GEOHYDROLOGY

GEOTECHNICAL

ENVIRONMENTAL

SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

Terrestrial Biodiversity, Plant and Animal Species Impact Assessment Report

A TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING PLANT AND ANIMAL SPECIES ASSESSMENT) FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE LICHTENBURG SOLAR PARK AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTUE ON PORTION 25 OF THE FARM HOUTHAALBOOMEN 31 IP AND PORTION 10 OF THE FARM LICHTENBURG TOWN AND TOWNLANDS 27 IP, NORTHWEST PROVINCE

April 2022

Prepared for: MATRIGENIX (PTY) LTD
Compiled by Dr BJ Henning
Document version 1.0 – Draft

AGES
Purpose with Passion

Proudly Supporting
TOUCHING AFRICA

Prepared by

A TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (INCLUDING PLANT AND ANIMAL SPECIES ASSESSMENT) FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE LICHTENBURG SOLAR PARK AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTUE ON PORTION 25 OF THE FARM HOUTHAALBOOMEN 31 IP AND PORTION 10 OF THE FARM LICHTENBURG TOWN AND TOWNLANDS 27 IP, NORTHWEST PROVINCE

April 2022

Compiled by:

Dr BJ Henning (*Pri Sci Nat – Ecological Science and Soil Science)*
PhD. Plant Ecology
M.Sc. Botany - Soil Science related

Reviewed by :

Mr. J.H. Botha *(Pr. Sci. Nat.- Ecological Science & Environmental Management)*
B.Sc. Botany & Zoology, B.Sc. Hons Zoology,
M.Sc. Geography and Environmental Management

REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Name	Institution
Ms. I. Van Rooy	Matrigenix (Pty) Ltd
	Northwest Department of Rural, Environment and Agricultural Development
	Registered Interested and Affected Parties

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Report no	Date	Version	Status
	April 2022	1.0	Draft

Although AGES Limpopo (Pty) Ltd exercises due care and diligence in rendering services and preparing documents, AGES Limpopo (Pty) Ltd accepts no liability, and the client, by receiving this document, indemnifies AGES Limpopo (Pty) Ltd and its directors, managers, agents and employees against all actions, claims, demands, losses, liabilities, costs, damages and expenses arising from or in connection with services rendered, directly or indirectly by AGES Limpopo (Pty) Ltd and by the use of the information contained in this document.

CURRICULUM VITAE

B J Henning

PhD Plant Ecology

PERSONAL DETAILS

Name:	BAREND JOHANNES HENNING
Date of Birth:	1976-09-06
Profession/Specialization:	Senior Ecologist
Years with Firm:	6 years (previously 2006-2012 & since May 2020)
Nationality:	South African
Years' experience:	15 years

QUALIFICATIONS

University attended:	University of Pretoria, Pretoria (1995- 2002) PhD Plant Ecology, MSc (Botany), BSc (Hons.), BSc

COURSES

Advanced Wetland Course (UP CE, 2010)

Wetland Rehabilitation Course (UFS, 2015)

Course on wetland offsets (SANBI)

KEY QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

- Senior Ecologist / Soil Science Specialist for Ages Limpopo since September 2006 to 2012 and again since May 2020 involved in the following aspects:
    - Agricultural potential and land capability studies of soils. (Reference: Mr Johan Botha, AGES Limpopo; 0152911577, Mr Herman Gildenhuys, Exigo; 0127512160;)
    - Spatial Development Frameworks.
    - Strategic Development Area Frameworks for local municipalities
    - Vegetation surveys, sensitivity, and zoning analysis of development sites, including eco-estates, mines, residential developments, shopping centres, roads, water supply and other related infrastructure etc (Reference: Mr Johan Botha, AGES Limpopo; 0152911577, Mr Herman Gildenhuys, Exigo; 0127512160;)
    - Faunal analysis and scoping reports (Reference: Mr Johan Botha, AGES Limpopo; 0152911577, Mr Herman Gildenhuys, Exigo; 0127512160)
    - Avifauna studies related to solar plant and power line connection developments.
    - Wetland delineations and functional capacity assessments (completed advanced wetland course of the Continued Education Department, University of Pretoria 2010 as well as Wetland rehabilitation course of the University of the Free State).
    - Wildlife Management Plans and habitat assessment for rare and endangered game species.
    - GIS related functions.
- Senior Ecologist for Exigo (previously AGES Gauteng) November 2012 to April 2020. Involved in all the abovementioned aspects.
- Environmental Consultant at Envirodel Wildlife & Ecological Services cc and Dubel Integrated Environmental Services, Polokwane 2004 - 2006. Involved in the following aspects:
    - Wildlife management plans for game farms /reserves throughout the Limpopo Province

  - Environmental impact assessments (vegetation surveys and faunal scoping reports), habitat suitability analysis and report compilation.
  - Coordinating and performing grass monitoring surveys for the Limpopo Tourism and Parks Board
  - Soil potential studies.
- Environmental Consultant for Ficus – pro Environmental Services cc., Modimolle 2004 / 5. Involved mostly in fieldwork, report compilation or impact studies. Reference: Mr. R. Venter (0147173378)
- Subconsultant for AGES (Africa Geo-Environmental Services 2005-2006. Vegetation surveys and sensitivity zoning and analyses. Mr Johan Botha (0836449957)
- Eco-Agent environmental services cc, Pretoria 2002 - 2004. Involved in environmental impact studies. Prof G. J. Bredenkamp (0825767046), University of Pretoria.
- Enviroguard environmental services cc, Heidelberg 2002 - 2004. Involved in environmental impact studies. Prof L. R Brown (0825767046).
- GIS related aspects for all the above-mentioned aspects on projects

POSITION AND DUTIES

Employed as Senior Ecological Specialist. Main duties and responsibilities include:

- Compilation of project proposals.
- Conducting specialist assessments
  - Ecological assessments
  - Soils and Land use potential studies.
  - Wetland assessments.
  - Wetland rehabilitation plans.
  - Ecological & wetland monitoring.
  - Biodiversity Action & Management Plans.
  - Agricultural assessments.
  - Avifauna assessments.
  - Wildlife Management Plans and assessments.
  - Rehabilitation Strategy & Implementation Programmes (RSIPs)
- Liaison with clients.
- GIS and map compilation.
- Project admin and management.
- Integration and interaction with the environmental consultants.
- Travelling.
- Any ad hoc duties that may be given by immediate manager.

Declaration

I, DR BJ Henning declare that -

- I act as the independent specialist.
- I will perform the work relating to the project in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the project proponent.
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work.
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this project, including knowledge of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) Gazette No. 43310 Government Notice R. 320, Plant and Animal Species Protocols, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the activity.
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation.
- I will consider, to the extent possible, the matters listed in Regulation 18 of the NEMA EIA Regulations.
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity.
- I undertake to disclose to the project proponent and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing - any decision to be taken with respect to the project; and - the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority or project proponent.
- All the particulars furnished by me in this document are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 320 and is punishable in terms of section 24F of the Act.

_____

SIGNATURE OF SPECIALIST

APRIL 2022

Table of contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Photographs

NOTATIONS AND TERMS

Biota: living things; plants, animals, bacteria

Bottomland: the lowlands along streams and rivers, on alluvial (river deposited) soil.

Connectivity: in this context, referring to either the upstream-downstream or lateral (between the channel and the adjacent floodplain) connectivity of a drainage line. Upstream-downstream connectivity is an important consideration for the movement of sediment as well as migratory aquatic biota. Lateral connectivity is important for the floodplain species dependent on the wetting and nutrients associated with overbank flooding.

Endorheic: closed drainage e.g., a pan.

Floristic: of flora (plants).

Floodplain: wetland inundated when a river overtops its banks during flood events resulting in the wetland soils being saturated for extended periods of time.

