# **APPENDIX F**

**Impact Assessment** 



# **IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

THE RECTIFICATION OF THE UNLAWFUL COMMENCEMENT OF A LISTED ACTIVITY: THE ESTABLISHMENT OF AN ASPHALT PLANT ON PORTION 57 OF THE FARM STRATHMORE 214, NELSPRUIT DISTRICT, MPUMALANGA.

**AUGUST 2020** 



# Prepared by:



**Environmental** Assessment : Louis De Villiers

Practitioner (EAP)

Assistant to the EAP and project : Ansuné Weitsz

contact person

Postal Address : Suite 221

Private Bag X01

Brandhof

9324

Physical Address : 21 Dromedaris Street

Dan Pienaar Bloemfontein

9301

Tel : 072 873 6665 Cell : 072 838 8189/

072 967 7962

E-mail : admin@turn180.co.za

ansune@turn180.co.za

# **Applicant:**

# At-Road Construction (Pty) Ltd

**Applicant Contact Person** : Mr. Marius Prinsloo

Postal Address : P.O. Box 43715

Heuwilsig

Bloemfontein

9332

Physical Address : 25 Bloemendal Road

Rayton

Bloemfontein

9302

Tel : 051 436 4891 Cell : 082 450 8957

E-mail : mprinsloo@taupele.co.za/

admin@taupele.co.za

#### **Site Information:**

Farm name and number : Strathmore 214

Portion : 57

21 Digit Surveyors Code : T0JU0000000021400057

District : Nelspruit

District Municipality : Ehlanzeni District Municipality

Local Municipality : Nkomazi Local Municipality

Site coordinates (Centre of site) : 25° 31'55.52"S and 31° 27'2.48"E

# 1. Assessment methodology

The environmental significance assessment methodology is based on the following determination:

Environmental Significance = Overall Consequence x Overall Likelihood.

#### 1.1. Determination of Consequence

Consequence analysis is a mixture of quantitative and qualitative information and the outcome can be positive or negative. Several factors can be used to determine consequence. For the purpose of determining the environmental significance in terms of consequence, the following factors were chosen: Severity/Intensity, Duration and Extent/Spatial Scale. Each factor is assigned a rating of 1 to 5, as described in the tables below.

#### <u>Determination of Severity</u>

Severity relates to the nature of the event, aspect or impact to the environment and describes how severe the aspects impact on the biophysical and socio-economic environment (Table 1).

Table 1: Rating of severity

Type of	Rating							
criteria	1	2	3	4	5			
Quantitativ e	0-20%	21-40%	41-60%	61-80%	81-100%			
Qualitative	Insignificant / Non-harmful				Disastrous Extremely harmful			
Social/ Community response	Acceptable / I&AP satisfied	Slightly tolerable / Possible objections	Intolerable/ Sporadic complaints	Unacceptabl e / Widespread complaints	Totally unacceptabl e / Possible legal action			
Irreversibility	Very low cost to mitigate/ High potential to mitigate impacts to level of insignificanc e / Easily reversible	Low cost to mitigate	Substantial cost to mitigate / Potential to mitigate impacts / Potential to reverse impact	High cost to mitigate	Prohibitive cost to mitigate / Little or no mechanism to mitigate impact Irreversible			
Biophysical (Air quality, water quantity	Insignificant change / deterioration	Moderate change / deterioratio	Significant change / deterioratio	Very significant change / deterioration	Disastrous change / deterioration			

Type of	Rating						
criteria	1	2	3	4	5		
and quality, waste production, fauna and flora)	or disturbance	n or disturbance	n or disturbance	or disturbance	or disturbance		

#### Determination of Duration

Duration refers to the amount of time that the environment will be affected by the event, risk or impact, if no intervention e.g. remedial action takes place (Table 2).

**Table 2: Rating of Duration** 

Rating	Description			
1: Low	1 Month			
2: Low-Moderate	1 – 3 Months			
3: Moderate	More than 3 Months			
4: Moderate-High	5 – 10 Years			
5: High	More than 10 Years			

# <u>Determination of Extent/Spatial Scale</u>

Extent refers to the spatial influence of an impact, be it contained to the immediate surroundings (site), extending to the surrounding area, regional (will have an impact on the region), national (will have an impact on a national scale) or international (impact across international borders) (Table 3).

Table 3: Rating of Extent / Spatial Scale

Rating	Description
1: Low	Immediate, fully contained area (site)
2: Low-Moderate	Surrounding Area
3: Moderate	Regional
4: Moderate-High	National
5: High	International

#### Determination of Overall Consequence

Overall consequence is determined by adding the factors determined above and summarised below, and then dividing the sum by 3 (Table 4).

Table 4: Example of calculating Overall Consequence

Consequence	Rating
Severity	Example 4
Duration	Example 2
Extent	Example 4
SUBTOTAL	Example 10
TOTAL CONSEQUENCE: (Subtotal divided by 3)	Example 3.3

#### 1.2. Determination of Likelihood

The determination of likelihood is a combination of Frequency and Probability. Each factor is assigned a rating of 1 to 5, as described and in Tables 5 and 6.

#### <u>Determination of Frequency</u>

Frequency refers to how often the specific activity, related to the event, aspect or impact, is undertaken (Table 5).

Table 5: Rating of frequency

Rating	Description
1: Low	Once a year / once during construction
2: Low-Moderate	Once / more in 6 Months
3: Moderate	Once / more a Month
4: Moderate-High	Once / more a Week
5: High	Daily

#### <u>Determination of Probability</u>

Probability refers to how often the activity/event or aspect has an impact on the environment (Table 6).

Table 6: Rating of probability

Rating Description		
1: Low	Almost never / almost impossible	
2: Low-Moderate	Very seldom / highly unlikely	
3: Moderate	Infrequent / unlikely / seldom	
4: Moderate-High	Often / regularly / likely / possible	
5: High	Daily / highly likely / definitely	

#### Overall Likelihood

Overall likelihood is calculated by adding the factors determined above and summarised below, and then dividing the sum by 2 (Table 7).

Table 7: Example of calculating the overall likelihood

Likelihood	Rating
Frequency	Example 4
Probability	Example 2
SUBTOTAL	Example 6
TOTAL LIKELIHOOD (Subtotal divided by 2)	Example 3

# 1.3. Determination of Overall Environmental Significance

The multiplication of overall consequence with overall likelihood will provide the environmental significance, which is a number that will then fall into a range of LOW, LOW-MODERATE, MODERATE, MODERATE, HIGH or HIGH, as shown in the table below (Table 8).

