Application for Environmental Authorisation for the Proposed Development Of Nature Estate For Leisure Purposes And A Lodge, Located North Of Komatipoort Town In Mpumalanga Province

APPENDIX G IMPACT ASSESSMENT TABLES

Compiled by:



NULEAF PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PTY LTD

December 2020

### 1. ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The impacts anticipated to occur as a result of the proposed development are assessed/ evaluated to determine their significance. The following assessment criteria are used:

Extent (how far the impact extends):

- (1) Very low: within the site only
- (2) Low: within the local neighbourhoods
- (3) Medium: within the region
- (4) High: Nationally
- (5) Very high: Internationally

Duration (the timeframe over which the effects of the impact will be felt):

- (1) Very short: 0-2 years
- (2) Short: 3-5 years
- (3) Medium: 5-15 years
- (4) Long: >15 years
- (5) Permanent

Magnitude (the severity or size of the impact):

- (0) None
- (2) Minor
- (4) Low
- (6) Moderate
- (8) High
- (10) Very High

Probability (the likelihood of the impact actually occurring):

- (1) Very improbable: Less than 20% sure of the likelihood of an impact occurring
- (2) Improbable: 20-40% sure of the likelihood of an impact occurring
- (3) Probable: 40-60% sure of the likelihood of an impact occurring
- (4) Highly probable: 60-80% sure of the likelihood of that impact occurring
- (5) Definite: More than 80% sure of the likelihood of that impact occurring

The significance of the potential visual impact is determined by the sum of the individual scores for extent, duration and magnitude multiplied by the probability of the impact occurring i.e. significance = (extent + duration + magnitude) x probability.

The significance rating scale is interpreted as follows:

- (0-12) Negligible: Impact would be of a very low order. In the case of negative impacts, almost no
  mitigation and or remedial activity would be needed, and any minor steps, which might be needed,
  would be easy, cheap, and simple. In the case of positive impacts, alternative means would almost all
  likely be better, in one or a number of ways, than this means of achieving the benefit.
- (13-30) Low: Impact would be of a low order and with little real effect. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be either easily achieved or little would be required, or both. In case of positive impacts alternative means for achieving this benefit would likely be easier, cheaper, more effective, less time-consuming, or some combination of these.
- (31-56) Moderate: Impact would be real but not substantial. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be both feasible and fairly easily possible. In the case of positive impacts, other means of achieving these benefits would be about equal in time, cost, and effort.

- (57-90) High: Impacts of a substantial order. In the case of negative impacts, mitigation and / or remedial activity would be feasible but difficult, expensive, time-consuming or some combination of these. In the case of positive impacts, other means of achieving this benefit would be feasible, but these would be more difficult, expensive, time-consuming or some combination of these.
- (91-100) Very High: Of the highest order possible. In the case of negative impacts, there would be no
  possible mitigation and / or remedial activity and in the case of positive impacts, there is no real
  alternative to achieving the benefit.
- Postive impacts

## 2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The tables that follow detail the assessment of the significance of anticipated environmental impact during the entire project life cycle according to the impact assessment criteria. The findings of the various specialists appointed as part of the BAR process have informed the impact assessment below. These impacts been supplemented with additional impacts as deemed appropriate by the EAP.

#### 2.1 Impacts that may result from the Planning and Design Phase

Planning and design phase impacts refer to those impacts that may be mitigated through planning decisions. In this respect, the potential impacts are articulated as 'risks' rather than 'impacts', because in reality, no impact occurs on the ground at all during the planning phase. The rationale behind this approach is to demonstrate the mitigating effect of environmentally responsible and appropriate planning and design during this phase.

Potential impacts:						Proposed mitigation:					
	Extent (1-5)	Duration (1-5)	Magnitude (0-10)	Probability (1-5)	Significance		Extent (1-5)	Duration (1-5)	Magnitude (0-10)	Probability (1-5)	Significance
ALTER	NATI	VE A1	(PRE	FERR	ED A	LTERNATIVE)- PLANNING AND DESIGN					
					Direc	t Impacts					
Ground water						-					-
None.						•					
Hydrology (surface water)											
Risk to ecological function of the Crocodile River and drainage lines due to possible placement of structures and infrastructure within the habitat.	1	4	8	5	65 H	• Hydrology, including ground water, surface water and storm water management as per the EMPr (section 7.1).		4	4	3	27 L
This pertains to the placement of 2 birdhides and certain river front chalets.											
Risk to hydrological function (quality and fluctuation properties) along the Crocodile River and drainage lines due to activity and disturbance near the	2	4	6	5	60 H		2	3	4	4	36 M