Gley: soil material that has developed under anaerobic conditions because of prolonged saturation with water. Grey and sometimes blue or green colours predominate but **mottles** (yellow, red, brown, and black) may be present and indicate localised areas of better aeration.

Groundwater: subsurface water in the zone in which permeable rocks, and often the overlying soil, are saturated under pressure equal to or greater than atmospheric.

Horizon: see soil horizons.

Hydrophyte: any plant that grows in water or on a substratum that is at least periodically deficient in oxygen because of soil saturation or flooding; plants typically found in wet habitats.

Hydro-geomorphic: refers to the water source and geology forms.

Hydrology is defined in this context as the distribution and movement of water through a wetland and its soils.

Geomorphology is defined in this context as the distribution and retention patterns of sediment within the wetland.

Infilling: dumping of soil or solid waste onto the wetland surface. Infilling has a very high and permanent impact on wetland functioning and is like drainage in that the upper soil layers are rendered less wet, usually so much so that the area no longer functions as a wetland.

Mottles: soils with variegated colour patters are described as being mottled, with the "background colour" referred to as the matrix and the spots or blotches of colour referred to as mottles.

Organic soil material: soil material with a high abundance of un-decomposed plant material and humus.

Palustrine (wetland): all non-tidal wetlands dominated by persistent emergent plants (e.g., reeds) emergent mosses or lichens, or shrubs or trees (see Cowardin *et al.*, 1979).

Perched water table: the upper limit of a zone of saturation in soil, separated by an impermeable unsaturated zone from the main body of groundwater.

Permanently wet soil: soil flooded or waterlogged in soil surface throughout the year, most years.

Riparian: the area of land adjacent to a stream or river that is influenced by stream-induced or related processes. Riparian areas which are saturated or flooded for prolonged periods would be considered wetlands and could be described as **riparian wetlands**. However, some riparian areas are not wetlands (e.g., an area where alluvium is periodically deposited by a stream during floods, but which is well drained).

Roughness coefficient: an index of the roughness of a surface; a reflection of the frictional resistance offered by the surface to water flow.

Runoff: total water yield from a catchment including surface and subsurface flow.

Seasonally wet soil: soil which is flooded or waterlogged to the soil surface for extended periods (>1 month) during the wet season but is dry during the dry season.

Sedges: grass-like plants belonging to the family *Cyperaceae*, sometimes referred to as nutgrasses. Papyrus is a member of this family.

Soil drainage classes: describe soil moisture conditions as determined by capacity of the soil and the site for removing excess water. The classes range from very well drained, where excess water is removed very quickly, to very poorly drained, where excess water is removed very slowly. Wetlands include all soils in the very poorly drained and poorly drained classes, and some soils in the poorly drained class. The three classes are equivalent to permanent, seasonal and temporary classes.

Soil horizons: layers of soil that have uniform characteristics and have developed through pedogenic processes; they are bound by air, hard rock or other horizons (i.e., soil material that has different characteristics).

Soil profile: the vertically sectioned sample through the soil mantle, usually consisting of two or three horizons (Soil Classification Working Group, 1991).

Soil saturation: the soil is considered saturated if the water table or **capillary fringe** reaches the soil surface (Soil Survey Staff, 1992).

Temporarily wet soil: the soil close to the soil surface (i.e., within 50 cm) is wet for periods > 2 weeks during the wet season in most years. However, it is seldom flooded or saturated at the surface for longer than a month.

Terrain unit classes: areas of the land surface with homogenous form and slope. Terrain may be seen as being made up of all or some of the following units: crest (1), scarp (2), midslope (3), footslope (4) and valley bottom (5).

Transpiration: the transfer of water from plants into the atmosphere as water vapour

Unchanneled valley bottom: linear fluvial, net depositional valley bottom surfaces which do not have a channel. The valley floor is a depositional environment composed of fluvial or colluvial deposited sediment. These systems tend to be found in the upper catchment areas.

Vegetation is defined in this context as the vegetation structural and compositional state.

Water regime: when and for how long the soil is flooded or saturated.

Water Quality self-explanatory and reflecting the changes in quality because of changes in land use or as a direct result of activities within the wetland itself that could lead to changes in the quality of the water flowing through and within the wetland.

Waterlogged: soil or land saturated with water long enough for anaerobic conditions to develop.

Wetland: land which is transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface, or the land is periodically covered with shallow water, and which under normal circumstances supports or would support vegetation typically adapted to life in saturated soil.

Wetland catchment: the area up-slope of the wetland from which water flows into the wetland and including the wetland itself.

Wetland delineation: The determination and marking of the boundary of a wetland on a map.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ARC	Agricultural Research Council
C-Plan	Limpopo Conservation Plan
CSIR	Council for Scientific and Industrial Research
DEFF	Department of Environment, Foresty and Fisheries
DMR	Department of Minerals and Energy Resources
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIS	Ecological Importance and Sensitivity
EMPR	Environmental Management Programme Report
ENPAT	Environmental Potential Atlas
NW DREAD	Northwest Department of Rural, Environment and Agricultural Development
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GPS	Geographical Positioning System
HGM	Hydro-Geomorphic
HFI	Hydrological Function and Importance
IHI	Index of Habitat Integrity
IUCN	World Conservation Union
MAE	Mean Annual Evaporation
MAMSL	Meter Above Mean Sea Level
MAP	Mean Annual Precipitation
MAR	Mean Annual Runoff
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act
PES	Present Ecological State
PESC	Present Ecological Status Class
PQ4	Priority Quaternary Catchment
QDS	Quarter Degree Square
SADC	Southern African Development Community
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute
WMA	Water Management Area
WHO	World Health Organisation

1 ASSIGNMENT

AGES Limpopo (Pty) Ltd was appointed by MATRIGENIX (PTY) LTD to conduct a terrestrial biodiversity, plant species and animal species impact assessment for the proposed development of the Lichtenburg Solar Park and power line on Portion 25 of the Farm Houthaalboomen 31 IP and Portion 10 of the Farm Lichtenburg Town and Townlands 27 IP, Ditsobotla Local Municipality, Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality, Northwest Province.

The Species Environmental Impact Assessments Guideline has been developed in support of the Terrestrial Biodiversity, Plant and Animal Species protocols that were gazetted 30th October 2020 (Government Notice number 1150). This guideline provides details for implementing relevant species protocols and is available for use to plant and animal specialists, environmental assessment practitioners and Competent Authorities.

According to the national web-based environmental screening tool in terms of National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), the site has the following sensitivities:

- Terrestrial Biodiversity: Very High or Low Sensitivity (Figure 1).
- Animal Species Theme: Low Sensitivity (Figure 2).
- Plant Species Theme: Medium or Low Sensitivity (Figure 3).

A pre-screening site visit was therefore conducted to determine if the assessment was accurate and if the studies recommended should be conducted. After the site visit the following was concluded:

- The site has a HIGH Sensitivity from a terrestrial biodiversity perspective due to the presence of indigenous grassland with protected trees.
- The site has a Medium Sensitivity from an Animal Species Theme Perspective due to the presence of natural fauna habitats.
- The site has a Medium Sensitivity from a Plant Species Theme Perspective due to the presence of indigenous grassland with protected tree species.

After the assessment, it was concluded that a detailed terrestrial biodiversity, plant species theme and animal species theme assessment should be conducted.

This report will include a detailed impact assessment of the proposed development site on the biodiversity of the site. This assessment is essential as it will contribute to meeting the requirements of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) in compliance with Gazette No. 43310 Government Notice R320. The activities pertinent to this application are reflected below:

- **Activity 15 - The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation.**
  "Indigenous vegetation" refers to vegetation consisting of indigenous plant species occurring naturally in an area, regardless of the level of alien infestation and where the topsoil has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years.

