  | Heritage resources |  | - It is not foreseen that the decommissioning phase will impact on any heritage resources. | - |  | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix D5) |


| Nature of the impact: | (N/A) No impact | (+) Positive Impact (-) | Negative Impact |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographical extent: | (S) Site; | (L) Local/District; | (P) Province/Region; | (I) International and National |  |
| Probability: | (U) Unlikely; | (Po) Possible; | (Pr) Probable; | (D) Definite |  |
| Duration: | (S) Short Term; | (M) Medium Term; | (L) Long Term; | (P) Permanent |  |
| Intensity / Magnitude: | (L) Low; | (M) Medium; | (H) High; | (VH) Very High |  |
| Reversibility: | (CR) Completely Reversible; | (PR) Partly Reversible; | (BR) Barely Reversible; | - |  |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources: | (IR) Irreversible | (NL) No Loss; | (ML) Marginal Loss; | (SL) Significant Loss; | (CL) Complete Loss |
| Level of residual risk: | (L) Low; | (M) Medium; | (H) High; | (VH) Very High | - |

### 6.2 KEY ISSUES IDENTIFIED

From the above it is evident that mitigation measures should be available for potential impacts associated with the proposed activity and development phases. The scoping methodology identified the following key issues which should be addressed in more detail in the EIA report.

### 6.2.1 Impacts during the construction phase

During the construction phase the following activities will have various potential impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment:

- Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327): "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts."
- Activity 12(ii)(a)(c) (GN.R. 327): "The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 meters of a watercourse measured from the edge of a watercourse."
- Activity 14 (GNR 327): "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres."
- Activity 19 (GN.R. 327): "The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse."
- Activity 28(ii) (GN.R. 327): "Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture, game farming, equestrian purposes or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare."
- Activity 1 (GN.R. 325): "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more..."
- Activity 15 (GN.R. 325): "The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation..."
- Activity 4 (b)(i)(ee)(gg) (GN.R 324): "The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (b) the Free State, (i) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (gg) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA...."
- Activity 10 (b)(i)(ee)(gg)(hh) (GN.R 324): "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous
good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (b) in the Free State, (i) outside urban areas,(ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans, (gg) areas within 10 kilometres from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometres from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA... and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland."
- Activity 12 (b)(i)(ii)(vi) (GN.R 324): "The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (b) in the Free State, (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans and (vi) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland."
- Activity 14(ii)(a)(c)(b)(i)(ff)(hh) (GN.R 324): "The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more, where such development occurs (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse, (b) within the Free State, (i) outside urban areas within (ff) critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA...."

During the construction phase temporary negative impacts are foreseen over the short term. Table 6.3 summarizes the potentially most significant impacts and the mitigation measures that are proposed during the construction phase.

Table 6.3: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the construction phase

| SPECIALIST STUDY | IMPACT | PRE- <br> MITIGATION RATING | POST <br> MITIGATION RATING | SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ecological Impact Assessment (Appendix D1) | Direct habitat destruction <br> Site clearing and preparation | Negative Medium | Negative Low | - No development should be allowed in vegetation unit 1 (Seasonal Drainage Channel). The area should be fenced off prior to construction and zoned as a no-go area. <br> - The entire area to be developed must be clearly demarcated prior to initial site clearance and prevent construction personnel from leaving the demarcated area <br> - To minimise the effect on the vegetation, insects, small mammals, and environment it is recommended that the construction be done within the winter period as far as possible, when most plants are dormant and animals less active <br> - Where vegetation of areas not to be developed needs to be "opened" to gain access it is recommended that the herbaceous species are cut short rather than removing them. <br> - Vegetation clearance should be restricted to the approved development areas allowing remaining animals the opportunity to move away from the disturbance. The Environmental Control Officer (ECO) should recommend, and the ECO should monitor these areas. <br> - Any disturbed or eroded areas within the PV sites should be appropriately revegetated. Only indigenous (to the area) grass species are recommended. <br> - Storage of equipment, fuel and other materials should be limited to demarcated areas. They should be established at least 300 meters away from any no-go area or buffer zones previously mentioned. |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Drainage Channel | Negative High | Negative |  |
| Degradation |  | Low |  |

- No animals should be intentionally killed or destroyed and poaching and hunting should not be permitted on the site.
- A Re-vegetation and Rehabilitation Manual should be prepared for the use of contractors, landscape architects and groundsmen to rehabilitate areas that became degraded due to construction activities.
- Alien invasive plants present within the various vegetation units must be removed and eradicated throughout all stages of the project.
- All stormwater and runoff generated by the development activities must be appropriately managed
- Monitoring of all these activities must be done on a weekly basis by the ECO during the construction phase of the development to ensure that minimal impact is caused to the fauna and flora of the area. Any transgressing of rules must be reported to and by the ECO.
- No development should be allowed in vegetation unit 1 (Seasonal Drainage Channel). The area should be fenced off prior to construction and zoned as a no-go area.
- The drainage channel and appropriate buffer zones must be fenced off prior to construction and declared as a No-Go area.
- Fences must not restrict the dispersal or exploratory movements of remaining faunal species. Palisade fencing with a minimum of 15 cm gap is recommended along the seasonal Drainage Channel area.
- The few alien vegetation individuals must be controlled.
- Disturbed areas around the channel as well as the proposed buffer zones must be re-vegetated with an indigenous (to the area) grass seed mixture.
- No hazardous materials should be stored within 300 m of the channel.
- Provision of adequate toilet facilities must be implemented to prevent the possible contamination of ground (borehole) and surface water in the area.

- No cleaning of equipment should be done closer than 300 m of the edge of the buffer zone. This includes the establishment of temporary and permanent offices and ablution facilities
- All vehicles and equipment should be regularly inspected for leaks. Refuelling must take place on a sealed surface area at least 300 m away from the edge of the watercourse buffer zone to prevent ingress of hydrocarbons into topsoil.
- No dumping or storage of waste should take place within the watercourse areas.
- Drainage must be controlled to ensure that runoff from the site will not culminate in off-site pollution or result in rill and gully erosion or any erosion of the watercourse.
- Ensure that all hazardous storage containers and storage areas comply with the relevant SABS standards to prevent leakage
- The release of storm water must be designed such that the force of the water is reduced to prevent unnecessary erosion
- No dumping of waste should take place within the watercourse areas. If any spills occur, they should be cleaned up immediately.
- Adequate toilet facilities must be provided for all staff to prevent pollution of the environment
- All temporary stockpile areas, litter and dumped material and rubble must be removed and disposed of at a licensed land fill facility. Proof of safe disposal must be obtained and kept on record for monitoring purposes.
- The careful position of soil piles, and runoff control, during all phases of development, and planting of some vegetative cover after completion (indigenous groundcover, grasses etc.) will limit the extent of erosion occurring on the site.

|  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Undeveloped areas that were degraded due to human activities must be rehabilitated using indigenous to the area vegetation.
- Hazardous chemicals must be stored on an impervious surface accompanied by Safety Data Sheets (SDS) and protected from the elements. These chemicals must be strictly controlled, and records kept of when it was used and by whom.
- Limit human activity in the no-development areas as well as the completed areas to the minimum required for ongoing operation.
- Any alien plant observed should be reported to the environmental manager and should be removed as soon as possible.
- Regular monitoring (monthly) for damage to the environment as well as establishment of alien plant species must be conducted.
- Limit the construction footprint and retain indigenous vegetation wherever possible, limit access to the remainder of area, avoid breeding season (summer), lay-down areas must be placed only on disturbed zones, construct in shortest timeframe possible, control noise to minimum.
- Limit construction footprint and retain indigenous vegetation wherever possible, limit access to the remainder of area, avoid breeding season (summer), lay-down areas only to be placed in zones that have been disturbed, construct in shortest timeframe possible, control noise to minimum.
- Limit construction footprint, limit access to the remainder of the area, laydown areas only to be placed in zones that have been disturbed, construct in shortest timeframe possible, use existing roads as far as possible, rehabilitate with indigenous vegetation.