Table 8: Determination of overall environmental significance

Significance or Risk	Low	Low- Moderate	Moderate	Moderate- High	High
Overall Consequence X Overall Likelihood	1 - 4.9	5 - 9.9	10 - 14.9	15 – 19.9	20 - 25

#### Qualitative description or magnitude of Environmental Significance

This description is qualitative and is an indication of the nature or magnitude of the Environmental Significance. It also guides the prioritisations and decision-making process associated with this event, aspect or impact (Table 9).

Table 9: Description of the environmental significance and the related action required.

Significanc e	Low	Low- Moderate	Moderate	Moderate- High	High
Impact Magnitude	Impact is of very low order and therefore likely to have very little real effect. Acceptable.	Impact is of low order and therefore likely to have little real effect. Acceptable.	Impact is real, and potentially substantial in relation to other impacts. Can pose a risk to the company	Impact is real and substantial in relation to other impacts. Pose a risk to the company. Unacceptable	Impact is of the highest order possible. Unacceptabl e. Fatal flaw.
Action Required	Maintain current manageme nt measures.	Maintain current manageme nt measures.	Implement monitoring. Investigate mitigation	Improve managemen t measures to reduce risk.	Implement significant mitigation measures or

Significanc e	Low	Low- Moderate	Moderate	Moderate- High	High
	Where possible improve.	Implement monitoring and evaluate to determine potential increase in risk.  Where possible improve	measures and improve manageme nt measures to reduce risk, where possible.		implement alternatives.

#### 2. Environmental Impact Assessment

Please note, no Location Alternative will be discussed, as this is a Section 24G process and the Asphalt Plant is already established on the site (the farm Strathmore 214). Although, a Location Alternative was looked at pre-commencement of the activity (the farm Alkmaar 141/286), this location was decided against because of its environmental sensitivity. Therefore, there are no potential impacts for this site, as the Asphalt Plant was established on another site.

# **Geology and Soil**

The following impacts may occur on the soil as a result of the operational and rehabilitation phases of the activity:

- Potential erosion of exposed soil.
- Contamination of soil due to littering and spillage of petrochemical substances.

It should be noted that the Asphalt Plant is already established on the site and the construction phase is completed. Also, there will be no impact on Geology, as no blasting or excavation takes place during the operational or rehabilitation phases.

1. Potential erosion of exposed soil									
	Strathmore 214								
Potential Impact Description:	establishm	Due to the site being located on an active mining area, it was cleared of vegetation prior to the establishment of the Asphalt Plant. Due to a lack of vegetation, the soil is more prone to erosion during heavy rainfall events.							
Duration of Impact:	During the operational and rehabilitation phases								
				Oper	ational phase				
	Severity Duration Extent Consequence Probability Frequency Likelihood Significance								
Without Mitigation	2	2 2 1 1.66 3 4 3.5 5.81							
With Mitigation	1	1	1	1	2	3	2.5	2.5	

#### • Appropriate storm water management measures should be implemented around the site in order to prevent water from rainfall events from flowing across the site and causing erosion. • During extremely windy conditions, the exposed dirt areas of the site should be sprayed with water in Mitigation order to prevent wind erosion. Measures It should be noted that the site contains numerous buildings and the soil is also compacted due to heavy vehicle movement. Therefore, the impact of wind erosion on soil is not expected to be very significant. **Rehabilitation Phase** Severity **Duration Extent Probability** Likelihood Significance Consequence Frequency Without Mitigation 3 8 2 2 2 With Mitigation 3 4 1.33 3.5 4.655 • The site should be monitored after rehabilitation for any erosion trenches. Mitigation • If any erosion trenches area observed, they should be filled. Measures During rehabilitation, all buildings and infrastructure will be removed from site. Therefore, the impact of erosion on soil may be more significant during the rehabilitation phase, as there will be no more buildings. Can the Impact Yes, the impact can be reversed, as the Asphalt Plant will be located on the site temporarily and the site be reversed will be rehabilitated to its former state once the Plant is removed. Will the impact No. Although erosion may lead to soil loss, soil can be sourced from other areas. However, it is not cause expected that the impact of erosion will be so great as to lead to significant soil loss. irreplaceable loss of resource Yes. The site is located on a mining area. Thus, the surrounding area is also disturbed and cleared on Cumulative vegetation. **Impacts**

2. C	ontaminat	ion of soil c	lue to litt	ering and spillag	e of petroche	emical substa	inces.					
			Str	rathmore 214								
Potential Impact Description:	During operation and rehabilitation vehicles and/or machinery can have leaks of petrochemical substances which can contaminate the soil. Storage tanks which store hazardous substances such as bitumen, diesel and paraffin can also leak. Also, littering and incorrect handling of waste can also contaminate the soil.											
Duration of Impact:	During th	e operatio	nal and ı	rehabilitation pho	ases							
				Opero	ational phase	•						
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance				
Without Mitigation	4	2	1	2.33	4	5	4.5	10.485				
With Mitigation	2	1	1	1.33	3	5	4	5.32				
Mitigation Measures	soil will   • Drip tra • Vehicle • Potenti surface • Tanks w • Bins will	be dispose ys will be p es and mac ially hazarc e which has vill be inspe be made	d of as h laced ur thinery w lous subs s the cap cted for available	I substances will be azardous waste. Inderneath immobilities are serviced regulations are modernes will be store modernes regularly. If the on site for the cost at a registered I	oile vehicles of gularly. ored inside a ore than 1109 leaks are rec ollection of g	and/or mach bunded area of the volur corded, they	inery. a with an imp ne of the sub will be repair	permeable ostance. red.				
				Rehah	ilitation Phase	<u> </u>						
	Rehabilitation Phase  Severity Duration Extent Consequence Probability Frequency Likelihood Significance											
	Severity											

With Mitigation	2	1	1	1.33	2	4	3	3.99
Mitigation Measures	the con	taminated s will be p	lliw lios b	al substances duri be disposed of a nderneath immo	s hazardous v	waste.		·
	T							
Can the impact be reversed	Yes. If cor	ntaminatio	on of soil	occurs, it can be	reversed by	the correct of	cleaning prod	cedures.
Will the impact cause irreplaceable loss of resource	No.							
Cumulative Impacts		contribute	to soil c	the area, includir contamination. Th	-		=	

	Sumi	mary of impacts								
Operational Rehabilitation										
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation						
1. Potential erosion of										
exposed soil	5.81	2.5	8	4.655						
2. Contamination of soil										
due to littering and										
spillage of										
petrochemical										
substances.	10.485	5.32	7	3.99						

	8.15 (Low -		7.5 (Low -	
Grand Average Total:	Moderate)	3.91 (Low)	Moderate)	4.32 (Low)
u · ·				"" 1 0 1 1 1

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Soil and Geology will be LOW during the operational phase and the rehabilitation phase with mitigation.

