

Appendix D

Impact Rating Methodology



1 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA) METHODOLOGY

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Methodology assists in evaluating the overall effect of a proposed activity on the environment. Determining of the significance of an environmental impact on an environmental parameter is determined through a systematic analysis.

1.1 Determination of Significance of Impacts

Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics which include context and intensity of an impact. Context refers to the geographical scale (i.e. site, local, national or global), whereas intensity is defined by the severity of the impact e.g. the magnitude of deviation from background conditions, the size of the area affected, the duration of the impact and the overall probability of occurrence. Significance is calculated as shown in **Table 1**.

Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. The total number of points scored for each impact indicates the level of significance of the impact.

1.2 Impact Rating System

The impact assessment must take account of the nature, scale and duration of effects on the environment and whether such effects are positive (beneficial) or negative (detrimental). Each issue / impact is also assessed according to the various project stages, as follows:

- Planning;
- Construction;
- Operation; and
- Decommissioning.

Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimisation of an impact should be detailed. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance has also been included.

The significance of Cumulative Impacts should also be rated (As per the Excel Spreadsheet Template).

1.2.1 Rating System Used to Classify Impacts

The rating system is applied to the potential impact on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the possible mitigation of the impact. Impacts have been consolidated into one (1) rating. In assessing the significance of each issue the following criteria (including an allocated point system) is used:

 Table 1: Rating of impacts criteria



ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETER

A brief description of the environmental aspect likely to be affected by the proposed activity (e.g. Surface Water). ISSUE / IMPACT / ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT / NATURE

Include a brief description of the impact of environmental parameter being assessed in the context of the project. This criterion includes a brief written statement of the environmental aspect being impacted upon by a particular action or activity (e.g. oil spill in surface water).

EXTENT (E)

This is defined as the area over which the impact will be expressed. Typically, the severity and significance of an impact have different scales and as such bracketing ranges are often required. This is often useful during the detailed assessment of a project in terms of further defining the determined.

| 1 | Site | The impact will only affect the site | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Local/district | Will affect the local area or district | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Province/region | Will affect the entire province or region | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | International and National | Will affect the entire country | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - ! | PROBABILITY (P) | | | | | | | | | | |
| This | describes the chance of occurrence of | of an impact | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The chance of the impact occurring is extremely low (Less than a | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Unlikely | 25% chance of occurrence). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The impact may occur (Between a 25% to 50% chance of | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Possible | occurrence). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The impact will likely occur (Between a 50% to 75% chance of | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Probable | occurrence). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Impact will certainly occur (Greater than a 75% chance of | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Definite | occurrence). | | | | | | | | | | |
| | REVERSIBILITY (R) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| This | describes the degree to which an imp | act on an environmental parameter can be successfully reversed upon | | | | | | | | | | |
| comp | pletion of the proposed activity. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The impact is reversible with implementation of minor mitigation | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Completely reversible | measures | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The impact is partly reversible but more intense mitigation | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Partly reversible | measures are required. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The impact is unlikely to be reversed even with intense mitigation | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Barely reversible | measures. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Irreversible | The impact is irreversible and no mitigation measures evict | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | The impact is irreversible and no mitigation measures exist. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Thio | | rces will be irreplaceably lost as a result of a proposed activity. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No loss of resource. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 2 | | The impact will not result in the loss of any resources. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Marginal loss of resource | The impact will result in marginal loss of resources. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 4 | Significant loss of resources | The impact will result in significant loss of resources. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Complete loss of resources | The impact is result in a complete loss of all resources. | | | | | | | | | | |
| -T 1 · | DURATION (D) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | on the environmental parameter. Duration indicates the lifetime of the | | | | | | | | | | |
| impa | ct as a result of the proposed activity | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Very high | remediation. |
|-------------------------------|--|
| | remediation |
| | unfeasible due to extremely high costs of rehabilitation and |
| | impossible. If possible rehabilitation and remediation often |
| | component permanently ceases and is irreversibly impaired (system collapse). Rehabilitation and remediation often |
| | and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or |
| | Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component |
| High | costs of rehabilitation and remediation. |
| | component is severely impaired and may temporarily cease. High |
| | and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or |
| | Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component |
| Medium | integrity (some impact on integrity). |
| | function in a moderately modified way and maintains general |
| | system/component but system/ component still continues to |
| | Impact alters the quality, use and integrity of the |
| Low | system/component in a way that is barely perceptible. |
| | Impact affects the quality, use and integrity of the |
| em permanently or temporar | ily). |
| ibes the severity of an impac | ct (i.e. whether the impact has the ability to alter the functionality or quality of |
| | INTENSITY / MAGNITUDE (I / M) |
| Permanent | (Indefinite). |
| | such a time span that the impact can be considered transient |
| | either by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or |
| | The only class of impact that will be non-transitory. Mitigation |
| Long term | human action or by natural processes thereafter $(10 - 50$ years). |
| | operational life of the development, but will be mitigated by direct |
| | The impact and its effects will continue or last for the entire |
| Medium term | action or by natural processes thereafter $(2 - 10 \text{ years})$. |
| | the construction phase but will be mitigated by direct human |
| | The impact and its effects will continue or last for some time after |
| Short term | entirely negated $(0 - 2 \text{ years})$. |
| | a limited recovery time after construction, thereafter it will be |
| | will last for the period of a relatively short construction period and |
| | the construction phase $(0 - 1 \text{ years})$, or the impact and its effects |
| | The impact and its effects will either disappear with mitigation or will be mitigated through natural process in a span shorter than |
| | Medium term Long term Permanent |

Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics. Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. This describes the significance of the impact on the environmental parameter. The calculation of the significance of an impact uses the following formula:

Significance = (Extent + probability + reversibility + irreplaceability + duration) x magnitude/intensity.



The summation of the different criteria will produce a non-weighted value. By multiplying this value with the magnitude/intensity, the resultant value acquires a weighted characteristic which can be measured and assigned a significance rating.

| Points | Impact Significance Rating | Description |
|----------|----------------------------|---|
| | | |
| 5 to 23 | Negative Low impact | The anticipated impact will have negligible negative effects and |
| | | will require little to no mitigation. |
| 5 to 23 | Positive Low impact | The anticipated impact will have minor positive effects. |
| 24 to 42 | Negative Medium impact | The anticipated impact will have moderate negative effects and |
| | | will require moderate mitigation measures. |
| 24 to 42 | Positive Medium impact | The anticipated impact will have moderate positive effects. |
| 43 to 61 | Negative High impact | The anticipated impact will have significant effects and will require |
| | | significant mitigation measures to achieve an acceptable level of |
| | | impact. |
| 43 to 61 | Positive High impact | The anticipated impact will have significant positive effects. |
| 62 to 80 | Negative Very high impact | The anticipated impact will have highly significant effects and are |
| | | unlikely to be able to be mitigated adequately. These impacts |
| | | could be considered "fatal flaws". |
| 62 to 80 | Positive Very high impact | The anticipated impact will have highly significant positive effects. |
| | | |

The table below is to be represented in the Impact Assessment section of the report. The excel spreadsheet template can be used to complete the Impact Assessment.



Table 2: Rating of impacts template and example

| | ISSUE / IMPACT / ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT/ NATURE | | E١ | | | | | | NIFIC, TION | ANCE | RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES | ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE AFTER MITIGATION | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|----|---|---|---|-------------|-------|-----------------|--------|---|--|---|---|---|---|-------------|-------|-----------------|-----|--|--|
| ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETER | | E | Ρ | R | L | D | I / M | TOTAL | STATUS (+ OR -) | S | | ш | Ρ | R | L | D | I / M | TOTAL | STATUS (+ OR -) | S | | |
| Construction Phase | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vegetation and protected plant species | Vegetation clearing for access roads, turbines and their service areas and other infrastructure will impact on vegetation and protected plant species. | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 39 | - | Medium | Outline/explain the mitigation measures to be undertaken to ameliorate the impacts that are likely to arise from the proposed activity. These measures will be detailed in the EMPr. | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 24 | - | Low | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Operational Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|-----|
| Fauna | Fauna will be negatively affected by the operation of the wind farm due to the human disturbance, the presence of vehicles on the site and possibly by noise generated by the wind turbines as well. | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 36 | - | Medium | Outline/explain the mitigation measures to be undertaken to ameliorate the impacts that are likely to arise from the proposed activity. These measures will be detailed in the EMPr. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 22 | - | Low |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Decommissioning | Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fauna | Fauna will be negatively affected by the decommissioning of the wind farm due to the human disturbance, the presence and operation of vehicles and heavy machinery on the site and the noise generated. | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 30 | - | Medium | Outline/explain the mitigation measures to be undertaken to ameliorate the impacts that are likely to arise from the proposed activity. These measures will be detailed in the EMPr. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 18 | - | Low |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| Cumulative | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|-----|
| Broad-scale ecological processes | Transformation and presence of the facility will contribute to cumulative habitat loss and impacts on broad-scale ecological processes such as fragmentation. | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 26 | - | Medium | Outline/explain the mitigation measures to be undertaken to ameliorate the impacts that are likely to arise from the proposed activity. These measures will be detailed in the EMPr. | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 22 | - | Low |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |