| | IMPACTS | | | | | | | | PROBABILITY | RANKING WITHOUT MITIGATION | CONFIDENCE | IMPLEMENTATION OF MANAGEMENT MEASURES | | RANKING WITH MITIGATION |
|-----------------------|----------------|---|---------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Nature | Description | Alternative | Cumulative | Туре | Extent (A) | Duration (B) | Intensity (C) | Probability (P) | Significance (A+B+C)XP | Confidence | Description and/or Mitigation and Management Measures (if applicable) | Mitigation Effectiveness | Significance |
| CONSTRUCTION PHASE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Negative | Dust emissions | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Medium | Likely | Low | High | A speed limit of 20km/h must be maintained on all dirt roads. Dust suppression by means of either water or biodegradable chemical agent is required. | High | Low |
| | | | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Short-term | Medium | Likely | Low | High | | High | Low |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | Emissions from vehicles and | Proposal | -Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | In terms of transportation of workers and materials, collective transportation arrangements should be made to reduce individual car journeys where possible. All vehicles used during the project should be properly maintained and in good working order. | Medium | Low |
| Atmospheric Emissions | | equipment (CO2, NOx, SOx, VOC's etc.) | Alternative 1 | | | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | All vehicles and other machinery should comply with road worthy requirements and comply with legislation in terms of allowable emissions. | Medium | Low |
| | Not Applicable | <u> </u> | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Medium | Likely | Low | High | Equipment and/or machinery which will be used must comply with the manufacturer's specifications on acceptable noise levels. | High | Low |
| | | Noise | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Medium | Likely | Low | High | Construction activities should be limited to daytime only. | High | Low |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | -Yes | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | The proposal is preferred from a water quality perspective as it reduces construction activities within the wetland. A Wetland Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: stock piling outside the wetland, stormwater management dry season construction, filtration. The general measures should be implemented: Chemical toilets must be supplied and maintained during the construction phase Ablution facilities (chemical toilets) are to be provided by the Contractor, at a ratio of 1:10. Ablution facilities (chemical toilets) must be erected within 100m from all workplaces but within the developmen footprint. Toilets are to be secured to the ground, and must have a closing mechanism. Toilet paper must be provided at these facilities and must be serviced once per week. Certified contractors to maintain and remove chemical toilets regularly. The contractor must ensure that spillage does not occur when toilets are cleaned/serviced and contents must be properly stored and disposed of. | High | Low |
| | | Water quality | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Incidental | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | Discharge of waste into the environment and/or burial of waste are strictly prohibited. Sanitary arrangements must be to the satisfaction of the PM, ECO, the local authorities and the applicable lega requirements. Drip trays must be placed under all vehicles when immobile for longer than 24 hours. Vehicles suspected of leaking must be monitored and conduct a pre start-up inspection checklist. Drip trays must be checked and replaced for vehicles standing (parked) for prolonged periods. Drip trays must be of a sufficient size and volume to collect any hydrocarbon leakages from a stationary vehicle. Spill kits (absorbent material) must be available on site and in all vehicles that transport hydrocarbons for dispensing to other vehicles on the construction site. Spilled substances must be contained in impermeable containers for removal to a licensed hazardous waste site. Significant spills should be reported to the Project Manager or Contractors Manager and ECO who should report his to the relevant authority | Medium | Low |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | None | None required. However, it should be noted that the existing state of the wetland is poor and will continue to | Not Applicable | None |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | deteoriate without rehabilitation. | TF.100010 | |
| | | | Proposal | | | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits construction within the wetland and thus reduces impacts to the flow regime during construction. A Wetland Assessment was also undertaken and the following general | High | Low |

| Impact to Wetland | Negative | Flow Regime | Alternative 1 | Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Medium | High | measures must be implemented: stock piling outside the wetland, stormwater management dry season construction, filtration. In addition, a Hydropedological Assessment was undertaken and the following measures were recommended: It is suggested that suitable infill for benching be afforded, primarily as the site holds the entire hillslope (via the plug) in balance. The infilling of suitable materials will provide for the increased capacity of the site to mitigate future envisaged developments along the bottom of the site (i.e. the construction of the Metro Boulevard and intersection with Christiaan de Wet Road), simultaneously allowing for minimal destruction of the existing hillslope 'plug'. Where shallow interflow is dominated by deep interflow (Unit 4, Figure 6 9), a separate/unique mitigation must be afforded. The implicit flowpath is of high flux and reduction value, relative to the surrounding soils (Unit 4, Figure 6 9). The following are recommended: o Onsite consolation with hydropedologist prior and during services installation o Onsite consolation with hydropedologist prior and during cut and fill design (levels to be determined) on Bedrock was not encountered during the survey. (Geo-tech report also corroborates same). Soil rock interface was not encountered during the survey. (Geo-tech report also corroborates same). Soil rock interface was not encountered due to limitation of the use of TLB machinery. Hand auguring was not of the reminished but to the hardness of the material. It is thus recommended that a mechanical (drill type) investigation be conducted to confirm bedrock conditions. The topological backslope area (Unit 4, Figure 6 9) should be further investigated in terms of the annual duration of saturation as factor for the reduction i.e. maturation of the soil (gleying). Wetland drivers should be maintained as far as possible. Water quality preservation is key. In addition, the following general measures should be implemented: In reduce the loss of material by erosion, disturbance m | Medium | Low |
|-------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------|------|--|----------------|------------|