Note that the Asphalt Plant is already established on site and in operation. However, the Asphalt Plant is temporary of nature and the applicant intends to remove it in future and to rehabilitate the site to its former state. It should be noted that the site is located on an active mining area and was disturbed and cleared of vegetation prior to establishment of the Asphalt Plant. There will be no impact on geology, as no deep excavations or blasting occurs during operation or will occur during rehabilitation.

Anticipated impacts of this activity on Soil and Geology include potential erosion of exposed soil and contamination of soil due to littering and spillage of petrochemical substances.

The impact of potential erosion of exposed soil is expected to be LOW - MODERATE during the operational phase without mitigation. With the implementation of the correct mitigation measures as discussed above, the impact can be reduced to LOW. It should be noted that the site is compacted and contains buildings, which reduces the chances of erosion. During rehabilitation the impact of erosion is more significant, although still LOW – MODERATE without mitigation and LOW with mitigation. The buildings on site will be removed and the exposed soil will be more prone to erosion.

The impact of contamination of soil due to littering and spillage of petrochemical substances is expected to have a rating of MODERATE during the operational phase without mitigation, as the activity includes the storage of a large amount of petrochemical substances which may contaminate the soil. This impact can be reduced to LOW – MODERATE with the correct mitigation and management measures. During the rehabilitation phase there may also be an impact due to leaks from vehicles and machinery used for rehabilitation. However, this impact is expected to be LOW with the correct mitigation.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

The only cumulative impacts that are expected is erosion and contamination of soil on site may add to the worsening condition of the soil in the area due to the surrounding land uses.

#### **Proposed mitigation:**

- Appropriate storm water management measures should be implemented around the site in order to prevent water from rainfall events from flowing across the site and causing erosion.
- During extremely windy conditions, the exposed dirt areas of the site should be sprayed with water in order to prevent wind erosion.
- Keep the footprint of the site as small as practicable possible in order to limit the impact no extension of the initial site footprint.
- Equipment and machinery on site will be maintained and drip trays will be used to prevent spillages of petrochemical products which may cause contamination of soil.
- Any hazardous substances on the site will be stored in a bunded area which consists of an impermeable floor with walls which will have the capacity to contain 110% of the volume of the substance stored therein.
- Any spills of hazardous substances will be cleaned immediately by disposing of the affected soil as hazardous waste.
- Storage tanks will be inspected regularly for leaks and if leaks are detected, they will be fixed immediately.
- Bins will be made available on site for the collection of general waste. These bins will be emptied on a regular basis at a registered landfill site.
- The site should be monitored after rehabilitation for any erosion trenches.
- If any erosion trenches area observed, they should be filled.

#### Climate

The following impacts may occur on the climate as a result of the operational and rehabilitation phases of the activity:

- There will be no impact on climate for the activity, as the proposed site is small, and the proposed activities will not release enough emissions to have an impact on the climate.
- The cumulative impact of numerous activities such as mining activities, agricultural activities (such is burning of crops) and vehicle exhaust fumes may impact on the microclimate but will also have an insignificant effect on climate on the larger scale as these activities are only limited to a small area.

				1. Climate change	es					
				Strathmore 214						
Potential Impact	The emissions generated by the Asphalt Plant will not be great enough to directly impact the									
Description:	climate.									
Duration of Impact:	No Impa	ct								
				Opera	tional phase					
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance		
Without Mitigation				No	) Impact					
With Mitigation				No	) Impact					
Mitigation Measures					None					
				Rehabi	litation Phase	•				
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance		
Without Mitigation				No	) Impact					
With Mitigation				No	) Impact					
Mitigation Measures					None					
Can the impact be	There wil	l he no nee	d to reve	erse any impacts a	s the activity	will have no	offect on the	climate		
reversed	mere wii		u io ieve		is ine activity	wiii nave no e		Ciiridie.		

Will the impact	
cause	There are no impacts on climate.
irreplaceable loss	тнеге аге по ітпрасіз от сіїтнаге.
to resource	
Cumulative	There is the possibility that a cumulative effect on the climate can occur. The emissions generated by the Asphalt Plant in conjunction with the surrounding land uses which consists of agricultural activities (burning of crops) and mining activities, along with vehicle emissions from the surrounding roads, may have an impact on the climate. However, this cumulative impact is not expected to be
Impacts	significant.

The impact of the Asphalt Plant on the climate will be small to insignificant as the emissions are not enough to change the climate in the area.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

There may be a small cumulative impact on a larger scale due to the surrounding land uses. However, this cumulative impact is not expected to be significant.

#### <u>Proposed mitigation:</u>

None

#### Land Use

The following impacts may occur on the land use and characteristics of the land as a result of the operational phase of the activity:

• The potential to use the land for other activities will be lost.

It should be noted that the Asphalt Plant is already established on the site and the construction phase is completed.

		1. Lo	ss of pot	ential to use land	for other act	rivities					
		Strathmore 214									
Potential Impact Description:	The Asphalt Plant is located on an active mining area (Strathmore Mine) and was disturbed prior to the establishment of the Plant. Therefore, the only other possible use for the land is related to mining The site is also very small (1.4 ha).										
Duration of Impact:	During th	e operatio	nal phas	е							
				Oper	ational phas	<u></u>					
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance			
Without Mitigation	1	3	1	1.66	1	5	3	4.98			
With Mitigation	1	3	1	1.66	1	5	3	4.98			
Mitigation Measures	land us	e. <b>be noted tl</b>	nat the A	s within developn sphalt Plant is ter land for anything	mporary. The	Plant is also l		_			
	Т										
		T			oilitation Pha	1		T			
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance			
Without Mitigation					No impact						
With Mitigation					No impact						

Mitigation Measures	None
Can the impact be reversed	Yes. The Asphalt Plant is temporary, and the applicant intends to remove it in the future.
Will the impact cause irreplaceable loss to resource	No. The site is located on a mining area and the potential to use the land for other activities is small. When the Asphalt Plant is removed the land will be used by the mine again.
Cumulative Impacts	The development will contribute to cumulative impacts, as the surrounding area also consists of numerous developments that have changed the characteristics of the land.

	Summary of impacts											
Operational Rehabilitation												
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation								
Loss of potential to     use land for other												
activities.	4.98	4.98	None	None								
Grand Average Total:	4.98 (Low)	4.98 (Low)	None	None								

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Land Use will be LOW during the operational phase with mitigation.

The footprint that was cleared for the Asphalt Plant is only 1.4 ha, which is very small. It should also be noted that the Asphalt Plant is temporary, and the applicant intends to remove the plant in the near future. The site is also located on an active mining area.