The assignment is interpreted as follows: Compile a terrestrial biodiversity assessment on the flora (vegetation units), fauna and general ecology of the site and determine the potential impacts of the proposed development on the fauna and flora of the area as well as any impacts on the wetlands and proposed mitigation measures. The study will be done according to guidelines and criteria set by the provincial government and the regulations recently gazetted for biodiversity studies as well as animal and plant species protocols.

MAP OF RELATIVE TERRESTRIAL BIODIVERSITY THEME SENSITIVITY



Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
X			

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity
Very High	Critical biodiveristy area 2
Very High	Protected Areas Expansion Strategy

Figure 1. Terrestrial Biodiversity Sensitivity as obtained from the EIA screening tool for the site

MAP OF RELATIVE ANIMAL SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY

Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
			X

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low sensitivity

Figure 2. Animal Species Theme Sensitivity as obtained from the EIA screening tool for the site

MAP OF RELATIVE PLANT SPECIES THEME SENSITIVITY



Where only a sensitive plant unique number or sensitive animal unique number is provided in the screening report and an assessment is required, the environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) or specialist is required to email SANBI at eiadatarequests@sanbi.org.za listing all sensitive species with their unique identifiers for which information is required. The name has been withheld as the species may be prone to illegal harvesting and must be protected. SANBI will release the actual species name after the details of the EAP or specialist have been documented.

Very High sensitivity	High sensitivity	Medium sensitivity	Low sensitivity
		X	

Sensitivity Features:

Sensitivity	Feature(s)
Low	Low Sensitivity
Medium	Sensitive species 1261

Figure 3. Plant Species Theme Sensitivity as obtained from the EIA screening tool for the site

1.1 INFORMATION SOURCES

- All relevant topographical maps, aerial photographs and information (previous studies and environmental databases) related to the ecological components in the study area.
- Requirements regarding the fauna and flora survey as regulated by latest terrestrial biodiversity, plant species theme and animal species theme protocols (National Environmental Management Act No. 107 of 1998 - Government Notice R. 320).
- Requirements regarding the fauna and flora survey as requested by NW DREAD.
- Legislation pertaining to the fauna and flora study as relevant.
- Red data species list from the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI), including the species data for the terrestrial biodiversity and the red listed species potentially occurring on site was obtained from the EIA screening tool prior to the site visit.
- Information on plant and animal species recorded for the various Quarter Degree Squares was extracted from the SABIF/SIBIS database hosted by SANBI and the faunal databases hosted by the Animal Demography Unit (ADU). This includes a larger area than the study area, but this is necessary to ensure a conservative approach as well as counter the fact that the site itself has not been well sampled in the past.
- Vegetation types and their conservation status were extracted from the South African National Vegetation Map (Mucina and Rutherford 2006) as well as the National List of Threatened Ecosystems (2011), where relevant.
- Critical Biodiversity Areas were obtained from the various coverages produced by the Northwest C-Plan.

1.2 REGULATIONS GOVERNING THIS REPORT

1.2.1 National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) - Gazette No. 43310 Government Notice R. 320

This report was prepared in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) Gazette No. 43310 Government Notice R. 320. Specialist reports includes a list of requirements to be included in a specialist report for a Terrestrial Biodiversity, Plant Species and Animal Species Assessment

1. A specialist report or a report prepared in terms of these regulations must contain:
    a. Details of
        i. The specialist who prepared the report; and
        ii. The expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report, including a curriculum vitae.
    b. A declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority.
    c. An indication of scope of, and purpose for which, the report was prepared.
    d. The date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment.

e. A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialized process.
f. The specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the activity and its associated structures and infrastructure.
g. An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers.
h. A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers.
i. A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge.
j. A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives, on the environment.
k. any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr.
l. any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation.
m. any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation.
n. Plant species protocols:
o. a reasoned opinion –
    i. As to whether the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised and
    ii. If the opinion is that the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr and where applicable, the closure plan.
p. A description of any consultation process that was undertaken while preparing the specialist report.
q. A summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and
r. Any other information requested by the competent authority.

This Act also embraces all three fields of environmental concern namely: resource conservation and exploitation; pollution control and waste management; and land-use planning and development. The environmental management principles include the duty of care for wetlands and special attention is given to management and planning procedures.

1.2.2 Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (Act No. 43 of 1983) (CARA)

This Act regulates the utilization and protection of wetlands, soil conservation and all matters relating thereto; control and prevention of veld fires, control of weeds and invader plants, the prevention of water pollution resulting from farming practices and losses in biodiversity.

1.2.3 National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act (Act 10 0f 2004) (NEMBA)

The following aspects of the NEMBA (2004) are important to consider in the compilation of an ecological report. It:

- Lists ecosystems that are threatened or in need of national protection.
- Links to Integrated Environmental Management processes.
- Must be considered in EMPs and IDPs.
- The Minister may make regulations to reduce the threats to listed ecosystems.

1.2.4 The National Forest Act (Act 84 of 1998) (NFA)

In terms of section 15(1) of the National Forests Act, 1998, no person may cut, disturb, damage, or destroy any protected tree; or possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree or any product derived from a protected tree, except under a licence or exemption granted by the Minister of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries.

1.3 TERMS OF REFERENCE

1.3.1 Objectives

1. The primary aim of this project is to investigate options for enhancing and/or maintaining biodiversity to mitigate the impact of the development and related infrastructure with the overall objective of preventing further loss of biodiversity. The product would be a tool for promoting and lobbying for the recognition of the importance of species habitat and habitat conservation. Options available to maintain the current level of floral diversity include:
    a. Protection of native vegetation restored elsewhere in return for unavoidable clearing.
    b. Minimisation of habitat fragmentation.
    c. Minimisation of any threats to the native flora and fauna and their habitats during the construction and operational phases of the developments and.
    d. Rehabilitation to establish plant communities / landscaping that will provide future habitat values.
2. To produce clear and agreed species and habitat priorities for conservation actions. This includes the following:
    i. Determine the ecological impacts and actions the developments will have on the biodiversity on a species and habitat level.
    ii. Conduct a risk analysis of the impacts identified to determine the significance of the impacts on the fauna and flora of the study area.
    iii. Protection and enhancement of vegetation/habitats of high conservation value.
    iv. Retention of substantial amount of native vegetation/habitat of adequate size and configuration to promote conservation of the existing flora communities.

v. Retention and/or creation of vegetation links, wildlife corridors and vegetation buffers where possible, subject to appropriate bush fire risk management;
vi. The protection of water quality in the locality so as not to threaten native aquatic flora that rely on the watercourse for survival.

3. Provide recommendations on ecological mitigation measures to be implemented by the developer and the way forward.

1.3.2 Scope

1. Conduct a field study to determine the state of the vegetation on site:
    i. After studying the aerial photograph determine the previous state of the vegetation compared to the current state of the vegetation on site.
    ii. Conduct a site visit and list the plant species (trees, shrubs, grasses, succulents and other herbaceous species of special interest) present for plant communities still present after construction.
    iii. Identify potential red data plant species, encroacher species, medicinal plants of value and exotic plant species.
2. Determine the ecological impact the development will have on the fauna and flora of the site and conduct an impact rating assessment
3. Fauna scoping
    a. List potential fauna (mammal species, red data birds, reptiles, amphibians, invertebrates) present linked to specific potential habitats that occur as identified in the vegetation survey.
    b. Analyse the data and identify potential red data fauna species, as well as other endemic or protected species of importance.
    c. Indicate species mitigation measures and management measures to be implemented to prevent any negative impacts on the fauna of the area.
4. General
    a. Identify and describe ecologically sensitive areas. Create sensitivity map to indicate specific sensitive areas based on environmental parameters such as natural vegetation in a good condition, rockiness, slopes, flood lines etc.
    b. Identify problem areas in need of special treatment or management, e.g., bush encroachment, erosion, degraded areas, reclamation areas.
    c. Make recommendations, impact ratings and risk assessments for all impacts.