| Visual Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) | Visual impact of construction activities on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the SPP. | Negative Low | Negative Low | Planning <br> - Retain and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the development footprint. <br> Construction <br> - Ensure that vegetation is not unnecessarily removed during the construction phase. <br> - Plan the placement of laydown areas and temporary construction equipment camps in order to minimise vegetation clearing (i.e., in already disturbed areas) where possible. <br> - Restrict the activities and movement of construction workers and vehicles to the immediate construction site and existing access roads. <br> - Ensure that rubble, litter, etc. are appropriately stored (if it can't be removed daily) and then disposed of regularly at a licenced waste site. <br> - Reduce and control dust during construction by utilising dust suppression measures. <br> - Limit construction activities to between 07:00 and 18:00, where possible, in order to reduce the impacts of construction lighting. <br> - Rehabilitate all disturbed areas immediately after the completion of construction work and maintain good housekeeping. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural and Soils Compliance Statement (Appendix D4) | Loss of agricultural potential by occupation of land | Negative Low | Negative Low | - No mitigation measures are proposed. |
|  | Loss of agricultural  <br> potential by <br> degradation    | Negative Low | Negative Low | - Loss of topsoil can result from poor topsoil management during construction related excavations. Topsoil should be stored for later use. <br> - Hydrocarbon spillages from construction activities can contaminate soil. Soil degradation will reduce the ability of the soil to support vegetation growth. Spillage and contamination of soil should be avoided. |


|  |  |  |  | - Due to the very low slope of the land, the site has a low susceptibility to soil degradation. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Erosion | Negative Low | Negative Low | - Implement an effective system of storm water run-off control, where it is required - that is at any points where run-off water might accumulate. The system must effectively collect and safely disseminate any run-off water from all accumulation points, and it must prevent any potential down slope erosion. <br> - Maintain where possible all vegetation cover and facilitate re-vegetation of denuded areas throughout the site, to stabilize disturbed soil against erosion. |
|  | Topsoil loss | Negative Low | Negative Low | - If an activity will mechanically disturb the soil below surface in any way, then any available topsoil should first be stripped from the entire surface to be disturbed and stockpiled for re-spreading during rehabilitation. During rehabilitation, the stockpiled topsoil must be evenly spread over the entire disturbed surface. |
| Heritage Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D5) | Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | Negative Low | Negative Low | - No archaeology was documented within the footprint. <br> - Should significant archaeological materials - such as well-preserved subsurface artefacts or fossils - be exposed during construction, the onduty Environmental Control Officer should protect these (preferably in primary exposed context) and should immediately consult a professional archaeologist. In this circumstance, the South African Heritage Resources Authority should be immediately alerted so that appropriate mitigation measures by a professional archaeologist can be implemented, at the expense of the developer. In such a scenario, mitigation measures would normally involve the application for an excavation permit and the digital documentation of the occurrences with modern archaeological recording standards, as well as the collection of a reflective sample of material to be deposited in a local approved curation facility. |


| Palaeontological <br> Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D5) | Disturbance, damage or destruction of legallyprotected fossil heritage (Refers essentially to impacts on well-preserved and / or rare fossils of scientific and conservation value within the development footprint during the construction phase) | Negative Low | Negative Low | - There are no objections on palaeontological heritage grounds. Any fossil finds, most likely in the Adelaide Subgroup sediments and Quaternary Sands, are to be reported by the developer. Should important fossil material be found during excavations, the Fossil Finds Procedure must be implemented. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D6) | Creation of direct and indirect employment opportunities. | Positive Low | Positive Low | - A local employment policy should be adopted to maximise opportunities made available to the local labour force. <br> - Labour should be sourced from the local labour pool, and only if the necessary skills are unavailable should labour be sourced from (in order of preference) the greater Matjhabeng LM, Lejweleputswa DM, Free State Province, South Africa, or elsewhere. <br> - Where feasible, training and skills development programmes should be initiated prior to the commencement of the construction phase. <br> - As with the labour force, suppliers should also as far as possible be sourced locally. <br> - As far as possible local contractors that are compliant with Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment (B-BBEE) criteria should be used. <br> - The recruitment selection process should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible. |


|  | Economic multiplier effects from the use of local goods and services. | Positive Low | Positive Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Potential loss in productive farmland | Negative Low | Negative Low |
|  | In-migration of labourers in search of employment opportunities, and a resultant change in population, and increase in | Negative Medium | Negative Low |

- It is recommended that a local procurement policy is adopted to maximise the benefit to the local economy.
- A database of local companies, specifically Historically Disadvantaged Individuals (HDIs) which qualify as potential service providers (e.g., construction companies, security companies, catering companies, waste collection companies, transportation companies etc.) should be created and companies listed thereon should be invited to bid for project-related work where applicable.
- Local procurement is encouraged along with engagement with local authorities and business organisations to investigate the possibility of procurement of construction materials, goods and products from local suppliers where feasible.
- The proposed site for the DPT Henneman Solar Facility needs to be fenced off prior to the construction phase and all construction related activities should be confined in this fenced off area.
- Livestock grazing on the proposed site need to be relocated.
- All affected areas, which are disturbed during the construction phase, need to be rehabilitated prior to the operational phase and should be continuously monitored by the Environmental Control Officer (ECO).
- Implement, manage and monitor a grievance mechanism for the recording and management of social issues and complaints.
- Mitigation measures from the Agricultural and Soil Compliance Statement, should also be implemented
- Develop and implement a local procurement policy which prioritises "locals first" to prevent the movement of people into the area in search of work.
- Engage with local community representatives prior to construction to facilitate the adoption of the locals first procurement policy.

| pressure on local resources <br> and social networks, or <br> existing services and <br> infrastructure. |
| :--- |

- Provide transportation for workers (from Welkom, Virginia and surrounds) to ensure workers can easily access their place of employment and do not need to move closer to the project site.
- Working hours should be kept between daylight hours during the construction phase, and / or as any deviation that is approved by the relevant authorities.
- Compile and implement a grievance mechanism.
- Appoint a Community Liaison Officer (CLO) to assist with the procurement of local labour.
- Prevent the recruitment of workers at the site.
- Implement a method of communication whereby procedures to lodge complaints are set out in order for the local community to express any complaints or grievances with the construction process.
- Establish clear rules and regulations for access to the proposed site.
- Appoint a security company and implement appropriate security procedures to ensure that workers do not remain onsite after working hours.
- Inform local community organisations and policing forums of construction times and the duration of the construction phase.
- Establish procedures for the control and removal of loiterers from the construction site.
- Working hours should be kept within daylight hours during the construction phase, and / or as any deviation that is approved by the relevant authorities.
- Provide transportation for workers to prevent loitering within or near the project site outside of working hours.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Impacts on daily living and | Negative Low | Negative Low |
| movement patterns |  |  |

- The perimeter of the construction site should be appropriately secured to prevent any unauthorised access to the site. The fencing of the site should be maintained throughout the construction period.
- The appointed EPC Contractor must appoint a security company to ensure appropriate security procedures and measures are implemented.
- Access in and out of the construction site should be strictly controlled by a security company appointed to the project.
- A CLO should be appointed as a grievance mechanism. A method of communication should be implemented whereby procedures to lodge complaints are set out for the local community to express any complaints or grievances with the construction process.
- The EPC Contractor should implement a stakeholder management plan to address neighbouring farmer concerns regarding safety and security.
- The project proposed must prepare and implement a Fire Management Plan; this must be done in conjunction with surrounding landowners.
- The EPC Contractor must prepare a Method Statement which deals with fire prevention and management.
- All vehicles must be road worthy, and drivers must be qualified, obey traffic rules, follow speed limits and be made aware of the potential road safety issues.
- Heavy vehicles should be inspected regularly to ensure their road worthiness.
- Provision of adequate and strategically placed traffic warning signs and control measures along the R730, R30 and gravel road to warn road users of the construction activities taking place for the duration of the construction phase. Warning signs must be always visible, especially at night.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Nuisance impact (noise and | Negative Low | Negative Low |
| dust) |  |  |
| Increased risk of potential <br> veld fires | Negative |  |

- Implement penalties for reckless driving to enforce compliance to traffic rules.
- Avoid heavy vehicle activity during "peak" hours (when children are taken to school, or people are driving to work).
- The developer and EPC Contractor must ensure that all fencing along access roads is maintained in the present condition or repaired if disturbed due to construction activities.
- The developer and EPC Contractor must ensure that the roads utilised for construction activities are either maintained in the present condition or upgraded if disturbed due to construction activities.
- The EPC Contractor must ensure that damage / wear and tear caused by construction related traffic to the access roads is repaired before the completion of the construction phase.
- A method of communication must be implemented whereby procedures to lodge complaints are set out for the local community to express any complaints or grievances with the construction process.
- The movement of heavy vehicles associated with the construction phase should be timed to avoid weekends, public holidays, and holiday periods where feasible.
- Dust suppression measures must be implemented for heavy vehicles such as wetting of gravel roads on a regular basis and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers.
- Ensure all vehicles are road worthy, drivers are qualified and are made aware of the potential noise and dust issues.
- A CLO should be appointed, and a grievance mechanism implemented.
- A firebreak should be implemented before the construction phase. The firebreak should be controlled and constructed around the perimeters of the project site.