The impacts of loss of the potential to use the land for other activities is expected to be LOW with and without mitigation. The site is located on a mining area and the potential to use the land for other activities is small. When the Asphalt Plant is removed the land will be used by the mine again.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

There may be cumulative impacts, as the surrounding area is also developed, and this has led to the loss of land.

# Proposed mitigation:

Keep operational activities within the development boundaries to limit disturbance to the surrounding area.

#### **Vegetation and Animal Life**

The following impacts may occur on the vegetation and animal life as a result of the operational and rehabilitation phases of the activity:

- Loss of natural occurring vegetation (Baberton Serpentine Sourveld).
- Establishment of alien invasive plant species.
- Destruction of habitat and loss of animal species.

It should be noted that the Asphalt Plant is already established on the site and the construction phase is completed.

	1. Loss	of natural	occurrin	g vegeranon (ba	penon serbe	illille 300ivei	u)				
				Stro	thmore 214						
	The site fo	alls within th	ne Baber	ton Serpentine S	ourveld (SVI 1	13) vegetatio	n type, whicl	n is classified			
Potential Impact	as Vulner	able (VU) (	Deacon	, 2020). However	, the site is lo	cated on an	active mining	g area and			
Description:	was distu	was disturbed and cleared of vegetation prior to the establishment of the Asphalt Plant. A small									
		copse of natural woodland is located adjacent to the Asphalt Plant.									
Duration of Impact:	During op	During operational phase									
		T	T	-	ational phase	T					
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance			
Without Mitigation	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	6			
With Mitigation	1	1	1	1	1	4	2.5	2.5			
Mitigation	the site	be noted th	nat there	is no vegetation established.	·	·		·			
Mitigation Measures	the site	be noted th	nat there	is no vegetation established.	present on th	ne site itself a		·			
•	the site  It should before th	be noted the Asphalt P	nat there lant was	is no vegetation established. Rehab	present on th	ne site itself a	s it was cleaı	red long			
Measures	the site	be noted th	nat there	is no vegetation established.  Rehat	present on the	ne site itself a		·			
Measures  Without Mitigation	the site  It should before th	be noted the Asphalt P	nat there lant was	is no vegetation established.  Rehab  Consequence	present on th	ne site itself a	s it was cleaı	red long			
Measures  Without Mitigation With Mitigation Mitigation	the site  It should before th	be noted the Asphalt P	nat there lant was	is no vegetation established.  Rehab  Consequence	present on the silitation Phase Probability of Impact of Impact	ne site itself a	s it was cleaı	red long			
Measures  Without Mitigation  With Mitigation	the site  It should before th	be noted the Asphalt P	nat there lant was	is no vegetation established.  Rehab  Consequence	present on the silitation Phase Probability o Impact	ne site itself a	s it was cleaı	red long			
Measures  Without Mitigation With Mitigation Mitigation	It should before th	be noted the Asphalt P	enat there	is no vegetation established.  Rehat  Consequence	present on the silitation Phase Probability o Impact o Impact	e Frequency	s it was clear	red long Significance			
Measures  Without Mitigation  With Mitigation  Mitigation  Measures	the site  It should before th  Severity  Yes. The i	be noted the Asphalt P  Duration	Extent	is no vegetation established.  Rehat  Consequence	present on the silitation Phase Probability of Impact of Impact None	e Frequency  asse is expec	Likelihood	Significance  w, as the site is			
Measures  Without Mitigation With Mitigation Mitigation	the site  It should before th  Severity  Yes. The idready control of the site	be noted the Asphalt P  Duration  impact on cleared. The	Extent  vegetation	is no vegetation established.  Rehat  Consequence	present on the silitation Phase Probability of Impact of Impact None perational phase perat	e Frequency  acse is expecivill be remove	Likelihood  ted to be loved in future. The	Significance  w, as the site is ne site belongs			

Will the impact cause irreplaceable loss to resource	No.
Cumulative Impacts	A cumulative impact may occur, as some surrounding areas have also been cleared of natural occurring vegetation for mining activities.

		2. Es	tablishme	ent of alien invasi	ve plant spec	cies.						
				Stra	thmore 214							
Potential Impact Description:	establishr	The site was cleared of vegetation and disturbed through mining activities prior to the establishment of the Asphalt Plant. This, along with operational activities has disturbed the site and may lead to the establishment of invasive alien species.										
Duration of Impact:	During th	e operatio	nal and re	habilitation pha	se							
				Opero	ational phase							
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance				
Without Mitigation	3	2	1	2	3	4	3.5	7				
With Mitigation	2	1	1	1.66	2	4	3	4.98				
Mitigation Measures			•	tation should be d be confined to			•	basis.				
	Rehabilitation Phase											
	Severity	Severity Duration Extent Consequence Probability Frequency Likelihood Significance										
Without Mitigation	3	2	1	2	3	1	2	4				

already cleared. And with regular removal of alien vegetation, the chance of complete take	With Mitigation	2	1	1	1.66	2	1	1.5	2.49		
be reversed  Will the impact cause  also temporary, and the site will be rehabilitated in the near future.  No. The establishment of alien vegetation will not lead to a loss of natural vegetation as the site of cause already cleared. And with regular removal of alien vegetation, the chance of complete takes	•	• Establis	hment of c	ılien vege	tation should be	monitored a	nd removed	on a regular	basis.		
be reversed  Will the impact cause  also temporary, and the site will be rehabilitated in the near future.  No. The establishment of alien vegetation will not lead to a loss of natural vegetation as the site of cause already cleared. And with regular removal of alien vegetation, the chance of complete takes											
No. The establishment of alien vegetation will not lead to a loss of natural vegetation as the significance of complete take	·-		•		•	•		ed. The Aspl	nalt Plant is		
to resource is minimal.	cause irreplaceable loss	No. The establishment of alien vegetation will not lead to a loss of natural vegetation as the site is already cleared. And with regular removal of alien vegetation, the chance of complete take-over									
Cumulative Impacts  There may be a cumulative impact as disturbance in the surrounding area has already led to encroachment of alien vegetation in the area.			•		•		urrounding ar	ea has alrec	ady led to the		

		3.Destru	ction of	habitat and loss (	of animal spe	cies.			
				Stra	thmore 214				
Potential Impact Description:	Asphalt F there is a	ne site is located on an active mining area and was disturbed prior to the establishment of the sphalt Plant. Due to this, it is highly unlikely that there are any animals currently on site. However, nere is a small copse of woodland adjacent to the site which may contain a few animal species which may be impacted by operational activities.							
Duration of Impact:	During th	e operatio	nal phas	е					
				Opero	ational phase				
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance	
Without Mitigation	2	3	2	2.33	2	5	3.5	8.155	
With Mitigation	1	1	1	1	1	5	3	3	