watercourse.						
Soil						
Erosion risk to soils due to increased hard surface, associated increase in storm water runoff.	1	4	8	4	52 M	<ul> <li>Hydrology, including ground water, surface water and storm water management as per the EMPr (section 7.1).</li> <li>Hydrology, including ground water, surface water and 1</li> <li>4</li> <li>4</li> <li>3</li> <li>4</li> </ul>
Air						
None.						•
Biodiversity (Flora)						
Risk to critical biodiversity areas and ecological support areas due to vegetation clearing and the placement of structures and infrastructure.	3	4	6	5	75 H	<ul> <li>Biodiversity Management, including storm water management and waste management as per the EMPr (section 7.2).</li> <li>3 4 2 3 27 L</li> </ul>
Only a small pocket in the far northern portion of the site is classified as a CBA, the remainder of the site is ESA: protected area buffer.						
Risk to sensitive habitats, specifically the riparian habitat due to the placement of structures and infrastructure.	2	4	8	4	56 M	2 4 4 3 30 L
This pertains to the placement of 2 birdhides and certain river front chalets.						
Risk to Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. <i>Aloe komatiensis</i> (VU), and <i>Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia</i> <i>melanoxylon</i> (NT), <i>Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia</i> <i>albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis,</i> <i>Dhilanontare violance and Elaeodera</i>	2	4	8	4	56 M	
Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and Berchemia zeyheri due to the placement of structures and infrastructure within						
the habitat.						
Biodiversity (Fauna)						
Risk of habitat fragmentation due to removal and	1	4	6	4	44	Biodiversity Management, including storm water     1     4     4     3     2

alteration of the habitat and the development of					Μ	management and waste management as per the					1.1
structures and infrastructure.					IVI	EMPr (section 7.2).					L .
Land Use & Agricultural Potential						LIMIT (Section 7.2).					
None.						_					<u> </u>
Visual						•					
	2	4	0	4	10	Visual planning as a set to EMDs (section 7.2.5)	2	4	4	2	22
Risk to visual quality of the surrounding area and	3	4	8	4	60	• Visual planning as per the EMPr (section 7.2.5).	3	4	4	2	22
sense of place due to the development of structures					Н						L
and infrastructure at the property within an otherwise											
natural environment.											
Socio-economics		1	1	1	1			1	1		
None.						•					
Municipal services & traffic		-	-					1			-
None.						•					
Indirect Impacts											
None											
Cumulative Impacts											
Biodiversity (Flora)											
					-			_			
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important	3	5	8	4	64	Biodiversity Management, including water	3	5	6	2	28
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. <i>Aloe komatiensis</i>	3	5	8	4	64 H	Biodiversity Management, including water management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. <i>Aloe komatiensis</i> (VU), and <i>Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia</i>	3	5	8	4			3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. <i>Aloe komatiensis</i>	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. <i>Aloe komatiensis</i> (VU), and <i>Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia</i>	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis,	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A.	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and Berchemia zeyheri. This	3	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and Berchemia zeyheri. This will result in the overall loss of these species.	2	5	8	4		management and waste management as per the EMPr (section 7.2).	3	5	6	2	28 L
Cumulative reduction of Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and Berchemia zeyheri. This will result in the overall loss of these species. Biodiversity (Fauna)		5			H	management and waste management as per the		5			L

NO-PROJECT ALTERNATIVE						
Direct Impacts						
None.			•			
Indirect Impacts						
None.			•			
Cumulative Impacts						
None.			•			

2.2 Impacts that may result from the Construction Phase

Construction phase impacts refer to those impacts that may be mitigated through sound construction management.