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | None | None required. However, it should be noted that the existing state of the wetland is poor and will continue to | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | -No | Indirect | Site | Medium-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | | The proposal is preferred as it limits construction within the wetland and thus reduces impacts to habitat during construction. A Wetland Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: stock piling outside the wetland, minimal ingress and egress. | High | Low |
| | J | Habitat | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Medium-term | Medium-High | Likely | Low-Medium | High | The following general measures should be implemented: Clearance to be limited to the development footprint. Wetland to be pegged prior to construction. | Medium | Low |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Medium-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | | The proposal is preferred as it limits construction within the wetland and thus reduces impacts to geomorphology during construction. A Wetland Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: stormwater management design and erosion control measures. The following general measures should be implemented: Instability and erosion of steep slopes must be stabilised immediately. Re-vegetation in consultation with landscape architect and ECO should be done if and where required. To reduce the loss of material by erosion, disturbance must be kept to a minimum. Where possible, natural vegetation should be retained to reduce the risk of erosion. Silf ences must be used to stabilise the site, reduce erosion and silt entering the natural environment. No | High | Low |
| | Negative | Geomorphology | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Medium-term | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Medium | High | Increase must be used to stabilise the site, reduce efficient and sit entering the natural environment. We unchecked silt may enter the natural environment. Proper stormwater management as per the approved stormwater management plan (including bio-engineered regional plan). Increased run-off during construction should be managed using berms, temporary cut-off drains, attenuation ponds or other suitable structures, in consultation with the ECO and resident Engineer. Stormwater management system is to be installed as soon as possible following site establishment, to attenuate stormwater during the construction phase, as well as during the operational phase. Surface-water run-off and stormwater must be directed away from trenches and areas of excavation. | Low | Low-Medium |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | Уас | Indirect | Neighbouring | Medium-term | Medium | Likely | Low | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits construction within the wetland and thus indirectlyb reduces impacts to biota during construction. A Wetland Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: stockpiling outside the wetland area, minimal ingress or egress. The following general measures should be implemented: Waste management must be a priority and all waste must be collected and stored adequately. It is | High | Low |

| | ivegauve | Biota | I | 169 | munect | | | | | | | recommended that all waste be removed from site on a weekly basis to prevent rodents and pests entering the | | |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|------|---|----------------|------------|
| | | | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Medium-term | Medium-High | Likely | Low-Medium | High | site; • No trapping, killing or poisoning of any wildlife should be allowed on site; • Staff should be educated about the sensitivity of faunal species and measures should be put in place to deal with any species that are encountered during the construction process. The intentional killing of any animals including snakes, insects, lizards, birds or other animals should be strictly prohibited. | Medium | Low |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | Waste recycling to be put in place. Solid waste shall only be stored in the designated general waste storage area which must be enclosed and impermeable. | Medium | Low |
| | Negative | Domestic waste | Alternative 1 | 165 | Silved Silved | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | •All solid waste shall be disposed of by a certified contractor, off-site, at an approved landfill site. The Contractor shall supply the ECO with a certificate of disposal for auditing purposes. | Medium | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | V | Direct | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | Litter (from outside the camp included) and concrete bags etc. must be collected and put into suitable closed bins on a daily basis. | Medium | Low |
| Waste Generation | Negative | Construction waste | Alternative 1 | -Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | Construction rubble must be disposed of at a registered site No Construction rubble may be used for infilling. | Medium | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | The classification of waste determines the handling methods and the ultimate disposal of the material. The contractor shall manage hazardous waste that are anticipated to be generated by his operations as follows: Characterise the waste to determine if it is general or hazardous. Obtain and provide an acceptable container with a label. Place hazardous waste material in the container. Inspect the container on a regular basis Haul the full container to the licenced and correct disposal site. Provide documentary evidence of proper disposal of the | Medium | Low |
| | Negative | Hazardous waste | Alternative 1 | Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | waste. • Only temporary storage of waste is allowed (once of storage of waste for a period less than 90 days). The volume of material should be limited to less than 80m3 of hazardous waste. Should this be exceeded the Norms and Standards for the Storage of Waste will need to be complied with. | Medium | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | | | Site | Permanent | Medium | Definite | Medium | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits construction within the Erf 1328 and thus indirectly reduces loss | Medium | Low |
| | | | Alternative 1 | Yes | Direct | Site | Permanent | Medium-High | Definite | Medium-High | High | of topsoil. In addition, the following general measures should be implemented: • Top soil should be separated and re-used where possible. | Medium | Low-Medium |
| | Negative | Loss of topsoil | No-Go Option | Yes | Direct | Site | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | The site is degraded by historic land use. It is likely that there will be a continued loss of topsoil should the development not proceed as the site will remain in its degraded state. | None | Low-Medium |
| | | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Site | Permanent | Low | Definite | Low-Medium | High | •According to the Gauteng Agricultural Potential Atlas IV, the agricultural potential of the site is high whereas the National Screening tool notes that it has a medium sensitivity. However, the site has not been used for agriculture and is degraded. The site is also identifed as urban in terms of the GPEMF and is surrounded by residential | Э | Low-Medium |