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Adequate fire-fighting equipment should be provided and readily available on site and all staff should be trained in firefighting and how to use the firefighting equipment.
- No staff (except security) should be accommodated overnight on site and the contractor should ensure that no open fires are allowed on site
- The use of cooking or heating implements should only be used in designated areas.
- Contractors need to ensure that any construction related activities that might pose potential fire risks, are done in the designated areas where it is also managed properly.
- Precautionary measures need to be taken during high wind conditions or during the winter months when the fields are dry.
- The contractor should enter an agreement with the local farmers before the construction phase that any damages or losses during the construction phase related to the risk of fire and that are created by staff during the construction phase, are borne by the contractor.
- Implement mitigation measures identified in the Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) prepared for the project.
- Limit noise generating activities to normal daylight working hours and avoid weekends and public holidays.
- The movement of heavy vehicles associated with the construction phase should be timed to avoid weekends, public holidays, and holiday periods where feasible.
- Dust suppression measures must be implemented for heavy vehicles such as wetting of gravel roads on a regular basis and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers.
- All vehicles must be road-worthy, and drivers must be qualified and made aware of the potential road safety issues and need for strict speed limits.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D7) | Traffic impacts relating to <br> the construction phase of <br> the DPT Henneman Solar <br> Facility | Negative <br> Medium | N/A | $\bullet$ |

- Communication, complaints, and grievance channels must be implemented and contact details of the CLO must be provided to the local community in the site.
- All construction vehicles must be roadworthy and drivers must have the relevant licenses for the type of vehicles they are operating; and
- All vehicle drivers need to strictly adhere to the rules of the road.


### 6.2.2 Impacts during the operational phase

During the operational phase the site will serve as a solar energy facility. The potential impacts will take place over a period of 35 years. During the operational phase the following activities will have various potential impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment:

- Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327): "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts."
- Activity 14 (GNR 327): "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres."
- Activity 1 (GN.R 325): "The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more."
- Activity $10(\mathrm{~b})(\mathrm{i})(\mathrm{ee})(\mathrm{gg})(\mathrm{hh})$ (GN.R 324): "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (b) in the Free State, (i) outside urban areas,(ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans, ( gg ) areas within 10 kilometers from national parks or world heritage sites or 5 kilometers from any other protected area identified in terms of NEMPAA... and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland."

During the operational phase minor negative impacts are foreseen over the long term. The latter refers to at least a 35-year period. Table 6.4 summarizes the potentially most significant impacts and the mitigation measures that are proposed during the operational phase.

Table 6.4: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the operational phase

| SPECIALIST <br> STUDY | IMPACT | PRE- <br> MITIGATION <br> RATING | POST <br> MITIGATION <br> RATING | SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ecological Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D1) | Fauna and Flora |  | Negative <br> Medium | Negative Low |
|  |  |  | All temporary stockpile areas, litter and dumped material and rubble <br> must be removed and discarded in an environmentally friendly way <br> Undeveloped areas that were degraded due to human activities <br> must be rehabilitated with indigenous vegetation. <br> Hazardous chemicals must be stored on an impervious surface and <br> protected from the elements. These chemicals must be strictly <br> controlled, and records kept of when it was used and by whom. <br> Palisade fencing with adequate gaps (>15cm) is recommended for <br> the conserved private open space around the seasonally inundated <br> seepage wetlands and seasonal stream on the site. |  |
|  |  |  | During the post-construction phase, artificial lighting must be <br> restricted to security areas and not directed towards the conserved <br> areas (Seasonal Drainage Channel) in order to minimize the potential <br> negative effects of the lights on the natural nocturnal activities. <br> Regular monitoring must be undertaken to determine and <br> degradation of the vegetation and or animal habitat. |  |


| Avifauna Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D2) | Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats | Negative Medium | Negative Low | - Limit ongoing human activity to the minimum required for ongoing operation, control noise to minimum, rehabilitate with indigenous vegetation, limit roadways and vehicle speeds. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Displacement of resident avifauna through increased disturbance | Negative Low | Negative Low | - Limit ongoing human activity to the minimum required for ongoing operation, control noise to minimum, rehabilitate with indigenous vegetation, limit roadways and vehicle speeds. |
|  | Collisions with PV panels leading to injury or loss of avian life | Negative Medium | Negative Low | - Panels to be flat at night, preferably low sheen/matt surfaces, quarterly fatality monitoring. |
| Visual Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D3) | Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5 km radius of the SPP. | Negative Low | Negative Low | Planning <br> - Retain/re-establish and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the development footprint. <br> - Where insufficient natural vegetation exists next to the property, a 'screen' can be planted using endemic, fast growers that are water efficient. <br> Operations <br> - Maintain general appearance of the facility as a whole. |
|  | Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a $5-10 \mathrm{~km}$ radius of the SPP. | Negative Low | Negative Low | Planning <br> - Retain/re-establish and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the development footprint. <br> - Where insufficient natural vegetation exists next to the property, a 'screen' can be planted using endemic, fast growers that are water efficient. <br> Operations <br> - Maintain general appearance of the facility as a whole. |


| Visual impacts of lighting at night on visual receptors in close proximity to the SPP. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | - Shield the source of light by physical barriers (walls, vegetation etc.) <br> - Limit mounting heights of lighting fixtures, or alternatively use footlights or bollard level lights. <br> - Make use of minimum lumen or wattage in fixtures. <br> - Make use of down-lighters, or shield fixtures. <br> - Make use of low-pressure sodium lighting or other types of low impact lighting. <br> - Make use of motion detectors on security lighting. This will allow the site to remain in relative darkness, until lighting is required for security or maintenance purposes. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glint and glare on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. | Negative Low | N/A | - No mitigation measures applicable |
| Visual impact of sensitive visual receptors located within a 500 m radius of the proposed power line. | Negative Low | Negative Low | Planning <br> - Retain/re-establish and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the power line servitude. <br> Operations <br> - Maintain the general appearance of the servitude as a whole. |
| Visual impact and impacts on sense of place | Negative Low | Negative Low | - The subjectivity towards the project in its entirety can be influenced by creating a "Green Energy" awareness campaign, educating the local community and potentially tourists on the benefits of renewable energy. This can be achieved by also hosting an 'open day' where the local community can have the opportunity to view the completed project which may enlist a sense of pride in the renewable energy project in their area. <br> - Implement good housekeeping measures. |


| Agricultural and Soils Compliance Statement (Appendix D4) | Enhanced agricultural potential through increased financial security for farming operations | Positive Low | Positive Low | - No enhancement measures are proposed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dust impact | Negative Low | Negative Low | - Implement dust suppression during the construction phase. |
|  | Erosion | Negative Low | Negative Low | - Implement an effective system of stormwater run-off control, where it is required - that is at any points where run-off water might accumulate. The system must effectively collect and safely disseminate any run-off water from all accumulation points, and it must prevent any potential down slope erosion. <br> - Maintain where possible all vegetation cover and facilitate revegetation of denuded areas throughout the site, to stabilize disturbed soil against erosion |
|  | Topsoil Loss | Negative Low | Negative Low | - If an activity will mechanically disturb the soil below surface in any way, then any available topsoil should first be stripped from the entire surface to be disturbed and stockpiled for re-spreading during rehabilitation. During rehabilitation, the stockpiled topsoil must be evenly spread over the entire disturbed surface. |
| Social Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D6) | Creation of employment opportunities and skills development | Positive Low | Positive Medium | - It is recommended that local employment policy is adopted to maximise the opportunities made available to the local community. <br> - The recruitment selection process should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible. <br> - Vocational training programs should be established to promote the development of skills. |



- No mitigation measures are proposed
- The proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase should have been implemented at this stage.
- Mitigation measures from the Agricultural and Soil Compliance Statement, should also be implemented.
- A Community Needs Analysis (CNA) must be conducted to ensure that the LED and social upliftment programmes proposed by the project are meaningful.
- Ongoing communication and reporting are required to ensure that maximum benefit is obtained from the programmes identified, and to prevent the possibility for such programmes to be misused.
- The programmes should be reviewed on an ongoing basis to ensure that they are best suited to the needs of the community at the time (bearing in mind that these are likely to change over time).
- Due to the extent of the project no viable mitigation measures can be implemented to eliminate the visual impact of the PV panels, but the subjectivity towards the PV panels can be influenced by creating a "Green Energy" awareness campaign, educating the local community and tourists on the benefits of renewable energy. Tourists visiting the area should be made aware of South Africa's movement towards renewable energy. This might create a positive feeling of a country moving forward in terms of environmental sustainability. This could be implemented by constructing a visitor's centre on the property allocated to the proposed solar farm which

|  |  |  | should be open to school fieldtrips, the local community, and <br> tourists. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Visual impact and impacts on <br> sense of place | Negative Low | Negative Low | To effectively mitigate the visual impact and the impact on sense of <br> place during the operational phase of the proposed SPP, it is <br> suggested that the recommendations made in the Visual Impact <br> Assessment (specialist study) should be followed in this regard. |

### 6.2.3 Impacts during the decommissioning phase

The physical environment will benefit from the closure of the solar energy facility since the site will be restored to its natural state. Table 6.5 provides a summary of the impacts during the decommissioning phase. The decommissioning phase will however potentially result in impact on soils, pressure on existing service infrastructure, surface water and the loss of permanent employment. Skilled staff will be eminently employable, and a number of temporary jobs will also be created in the process. Decommissioning of a PV facility will leave a positive impact on the habitat and biodiversity in the area as the area will be rehabilitated to its natural state.