Mitigation Measures	<ul><li>No ope</li><li>No hun</li></ul>	If any animals are found on site, they should be relocated. No open fires will be allowed. No hunting of animals may take place. Any activities that may lead to disturbance should be restricted to the operational area.									
				Rehab	ilitation Phas	<u> </u>					
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance			
Without Mitigation				N	o impact	•					
With Mitigation		No impact									
Mitigation											
Measures					None						
Can the impact be reversed		nissioned in		nporary. The land re, the site will m	_						
Will the impact cause irreplaceable loss to resource	No. No a	nimals will I	be killed.	. Animals found	on site will be	relocated.					
Cumulative Impacts				impact, as most at for animals ha	_		een disturbe	ed by mining			

Summary of impacts								
	Operat	ional	Rehabilitation					
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation				

vegetation (Legogote Sour				
Bushveld)	6	2.5	None	None
2. Establishment of	0	2.0	NOTIC	140116
invasive alien plant				
species.	7	4.98	4	2.49
3. Destruction of				
habitat and loss of				
animal species.	8.155	3	None	None
Grand Average	7 (Low –			
Total:	Moderate)	3.49 (Low)	4 (Low)	2.49 (Low)

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Vegetation and Animal Life will be LOW during the operational and rehabilitation phases with the correct mitigation.

The site falls within the Baberton Serpentine Sourveld (SVI 13) vegetation type. This vegetation type can be classified as Vulnerable (VU) (Deacon, 2020). However, the site is located on an active mining area and was cleared of vegetation and disturbed prior to the establishment of the Asphalt Plant. It is highly unlikely that any animals are present on site. However, there is a small copse of woodland adjacent to the site. According to the Ecological and Wetland Assessment, the plants observed in the grove were all common and local species, similar to the area surrounding the mining area. There are no threatened or rare animal or plant species present (Deacon, 2020).

Anticipated impacts of the Asphalt Plant on Vegetation and Animal Life include loss of natural occurring vegetation, establishment of invasive alien species and destruction of habitat and loss of animal species.

The impact of loss of natural occurring vegetation is expected to be LOW-MODERATE during the operational phase without mitigation. Although the site was cleared of vegetation prior to the establishment of the Plant, there is a small copse of woodland located adjacent to the site. It is

imperative that the footprint of the site not be expanded in order to prevent disturbance to the surrounding natural vegetation. With the correct mitigation, the impact can be lowered to LOW.

The impact of establishment of invasive alien plant species is expected to be LOW – MODERATE (7) during the operational phase without mitigation, as the site is significantly disturbed, and invasive species tend to establish in such areas. However, if the correct mitigation measures are implemented, this impact can be reduced to 4.98, which is just outside the LOW threshold. Establishment of alien invasive species is also possible during rehabilitation, as the soil of the site will remain disturbed for some time. However, it is expected that this impact will be LOW if the site is regularly monitored for alien species and these species are removed.

The impact of destruction of habitat and loss of animal species is expected to have a LOW – MODERATE rating during the operational phase, without mitigation. This impact can be reduced to LOW if the correct mitigation measures are followed. It should be noted that the site is located on a mining area therefore it is not expected that many animals, if any, will occur on site.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

There may be cumulative impacts, as the surrounding areas are cleared of natural vegetation and disturbed due to mining activities, which has contributed to the loss of natural occurring vegetation, the establishment of invasive species and the loss of animals in the area.

# Proposed mitigation:

- The footprint of the site may not be expanded, and no further clearance of vegetation may take place.
- Establishment of alien vegetation should be monitored and removed on a regular basis during operation and rehabilitation.

- Removal of alien plants must adhere to the Alien and Invasive Species Regulations.
- No hunting will occur of animals that are present.
- If any animals are found on site, they should be relocated.
- No open fires will be allowed.

#### Surface Water

The following impacts may occur on the surface water as a result of the operational phase of the activity:

• Contamination of nearby surface water resources through spillage of petrochemical substances.

1. Con	amination	of nearby	surface v	vater resources t	hrough spillaç	ge of petrochem	nical substan	ces.			
				St	rathmore 214						
Potential Impact Description:	Strathmo	ne Asphalt Plant is located approximately 250 m from the Salt Creek, which is dammed by the trathmore Dam. This dam is classified as a NFEPA Channelled valley-bottom Wetland. However, it is rtificial in nature.									
Duration of Impact:	During th	During the operational phase									
		Operational phase									
	Severity	Severity Duration Extent Consequence Probability Frequency Likelihood Significance									
Without											
Mitigation	3	3	2	2.66	3	4	3.5	9.31			
With Mitigation	2	2	1	1.66	1	4	2.5	4.15			
Mitigation Measures	<ul><li>Drip tro</li><li>Any spi soil and</li><li>Potenti</li></ul>	2 2 1 1.66 1 4 2.5 4.15  Vehicles and machinery on site should be serviced regularly to prevent any leaks.  Drip trays should be placed underneath immobile vehicles and machinery.  Any spills of hazardous substances should be cleaned immediately by removing the contaminated soil and disposing of it as hazardous waste.  Potentially hazardous substances will be stored inside a bunded area with an impermeable surface which has the capacity to store more than 110% of the volume of the substance.									

	site to	orevent cle aving the s	an storm	neasures such as n water from ente entering the natu	ering the site	during rainfall e	vents and dirt	y storm water
				Reho	abilitation Pho	ase		
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance
Without Mitigation					No impact		•	
With Mitigation					No impact			
Mitigation Measures					None			
Can the impact be reversed	Yes, the i	mpact car	n be reve	ersed by impleme	enting the co	rrect clean-up p	procedures.	
Will the impact cause irreplaceable loss to resource	No.							
Cumulative Impacts		ay be cumu ing water re		npacts due to sui	rounding mir	ning activities co	ausing contan	nination of the

	Summo	ary of impacts				
	Operat	ional	Rehabilitation			
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation				
Contamination of     nearby surface water     resources through spillage     of petrochemical     substances	9.31	4.15	None	None		
Grand Average Total:	9.31 (Low - Moderate)	4.15 (Low)	None	None		

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Surface Water will be LOW during the operational phase with the correct mitigation.

The Asphalt Plant is located approximately 250 m from the Salt Creek, which is dammed by the Strathmore Dam. This dam is classified as a NFEPA Channelled valley-bottom Wetland. However, it is artificial in nature. "During the process of surveying the area for potential impacts, the possibility of a massive spill originating from the Asphalt Plant were considered. Should all safety measures in place fail and the spill discharged into the surrounding area, four different routes of flow were identified. Three of the 4 drainage lines transporting storm water flows from the Plant will not be able to reach the riverine environment. The fourth drainage line is secured by an existing "settling pool", but there is still a slight chance that the spill might breach or overtop the pond wall. However, the pollutants have to flow over 663 m of absorbing soil and diverted by other smaller drainage lines in order to reach the Salt Creek." Therefore, the potential risk to the Salt Creek and Strathmore Dam is considered to be low (Deacon, 2020). Please refer to the Ecological and Wetland Assessment in Appendix H of the application.

