

## **APPENDIX F – IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

Proposed cultivation of 19 ha virgin soil for the establishment of 1 Seed Potato Farming Pivot and associated water pipeline on the Remaining Extent of the Farm Reliance No. 347 near Griekwastad, Northern **Cape Province** 

DENC Ref.: NC/BA/10/PIX/SIY/GRI1/2018

### 25 April 2018

#### **Prepared for:**

Secundis Beleggings (Pty) Ltd. Mr. Hennie Stander hennie@hencar.co.za 078 451 0922

#### Prepared by:

Johan Botes johan@eco-con.co.za 051 436 1254 082 459 8206

Directors: WABotes - Financial Director | JBotes - Managing Director | PSKole - Marketing Director +27 (0)51 436 1251 +27 (0)86 592 2282 info@eco-con.co.za Postal address: P.O.Box 29262, Dan Hof, Bloemfontein,9310 Reg no.:2017/232414/07

www.eco-con.co.za



#### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

The following section identifies the potential environmental impacts (both positive and negative) which the construction as well as operational phases of the proposed project will have on the surrounding environment.

Once the potential environmental impacts are identified, they are assessed by rating their Environmental Risk after which the final Environmental Significance is calculated and rated for each identified environmental impact.

The same Environmental Risk rating process is then followed for each environmental impact to determine the Environmental Significance if the recommended mitigation measures were to be implemented.

The objective of this section is therefore firstly to identify all the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project and secondly to determine the significance of the impacts and how effective the recommended mitigation measures will be able to reduce their significance. The potential environmental impacts which are still rated as highly significant, even after implementation of mitigations, can then be identified in order to specifically focus on implement of effective management strategies for them.

#### METHODOLOGY FOR IMPACT ASSESSMENT AND RISK RATING

The tables below indicate and explain the methodology and criteria used for the evaluation of the Environmental Risk Ratings as well as the calculation of the final Environmental Significance Ratings of the identified potential environmental impacts.

Each potential environmental impact is scored for each of the Evaluation Components as per the table below.

Evaluation Component	Rating Scale and Description/criteria
MAGNITUDE of	<b>10 - Very high</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>severely</i> altered.
NEGATIVE IMPACT (at the	8 - High: Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>considerably</i> altered.
indicated spatial scale)	<b>6 - Medium</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>notably</i> altered.
	<b>4 - Low</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>slightly</i> altered.

#### Table 1: Scale utilised for the evaluation of the Environmental Risk Ratings

	<b>2</b> - Very Low: Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>negligibly</i> altered.				
	0 - Zero: Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes will remain <i>unaltered</i> .				
	<b>10</b> - Very high (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>substantially</i> enhanced.				
	8 - High (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be considerably enhanced.				
	6 - Medium (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be notably enhanced.				
IMPACT (at the	4 - Low (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be <i>slightly</i> enhanced.				
spatial scale)	2 - Very Low (positive): Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes might be negligibly enhanced.				
	<b>0 - Zero (positive)</b> : Bio-physical and/or social functions and/or processes will remain <i>unaltered</i> .				
	5 - Permanent				
DURATION	<b>4 - Long term</b> : Impact ceases after operational phase/life of the activity > 60 years.				
DURATION	<b>3 - Medium term</b> : Impact might occur during the operational phase/life of the activity – 60 years.				
	<b>2</b> - Short term: Impact might occur during the construction phase - < 3 years.				
	1 - Immediate				
	5 - International: Beyond National boundaries.				
EVTENT	4 - National: Beyond Provincial boundaries and within National boundaries.				
	<b>3 - Regional</b> : Beyond 5 km of the proposed development and within Provincial boundaries.				
scale/influence	<b>2 - Local</b> : Within 5 km of the proposed development.				
of impact)	<b>1 - Site-specific</b> : On site or within 100 m of the site boundary.				
	<b>0</b> - None				
	5 – Definite loss of irreplaceable resources.				
	4 – High potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.				
IRREPLACEABLE	3 – Moderate potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.				
resources	2 – Low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.				
	1 – Very low potential for loss of irreplaceable resources.				
	0 - None				
	5 – Impact <b>cannot</b> be reversed.				
	<b>4 – Low</b> potential that impact might be reversed.				
REVERSIBILITY	<b>3 – Moderate</b> potential that impact might be reversed.				
of impact	2 – High potential that impact might be reversed.				
	1 – Impact <b>will be</b> reversible.				
	<b>0</b> – No impact.				
	5 - Definite: >95% chance of the potential impact occurring.				

	<b>4 - High probability</b> : 75% - 95% chance of the potential impact occurring.
PROBABILITY	3 - Medium probability: 25% - 75% chance of the potential impact occurring
(of occurrence)	<b>2 - Low probability</b> : 5% - 25% chance of the potential impact occurring.
	<b>1 - Improbable</b> : <5% chance of the potential impact occurring.
Evaluation Component	Rating Scale and Description/criteria
	<b>High</b> : The activity is one of several similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might contribute to a very significant combined impact on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.
CUMULATIVE impacts	<b>Medium</b> : The activity is one of a few similar past, present or future activities in the same geographical area, and might have a combined impact of moderate significance on the natural, cultural, and/or socio-economic resources of local, regional or national concern.
	Low: The activity is localised and might have a negligible cumulative impact.
	None: No cumulative impact on the environment.

Once the Environmental Risk Ratings have been evaluated for each potential environmental impact, the Significance Score of each potential environmental impact is calculated by using the following formula:

# • SS (Significance Score) = (magnitude + duration + extent + irreplaceable + reversibility) x probability.

The maximum Significance Score value is 150.