Table 6.5: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the decommissioning phase

| SPECIALIST STUDY | IMPACT | PRE- <br> MITIGATION RATING | POST <br> MITIGATION RATING | SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avifauna ImpactAssessment(Appendix D2) | Displacement of <br> priority avian <br> species  <br> from important <br> habitats  | Negative Low | Negative Low | - None required due to low significance |
|  | Displacementran  <br> resident avifauna <br> through increased <br> disturbance  | Negative Low | Negative Low | - None required due to low significance |
| Agricultural and Soils Compliance <br> Statement <br> (Appendix D4) | Erosion | Negative Low | Negative Low | - Implement an effective system of stormwater run-off control, where it is required - that is at any points where run-off water might accumulate. The system must effectively collect and safely disseminate any run-off water from all accumulation points and it must prevent any potential down slope erosion. <br> - Maintain where possible all vegetation cover and facilitate re-vegetation of denuded areas throughout the site, to stabilize disturbed soil against erosion. |
|  | Top Soil | Negative Low | Negative Low | - If an activity will mechanically disturb the soil below surface in any way, then any available topsoil should first be stripped from the entire surface to be disturbed and stockpiled for re-spreading during rehabilitation. During rehabilitation, the stockpiled topsoil must be evenly spread over the entire disturbed surface. |


| Social Impact <br> Assessment <br> (Appendix D6) | Loss of employment <br> opportunities | Negative Low | Negative Low | $\bullet$ It is not expected that the facility will be decommissioned. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 7 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ASSESSMENT

This section aims to address the requirements of Section 2 of the NEMA to consider cumulative impacts as part of any environmental assessment process.

### 7.1 Introduction

The EIA Regulations (2017) determine that cumulative impacts, "in relation to an activity, means the past, current and reasonably foreseeable future impact of an activity, considered together with the impact of activities associated with that activity, that in itself may not be significant, but may become significant when added to the existing and reasonably foreseeable impacts eventuating from similar or diverse activities." Cumulative impacts can be incremental, interactive, sequential or synergistic. EIAs have traditionally failed to come to terms with such impacts, largely as a result of the following considerations:

- Cumulative effects may be local, regional or global in scale and dealing with such impacts requires coordinated institutional arrangements;
- Complexity - dependent on numerous fluctuating influencing factors which may be completely independent of the controllable actions of the proponent or communities; and
- Project level investigations are ill-equipped to deal with broader biophysical, social and economic considerations.

Despite these challenges, cumulative impacts have been afforded increased attention in this Scoping Report and for each impact a separate section has been added which discusses any cumulative issues, and where applicable, draws attention to other issues that may contextualise or add value to the interpretation of the impact - refer to Appendix D. This chapter analyses the proposed project's potential cumulative impacts in more detail by: (1) defining the geographic area considered for the cumulative effects analysis; (2) providing an overview of relevant past and present actions in the project vicinity that may affect cumulative impacts; (3) presenting the reasonably foreseeable actions in the geographic area of consideration; and (4) determining whether there are adverse cumulative effects associated with the resource areas analysed.

The term "Cumulative Effect" has for the purpose of this report been defined as: the summation of effects over time which can be attributed to the operation of the project itself, and the overall effects on the ecosystem of the project area that can be attributed to the project and other existing and planned future projects.

### 7.2 Geographic Area of Evaluation

The geographic area of evaluation is the spatial boundary in which the cumulative effects analysis was undertaken. The spatial boundary evaluated in this cumulative effects analysis generally includes an area of a 30 km radius surrounding the proposed development - refer to Figure 7.1 below.


Figure 7.1: Geographic area of evaluation with utility-scale renewable energy generation sites and power lines

The geographic spread of PV solar projects, administrative boundaries and any environmental features (the nature of the landscape) were considered when determining the geographic area of investigation. It was argued that a radius of 30 km would generally confine the potential for cumulative effects within this particular environmental landscape. The geographic area includes projects located within the Free State Province. A larger geographic area may be used to analyse cumulative impacts based on the specific temporal or spatial impacts of a resource. For example, the socio-economic cumulative analysis may include a larger area, as the construction workforce may draw from a much wider area. The geographic area of analysis is specified in the discussion of the cumulative impacts for that resource where it differs from the general area of evaluation described above.

### 7.3 Temporal Boundary of Evaluation

A temporal boundary is the timeframe during which the cumulative effects are reasonably expected to occur. The temporal parameters for this cumulative effects analysis are the anticipated lifespan of the proposed project, beginning in 2023 and extending out at least 35 years, which is the minimum expected project life of the proposed project. Where appropriate, particular focus is on near-term cumulative impacts of overlapping construction schedules for proposed projects in the area of evaluation.

### 7.4 OTHER PROJECTS IN THE AREA

### 7.4.1 Existing projects in the area

As part of the SEA for Wind and Solar Energy in South Africa, the CSIR and the DFFE mapped the location of all EIA applications submitted within South Africa. According to this database approximately 13 applications have been submitted for renewable energy projects within the geographical area of investigation. Refer to Table 7.1.

Table 7.1: A summary of related projects that may have a cumulative impact, in a 30 km radius of the study area

| Site name | Distance from study area | Proposed generating capacity | DEFF reference | EIA process | Project status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Korhaan Creek Project no. 2 | 27 km | - | 14/12/16/3/3/2/543 | Scoping and EIA | Withdrawn/Lapsed |
| Beatrix Mine Shaft 4, Oryx Mine PV | 25 km | 19MW | 12/12/20/2669 | BAR | Approved |
| Onverwag and Vaalkranz PV 1 | 9 km | 75MW | 14/12/13/3/3/2/580 | Scoping and EIA | In process |
| Hennenman 5 mw | 8km | 5MW | 14/12/16/3/3/1/1322 | BAR | Approved |
| Vogel's Rand 373 | 0km | 10MW | 14/12/16/3/3/1/534 | BAR | Withdrawn/Lapsed |
| Kalkoenkrans solar plant | 29 km | - | 14/12/16/3/3/2/433 | Scoping and EIA | Withdrawn/Lapsed |
| Korhaan Creek Solar plant | 17 km | - | 14/12/16/3/3/2/434 | Scoping and EIA | Withdrawn/Lapsed |
| Doornriver <br> Solar plant | 28 km | - | 14/12/16/3/3/2/436 | Scoping and EIA | Withdrawn/Lapsed |
| Everest solar | 0 km | 75MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/512 | Scoping and EIA | In process |
| Uitkyk, Helderwater and Doornpan | 5km | 75MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/581 | Scoping and EIA | In process |
| Harmony Tshepong solar | 30km | 10MW | 14/12/16/3/3/1/1444 | BAR | Approved |


| Harmony <br> Eland solar | 30 km | 10 MW | $14 / 12 / 16 / 3 / 3 / 1 / 1471$ | BAR | Approved |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Harmony <br> Nyala solar | 30 km | 10 MW | $14 / 12 / 16 / 3 / 3 / 1 / 1472$ | BAR | Approved |

It is unclear whether other projects not related to renewable energy is or has been or will be constructed in this area. In general, development activity in the area is focused on industrial development, mining and agriculture. Agriculture in the area is primarily associated with cattle grazing. The next section of this report will aim to evaluate the potential for solar projects for this area in the foreseeable future.

### 7.5 SPECIALIST INFORMATION ON CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

In line with the Terms of Reference (ToR) provided as part of the scoping report, specialists were asked to, where possible, take into consideration the cumulative effects associated with the proposed development and other projects which are either developed or in the process of being developed in the local area - refer to Figure 7.2 for process flow. The following sections present their findings.. The following sections present their findings.


Figure 7.2: Process flow diagram for determining cumulative effects

### 7.5.1 Soil, Land Capability and Agricultural Potential

According to the Agriculture Compliance Statement (Appendix D4), the cumulative impact of a development is the impact that development will have when its impact is added to the incremental impacts of other past, present or reasonably foreseeable future activities that will affect the same environment. It is important to note that the cumulative impact assessment for a particular project, like what is being done here, is not the same as an assessment of the impact of all surrounding projects. The cumulative assessment for this project is an assessment only of the impacts associated with this project, but seen in the context of all surrounding impacts. It is concerned with this project's contribution to the overall impact, within the context of the overall impact. But it is not simply the overall impact itself.