1.3.3 Limitations and assumptions

- Maintaining cognisance of the integrity and accuracy of the ecological survey, ecological resources identified during the study do not necessarily represent all ecological resources present on site.
- To obtain an understanding of the dynamics of communities and status of endemic, rare/threatened species in an area. Ecological studies should ideally be replicated over several seasons and over a few years, but due to time constraints long-term studies are not feasible.

- Most threatened plant species are extremely seasonal and only flower during specific periods of the year,
- Most threatened faunal species are extremely secretive and difficult to survey even during thorough field surveys conducted over several seasons.

Thus, even though it might be assumed that survey findings are representative of the ecosystem of the site for the development activities, it should be stated that the possibility exists that individual plants species might have been missed due to the nature of the terrain and size of the study area. Therefore, maintaining due cognisance of the integrity and accuracy of the ecological survey, it should be stated that the ecological resources identified during the study do not necessarily represent all the ecological resources present on the property.

2 METHODS

2.1 VEGETATION AND PLANT SPECIES SURVEY

Two basic methods were used during the vegetation survey:

- Line transects were walked on the site surveyed to record the plant species present. Rare and threatened plant species and any botanically sensitive sites or habitats were searched for in the various vegetation units.
- The Braun-Blanquet survey technique to describe plant communities as ecological units was also used for this study. It allows for the mapping of vegetation and the comparison of the data with similar studies in the area.

The site surveys were conducted on 11 April 2022. The relevance of the season (summer months) had NO impact on the outcome of the assessment. The vegetation was in a good condition and most species could be identified, although some species might have been missed because of the dense vegetation cover on the plains.

2.1.1 Data recorded:

Plant names used in this report are in accordance with Arnold & De Wet (1993), except for a few newly revised species. A list of all plant species present, including trees, shrubs, grasses, forbs, geophytes, and succulents were compiled. All identifiable plant species were listed. Notes were additionally made of any other features that might have an ecological influence as well as potential fauna habitat that might occur.

2.1.2 Red data species

A species list of the red data species previously recorded in the vicinity of the development was obtained from the EIA screening tool as well as the South African Biodiversity Institute (SANBI), South Africa as classified by the IUCN red data list categories.

2.1.3 Protected trees

A species list of the protected tree species was obtained from the Department of Forestry. These trees are listed by the NFA (Act 84 of 1998) as protected.

2.1.4 Protected plants

A list of protected and specially protected plants was obtained from the Northwest legislation.

2.1.5 Data processing

A classification of vegetation data was done to identify, describe and map vegetation types. The descriptions of the vegetation units include the tree, shrub, and herbaceous layers.

Conservation priority of each vegetation unit was assessed by evaluating the plant species composition in terms of the present knowledge of the vegetation of the Northwest Province, as well as the vegetation type.

The following four conservation priority categories were used for each vegetation unit:

- High: Ecologically sensitive and valuable land with high species richness that should be conserved, and no development allowed.
- Medium: Land that should be conserved but on which low impact development could be considered with the provision of mitigation measures.
- Medium-low: Land that has some conservation value but on which development could be considered with limited impact on the vegetation / ecosystem. It is recommended that certain sections of the vegetation be maintained.
- Low: Land that has little conservation value and that could be considered for developed with little to no impact on the vegetation / ecosystem.

2.2 FAUNA HABITATS AND ANIMALS' SPECIES SURVEY

The fauna survey was conducted as follows:

- A site survey was done to identify potential habitats after identifying the vegetation units. Fauna observed on site, or any specific indication of species was noted as confirmed in the species lists.
- A scoping survey was then conducted by comparing the habitat types identified with the preferred habitats of species occurring in the area.
- A survey was thereafter conducted to document species occurring in habitats on site.

2.2.1 Data recorded:

A list of all species of fauna and their status as observed on site or that could potentially occur on site. Notes were made of any specific sensitive or specialized habitats that occur on site.

2.2.2 Red data species lists

A species list of red data species of different faunal classes was obtained from the following references:

- EIA screening tool as relevant for the project area.
- Red Data Book of the Mammals of South Africa (Friedman & Daly, 2004)
- The Atlas of the Southern African Birds - digital data on quarter degree grid data (Avian Demography Unit, University of Cape Town)
- Atlas and red data book of frogs of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland (Minter *et al*. 2004)
- South African Red Data Book – Reptiles and Amphibians. National Scientific Programmes Report no. 151.

2.2.3 Data processing

A comparison of the habitats (vegetation units) occurring on the property was made to the preferred habitats of the faunal species. In addition to species observed on the site, lists of the potential mammal, bird, reptile, amphibian, and insect species were compiled and mitigating measures recommended if needed.

2.3 IMPACT RATING ASSESSMENT MATRIX

An impact can be defined as any change in the physical-chemical, biological, cultural and/or socio-economic environmental system that can be attributed to human activities related to alternatives under study for meeting a project need. The significance of the impacts will be determined through a synthesis of the criteria below (Plomp, 2004):

Probability. This describes the likelihood of the impact occurring:

- Improbable: The possibility of the impact occurring is very low, due to the circumstances, design, or experience.
- Probable: There is a probability that the impact will occur to the extent that provision must be made, therefore.
- Highly Probable: It is most likely that the impact will occur at some stage of the development.
- Definite: The impact will take place regardless of any prevention plans, and there can only be relied on mitigation actions or contingency plans to contain the effect.

Duration. The lifetime of the impact

- Short term: The impact will either disappear with mitigation or will be mitigated through natural processes in a time span shorter than any of the phases.
- Medium term: Impact will last up to end of phases, where after it will be negated.
- Long term: The impact will last for the entire operational phase of the project but will be mitigated by direct human action or by natural processes thereafter.
- Permanent: Impact that will be non-transitory. Mitigation either by man or natural processes will not occur in such a way or in such a time span that the impact can be considered transient.

Scale. The physical and spatial size of the impact

- Local: The impacted area extends only as far as the activity, e.g., footprint.
- Site: The impact could affect the whole, or a measurable portion of the above-mentioned properties.
- Regional: The impact could affect the area including the neighbouring areas.

Magnitude/ Severity. Does the impact destroy the environment or alter its function?

- Low: The impact alters the affected environment in such a way that natural processes are not affected.
- Medium: The affected environment is altered, but functions and processes continue in a modified way.
- High: Function or process of the affected environment is disturbed to the extent where it temporarily or permanently ceases.

Significance. This is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required.

- Negligible: The impact is non-existent or unsubstantial and is of no or little importance to any stakeholder and can be ignored.

- Low: The impact is limited in extent, has low to medium intensity; whatever its probability of occurrence is, the impact will not have a material effect on the decision and is likely to require management intervention with increased costs.
- Moderate: The impact is of importance to one or more stakeholders, and its intensity will be medium or high; therefore, the impact may materially affect the decision, and management intervention will be required.
- High: The impact could render development options controversial or the project unacceptable if it cannot be reduced to acceptable levels; and/or the cost of management intervention will be a significant factor in mitigation.

The following weights will be assigned to each attribute:

Aspect	Description	Weight
Probability	Improbable	1
	Probable	2
	Highly Probable	4
	Definite	5
Duration	Short term	1
	Medium term	3
	Long term	4
	Permanent	5
Scale	Local	1
	Site	2
	Regional	3
Magnitude/Severity	Low	2
	Medium	6
	High	8
Significance	**Sum (Duration, Scale, Magnitude) x Probability**	
	Negligible	<20
	Low	<40
	Moderate	<60
	High	>60

The significance of each activity will be rated without mitigation measures and with mitigation measures for the development.

Mitigation effect of impacts will be indicated without and with mitigation measures as follows:

- Can be reversed.
- Can be avoided, managed or mitigated.
- May cause irreplaceable loss of resources.