| | Negative | Loss of land capability | Alternative 1 | | Silver | Site | Permanent | Low | Definite | Low-Medium | High | uses. Therefore, whilst the site may have previously had some potential, it is not located in an area conducive to farming. The development footprint is also fairly small and thus would not provide enough area for farming practices. Therefore, it is not expected to be a significant loss. | None | Low-Medium |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| Soil Alteration | Negative | Alteration of | Proposal | -No | Direct | Site | Permanent | Low | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Some of the Topography within the development footprint will be altered as part of the development. In order to ensure the change in topography does not impact stormwater, the following must be implemented: - Stormwater management measures must be implemented to ensure these designs do not impact on | Medium | Low |
| | Juganio | topography | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Permanent | Low | Definite | Low-Medium | High | stormwater. | Medium | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | -No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | Drip trays must be placed under all vehicles when immobile for longer than 24 hours. Vehicles suspected of leaking must be monitored and conduct a pre start-up inspection checklist. All vehicle/equipment maintenance and washing must be done in the workshop area, equipped with a bund wal and grease trap oil separator. Workshop area must be monitored for fuel and oil spills. Drip trays must be checked and replaced for vehicles standing (parked) for prolonged periods. | | Low |
| | Negative | Soil pollution | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | High | Spill kits (absorbent material) must be available on site and in all vehicles that transport hydrocarbons for dispensing to other vehicles on the construction site. Spilled substances must be contained in impermeable containers for removal to a licensed hazardous waste site. Significant spills should be reported to the Project Manager or Contractors Manager and ECO who should report this to the relevant authority. Waste must be managed in line with the requirements of the EMPr. | | Low |
| | | 1 | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | I | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | None | None | None | None | None | High | •During the construction phase the contractors will mainly make use of generators. | None | None |

| | Negative | Electricity consumption | Alternative 1 | 169 | חוופינ | None | None | None | None | None | High | | None | None |
|-------------------------|----------|--|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------------|--------|--|----------------|------------|
| | | Consumption | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | | | Local | Incidental | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Enforce water saving strategies. | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Water consumption | Alternative 1 | Yes | Direct | Local | Incidental | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Environmental awareness training. | Low | Low |
| Resource Consumption | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| Resource Consumption | | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Incidental | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Record and monitor fuel consumption regularly | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Fuel consumption | Alternative 1 | res | Direct | Local | Incidental | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Reduce theft of fuel (increase security) | Low | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Davi wastaniala | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Incidental | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Promote effective use of raw material. | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Raw materials consumption | Alternative 1 | 100 | Direct | Local | Incidental | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Tromote discuss ass of faw material. | Low | Low |
| | | · | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Loss of habitat due to | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits the construction footprint and therefore loss of habitat. A Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: no unauthorised construction activities within the wetland or wetland buffer. | Low | Low-Medium |
| | | Digging and laying foundations | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | *The following general measures should be implemented: * Wetland and wetland buffer to be pegged prior to construction | Low | Low-Medium |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required. However, please note that the site is highly disturbed and degraded in parts. | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Loss of habitat due to | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Site | Medium-term | Medium-High | Likely | Low-Medium | Medium | The proposal is preferred as it limits the construction footprint and therefore loss of habitat. A Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: construction and laydown areas should be established outside of the wetland buffer. | Medium | Low |
| | Negative | construction camps & lay down areas | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Medium-term | Medium-High | Likely | Low-Medium | Medium | *The following general measures should be implemented: *Wetland and wetland buffer to be pegged prior to construction | Medium | Low |
| | I | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required. However, please note that the site is highly disturbed and degraded in parts. | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Loss of habitat - | Proposal | _Yes | Direct | Site | Incidental | Medium-High | Likely | Low | Medium | A Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: fires shall only be permitted in specially desiged areas and under controlled circumstances. | High | Low |
| | | Stochastic events such as fire | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Incidental | Medium-High | Likely | Low | Medium | implemented. The shall only be permitted in specially designed areas and drider controlled circumstances. | High | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required. However, please note that the site is highly disturbed and degraded in parts. | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Direct mortality of | Proposal | | | Site | Short-term | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | Medium | Both layouts are similar and thus impacts in regards to fauna mortality are similar. The Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment did not identify any sensitive fauna on site. The following mitigation measures suggested by the specialist will be undertaken: | High | Low |
| | | fauna - Staff or construction workers poaching and hunting | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Site | Short-term | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | Medium | * Snaring and hunting of fauna by construction workers on or adjacent to the study area are strictly prohibited. | High | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required. However, please note that the site is highly disturbed and degraded in parts. | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Direct mortality of | Proposal | | | Neighbouring | Short-term | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | Medium | • The proposal is preferred as it limits the construction footprint and therefore decreases vegetation clearing result in in a loss of sensitive species. A Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: Clearing of vegetation is not allowed within the buffer or wetland area other than for those activities that are authorised. It is recommended that all Hypoxis sp. and | Low | Low |