Potential impacts:	Extent (1-5)	Duration (1-5)	Magnitude (0-10)	Probability (1-5)	Significance	Extent (1-5)     Extent (1-5)       Duration (1-5)     Magnitude (0-10)       Probability (1-5)     Probability (1-5)
	Exte	Dura	Maç	Prol	Sig	Extent Duratio Probab Signifi
ALTER	NATI\	/E A1	(PRE	FERR		TERNATIVE) - CONSTRUCTION PHASE
					Direc	t Impacts
Ground water						
Depletion of ground water due to overuse and waste during construction activities	2	1	6	3	27 L	Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 2 1 4 2 14 L
Pollution and contamination of ground water due to:	2	1	8	3	33 M	Hydrology, including groundwater as per the EMPr 2 1 6 2 18 (section 8.2).
Surface runoff						Waste management plan and storm water
Unmanaged sewage discharge, leaks and spills						management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)
<ul> <li>Solvent, paints and chemical spills</li> </ul>						
Hydrocarbon and fuel leaks and spills						
Hydrology (surface water)						
Disturbance and loss of ecological function of the habitat (physical structure) of the Crocodile river and along the drainage lines due to:	1	1	10	4	48 M	Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 1 1 6 3 24 L

<ul> <li>Clearing and destruction of riparian and wetland vegetation</li> <li>Loss of fringing vegetation and erosion of denuded areas</li> <li>Invasion by alien invasive trees and plants</li> <li>Alteration in natural fire regimes</li> <li>Shading of natural vegetation</li> <li>Destabilization of banks</li> </ul>						<ul> <li>Hydrology, including surfacewater as per the EMPr (section 8.2).</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Disturbance and loss of hydrological function (quality and fluctuation properties) along the Crocodile River and drainage lines due to:</li> <li>Destruction of riparian habitat</li> <li>Alteration of surface characteristics (roughness) due to activity within the water course (uncontrolled access by workers)</li> <li>Removal of stabilising vegetation (uncontrolled clearing and access by workers)</li> <li>Sedimentation and siltation from erosion</li> </ul>	1	1	10	4	48 M	1 1 6 3 24 L
Flow modification due to concentrating flows and storm water runoff from hard surfaces especially roads.	1	1	8	4	40 M	1 1 4 3 18 L

<ul> <li>Pollution and contamination of the Crocodile river and drainage lines due to:</li> <li>Unmanaged runoff of grey water, cement slurry and wash water.</li> <li>Unmanaged sewage discharge, leaks and spills</li> <li>Solvent, paints and chemical spills</li> </ul>	3	1	10	5	70 H	3	}	1	6	3	30 L
<ul> <li>Litter and other inert construction waste.</li> <li>Hydrocarbon and fuel leaks and spills</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Soil</li> <li>Soil contamination and pollution due to:</li> <li>Unmanaged surface runoff (grey water, cement slurry and wash water)</li> <li>Unmanaged sewage discharge, leaks and spills</li> <li>Solvent, paints and chemical spills</li> <li>Litter and other inert construction waste.</li> <li>Hydrocarbon and fuel leaks and spills</li> </ul>	1	1	8	4	40 M	<ul> <li>Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 8.1)</li> <li>Biodiversity Management, specifically soil contamination and erosion as per the EMPr (section 8.3.1 and 8.3.2)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> </ul>		1	4	3	18 L
<ul> <li>Soil erosion by wind and rain due to:</li> <li>The removal of stabilising vegetation</li> <li>Soil compaction by movement of construction vehicles, equipment and activities</li> <li>Decrease in water infiltration and an increase of water runoff in construction areas</li> <li>Disturbance of sensitive soils</li> </ul>	1	4	6	3	33 M	1		4	4	2	18 L
Soil compaction and increased risk of sediment transport and erosion.	1	1	8	4	40 M	1		1	4	3	18 L
Air	T			r .						-	
Air pollution due emissions from construction vehicles and equipment.	3	1	4	4	32 M	• Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 3 8.1)	}	1	4	3	24 L
Dust liberated by general construction activities and movement of construction vehicles.	2	1	6	4	36 M	• Biodiversity Management, specifically air quality as 2 per the EMPr (section 8.3.4)	2	1	4	3	21 L
Smoke from open fires used by site staff for heating	2	1	6	4	36	Waste management plan and storm water 2	-	1	4	3	21