2.4 SENSITIVITY ASSESSMENT

The ecological sensitivity of any piece of land is based on its inherent ecosystem service and overall preservation of biodiversity.

2.4.1 Ecological function

The ecological function relates to the degree of ecological connectivity between systems within a landscape matrix. Therefore, systems with a high degree of landscape connectivity amongst one another are perceived to be more sensitive and will be those contributing to ecosystem service (e.g., wetlands) or overall preservation of biodiversity.

2.4.2 Conservation importance

Conservation importance relates to species diversity, endemism (unique species/processes) and occurrence of threatened and protected species or ecosystems protected by legislation.

2.4.3 Sensitivity scale

- High – sensitive ecosystem with either low inherent resistance or low resilience towards disturbance factors or highly dynamic systems considered being important for the maintenance of ecosystem integrity. Most of these systems represent ecosystems with high connectivity with other important ecological systems or with high species diversity and usually provide suitable habitat for a few threatened or rare species. These areas should be protected.
- Medium – These are slightly modified systems which occur along gradients of disturbances of low-medium intensity with some degree of connectivity with other ecological systems or ecosystems with intermediate levels of species diversity but may include potential ephemeral habitat for threatened species.
- Low – Degraded and highly disturbed / transformed systems with little ecological function and which are generally very poor in species diversity.

2.5 EIA SCREENING TOOL

The significance of a site or natural feature may only become apparent when it is evaluated in terms of a broader biodiversity context. Local impacts on biodiversity may seem unimportant but can become highly significant when interpreted beyond immediate boundaries of a site. Even if a locality has a history of disturbance such as alien infestation, cultivation, or recurrent fires, and it does not host any plant or animal species of special concern, it may be significant for biodiversity conservation when viewed from a landscape or even national perspective.

According to the national web-based environmental screening tool in terms of section 24(5)(h) of NEMA, 1998 (Act No 107 of 1998) and regulation 16(1)(b)(v) of the EIA regulations, 2014, as amended, the following listed flora species occur in the project area. Surveys for the project area will focus specifically on these species according to species protocols.

Flora:

- Sensitive species 1261:
    - Sensitivity: Medium.
    - Status: Vulnerable.

3 BASELINE ENVIRONMENT

3.1 LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY

Matrigenix (Pty) Ltd is proposing the establishment of a renewable energy generation facility (Photovoltaic Power Plant) with associated infrastructure and structures, and power line on Portion 25 of the Farm Houthaalboomen 31 IP and Portion 10 of the Farm Lichtenburg Town and Townlands 27 IP, Ditsobotla Local Municipality, Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality, NorthWest province. The proposed renewable energy generation facility will be Photovoltaic (PV) Power Plant with a maximum generation capacity up to 120 MW, at the point of connection (Export Capacity) with the Eskom connection infrastructure. The name of the facility will be LICHTENBURG SOLAR PARK.

The developed area (footprint) required for the proposed project will be up to 240 hectares. Lichtenburg Solar Park will deliver the electrical energy to the Eskom's Watershed substation, located on the Remainder Portion of the farm Lichtenburg Town and Townlands 27 IP.

The proposed development (the Photovoltaic (PV) Power Plants and connection infrastructure) consists of the installation of the following equipment:

- Photovoltaic modules (mono-crystalline, poly-crystalline, or bi-facial modules)
- Mounting systems for the PV arrays (single-axis horizontal trackers or fixed structures) and related foundations
- Internal cabling and string boxes
- DC/AC inverters
- Medium voltage stations, hosting LV/MV power transformers
- Medium voltage receiving station(s)
- Workshops & warehouses
- One on-site high-voltage substation with high-voltage power transformers, stepping up voltage (132kV) and one high-voltage busbar with metering and protection devices
- One on-site switching station, with one high-voltage busbar with metering and protection devices
- One (1) 132 kV powerline, to the Eskom Watershed substation, located on the Remainder Portion of the farm Lichtenburg Town and Townlands 27 IP.
- Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS), with a footprint up to 10 ha, next to the on-site high-voltage substation, within the PV plant footprint / fenced areas
- Electrical system and UPS (Uninterruptible Power Supply) devices
- Lighting system
- Grounding system
- Internal roads
- Fencing of the site and alarm and video-surveillance system
- Water access point, water supply pipelines, water treatment facilities
- Sewage system
- Interventions on the Eskom Watershed Substation.

During the construction phase, the site may be provided with additional activities which will be removed at the end of construction.

- Water access point, water supply pipelines, water treatment facilities
- Prefabricated buildings
- Workshops & warehouses

The connection may also entail interventions on the Eskom grid, according to Eskom's connection requirements/solution. The aerial map of the site is presented in Figure 5.

Figure 4. Regional location Map of the project area

Figure 5. Aerial Map of the project area

3.2 CLIMATE

Climate in the broad sense is a major determinant of the geographical distribution of species and vegetation types. However, on a smaller scale, the microclimate, which is influenced by local topography, is also important. Within areas, the local conditions of temperature, light, humidity, and moisture vary, and it is these factors which play an important role in the production and survival of plants (Tainton, 1981). The spatial and temporal distribution of rainfall is very complex and has great effects on the productivity, distribution, and life forms of the major terrestrial biomes (Barbour et al. 1987).

In terrestrial environments, limitations related to water availability are always important to plants and plant communities. The spatial and temporal distribution of rainfall is very complex and has great effects on the productivity, distribution and life forms of the major terrestrial biomes (Barbour et al. 1987). The study area is situated within the summer rainfall region with very dry winters and severe frost that occurs fairly frequently (37 days) during the colder winter months. The mean annual precipitation for the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland vegetation type being the main vegetation type of the area is 593mm, while the mean annual temperature is 16.1˚C. The monthly distribution of average daily maximum temperatures for Lichtenburg ranges from 17.7°C in June to 30°C in January. The region is the coldest during June when the mercury drops to 0°C on average during the night.

3.3 GEOLOGY AND SOIL TYPES

Geology is directly related to soil types and plant communities that may occur in a specific area (Van Rooyen & Theron, 1996). A Land type unit is a unique combination of soil pattern, terrain and macroclimate, the classification of which is used to determine the potential agricultural value of soils in an area. The land type unit represented within the study area include the Fa11 land type (Land Type Survey Staff, 1987) (ENPAT, 2001). The land type, geology and associated soil types is presented in Table 6 below as classified by the Environmental Potential Atlas, South Africa (ENPAT, 2000).

Table 1. Land types, geology, and dominant soil types of proposed development site

Landtype	Soils	Geology
Fa11	Glenrosa and/or Mispah forms (other soils may occur), lime rare or absent in the entire landscape	Dolomite and chert belonging to the Chuniespoort Group; chert gravels are abundant on middle and footslopes including valley bottoms.

Soils associated with the site are mostly very shallow Mispah or Glenrosa soils associated with chert bedrock.

3.4 TOPOGRAPHY, LANDUSES AND DRAINAGE

When assessing the ecology of an area, it is important to know in which eco-region it is located. The study area falls within the Grassland ecoregion. The topography is characterised by slightly undulating plains. The topography of the site can be described as generally favourable, when considering that most of the area consists of slopes of less than 1:5.

Site is located at an altitude of 1520 meters above mean sea level (AMSL).

Most properties situated within a 500m radius are being used for livestock and game farming. The proposed development land is used for wildlife grazing at present. The natural vegetation of the site is mostly intact.

The site is located within the C31A quaternary catchment and is situated in the Lower Vaal Water Management Area. Drainage occurs as sheet-wash into the drainage channels to the south of the site, namely the Klein Harts River that eventually drains into the major river namely the Vaal River that occurs to the south of the site.

