HYPERION SOLAR DEVELOPMENT 2, NEAR KATHU, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

DEA Ref. No: 14-12-16-3-3-2-1110

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	CO	DMMENTS RECEIVED FROM STAKEHOLDERS BEFORE SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD	1
2.	СО	DMMENTS RECEIVED FROM ORGANS OF STATE BEFORE SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD	4
3.	СО	DMMENTS RECEIVED FROM STAKEHOLDERS OF STATE DURING SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD	5
4.	СО	OMMENTS RECEIVED FROM ORGANS OF STATE DURING SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD	12
5.	ОТІ	HER	36
5	5.1.	General Comments	36
5	5.2.	Request for Registration as I&AP	37
5	5.3.	Request for Release Code to Project Information on Website	38

The Hyperion Solar Development 1 Environmental Impact Assessment Process was announced on the Friday, 21 September 2018. All written comments received since the announcement of the Environmental Impact Assessment and during the public review period of the Scoping Report. The Scoping Report was made available for a 30-day review and comment period from **Friday**, **26 October 2018** to **Monday**, **26 November 2018**.

NOTE:

In terms of the EIA Regulations of December 2014 (as amended on 07 April 2017, Regulation 44(1)), please note that the comments raised and responses provided at the various Focus Group Meetings held during the 30-day review period of the Basic Assessment Report have not been capture in this Comments and Responses Report. The minutes of the meetings are attached as **Appendix C7**.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / ACRONYMS

ATNS	Air Traffic and Navigation Services	BA	Basic Assessment
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs	DMR	Department of Mineral Resources
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation	EAP	Environmental Impact Assessment Practitioner
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	EMPr	Environmental Management Programme
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment	kV	Kilovolt
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act	NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency	SAHRIS	South African Heritage Resources Information System
SR	Scoping Report		

1. COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM STAKEHOLDERS BEFORE SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
5.	Die pad deur die plaas Lyndoch, parallel met	Karl van Heerden	It is noted that T26 gravel road is an existing road and is used
	Vlermuisleegte is die hoof toegangs pad na die plaas	Board Member	regularly by residents in the area as the main access road to the
	SAASVELD.	Saasveld Boerdery	farm Saasveld and the farm Lyndoch.
	KOMMENTAAR: (soos gelys op die aansoek vorm en		
	addisioneel).	Email and Reply Form:	
		03-10-2018	
	Translated:		
	The road through the farm Lyndoch, parallel with		
	Vlermuisleegte is the main access road to the farm		
	Saasveld.		
	COMMENTS: (as listed on the Reply Form and		
	additional)		
	COMMENTS: (as listed on the Reply Form and		
	additional)		
5.1.	Sekerheid oor gebruik van die toegangs pad via		In-depth specialist studies and field investigations are to be
	Lyndoch, en impak van addisionele swaar verkeer en		undertaken during the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)
	onderhoud op die huidige toegangspad (T26) vanaf		Phase of the project, which will inform the preferred access road
	die N14.		alternative. A comparative assessment will be undertaken
			whereby the potential positive and negative impacts will be
	<u>Translated</u> :		weighed up against each other for each alternative route, to
	Certainty on the use of the access road via Lyndoch		determine the preferred environmental option. Suitable mitigation
	and the impact of additional heavy traffic and		measures will be formulated by the specialists where required.
	maintenance on the current access road (T26) from		
	the N4.		
5.2.	SAASVELD boerderye moet asseblief op sirkulasie van		Saasveld Boerdery has been added to the project database and
	Omgewings impak studie geplaas word.		will be informed once the Scoping Report is available for public
			review
	<u>Translated</u> :		
	Saasveld farming must please be placed on the		
	circulation of the Environmental Impact study.		

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
5.3.	Daar moet 'n rehabilitasie fonds wees om die area te		A rehabilitation plan will be compiled as part of the EMPr during the
	rehabiliteer indien die projek gestaak sou word (om		EIA Phase. As the project is in the early stages of the project
	watter rede ookal) vir elk van die 4 applikante /		planning phase, information regarding a rehabilitation fund is not
	ontwikkelaars.		available at this stage of the project.
	<u>Translated:</u>		
	There has to be a rehabilitation fund to rehabilitate the		
	area should the project be discontinued (for whatever		
	reason) for each of the 4 applicants/developers.		
5.4.	Die area is 'n gras savannah met redelike baie		The impact of soil erosion due to the movement of water and wind
	Kameeldoringboom (en ook swarthaak wat vervuild is).		has been considered as part of the Soil and Agricultural Potential
	Maar die plantegroei hou die toplaag sand in beheer.		Assessment for the project (refer to Appendix G of the Scoping
	Wat gaan die effek van wind-erosie rondom die son-		Report). Soil erosion is considered a possible impact, especially
	panele wees?		where vegetation will be removed during the construction phase
			of the project. The significance of the impact will be assessed in
	<u>Translated</u> :		detail in the EIA Phase, and detailed mitigation measures will be
	The area is a savannah grassland with very reasonable		proposed to minimise and reduce the potential impact of wind and
	Camelthorn trees (including Swarthaak that is infested).		soil erosion as far as possible. The proposed mitigation measures will
	The topsoil is controlled by the flora which keeps the		be included in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)
	sand in control. What effect will wind erosion have		which will need to be implemented by contractors during the
	around the solar panels?		operation phase and the developer in the operation phase, where
			required.
5.5.	Verhoogde brandgevaar as gevolg van "vergrootglas		The potential increased risk of fire as a result of the proposed
	effek" op gebreekte glas en addisionele krag lyne en		development (including the on-site substation) will be assessed as
	sub-stasie.		part of the EIA Phase of the project. The 132kV power line will be
			assessed as part of a separate Basic Assessment (BA) process and
	<u>Translated</u> :		the increased risk of fire as a result of the power line will not form
	Higher fire danger as a result of "magnifying glass		part of this EIA process. As per point 1.5 above, the proposed
	effect" from broken glass and additional power lines		mitigation measures will be included in the EMPr which will need to
	and substation.		be implemented by contractors during the operation phase and
			the developer in the operation phase, where required.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
5.6.	Ligging van Kantore, Werks-winkel, store en tydelike		Controlled access will be established at the entrance of the project
	werwe ten opsigte van plaas SAASVELD (Veiligheids		site. No construction workers will be allowed to wander around
	risiko as gevolg van toenemende onbeheerde		onto other properties. Safety and security impacts will be assessed
	toegang tot plase). Rehabilitasie van tydelike werwe /		as part of the EIA Phase. All areas where temporary infrastructure
	ongebruikte geboue.		will be placed, will be rehabilitated post-construction. Recommended rehabilitation methods will be included in the EMPr
	<u>Translated</u> :		to be compiled as part of the EIA Phase.
	Location of offices, workshops, warehouses and		
	temporary sites with regards to the farm Saasveld		
	(Safety risk with regards to the rising of uncontrollable		
	access to farms). Rehabilitation of temporary sites /		
	unused buildings.		
5.7.	Visuele en geraas impak vanaf kantore en werks-		Visual and noise impacts (during construction) will be assessed as
	winkel.		part of the EIA Phase of the project.
	Translated:		
	Visual and Noise impact from offices and workshops.		
5.8.	Oprigting van 132kV oorhoofse kraglyn. Duidelikheid		The power line, which will be a 132kV capacity power line, will be
	benodig oor posisie van die kraglyn vanaf die interne		assessed as part of a separate BA process which has not as yet
	sub-stasie tot by die FERRUM lyn (of alternatief).		commenced. The power line does not form part of this application.
	<u>Translated</u> :		
	Construction of the 135kV overhead power line. Clarity		
	needed on corridor of the power line from the internal		
	substation to the FERRUM line (or alternative).		
5.9.	Ons waardeer die uitnodiging om te registreer as ge-		It is acknowledged that it is appreciated that an invitation to
	affekteerde en beangstellende party.		participate has been extended to yourself.
	<u>Translated</u> :		
	We appreciate the invitation to register as an		
	interested and affected party.		

2. COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM ORGANS OF STATE BEFORE SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD

COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
The following comments were submitted:	Natasha Higgitt	
As the proposed development is undergoing an EA	Heritage Officer	The recommendation received from the South African Heritage
Application process in terms of the National	SAHRA	Resources Agency (SAHRA) is acknowledged.
Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA),		
and the NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	Letter: 03-10-2018	A Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) as per section 38(3) and 38(8)
·		of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act 25 of 1999 (NHRA) has
that a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is done as per		been undertaken as part of the Scoping Phase of the project and
section 38(3) and 38(8) of the National Heritage		is included as Appendix I of the Scoping Report. The HIA compiled
• • •		complies with the SAHRA 2007 Minimum Standards: Archaeological
		and Palaeontological Component of Impact Assessments. A
		detailed impact phase HIA will also be included and submitted
·		accordingly at a later stage, should approval to proceed with the
···		EIA Phase be received by the DEA. This study too, will comply with
		SAHRA 2007 Minimum Standards: Archaeological and
·		Palaeontological Component of Impact Assessments.
9		
		It is noted that the project site is located within an area of moderate
•		palaeontological sensitivity. The impact of the proposed development on palaeontological resources has been assessed as
		part of the Scoping Phase and is included in Appendix I of the
		Scoping Report. The assessment has been undertaken by a
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		qualified palaeontologist.
		qualified palacornologist.
_		
·		
	The following comments were submitted: As the proposed development is undergoing an EA Application process in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA), and the NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations it is incumbent on the developer to ensure that a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is done as per	The following comments were submitted: As the proposed development is undergoing an EA Application process in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 107 of 1998 (NEMA), and the NEMA Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations it is incumbent on the developer to ensure that a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) is done as per section 38(3) and 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act 25 of 1999 (NHRA). This must include an archaeological component, palaeontological components. The HIA must be conducted as part of the EA Application in terms of NEMA and the NEMA EIA Regulations. The quickest process to follow for the archaeological component would be to contract a specialist (see www.asapa.org.za or www.aphp.org.za to provide an Archaeological Impact Assessment (AIA). The AIA must comply with the SAHRA 2007 Minimum Standards: Archaeological and Palaeontological Component of Impact Assessments. The proposed prospecting area is located within an area of moderate sensitivity in terms of palaeontological resources. An assessment of the impact of the development on palaeontological resources is required to be completed by a qualified palaeontologist. The report must comply with the SAHRA 2012 Minimum Standards: Palaeontological Component of Heritage Impact Assessments (a list of qualified palaeontologists can be supplied upon

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.3.	Any other heritage resources as defined in section 3 of		All heritage resources as defined in section 3 of the NHRA that may
	the NHRA that may be impacted, such as maritime		be impacted by the Hyperion Solar Development 2 has been
	archaeology, built structures over 60 years old, sites of		considered and assessed as part of the HIA included in Appendix I
	cultural significance associated with oral histories,		of the Scoping Report. A detailed impact phase HIA will also be
	burial grounds and graves, graves of victims of conflict,		included and submitted accordingly at a later stage, should
	and cultural landscapes or viewscapes must also be		approval to proceed with the EIA Phase be received by the
	assessed.		Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA). This study too, will
			comply with Section 3 of the NHRA, and will be assessed
			accordingly.
1.4.	The draft Scoping report and appendices must be		The draft Scoping report and appendices will be submitted to
	submitted at the start of the public review period so		SAHRA via the SAHRIS website once it is available for review and
	that an informed comment may be issued.		comment at the start of the public review period accordingly.

3. COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM STAKEHOLDERS OF STATE DURING SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.	We have received documentation regarding the	Schalk Burger	Your opposition to access road Alternative 2 is acknowledged on
	proposed access road to Lyndoch solar facility. Our	Landowner	the basis that traffic may pose a safety risk at the 90 degree bend
	farms, Oupos and Uitkoms, are some of the farms that		point along the T25. The aspects of this impact will be forwarded to
	will be influenced by option 2 access road. In option 2,	Email: 30-10-2018	the visual and social specialist, and evaluated further in the impact
	the road is proposed to meet T25 at a sharp (almost 90		phase where an assessment will be undertaken. Kindly note that all
	degrees) bend. Taking into consideration that T25		impacts will therefore be taken into consideration, and evaluated
	already has very high traffic, the proposed road in		against each of the access road alternatives proposed in the
	option 2 will be hazzardous. An entrance can't be		impact phase. An environmentally preferred option will be
	made on that sharp bend, since you don't have a clear		proposed based on environmental merit, which may also take into
	view of oncoming traffic on the other side of the bend.		account other variables such as social, economic and technical
	Traffic also moves quite fast on that part of the road.		criteria. In addition, it must also be mentioned that the investigation
	Thus, we strongly oppose to option 2 access road to		of additional alternative access routes are currently being
	Lyndoch solar facility using T25.		undertaken at this stage due to environmental sensitivities identified
			on both the proposed alternative access routes, and will be

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			included in the impact phase once finalized for detailed
			assessment in the impact phase.
2.	I hereby want to object against the possible access via	Linda Burger	Your opposition to access road Alternative 2 is acknowledged on
	the T25 road. The Kathu Solar Farm project showed us	I&AP	the basis that traffic (trucks and taxis) may pose a safety risk due to
	how unsafe and reckless taxi- and truck drivers can be,		dust along the T25. The aspects of this impact will be forwarded to
	especially on a gravel road with a lot of dust. To enter	Email: 6-11-2018	the visual and social specialist, and evaluated further in the impact
	and exit the T25 from the side with a new overload of		phase where an assessment will be undertaken. Kindly note that all
	traffic will be irresponsible and totally unsafe. The gravel		impacts will therefore be taken into consideration, and evaluated
	road produces heavy dust with very poor visibility. The		against each of the access road alternatives proposed in the
	construction of a new solar development will require a		impact phase. An environmentally preferred option will be
	lot of trucks which will have to turn across the road and		proposed based on environmental merit, which may also take into
	that will cause very high risk for accidents.		account other variables such as social, economic and technical
	Please use the straight T26 to the sight. The T25 already		criteria. In addition, it must also be mentioned that the investigation
	carries traffic for 1 solar development, it definitely will		of additional alternative access routes are currently being
	not be able to handle a second development.		undertaken at this stage due to environmental sensitivities identified
			on both the proposed alternative access routes, and will be
			included in the impact phase once finalized for detailed
			assessment in the impact phase.
3.	We live on the farm Oupos, next to Lyndoch.	Jan Burger	Your concern with regards to access road Alternative 1 is
	We use the T26 more than once on a daily basis. It will	I&AP	acknowledged on the basis that heavy traffic may pose a
	be an absolute disaster if another group of heavy	F ' 7 11 0010	degradation, safety risk and dust impacts along the T26. The
	traffic access this gravel road. Apart from the surface	Email: 7-11-2018	aspects of this impact will be forwarded to the visual and social
	of the road which will not keep up with the traffic, the		specialist, and evaluated further in the impact phase where an
	dust and especially the entrance at the bend with no		assessment will be undertaken. Kindly note that all impacts will
	visibility will cause many accidents.		therefore be taken into consideration, and evaluated against each of the access road alternatives proposed in the impact phase. An
			environmentally preferred option will be proposed based on
			environmental merit, which may also take into account other
			variables such as social, economic and technical criteria. In
			addition, it must also be mentioned that the investigation of
			additional alternative access routes are currently being
			undertaken at this stage due to environmental sensitivities identified
			Chacharen an mis stage ace to charle minerial sensitivities lacinitied

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			on both the proposed alternative access routes, and will be
			included in the impact phase once finalized for detailed
	Farm owner adjacent to the Hyperion project Uiterwijk	Jurie Nel	assessment in the impact phase.
4.	Familie Trust on farm Eidon and Westfield the following	Landowner	No response required.
	questions/concerns:		
4.1.	WATER CONSUMPSION. What is the quality of the water	Email: 26-11-2018	As per Section 2.5.3 of the Scoping Report, approximately 10 000m³
	needed the source thereof and the consumption per		of water per year is required over a 12 to 18-month period during
	development and in total. There is very little quality		construction, and approximately 50 000m³ of water per year is
	underground water available in this area,		required per year over the 25-year operational lifespan of the
			project. Water will be sourced from two (2) existing boreholes
			located on the project site. A water purification plant may be constructed. The water purification plant will be required for the
			purposes of purifying water, to be drawn from the two existing
			boreholes located on the project site, to drinking standards for use
			during construction and operation.
4.2.	VEGETATION. It is understood from your documentation		The requirement for a vegetation rehabilitation plan will be an
	that the 180 ha per development of the construction		outcome of the impact phase ecological report which will advise
	area will be landscaped to enable construction which		on the need for a rehabilitation plan. However, the impact phase
	means the area will be a barren plain. Please supply		assessment is yet to be undertaken and will only be undertaken
	your rehab plan with the type and specie of		following a positive decision on the Plan of Study for the Final
	vegetation planned to revegetate this barren area		Scoping Report to be submitted to the DEA. Your concern in terms
	immediately after construction and the maintenance		of rehabilitation, control of vegetation, dust generation and wind erosion has been provided to the ecological specialist for
	plan to keep vegetation under control during the lifespan of the project. The rehab plan after the		consideration in the impact phase ecological assessment
	economic lifespan of the to enable further usage of the		accordingly.
	land. Dust generation as well as wind erosion in this		3000 an 1917.
	acrid area especially downwind in the westerlies		
	should also be a concern,		
4.3.	LIGHT POLUTION. Lighting needed for maintenance		It has been identified in the visual scoping report (dated October
	and security should be at very low levels given the		2018, Section 5.3, Page 30) that the need to investigate security and
	surrounding land usage. The infrared range for security		operational lighting in the impact phase is to be carried out. This will

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	purposes should be a priority. Please supply your design		therefore be undertaken in the impact phase following a positive
	values for the		decision (should this be granted) on the Plan of Study for the Final
	lighting levels pre and after construction for the		Scoping Report to be submitted to the DEA.
	affected areas,		
4.4.	MAINTENANCE AND CONTROL OF UNUSED LAND OR		The proposed development area will be fenced for each proposed
	NON DEVELOPEMENT3-4 AND PERIMETER FENCING OF		project. Each facility will be fenced with faunal sensitive fencing to
	TOTAL PROPERTY. The change in land use leave a		allow for small faunal movements. In addition, the perimeter
	concern about the type of outside fencing to be		fencing will likely be fitted with cameras for security purposes. This
	erected and the maintenance there of. Please supply		will be further detailed in the impact phase of the proposed
	detail of fencing and the maintenance plan.		development, following the decision on the Plan of Study for the
			Final Scoping Report to be submitted to the DEA.
4.5.	ACCESS ROAD AND CONTROL. The type of road		The details on the type of road surface (paved/unpaved etc.) as
	paved/unpaved and the access/control point to this		well as the access control point will only be provided in the impact
	road need to be spelled out.		phase when the site layouts will be made available for assessment.
			The project layout sites are therefore not available as yet. This
			information will be made available in the impact phase, which will
			be taken into account in the assessments.
5.	I have focused mostly on Hyperion 1 as this is adjacent	Karl van Heerden	No response required.
	to the farm Saasveld (Portion 1 of Lyndoch). What you	Landowner	
	will find below is some of my personal observations, as		
	well as feedback to the specific reports. Please see	Email: 26-11-2018	
	below our comments:		
5.1.	Access road: In the 1:50,000 topographic map (map		We are aware that the proposed Alternative 1 access road routes
	section) it shows proposed Access road 1 at Cowley		along and partially within the Vlermuisleegte as per the delineations
	farm to run in the Vlermuisleegte, this road however		provided in the Watercourse Scoping Report dated October 2018.
	always runs on the western edge of the laagte in mostly		We are also aware that should this alternative be selected as the
	gravel soil. Trying to make		preferred and taken through to construction, that the widening of
	it straight from leaving the N14 as per the map will leave		the proposed Alternative 1 access road may result in the clearance
	you in very thick sand as well as encountering some big		of protected tree species. However, at this stage, a preferred
	Camel thorn trees. You will have to follow the current		alternative has not yet been selected in terms of the access road
	route.		as the approval of the Plan of Study for the scoping report is
			required to be approved by the Department of Environmental