The Significance Score is then used to rate the Environmental Significance of each potential environmental impact as per Table 19 below. The Environmental Significance rating process is completed for all identified potential environmental impacts both before and after implementation of the recommended mitigation measures.

#### Table 2: Scale used for the evaluation of the Environmental Significance Ratings

Significance Score	Environmental Significance	Description/criteria
125 – 150	Very high (VH)	An impact of very high significance will mean that the project cannot proceed, and that impacts are irreversible, regardless of available mitigation options.
100 - 124	High (H)	An impact of high significance which could influence a decision about whether or not to proceed with the proposed project, regardless of available mitigation options.

75 – 99	Medium-high (MH)	If left unmanaged, an impact of medium-high significance could influence a decision about whether or not to proceed with a proposed project. Mitigation options should be relooked.
40 – 74	Medium (M)	If left unmanaged, an impact of moderate significance could influence a decision about whether or not to proceed with a proposed project.
<40	Low (L)	An impact of low is likely to contribute to positive decisions about whether or not to proceed with the project. It will have little real effect and is unlikely to have an influence on project design or alternative motivation.
+	Positive impact (+)	A positive impact is likely to result in a positive consequence/effect, and is likely to contribute to positive decisions about whether or not to proceed with the project.

PLANNING, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION PHASE					
	Potential Flora Impacts:				
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Direct impact on Flora as a result of vegetation clearance.       Proposed development of seed potato pivots					
Fuchastion Common ant	Preferred Layout Alternative		No. Co. Alternative		
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	4	2	2		
Duration:	5	5	1		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	2	1	2		
Probability:	5	5	2		
Total SP:	70	50	14		
Significance rating:	Medium (M) Low (L)				
Cumulative impact:	Medium-high (MH) Medium-high (MH) Low (L)				
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Restoration measures will be required to reinstate functionality in the disturbed soil and vegetation.</li> <li>Any accidental fuel and oil spills that occur at the site should be cleaned up in the appropriate manner as related to the nature of the spill.</li> <li>The project construction footprint must be kept as small as practicably possible to reduce the actual surface impact on vegetation and no unnecessary/unauthorised footprint expansion into the surrounding areas may take place.</li> <li>Natural veld situated in-between the proposed circular pivot lands must not be impacted upon and must be left in situ.</li> <li>Existing roads and farm tracks in close proximity to the proposed project area must be used during construction.</li> <li>A Provincial Flora Permit and National Protected Tree Permit has to be obtained prior to the commencement of any construction activities.</li> <li>Areas within and immediately surrounding the proposed project footprint must be adequately rehabilitated to prevent significant alien invasive species establishment.</li> <li>Alien and invasive species need to be eradicated and controlled.</li> </ul>				
Potential Fauna Impacts:					
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Direct impact on Fauna as a result of vegetation clearance.       Proposed development of seed potato pivots					
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Lay Before Mitigation	out Alternative After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	2 2 2				
Duration:	5	2			

Extent:	2	2	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	2	1		
Reversibility:	2 1		2		
Probability:	2 2		2		
Total SP:	26 24		16		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>The project construction footprint must be kept as small as practicably possible to reduce the actual surface impact on vegetation and no unnecessary/unauthorised footprint expansion into the surrounding areas may take place.</li> <li>Natural veld situated in-between the proposed circular pivot lands must not be impacted upon and must be left in situ.</li> <li>Existing roads and farm tracks in close proximity to the proposed project area must be used during construction.</li> <li>A Provincial Flora Permit and National Protected Tree Permit has to be obtained prior to the commencement of any construction activities.</li> <li>Areas within and immediately surrounding the proposed project footprint must be adequately rehabilitated to prevent significant alien invasive species establishment.</li> </ul>				
	Potentia	al Dust Impacts:			
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Dust nuisance generated during the development / preparation of the pivots.       Proposed development of seed potato pivots					
Dust nuisance generated during the dev	elopment / preparation of the pivots.		pivots		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay	out Alternative	pivots		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component:	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay Before Mitigation	out Alternative After Mitigation	pivots No-Go Alternative		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude:	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay Before Mitigation 6	out Alternative After Mitigation 4	No-Go Alternative		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration:	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay Before Mitigation 6 2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2	No-Go Alternative       2       2       2		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent:	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay Before Mitigation 6 2 2 2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2 2 2	No-Go Alternative       2       1		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent: Irreplaceable:	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay Before Mitigation 6 2 2 2 2 2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2 2 2 2 2	No-Go Alternative       2       1       1		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility:	elopment / preparation of the pivots.  Preferred Lay Before Mitigation  6  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2  2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2 2 2 2 1 1	No-Go Alternative       2       1       1       2		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability:	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay Before Mitigation 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	Proposed development of seed potato       pivots       2       2       1       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2       2		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability: Total SP:	elopment / preparation of the pivots. Preferred Lay Before Mitigation 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Proposed development of seed potato       pivots       No-Go Alternative       2       1       1       2       1       2       1       1       2       1       1       1       2       1		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability: Total SP: Significance rating:	elopment / preparation of the pivots.  Preferred Lay Before Mitigation  6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 Low (L)	Proposed development of seed potato       pivots       2       2       1       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       16       Low (L)		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability: Total SP: Significance rating: Cumulative impact:	elopment / preparation of the pivots.  Preferred Lay Before Mitigation  6 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	out Alternative After Mitigation 4 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 Low (L) Low (L)	Proposed development of seed potato       pivots       2       2       1       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       16       Low (L)       Low (L)		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev Evaluation Component: Magnitude: Duration: Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability: Total SP: Significance rating: Cumulative impact: Proposed Mitigation:	elopment / preparation of the pivots.	After Mitigation         4         2         2         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         1         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         2         2         2         2	No-Go Alternative         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         16         Low (L)         Low (L)         addring windy days.         cycle apply to these pivots)		
Dust nuisance generated during the dev         Evaluation Component:         Magnitude:         Duration:         Extent:         Irreplaceable:         Reversibility:         Probability:         Total SP:         Significance rating:         Cumulative impact:         Proposed Mitigation:	elopment / preparation of the pivots.	After Mitigation         4         2         2         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2         1         2	Proposed development of seed potato       pivots       No-Go Alternative       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       1       2       16       Low (L)       Low (L)       mize undesired dust emissions.       d during windy days.       cycle apply to these pivots)		