3.5 SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS AND CONSERVATION ANALYSIS TOOLS

There are several assessments for South Africa as a whole, as well as on provincial levels that allow for detailed conservation planning as well as meeting biodiversity targets for the country's variety of ecosystems. These guides are essential to consult for development projects and will form an important part of the sensitivity analysis. Areas earmarked for conservation in the future, or that are essential to meet biodiversity and conservation targets should not be developed and have a high sensitivity as they are necessary for overall functioning. In addition, sensitivity analysis in the field based in much finer scale data can be used to ground truth the larger scale assessments and put it into a more localised context.

3.5.1 NORTHWEST BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION PLAN

The purpose of the Northwest Conservation Plan version 2 (LCPv2) is to develop the spatial component of a bioregional plan (i.e., map of Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA) and associated land-use guidelines). The Northwest Conservation Plan categories for the developments are presented in Figure 6 and 7. The following can be concluded regarding developments:

- Only the far southern section of the proposed powerline route is in a terrestrial CBA2 (Figure 6).
- The site as an entity (solar plant and powerline) is in Aquatic ESA1 (Figure 7).

Figure 6. Terrestrial Northwest C-Plan Map for the project area

Figure 7. Aquatic Northwest C-Plan Map for the project area

3.5.2 PROTECTED AREAS NETWORK AND NATIONAL PROTECTED AREAS EXPANSION STRATEGY (NPAES)

Officially protected areas, either Provincially or Nationally that occur close to a project site could have consequences as far as impacts on these areas are concerned. For the proposed development and associated infrastructure no formally protected areas occur in proximity, with the closest being the Molemane Nature Reserve to the north (Figure 8). The site for the solar development and powerline is located within the Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre being an Informally Protected Area.

The NPAES are areas designated for future incorporation into existing protected areas (both National and informal protected areas). These areas are large, mostly intact areas required to meet biodiversity targets, and suitable for protection. They may not necessarily be proclaimed as protected areas in the future and are a broad scale planning tool allowing for better development and conservation planning. The Northwest / Gauteng Bushveld NPAES occur further north of the project area (Figure 8).



Figure 8. Location of the project area in relation to listed protected areas.

3.5.3 IMPORTANT BIRD AREAS

An Important Bird Area (IBA) is an area recognized as being globally important habitat for the conservation of bird populations. Currently there are about 10,000 IBAs worldwide. At present, South Africa has 124 IBA's, covering over 14 million hectares of habitat for our threatened, endemic and congregatory birds. Yet only million hectares of the total land surface covered by our IBA's legally protected. The BirdLife SA IBA programme continues a programme of stewardship which will achieve formal protection (Birdlife, 2013). The project area is not located within or close to any IBA

3.5.4 NATIONALLY THREATENED ECOSYSTEMS

The list of national Threatened Ecosystems has been gazetted (NEM:BA: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection) and result in several implications in terms of development within these areas. Four basic principles were established for the identification of threatened ecosystems. These include:

- The approach must be explicit and repeatable.
- The approach must be target driven and systematic, especially for threatened ecosystems.
- The approach must follow the same logic as the IUCN approach to listing threatened species, whereby a few criteria are developed, and an ecosystem is listed based on its highest-ranking criterion: and
- The identification of ecosystems to be listed must be based on scientifically credible, practical, and simple criteria, which must translate into spatially explicit identification of ecosystems.

Areas were delineated based on as fine a scale as possible and are defined by one of several assessments: These areas are essential for conservation of the country's ecosystems as well as meeting conservation targets. The project area is not located within a Listed Threatened Ecosystem, with the closest threatened ecosystem being the Western Highveld Sandy Grassland to the south-east of the project area (Figure 10).



Figure 9. Listed threatened ecosystems in proximity to the proposed development site (SANBI).

3.5.5 STRATEGIC WATER SOURCE AREAS (SWSA), NATIONAL FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEM PRIORITY AEAS (NFEPA) STATUS OF RIVERS AND WETLANDS ON SITE

NFEPA maps provide strategic spatial priorities for conserving South Africa's freshwater ecosystems and supporting sustainable use of water resources. These strategic spatial priorities are known as Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas, or 'FEPAs'. NFEPA maps were developed using the principles of systematic biodiversity planning, also known as systematic conservation planning (Margules and Pressey 2000). Systematic biodiversity planning is a well-established field of science in which South Africa is considered a world leader (Balmford 2003). The NFEPA maps and supporting information form part of a comprehensive approach to sustainable and equitable development of South Africa's scarce water resources. For integrated water resources planning, NFEPA provides guidance on how many rivers, wetlands and estuaries, and which ones, should remain in a natural or near-natural condition to support the water resource protection goals of the National Water Act (Act 36 of 1998). NFEPA products are therefore directly applicable to the National Water Act, feeding into Catchment Management Strategies, water resource classification, reserve determination, and the setting and monitoring of resource quality objectives. NFEPA products are also directly relevant to the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004), informing both the listing of threatened freshwater ecosystems and the process of bioregional planning provided for by this Act. NFEPA products support the implementation of the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (Act 57 of 2003) by informing the expansion of the protected area network.

The project area is not located close to any NFEPA river, with the Klein Harts River located to the south-east of the site representing a NFEPA River, although this river will not be impacted on by the development. No NFEPA wetlands occur near the proposed development site (Figure 11).

Strategic Water Source Areas (SWSAs) are now defined as areas of land that either:

- Supply a disproportionate (i.e., large) quantity of mean annual surface water runoff in relation to their size and so are considered nationally important; or
- Have high groundwater recharge and where the groundwater forms a nationally important resource; or
- Areas that meet both criteria (a) and (b).

They include transboundary Water Source Areas that extend into Lesotho and Swaziland. All surface water SWSAs are in high rainfall areas where baseflow is at least 11 25 mm/a, which is evidence of a strong link between groundwater and surface water in the SWSAs. The aquifers sustain baseflow, contribute to runoff and, especially, contribute to dry season flows. Sustained river flows are important as they support people and communities who depend directly on rivers for their water, especially during the dry season and droughts.

The 2018 national and transboundary surface-water SWSAs cover about 124 075 km2 (10% of the region) and provide a MAR of 24 954 million m3 (50% of the total). The greatest volume of MAR is generated by the Southern Drakensberg (9% of national and transboundary MAR), followed by the Eastern Cape, Northern Drakensberg and Maloti Drakensberg, and the Boland. The Boland has the highest MAR per unit area (3588 m3/ha/year), followed by Table Mountain, the Northern Drakensberg and the Mpumalanga Drakensberg.

Seven of these SWSAs are transboundary areas because Lesotho and Swaziland include portions of important SWSAs for South Africa. The portions of the SWSAs that fall within Lesotho (Eastern Cape, and the Southern, Northern and Maloti Drakensberg) cover 18 570 km2 and generate a MAR of about 3522 million m3. This MAR sustains the Orange and Caledon Rivers and supplies water to Gauteng via the Lesotho Highlands water supply system. In the case of Swaziland, the portions of the SWSAs falling in this country (Ekangala Drakensberg, Mbabane Hills, Upper Usutu) total 9376 km2 and produce a MAR of about 2053 million m3. In total, the SWSAs in these two countries produce about 11% of the total MAR, which is a substantial contribution that needs to be protected.

The project area is located within a SWSA as indicated in Figure 11.



Figure 10. Location of the project area in relation to NFEPA Rivers and SWSA

4 RESULTS

4.1 VEGETATION

4.1.1 Biome, ecological drives and Vegetation types

The development site lies within the Grassland biome. The Grassland Biome is found chiefly on the high central plateau of South Africa, and the inland areas of KwaZulu Natal and the Eastern Cape. The topography is flat and rolling but includes the escarpment itself. Altitude varies from near sea level to 2 850 m above sea level. Grasslands (also known locally as Grassveld) are dominated by a single layer of grasses. The amount of cover depends on rainfall and the degree of grazing. Trees are absent, except in a few localized habitats. Geophytes (bulbs) are often abundant. Frosts, fire and grazing maintain the grass dominance and prevent the establishment of trees. The Grassland Biome is the cornerstone of the maize crop, and many grassland types have been converted to this crop. Sorghum, wheat and sunflowers are also farmed on a smaller scale.