| | Negative | fauna - Vegetation clearing resulting in loss of sensitive species | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | Medium | Boophone sp. should be removed prior to construction activities and either relocated to a similar type of environment or implemented within the landscaping plan of the proposed development. | Low | Low |
| | I | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required. However, please note that the site is highly disturbed and degraded in parts. | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Pr Direct mortality of fauna - Intentional | Proposal | No No | | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | Medium | Both layouts are similar and thus impacts in regards to fauna mortality are similar. The Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment and did not identify any sensitive fauna on site. The following mitigation measures suggested by the specialist will be undertaken: | Medium | Low |
| | | | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Likely | Low | Medium | *Killing of fauna on or adjacent to the study area are strictly prohibited. Should any fauna species be found on site, the ECO should be conducted asap to provide recommendation or mitigation measures. | Medium | Low |
| Effects on Biodiversity | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required. However, please note that the site is highly disturbed and degraded in parts. | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Disruption of | Proposal | | | Site | Short-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low | Medium | Both layouts will require the same service connection and thus extent of trenches required will be similar. The Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was undertaken and the following mitigation measures suggested by the | High | Low |
| | | ecological life cycles due to the restriction of species movement Open trenches and | Alternative 1 | Yes [| Direct Si | Site | Short-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low | Medium | Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was undertaken and the following mitigation measures suggested by the specialist will be undertaken: Trenches and other linear barriers should not be kept open for to long, especially not staying open over right. | High | Low |
| | Negative | other linear barriers | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Disruption of | Proposal | | | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | Both layouts are similar and thus will require the same service infrastructure. The Baseline Ecological Habitat | High | Low |

| | | due to the restriction of species movement Infrastructure | Alternative 1 | Yes | Direct | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | Assessment suggested the following mitigation measures: 'Stormwater, sewer and road infrastructure should be designed in such a way that it will have minimal impact on the environment. | High | Low |
|--------------------------------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|---|--|----------------|------|
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Disruption of | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Site | Short-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low | High | Both layouts are similar and thus impacts are similar. The following mitigation measures suggested by the specialist will be undertaken: Construction must be restricted to hours of 07:00 and 17:00. Should construction | Medium | Low |
| | Negative | ecological life cycles due to noise and lighting - Noise during | Alternative 1 | 163 | Billect | Site | Short-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low | High | activities need to continue over a weekend/pubic holiday or is expected to be excessively noisy, all Interested and Affected Parties and the ECO must be notified in advance. | Medium | Low |
| | | construction | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Disruption of ecological life cycles | Proposal | -Yes | Direct | None | Short-term | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | Both layouts are similar and thus impacts are similar. The following mitigation measures suggested by the specialist will be undertaken: 'Construction must be restricted to hours of 07:00 and 17:00. Should construction | Medium | Low |
| | Negative | due to noise and lighting - Light during construction | Alternative 1 | 165 | Direct | Site | Short-term | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | activities need to continue after hours is, all Interested and Affected Parties and the ECO must be notified in advance. Excessive lighting during construction should be avoided. | Medium | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Introduction of alien | Proposal | -Yes | Disease | Site | Short-term | Medium | Likely | Low | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits the construction footprint and therefore decreases vehicles and machinary in the more sensitive wetland area. A Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was also undertaken and the | High | Low |
| | Negative | flora affecting native faunal assemblages - Vehicles and machinery | Alternative 1 | Tes | Direct | Site | Short-term | Medium | Likely | Low | High | following general measures must be implemented: Alien, invasive species found within the construction area should be eradicated as far as possible and disposed of at a registered site. Measures to prevent siltation from entering the wetland area, should be implemented throughout the construction phase. | High | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Introduction of alien | Proposal | -Yes | Direct | Site | Short-term | Medium-High | Likely | Low | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits the construction footprint and therefore soil disturbances especially in the more sensitive wetland area. A Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was also undertaken and the following general measures must be implemented: Alien, invasive species found within the construction area should be | High | Low |
| | Negative | flora affecting native faunal assemblages - soil disturbances | Alternative 1 | Tes | Bliect | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | eradicated as far as possible and disposed of at a registered site. Measures to prevent siltation from entering the | High | Low |
| | | <u> </u> | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Spill kits to be located in strategic areas for when needed Regular site and plant inspection must be conducted | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Pollution incidents | Alternative 1 | | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Environmental awareness training | Low | Low | |