Noise nuisance generated during the de	Proposed development of seed potato			
			pivots	
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layout Alternative		No-Go Alternative	
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative	
Magnitude:	2	2		
Duration:	2 2		2	
Extent:	2	2	1	
Irreplaceable:	2	2	1	
Reversibility:	2	1	2	
Probability:	2	2	2	
Total SP:	24	18	16	
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
<ul> <li>Fit silencers to equipment.</li> <li>Unless otherwise specified, normal working hours will apply (i.e. from 07:00 to 17:00 Mondays to Fridays).</li> <li>Ensure that Employees and staff conduct themselves in an acceptable manner while on site, both during work in the camp.</li> </ul>			7:00 Mondays to Fridays). hile on site, both during work hours and after hours.	
	Potential Cultura	and Heritage Impacts:		
Nature of impact: Damage and destruction of vertebrate fossils during excavation activities.			Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots	
Fuchastics Components	Preferred Layout Alternative		No Co Alternative	
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative	
Magnitude:	2	2	0	
Duration:	2	1	1	
Extent:	1	1	1	
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1	
Reversibility:	2	1	1	
Probability:	1	1	1	
Total SP:	9	6	4	
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Proposed Mitigation:	• Should any heritage resources (including but not limited to fossils, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts or bone remains, structures and or built features, rock art and rock engravings) be exposed during excavations			

	<ul> <li>for the purpose of construction, construction in the vicinity of the finding must be stopped. A trained palaeontologist or heritage specialist must be notified to assess the finds, and this must then be reported to the applicable heritage authority.</li> <li>Heritage remains uncovered or disturbed during earthworks must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from the heritage authority. A registered heritage specialist must be called to the site for inspection and removal once authority to do so, has been given.</li> <li>Under no circumstances shall any heritage material be destroyed or removed from site.</li> <li>Excavations must be limited to the footprint area and be maintained in a narrow corridor.</li> <li>All operations of excavation equipment must be made aware of the possibility of the occurrence of sub-surface heritage features and the following procedures must be followed: <ul> <li>All construction in the immediate 50 metre vicinity of the site must be ceased.</li> <li>The heritage practitioner must be informed as soon as possible.</li> <li>In the event of obvious human remains SAPS must be notified.</li> <li>Mitigation measures (such as refilling) must not be attempted.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
	• The area in a 50 metre radius of	the find must be barricaded with visible tapi	ng.		
	<ul> <li>Public access must be limited and the Potential Surface and Group </li> </ul>	e area must be placed under guard.			
Nature of impact: Surface and Groundwater Contamination	Nature of impact:       Activity:         Surface and Groundwater Contamination during the development / preparation of the pivots.       Proposed development of seed potato pivots				
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layout Alternative		No-Go Alternative		
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Magnitude:	2	0	0		
Duration	1	4	0		
	1	1	0		
Extent:	2	1 1	0		
Extent: Irreplaceable:	1 2 1	1 1 1	0 0 0		
Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility:	1 2 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0		
Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability:	1 2 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0		
Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability: Total SP:	1 2 1 1 1 1 7	1 1 1 1 1 1 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability: Total SP: Significance rating:	1 2 1 1 1 7 Low (L)	1 1 1 1 1 1 4 Low (L)	0 0 0 0 0 0 Low (L)		
Extent: Irreplaceable: Reversibility: Probability: Total SP: Significance rating: Cumulative impact:	1 2 1 1 1 7 Low (L) Low (L)	1 1 1 1 1 1 4 Low (L) Low (L)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Low (L) Low (L)		

• All spills must be cleaned as soon as they occur. A spill kit must be used and proof of clean up must be given to the ECO.						
	• Spillages of petrochemical products must be avoided. In the case of accidental spillage, contaminated soil must be removed for					
	bioremediation or disposed of at a facility for the substance concerned. Disturbed land must be rehabilitated and seeded with					
	vegetation seed naturally occurring on site.					
	Provide suitable and sufficient abluti	ion facilities (1 for every 15 personnel on site	e and 1 f	or each gender).		
	Vehicles and machinery must be regularly serviced to avoid spillages.					
	Drip trays must be placed beneath a     Potential Waster	Management Impacts:	neath ai	generators present on site.		
	Fotential Waste	e Wanagement Impacts.		Activity		
Nature of impact:				Activity:	d natata	
Waste impacts by means of waste storage	ge and littering during the developmen	t / preparation of the pivots.		pivots		
Evaluation Components	Preferred Lay	out Alternative		No Co Altornativo		
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation		No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	2		2		
Duration:	2	2		2		
Extent:	2	2		1		
Irreplaceable:	2	2		1		
Reversibility:	2 1 2					
Probability:	2	2		2		
Total SP:	24	18		16		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
	<ul> <li>An adequate number of scavenger proof litter bins are to be placed throughout the site, dumping of waste on the site is prohibited.</li> <li>Waste sorting and separation should form part of the environmental induction and awareness programme to encourage and educate personnel to recycle.</li> <li>Keep all work sites including storage areas, offices and workshops neat and tidy.</li> <li>All domestic waste is to be removed from site and disposed of at a registered solid waste landfill site.</li> </ul>					
Proposed Mitigation:	Care should be taken to ensure that in the burning and burning of colid upper the burning of colid upper taken to burning of colid upper taken taken to burning of colid upper taken take	no waste fall off disposal vehicles on-route to	o the lan	dfill site. If needed, a tarpaulin can l	be utilised.	
	Ine burning and burying of solid Was	all not be nermitted				
	<ul> <li>Entering by construction workers shall</li> <li>General waste shall be removed from</li> </ul>	an not be permitted.	Ifill cito			
	<ul> <li>General waste shall be removed from site on a weekly basis to an approved landfill site.</li> <li>Minimise waste by certing waste into recyclable and non-recyclable materials.</li> </ul>					
Potential Traffic Impacts:						
Nature of impact: Activity:						