Urbanization is a major additional influence on the loss of natural areas - the Witwatersrand is centred in this biome. The Grassland Biome is considered to have an extremely high biodiversity, second only to the Fynbos Biome. Rare plants are often found in the grasslands, especially in the escarpment area. These rare species are often endangered, comprising endemic geophytes or dicotyledonous herbaceous plants. Very few grasses are rare or endangered. The scenic splendour of the escarpment region attracts many tourists.

Fire and grazing are two of the most important ecological drivers in grassland. Any land-use change that results in reduced ability to manage fire or grazing in the remaining natural areas will have significant implications for grassland biodiversity. Invasive alien species and soil erosion are two of the most pervasive management issues affecting all grassland ecosystems and are key indicators that the limits of acceptable change have been exceeded.

The Highveld also plays an important role in natural water purification, as the peat formed here has been shown to filter out 90 percent of the harmful chemicals in herbicides. Peat is also useful in absorbing various other pollutants, as a source of fuel, in horticulture, and for medicinal purposes. In South Africa, where clean water resources are already particularly valuable, this natural filter is being extracted from the Highveld at an unprecedented rate. Approximately 60 percent of locally extracted peat is used to grow mushrooms, while the remaining 40 percent comprises "environmentally friendly" potting soil and compost. Peat has an extremely slow regeneration rate, increasing between 0.7 mm to 1.2 mm per year depending on environmental conditions (Dada 1999). Given its slow formation process, it is unlikely this resource will recover from the damage caused by its rapid removal. Hence, the Highveld’s role as a natural filtration element for scarce water resources could be in danger. The preservation of this resource is imperative and could be fulfilled by moderating or halting the use of peat for gardening purposes.

The most recent classification of the area by Mucina & Rutherford shows that the site is classified as Carletonville Dolomite Grassland. The landscape features of this vegetation type are slightly undulating plains dissected by prominent rocky chert ridges. Species-rich grasslands form a complex mosaic pattern dominated by many species. The conservation status of the Carletonville Dolomite Grassland is Least Concern with small extent conserved in statutory reserves and almost 25% already transformed for cultivation, urban sprawl or mining activities (Sanbi, 2018).

4.1.2 Vegetation units

The proposed development site occurs on a landscape on slightly undulating to flat plains. The importance to survey the area to have a better understanding of the ecosystem and the potential impact of the solar development on the natural environment was identified as a key factor, and subsequently the footprint areas was completely surveyed. The site forms part of a larger farm used for wildlife grazing. The vegetation units on the site vary according to soil characteristics, topography, and land-use. Vegetation units were identified on the footprint development sites and can be divided into 3 distinct vegetation units according to soil types and topography.

The vegetation communities identified on the proposed development site are classified as physiographic physiognomic units, where physiognomic refers to the outer appearance of the vegetation, and physiographic refers to the position of the plant communities in the landscape. The physiographic-physiognomic units will be referred to as vegetation units in the following sections. These vegetation units are divided in terms of the land-use, plant species composition, topographical and soil differences that had the most definitive influence on the vegetation units. Each unit is described in terms of its characteristics and detailed descriptions of vegetation units are included in the following section. A species list for the site is included in Appendix A, while a plant species list for the quarter degree grid square (QDS) is included in Appendix B. Photographs of each unit is included in the next section to illustrate the grass layer, woody structure, and substrate (soil, geology etc.). The following vegetation units were identified during the survey.

1. *Loudetia flavida* – *Elionorus muticus* rocky grassland.
2. Rocky grassland with bushclumps.
3. *Cymbopogon pospischilii* – *Schizachyrium sanguineum* dyke grassland.

The vegetation units for the solar development are presented in Figure 13:



Figure 11. Vegetation Unit Map of the proposed development area

4.1.2.1 Loudetia flavida – Elionorus muticus rocky grassland

This vegetation unit comprises a large part of the study area and occurs on slightly undulating terrain within the southern and northern sections of the study area. The soil is shallow rocky soils derived from chert with rocks covering 20-30% of the area. There are no trees present with the grasses having the highest cover. The grass layer is dominated by species such as *Schizachyrium sanguineum, Loudetia flavida, Themeda triandra, Elionorus muticus* and *Eragrostis lehmanniana*. The state of the vegetation is indicated in photograph 1, while the characteristics of the variations of this vegetation unit are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Botanical analysis and characteristics of *Loudetia flavida – Elionorus muticus* rocky grassland

Vegetation unit characteristics	
State of the vegetation:	Natural grassland in a slightly degraded state
Need for rehabilitation	Low
Conservation priority	Medium
Soils & Geology	Red-yellow apedal sandy soils of the Mispah / Glenrosa soils derived from chert
Density of woody layer	Trees: <1% (avg. height: 3-6m) Shrubs:<1% (avg. height: 1-2m)
Density of herbaceous layer	Grasses: 70-80% (avg. height: 0.8-1.2m) Forbs: <1% (avg. height: 0.8m)
Sensitivity	Medium
Red data species	None observed
Protected species	None observed

The following specific recommendations for the vegetation unit regarding the proposed development should be adhered to:

- The vegetation unit is classified as having a medium sensitivity due to the due to the widespread status of this vegetation unit within the larger project area.
- The development of the solar development is considered suitable in this area.

Photograph 1. State of *Loudetia flavida – Elionorus muticus* rocky grassland in project area

4.1.2.2 Rocky grassland with bushclumps

This vegetation variation is characterized by the same herbaceous layer as rocky grassland but differs due to the presence of scattered bushclumps occurring through the area. Typical tree and shrub species include *Searsia lancea, Searsia pyroides, Grewia flava* and *Diospyros lycioides*. Substrate is shallow soils, although slightly deeper patches of Hutton soils occur where the bushclumps occur. The state of the vegetation is indicated in photograph 2, while the characteristics of the variations of this vegetation unit are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Botanical analysis and characteristics of Rocky grassland with bush clumps

State of the vegetation:	Slightly degraded
Need for rehabilitation	Low
Conservation priority	Medium
Soils & Geology	Red-yellow apedal sandy soils of the Mispah / Glenrosa soils derived from chert
Density of woody layer	Trees: 1-2% (avg. height: 3-6m) Shrubs: 1-2% (avg. height: 1-2m)
Density of herbaceous layer	Grasses: 80% (avg. height: 0.5m) Forbs: 1-2% (avg. height: 0.3m)
Sensitivity	Medium
Dominant plant species	*Loudetia flavida, Andropogon schirensis, Elionorus muticus, Searsia lancea, Searsia pyroides, Diospyros lycioides*
Red data species	None observed
Protected tree species (DAFF)	*Vachellia erioloba (*individuals)

The following specific recommendations for the vegetation unit regarding the proposed development should be adhered to

- The vegetation unit is classified as having a medium sensitivity due its widespread occurrence in the Grassland Biome.
- The eradication of protected trees would need a permit from DAFF. Where possible the larger protected trees such could be incorporated as part of the solar development.
- The development of the solar development is considered suitable in this area.