Anticipated impacts of this development on Surface Water include contamination of nearby surface water resources through spillage of petrochemical substances.

The impact of contamination of nearby surface water resources through spillage of petrochemical substances is expected to have a LOW – MODERATE rating during the operational phase, without mitigation. As described above, there is a slight chance that spills from the Asphalt Plant may enter a drainage line that leads to the Strathmore Dam. However, with the correct mitigation, this impact can be lowered to LOW, as the pollutants have to flow over 663 m to reach that Dam and there is a "settling pool" that secures the drainage line.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

There may be cumulative impacts, as the surrounding mining activities may also lead to contamination of the Strathmore Dam and Salt Creek.

# Proposed mitigation:

- Appropriate storm water measures such as berms and/or culverts should be constructed around the site to divert clean storm water around the site during rainfall events and dirty storm water from leaving the site.
- Potentially hazardous substances will be stored inside a bunded area with an impermeable surface which has the capacity to store more than 110% of the volume of the substance.
- Any spills of hazardous substances should be cleaned immediately by removing the contaminated soil and disposing of it as hazardous
  waste.
- Vehicles and machinery on site should be serviced regularly to prevent any leaks.
- Drip trays should be placed underneath immobile vehicles and machinery

#### Groundwater

The following impacts may occur on the groundwater as a result of the operational and rehabilitation phases of the activity:

• Contamination as a result of spillages of hazardous substances.

It should be noted that the site is located on a man-made plateau next to a hill, on a mining area and it is not anticipated that the groundwater table is close to the surface in this area.

	1	. Contamir	nation as	a result of spillag	es of hazard	ous substance	es.				
		Strathmore 214									
Potential Impact Description:	cause co	Hazardous substances from vehicles and machinery on site can seep into the groundwater and cause contamination during the operational phase. During operation, hazardous substances such as bitumen, diesel and paraffin are stored in aboveground tanks which may leak and contaminate the groundwater resource.									
Duration of Impact:	During th	During the operational and rehabilitation phases									
		Operational phase									
	Severity	Severity Duration Extent Consequence Probability Frequency Likelihood Significance									
Without Mitigation	2	2 3 2 2.33 2 4 3 6.99									
With Mitigation	1	1	1	1	1	4	2.5	2.5			
Mitigation Measures	<ul><li>Drip tra</li><li>Any spil soil and</li><li>Potentia surface</li><li>Storage</li></ul>										

				Reho	ıbilitation Pho	ise					
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance			
Without											
Mitigation	2										
With Mitigation	1	1 1 1 1 1 4 2.5 2.									
Mitigation Measures	<ul><li>Drip tro</li><li>Any spi</li></ul>	Vehicles and machinery on site should be serviced regularly to prevent any leaks.  Drip trays should be placed underneath immobile vehicles and machinery.  Any spills of hazardous substances should be cleaned immediately by removing the contaminated soil and disposing of it as hazardous waste.									
Can the impact be reversed	hazardou	us substanc g into the w	es. Any	ersed by limiting the contamination to n be remedied by	the aquifer i	tself as a resu	ılt of hazardoı	us substances			
Will the impact cause irreplaceable loss to resource	No.										
Cumulative Impacts		,		impact in conjun		e surrounding	land uses wh	ich can also			

	S	ummary of impac	ts			
	Operati	ional	Rehabilitati	on		
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation	Without Mitigation With Mitigation			
Contamination as a result of spillages of hazardous substances.	6.99	2.5	6	2.5		
Grand Average	6.99 (Low –					
Total:	Moderate)	2.5 (Low)	6 (Low - Moderate)	2.5 (Low)		

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Groundwater will be LOW during the operational and rehabilitation phases with the correct mitigation

Currently the Asphalt Plant is using water that is transported to site from somewhere else. No groundwater is abstracted for the activity. Due to the location of the site, it is also highly unlikely that the water table is located close to the surface.

Anticipated impacts of this development on Groundwater includes contamination as a result of spillages of hazardous substances.

The impact of contamination as a result of spillages of hazardous substances is expected to be LOW - MODERATE during the operational phase, without mitigation. Although it is not expected that the water table in the area is located close to the surface, the activity includes the storage of a large amount of hazardous substances. Many large vehicles and machinery that can leak are also associated with the Asphalt Plant. With the correct mitigation (such as storing all hazardous substances in a bunded area), this impact can be lowered to LOW. Vehicles and machinery that are used during rehabilitation may also cause spillages which can seep into the aquifer. However, this impact is expected to be LOW with the correct mitigation.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

There may be some cumulative impacts regarding contamination of the aquifer, as the surrounding land uses may also contribute towards possible contamination.

#### **Proposed mitigation:**

- Hazardous substances will be stored inside a bunded area with an impermeable surface which has the capacity to store more than 110% of the volume of the substance.
- Vehicles and machinery on site should be serviced regularly to prevent any leaks.
- Drip trays should be placed underneath immobile vehicles and machinery.
- Spillages of hydrocarbons will be prevented by using drip trays and a clean-up procedure will be implemented to clean any hydrocarbon spills as soon as possible.
- Storage tanks will be inspected on a regular basis. If any leaks are detected, they will be fixed immediately.

#### Air Quality and Noise

The following impacts may occur on the air quality and noise levels as a result of the operational and rehabilitation phases of the activity:

- Generation of dust and emissions.
- Generation of noise.

It should be noted that the Asphalt Plant is temporary and will be removed in future. Therefore, any impacts relating to noise, generation of dust and emissions are temporary.

			1. G	eneration of dust	and emissior	าร					
		Strathmore 214									
Potential Impact Description:	in the Asp	The Asphalt Plant generates some emissions during operation. However, baghouse filters are used n the Asphalt Plant to capture particulate matter from the process to prevent it from being dispersed into the atmosphere. During operation and rehabilitation, vehicle movement also generates dust.									
Duration of Impact:	During th	e operatio	nal and	rehabilitation pho	ases						
				Oper	ational phase	<u> </u>					
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance			
Without Mitigation	3	3 3 2 2.66 4 5 4.5									
With Mitigation	1	2	1	1.33	3	5	4	5.32			
Mitigation Measures	If dust g (such a) Baghou process recycle An air e standar										

	Rehabilitation Phase							
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance
Without								
Mitigation	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	6
With Mitigation	1	1	1	1	1	4	2.5	2.5
Mitigation Measures	• If dust g (such c	generation is water spr	proves to aying).	orced on constru o become probl uring rehabilitation	ematic, dust o	control measu	res will be inv	estigated
Can the impact be reversed	Yes. The	Asphalt Pla	ınt is tem	porary and will b	e removed ir	n the future.		
Will the impact cause irreplaceable loss to resource	No							
Cumulative Impacts	A cumulative impact can occur as there are surrounding land uses, such as mining activities and agriculture, which can contribute towards dust generation and emissions.							