Traffic impacts by means of additional t	ruck and transportation to and from site	e during the development / preparation of	Proposed development of seed potato		
the pivots.			pivots		
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layout Alternative		No Co Alternativo		
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	0			
Duration:	2 1		1		
Extent:	1 1		1		
Irreplaceable:	2 1		1		
Reversibility:	2	1	1		
Probability:	1	1	1		
Total SP:	9	6	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>All vehicles should be road worthy, be maintained to prevent fuel or oil leaks and drivers are to be licensed appropriately for the driving of their assigned vehicle.</li> <li>Any damage to public roads is to be reported to the management authority and repaired to its original condition.</li> <li>Signage is to be placed on vehicles at all times.</li> </ul>				
	Potential	Fire Risk Impacts:			
Nature of impact: Increase risk of fires during the development / preparation of the pivots.			Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots		
Evaluation Components	Preferred Layout Alternative				
Evaluation component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	2	0		
Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	2	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	2	1	1		
Probability:	1	1	1		
Total SP:	9	6	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Medium (M)	Medium (M)	Medium (M)		
Proposed Mitigation:	Ensure the work site and the contractor's camp is equipped with adequate firefighting equipment.				

	All construction equipment must have at least one firefighting extinguisher.					
Workers must be adequately trained in the handling of firefighting equipment.						
	• No open fires are permitted anywhere on site due to the handling of gas on site. No fires will be permitted for heating or cooking					
	purposes on site.	an area that is accortable for the client				
	<ul> <li>Fuel and chemicals must be stored in</li> <li>No smoking will be allowed within cl</li> </ul>	an area that is acceptable for the client.				
	Rotantial Sail C	entamination Impacts:				
	Potentiai Soli C		A satistas s			
Nature of impact:			Activity: Proposed development of seed potato			
Increased Soil contamination by means of	of hazardous substances.		pivots			
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Lay	out Alternative	No Co Altornativo			
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative			
Magnitude:	2	0	0			
Duration:	1	1	1			
Extent:	1	1	1			
Irreplaceable:	2 1 1					
Reversibility:	1 0 1					
Probability:	2 1 1					
Total SP:	14 3 4					
Significance rating:	Low (L) Low (L)					
Cumulative impact:	Low (L) Low (L) Low (L)					
	<ul> <li>No leaked oil or fuel tankers may cor</li> </ul>	ntaminate soil				
	All tanks and pipes containing fuel or oil must be inspected on a regular basis					
	Spills outside the bund area must be treated with a spill kit					
	All significant leaks must be reported to the competent authority in terms of NEMA					
	UST must be fitted with leak detectors in order to alert when a leak is occurring.					
Duran and Adiationalism.	Overfull and spillages during tanker refuelling and fuel dispensing should be prevented by the installation of automatic cut off devices.      Tanken delivered delivered delivered delivered fuels if the line of the second delivered					
Proposed Mitigation:	• Tanker delivery drivers must be present during delivery of fuel with the emergency cut off switch and a fire extinguisher					
	• A closed coupling must be used when fuel is being transferred from the bulk delivery vehicle to the USTs to prevent fugitive emissions.					
	All personnel working with fuel must undergo spill kit training					
	The oil/water separator must be inspected on a regular basis and the inspection report must be provided to the ECO and relevant     authority					
	<ul> <li>Following a leak or accidental spill a</li> </ul>	remediation plan must be compiled and exec	ruted			
	<ul> <li>Fuel stock must be monitored on a d</li> </ul>	aily basis in order to identify if the tank is leal	king.			
	Potential Soil Frosion Impacts:					
Nature of impact: Activity:						

Increased Soil erosion due to construction	Proposed development of seed potato pivots				
	Preferred Layout Alternative				
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	4	2	0		
Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	2	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	1	1		
Probability:	2	1	1		
Total SP:	20	6	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Medium (M)	Medium (M)	Medium (M)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>During construction, un-channelled flow must be controlled to avoid soil erosion. Where large areas of soil are left exposed, rows of straw or hay bales, or bundles of cut vegetation sourced with the ECO's knowledge and consent, should be dug into the soil in contours to slow surface wash and capture eroded soil. The method may also be used where surface run-off becomes concentrated,</li> <li>All water flow must be controlled using storm water management techniques before discharge into the existing natural drainage line,</li> <li>Temporary cut off drains may be required to capture storm water and promote infiltration,</li> <li>All storm water management features must be constructed in a manner that will ensure the continued functioning of the emergent vegetation. Construction must coincide with the dry season</li> </ul>				
Potential Visual Impacts:					
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Increased visual impact due to increased working activities on-site.       Proposed development of se pivots					
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layout Alternative		No Go Altornativo		
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	0	0		
Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	0	1		
Probability:	2	1	1		
Total SP:	14	3	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		