The most important concept related to a cumulative impact is that of an acceptable level of change to an environment. A cumulative impact only becomes relevant when the impact of the proposed development will lead directly to the sum of impacts of all developments causing an acceptable level of change to be exceeded in the surrounding area. If the impact of the development being assessed does not cause that level to be exceeded, then the cumulative impact associated with that development is not significant.

The potential cumulative agricultural impact of importance is a regional loss (including by degradation) of future agricultural production potential. The defining question for assessing the cumulative agricultural impact is this:

What level of loss of future agricultural production potential is acceptable in the area, and will the loss associated with the proposed development, when considered in the context of all past, present or reasonably foreseeable future impacts, cause that level in the area to be exceeded?

Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) requires compliance with a specified methodology for the assessment of cumulative impacts. This is positive in that it ensures engagement with the important issue of cumulative impacts. However, the required compliance has some limitations and can, in the opinion of this author, result in an over-focus on methodological compliance, while missing the more important task of effectively answering the above defining question.

All of these projects have the same agricultural impacts in a similar agricultural environment, and therefore the same mitigation measures apply to all.

In quantifying the cumulative impact, the area of land taken out of agricultural production (grazing) as a result of all 12 developments (total generation capacity of 562 MW ) will amount to a total of approximately 1,405 hectares. This is calculated using the industry standards of 2.5 and 0.3 hectares per megawatt for solar and wind energy generation respectively, as per the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) Phase 1 Wind and Solar Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) (2015). As a proportion of the total area within a 30 km radius (approximately $282,700 \mathrm{ha}$ ), this amounts to only $0.50 \%$ of the surface area. That is within an acceptable limit in terms of loss of land which is only suitable for grazing, of which there is no particular scarcity in the country.

The proposed development poses a low risk in terms of causing soil degradation because it can be fairly easily and effectively prevented by standard best practice soil degradation control
measures. If the risk for each individual development is low, then the cumulative risk is also low.

Due to all of the considerations discussed above, the cumulative impact of loss of agricultural land use will not have an unacceptable negative impact on the agricultural production capability of the area. The proposed development is therefore acceptable in terms of cumulative impact, and it is therefore recommended that it is approved.

### 7.5.2 Ecology

The Ecological Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix D1) confirmed that cumulative impacts, from an ecological point of view, are those that will impact the natural faunal and floristic communities and habitats surrounding the proposed solar development, mainly by other similar developments and their associated infrastructure in its direct vicinity. As more and more similar developments occur in the direct vicinity of the currently proposed development, habitat losses and fragmentation will occur more frequently and populations of threatened, protected or other habitat specific species (both faunal and floral) will be put under increasing pressure through competition for suitable habitat. Fragmentation of habitats prevent the natural flow of ecosystem services and may have a detrimental effect on the gene pool of a species, which may lead to the loss of a population of such a species on fragmented portions. Through a development, such as the one proposed for the study area, natural habitat is totally transformed and although some vegetation cover generally returns to these areas, microhabitats are totally destroyed and the area will probably never again be able to function without some human maintenance and management.

The cumulative impact of the solar project in the project area should all the projects be approved and developed are as follows:

- The cumulative impact on the natural ecosystems (fauna and flora) would be low considering that large sections of the area for development has already been degraded through agricultural activities (crop cultivation, overgrazing etc.).
- The low cumulative impacts are however dependant on the strict implementation of mitigation measures and monitoring during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases of the solar developments.


### 7.5.3 Avifauna

The Avifauna Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix D2) states it is the cumulative impacts, when considering the existing transformation of the threatened habitats to croplands and mining, in addition to the prevalence of planned solar developments, that increase the cumulative risks and, therefore, warrant mitigations.

Mitigating the cumulative impacts would require limiting the impact of project to an absolute minimum, which is not necessarily feasible but should be pursued. The mitigations to reduce cumulative impacts involve limiting the disturbance footprint (overall size), limiting human activity and noise throughout the project life, disturbing as little natural vegetation as possible, retaining the natural vegetation beneath the panels and around infrastructure, limiting the extent and width of roadways, reducing the speeds that vehicles travel, and then
thoroughly rehabilitating the entire footprint back to natural grassland after decommissioning.

Implementing successful mitigations would reduce the cumulative impacts of displacement of priority species by $32 \%$ to Medium-Negative, would reduce the cumulative impacts of displacement of resident avifauna by $24 \%$ to an acceptable Low-Negative score, and would reduce the cumulative impacts of loss of important avian habitats by $28 \%$ to MediumNegative.

Despite some residual and cumulative impacts, there is no objection, from an avifaunal perspective to the development of the proposed solar development.

### 7.5.4 Social Impact Assessment

The Social Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix D6) indicate that from a social impact point of view the project represents an important development opportunity for the communities surrounding the project. Should it be approved, it will not only supply the national grid with much needed clean power, but will also provide a number of opportunities for social upliftment. The cumulative impacts for each of the potential social impacts were assessed throughout the report.

The most significant cumulative social impacts are both positive and negative: the community will have an opportunity to better their social and economic well-being, since they will have the opportunity to upgrade and improve skills levels in the area, but impacts on family and community relations may, in some cases, persist for a long period of time. Also, in cases where unplanned / unwanted pregnancies occur or members of the community are infected by an STD, specifically HIV and or AIDS, the impacts may be permanent and have long term to permanent cumulative impacts on the affected individuals and/or their families and the community.

No social cumulative impacts with an unacceptable impact significance are expected to occur.

### 7.5.5 Visual

The Visual Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix D3) confirmed that the construction and operation of the facility may increase the cumulative visual impact together with farming activities, dust on gravel roads, existing Eskom power line infrastructure and new projects, mines in the area and other proposed solar power facilities in the area. The significance of the visual impacts can only be determined once projects have been awarded preferred bidder status. However, taking into account the already disturbed visual surrounds due to extensive mining and agricultural activities in the area and all the positive factors of such a development including economic factors, social factors and sustainability factors, the visual impact of this proposed development will be insignificant and it is concluded that the development commence, from a visual impact point of view.

### 7.5.6 Heritage and Paleontology

The Heritage Impact Assessment (Refer to Appendix D5) concluded that from a review of available databases, publications, as well as available heritage impact assessments done for the purpose of developments in the region, it was determined that the project is located in an area with a very low presence of heritage sites and features.

For the project area, the impacts to heritage sites are expected to be of low significance. At this stage, there is the potential for the cumulative impact of proposed renewable energy facilities to negatively impact the cultural landscape due to a change in the landscape character from natural wilderness to semi-industrial. Although this project falls outside of a REDZ area, it is noted that it is preferable to have renewable energy facility development clustered in an area such as a REDZ.

### 7.5.7 Traffic

According to the Traffic Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix D7) depending on the timing of the other nearby renewable energy projects, where construction in particular could overlap, traffic impacts will increase accordingly. It should be noted that the volume of traffic is related to the specific development stage, logistics planning and development size.

The construction period for other renewable energy projects is relatively short (between 12 and 18 months), where traffic flow will vary during the construction period. It is assumed that $50 \%$ of these projects' construction periods would likely coincide with the proposed SPP construction period.

This additional traffic, however, will be widely dispersed and easily accommodated on the surrounding road network. In addition, the traffic impact of the operational and maintenance periods will be low/ negligible and it is also unlikely that the decommissioning of these projects will coincide with each other.

In conclusion, the cumulative impact and significance of the various nearby renewable energy projects is considered to have a low/ negligible impact and therefore no corrective measures will be required.

### 7.6 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Following the definitions of the term, the "residual effects on the environment", i.e. effects after mitigation measures have been put in place, combined with the environmental effects of past, present and future projects and activities will be considered in this assessment. Also, a "combination of different individual environmental effects of the project acting on the same environmental component" can result in cumulative effects.

### 7.6.1 Potential Cumulative Effects

The receptors (hereafter referred to as Valued Ecosystem Components (VECs) presented in Section 6 (refer to the matrix analysis) have been examined alongside other past, present and future projects for potential adverse cumulative effects. A summary of the cumulative effects discussed are summarized in Table 7.2. There have been specific VECs identified with reference to the Solar Energy Facility (Table 6.2), which relates to the biophysical and socio-
economic environments. Table 7.2 indicates the potential cumulative effects VECs and the rationale for inclusion/exclusion.