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			Affairs to proceed into the impact phase where detailed specialist reports will be undertaken, and will inform the preferred access alternative.
5.2.	The access road to the Hyperion sites is also the access road to Lyndoch portion 1 and needs to be clearly identified as an access road with guaranteed access.		As referred to in point 5.1 above, a preferred alternative has not yet been selected in terms of the access road as the approval of the Plan of Study for the scoping report is required to be approved by the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) to proceed into the impact phase where detailed specialist reports will be undertaken, and will inform the preferred access alternative.
5.3.	Currently the farms Cowley, Soetdoring, Lyndoch and Lyndoch portion 1 most possibly houses less than 80 people. At the peak of construction it is noted that there will be up to 500 workers on-site. Nowhere in the scoping reports is public safety addressed. How will the developers ensure the safety of the current occupants and well as focus on live-stock / game losses? Minimum requirement will be a security check point to be erected at the N14 turn-off onto the access road.		As referred to in point 5.1 above, a preferred alternative has not yet been selected in terms of the access road as the approval of the Plan of Study for the scoping report is required to be approved by the Department of Environmental Affairs to proceed into the impact phase where detailed specialist reports will be undertaken, and will inform the preferred access alternative. In particular, the social impact assessment will take into account movement of workers in and out of the site, as well as safety and theft concerns as noted. These concerns will also be provided to the social specialist for their consideration. The social impact report will also include suitable mitigation measures (such as controlled access, as recommended) to address the potential impacts anticipated which each of the access road alternatives. These details will be provided in the impact phase, as mentioned earlier.
5.4.	The border of Hyperion 1 (as well as the others) will need a proper fire-break between the farm Lyndoch and surrounding farms		Your concern in terms of fire and recommendation for a fire break between each of the project sites is acknowledged. This information will be considered for inclusion into the project site layout.
5.5.	On the farm Saasveld (Lyndoch portion 1), there is an existing ground dam wall constructed across Vlermuisleegte. I have never seen this dam full, but it's collection flood plain should be considered. Situated		The full extent of the Vlermuisleegte will be determined in the watercourse impact assessment to be undertaken in the impact phase of the project. The study will consider aspects such as the inundation potential of the watercourse and the associated risk to the proposed access alternatives.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	about 3/4 the distance from where it enter portion 1 to		
	where it exits		
5.6.	Note: At the rocky outcroppings in Vlermuisleegte on		The information provided is appreciated and will be passed on to
	the farm Lyndoch water does collect in very good rainy		the freshwater specialist to consider in the watercourse impact
	seasons.		assessment to be undertaken in the impact phase, following the
			decision on the Plan of Study for the Final Scoping Report to be
			submitted to the DEA.
5.7.	One concern that I do not see adequately addressed		The soil scoping report is a desktop, high-level assessment based
	in the TerraAfrica scoping report is that of wind-erosion		primarily on database information. In addition, the project layout
	around the bases of the solar structures, this is a very		sites have not as yet been designed. This information will be made
	sandy soil that needs vegetation to hold it together.		available in the impact phase, which will be taken into account.
	See note on 5.1 page 16: "Any area where top-soil will		The concern in terms of wind-erosion will be forwarded to the
	be stripped for construction purposes From the		specialist to consider in the impact phase accordingly however.
	nature of this development there will be severe		
	damage to the topsoil with heavy machinery in the		
	construction phase.		
5.8.	The TerraAfrica scoping report also do not refer to the		Control of run-off can be considered to be a soil and water issue.
	control of run-off water from the collectors, although it		The concern for control of run-off will be provided to the soil
	is mentioned in the Watercourse assessment. For the		specialist to consider ensure it is included in the impact phase
	same reason water-run from the collectors need to be		accordingly.
	properly addressed to minimise erosion and		
	subsequent damage to the access road. This area is		
	prone to heavy thunderstorms and down-pours, rather		
	than to soft penetrating rain.		
5.9.	On the point of visibility and glare, you might need to		The visual scoping assessment (dated August 2018, Section 5.1,
	consider where the N14 direction Kuruman to Kathu		Page 26) does acknowledge that there may be potential visual
	crosses the Kuruman heuwels (10km outside of		impacts on views from roads including the N14, and that this aspect
	Kuruman). The road here is quite elevated crossing the		will need to be investigated further in the impact phase when the
	ridge and glare / visibility might be a concern in the		detailed assessments are undertaken. This will therefore be
F 10	early morning (line of sight).		assessed accordingly.
5.10.	As you leave the Lyndoch homestead travelling past		Thank you for this information. However, it would be helpful if we
	the pigeon cages towards the Hyperion 1 site at the first		had an exact location. It is difficult to determine whether the said

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	(double) gate, there is quite a big thorny bush on the left. In this bush is a grave which might be waypoint 1157.		grave is indeed waypoint 1157. Could you perhaps send us GPS coordinates to confirm this grave location with the specialist please?
5.11.	In the heritage report, page 24 it refers to two farm-houses on the access road. However, the farmhouse on the very first farm (Cowley), is a lime-stone house that must be one of the first houses in the area and therefore of significance as well as the outside garage which fell into dis-repair. The third house on the farm Sophiasmoed is not mentioned at all (on the east side of the access road, directly adjacent to the road). Should be close to way-point 1204.		Thank you for this information. This has been brought to the attention of the heritage specialist who will investigate the limestone house, outside garage, as well as the third house on Sophiasmoed close to way-point 1204 further in the impact phase assessment.
5.12.	On the farm Saasveld (Lyndoch portion 1), there is a corrugated steel structure (stoor) that could possibly in the future be converted to a house. This is located in the triangle where the Vlermuisleegte enters and exits the farm Saasveld. This structure is not mentioned in the scoping report.		Thank you for this information. However, it would be helpful if we had an exact location of the corrugated steel structure. Could you perhaps send us GPS co-ordinates to confirm this grave location with the specialist please?
5.13.	In the Avifaunal report it is mentioned that Secretary bird and vultures were not seen, they are however endemic to this area, and have been spotted on the farm Saasveld.		The information provided is appreciated and will be passed on to the avifaunal specialist to consider in the avifaunal impact assessment to be undertaken in the impact phase, following the decision on the Plan of Study for the Final Scoping Report to be submitted to the DEA.
5.14.	Then lastly, all the impact studies refer to the 4 development clusters in isolation, therefore each time minimal impact is observed. In the back-ground document it is stated that each facility is anticipated to be 180ha in extent, that will result in 720ha of development which is no longer insignificant. I would like to see a combined summary which then classifies if the 4 clusters (of 75Mw combined) is still considered of minimum impact on the Avifaunal, Visual impact, Soil		Kindly note that the cumulative impacts for not only the four facilities will be assessed in the impact phase of the assessment by all specialists, but also the cumulative impact of the development in terms of other current and planned renewable energy developments in the wider region that are likely to have an impact on the project. These details will be provided in the impact phase report.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	and Land use as well as the Fauna and Flora scoping		
	reports.		
5.15.	Some of the reports also mentioned it being a "desk-		That is correct. The specialist assessments were primarily informed at
	top" report, I believe that more in-depth studies will		a desktop level which is adequate for a scoping level assessment.
	follow.		However, the ecological and heritage assessments, also undertook
			a field investigation which informed their assessments. The detailed
			in-depth assessments will be provided in the impact phase at a later
			stage following the decision on the Plan of Study for the Final
			Scoping Report to be submitted to the DEA.

4. COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM ORGANS OF STATE DURING SCOPING REPORT REVIEW PERIOD

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.	The following comments were received:	John Geeringh	No response required.
1.1.	Eskom's rights and services must be acknowledged	Senior Consultant	It is acknowledged that Eskom's rights and services are to be
	and respected at all times.	Environmental	acknowledged and respected at all times.
1.2.	Eskom shall at all times retain unobstructed access to	Management	It is acknowledged that Eskom shall at all times retain
	and egress from its servitudes.	ESKOM	unobstructed access to and egress from its servitudes.
1.3.	Eskom's consent does not relieve the developer from		It is acknowledged that Eskom's consent does not relieve the
	obtaining the necessary statutory, land owner or	Email: 1-11-2018	developer from obtaining the necessary statutory, land owner
	municipal approvals		or municipal approvals.
1.4.	Any cost incurred by Eskom as a result of non-		It is acknowledged that any cost incurred by Eskom as a result
	compliance to any relevant environmental legislation		of non-compliance to any relevant environmental legislation
	will be charged to the developer.		as a result of the environmental assessment process may be
			charged to the developer.
1.5.	If Eskom has to incur any expenditure in order to		It is acknowledged that If Eskom has to incur any expenditure
	comply with statutory clearances or other regulations		in order to comply with statutory clearances or other
	as a result of the developer's activities or because of		regulations as a result of the developer's activities or because
	the presence of his equipment or installation within the		of the presence of his equipment or installation within the
	servitude restriction area, the developer shall pay such		servitude restriction area, the developer may pay such costs
	costs to Eskom on demand.		to Eskom.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.6.	The use of explosives of any type within 500 metres of		It is acknowledged that the use of explosives of any type within
	Eskom's services shall only occur with Eskom's previous		500 metres of Eskom's services shall only occur with Eskom's
	written permission. If such permission is granted the		previous written permission, and if such permission is granted,
	developer must give at least fourteen working days		that the developer must give at least fourteen working days
	prior notice of the commencement of blasting. This		prior notice of the commencement of blasting. It is noted that
	allows time for arrangements to be made for		it has been advised to make application separately in this
	supervision and/or precautionary instructions to be		regard.
	issued in terms of the blasting process. It is advisable to		
	make application separately in this regard.		
1.7.	Changes in ground level may not infringe statutory		It is acknowledged that changes in ground level may not
	ground to conductor clearances or statutory visibility		infringe statutory ground to conductor clearances or statutory
	clearances. After any changes in ground level, the		visibility clearances and that after any changes in ground
	surface shall be rehabilitated and stabilised so as to		level, that the surface shall be rehabilitated and stabilised so
	prevent erosion. The measures taken shall be to		as to prevent erosion.
	Eskom's satisfaction.		
1.8.	Eskom shall not be liable for the death of or injury to any		It is acknowledged that Eskom shall not be liable for the death
	person or for the loss of or damage to any property		of or injury to any person or for the loss of or damage to any
	whether as a result of the encroachment or of the use		property whether as a result of the encroachment or of the use
	of the servitude area by the developer, his/her agent,		of the servitude area by the developer, his/her agent,
	contractors, employees, successors in title, and		contractors, employees, successors in title, and assignees.
	assignees. The developer indemnifies Eskom against		Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the developer
	loss, claims or damages including claims pertaining to		indemnifies Eskom against loss, claims or damages including
	consequential damages by third parties and whether		claims pertaining to consequential damages by third parties
	as a result of damage to or interruption of or		and whether as a result of damage to or interruption of or
	interference with Eskom's services or apparatus or		interference with Eskom's services or apparatus or otherwise as
	otherwise. Eskom will not be held responsible for		related to the proposed development. Lastly, it is
	damage to the developer's equipment.		acknowledged that Eskom will not be held responsible for
			damage to the developer's equipment.
1.9.	No mechanical equipment, including mechanical		It is acknowledged that no mechanical equipment, including
	excavators or high lifting machinery, shall be used in		mechanical excavators or high lifting machinery, shall be used
	the vicinity of Eskom's apparatus and/or services,		in the vicinity of Eskom's apparatus and/or services, without
	without prior written permission having been granted		prior written permission having been granted by Eskom.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	by Eskom. If such permission is granted the developer		Furthermore, it is acknowledged that where permission is
	must give at least seven working days' notice prior to		granted that the developer must give at least seven working
	the commencement of work. This allows time for		days' notice prior to the commencement of work, and where
	arrangements to be made for supervision and/or		an electrical outage is required, at least fourteen work days
	precautionary instructions to be issued by		are required to arrange it.
	the relevant Eskom Manager		
	Note: Where and electrical outage is required, at least		
	fourteen work days are required to arrange it.		
1.10.	Eskom's rights and duties in the servitude shall be		It is acknowledged that Eskom's rights and duties in the
	accepted as having prior right at all times and shall not		servitude shall be accepted as having prior right at all times
	be obstructed or interfered with.		and shall not be obstructed or interfered with.
1.11.	Under no circumstances shall rubble, earth or other		It is acknowledged that under no circumstances shall rubble,
	material be dumped within the servitude restriction		earth or other material be dumped within the servitude
	area. The developer shall maintain the area		restriction area, and that the developer shall maintain the
	concerned to Eskom's satisfaction. The developer shall		area concerned.
	be liable to Eskom for the cost of any remedial action		
	which has to be carried out by Eskom.		
1.12.	The clearances between Eskom's live electrical		It is acknowledged that clearances between Eskom's live
	equipment and the proposed construction work shall		electrical equipment and the proposed construction work
	be observed as stipulated by Regulation 15 of the		shall be observed as stipulated by Regulation 15 of the
	Electrical Machinery Regulations of the Occupational		Electrical Machinery Regulations of the Occupational Health
	Health and Safety Act, 1993 (Act 85 of 1993).		and Safety Act, 1993 (Act 85 of 1993).
1.13.	Equipment shall be regarded electrically live and		It is acknowledged that equipment shall be regarded
	therefore dangerous at all times.		electrically live and therefore dangerous at all times.
1.14.	In spite of the restrictions stipulated by Regulation 15 of		It is acknowledged that Eskom will not approve the erection of
	the Electrical Machinery Regulations of the		houses, or structures occupied or frequented by human
	Occupational Health and Safety Act, 1993 (Act		beings, under the power lines or within the servitude restriction
	85 of 1993), as an additional safety precaution, Eskom		area.
	will not approve the erection of houses, or structures		
	occupied or frequented by human beings, under the		
	power lines or within the servitude restriction area.		

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.15.	Eskom may stipulate any additional requirements to		It is acknowledged that Eskom may stipulate any additional
	highlight any possible exposure to Customers or Public		requirements to highlight any possible exposure to Customers
	to coming into contact or be exposed to any dangers		or Public to coming into contact or be exposed to any
	of Eskom plant.		dangers of Eskom plant.
1.16.	It is required of the developer to familiarise himself with		It is acknowledged that it is required of the developer to
	all safety hazards related to Electrical plant.		familiarise himself with all safety hazards related to Electrical
			plant.
1.17.	Any third party servitudes encroaching on Eskom		It is acknowledged that any third party servitudes as a result of
	servitudes shall be registered against Eskom's title deed		the project encroaches on Eskom servitudes, it shall be
	at the developer's own cost. If such a servitude is		registered against Eskom's title deed at the developer's own
	brought into being, its existence should be endorsed on		cost and that If such a servitude is brought into being, its
	the Eskom servitude deed concerned, while the third		existence should be endorsed on the Eskom servitude deed
	party's servitude deed must also include the rights of		concerned, while the third party's servitude deed must also
	the affected Eskom servitude.		include the rights of the affected Eskom servitude.
2.	Please send me KMZ files of the affected properties	E-mail: 01-11-2018	The requested KMZ files and DEA reference numbers were
	and proposed layouts. Please send me the DEA		provided as requested via e-mail on 01 November 2018.
	reference numbers as soon as they become available.		
3.	This Department has the following comments on the	Thando Booi	
	abovementioned application:	Case Officer	
3.1.	Activities applied for:	DEA	
3.1.1.	Please ensure that the relevant sub activity in item 11(ii)		Please note that sub-activity in item 11(ii) of GN 983 of the EIA
	of GN983 of EIA regulations of 2014 as amended is	Letter: 19-11-2018	Regulations of 2014, as amended is not relevant to the
	cited correctly.		application as the proposed development is not located
			inside an urban area or industrial complex and will not have
			facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of
			electricity with a capacity of 275kV or more. Therefore, this
			sub-activity has not been included. However, sub-activity (i)
			which is relevant will be amended an included in the updated
			application form to be submitted to the Department with the
			Final Draft Scoping Report, to state the following:
			"The development of facilities or infrastructure for the
			transmission and distribution of electricity –

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			(i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts".
3.1.2.	Please provide the quantity of dangerous goods that will be stored and handled on site.		An updated application form will be submitted with the Final Scoping report. The approximate quantity of dangerous goods that will be handled and stored on site is approximately 6 000 litres, and therefore below the threshold and will be removed from the application form.
3.1.3.	Explain why item 24(ii) of GN 983 of EIA regulations of 2014 as amended is triggered by this project considering the fact that the roads to be constructed are below the threshold that trigger this activity.		All alternatives for access roads will require the construction of new road up to 9m width where no reserve exists. The roads to be constructed will therefore exceed the threshold of 8m for the development of a road where no road reserve exists. This is referred to in Section 2.6.2.3 of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018.
3.2.	<u>Alternatives</u>		
3.2.1.	Please provide a description of the identified alternatives for the proposed activity that are feasible and reasonable, including the advantages and disadvantages that the proposed activity or alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may be affected by the activity as per Appendix 2, (2) (1) (g)(i-xi), of GN R.982 of 2014, as amended.		As per Appendix 2 (1) (i), this information is provided in Section 2.6 of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018. As per Appendix 2 (1) (ii-iii), this information is provided in Section 5.5.2 and Appendix C8 – Comments and Responses report of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018. As per Appendix 2 (1) (iv), this information is provided in Chapter 6 of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018. As per Appendix 2 (1) (v), this information is provided in Chapter 7 of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018. As per Appendix 2 (1) (vi), this information is provided in Section 5.5.3 of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018. As per Appendix 2 (1) (vii-viii), this information is provided in Section 7.3 and 7.4 of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			As per Appendix 2 (1) (ix, x and xi), this information is provided in Section 2.3, 2.4 and Chapter 8 respectively of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018.
3.2.2.	Alternatively you should submit written proof of an investigation and motivation of no reasonable or feasible alternatives exist as per the requirements of Appendix 2, (2)(1)(g)(x).		This is not required, as feasible and reasonable alternatives will be considered for the proposed activity.
3.3.	Impacts Assessment This Department requests the EAP to familiarise themselves with the requirements of Appendix 2 of GNR 982 of the EIA Regulations, 2014(as amended) and ensure that the final SR submitted to this Department for consideration meets the requirements in terms of identifying, assessing and providing mitigation measures of the impacts on the alternatives and preferred site.		It is acknowledged that the requirements of Appendix 2 of GNR 982 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended) are to be familiarised and ensured of inclusion into this Final Scoping Report submitted to the Department for consideration. The relevant sections stating where the requirements in terms of Appendix 2 of GNR 982 of the EIA Regulations, 2014 (as amended) have been met are included in Sections 2.1, 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 6.1, 7.1, 8.1 and 9.1 of the Draft Scoping Report dated December 2018.
3.4.	Environmental Sensitivity Map		
3.4.1.	It has been noted on the sensitivity map provided in the draft report (Figure 1) that there is a heritage site and river buffer overlapping in the development area. Therefore, you are requested to provide a sensitivity map that consider the following: • A sensitivity layout plan overlaid by the sensitive features and the buffer zones i.e. wetland, showing the location of the proposed and existing structure. Please ensure all features are clearly indicated on the legend of the sensitivity layout plan. • Please ensure that the PV structures are positioned and numbered on the plan, taking		A sensitivity layout plan overlaid by the sensitive features and the buffer zones showing the location of the proposed and existing structure is provided in Appendix M of this Final Scoping Report dated December 2018. In addition, all features are also clearly indicated on the legend of the sensitivity layout plan.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	into consideration the sensitive features and		For the scoping phase assessment, it is premature to include a
	the buffer zones.		site layout with PV structures for the project since the studies
			that have been conducted are at a scoping level, and the
			impact phase specialist assessments have not as yet provided
			their detailed findings which could have implications for the
			design once more. As per Appendix 2 Objective 1(d) it is
			required that the Scoping Report identify and confirm the
			preferred site through detailed site selection process, which
			includes an identification of impacts and risks inclusive of
			identification of cumulative impacts and a ranking process of
			all the identified alternatives focusing on the geographical,
			physical, biological social economic and cultural aspects of
			the environment. Moreover, as per Appendix 2 (1) (g), a full
			description of the process followed to reach the proposed
			preferred activity, site and location of the development
			footprint within the site is required. Therefore, a detailed
			project layout of the site (including PV structures) will therefore
			be designed and included in the sensitivity map for the Draft
			and Final Environmental Impact Report to be submitted for
0.5			consideration by the Department in the Impact Phase.
3.5.	Public Participation Process		
3.5.1.	Please ensure that all issues raised and comments		All written comments received from the EIA process
	received during the circulation of the SR from the		notification up to the closure of the SR review and comment
	registered I&Aps and organs of state which have		period have been captured in the Comments and Responses
	jurisdiction (including this Department's Biodiversity		Report.
	Section) in respect of the proposed activity are		Responses to comments / concerns / issues raised have been
	adequately addressed in the final SR.		responded to and where applicable fully addressed by the
			project team.
			Proof of all correspondence between Organs of State, key
			stakeholders and the project team is included in Appendices
			C4, C5 and C6.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			Proof of attempts to secure written comments from Organs of
			State and key stakeholders are also included in Appendices
			C4. A spreadsheet indicating the follow-up attempts and
			outcome of the follow-ups are also included in Appendix C4 .
3.5.2.	Please provide a translation of the comments that are		Where relevant, comments raised in any other language than
	provided in Afrikaans to English.		English are captured in the language in which the comment
			was raised and translated into English in the Comments and
			Responses Report.
3.5.3.	Proof of correspondence with the various stakeholders		Proof of all correspondence between Organs of State, key
	must be included in the final SR, should you be unable		stakeholders and the project team is included in Appendices
	to obtain comments, proof of the attempts that were		C4, C5 and C6.
	made to obtain comments must be submitted to the		Proof of attempts to secure written comments from Organs of
	Department.		State and key stakeholders are also included in Appendices
			C4. A spreadsheet indicating the follow-up attempts and
			outcome of the follow-ups are also included in Appendix C4 .
3.5.4.	The Public Participation Process must be conducted in		The public participation process has been conducted in terms
	terms of Regulations 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the EIA		of Regulations 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 and 44 of the EIA Regulations
	Regulations 2014, as amended.		2014, as amended. This is provided in Section 5.5.2 and
			Appendix C of this Final Scoping Report dated December
			2018.
3.6.	<u>General Comments</u>		
3.6.1.	You are further reminded that the final SR to be		This is acknowledged.
	submitted to this Department must comply with all the		
	requirements in terms of the scope of assessment and		
	content of Scoping Reports in accordance with		
	Appendix 2 and Regulations 21(1) of the amended EIA		
	Regulations, 2014.		
3.6.2.	Further note that in terms of Regulations 45 of the EIA		This is acknowledged.
	Regulations 2014, this application will lapse if the		
	applicant fails to meet any of the timeframes		
	prescribed in terms of the Regulations, unless an		
	extension has been granted in terms of Regulation 3(7).		