All waste must be placed in bins during operational phase. Keeping the area litter free.				
	Construction activities may only take place during normal working hours.			
	Potential Soci	o-Economic Impacts:		
Nature of impact: Increased socio-economic conditions du	Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots			
Evaluation Components	Preferred Lay	out Alternative	No Co Altornativo	
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
Magnitude:	6	8	8	
Duration:	1	1	1	
Extent:	2	2	2	
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2	
Reversibility:	2	2	2	
Probability:	4	5	4	
Total SP:	52	75	60	
Significance rating:	+ Medium (M)	+ Medium-high (MH)	Medium (M)	
Cumulative impact:	+ Medium (M)	+ Medium (M)	Medium (M)	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Ensure that low-, medium- and high skilled workers use provided working opportunities.</li> <li>Low-, medium- and high skilled workers must be sourced locally.</li> <li>Were practically possible, previously disadvantaged individuals should be provided preference with regards to employment opportunities.</li> <li>Individuals must be trained and continuously developed</li> </ul>			

OPPERATIONAL PHASE				
	Potentia	l Flora Impacts:		
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Direct impact on flora as a result of continuous vegetation clearance.       Proposed development of seed potato pivots				
Evaluation Common ant	Preferred Layout Alternative			
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative	
Magnitude:	2	2	2	
Duration:	5	5	2	
Extent:	2	2	1	
Irreplaceable:	2	2	1	
Reversibility:	2	1	2	
Probability:	2	2	2	
Total SP:	26	24	16	
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Any accidental fuel and oil spills that occur at the site should be cleaned up in the appropriate manner as related to the nature of the spill.</li> <li>The project construction footprint must be kept as small as practicably possible to reduce the actual surface impact on vegetation and no unnecessary/unauthorised footprint expansion into the surrounding areas may take place.</li> <li>Natural veld situated in-between the proposed circular pivot lands must not be impacted upon and must be left in situ.</li> <li>Existing roads and farm tracks in close proximity to the proposed project area must be used during operation.</li> </ul>			
	Potential	Fauna Impacts:		
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Continuous impact on Fauna as a result of cleared vegetation / habitat loss.       Proposed development of see potato pivots				
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No-Go Alternative	
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
Magnitude:	2	2	2	
Duration:	5	5	2	
Extent:	2	2	1	
Irreplaceable:	2	2	1	
Reversibility:	2	1	2	
Probability:	2	2	2	

Total SP:	26	24	16		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Natural veld situated in-between the proposed circular pivot lands must not be impacted upon and must be left in situ.</li> <li>Existing roads and farm tracks in close proximity to the proposed project area must be used during operation.</li> </ul>				
	No hunting of any animal is to take place on site.				
	Specials care are to be taken not to wor	k near or disturb any vulture nests, especia	lly during breading seasons.		
	Potentia	I Dust Impacts:			
Nature of impact: Dust nuisance generated during the	operational phase of the project.		Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots		
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No Co Altornativo		
Evaluation component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	6	4	2		
Duration:	2	2	2		
Extent:	2	2	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	2	1		
Reversibility:	2	1	2		
Probability:	2	2	2		
Total SP:	28	22	16		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Dust Management measures must be implemented in order to manage and minimize undesired dust emissions.</li> <li>Access roads need to be well maintained and dust suppression need to be applied during windy days.</li> <li>Pivots need to be rehabilitated by planting buffalo grass while not in use (7-year cycle apply to these pivots)</li> </ul>				
Potential Noise Impacts:					
Nature of impact: Noise nuisance generated during the operational phase of the pivots.			Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots		
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No-Go Alternativo		
Evaluation component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	2	2		
Duration:	2	2	2		
Extent:	2	2	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	2	1		

Reversibility:	2	1	2		
Probability:	2	2	2		
Total SP:	24	18	16		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Limit working hours of noisy equipment to daylight hours.</li> <li>Fit silencers to equipment.</li> <li>Unless otherwise specified, normal working hours will apply (i.e. from 07:00 to 17:00 Mondays to Fridays).</li> <li>Ensure that Employees and staff conduct themselves in an acceptable manner while on site, both during work hours and after hours.</li> <li>No loud music is permitted on site or in the camp.</li> </ul>				
	Potential Cultura	l and Heritage Impacts:			
Nature of impact: Damage and destruction of vertebrat	Nature of impact:       Activity:         Damage and destruction of vertebrate fossils during the operational phase.       Proposed development of seed potato pivots				
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No Go Altornativo		
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-do Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	2	0		
Duration:	2	1	1		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	1	1		
Probability:	1	1	1		
Total SP:	7	6	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Should any heritage resources (including but not limited to fossils, coins, indigenous and/or colonial ceramics, any articles of value or antiquity, stone artefacts or bone remains, structures and or built features, rock art and rock engravings) be exposed during excavations, all works in the vicinity of the finding must be stopped. A trained palaeontologist or heritage specialist must be notified to assess the finds, and this must then be reported to the applicable heritage authority.</li> <li>Heritage remains uncovered or disturbed during earthworks must not be disturbed further until the necessary approval has been obtained from the heritage authority. A registered heritage specialist must be called to the site for inspection and removal once authority to do so, has been given.</li> <li>Under no circumstances shall any heritage material be destroyed or removed from site.</li> <li>Excavations must be limited to the footprint area and be maintained in a narrow corridor.</li> <li>All operations of excavation equipment must be made aware of the possibility of the occurrence of sub-surface heritage features and the following procedures must be followed:</li> </ul>				