and cooking as well as from uncontrolled fires.					Μ	<ul><li>management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li><li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li></ul>					L
Biodiversity (Flora)		1	1		1				L		1
Removal of invader alien species (positive impact). At least 18 declared invasive species were recorded	1	1	6	3	24 L	<ul> <li>Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 8.1)</li> <li>Biodiversity Management, specifically flora as per the</li> </ul>	1	1	8	5	50 M
during fieldwork.						EMPr (section 8.3.5, 8.3.6, 8.3.7, 8.3.8)					
<ul> <li>Loss of critical biodiversity areas and ecological support areas: protected area buffer due to:</li> <li>Site clearing ahead of construction</li> </ul>	1	5	6	5	60 H	<ul> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	1	5	4	4	40 M
<ul> <li>General construction activities and movement of construction vehicles</li> <li>Only a small pocket of CBA is located in the far northern portion of the site.</li> </ul>											
Destruction of riparian areas due to placement of infrastructure within this habitat which will result in habitat and biodiversity loss.	1	5	10	4	64 H		1	5	6	3	36 M
<ul> <li>Disturbance and impacts on the riparian areas due to vegetation removal and the generation of dust and the placement of structures within this habitat. This could lead to:</li> <li>Decreased visibility due to clouding of the water column;</li> <li>Decreased light penetration;</li> <li>Siltation of fine sediment substrates, gravel</li> </ul>	1	2	10	4	52 M		1	2	6	3	27 L
<ul> <li>substrates and inter-substrate spaces; and</li> <li>The decrease in habitat availability.</li> <li>Disturbance of sensitive habitats such as riparian and high biodiversity areas due to:</li> </ul>	1	5	10	5	80 H		1	5	8	4	56 M
Site clearing ahead of construction											

<ul> <li>General construction activities and movement of construction vehicles</li> <li>Unmanaged sewage discharge, leaks and spills</li> <li>Solvent, paints and chemical spills</li> <li>Litter and other inert construction waste.</li> <li>Hydrocarbon and fuel leaks and spills</li> <li>A small number of the River front chalets are located within wither the buffer zone of the high biodiversity areas or within the high biodiversity areas.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Destruction and damage to Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and Berchemia zeyheri due to:</li> <li>Site clearing ahead of construction</li> <li>General construction activities and movement of</li> </ul>	1	5	10	4	64 H
construction vehicles Increase in exotic vegetation/alien species and bush encroachment into disturbed soils and areas due to:	1	4	8	4	52 M
<ul> <li>Unmanaged cleared and disturbed areas, as well as, stockpiles</li> <li>Unrehabilitated areas cleared and disturbed during construction</li> <li>Construction vehicles operating on other sites and carrying material and seed onto site</li> </ul>					

Bush encroachment is the process, which transforms grassy vegetation into a woody species- dominated one. This is recognised as a very serious problem throughout Sub-Saharan Africa, as it means that large areas of grazing lands are lost (or reduced in capacity), and it transforms habitats and reduces species diversity. Biodiversity (Fauna)						
<ul> <li>Loss of faunal habitat which acts as a wildlife corridor and is an important faunal habitat for conservation-important fauna due to:</li> <li>Site clearing ahead of construction</li> <li>General construction activities and movement of construction vehicles</li> <li>Construction dust</li> <li>Construction material, litter and other inert construction waste</li> </ul>	1	4	8	4	52 M	<ul> <li>Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 8.1)</li> <li>Biodiversity Management, specifically fauna as per the EMPr (section 8.3.9, 8.3.10, 8.3.11)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>

Impverishment of populations of important fauna confirmed on site i.e. one VU species of reptile was confirmed during fieldwork, namely Nile Crocodile and two CR birds (Hooded and White-backed Vultures) and one EN bird (Bateleur) were also confirmed overhead.	1	2	8	4	44 M	1	2	6	3	27 L
Distrubance of fauna along the Crocodile River within KNP due to noise from worker and construction vehicles.	1	1	8	5	50 M	1	1	6	4	32 M
Loss of general faunal habitat and ecological connectivity.	2	4	8	4	56 M	2	4	4	2	20 L
<ul> <li>Mortality of fauna due to:</li> <li>Dangerous trenches and excavations</li> <li>Persecution and extermination</li> <li>Solvent, paints and chemical spills (poisoning)</li> </ul>	2	1	8	4	44 M	2	1	6	2	18 L