Table 7.2: Potential Cumulative Effects for the proposed project

|  | Valued Ecosystem Components (VECs) | Rationale for Inclusion / Exclusion | Level of Cumulative Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Phase |  |  |  |
|  | Habitat destruction <br> Site clearing and preparation | The construction phase of the development and associated infrastructure will result in loss of and damage to natural habitats if the vegetation is cleared for the development of the solar plant. Rehabilitation of some areas would be possible but there is likely to be long-term damage in large areas. Most habitat destruction will be caused during the construction phase. | - Low |
|  | Seasonal Drainage Channel degradation | The construction activities associated with the proposed solar power plant will potentially have an impact on the wetland areas and water levels, whether it is through direct or indirect impacts. The clearance of vegetation for the solar power plant will either have a direct or indirect impact on the wetlands and smaller drainage channels. Loss of the riparian and instream habitat will also result in permanent loss or displacement of the invertebrates, birds and small mammals' dependant on the wetland vegetation for feeding, shelter and breeding purposes. All functions associated with the wetland zones and the surrounding landscape will be compromised if mitigation measures are not applied correctly. Other indirect impacts of the construction of the solar power plant on the characteristics of the water course include impacts on water quality and changes to the geomorphology should the development cause impacts on downstream areas. The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development impacting on the characteristics of the watercourse. | - Low |
|  | Loss of Fauna and Flora | The construction phase of the development and associated infrastructure will result in loss of and damage to natural habitats if the vegetation is cleared for the development of the solar plant. Rehabilitation of some areas would be possible but there is likely to be long-term damage in large areas. Most habitat destruction will be caused during the construction phase. | - Low |


|  | Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats | The displacement of priority avifauna through increased disturbance and possible collisions with PV panels leading to injury or loss of avian life are considered as a cumulative impact due to the large number of planned solar development in a 30 km radius. | - Medium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Displacement of resident avifauna | The displacement of resident avifauna through increased disturbance and possible collisions with PV panels leading to injury or loss of avian life are considered as a cumulative impact due to the large number of planned solar development in a 30 km radius. | - Low |
|  | Loss of important avian habitats | The loss of important avian habitats through increased disturbance are considered as a cumulative impact due to the large number of planned solar development in a 30 km radius. | - Medium |
| $\begin{aligned} & \cong \\ & \overline{\overline{0}} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{む} \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Loss of agricultural land | The cumulative impact of loss of agricultural land use will not have an unacceptable negative impact on the agricultural production capability of the area. The proposed development is therefore acceptable in terms of cumulative impact, and it is therefore recommended that it is approved. Because of the negligible agricultural impact of grid connection infrastructure, its cumulative impact is also assessed as negligible. | - Low |
|  | Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | The cultural heritage profile of the larger region is very limited. Most frequently found are stone artefacts, mostly dating to the Middle Stone Age. Sites containing such material are usually located along the margins of water features (pans, drainage lines), small hills and rocky outcrops. Such surface scatters or 'background scatter' is usually viewed to be of limited significance. The colonial period manifests largely as individual farmsteads, in all its complexity, burial sites and infrastructure features such as roads, railways and power lines. For the purpose of this review, heritage sites located in urban areas have been excluded. <br> Because of the low likelihood of finding further significant heritage resources in the relevant area proposed for development and the generally low density of sites in the wider landscape the cumulative impacts to the heritage are expected to be of low significance. | - Low |
|  | Disturbance, damage or destruction of legallyprotected fossil heritage within the development footprints during the construction phase | A moderate to low palaeontological significance has been allocated to the proposed development. It is therefore considered that the development is deemed appropriate and feasible and will not lead to detrimental impacts on the palaeontological resources of the area. | - Low |


|  | (impacts on wellpreserved and / or rare fossils of scientific and conservation value) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impacts of employment opportunities, business opportunities and skills development | DPT Henneman PV project and the establishment of other solar power projects within the area has the potential to result in significant positive cumulative impacts, specifically with regards to the creation of a number of socio-economic opportunities for the region, which in turn, can result in positive social benefits. The positive cumulative impacts include creation of employment, skills development and training opportunities, and downstream business opportunities. The cumulative benefits to the local, regional, and national economy through employment and procurement of services are more considerable than that of the project alone. | + Medium |
|  | Impact with large-scale inmigration of people | While the development of a single solar power project may not result in a major influx of people into an area, the development of several projects may have a cumulative impact on the in-migration and movement of people. In addition, the fact that the project is proposed within an area characterised by good levels of solar irradiation suitable for the development of commercial solar energy facilities implies that the surrounding area is likely to be subject to considerable future applications for PV energy facilities. Levels of unemployment, and the low level of earning potential may attract individuals to the area in search of better employment opportunities and higher standards of living. <br> It is exceedingly difficult to control an influx of people into an area, especially in a country where unemployment rates are high. It is therefore important that the project proponent implement and maintain strict adherence with a local employment policy in order to reduce the potential of such an impact occurring. | - Medium |


|  | Increase in construction vehicles | The construction and decommissioning phases are the only significant traffic generators for renewable energy projects. The duration of these phases is short term (i.e. the impact of the generated traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and renewable energy facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network). <br> Even if all renewable energy projects within the area are constructed at the same time, the roads authority will consider all applications for abnormal loads and work with all project companies to ensure that loads on the public roads are staggered and staged to ensure that the impact will be acceptable. | - Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operational Phase |  |  |  |
|  | Habitat destruction <br> Loss of fauna and Flora | The construction phase of the development and associated infrastructure will result in loss of and damage to natural habitats if the vegetation is cleared for the development of the solar plant. Rehabilitation of some areas would be possible but there is likely to be long-term damage in large areas. | - Low |
|  | Seasonal Drainage <br> Channel degradation | The construction activities associated with the proposed solar power plant will potentially have an impact on the wetland areas and water levels, whether it is through direct or indirect impacts. The clearance of vegetation for the solar power plant will either have a direct or indirect impact on the wetlands and smaller drainage channels. Loss of the riparian and instream habitat will also result in permanent loss or displacement of the invertebrates, birds and small mammals' dependant on the wetland vegetation for feeding, shelter and breeding purposes. All functions associated with the wetland zones and the surrounding landscape will be compromised if mitigation measures are not applied correctly. Other indirect impacts of the construction of the solar power plant on the characteristics of the water course include impacts on water quality and changes to the geomorphology should the development cause impacts on downstream areas. The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development impacting on the characteristics of the watercourse. | - Low |
|  | Visual intrusion of the development on observers within the area | The operation and maintenance of the facility will create visual instruction on observers that utilise and travel through the area, including travellers using the local roads. | - Medium |


| Decommissioning Phase |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\widetilde{x}} \\ & \text { ( } \\ & \text { © } \end{aligned}$ | Generation of waste | During the decommissioning of the facility waste will be generated that will need to be disposed of where recycling and re-use is not available. This may lead to pressure on waste disposal facilities in the area. | - Medium |

### 7.7 CONCLUSION

This chapter of the Scoping Report addressed the cumulative environmental effects of the construction, operation and decommissioning project phases to be further assessed as part of the EIA Phase. The information to date has shown that no significant adverse residual impacts are likely. However, cumulative impacts could arise as other similar projects are constructed in the area.

The potential most significant cumulative impacts relate to:
> Cumulative effects during construction phase:

- Habitat destruction (- Low)
- Seasonal drainage channel degradation (- Low)
- Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats (- Medium)
- Loss of important avian habitats (- Medium)
- Impacts of employment opportunities, business opportunities and skills development (+ Medium)
- Impact with large-scale in-migration of people (- Medium)
> Cumulative effects during the operational phase:
- Habitat destruction (- Low)
- Seasonal drainage channel degradation (- Low)
- Visual intrusion (- Medium)
$>$ Cumulative effects during the decommissioning phase:
- $\quad$ Generation of waste (- Medium)

The cumulative impact for the proposed development is medium to low and no high, unacceptable impacts related to the project are expected. Considering the extent of the project and information presented in section 7 of this report, it can be concluded that the cumulative impacts will not result in large scale changes and impacts on the environment.

Photovoltaic solar energy technology is a clean technology which contributes toward a betterquality environment. The proposed project will contribute to local economic growth by supporting industry development in line with provincial and regional goals and ensuring
advanced skills are drawn to the Free State Province. No cumulative impacts with a high residual risk have been identified.

In terms of the desirability of the development of sources of renewable energy therefore, it may be preferable to incur a higher cumulative loss in such a region as this one (where the landscape has already experienced degradation), than to lose land with a higher environmental value elsewhere in the country.

## 8 PLAN OF STUDY FOR EIA

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:
Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include -
(i) a plan of study for undertaking the EIA process to be undertaken, including-
(i) a description of the alternatives to be considered and assessed within the preferred site, including the option of not proceeding with the activity;
(ii) a description of the aspects to be assessed as part of the EIA process;
(iii) aspects to be assessed by specialists;
(iv) a description of the proposed method of assessing the environmental aspects, including a description of the proposed method of assessing the environmental aspects including aspects to be assessed by specialists;
(v) a description of the proposed method of assessing duration and significance;
(vi) an indication of the stages at which the competent authority will be consulted;
(vii) particulars of the public participation process that will be conducted during the EIA process; and
(viii) a description of the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the EIA process;
(ix) identify suitable measures to avoid, reverse, mitigate or manage identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

### 8.1 INTRODUCTION

This section gives a brief outline of the Plan of Study for EIA (PoSEIA) and the tasks that will be undertaken and the anticipated process to meet the objectives for the EIA phase. The approach to the EIA is to focus on those key issues identified for the preferred alternative. This will ensure that the EIA focuses on the most significant impacts and in the process save time and resources.