<ul> <li>All construction in the immediate 50 metre vicinity of the site must be ceased.</li> </ul>				
The heritage practitioner must be in	nformed as soon as possible.			
<ul> <li>In the event of obvious human rem</li> </ul>	ains SAPS must be notified.			
<ul> <li>Mitigation measures (such as refilling)</li> </ul>	ng) must not be attempted.			
The area in a 50 metre radius of the	e find must be barricaded with visible taping	g.		
Public access must be limited and the art	ea must be placed under guard.			
Potential Surface and Grou	indwater Contamination Impacts:			
		Activity:		
ation during the operational phase by mea	ns of fertilizer and/or any other hazard	ous Proposed development of seed		
		potato pivots		
Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No-Go Alternative		
Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
2	0	0		
1	1	0		
2	1	0		
1	1 0			
1	1	0		
1	1	0		
7	4	0		
Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
When fertilisers / pesticides are used, er	nsure that all fertilisers / pesticides are envi	ronmentally friendly.		
• When fertilisers / pesticides are used, only use the correct amount as indicated by the parcels. Do not over use.				
Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) mu	st be available on site for all chemicals and	hazardous substances to be used on site, including		
Information on their ecological impacts a	and now to minimise the impacts in case of	any leakages.		
All splits must be cleaned as soon as they	y occur. A spill kit must be used and proof o	of clean up must be given to the ECO.		
<ul> <li>Spillages of petrochemical products m bioremediation or disposed of at a facilit</li> </ul>	ust be avoided. In the case of accidenta	i spillage, contaminated soil must be removed for		
seed naturally occurring on site	y for the substance concerned. Disturbed la	nu must be renabilitated and seeded with vegetation		
Provide suitable and sufficient ablution f	facilities (1 for every 15 personnel on site a	nd 1 for each gender)		
Vehicles and machinery must be regular	ly serviced to avoid spillages	in i for each gender).		
<ul> <li>Vehicles and inachinery must be regularly serviced to avoid spinages.</li> <li>Drin travs must be placed beneath all stationary equipment and beneath all generators present on site</li> </ul>				
Potential Waste Management Impacts:				
		Activity:		
		Proposed development of seed		
orage and littering during the operational r	phase of the pivots.	potato pivots		
	<ul> <li>All construction in the immediate 5         <ul> <li>The heritage practitioner must be i</li> <li>In the event of obvious human rem</li> <li>Mitigation measures (such as refilli</li> <li>The area in a 50 metre radius of the</li> </ul> </li> <li>Public access must be limited and the ar</li> <li>Potential Surface and Grout</li> <li>ation during the operational phase by mea</li> <li>Preferred Layout</li> <li>Before Mitigation         <ul> <li>2</li> <li>1</li> <li>2</li> <li>1</li> <li>1</li> <li>2</li> <li>1</li> <li>0</li> </ul> </li> <li>When fertilisers / pesticides are used, etc.</li> <li>When fertilisers / pesticides are used, or</li> <li>Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) mu information on their ecological impacts</li> <li>All spills must be cleaned as soon as the</li> <li>Spillages of petrochemical products m bioremediation or disposed of at a faciliti seed naturally occurring on site.</li> <li>Provide suitable and sufficient ablution for the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li>All construction in the immediate 50 metre vicinity of the site must be ceased.</li> <li>The heritage practitioner must be informed as soon as possible.</li> <li>In the event of obvious human remains SAPS must be notified.</li> <li>Mitigation measures (such as refilling) must not be attempted.</li> <li>The area in a 50 metre radius of the find must be barricaded with visible tapin.</li> <li>Public access must be limited and the area must be placed under guard.</li> <li>Potential Surface and Groundwater Contamination Impacts:</li> </ul> attion during the operational phase by means of fertilizer and/or any other hazard           Preferred Layout Alternative           Before Mitigation         After Mitigation           2         0           1         1           2         0           1         1           1         1           2         0           1         1           2         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           7         4           Low (L)         Low (L)           Low (L)         Low (L)           When fertilisers / pesticides are used, only use the correct amount as indicated by the formation on their ecological impacts and how to minimise the impacts in case of acident abioremediation or disposed of at a facility for the substance concerned. Disturbed la seed naturally occurring on site.		

Evaluation Components	Preferred Layout Alternative			No Co Altomativa	
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation		No-Go Alternative	
Magnitude:	2	2		2	
Duration:	2	2		2	
Extent:	2	2		1	
Irreplaceable:	2	2		1	
Reversibility:	2	1		2	
Probability:	2	2		2	
Total SP:	24	18		16	
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)		Low (L)	
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)		Low (L)	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>An adequate number of scavenger proof litter bins are to be placed throughout the site, dumping of waste on the site is prohibited.</li> <li>Waste sorting and separation should form part of the environmental induction and awareness programme to encourage and educate personnel to recycle.</li> <li>Keep all work sites including storage areas, offices and workshops neat and tidy.</li> <li>All domestic waste is to be removed from site and disposed of at a registered solid waste landfill site.</li> <li>Care should be taken to ensure that no waste fall off disposal vehicles on-route to the landfill site. If needed, a tarpaulin can be utilised.</li> <li>The burning and burying of solid waste on site is prohibited.</li> <li>Littering by workers shall not be permitted.</li> <li>General waste shall be removed from site on a weekly basis to an approved landfill site.</li> <li>Minimise waste by sorting waste into recyclable and non-recyclable materials.</li> </ul>				
	Potentia				
Nature of impact: Traffic impacts by means of additiona	<b>ure of impact:</b> fic impacts by means of additional truck and transportation to and from site during the operational phase of the piv			Proposed development of seed potato pivots	
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative		No-Go Alternative	
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Magnitude:	2	2		0	
Duration:	2	1		1	
Extent:	1	1		1	
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	2	1 1		1	
Probability:	1	1		1	
Total SP:	9	6		4	
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)		Low (L)	

Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Abnormal loads should be timed to avoid times of the year when traffic volumes are likely to be higher, as would be expected over national holidays, weekends and school holiday periods.</li> <li>All vehicles should be road worthy, be maintained to prevent fuel or oil leaks and drivers are to be licensed appropriately for the driving of their assigned vehicle.</li> <li>Any damage to public roads is to be reported to the management authority and repaired to its original condition.</li> <li>Signage is to be placed on vehicles at all times.</li> </ul>				
	Potential I	Fire Risk Impacts:			
Nature of impact: Increase risk of fires during the oper	Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots				
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	It Alternative	No-Go Alternative		
	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Magnitude:	2	2	0		
Duration:	2	1	1		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	1	1		
Probability:	1	1	1		
Total SP:	7	6	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Medium (M)	Medium (M)	Medium (M)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Ensure the work site is equipped with adequate firefighting equipment.</li> <li>All equipment must have at least one firefighting extinguisher.</li> <li>Workers must be adequately trained in the handling of firefighting equipment.</li> <li>No open fires are permitted anywhere on site.</li> <li>No fires will be permitted for heating or cooking purposes on site.</li> <li>Fuel and chemicals must be stored in an area that is acceptable for the client.</li> <li>Dedicated smoking areas are to be provided.</li> </ul>				
	Potential Soil Co	ontamination Impacts:			
Nature of impact: Increased Soil contamination by mea	Nature of impact: Increased Soil contamination by means of hazardous substances.				
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	it Alternative	No Go Alternativo		
Evaluation component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Magnitude:	2	0	0		

Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	0	1		
Probability:	2	1	1		
Total SP:	14	3	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation: <ul> <li>No leaked oil or fuel tankers may contaminate soil</li> <li>All tanks and pipes containing fuel or oil must be inspected on a regular basis</li> <li>Spills outside the bund area must be treated with a spill kit</li> <li>All significant leaks must be reported to the competent authority in terms of NEMA</li> <li>UST must be fitted with leak detectors in order to alert when a leak is occurring.</li> <li>Overfill and spillages during tanker refuelling and fuel dispensing should be prevented by the installation of automatic cut off devices.</li> <li>Tanker delivery drivers must be present during delivery of fuel with the emergency cut off switch and a fire extinguisher</li> <li>A closed coupling must be used when fuel is being transferred from the bulk delivery vehicle to the USTs to prevent fugitive emissions.</li> <li>All personnel working with fuel must undergo spill kit training</li> <li>Following a leak or accidental spill, a remediation plan must be compiled and executed.</li> <li>Fuel stock must be monitored on a daily basis in order to identify if the tank is leaking.</li> </ul> <li>Nature of impact:</li>					
			potato pivots		
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No Go Altornativo		
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-do Alternative		
Magnitude:	4	2	0		
Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	2	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	1	1		
Probability:	2	1	1		
Total SP:	20	6	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Medium (M)	Medium (M)	Medium (M)		

	r				
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>During the operational phase, un-channelled flow must be controlled to avoid soil erosion. Where large areas of soil are left exposed, rows of straw or hay bales, or bundles of cut vegetation sourced with the ECO's knowledge and consent, should be dug into the soil in contours to slow surface wash and capture eroded soil. The method may also be used where surface run-off becomes concentrated,</li> <li>All water flow must be controlled using storm water management techniques before discharge into the existing natural drainage line,</li> <li>Temporary cut off drains may be required to capture storm water and promote infiltration,</li> <li>All storm water management features must be constructed in a manner that will ensure the continued functioning of the emergent vegetation. Construction must coincide with the dry season.</li> </ul>				
	Potential	Visual Impacts:			
Nature of impact: Increased visual impact due to increa	Nature of impact:       Activity:         Increased visual impact due to increased working activities during the operational phase.       Proposed development of seed         potato pivots       potato pivots				
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No-Go Alternative		
Evaluation component.	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Magnitude:	2	0	0		
Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	0	1		
Probability:	2	1	1		
Total SP:	14	3	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>All waste must be placed in bins during operational phase. Keeping the area litter free.</li> <li>Construction activities may only take place during normal working hours.</li> </ul>				
	Potential Soci	o-Economic Impacts:			
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Increased socio-economic conditions due to job creation       Proposed development of seed potato pivots					
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layou	t Alternative	No-Go Alternative		
·····	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation			
Magnitude:	6	8	8		
Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	2	2	2		
Irreplaceable:	2	2	2		
Reversibility:	2	2	2		

Probability:	4	5	4	
Total SP:	52	75	60	
Significance rating:	+ Medium (M)	+ Medium-high (MH)	Medium (M)	
Cumulative impact:	+ Medium (M)	+ Medium (M)	Medium (M)	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Ensure that low-, medium- and high skilled workers use provided working opportunities.</li> <li>Low-, medium- and high skilled workers must be sourced locally.</li> <li>Were practically possible, previously disadvantaged individuals should be provided preference with regards to employment opportunities.</li> <li>Individuals must be trained and continuously developed</li> </ul>			