<ul> <li>Construction material, litter and other inert construction waste (suffocation)</li> </ul>						
Collisions with construction vehicles						
Increased illegal harvesting of plant and animal resources due to increased access to the site, influx of contract workers into the area	2	1	10	4	52 M	2 1 8 2 22 L
Poaching and snaring of fauna on site by construction staff.	2	1	10	4	52 M	2 1 6 3 <b>27</b> L
Increased opportunity for smuggling of poached items out of the site and KNP due to regular presence of large construction vehicles.	2	1	10	3	39 M	2 1 6 3 <b>27</b> L
Land Use & Agricultural Potential				1		
None.						•
Heritage						
Possible discovery of new important artefacts (positive impact)	1	1	6	2	16 L	Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 1 1 6 2 16 8.1)
Damage to and / or destruction of archaeological, paleontological or historical artefacts unearthed during construction due to:	1	5	6	2	24 L	<ul> <li>Heritage Management, specifically fauna as per the EMPr (section 8.4)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Site clearing ahead of construction</li> <li>General construction activities and movement of construction vehicles</li> </ul>						Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)
Visual	-					
Visual impact of construction, lighting and dust on sensitive visual receptors i.i. Shishangeni Lodge owing to the presence of construction equipment, camps and workers.	2	1	8	4	44 M	<ul> <li>Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 8.1)</li> <li>Socio-economic Management, specifically visual immediate parties for the EMPr (section 9.5.1)</li> </ul>
Visual impact of construction, lighting and dust on conservation areas within the region (KNP).	3	1	6	4	40 M	<ul> <li>impact as per the EMPr (section 8.5.1)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>
Socio-economics	-	•				
Stimulation of the local economy, especially the	3	1	4	2	16	• Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 3 1 4 3 24

local service delivery industry (i.e. accommodation,				1	1	8.1)			1		1
catering, cleaning, transport and security, etc.).					_	• Socio-economic Management as per the EMPr					-
(positive impact)						(section 8.5)					
Creation of short-term employment and business	2	1	6	3	27	Waste management plan and storm water	2	1	6	4	36
opportunities and the opportunity for skills					L	management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)					М
development and on-site training. (Positive impact).						Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)					
Jobs and employment opportunities will be created,											
with a percentage being low and semi-skilled.											
Noise, dust and safety impacts and disturbance to	2	1	8	4	44		2	1	6	3	27
adjacent landowners due to general construction					Μ						L
activities and movement of construction vehicles.											
An increase in construction workers and associated	3	1	4	3	24		3	1	4	2	16
increase in social problems for the community,					L						L
including:											
• An increase in alcohol and drug use;											
An increase in crime levels;											
<ul> <li>An increase in teenage and unwanted</li> </ul>											
pregnancies;											
<ul> <li>An increase in prostitution;</li> </ul>											
An increase in sexually transmitted diseases											
(STDs).											
An increase in vandalism.											
Increase in casual workers and associated increase	1	1	8	4	40		1	1	6	3	24
in poaching.					М						L
Increased risk of veld fires due to the presence of	2	1	10	4	52		2	1	4	3	21
construction workers on site.					Μ						L
Services & traffic		1				1			-		
Increase in traffic on the surrounding local roads due	2	1	6	4	36	• Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section	2	1	4	3	21
to construction vehicles.					Μ	8.1)					L
Increase in the number and frequency of		1	6	4	36	Socio-economic Management specifically services	2	1	4	3	21
construction vehicles accessing the site and the					Μ	and traffic as per the EMPr (section 8.5.4)					L
resultant noise, dust, and safety impacts on other						<ul> <li>Waste management plan and storm water</li> </ul>					
road users, residents of the local community and											

adjacent landowners.						management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)
Indirect Impacts						
Biodiversity (Flora)						
Loss of floral biodiversity, Conservation Important	3	4	8	3	45	• As above 3 4 4 2 22
Species and protected trees due to increased					Μ	
incidence of veld fires						
Biodiversity (Fauna)						
Loss of faunal biodiversity due to increased	3	1	8	3	36	• As above 3 1 6 2 <b>20</b>
incidence of veld fires					Μ	
Socio-economics						
Loss of property and threat to human life due to	3	1	6	3	30	• As above 3 1 4 2 16
increased incidence of veld fires					L	
Traffic and services						
Degradation of local roads due to the increase in the	2	1	6	4	36	• As above 2 1 4 3 21
numbers of heavy vehicles.					Μ	
Cumulative Impacts						
Biodiversity (Flora)						
Cumulative loss of critical biodiversity areas and	3	4	8	3	45	• Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 3 4 4 3 33
ecological support areas					Μ	8.1) M
Cumulative loss of ecological function of sensitive	3	4	8	4	60	• Biodiversity Management, specifically flora as per the 3 4 6 3 39
habitats.					Н	EMPr (section 8.3.5, 8.3.6, 8.3.7, 8.3.8)
Cumulative reduction and damage to Conservation	3	5	8	4	64	• Waste management plan and storm water 3 5 4 2 24
Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe					Н	management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)
komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron						Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)
transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT),						
Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum						
imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea						
and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii,						
A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia						
petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium						
saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and						
Berchemia zeyheri						
Biodiversity (Fauna)						
Cumulative loss of faunal habitat.	2	4	8	3	42	• Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 2 4 6 2 24
					Μ	