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
3.6.3.	You are hereby reminded of Section 24F of the National Environmental Management Act, Act No 107 of 1998, as amended, that no activity may commence prior to an environmental authorisation being granted by the		This is acknowledged.
4.	Recommendations include adhering to the 50 m buffer around the Vlermuisleegte as recommended by Dr Almond to avoid chance finds of fossil localities which has been included into a larger heritage buffer as part of the current project design. Alternative 1 for the access road is preferred. Further assessment of the potential impacts to heritage will be conducted during the EIA phase. Additional preliminary	Natasha Higgitt Heritage Officer SAHRA Letter: 19-11-2018	The buffer requirement from the specialist heritage assessment dated October 2018 has been taken into consideration in the scoping report, and will be taken into account in the impact phase. It is acknowledged that SAHRA prefers Alternative 1 for the access road.
1.1.	recommendations provided in the report include the following: A chance finds procedure for fossils should be		It is acknowledged that a chance finds procedure for fossils
1.2.	incorporated into the EMPr for the project; Once geotechnical work has been done on the site an archaeologist should be appointed to conduct test excavations and sampling of the archaeology in areas where gravel will be intersected. This work should aim primarily to understand the distribution of archaeology on the landscape, although if any dense archaeology is encountered it may be necessary to expand excavations; and		should be incorporated into the EMPr for the project. It is acknowledged that once geotechnical work has been done on the site, an archaeologist should be appointed to conduct test excavations and sampling of the archaeology in areas where gravel will be intersected. This work should aim primarily to understand the distribution of archaeology on the landscape, although if any dense archaeology is encountered it may be necessary to expand excavations.
1.3.	If any fossils, archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development then work in the immediate area should be halted. The find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist. Such heritage is the property of the state and may require excavation and curation in an approved institution.		It is acknowledged that if any fossils, archaeological material or human burials are uncovered during the course of development, then work in the immediate area should be halted, and that the find would need to be reported to the heritage authorities and may require inspection by an archaeologist.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.4.	Almond, J. E. 2018. Palaeontological Heritage Desktop Input: Hyperion Solar Development 1 near Kathu, Northern Cape.		No response required.
1.5.	The development footprint is underlain by the Kalahari Group Late Cenozoic continental sediments and Mokolanen Formation calcretes. Overlying these formations are the gravels of the Obobogorop Formation and red Kalahari aeolian sands of the Gordonia Formation. The watercourse (Vlermuisleegte) that runs through the property is associated with substantial calcrete deposits that may include palaeovlei or pan deposits and alluvial gravels, which may contain Quaternary mammal remains, trace and plant fossils similar to those recorded at Kathu Pan. Recommendations provided in the report include that the 120 m buffer around the Vlermuisleegte is to be adhered to and a chance finds protocol is to be		It is acknowledged that as per the findings of the heritage scoping assessment, that the development footprint is underlain by the Kalahari Group Late Cenozoic continental sediments and Mokolanen Formation calcretes. Overlying these formations are the gravels of the Obobogorop Formation and red Kalahari aeolian sands of the Gordonia Formation. The watercourse (Vlermuisleegte) that runs through the property is associated with substantial calcrete deposits that may include palaeo-vlei or pan deposits and alluvial gravels, which may contain Quaternary mammal remains, trace and plant fossils similar to those recorded at Kathu Pan. The buffer and chance finds protocol requirement from the specialist heritage assessment dated October 2018 has been taken into consideration in the scoping report, and will be
	followed should any fossils be uncovered during the construction phase.		taken into account in the impact phase.
1.7.	Interim Comment The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorites (APM) Unit notes the submitted Heritage Scoping Report and Palaeontological assessment and awaits the pending HIA.		No response required. It is confirmed that the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) will be submitted to SAHRA for comment once available to the public for comment in the Impact Phase of the application for the project.
1.7.2.	The Final Scoping report and the draft EIA with associated appendices must be uploaded to the case when available for public review before further comments are issued.		It is confirmed that the Final Scoping Report and the Draft Environmental Impact Assessment with associated appendices will be uploaded to the case when available for public review.
5.	Did you lodge your application in terms of Section 53? If yes please send me your reference number so that I can check if the application has been finalised.	MMboneni Mutheiwana Mineral Regulation DMR	Please note that we have been informed that an application in terms of Section 53 of the Minerals and Petroleum Resources and Development Act, 2002 has been lodged by the