### 8.2 ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE

The purpose of the EIA phase is to assess issues identified in the scoping phase and will include an environmental management program (EMPr). The EMPr will provide information on the proposed activity and the manner in which potential impacts will be minimized or mitigated. It must be noted that the Generic EMPRs for power line and substation infrastructure will be submitted with the EIA Report accordingly. The EIA report will comply with Appendix 3 and will:

- Determine the policy and legislative context within which the activity is located and document how the proposed activity complies with and responds to the policy and legislative context;
- Describe the need and desirability of the proposed activity, including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location;
- Identify the location of the development footprint within the preferred site based on an impact and risk assessment process inclusive of cumulative impacts and a ranking process of all the identified development footprint alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects of the environment;
- Determine the-
- (i) nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts occurring to inform identified preferred alternatives; and
- (ii) degree to which these impacts-
- (aa) can be reversed;
- (bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources, and
- (cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated;
- Identify the most ideal location for the activity within the preferred site based on the lowest level of environmental sensitivity identified during the assessment;
- Identify, assess, and rank the impacts the activity will impose on the preferred location through the life of the activity;
- Identify suitable measures to avoid, manage or mitigate identified impacts; and
- Identify residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.


### 8.3 TASKS TO BE UNDERTAKEN

The following sections describe the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the EIA Phase of the process.

### 8.3.1 Project Description

Further technical and supporting information will be gathered to provide a more detailed project description. This will include a detailed and finalised site layout plan that will be compiled once the areas of sensitivity identified in this Scoping Report have been confirmed by the specialists and the recommendations in this regard considered by the Applicant.

### 8.3.2 Consideration of alternatives

The following project alternatives will be investigated in the EIR:

- Design/Layout alternatives: In terms of the actual layout of the proposed PV plant which will only be assessed for the preferred site alternative. A draft facility layout is included in Figure I.


### 8.3.3 Compilation of Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

A Draft EIR will be compiled to meet the content requirements as per Appendix 3 of GNR. 326 of the EIA Regulations (as amended) and will also include a draft Environmental Management Programme containing the aspects contemplated in Appendix 4 of GNR326. The Generic EMPr for overhead electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure and the Generic EMPr for the development of the associated substation infrastructure for transmission and distribution of electricity as per Government Notice 435, which were published in Government Gazette 42323 on 22 March 2019, will also be included in the Draft EIR.

### 8.3.4 Public participation

All registered I\&APs and relevant State Departments will be given the opportunity to review the Draft Environmental Impact Report in accordance with Regulation R326. A minimum of 30 days commenting period will be allowed and all stakeholders and I\&APs will be given an opportunity to forward their written comments within that period. All issues identified during this 30 -day review and comment period will be documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of the Final EIR to be submitted to the DFFE for decision-making on the Application for Environmental Authorisation.

### 8.4 ASPECTS ASSESSED

Table 8.1 below provides a summary of the aspects that have been assessed. The aspects are also linked to specialist information obtained.

Table 8.1: Aspects assessed

| Aspects | Potential impacts | Specialist studies / technical information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction of the PV Solar facility | - Impacts on the fauna and flora | Ecological Impact Assessment and Avifauna Impact Assessment |
|  | - Wetlands and riparian areas | Ecological Impact Assessment |
|  | - $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Impacts on agricultural } \\ \text { potential (soils) }\end{array}\right)$ | Soil and Agricultural Compliance Statement |
|  | - Impacts associated with the geology of the site | Geotechnical Feasibility Assessment |
|  | - Temporary employment, impacts on health and safety | Social Impact Assessment |
|  | - Impacts on heritage resources | Heritage Impact Assessment (including archaeology and palaeontology) |


| Operation of the PV Solar facility | - Impacts on the fauna and flora | Ecological Impact Assessment and Avifauna Impact Assessment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Wetlands and riparian areas | Ecological Impact Assessment |
|  | - Impacts on agricultural potential (soils) | Soil and Agricultural Compliance Statement |
|  | - Impacts associated with the geology of the site | Geotechnical Feasibility Assessment |
|  | - Increased consumption of water | Confirmed volumes to be provided by the Applicant |
|  | - Visual Impact | Visual Impact Assessment |
|  | - Provision of employment and generation of income for the local community | Social Impact Assessment |
| Decommissioning of the PV Solar facility | - Impacts on the fauna and flora | Ecological Impact Assessment and Avifauna Impact Assessment |
|  | - Socio-economic impacts (loss of employment) | Social Impact Assessment |
| Cumulative Impacts | - Cumulative biophysical impacts resulting from similar developments in close proximity to the proposed activity. | All independent specialist studies results to be considered and analysed by the EAP |

### 8.4.1 Specialist studies

Based on the initial descriptions of potential environmental impacts or aspects (refer to Table 6.2), specialists have been subcontracted to assess the potential impacts that may be significant. The specialist studies assess impacts on both the social and the biophysical environment and also help in identifying ways that can help to mitigate the envisaged impacts. The following specialist studies have been included to address the potentially most significant impact as identified during the scoping phase - refer to Table 6.2:

- Geotechnical report: To determine whether the geotechnical conditions at the site are favorable for the development and construction of a solar PV plant.
- Heritage and Palaeontological Impact Assessment: To determine whether the proposed activity will impact on any heritage or archeological artifacts, as well as palaeontological resources.
- Ecological Impact Assessment:To determine what the impact of the proposed activity will be on the ecology (fauna, flora and wetlands/water featuresa) in the area.
- Avifauna Impact Assessment: To determine what the impacts of the proposed activity will have on the birds (avifauna) in the area.
- Visual Impact Assessment: To determine to what extent the proposed activity will be visually intrusive to the surrounding communities or other receptors.
- Soil and Agricultural Compliance Statement: To determine how the proposed activity will impact on soil and agricultural resources.
- Social Impact Assessment: To determine how the proposed activity will impact on the socio-economic environment.
- Traffic Impact Assessment: To determine the impacts on road users on long haul routes and roads around the project area.


### 8.4.2 Terms of reference for specialist studies

Specialists in their field of expertise will consider baseline data and identify and assess impacts according to predefined rating scales (section 8.5). Specialists will also suggest optional or essential ways in which to mitigate negative impacts and enhance positive impacts. Further, specialists will, where possible, take into consideration the cumulative effects associated with this and other projects which are either developed or in the process of being developed in the local area. The specialist is reminded to follow the latest DFFE protocols.

The results of these specialist studies have been integrated into the draft Scoping Report. The general requirements proposed for the inputs are presented below and specialists are encouraged to comment and provide input on these.

## General Requirements

Specialists' reports must comply with Appendix 6 of GNR. 326 published under sections 24(5), and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended and whereby the following are to be included:

- The details of-
- the specialist who prepared the report; and
- the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae;
- A declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;
- An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;
- An indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report;
- A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change;
- The duration, date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;
- A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;
- Details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;
- An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;
- A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;
- A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;
- A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, or activities;
- Any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;
- Any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;
- Any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;
- A reasoned opinion-
- whether the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised;
- regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities; and
- if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan;
- A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;
- A summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and
- Any other information requested by the competent authority.

In addition to the above, specialists are expected to:

- Review the Scoping Report, with specific reference to the Comments and Response Report to familiarise with all relevant issues or concerns relevant to their field of expertise;
- In addition to the impacts listed in the Scoping Report, identify any issue or aspect that needs to be assessed and provide expert opinion on any issue in their field of expertise that they deem necessary in order to avoid potential detrimental impacts;
- Assess the degree and extent of all identified impacts (including cumulative impacts) that the preferred project activity and its proposed alternatives, including that of the no-go alternative, may have;
- Identify and list all legislation and permit requirements that are relevant to the development proposal in context of the study;
- Reference all sources of information and literature consulted; and
- Include an executive summary to the report.


### 8.5 METHOD OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

The environmental assessment aims to identify the various possible environmental impacts that could results from the proposed activity. Different impacts need to be evaluated in terms of its significance and in doing so highlight the most critical issues to be addressed.

Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics which include context and intensity of an impact. Context refers to the geographical scale i.e. site, local, national or global whereas intensity is defined by the severity of the impact e.g. the magnitude of deviation from background conditions, the size of the area affected, the duration of the impact and the overall probability of occurrence. Significance is calculated as shown in Table 8.2.

Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. The total number of points scored for each impact indicates the level of significance of the impact.