Socio-economics						<ul> <li>8.1)</li> <li>Biodiversity Management, specifically fauna as per the EMPr (section 8.3.9, 8.3.10, 8.3.11)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>
Community upliftment and the opportunity to up- grade and improve skills levels in the area. (positive impact)	3	1	2	2	12 N	<ul> <li>Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 3 1 4 3 24 L</li> <li>Socio-economic Management as per the EMPr (section 8.5)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>
Services & traffic Cumulative increase in traffic and the resultant noise, dust, and safety impacts on other road users, residents of the local community and adjacent landowners.	3	1	6	4	40 M	<ul> <li>Pre-construction planning as per the EMPr (section 8.1)</li> <li>Socio-economic Management specifically services and traffic as per the EMPr (section 8.5.4)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> </ul>

NO-PROJECT ALTERNATIVE						
Direct Impacts						
None			•			
Indirect Impacts						
None.			•			
Cumulative Impacts						
None.			•			

### 2.3 Impacts that may result from the Operational Phase

Operational phase impacts refer to those impacts that may be mitigated through effective and efficient operating procedures.

Potential impacts:						Proposed mitigation:					
	Extent (1-5)	Duration (1-5)	Magnitude (0-10)	Probability (1-5)	Significance		Extent (1-5)	Duration (1-5)	Magnitude (0-10)	Probability (1-5)	Significance
ALTER		VF A1		FFRR	•••	LTERNATIVE) – OPERATIONAL PHASE	_	_			•••
			(11)			t Impacts					
Ground water											
Depletion of ground water resources due to over use and waste during operation.	3	4	4	3	33 M	<ul> <li>Hydrology as per the EMPr (section 9.1)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water</li> </ul>	3	4	2	2	18 L
Pollution and contamination of ground water due to:	3	4	8	3	45 M	management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)	3	4	4	2	22 L
Unmanaged storm water runoff											
<ul><li>Unmanaged sewage discharge</li><li>Sewage leaks and spills</li></ul>											
<ul> <li>Sewage leaks and spins</li> <li>Herbicides, pesticides and fertilisers</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Discharge and spill of solvents, paints, chemicals</li> </ul>											
and cleaning products											
Discharge and spill of hydrocarbons and fuel											
Hydrology (surface water)		r —	1				T	r —			
Disturbance and loss of ecological function of the	1	4	8	3	39	• Hydrology as per the EMPr (section 9.1)	1	4	4	2	18
habitat (physical structure) along the Crocodile river and drainage lines due to:					Μ	Waste management plan and storm water					L
and drainage lines due to.						management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)					
Encroachment of alien invasive species						• Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)					
<ul> <li>Uncontrolled vegetation clearing and access by</li> </ul>											
staff and visitors											
Pollution and contamination of surface water due to:	2	4	10	3	48		2	4	4	2	20

<ul> <li>Unmanaged storm water runoff</li> <li>Litter and uncontrolled waste</li> <li>Sewage leaks and spills</li> <li>Herbicides, pesticides and fertilisers</li> <li>Discharge and spill of solvents, paints, chemicals and cleaning products</li> <li>Discharge and spill of hydrocarbons and fuel</li> </ul>					Μ						L
<ul> <li>Disturbance and loss of hydrological function (quality and fluctuation properties) along the Crocodile River and drainage lines due to:</li> <li>Uncontrolled discharges into the water resource (storm water)</li> <li>Alteration of surface characteristics (roughness) due to activity within the water course (uncontrolled access by staff and visitors)</li> <li>Removal of stabilising vegetation (uncontrolled clearing and access by staff and visitors)</li> <li>Sedimentation and siltation from erosion</li> </ul>	1	4	8	3	39 M		1	4	4	2	18 L
<ul> <li>Soil contamination and pollution due to:</li> <li>Unmanaged storm water runoff</li> <li>Litter and uncontrolled waste</li> <li>Sewage leaks and spills</li> <li>Herbicides, pesticides and fertilisers</li> <li>Discharge and spill of solvents, paints, chemicals and cleaning products</li> <li>Discharge and spill of hydrocarbons and fuel</li> </ul>	1	4	6	3	33 M	<ul> <li>Biodiversity management, specifically soil as per the EMPr (section 9.2.1 and 9.2.2)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	1	4	4	2	18 L
<ul> <li>Soil erosion due to:</li> <li>Soil compaction by uncontrolled movement of staff and visitors (especially vehicles)</li> </ul>	1	4	8	3	39 M		1	4	4	2	18 L