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
_		Email: 21-11-2018	applicant. They are currently awaiting response. As soon as a response is received, this will be advised.
,	The December of Indian water of the property o		response is received, this will be davised.
6.	The Department takes note of the proposed activity	C Schwartz On behalf of the Provincial	
/ 1	and therefore provides the following comments:	Head: Northern Cape	
6.1	Altering the bed, banks, course or characteristics of a	· ·	It is acknowledged that altering the bed, banks, course and
	watercourse has been identified as a water use in term	Operations Dws	characteristics of a watercourse has been identified as a
	of the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998) and carrying	DWS	water use in terms of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36
	out of such activity will need a Water Use Authorisation		of 1998), and carrying out of such an activity will need Water
	in terms of the above mentioned act	Letter: 23-11-2018	Use Authorisation in terms of the above-mentioned Act. This
			has been taken into consideration and has been mentioned
			in the Draft Scoping Reports (DSRs) dated October 2018 for the
			four (4) proposed projects. This is specifically mentioned in
			Section 5.2.3 of the DSRs, accordingly. It is noted therein that
			the process of applying for a Water Use Authorisation will only
			be completed once a positive decision on the Environmental
			Authorisation (EA) has been received, and the project is
			selected as a Preferred Bidder, which is in line with the
			requirements of the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS
			– hereafter referred to as, "the Department").
6.2.	A Stormwater Management Plan must be developed		It is acknowledged that a Storm Water Management Plan
	for the four (4) sites		must be developed for each of the proposed project sites. This
			information has been forwarded to the applicant for their
			attention and consideration.
6.3.	Please report pollution incidents of any hazardous		The requirement to report pollution incidents of any hazardous
	materials to this Department immediately		materials to the Department is acknowledged and will be
			included in the Environmental Management Programme
			(EMPr) to be developed for each of the proposed projects.
6.4.	Please take note that engaging in any water use		It is acknowledged that engaging in any water use activities
	activities without authorisation from the Department is		without authorisation from the Department is unlawful. This
	unlawful		information has been forwarded to the applicant for their
			attention.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
6.5.	All sewage and wash water, as well as any waste		It is acknowledged that all sewage and wash water, as well as
	generated during the construction phase of the		any waste generated during the construction phase of the
	facilities will be collected, contained and disposed of		facilities will need to be collected, contained and disposed of
	at the permitted and / or licensed facilities		at the permitted and / or licensed facilities. This measure will
			be included in the EMPr to be developed for each of the
			proposed projects in the EIA Phase.
7.	I would like to ask if we could get an extension for this	Henning Myburgh	Agri Northern Cape's request for extension on the SR has been
	feedback until Friday 30 November 2018 please.	General Manager: Agri	discussed with the Project Manager and it was confirmed that
	Due to a heavy workload the past 3 months with all the	Northern Cape	extension for written comments has been granted until Friday,
	landreform processes we have not been able to pay		30 November 2018.
	proper attention to this and a few other calls for	Email: 25-11-2018	It was requested that should Agri Northern Cape not receive
	comment.		any other comments from their members on these four (4) SRs,
	We will really appreciate your assistance in this regard.		they were kindly request that it please be stated formally either
			in an e-mail or letter to Savannah Environmental.
8.	DEPARTMENTAL MANDATE	Jacoline Mans	
	The directorate: Forestry Management (Other Regions)	Chief Forester: NFA	
	in the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries	Regulation	
	(DAFF) is responsible for administration of the National		
	Forests Act, Act 84 of 1998 (NFA) and the National Veld	DAFF	
	and Forest Act, Act 101 of 1998 as amended. The		
	developer must take note of the following sections of	Letter: 26-11-2018	
	the NFA:		
8.1.	Section 12(1): "The Minister may declare-		Section 12(1) of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of
	a) a particular tree		1998) is acknowledged and has been forwarded to the
	b) a particular group of trees		applicant for their attention and consideration.
	c) a particular woodland; or		
	d) trees belonging to a particular species, to be a		
	protected tree, group of trees, woodland or		
	species.		
8.2.	Section 15(1): "No person may-		Section 15(1) of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of
	a) Cut, disturb, damage or destroy any protected		1998) is acknowledged and has been forwarded to the
	tree; or		applicant for their attention and consideration.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	b) Possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree, or any forest product from a protected tree, except- (i) under a license granted by the Minister; or (ii) in terms of an exemption from the provision of this subsection published by the Minister in the Gazette on the advice of the Council."		
8.3.	 "Any person who contravenes the prohibition on- (i) The cutting, disturbance, damage or destruction of temporary protected trees or groups of trees referred to in section 14(2) or protected trees refered to in section 15(1)(a); or (ii) The possession, collection, removal, transport, export, purchase or sale of temporarily protected trees or groups of trees referred to in section 14(2) or protected trees referred to in section 15(1)(b), or any forest product derived from a temporarily protected tree, group of trees or protected tree, is guilty of a first category offence. 		Contravention of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) in terms of related points (i) and (ii) of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) is acknowledged and has been forwarded to the applicant for their attention and consideration.
8.4.	Section 58(1): "Any person who is guilty of a first category offence referred to in section 62 and 63 may be sentenced to a fine or imprisonment for a period of up to three years, or to both a fine and such imprisonment"		Section 58(1) of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) is acknowledged and has been forwarded to the applicant for their attention and consideration.
8.5.	The list of protected tree species under section 12(1) (d) of the National Forest Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) is published annually; the most recent publication was in GN536 of 7 September 2018.		The most recent publication in GN536 of 7 September 2018 of the list of protected tree species under Section 12(1)(d) of the National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998) is acknowledged and has been forwarded to the applicant for their attention and consideration.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
Comn	nents on draft environmental impact assessment report		
8.6.	The proposed four(4) 75 MW PV Facilities planned on the Remaining Extent of Farm Lyndoch 432, 16km north of Kathu, refers. The proposed development may have significant impacts on vachellia erioloba and Vachellia haematoxylon. The Department will access the cumulative impacts of the four developments, even if constructed by different companies (if authorised), because it is located on one property. Once the threshold of 2000 protected trees are exceeded, a biodiversity offset may be required to compensate for the loss of large numbers of protected trees. Offsets must be in the form of land formally declared as Nature Reserves or Protected Area.		The Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries' (DAFF – hereafter referred to as, "the Department") point is noted that the proposed development of the four (4) Hyperion Solar Developments may have a significant impact on Vachellia erioloba and Vachellia haematoxylon given that (as per the fauna and flora specialist scoping report dated September 2018), it is stated that the project site has a high abundance of V. erioloba and V. haematoxylon, and that relatively large numbers of Acacia haematoxylon (2000-6000) would potentially be lost within each Solar Energy Facility (SEF) proposed on the Remaining Extent of Farm Lyndoch 432. However, it must also be noted that it is the opinion of the ecological specialist that this species is very abundant in the area and the local population would not be compromised. Nonetheless, it is acknowledged that the Department will assess the cumulative impact, and that once the threshold of 2000 protected species are exceeded in expectation of the loss of the species, that a biodiversity offset may be requested to compensate for the loss of large umber of trees. It must however be further noted that it can be confirmed that the impact fauna and flora specialist assessment will provide more detailed information on the cumulative impact of the proposed SEFs on protected species, as well as general cumulative impacts of other renewable energy developments in the greater study region during the EIA Phase of this Project.
8.7.	The proposed Alternative 2 access road is not feasible nor is it supported, because it passes through a portion		The Department's concern is acknowledged that the proposed Alternative 2 access road passes through a portion
	of Kathu Forest Protected Woodland and may have		of Kathu Forest Protected Woodland, and that it may have
	unwanted, additional dust impacts on trees in the		unwanted, additional dust impacts on trees in the Woodland.
	Woodland. The report mentioned that this access		It is also acknowledged that it is the Department's point of

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	alternative is located within a Critical Biodiversity Area,		view that the proposed Alternative 2 access road is a fatal
	probably attributed to the Kathu Forest Protected		flaw. Importantly, the assessment of alternatives will take
	Woodland. If authorisation is granted, it should not be		place in the impact phase should the Department of
	for this alternative. In fact, this access alternative is from		Environmental Affairs approve the Plan of Study for the
	DAFF's point of view a fatal flaw and cannot be		application to continue into the impact phase of the
	authorised.		assessment. All impacts will therefore be taken into
			consideration, and evaluated against each of the alternatives
			proposed in the impact phase. An environmentally preferred
			option will be proposed based on environmental merit, which
			may also take into account other variables such as social,
			economic and technical criteria. However, based on the
			Department's response and reasoning, the investigation of
			additional alternative access routes are currently being
			undertaken at this stage, and will be included in the impact
			phase once finalized for detailed assessment in the impact
			phase.
8.8.	The reports mentioned that access route alternative 2		Kindly note that it must be clarified that where a road is
	will have to be widened by 4 meters. Kindly note the		required, it will be up to a 9m width, which includes for
	DAFF may refuse to issue licenses for removal of		upgraded sections of existing roads or new roads to be
	protected trees to facilitate widening of access road		established. It is acknowledged that the Department may
	alternative 2, because it is located in the Kathu Forest		refuse to issue licenses for the removal of protected trees to
	Protected Woodland. In the protected woodland, all		facilitate the widening of access road Alternative 2, as this
	tree species are protected, not just the protected trees		access alternative is located in the Kathu Forest Protected
	per se.		Woodland, and that in the protected woodland, all tree
			species are protected, not just the protected tree species per
			se. This information will be used to assist with guiding the
			selection of a suitable access alternative in the application for
			each proposed SEF. In addition, as per the response in point
			2.2 above, additional alternatives access routes are currently
			being investigated, and will be included in the impact phase
			once finalized for detailed assessment in the impact phase.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
8.9.	The report is not consistent in terms of the size of the		To clarify on the area of the proposed developments, it must
	proposed development footprints. Figures mentioned		be noted that the exact footprint can only be established in
	vary from 180ha to 200ha per development, but during		the impact phase once a site layout is available. At this stage
	the DAFF Focus Group Meeting in Upington on 8		of the scoping phase however, approximate figures have
	November 2018, development footprint figures of 250		been provided in provision of this. It must also be clarified that
	ha per facility were mentioned. Please clarify.		the "development area" must be distinguished from the
			"development footprint". As such, the "development area"
			of approximately 200 hectares was referred to at the focus
			group meeting held in Upington on the 8th November 2018,
			which is in accordance with the Draft Scoping Reports (DSRs)
			dated (October 2018) for each of the proposed SEF's. The
			"development footprint" referred to in the same reports, are
			approximately 180 hectares. This is explicitly maintained
			throughout the DSRs.
8.10.	The Vachellia haematoxylon density on site is said to		The Department's concern that each of the proposed projects
	vary between 10 trees/ha to 50 trees/ha. The		may trigger a biodiversity offset under the DAFF licensing
	destruction of ±4 x200 ha of vegetation may thus result		guidelines is acknowledged. Accordingly, it has been
	in the loss of anything from 2000 to 10 000 Grey Camel		communicated with the applicant that a written offset
	thorn trees per development, with Vachellia erioloba		agreement, with timeframes for implementation and proven
	said to have even higher densities in some parts of the		funding for long-term management of the offset area may be
	project sites. Therefore, the chances are very good that		required before any license can be processed. However, the
	even just one of the four projects may trigger an offset		need for the removal of protected tree species and the
	under DAFF licensing guidelines, which can cause		requirement for a biodiversity offset will be further assessed by
	delays. A written offset agreement, with timeframes for		the ecological specialist, the applicant and the Environmental
	implementation and proven funding for long-term		Assessment Practitioner (EAP) in the impact phase.
	management of the offset area, may be required		
	before any license can be processed.		
8.11.	The reports stated that more detailed assessments will		Section 2.3 of the DSRs provide information on the site
	be conducted during the EIA phase to accurately		selection process and pre-feasibility analysis that was
	quantify the number if individuals of protected trees		undertaken which resulted in the selection of the project site
	affected in the Solar Energy Facilities (SEF) footprints, so		on the Remaining Extent of Farm Lyndoch 432 for which was
	that this can inform any potentially required offset		taken forward into the environmental authorisation