| | | | No-Go Option Not Applicable | Not Applicable Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Namativa | Haalib and asfab. | Proposal | – No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | 24 hour security and access control. Health and Safety awareness training. Contractor to submit a Health and Safety Plan, prepared in accordance with the Health and Safety Specification, for approval prior to the commencement of work. | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Health and safety | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | monitored and audited by the Client's Safety Agent, in terms of the Construction Regulations (2003). | Low | Low |
| Incidents, accidents and | | - | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | · | Not Applicable | None |
| potential emergency situations | Negative | Storage of hydrocarbons | Proposal | No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Best practice regarding storage of substances Spill kits to be located in strategic areas for when needed Environmental awareness training Firefighting equipment must be accessible on site at all times. | Low | Low |
| | | yarooarbons | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Display of emergency numbers | Low | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | Not Applicable | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Adhere to the appropriate emergency procedures Firefighting equipment must be accessible on site at all times. Display of emergency numbers | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Fire | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | In addition, designated smoking areas should be provided and there should be zero tolerance to smoking outside these areas. Cooking over open flames is not allowed. | Low | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | The site is currently unoccupied and the risk for fire remains. | None | Low |
| | | | Proposal | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low | Possible | Low | High | | High | Low | |
| | Negative | Visual impact | Alternative 1 | | | | Short-term | Low | Possible | Low | During construction, the site should be screened or walled off. High | High | Low | |
| | | 1 | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | · · | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | 24 hour access control to the site and 24 hour security. Workers found to be engaging in activities such as excessive consumption of alcohol, drug use or selling of any | Medium | Low |

| | 1 | | Alternative 1 | INO | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | such items on site must be disciplined. | Medium | Low |
|-------------------|----------------|---|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------|--|----------------|---------|
| | Negative | Safety and security | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low-wediam | i ossibie | Low | I ligit | | Mediam | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | The site is currently unoccupied. Should the develop not take place, there may be further safety and security issues in the area. | None | Low |
| | | | Proposal | -No | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low | High | Traffic calming measures and appropriate signage to be implemented where necessary during construction. Speed limits on all existing roads must be adhered to at all times. | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Traffic disruptions | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low-Medium | Highly Likely | Low | High | | Low | Low |
| Social | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Mogrative | Loss of cultural | Proposal | -No | Direct | Local | Permanent | Low | Improbable | Low | High | A Heritage Impact Assessment was undertaken and the following mitigation measures recommended: -Implementation of the chance find procedure. | High | Low |
| | Negative | heritage | Alternative 1 | | | Local | Permanent | Low | Improbable | Low | High | | High | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Loss of sense of | Proposal | -No | Direct | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low | Possible | Low | High | Suitable screening to be put in place during construction to minimise visual impacts. No littering to be allowed. Cond house leaves to be followed. | Low | Low |
| | Negative | place | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Short-term | Low | Possible | Low | High | Good housekeeping practices to be followed | Low | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Positive | | Proposal | -Yes | Direct | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | +Medium | High | The Conditions of Establishment have been approved. The proposed change in land use is in line with the Region C Spatial Development Plan and the COJ 2040 Spatial Development Framework. No mitigation measures other | | +Medium |
| | Fositive | Change of land use | Alternative 1 | 103 | Bilect | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | +Medium | High | than the townplanning process is required. | Low | +Medium |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Positive | | Proposal | _ | | Local | Short-term | Medium-High | Definite | +Medium | High | The proposed CAPEX value of the development will have numerous multiplier effects in the local community. In order to ensure that this benefits the local community, it is recommended that local labour and suppliers are used | Low | +Medium |
| | | Decline/increase in economy | Alternative 1 | Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Medium-High | Definite | +Medium | High | where possible. | Low | +Medium |
| | Negative | | No-Go Option | | | Local | Long-term | Medium | Definite | Medium | High | Should the development not proceed, the benefits to the local community will be long term and negative. Further, the goals of the GSDF and Regional SDP will also not be met. There are no mitigation measures available. | None | Medium |
| Economic | Positive | | Proposal | | | Neighbouring | Permanent | Medium | Definite | +Medium | High | The development of the proposed development will increase the property value of the site overall. Further, it will have a knock on effect and is likely to increase the value of neighbouring properties as well. No mitigation | None | +Medium |
| | | Decline/increase in property value | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Permanent | Medium | Definite | +Medium | High | measures are required. | None | +Medium |
| | Negative | | No-Go Option | | | Neighbouring | Long-term | Medium | Definite | Medium | High | The site was is vacant and is degraded and without development, the property value is likely to decrease. This will have knock on effects on the surrounding properties. No mitigation, save for development of the site, is available. | None | Medium |
| | Docition | | Proposal | | | Local | Short-term | Medium-High | Definite | +Medium | None | The proposed development will result in a number of construction related employment opportunities for the local | None | +Medium |
| | Positive | Employment | Alternative 1 | Yes | Direct | Local | Short-term | Medium-High | Definite | +Medium | None | community. Local labour should be utilised as far as possible. | None | +Medium |
| | Negative | † | No-Go Option | 1 | | Local | Long-term | Medium | Definite | Medium | None | Should the development not proceed, the benefits to the local community will be long term and negative as | None | Medium |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE | | | | | | | | | | | | potential employment opportunities will be lost. No mitigation measures are available. | | |
