

## APPENDIX H: Impact Rating Procedure & Summary

### Impact Rating Procedure

The significance of an impact is defined as a combination of the consequence of the impact occurring and the probability that the impact will occur. The criteria used to determine impact consequences are presented in Table I-1 below.

**Table I-1: Criteria used to determine the Consequence of the Impact**

Rating	Definition of Rating	Score
<b>A. Extent– the area over which the impact will be experienced</b>		
None		0
Local	Confined to project or study area or part thereof (e.g. site)	1
Regional	The region, which may be defined in various ways, e.g. cadastral, catchment, topographic	2
(Inter) national	Nationally or beyond	3
<b>B. Intensity– the magnitude of the impact in relation to the sensitivity of the receiving environment</b>		
None		0
Low	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions and processes are negligibly altered	1
Medium	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions and processes continue albeit in a modified way	2
High	Site-specific and wider natural and/or social functions or processes are severely altered	3
<b>C. Duration– the time frame for which the impact will be experienced</b>		
None		0
Short-term	Up to 2 years	1
Medium-term	2 to 15 years	2
Long-term	More than 15 years	3

The combined score of these three criteria corresponds to a Consequence Rating, as follows:

**Table I-2: Method used to determine the Consequence Score**

Combined Score (A+B+C)	0 – 2	3 – 4	5	6	7	8 – 9
Consequence Rating	Not significant	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high

Once the consequence has been derived, the probability of the impact occurring was considered using the probability classifications presented in Table I-3.

**Table I-3: Probability Classification**

Probability– the likelihood of the impact occurring	
Improbable	< 40% chance of occurring
Possible	40% - 70% chance of occurring

Probability– the likelihood of the impact occurring	
Probable	> 70% - 90% chance of occurring
Definite	> 90% chance of occurring

The overall significance of impacts was determined by considering consequence and probability using the rating system prescribed in the table below.

**Table I-4: Impact Significance Ratings**

Significance Rating	Possible Impact Combinations		
	Consequence		Probability
Insignificant	Very Low	&	Improbable
	Very Low	&	Possible
Very Low	Very Low	&	Probable
	Very Low	&	Definite
	Low	&	Improbable
	Low	&	Possible
Low	Low	&	Probable
	Low	&	Definite
	Medium	&	Improbable
	Medium	&	Possible
Medium	Medium	&	Probable
	Medium	&	Definite
	High	&	Improbable
	High	&	Possible
High	High	&	Probable
	High	&	Definite
	Very High	&	Improbable
	Very High	&	Possible
Very High	Very High	&	Probable
	Very High	&	Definite

Finally, the impacts were also considered in terms of their status (positive or negative impact) and the confidence in the ascribed impact significance rating. The system for considering impact status and confidence (in assessment) is laid out in the table below.

**Table I-5: Impact status and confidence classification**

Status of impact	
Indication whether the impact is adverse (negative) or beneficial (positive).	+ ve (positive – a 'benefit')
	– ve (negative – a 'cost')
Confidence of assessment	

The degree of confidence in predictions based on available information, SRK's judgment and/or specialist knowledge.	Low
	Medium
	High

The impact significance rating should be considered by authorities in their decision-making process based on the implications of ratings ascribed below:

**Insignificant:** the potential impact is negligible and will not have an influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.

**Very Low:** the potential impact is very small and should not have any meaningful influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.

**Low:** the potential impact may not have any meaningful influence on the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.

**Medium:** the potential impact should influence the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.

**High:** the potential impact will affect the decision regarding the proposed activity/development.

**Very High:** The proposed activity should only be approved under special circumstances.

Practicable mitigation measures will be recommended and impacts will be rated in the prescribed way both with and without the assumed effective implementation of mitigation measures. Mitigation measures will be classified as either:

**Essential:** must be implemented and are non-negotiable; or

**Optional:** must be shown to have been considered and sound reasons provided by the proponent, if not implemented.

## “No-Go” alternative

In the case of the “No-Go” alternative, no additional construction or clearing of vegetation would occur and the site would remain in its current condition until/ unless any other development is approved.

In most cases, the “No-Go” alternative approximates the baseline situation. In the sections assessing specific impacts below, the “No-Go” alternative is only assessed where the baseline descriptions do not fully capture current impacts.

Construction	Impact	Mitigation	Extent	Intensity	Duration	Consequence	Probability	Significance	Status	Confidence	Reversability
	Impacts on Biodiversity	Without	Regional	Medium	Short-term	Low	Probable	Low	- ve	high	medium
		With	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	
	Impacts on Traffic	Without	Local	Medium	Short-term	Very low	Definite	Very Low	- ve	high	high
		With	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	Very Low	- ve	high	
	Impacts on Wildlife	Without	Local	Medium	Short-term	Very low	Probable	Very Low	- ve	high	medium
		With	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	
	Noise Impacts	Without	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	Very Low	- ve	high	high
		With	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	Very Low	- ve	high	
	Impacts on Existing Infrastructure and Private Property	Without	Regional	Medium	Short-term	Low	Possible	Very Low	- ve	high	high
		With	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	
	Aquatic Impact 1: Changes to Hydrological Regime and increased potential for erosion	Without	Regional	Medium	Medium-term	Medium	Possible	Low	- ve	high	medium
		With	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	
	Aquatic Impact 2: Impact of Changes to Water Quality	Without	Local	Medium	Medium-term	Low	Possible	Very Low	- ve	high	medium
		With	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	
	Aquatic Impact 3: Loss of Wetland Vegetation / Aquatic Habitat	Without	Regional	Medium	Medium-term	Medium	Possible	Low	- ve	high	medium
		With	Local	Low	Medium-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	
	Impacts on Archaeological Resources	Without	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Possible	Very Low	- ve	high	low
		With	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	
	Impacts on Palaeontological Resources	Without	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Possible	Very Low	- ve	high	low
With		Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high		
Impacts on Air Quality	Without	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	Very Low	- ve	high	high	
	With	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	Very Low	- ve	high		
Waste Management	Without	Regional	Medium	Short-term	Low	Probable	Low	- ve	high	medium	
	With	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high		
Socio-economic Impacts	Without	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Probable	Very Low	+ ve	high	N/A	
	With	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Definite	Very Low	+ ve	high		
Impacts on Aquatic Resources (Cumulative)	Without	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	medium	
	With	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Improbable	Insignificant	- ve	high		

Operation	Socio-economic Impacts	Without	Regional	Low	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	+ ve	high	N/A
		With	Regional	Low	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	+ ve	high	
	Visual / Aesthetic Impacts	Without	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Definite	Low	- ve	medium	low
		With	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Definite	Low	- ve	medium	
	Impacts on Avifauna	Without	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Probable	Low	- ve	medium	low
		With	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Possible	Very Low	- ve	medium	
	Noise Impacts	Without	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Possible	Very Low	- ve	high	medium
		With	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Improbable	Very Low	- ve	high	
	Property Ownership / Value	Without	Regional	Medium	Medium-term	Medium	Possible	Low	- ve	medium	low
		With	Regional	Medium	Medium-term	Medium	Possible	Low	- ve	medium	
	EMF (Electro-Magnetic Field)	Without	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Possible	Very Low	- ve	medium	low
		With	Local	Low	Long-term	Low	Improbable	Very Low	- ve	medium	
	Fire (Indirect)	Without	Regional	Low	Short-term	Very low	Possible	Insignificant	- ve	high	high
		With	Local	Low	Short-term	Very low	Improbable	Insignificant	- ve	high	