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	calculation. It is of concern that developers and		application process. This section refers to the factors taken
	consultants talk so easily about offsets. Offset should be		into consideration for a suitable site, which includes
	the last resort after all other efforts to avoid and		favourable climatic conditions (solar renewable energy
	minimize impacts were exhausted. An effort should		facilities are directly reliant on average solar radiation values
	have been made to find suitable alternative land		for a particular area), access and capacity of the electricity
	where impacts on vegetation are unlikely to trigger an		grid and grid connection cost, accessibility of the properties
	offset. No alternative land/farms were considered.		and finally, compatibility of current land use and local site
			topography to determine an ideal project site for the
			establishment of the proposed SEFs. The investigation also
			focussed on the sensitivity and density of the vegetation type
			which notes that due to sensitive vegetation being present on
			some of the properties in the area as well as the high
			concentration of vegetation, several properties were
			excluded as feasible options for further consideration.
			Alternative land / farms were therefore considered but not
			selected due to not meeting the various above-mentioned
			criteria to a greater of lesser extent.
8.12.	Is a offset is triggered, the developer can be asked to		It is acknowledged that the developer will have to prove
	carry the costs of the declaration of the Forest Nature		sufficient funds are allocated and ring-fenced for the long-
	Reserve or Protected Area, which can easily amounts		term management of the offset area (if required). This has
	to \pm R200 000 just for the media notices as prescribed in		been forwarded to the applicant for their attention and
	the Act. This will be in addition to costs for the long-term		consideration. However, as per point 2.5 above, the ultimate
	management of the offset area, which include the		need and potential requirement for a biodiversity offset will be
	development and implementation of a management		further assessed by the ecological specialist, the applicant
	plan, game fencing, problem animal control,		and the EAP in the impact phase.
	management of alien invasive plants, etc. The		
	developer will have to proof sufficient funds are		
	allocated and ring-fenced for the long-term		
	management of the offset area (if required)		
8.13.	The developer must note that trees with active bird		It is acknowledged that trees with active bird nests may not be
	nests may not be removed or disturbed without a valid		removed or disturbed without a valid Fauna permit from
			Nature Conservation.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	Fauna permit from Nature Conservation. It was not		
	mentioned in the report.		
9.	Reference is hereby made to the Scoping Report and	Lerato Mokhoantle	
	Environmental Impact Assessment Report for the	Control Environmental	
	proposed development of Hyperion Solar Photovoltaic	Officer	
	(PV) 2 Solar Energy facility and associated	DWS	
	infrastructures within the above-mentioned property		
	near Kathu by Savannah Environmental Consulting on	Letter: 26-11-2018	
	behalf of Cyraguard (Pty) Ltd as submitted to the		
	Department of Water and Sanitation, received on the		
	19 November 2018		
9.1.	The above mentioned reports were received and		
	evaluated by the Department as it is our mandate		
	according to the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of		
	1998) to comment on such proposed activities.		
RECON	MMENDATIONS AND DECISION		
9.2.	As mentioned in the reports submitted, the Department		
	takes note that the proposed activity at the above		
	mentioned property will include the development of		
	Hyperion Solar Development and associated		
	infrastructures. The area falls within the D41K		
	quaternary catchment in the Molopo Catchment of		
	the Vaal Catchment Management Agency. After		
	carefully considering, evaluating and reviewing of the		
	submitted Scoping Report and Environmental Impact		
	Assessment Report the Department has the following		
	comments:		
9.3.	It is appears that the nature of activities the applicant		This is correct. However, the degree of impact is yet to be
	is proposing to engage in has potential impacts on the		determined as the potential impacts have only been scoped
	environment and water resources, as the area		at this stage of the scoping phase of the environmental
	proposed for the solar energy development and		authorisation application process. A full impact assessment

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	associated activities has an episodic Vlermuisleegte River and a depression wetland.		will be provided in the impact phase of the project which will include information on impacts to water resources.
9.4.	The Department rates all perennial and non-perennial streams together with all dry river beds and natural drainage, pans, wetlands and associated riparian areas extremely sensitive to development;		It is acknowledged that the Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS – hereafter referred to as, "the Department") rates all perennial and non-perennial stream, dry river beds, and natural drainage, pans, wetlands and associated riparian areas as extremely sensitive to development.
9.5.	No activity (this including drilling) may occur within the 1:100-year flood line of a river/drainage lines (perennial/non-perennial river) and 500 m of a pan/wetland without an authorisation from this Department;		It is acknowledged that no activities may occur within the 1:100 year floodline of a river/drainage line and 500m of a pan/wetland without authorisation from the Department. To this end, it is anticipated that Water Use Authorisation may be required for the project. Section 5.2.3 of the Draft Scoping Report dated October 2018 states that the process of applying for a Water Use Authorisation will only be completed once a positive decision on the Environmental Authorisation (EA) has been received, and the project is selected as a Preferred Bidder, which is in line with the requirements of the Department.
9.6.	Your client is advice to consider the alternative 2 for the construction of access road, since alternative 1 has episodic Vlermuisleegte River.		The recommendation to advise the applicant that to consider alternative 2 for the construction of the access road (since alternative 1 routes through the episodic Vlermuisleegte) is acknowledged, and will be forwarded accordingly for their attention. However, it must be noted that the assessment of alternatives will only take place in the impact phase should the Department of Environmental Affairs approve the Plan of Study for the application to continue into the impact phase of the assessment. All impacts will therefore be taken into consideration, and evaluated against each of the alternatives proposed in the impact phase. An environmentally preferred option will be proposed based on environmental merit, which may also take into account other variables such as social,

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			economic and technical criteria. In addition, it must also be mentioned that the investigation of additional alternative access routes are currently being undertaken at this stage due to environmental sensitivities identified on both the proposed alternative access routes, and will be included in the impact phase once finalized for detailed assessment in the impact phase.
9.7.	No water should be abstracted from underground (boreholes) and stream or any other water resources for both construction and operational phases of the solar power development and related activities without a proper authorisation from this Department;		It is acknowledged that no water should be abstracted without a proper authorisation from the Department. As per point c) above, Section 5.2.3 of the Draft Scoping Report dated October 2018 states that the process of applying for a Water Use Authorisation will only be completed once a positive decision on the Environmental Authorisation (EA) has been received, and the project is selected as a Preferred Bidder, which is in line with the requirements of the Department.
9.8.	Note that the proposed activities require a water use authorisation from this Department in terms of Section 40 of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998). The authorisation application can be lodged electronically via the Electronic Water Use Licence Application and Authorisation System (EWULAAS — www.dws.gov.za/ewulaas).		As per point c) and e) above, Section 5.2.3 of the Draft Scoping Report dated October 2018 states that the process of applying for a Water Use Authorisation will only be completed once a positive decision on the EA has been received, and the project is selected as a Preferred Bidder, which is in line with the requirements of the Department. Furthermore, it is acknowledged that the authorisation application can be lodged electronically via the Electronic Water Use License Application and Authorisation System (EWULAAS – www.dws.gov.za/ewulaas). This information will be forwarded to the applicant for their attention.
9.9.	It is projected that the proposed activities could trigger the following water uses in terms of National Water Act, 1998 (Act. 36 of 1998): Section 21 (a); (c), (g) and (i); as well a GN704 Exemption;		It is acknowledged that the proposed activities could trigger water uses in terms of Section 21 (a), (c), (g) and (i), as well as a GN704 Exemption of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act. No 36 of 1998) (NWA). The requirement for a water use license prior to construction of the solar energy facility (SEF) will be

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
			included in the Environmental Management Programme
			(EMPr) which will be compiled in the impact phase as part of
			the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). The actual
			activities to be triggered will be determined once a positive
			decision on the EA has been received, and the project is
			selected as a Preferred Bidder, which is in line with the
			requirements of the Department.
9.10.	Your client is therefore advised to apply and obtain the		It is acknowledged that the applicant will be required to
	water use authorisation prior to commencement of the		obtain the water use authorisation prior to commencement of
	proposed activities. The applicant should send the		the proposed activities. It is further noted that the Department
	intent to apply for a water use authorisation to the		will not accept any water use license application without a
	Department. Note that this Department will not accept		proper pre-consultation. This information will be forwarded to
	any water use licence application without a proper		the applicant for their attention.
	pre-application;		
9.11.	A pre-consultation meeting and site inspection has to		It is acknowledged that a pre-consultation meeting and site
	be arranged with the Department to advice on the		inspection will need to be arranged with the Department to
	water uses that are triggered by the activity which		advise on the water uses that will be triggered by the activity
	require authorisation and relevant reports (including		and will require authorisation and relevant reports. This
	studies that need to be conducted before submission		however, will only be undertaken once a positive decision on
	of the complete application to Department);		the EA has been received, and the project is selected as a
			Preferred Bidder, which is in line with the requirements of the
			Department. This information will be forwarded to the
			applicant for their attention.
9.12.	Please note that should the applicant decided to		It is acknowledged that a risk matrix will need to be conducted
	conduct any activities within 500 m of the buffer zone		where the applicant decides to undertake any activities within
	of pans/wetland or within 1:100 years floodline, a risk		500m of the buffer zone of pans/wetlands or within the 1:100
	matrix has to be conducted by an Aquatic Specialist		year floodline to assist the Department to decide on the type
	(registered with SACNASP as a professional member)		of water use authorisation requirements for the proposed
	and submitted to the Department in order to		activities. This however, will only be undertaken once a
	determine the impacts of the proposed activities on		positive decision on the EA has been received, and the
	the watercourse. This activity will trigger with Section 21		project is selected as a Preferred Bidder, which is in line with
	(c) and (i)water uses in terms of the National Water Act,		