| | | | Proposal | | | None | None | None | Highly Likely | None | High | | Not Applicable | None |
| | Not Applicable | Dust emissions | Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | Highly Likely | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | Highly Likely | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| Not A | Not Applicable | Emissions from vehicles and | Proposal | | Not Applied to | None | None | None | Highly Likely | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. Whilst residents will utilize cars, they are likely to own these cars already and will not be generating additional emissions from what they do already. | Not Applicable | None |
| | Not Applicable | equipment (CO2, NOx, SOx, VOC's etc.) | Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | Highly Likely | None | High | | Not Applicable | None |
| | <u> </u> | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | Noise | Proposal | -No | Direct | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low | Possible | Low | High | The proposed residential development is in line with activities and uses in the area and will not provide significant noise pollution. The Managing Company/Body Corporate should develop rules and regulations to manage noise in line with applicable by-laws. | High | Low |
| | I | | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low | Possible | Low | High | | High | LUW |

| | Not Applicable | 1 | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------|
| | Negative | | Proposal | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | A Outline Scheme Report has been undertaken and noted that sewer will connect to an existing sewer line and be treated at an existing Treatment works. Johannesburg Water has also confirmed that suffucient capacity exists. Maintenance and management of the sewer connection must be undertaken as per COJ's requirements. | High | Low |
| | ivegative | Water quality | Alternative 1 | 140 | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Further, a Wetland Assessment has been undertaken and the specialist's mitigation measures will be undertaken: "Rehabilitation of construction impacted area, continuous monitoring, stormwater management." | High | Low |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | None | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits the attenuation is provided within the development footprint and therefore outside the wetland and wetland buffer. It therefore reduces the impact to the flow regime. The Wetland Assessment has been undertaken and recommended the following mitigation measures will be implemented: "Rehabilitation of construction impacted area, continuous monitoring and maintenance, stormwater management. Further, a Stormwater Management Plan has been compiled and | High | Low |
| | Negative | Flow Regime | Alternative 1 | | S. C. | Neighbouring | Long-term | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Medium | High | will be implemented. In addition, the following general measures should be implemented: • Maintenance of the stormwater management system as requried by the Stormwater Management Plan to be undertaken. | Low | Low-Medium |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | None | Not applicable. However, it should be noted that the flow regime of the wetland system will be alterered by the Metro Boulevard which is planned in the area and will occur regardless of this development proceeding. | None | None |
| Impact to Wetland | Negative | | Proposal | No. | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | outside the wetland and wetland buffer. It therefore reduces the impact to the wetland habitat. The Wetland Assessment has been undertaken and recommended the following mitigation measures will be | High | Low |
| | Negative | Habitat | Alternative 1 | | Silect | Site | Medium-term | Medium | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | implemented: "Rehabilitation of construction impacted area, continuous monitoring and maintenance, stormwater management. Further, a Stormwater Management Plan has been compiled and will be implemented. | Low | Low-Medium |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | None | Not applicable. However, it should be noted that the habitat of the wetland system will be alterered by the Metro Boulevard which is planned in the area and will occur regardless of this development proceeding. | None | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | -No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Improbable | Low | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits the attenuation is provided within the development footprint and therefore outside the wetland and wetland buffer. It therefore reduces the impact to the wetland biota. The Wetland Assessment has been undertaken and recommended the following mitigation measures will be | High | Low |
| | | Biota | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Medium-term | Medium | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | implemented: "Rehabilitation of construction impacted area, continuous monitoring and maintenance, stormwater management. Further, a Stormwater Management Plan has been compiled and will be implemented. | Low | Low-Medium |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | None | Not applicable. However, it should be noted that the biotaof the wetland system will be affected by the Metro Boulevard which is planned in the area and will occur regardless of this development proceeding. | None | None |
| | Negative | | Proposal | -No | Indirect | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | The proposal is preferred as it limits the attenuation is provided within the development footprint and therefore outside the wetland and wetland buffer. It therefore reduces the impact to geomorphology. The Wetland Assessment has been undertaken and recommended the following mitigation measures will be | High | Low |
| | | Geomorphology | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Medium-term | Medium | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | implemented: "Rehabilitation of construction impacted area. | Low | Low-Medium |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | Not applicable. However, it should be noted that the biotaof the wetland system will be affected by the Metro Boulevard which is planned in the area and will occur regardless of this development proceeding. | None | None |
| | | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | Recyclable waste streams must be separated from other waste streams. Waste to be separated into recyclable and non-recyclable waste. Waste separation needs to occur before waste is collected. Solid waste shall only be stored in the designated general waste storage area which must be enclosed and impermeable. | High | Low |
| | Negative | Domestic waste | Alternative 1 | Tes | Direct | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | All solid waste shall be disposed of by a certified contractor, off-site, at an approved landfill site if no municipal services are available. Avoidance, reduction, re-use and recycling should be practiced wherever possible. | High | Low |
| Waste Generation | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | None | None |
| | Not Applies to | Construction | Proposal | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | Not Applicable | None |
| | Not Applicable | Construction waste | Alternative 1 No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None None | None None | None None | None None | None None | High High | None required | Not Applicable Not Applicable | None None |
| | Negative | Hazardous waste | Proposal | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | No hazardous waste is expected during operation. | Not Applicable | None |
| | 1.0944.10 | . azarasas maste | Alternative 1 | | . tot , ppiloubio | None | None | None | None | None | High | | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | Loss of topsoil | Alternative 1 | P.F | | None | None | None | None | None | High | | Not Applicable | None |
| | | <u> </u> | No-Go Option | Yes | Direct | Site | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | The site is highly degraded by historic land use. It is likely that there will be a continued loss of topsoil should the development not proceed as the site will remain in its degraded state | None | Low-Medium |
| | | | Proposal | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | None | Medium |
| | Not Applicable | Loss of land capability | - | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | расо пос арриовию со вто орогановы ривое. Но пинуванов годинов. | None | Medium |
| Soil Alteration | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Not Applies by | Alteration of | Proposal | Not Arelies !! | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | None | None |
| | Not Applicable | topography | Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | | None | None |

| | 1 | 1 | No Co Ontion | 7 | I | None | None | Mono | None | None | Lliah | None required | Not Applicable | None |
|---|----------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|--|------------------------|--------------|
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negativo | Soil pollution | Proposal | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | None | Low |
| | Negative | Soil pollution | Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | Mana anamina d | None | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Flandainite. | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | Promote effective electricity consumption. | Low | Low-Medium |
| | Negative | Electricity consumption | Alternative 1 | 163 | Direct | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | | Low | Low-Medium |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | · | 140t / ipplicable | тост фриодые | | | | | | | TOTO TOYUNOU | | None |
| | | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | Promote effective water conservation measures. | Medium | Low |
| | Negative | Water consumption | Alternative 1 | 163 | Direct | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | Medium | High | | Medium | Low |
| Resource Consumption | | | No Co Ontino | Not Applicable | Niet Applicable | Mana | | Mana | Mana | Ness | | Mana anamina d | Nat Applicable | Nege |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | · | Not Applicable | None |
| | N | 5l | Proposal | N | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | impasso not appricable to the operational princes. To magainer requires. | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | Fuel consumption | Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | N | Raw materials | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Incidental | Low-Medium | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Promote effective use of raw material. | Low | Low |
| | Negative | consumption | Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Niet Applicable | Local None | Incidental None | Low-Medium None | Definite | Low-Medium | High | Mana anamina d | Low | Low |
| | | Loss of existing | No-Go Option Proposal | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Site | Incidental | Medium | None Possible | None | High High | | Not Applicable Medium | None |
| | Negative | habitat due to loss of vegetation - | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Medium | Possible | Low | High | Fire extinguishers must be placed on the property. | Medium | Low |
| | regative | stochastic events like | No-Go Option | - | Direct | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | fire | 140-00 Option | | | None | None | None | TVOTIC | None | riigii | None required | 140t Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | | | Site | Incidental | Low | Improbable | Low | High | • 'It is not expected that any fauna will be found on site during operation. The Body Corporate/Managing Company must include the requirement in their rule book that should any be found that the relevant organisation | Medium | Low |
| | | Loss of fauna - | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | be called to safely remove the species. | | |
| | Negative | Intentional killing of fauna | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low | Improbable | Low | High | '• Environmentally sensitive pest control. | Medium | Low |
| Effects on Biodiversity | | launa | 7 11011141170 1 | | | C.I.O | moraomai | | prosasio | 2011 | g.: | | modium. | 2011 |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | D | | | 0.4 | | | I Park I Tark | | I II I- | The proposed layout is preferred as it limits infrastructure within the wetland and wetland buffer. Further, a | A decadlesses | |
| | | Disruption of | Proposal | | | Site | Permanent | Low | Highly Likely | Low-Medium | High | Baseline Ecological Habitat Assessment was undertaken and the following recommendations from the study will | Medium | Low |
| | Negative | ecological life cycles due to the restriction | | No | Direct | | | | | | | be implemented: Stormwater and road ilnfrastructure should be designed in such a way that it will have minimal impact on the | | |
| | | of species movement | Alternative 1 | | | Site | Permanent | Medium-High | Highly Likely | Medium | High | environment. Maintenance should be undertaken as per the requirements of the stormwater management plan. | Very Low | Medium |
| | | infrastructure | | - | | | | 1 | | | | L | | |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | | | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Pollution incidents | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Sewer connection pipe must be managed and maintained in line with COJ requirements. | Low | Low |
| | | | | | | rveignbouring | | | | Low | | | | LOW |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | | | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | 24 hour security and access control. | Low | Low |
| | Negative | Health and safety | Alternative 1 | No | Direct | Site | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | | Low | Low |
| | | | | | | | | | | Low | | | | LOW |
| Incidents, accidents and potential emergency situations | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | · | Not Applicable | None |
| | | Storage of | Proposal | No | Direct | None | None | None | None | None | High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | Not Applicable | None |
| | Negative | hydrocarbons | Alternative 1 | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | | | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | Adhere to the appropriate emergency procedures Findighting agricultural by appropriate and the appropriate are selected at all times. | Low | Low |