2. Generation of noise						
	Strathmore 214					

Potential Impact Description:	Movement of vehicles may result in some noise. The Asphalt Plant itself also generates some noise. However, the site is not located close to any residential areas.							
Duration of Impact:	During th	e operatio	nal and re	habilitation phase	es			
		1	Ī	Opero	itional phase	T	T	
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance
Without Mitigation	2	1	2	1.66	3	5	4	6.64
With Mitigation	1	1	1	1	3	5	4	4
-	disturbo	ance to nei	ghbouring	e limited to daytimg landowners.  phalt Plant is loca				
-	disturbo	ance to nei	ghbouring	g landowners.  phalt Plant is loca				
_	disturbo	ance to nei	ghbouring	g landowners.  phalt Plant is loca	ted on a minin			ential areas.
Measures  Without	disturbo	be noted to	ghbouring	phalt Plant is loca  Rehab	ted on a minin	g area, far fro	m any reside	ential areas.
Mitigation Measures  Without Mitigation With Mitigation	It should  Severity	be noted the Duration	ghbouring hat the As  Extent	phalt Plant is loca  Rehabi  Consequence	ted on a minin ilitation Phase Probability	g area, far fro	m any reside	ential areas. Significance

Can the impact be reversed	Yes. The Asphalt Plant is temporary and will be removed in the future.
Will impact cause irreplaceable loss to resource	No
Cumulative Impacts	There may be some cumulative impacts as there are surrounding activities, such as mining activities that also contribute to noise.

Summary of impacts							
	Operati	ional	Rehabilitation				
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation	Without Mitigation With Mitigation				
1. Generation of dust							
and emissions.	11.97	5.32	6	2.5			
2. Generation of noise.	6.64	4	4.98	3			
	9.3 (Low -		5.49 (Low -				
<b>Grand Average Total:</b>	Moderate)	4.66 (Low)	Moderate)	2.75 (Low)			

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Air Quality and Noise will be LOW during the operational phase and the rehabilitation phase with the correct mitigation.

Key emissions generated during operation of the Asphalt Plant include NOx, SO2, CO, Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) and particulate matter. The main sources of emissions are the dryer, hot bins and mixer, but other sources also exist including the storage silos, truck loading and offloading areas, liquid storage tanks, oil heaters and yard emissions. However, baghouse filters (Nomex bags with a total filtering area of 530m²) are used in the Asphalt Plant to capture particulate matter from the process to prevent it from being dispersed into the atmosphere. During operation the Plant also generates some noise. Movement of heavy vehicles on site also generate dust. During the rehabilitation phase, some dust and noise will be generated by machinery and the movement of heavy vehicles.

According to the Atmospheric Impact Report, "The impact of modelled dustfall and ambient concentrations of PM2.5, SO2, CO and BTEX are well below the respective national dust regulations and health-based ambient air quality standards and guidelines. No exceedance of the respective standards or guidelines are predicted within the site or in areas around the site." (uMoya-NILU Consulting, 2020).

Anticipated impacts of this development on Air Quality and Noise will include generation of dust and emissions and generation of noise.

The impact of generation of dust and emissions is expected to be MODERATE during the operational phase without mitigation, as the Plant releases some emissions into the atmosphere. Movement of heavy vehicles on site which generate dust also take place often. This impact can be lowered to LOW-MODERATE with the correct mitigation. It should be noted that there are baghouse filters implemented in the Asphalt Plant and a dust monitoring programme is being implemented on site. During the rehabilitation phase, the impact is expected to be LOW-MODERATE without mitigation, as vehicles and machinery used during rehabilitation may generate some dust. However, this can be lowered to LOW with the correct mitigation measures.

The impact of generation of noise is expected to be LOW-MODERATE during the operational phase without mitigation. The Plant itself does generate some noise and noise is also generated by heavy vehicle movement on site. However, the site is not located close to town. The only sensitive receptors in the area is a homestead located on the mining property belonging to the landowner. With the correct mitigation this impact can be slightly lowered, but it will still remain LOW-MODERATE. During the rehabilitation phase, the impact is expected to be just outside the LOW rating (4.98) without mitigation. With the correct mitigation this can be reduced to LOW, as the only noise generated during the rehabilitation phase will be noise associated with vehicle movement and machinery use.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

There may be some cumulative impacts regarding generation of dust and emissions, as there are some surrounding land uses that may also lead to emissions and dust, such as mining activities, agricultural activities, vehicles and other industries in the area. There may also be a cumulative impact on noise, as the surrounding activities, such as traffic may also contribute towards noise.

### **Proposed mitigation:**

- Speed limits should be enforced on vehicles on site in order to limit dust generation.
- If dust generation proves to become problematic, dust control measures will be investigated (such as water spraying).
- Baghouse filters will be implemented in the Asphalt Plant to capture particulate matter from the process to prevent it from being dispersed into the atmosphere. This particulate matter will be recycled into the process.
- An air emission monitoring program will be implemented to verify compliance to the air emission standards in terms of the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004.
- Dust Fallout Monitoring is already implemented on site.
- Operational activities will be limited to daytime working hours as far as possible to limit any disturbance to neighbouring landowners.

### Archaeological, Palaeontological and Cultural Resources

The following impacts may occur on the archaeological, palaeontological and cultural resources as a result of the operational and rehabilitation phases of the activity:

 There may be accidental unearthing, damage and/or loss of heritage and/or palaeontological resources as a result of operational and/or rehabilitation activities.

It should be noted that this is not expected to happen, as no heritage and/or palaeontological resources of significant value were observed.