<ul> <li>Runoff over exposed or cleared areas that have failed to rehabilitate.</li> <li>Disturbance of sensitive soils by uncontrolled movement of staff and visitors (especially vehicles)</li> <li>Air</li> <li>Air pollution by emissions from increased numbers of private vehicles.</li> </ul>	3	4	4	3	33 M	<ul> <li>Biodiversity management, specifically air quality as</li> </ul>	3	4	4	3	33 M
					IVI	<ul> <li>per the EMPr (section 9.2.3)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>					IVI
Biodiversity (Flora)	<b>.</b> .				<b>_</b>		T				
<ul> <li>Loss of critical biodiversity areas and ecological support areas due to:</li> <li>Uncontrolled vegetation clearing and access by staff and visitors</li> <li>Encroachment of alien invasive species</li> <li>Litter and waste</li> </ul>	1	4	8	3	39 M	<ul> <li>Biodiversity management, specifically flora as per the EMPr (section 9.2.4, 9.2.5 and 9.2.6)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	1	4	4	2	18 L
<ul> <li>Disturbance of sensitive habitats such as riparian and high biodiversity areas due to:</li> <li>Uncontrolled vegetation clearing and access by staff and visitors</li> <li>Encroachment of alien invasive species</li> <li>Litter and waste</li> </ul>	1	4	8	3	39 M		1	4	4	3	27 L
Destruction and damage to Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia	1	5	8	3	42 M		1	5	4	2	20 L

gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and Berchemia zeyheri due to uncontrolled vegetation clearing and access by staff and visitors.											
Increase in exotic vegetation/alien species and bush encroachment into disturbed soils and areas in the event that the rehabilitation process is not successful. Colonisation and re-emergence of exotic vegetation / alien species and bush encroachment into disturbed soils and poorly rehabilitated areas. Alien invasive species tend to out-compete indigenous, slower growing species and could also result in unsuccessful rehabilitation.	1	4	8	3	39 M		1	4	6	2	22 L
Biodiversity (Fauna)		1	1				1		I		
<ul> <li>Loss of faunal habitat due to:</li> <li>Uncontrolled vegetation and bush clearing and access by staff</li> <li>Encroachment of alien invasive species</li> <li>Litter and waste</li> </ul>	1	4	6	3	33 M	<ul> <li>Biodiversity management, specifically flora as per the EMPr (section 9.2.7, 9.2.8 and 9.2.9, 9.2.10)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	1	4	4	2	18 L
<ul> <li>Faunal disturbances especially along the Crocodile river in KNP, displacement of taxa and changes in distribution and abundance due to:</li> <li>Uncontrolled vegetation and bush clearing and access by staff and visitors</li> <li>General operations (activities) of the facility</li> <li>Noise from staff and vehicles</li> <li>Night drives</li> <li>Perimeter safety fences</li> </ul>	1	4	6	4	44 M		1	4	4	3	27 L
Mortality of fauna due to:	2	4	4	4	40 M		2	4	4	2	20 L

<ul><li>Persecution and extermination</li><li>Solvents, paints, chemicals and cleaning</li></ul>											
products (poisoning)											
Litter and waste (suffocation)											
Poaching and snaring of faunal species by staff.	2	4	6	3	36 M		2	4	6	2	24 L
Land Use & Agricultural Potential											
None.						•					
Visual											
Potential visual impact on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed developments i.e Shishangeni Lodge.	1	4	8	3	39 M	<ul> <li>Socio-economic management, specifically visual impact as per the EMPr (section 9.3.1)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water</li> </ul>	1	4	4	2	18 L
Potential visual impact on sensitive visual receptors within the region	2	4	4	3	30 L	<ul> <li>management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	2	4	4	2	20 L
Potential visual impact on protected and conservation areas (i.e. Kruger National Park) within the study area.	2	4	8	3	42 M		2	4	4	2	20 L
The potential visual impact of safety and security lighting of the developments at night on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity i.e. Shishangeni Lodge and KNP	2	4	8	3	42 M		2	4	4	2	20 L
Socio-economics				•							
Stimulation of the local economy, especially the local service delivery industry (accommodation, catering, cleaning, transport, security etc.). (positive impact)	3	4	4	2	22 L	<ul> <li>Socio-economic management as per the EMPr (section 9.3)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> </ul>	3	4	4	3	33 M
Creation of long term employment and business opportunities as well as opportunities for skills development and transfer (positive impact)	2	4	6	4	48 M	Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)	2	4	8	4	56 H
Creation of opportunities for local SMME's (positive impact)	2	4	6	3	36 М		2	4	6	4	48 M
Impact on adjacent land uses, activities and Shishangeni Lodge.	2	4	2	3	24 L		2	4	2	1	8 N
Service and traffic											