| | Na anadis se | Fire | | No | Direct | | | | | | | Firefighting equipment must be accessible on site at all times. Display of emergency numbers | | |
| | Negative | Fire | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | | Low | Low |
| | | | No-Go Option | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Incidental | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | The site is currently unoccupied. Should the develop not take place, the potential for fires on site and on neighbouring properties remains as is. | None | Low |
| | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | 0 01 1 | | |
| | | | Proposal | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | As the development is in line with the development goals of the area and the existing residential developments in | None | None |
| | Negative | Visual impact | Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | the area, no visual impact is expected during operation. | None | None |
| | | | | _ | | 110116 | TOTIC | | 110116 | 110110 | | | | Hono |
| | | | No-Go Option | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | | Proposal | | | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low-Medium | Likely | +Low | High | Due to the development of the site, safety and security in the area is likely to improve. In addition, the following | Low | +Low |
| | Positive | | Proposal | No | Direct | rveigribouring | Long-term | Low-iviedium | Likely | +LOW | Підії | will be implemented which will assist with this: 24 hour access control to the site and 24 hour security. | LOW | TLOW |
| | i ositive | Safety and security | | 1 | | | | | | | | , i | | |
| | | | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low-Medium | Likely | +Low | High | | Low | +Low |
| | Negative | 7 | No-Go Option | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low-Medium | Possible | Low | High | The site is currently unoccupied . Should the develop not take place, there may be further safety and security | None | Low |
| | -32 | 1 | opaon | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | issues in the area. | | |
| | | | Proposal | | | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low | Definite | Low-Medium | High | | Low | Low |
| | Nogative | Troffic discussions | | No | Direct | | | | | | | •Access to the development to be undertaken as per the Traffic Impact Assessment. • No new roads are required as the proposed development will only generate 59 new trips. | | |
| | Negative | Traffic disruptions | Alternative 1 | | | Neighbouring | Long-term | Low | Definite | Low-Medium | High | The rest of the state of the proposed activity mill only gonoride to from upo. | Low | Low |
| | | | No Go Ontion | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | - | - | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None None | None None | None None | None None | None | High | | Not Applicable None | None None |
| Social | Not Applicable | Loss of cultural | Proposal Alternative 1 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High High | Impacts not applicable to the operational phase. No mitigation required. | None | None |
| | | heritage | No-Go Option | | TF20215 | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | | 1 | 110-00 Option | I | <u> </u> | 110110 | 110110 | 140116 | 110110 | None | riigii | Interio required | THOL APPIICABLE | Hone |

| | | Loss of sense of | Proposal | -No | Direct | None | None | None | None | None | High | As the development is in line with the development goals of the area and the existing residential developments in | None | None |
|----------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------------|----------|----------|------|--|----------------|----------|
| | Negative | place | Alternative 1 | | | None | None | None | None | None | High | the area, no impacts to sense of place is expected during operation. | None | None |
| | | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| | Positive | | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | + Medium | High | The Conditions of Establishment have been approved. The proposed change in land use is in line with the Regior A Spatial Development Plan and the COJ 2040 Spatial Development Framework. No mitigation measures other | Low | + Medium |
| | Fositive | Change of land use | Alternative 1 | 163 | Bilect | Site | Permanent | Low-Medium | Definite | + Medium | High | | Low | + Medium |
| | Not Applicable | | No-Go Option | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | None | None | None | None | None | High | None required | Not Applicable | None |
| Positi | Positive | Decline/increase in | Proposal | Yes | Direct | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | + Medium | High | Once operational the development will provide housing opportunities in the area and thus will contribute to the conomy in the area as people living in the area will likely purchase goods in nearby stores etc. This will have a conomic multiplier effect in the local community. No mitigation measures are required. | None | + Medium |
| | | economy | Alternative 1 | | | Local | Long-term | Low-Medium | Definite | + Medium | High | | None | + Medium |
| | Negative | | No-Go Option | | | Local | Long-term | Medium | Definite | Medium | High | Should the development not proceed, the benefits to the local community will be long term and negative. Further, the goals of the COJ SDF 2040 and Regional SDP will also not be met. There are no mitigation measures available, | None | Medium |
| Economic | Do althou | | Proposal | | | Neighbouring | Permanent | Medium | Definite | + Medium | High | The development of the a residential development will increase the property value of the site overall. Further, it will have a knock on effect and is likely to increase the value of neighbouring properties as well. No mitigation | None | + Medium |
| | Positive | Decline/increase in | Alternative 1 | | Direct | Neighbouring | Permanent | Medium | Definite | + Medium | High | measures are required. Due to the market preference for clusters, there is a increased positive benefit for the proposal. | None | + Medium |
| | Negative | property value No-Go Option | No-Go Option | No | Direct | Neighbouring | Long-term | Medium | Definite | Medium | High | The site was previously is vacant and degraded and without development, the property value is likely to decrease This will have knock on effects on the surrounding properties. No mitigation, save for development of the site, is available. | None | Medium |
| | Positive | | Proposal | | | Local | Short-term | Medium-High | Definite | + Medium | None | The proposed development will result in approximately 31 permanent full time operation related employment | None | + Medium |
| | | Employment | Alternative 1 | Yes | | Local | Short-term | Medium-High | Definite | + Medium | None | opportunities for the local community. Local labour should be utilised as far as possible | None | + Medium |
| | Negative | | No-Go Option | | | Local | Long-term | Medium | Definite | Medium | None | Should the development not proceed, the benefits to the local community will be long term and negative as potential employment opportunities will be lost. No mitigation measures are available. | None | Medium |