1. Loss of culturally/paleontological significant resources							
	Strathmore 214						
Potential Impact Description:	Operational activities may lead to the accidental unearthing, damage and/or loss of heritage artefacts/objects or paleontological resources. However, this is not likely, as no excavation activities take place during operation. During rehabilitation, there may be a slight chance of accidental unearthing, damage and/or loss. However, no heritage and/or palaeontological resources of significant value were observed on site.						
Duration of Impact:	During the operational and rehabilitation phases						

	Operational phase								
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance	
Without									
Mitigation	2	2	1	1.66	2	5	3.5	5.81	
With Mitigation	1	1	1	1	1	5	3	3	
Mitigation Measures	• SAHRA found of	will also be during operd	notified s ation. <b>at this is l</b>	a specialist must hould traces of a nighly unlikely, as	ny palaeonto	logical/archo	-	-	
	operation	n and no cu	Iturally in	nportant structure	s and/or obje	cts have bee	n observed o	on site.	
	operation	n and no cu	lturally in		s and/or obje		n observed o	on site.	
	operation Severity	n and no cu	Extent		•		n observed o		
Without Mitigation				Rehabi	litation Phase				
Without Mitigation With Mitigation	Severity	Duration		Rehabi Consequence	litation Phase Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance	

Will the impact	
cause	If any heritage of paleontological resources is damaged, then yes. However, this is highly unlikely.
irreplaceable	If any hemage of paleomological resources is damaged, menyes. However, mis is highly offlikely.
loss to resource	
Cumulative	None
Impacts	None

Summary of impacts							
	Operati	ional	Rehabilitation				
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	With Mitigation	Without Mitigation With Mitigati				
1. Loss of culturally/paleontologically							
significant resources	5.81	3	4.98	2.5			
	5.81 (Low-		4.98 (Low-				
Grand Average Total:	Moderate)	3 (Low)	Moderate)	2.5 (Low)			

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Archaeological, Palaeontological and Cultural Resources will be LOW during the operational and rehabilitation phase with the correct mitigation.

According to the Heritage Impact Exemption letter (van der Walt, 2020), the study area is of low heritage significance and has been impacted upon by the Magnesite Mine since the 1960's. Mining activities would have obliterated any indicators of heritage resources if any occurred in the study area. Therefore, it is unlikely that the Asphalt Plant impacted on any sites of significance. According to the Paleontological Impact Exemption letter, the site is also paleontologically insignificant. The site is on ancient volcanic rocks, the Kaap Valley Granite, with the Tjakstad Subgroup (Onverwacht Group, Barberton Greenstone Belt). Neither of these rock types is fossiliferous because they are much too old and of the wrong type to preserve fossils (Bamford, 2020). Therefore, there is no chance of finding any fossils in the Asphalt Plant site or immediate surroundings.

Anticipated impacts of this development on Archaeological, Palaeontological and Cultural Resources include the loss of culturally/paleontologically significant resources.

The impact of loss of culturally significant resources is expected to be on the lower side of the LOW-MODERATE rating during both the operational and rehabilitation phases without mitigation, as there could still be a slight chance of unearthing heritage and/or palaeontological resources. However, the impact can be lowered to LOW with the correct mitigation.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

It is not expected that there will be any cumulative impacts.

### **Proposed mitigation:**

- If any significant heritage or paleontological resources are discovered during operation or rehabilitation, work must stop immediately, and a specialist must be contacted.
- SAHRA will also be notified should traces of any palaeontological/archaeological heritage be found during operation or rehabilitation.

#### **Aesthetics**

The following impacts may occur on the aesthetics as a result of the operational phase of the activity:

Negative aesthetic impact due to operational activities.

	Negative aesthetic impact						
	Strathmore 214						
Potential Impact Description:	Due to the site being completely cleared of natural vegetation and due to the industrial look of the Asphalt Plant, there may be a negative aesthetic impact. Littering may also potentially take place. However, the site is located on a mining area, far from any residential areas or public roads.						
Duration of Impact:	During the operational phase						
	Operational phase						

Without				Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance	
Mitigation	1	3	1	1.66	1	4	2.5	4.15	
With Mitigation	1	3	1	1.66	1	4	2.5	4.15	
Mitigation Measures	<ul> <li>The site will always be kept clean and neat by correct housekeeping and waste disposal.</li> <li>Any spills and/or leakages should be cleaned immediately in the correct manner.</li> <li>It should be noted that the Asphalt Plant is located on a mining area, far from any residential areas and public roads.</li> </ul>								
				Reho	ıbilitation Pha	ıse			
	Severity	Duration	Extent	Consequence	Probability	Frequency	Likelihood	Significance	
Without				<u>-</u>	<del>-</del>	<u> </u>		-	
Mitigation					No impact				
With Mitigation					No impact				
Mitigation					None.				
Measures									
Can the impact									
be reversed	Yes. The A	Asphalt Pla	nt is tem	porary.					
Will the impact									
cause	No								
irreplaceable	110								
loss to resource									
		•		impact, as there o	are surroundir	ng land uses tl	hat also cont	ribute to	
Impacts	negative	<u>aesthetics</u>	, such as	mining activities.					

Summary of impacts							
Operational Rehabilitation							
Potential Impacts	Without Mitigation	Without Mitigation   With Mitigation   Without Mitigation		With Mitigation			
Negative aesthetic impact	4.15	4.15	None	None			
Grand Average Total:	4.15 (Low)	4.15 (Low)	None	None			

The overall environmental significance indicates that the impact on Aesthetics will be LOW during the operational phase with the correct mitigation.

Although the site is completely cleared of natural vegetation and the site is disturbed, which may cause an aesthetic impact, the site is located on an active mining area, far from any residential areas or public roads. Furthermore, the Asphalt Plant is only temporary and will be removed in the future.

The negative aesthetic impact is expected to be LOW during the operational phase with and without mitigation, as the site is not near any sensitive receptors in terms of aesthetics, such as residential areas or public roads. The site is also located on a mining area.

There is no feasible design/layout alternative for this project. The applicant has extensive knowledge and experience in the operation of the Asphalt Plant and the layout of the site is usually designed in a manner to allow the most efficient and safest way of operation, storage of goods and transportation of material to and product from the plant.

Also, as mentioned earlier, there is no feasible technological alternative because as far reasonably possible, the best technology will be utilised to limit and / or prevent impact on the environment.

There may be a cumulative impact on aesthetics, as there are other land uses such as mining activities that also contribute to negative aesthetics.

# <u>Proposed mitigation:</u>

- The footprint of the operational area may not be increased.
- The site will always be kept clean and neat by correct housekeeping and waste disposal.
- Any spills and/or leakages should be cleaned immediately in the correct manner.

### Demographics and Regional Socio-economic Structure

The Asphalt Plant has provided at least 6 local people with jobs for the duration of the project. This has a positive impact on the unemployment rate of the local municipality. In turn, this will also reduce the poverty rate and give 6 families the means to send their children to school. Therefore, this development has a positive impact on the Demographics and Regional Socio-economic Structure.

## 3. Conclusion

From the Impact Assessment it can be concluded that there are no impacts that are expected to have a HIGH or unacceptable rating. The most significant impacts associated with the Asphalt Plant include the impacts on Air Quality and Noise. However, as mentioned, the Asphalt Plant is temporary and it is also located on a mining area.