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	1998 (Act no.36 of 1998). This information will assist the		the requirements of the Department. This information will be
	Department to decide on the type of water use		forwarded to the applicant for their attention.
	authorisation requirements for the proposed activities;		
9.13.	Section 19 & 20 of the National Water Act, 1998 (Act		It is acknowledged that Section 19 and 20 of the NWA should
	No.36 of 1998) should be adhered to;		be adhered to. This information will be forwarded to the
			applicant for their attention.
9.14.	The construction camp shall not be located within the		The requirement of the Department that the construction
	1:100 year flood line or within 100 meters whatever is		camp shall not be located within the 1:100 year floodline or
	the greatest from any watercourse. Operation and		within 100 metres (whatever is greatest) from any watercourse
	storage of equipment within the riparian zone must be		as well as the requirement that the operation and storage of
	limited as far as possible.		equipment within the riparian zone must be limited as far as
			possible is acknowledged and will be included in the
			Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) to be
			developed for the proposed project.
9.15.	Stormwater Management Plan, Geohydrological		It is acknowledged that a Storm Water Management Plan,
	Report, Rehabilitation Plan and Public Participation		Geohydrological Report, Rehabilitation Plan and Public
	report must form part of water use licence application		Participation report must form part of the water use license
	documents.		application and documents. This information has been
			forwarded to the applicant for their attention.
9.16.	Aquatic/wetland delineation report study must include		It is acknowledged that the aquatic/wetland delineation
	the Present Ecological State (PES), Ecological		report study must include the Present Ecological State (PES),
	Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) and Recommended		Ecological Importance and Sensitivity (EIS) and
	Ecological Class (REC) the area.		Recommended Ecological Class (REC). The impact phase
			watercourse assessment will include these elements into the
			specialist report for the Department's consideration. This
			information has been provided to the appointed Aquatic
			Specialist for their attention.
9.17.	The EIA must clearly show the methods for collecting,		It is acknowledged that Department requires that the
	storing, transporting and finally disposing of all waste		Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) must clearly show the
	products produced as well as the responsible and		methods for collecting, storing, transporting and finally
	accountable persons. This includes written consent		disposing of all waste products produced as well as the
	from the relevant accredited waste disposal site/		responsible and accountable persons, which must include

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	sewage disposal/ oil disposal in handling the waste. All		written consent from the relevant accredited waste disposal
	applicable sections of the National Environmental		site/sewage disposal/ oil disposal in handling of waste and
	Management: Waste Act 59 of 2008 should be strictly		finally, that all applicable section of the National
	adhered		Environmental Management: Waste Act 59 of 2008 should be strictly adhered to.
9.18.	The disposal of general waste and that of hazardous		It is acknowledged that the disposal of general waste and that
	waste must be carried out in an environmentally safe		of hazardous waste must be carried out in an environmentally
	way as to prevent and/or minimise the potential for		safe way as to prevent and/or minimise the potential for
	pollution of water resources and collection of which		pollution of water resources and collection of which should be
	should be done by an accredited waste collector. All		done by an accredited waste collector, and that all
	applicable Sections of the National Environmental		applicable section of the National Environmental
	Management: Waste Act 59 of 2008 should be strictly		Management: Waste Act 59 of 2008 should be strictly adhered
	adhered to;		to.
9.19.	The EIA must clearly identify all risks that are associated		It is acknowledged that the EIA must clearly identify all risks that
	with the project that can affect the water resources in		are associated with the project that can affect the water
	and around the project area and state all		resources in and around the project area, and to state all
	implementable measures to prevent and respond to		implementable measures to prevent and respond to
	accidents and abnormal events that may occur.		accidents and abnormal events that may occur. The impact
			phase watercourse assessment will take into account all such
			risks and include suitable mitigation measures to prevent and
			respond to accidents and abnormal events that may into the
			specialist report for the Department's consideration.
9.20.	The EIA must clearly show through a responsibility matrix		It is acknowledged that the EIA must clearly show through a
	and organogram the responsible persons for		responsibility matrix and organogram the responsible persons
	implementing the mitigation measures and reporting		for implementing the mitigation measures and reporting lines,
	lines, in the event of an accident.		in the event of an accident. This level of detail will be included
			in the EMPr to be developed for the proposed project.
9.21.	The Department has no objections to this activity,		No response required.
	provided the applicant has provided proof of		
	adherence to the above-mentioned		
	recommendations and addressed all issues contained		
	in this letter.		

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
9.22.	This reply does not grant any exemption from the requirements of any applicable Act, Ordinance, Regulation or By-law.		No response required.
9.23.	This office reserves the right to revise initial comments and request additional information that may arise from correspondence and/or upon inspection.		No response required.
10.	The area in which the solar photovoltaic solar panels is situated is 13km SE of the FAR25: GA-TLHOSE/MAREMANE MILITARY SHOOTING RANGE boundary. It also falls 5km NW of Sishen Airport, which is within the boundaries of the ICAO Annex 14 surfaces associated with the airport. We cannot determine whether the proposed will affect the safety of flights, we would have to conduct a formal assessment once the project is ready for construction. It may include a glint and glare impact assessment to be done as per SACAA requirement (refer to: Obstacle Notice 4/2017 (17/11/2017): Additional Requirements for Solar Project Applications) on the SACAA website.	Simphiwe Masilele Obstacle Evaluator ANTS Email: 27-11-2018	It is acknowledged that the project site in which the solar photovoltaic solar panels is situated is 13km South East of the FAR25: GA-TLHOSE/MAREMANE MILITARY SHOOTING RANGE boundary. It is also acknowledged that the project site falls 5km North West of Sishen Airport, which is within the boundaries of the International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) Annex 14 surfaces associated with the airport. Furthermore, we note that Air Traffic Navigation Services (ATNS) cannot determine whether the proposed will affect the safety of flights, and that a formal assessment would have to conducted once the project is ready for construction. Lastly, it is acknowledged that the proposed development(s) may include a glint and glare impact assessment to be done as per South African Civil Aviation Authority (SACAA) requirement (refer to: Obstacle Notice 4/2017 (17/11/2017): Additional Requirements for Solar Project Applications) on the SACAA website. This information has been communicated to the applicant for their consideration of the project as and when the abovementioned studies will be required.
10.1.	We request that you please update us should there be any new developments that may affect our interests.		It is confirmed that should we be aware of any further new developments, that may affect ATNS interests, we will notify and update ATNS accordingly in line with environmental requirements.
10.2.	We will duly conduct assessments as required when the project is ready for construction.		It is acknowledged that ATNS will duly conduct assessments as required when the project is ready for construction. This information has been communicated to the applicant for their

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	Furthermore, we kindly request that all queries or new		consideration of the project as and when the above-
	applications to be forwarded to the Obstacle		mentioned studies will be required.
	Evaluators on the following:		
	obstacleEvaluator@atns.co.za		
10.3.	For note for us to carry out a successful assessment we		It is confirmed that all queries or new applications will be
	require the following information:		forwarded to the Obstacle Evaluators at the email address
	1. LOCATION (Co-ordinates WGS84 system)		provided (obstacleEvaluator@atns.co.za).
	2. SITE/GROUND ELEVATION (AMSL)		
	3. HEIGHT TO TOP OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT (in		
	meters)		

5. OTHER

5.1. General Comments

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.	We noticed the notice of Environmental Impact Assessment for Hyperion Solar Development in Northern Cape Province We, Kalaharimed(PTY) Ltd, is a medical company, rendering Occupational Primary and Emergency Services in Kathu, Northern Cape at various mines and have an Offsite clinic in Kathu, where we are performing medical examinations eq, Pre employment, Annual and Exit medicals on a daily basis. We realized Hyperion is in the starting phase, but we want to request you to come and pay as a visit to have a look at the clinic and to contact us as soon as you need medicals	Ria Langeveld Operations Manager Kalaharimed (PTY)LTD Email: 29-11-2018	The statement is correct, and the project is currently in the first phase of the Environmental Impact Assessment (Scoping) and it is envisaged that the Impact phase will start in early 2019. The request to your clinic a visit will be forwarded to the Applicant as Savannah Environmental deals only with the impact studies for this proposed project and it can be confirmed that as Environmental Impact Assessment Practitioner (EAP) will therefore not be making use of the Company's services during the EIA Process.
2.	to be done? We noticed the notice of Environmental Impact Assessment for Hyperion Solar Development in Northern Cape Province We, Kalaharimed(PTY) Ltd, is a medical company, rendering Occupational Primary and	Ria Langeveld Operations Manager Kalaharimed (PTY)LTD	You are correct, we are only in the first phase of the Environmental Impact Assessment (Scoping) and it is envisaged that the Impact phase will start in early 2019.

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
	Emergency Services in Kathu, Northern Cape at various	Email: 29-11-2018	Your request for the team to pay your clinic a visit will be
	mines and have an Off site clinic in Kathu, where we are		forwarded to the Applicant as we as the Environmental
	performing medical examinations eq, Pre employment,		Assessment Practitioner will not be making use of your Company's
	Annual and Exit medicals on a daily basis. We realized		services during the Environmental Impact
	Hyperion is in the starting phase, but we want to request		Assessment (EIA) Process. Hope you find above-mentioned
	you to come and pay as a visit to have a look at the		response in order. Please do not hesitate to contact us should your
	clinic and to contact us as soon as you need medicals		Company require any information at this stage of the (EIA).
	to be done?		

5.2. Request for Registration as I&AP

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
3.	Requested to be registered on the project database as	Veronique Fyfe	Registration confirmed per e-mail to I&APs.
	an I&APs.	Project Manager	
		G7 Renewable	
		Energies (Pty) Ltd	
		Email: 4-10-2018	
		Magdalena	
		Michalowska	
		Environmental,Legal	
		Compliance Manager	
		Africa & Middle East	
		Building Energy South	
		Africa (Pty) Ltd	
		Email: 30-10-2018	
		Karl van Heerden	
		Board Member	
		Saasveld Boerdery	
		Email: 1-11-2018	

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
		Roxanne Mustard	
		Regional Content	
		Researcher	
		Leads 2 Business	
		Email: 28-11-2018	

5.3. Request for Release Code to Project Information on Website

NO.	COMMENT	RAISED BY	RESPONSE
1.	Request for the Release Codes for the four (4) proposed projects were received via e-mail	Veronique Fyfe Project Manager G7 Renewable Energies (Pty) Ltd Email: 04-10-2018 Magdalena Michalowska;	The Release Code was e-mailed to the I&APs as requested.
		Environmental, Legal Compliance Manager Africa & Middle East Building Energy South Africa (Pty) Ltd Email: 30-10-2018	
		Karl van Heerden; Board Member; Saasveld Boerdery Email: 01-11-2018 Jan Burger; I&AP Email: 07-11-2018	

	Julie Nel; Landowner	
	Tel.: 19-11-2018	