### 8.5.1 Impact Rating System

Impact assessment must take account of the nature, scale and duration of impacts on the environment whether such impacts are positive or negative. Each impact is also assessed according to the project phases:

- planning
- construction
- operation
- decommissioning

Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimisation of an impact should be detailed. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance should also be included. The rating system is applied to the potential impacts on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the mitigation of the impact. In assessing the significance of each impact the following criteria is used:

Table 8.2: The rating system

## NATURE

Include a brief description of the impact of environmental parameter being assessed in the context of the project. This criterion includes a brief written statement of the environmental aspect being impacted upon by a particular action or activity.

## GEOGRAPHICAL EXTENT

> This is defined as the area over which the impact will be experienced.

| 1 | Site | The impact will only affect the site. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Local/district | Will affect the local area or district. |
| 3 | Province/region | Will affect the entire province or region. |
| 4 | International and National | Will affect the entire country. |
| PROBABILITY |  |  |

This describes the chance of occurrence of an impact.

| 1 | Unlikely | The chance of the impact occurring is extremely low <br> (Less than a 25\% chance of occurrence). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Possible | The impact may occur (Between a $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ chance <br> of occurrence). |
| 3 | Probable | The impact will likely occur (Between a $50 \%$ to $75 \%$ <br> chance of occurrence). |
| 4 | Definite | Impact will certainly occur (Greater than a $75 \%$ chance <br> of occurrence). |

## DURATION

This describes the duration of the impacts. Duration indicates the lifetime of the impact as a result of the proposed activity.

| 1 | Short term | The impact will either disappear with mitigation or will <br> be mitigated through natural processes in a span <br> shorter than the construction phase $(0-1$ years $)$, or <br> the impact will last for the period of a relatively short |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  | construction period and a limited recovery time after <br> construction, thereafter it will be entirely negated (0- <br> 2 years). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Medium term | The impact will continue or last for some time after the <br> construction phase but will be mitigated by direct <br> human action or by natural processes thereafter (2 - <br> 10 years). |
| 3 | Long term | The impact and its effects will continue or last for the <br> entire operational life of the development, but will be <br> mitigated by direct human action or by natural <br> processes thereafter (10 - 30 years). |
| 4 | Permanent | The only class of impact that will be non-transitory. <br> Mitigation either by man or natural process will not <br> occur in such a way or such a time span that the impact <br> can be considered indefinite. |
| INTENSITY/ MAGNITUDE |  |  |

Describes the severity of an impact.

| 1 | Low | Impact affects the quality, use and integrity of the <br> system/component in a way that is barely perceptible. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Medium | Impact alters the quality, use and integrity of the <br> system/component but system/component still <br> continues to function in a moderately modified way <br> and maintains general integrity (some impact on <br> integrity). |
| 3 | High | Impact affects the continued viability of the system/ <br> component and the quality, use integrity and <br> functionality of the system or component is severely <br> impaired and may temporarily cease. High costs of <br> rehabilitation and remediation. |
| 4 | Very high | Impact affects the continued viability of the <br> system/component and the quality, use, integrity and <br> functionality of the system or component <br> permanently ceases and is irreversibly impaired. <br> Rehabilitation and remediation often impossible. If <br> possible rehabilitation and remediation often <br> unfeasible due to extremely high costs of <br> rehabilitation and remediation. |

## REVERSIBILITY

This describes the degree to which an impact can be successfully reversed upon completion of the proposed activity.

| 1 | Completely reversible | The impact is reversible with implementation of minor <br> mitigation measures. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Partly reversible | The impact is partly reversible but more intense <br> mitigation measures are required. |
| 3 | Barely reversible | The impact is unlikely to be reversed even with intense <br> mitigation measures. |
| 4 | Irreversible | The impact is irreversible and no mitigation measures <br> exist. |

## IRREPLACEABLE LOSS OF RESOURCES

This describes the degree to which resources will be irreplaceably lost as a result of a proposed activity.

| 1 | No loss of resource | The impact will not result in the loss of any resources. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Marginal loss of resource | The impact will result in marginal loss of resources. |
| 3 | Significant loss of resources | The impact will result in significant loss of resources. |
| 4 | Complete loss of resources | The impact is result in a complete loss of all resources. |
| CUMULATIVE EFFECT | This describes the cumulative effect of the impacts. A cumulative impact is an effect which in <br> itself may not be significant but may become significant if added to other existing or potential <br> impacts emanating from other similar or diverse activities as a result of the project activity in <br> question. |  |
| 1 | Negligible <br> impact | cumulative |
| 2 | The impact would result in negligible to no cumulative <br> effects. |  |
| 3 | Medium cumulative impact | The impact would result in minor cumulative effects. |
| 4 | High cumulative impact | The impact would result in significant cumulative <br> effects. |

## SIGNIFICANCE

Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics. Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. The calculation of the significance of an impact uses the following formula: (Extent + probability + reversibility + irreplaceability + duration + cumulative effect) x magnitude/intensity.

The summation of the different criteria will produce a non-weighted value. By multiplying this value with the magnitude/intensity, the resultant value acquires a weighted characteristic which can be measured and assigned a significance rating.

| Points | Impact significance rating | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 to 28 | Negative low impact | The anticipated impact will have negligible negative <br> effects and will require little to no mitigation. |
| 6 to 28 | Positive low impact | The anticipated impact will have minor positive <br> effects. |
| 29 to 50 | Negative medium impact | The anticipated impact will have moderate negative <br> effects and will require moderate mitigation <br> measures. |
| 29 to 50 | Positive medium impact | The anticipated impact will have moderate positive <br> effects. |
| 51 to 73 | Negative high impact | The anticipated impact will have significant effects and <br> will require significant mitigation measures to achieve <br> an acceptable level of impact. |
| 51 to 73 | Positive high impact | The anticipated impact will have significant positive <br> effects. |
| 74 to 96 | Negative <br> impact | Positive very high impact |
| The anticipated impact will have highly significant <br> effects and are unlikely to be able to be mitigated <br> adequately. These impacts could be considered "fatal <br> flaws". <br> positive effects. |  |  |

### 8.6 CONSULTATION WITH THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY

Consultation with the competent and commenting authorities will continue throughout the duration of the impact assessment phase. The authorities will also comment on whether they deem it necessary to conduct additional specialist studies other than what is proposed already in this PoSEIA. On-going consultation will include:

- Submission of the Final EIR following a 30-day public review period (and consideration of comments received).
- Arrangements will be made to discuss the report with the Environmental Officer responsible for the project during the review period, where required.


## 9 CONCLUSION

This Draft Scoping Report is aimed at identifying the 'scope' of the EIA that will be conducted in respect of the activity for which Environmental Authorisation is being applied for. It can be concluded that:
$>$ The scoping phase complied with the specifications set out in Regulations 21 and Appendix 2 of GNR326.
$>$ All key consultees have been consulted as required by the Regulations 39 to 44 .
Based on the contents of the report the following key environmental issues were identified which need to be addressed in the EIA report:
> Impacts during construction phase:

- Direct habitat destruction (- Low)
- Seasonal Drainage Channel Degradation (- Low)
- Creation of direct and indirect employment opportunities (+ Medium)
- Economic multiplier effects from the use of local goods and services (+ Medium)

Impacts during the operational phase:

- Seasonal Drainage Channel Degradation (- Low)
- Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats (- Low)
- Creation of employment opportunities and skills development. (+ Medium)
- Development of non-polluting, renewable energy infrastructure. (+ Medium)
- Contribution to LED and social upliftment (+ High)
$>$ Cumulative biophysical impacts resulting from similar development in close proximity to the proposed activity (- Medium and - Low).

No fatal flaws or impacts of a high significance has been identified to be associated with the proposed development. The issues identified will be addressed in more detail in the EIA report as part of the EIA Phase.

Considering the environmental sensitive features present within the development footprint, as identified in this Scoping Report, the Applicant has proposed a draft facility layout which considers these features, and thereby aim to avoid any direct impact on these features. As part of this optimisation process associated infrastructure has been shifted outside of these sensitive environmental features and areas. The draft layout will be further assessed and optimised as part of the EIA Phase of the project to ensure that the development footprint within the affected property is appropriate from an environmental perspective, and thereby avoids the present
sensitive environmental features and areas as identified by the independent specialists . Refer to Figure I for the draft layout proposed for development and Figure G for the Layout and Environmental Sensitivity map.

The EAP therefore recommends that:
The Scoping Report and Plan of Study for the EIA |Phase be approved after which the EIA Phase, as required by Regulations 23 to 24 may commence.

We trust that the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment find the report in order and we eagerly await your comments in this regard.

Mrs. Lisa de Lange
Environamics Environmental Consultants

## ENVIRONAMICS
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Although this report is not written in terms of the Equator Principles (EPs), it fully acknowledges that the EPs will need to be complied with should funding for the project be required.