Increase in traffic on the surrounding roads.	2	4	6	4	48 M	Socio-economic management as per the EMPr (section 9.3)	2	4	4	3	30 L
Increase in the number and frequency of vehicles accessing the site, and the resultant noise, dust, and safety impacts on other road users, residents of the local community and adjacent landowners.	2	4	6	4	48 M	<ul> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	2	4	4	2	20 L
Indirect Impacts											
Visual											
The potential visual impact of the development on the visual character of the landscape and sense of place of the region (particularly the KPGR)	3	4	6	3	39 M	<ul> <li>Socio-economic management, specifically visual impact as per the EMPr (section 9.3.1)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	3	4	2	2	18 L
Cumulative Impacts											
Biodiversity (Flora)	1		-	-	-					-	
Cumulative disturbance of sensitive habitats.	3	4	6	3	39 M	• Biodiversity management, specifically flora as per the EMPr (section 9.2.4, 9.2.5 and 9.2.6)	3	4	4	2	22 L
Cumulative reduction and damage to Conservation Important Species and protected trees. i.e. Aloe komatiensis (VU), and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Dalbergia melanoxylon (NT), Sclerocarya birrea, Boscia albitrunca, Combretum imberbe, Afzelia quanzensis, Philenoptera violacea and Elaeodendron transvaalense, Aloe chabaudii, A. marlothii, A. spicata, A. komatiensis, Eulophia petersii, Stapelia gigantea and Pachypodium saundersii and the trees Spirostachys africana and Berchemia zeyheri	3	5	8	3	48 M	<ul> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	3	5	4	2	24 L
Visual The accumulation of built forms and within an otherwise natural environment.	3	4	6	4	52 M	<ul> <li>Socio-economic management, specifically visual impact as per the EMPr (section 9.3.1)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	3	4	4	2	22 L

Socio-economics											
Creation of permanent employment and skills and development opportunities for members from the local community and creation of additional business and economic opportunities in the area (positive impact)	3	4	2	2	18 L	<ul> <li>Socio-economic management as per the EMPr (section 9.3)</li> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	3	4	4	3	33 M
Promotion of social and economic development in the local communities and improvement in the overall wellbeing of the community (positive impact)	3	4	2	2	18 L		3	4	2	3	27 L
Services and traffic	2	4	1	2	20		2	4	2	2	10
Cumulative increase in traffic on the surrounding roads due to increased visitor numbers.	3	4	6	3	39 M	<ul> <li>Socio-economic management as per the EMPr (section 9.3)</li> </ul>	3	4	2	2	18 L
Cumulative increase in the number and frequency of vehicles accessing the site, and the resultant noise, dust, and safety impacts for other road users, adjacent landowners and residents of the local communities.	3	4	4	3	33 M	<ul> <li>Waste management plan and storm water management plan (Section 10 and 11 of the EMPr)</li> <li>Fire protection (Section 12 of EMPr)</li> </ul>	3	4	4	2	22 L
Waste disposal practices will have an accumulative effect on the local landfill site's capacity to absorb waste.	3	4	6	4	52 M		3	4	4	2	22 L

NO-PROJECT ALTERNATIVE											
Direct Impacts								-			
No stimulation of the local economy, especially the	3	4	6	4	52	None.	3	4	6	4	52
local service delivery industry.					Μ						Μ
No short term and long-term employment through	3	4	6	4	52	None.	3	4	6	4	52
skills development and on-site training.					Μ						Μ
Indirect Impacts											
None.						•					
Cumulative Impacts											
No opportunity to up-grade and improve skill levels	3	4	6	4	52	None.	3	4	6	4	52
in the area.					Μ						Μ

# 2.4 Decommissioning Phase

The decommissioning of the facility is not anticipated at this stage and, therefore, no impacts are assessed.