DECOMMISION PHASE				
	Potentia	l Dust Impacts:		
Nature of impact:       Activity:         Dust nuisance generated during the decommissioning phase of the project.       Proposed development of sector pivots				
	Preferred Layout Alternative			
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
Magnitude:	6	4	2	
Duration:	1	1	2	
Extent:	2	2	1	
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1	
Reversibility:	2	1	2	
Probability:	2	2	2	
Total SP:	24	18	16	
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Dust Management measures must be implemented in order to manage and minimize undesired dust emissions.</li> <li>Access roads and pivot areas to be decommissioned are to be ripped and seeded for vegetation regrowth to avoid dust.</li> <li>Bivots need to be rebabilitated by planting buffalo grass.</li> </ul>			
	Potential Surface and Grou	Indwater Contamination Impacts:		
Nature of impact: Surface and Groundwater Contaminati hazardous substances or pesticides.	ion during the decommissioning phase b	y means of fertilizer and/or any other	Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots	
Fundamentary Common and	Preferred Layo	out Alternative		
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative	
Magnitude:	2	0	0	
Duration:	1	1	1	
Extent:	2	1	1	
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1	
Reversibility:	1	1	1	
Probability:	1	1	1	
Total SP:	7	4	4	
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)	
Proposed Mitigation:	• When fertilisers / pesticides are used in the planting of seeds, ensure that all fertilisers / pesticides are environmentally friendly.			

<ul> <li>When fertilisers / pesticides are used, only use the correct amount as indicated by the parcels. Do not over use.</li> <li>Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) must be available on site for all chemicals and hazardous substances to be used on site, including information on their ecological impacts and how to minimise the impacts in case of any leakages.</li> <li>All spills must be cleaned as soon as they occur. A spill kit must be used and proof of clean up must be given to the ECO.</li> <li>Spillages of petrochemical products must be avoided. In the case of accidental spillage, contaminated soil must be removed for bioremediation or disposed of at a facility for the substance concerned. Disturbed land must be rehabilitated and seeded with vegetation seed naturally occurring on site.</li> <li>Provide suitable and sufficient ablution facilities (1 for every 15 personnel on site and 1 for each gender).</li> <li>Vehicles and machinery must be regularly serviced to avoid spillages.</li> <li>Drip trays must be placed beneath all stationary equipment and beneath all generators present on site.</li> </ul>					
		Wanagement impacts.	Activity:		
Nature of impact: Waste impacts by means of waste stor	Proposed development of seed potato pivots				
Evaluation Components	Preferred Layout Alternative				
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	NO-GO Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	2	2		
Duration:	1	1	2		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	1	2		
Probability:	1	1	2		
Total SP:	6	6	16		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
<ul> <li>An adequate number of scavenger proof litter bins are to be placed throughout the site, dumping of waste on the site is prohibited.</li> <li>Waste sorting and separation should form part of the environmental induction and awareness programme to encourage and educate personnel to recycle.</li> <li>Keep all work sites including storage areas, offices and workshops neat and tidy.</li> <li>All domestic waste is to be removed from site and disposed of at a registered solid waste landfill site.</li> <li>Care should be taken to ensure that no waste fall off disposal vehicles on-route to the landfill site. If needed, a tarpaulin can be utilised.</li> <li>The burning and burying of solid waste on site is prohibited.</li> <li>Littering by workers shall not be permitted.</li> <li>General waste shall be removed from site to an approved landfill site.</li> </ul>					
Potential Soil Contamination Impacts:					
Nature of impact: Increased Soil contamination by means	Activity:				

			Proposed development of seed		
	Preferred Layout Alternative		βοτατό μίνοτο		
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	2	0	0		
Duration:	1	1	1		
Extent:	2	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	1	1	1		
Reversibility:	1	1	1		
Probability:	1	1	1		
Total SP:	7	4	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>No leaked oil or fuel tankers may contaminate soil</li> <li>Spills outside the bund area must be treated with a spill kit</li> <li>All significant leaks must be reported to the competent authority in terms of NEMA</li> <li>Following a leak or accidental spill, a remediation plan must be compiled and executed.</li> </ul>				
	Potential So	il Erosion Impacts:			
Nature of impact: Increased Soil erosion due to decomm	Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots				
	Preferred Layout Alternative				
Evaluation Component:	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative		
Magnitude:	6	4	0		
Duration:	2	2	1		
Extent:	1	1	1		
Irreplaceable:	2	1	1		
Reversibility:	2	1	1		
Probability:	2	1	1		
Total SP:	26	9	4		
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	Low (L)		
Cumulative impact:	Medium (M)	Medium (M)	Medium (M)		
Proposed Mitigation:	• During the decommissioning phase, un-channelled flow must be controlled to avoid soil erosion. Where large areas of soil are left exposed, rows of straw or hay bales, or bundles of cut vegetation sourced with the ECO's knowledge and consent, should be dug into				

	<ul> <li>the soil in contours to slow surface wash and capture eroded soil. The method may also be used where surface run-off becomes concentrated,</li> <li>All water flow must be controlled using storm water management techniques before discharge into the existing natural drainage line,</li> </ul>					
	Temporary cut off drains may be required to capture storm water and promote infiltration,					
Potential Socio-Economic Impacts:						
Nature of impact: Increased socio-economic conditions d	Activity: Proposed development of seed potato pivots					
Evaluation Component:	Preferred Layout Alternative					
	Before Mitigation	After Mitigation	No-Go Alternative			
Magnitude:	6	4	6			
Duration:	3	2	1			
Extent:	3	3	2			
Irreplaceable:	2	1	2			
Reversibility:	2	2	2			
Probability:	2	2	4			
Total SP:	32	24	52			
Significance rating:	Low (L)	Low (L)	+ Medium (M)			
Cumulative impact:	Low (L)	Low (L)	+ Medium (M)			
Proposed Mitigation:	<ul> <li>Ensure that low-, medium- and high skilled workers working at the farm are given advance notice in terms of the decommissioning.</li> <li>Assist Low-, medium- and high skilled worker in finding other possible vacancies.</li> </ul>					