Photograph 2. Rocky grassland with bushclumps in the project area

4.1.2.3 Cymbopogon pospischilii – Schizachyrium sanguineum dyke grassland

This grassland variation occurs on narrow sections of the project area for the solar plant and powerline and represent dolerite dykes characterised by deeper, more fertile loamy soils of the Hutton soil form. The grass layer is characterised by species such as *Themeda triandra, Cymbopogon pospischilii, Hyparrhenia hirta, Cynodon dactylon* and *Schizachyrium sanguineum,* while isolated individuals of *Vachellia erioloba* also occur in the area. The state of the vegetation is indicated in photograph 3, while the characteristics of the variations of this vegetation unit are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Botanical analysis and characteristics of *Cymbopogon pospischilii – Schizachyrium sanguineum* dyke grassland

State of the vegetation:	Slightly degraded
Need for rehabilitation	Low
Conservation priority	Medium
Soils & Geology	Red-yellow apedal soils of the Hutton soil form derived from dolerite / diabase
Density of woody layer	Trees: <1% (avg. height: 3-6m) Shrubs: <1% (avg. height: 1-2m)
Density of herbaceous layer	Grasses: 80% (avg. height: 0.5m) Forbs: 1-2% (avg. height: 0.3m)
Sensitivity	Medium
Dominant plant species	*Themeda triandra, Cymbopogon pospischilii, Hyparrhenia hirta, Cynodon dactylon* and *Schizachyrium sanguineum, Vachellia erioloba*
Red data species	None observed
Protected tree species (DAFF)	*Vachellia erioloba*

The following specific recommendations for the vegetation unit regarding the proposed development should be adhered to

- The vegetation unit is classified as having a medium sensitivity due its widespread occurrence in the Grassland Biome.
- The eradication of protected trees would need a permit from DEFF. Where possible the larger protected trees should be incorporated as part of the solar development.
- The development of the solar development is considered suitable in this area.

Photograph 3. *Cymbopogon pospischilii – Schizachyrium sanguineum* dyke grassland on proposed development site

4.2 PLANT SPECIES LEVEL ASSESSMENT

South Africa has been recognized as having remarkable plant diversity with high levels of endemism. The major threats to plants in the study area are urban expansion, non-sustainable harvesting, collecting, overgrazing/browsing, mining and agriculture. The objective of this section was to compile a list of plant species for which there is conservation concern. This included threatened, rare, declining, protected, and endemic species.

4.2.1 Species of conservation concern

Species of conservation concern are species that have a high conservation importance in terms of preserving South Africa's high floristic diversity and include not only threatened species, but also those classified in the categories Extinct in the Wild (EW), Regionally Extinct (RE), Near Threatened (NT), Critically Rare, Rare, Declining and Data Deficient – Insufficient Information (DDD). Not all species listed as protected are threatened or vice versa. A list of SCC plant species previously recorded in the study area in which the proposed development is planned was obtained from the Plants of Southern Africa (POSA) database of SANBI. Figure 14 indicates the classification system used by Sanbi for SCC:



Figure 12. South African red list categories indicating the categories to be used for Species of Conservation Concern

Habitat degradation is one of the reasons for plant species becoming extinct in a particular area. Threatened species are seen as indicators of the overall health of an ecosystem (Hilton-Taylor, 1996). A list of red data plant species previously recorded in the grid square in which the proposed development is planned was obtained from SANBI. The list of species is presented in table 5.

Table 5. Red data flora potentially occurring in the grid squares associated with the development.

Species Name	IUCN Status	Status
Plinthus rehmanni	Data deficient	Indigenous; Endemic

The potential that this species occur on the proposed development site is considered medium to low. Ecological monitoring should however still be implemented during the construction phase and specific sensitive habitats (riparian) needs to be avoided to ensure that any potential red data species potentially missed during the field surveys are preserved and not potentially impacted on. The EIA screening tool shows that the following listed plant species occur on the site:

4.2.1.1 Sensitive species 1261

A widespread (Extent of Occurrence 13 374 km²), but very rare species that has lost a large proportion of its habitat to agriculture, urban expansion and mining. It is known from fewer than 10 locations and continue to decline due to ongoing habitat loss and degradation. Occurs within Sandy loam soils in thornveld and *Themeda*-grassland.

This species is threatened by ongoing habitat loss to agricultural expansion, urban expansion, mining and habitat degradation due to overgrazing. In 1976 Dyer (1976) expressed concern that the species is becoming increasingly rare due to much of its habitat being ploughed. The subpopulation at the type locality is locally extinct due to habitat loss to crop fields (Hahn 2013). One subpopulation known from historical records falls within a diamond mining area, and it is not known whether it has survived the habitat destruction. One subpopulation has been cleared by collectors.

This species is known from a few, widely scattered subpopulations. It is overlooked, but more field surveys are needed to better understand the size and extent of the population. It is threatened and declining across its range.

Probability of occurrence on site: Moderate due to the presence of limited suitable habitat on the proposed development footprint.

Probability of impact during vegetation clearance: LOW, limited suitable habitat observed on site and population of the species was documented.

4.2.2 Protected tree species

One tree species listed as protected under the national list of declared protected tree species as promulgated by the National Forest Act (NFA), 1998 (No. 84 of 1998) was observed in the project area. The trees species listed in National Forest Act protected tree species list (Table 7) have a wide distribution in Southern Africa, although these trees have an importance in terms of medicinal, cultural and heritage value to local communities. The following protected tree species of concern occur in the area:

Table 6. Protected tree species of concern in the project area

Species	National Conservation status	Status in project area
Vachellia erioloba	Protected (NFA)	Localized (dykes, bushclumps)

The listed protected tree species in terms of the National Forest Act of 1998, may not be cut, disturbed, damaged, destroyed and their products may not be possessed, collected, removed, transported, exported, donated, purchased, or sold – except under license granted by Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) or a delegated authority. Obtaining relevant permits are therefore required prior to any impact on these individuals.

4.2.3 Protected Plants

Plant species are also protected in the Northwest Province according to the Northwest Environmental Management Act. According to this ordinance, no person may pick, import, export, transport, possess, cultivate, or trade in a specimen of a specially protected or protected plant species. The Appendices to the ordinance provide an extensive list of species that are protected, comprising a significant component of the flora expected to occur on site. Communication with Provincial authorities indicates that a permit is required for all these species if they are expected to be affected by the proposed project.

After a detailed survey was conducted during April 2022, no listed protected species in the ordinance was found in the footprint areas of the project area:

4.2.4 Invasive alien species

Invasive alien plants pose a direct threat not only to South Africa's biological diversity, but also to water security, ecological functioning of natural systems and productive use of land. They intensify the impact of fires and floods and increase soil erosion. An estimated 9000 plants have been introduced to this country, 198 are currently classified as being invasive. It is estimated that these plants cover about 10% of the country and the problem is growing at an exponential rate.

Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (GNR 599 of 2014) are stipulated as part of the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (10/2004). The regulation listed a total of 559 alien species as invasive and further 560 species are listed as prohibited and may not be introduced into South Africa. Below is a brief explanation of the four categories of Invasive Alien Plants as per the regulation.

- Category 1a: Invasive species requiring compulsory control. Remove and destroy. Any specimens of Category 1a listed species need, by law, to be eradicated from the environment. No permits will be issued.
- Category 1b: Invasive species requiring compulsory control as part of an invasive species control programme. Remove and destroy. These plants are deemed to have such a high invasive potential that infestations can qualify to be under a government sponsored invasive species management programme. No permits will be issued.

- Category 2: Invasive species regulated by area. A demarcation permit is required to import, possess, grow, breed, move, sell, buy, or accept as a gift any plants listed as Category 2 plants. No permits will be issued for Category 2 plants in riparian zones.
- Category 3: Invasive species regulated by activity. An individual plant permit is required to undertake any of the following restricted activities (import, possess, grow, breed, move, sell, buy, or accept as a gift) involving a Category 3 species. No permits will be issued for Cat 3 plants to exist in riparian zones.

The fight against invasive alien plants is spearheaded by Working for Water (WfW), launched in 1995 and administered by DWS. This programme works in partnership with local communities, to whom it provides jobs, and with Government departments including Departments of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, Agriculture, and Trade and Industry, provincial departments of agriculture, conservation and environment, research foundations and private companies. WfW currently runs over 300 projects in all nine of South Africa's provinces. Scientists and field workers use a range of methods to control invasive alien plants. These include:

- Mechanical methods - felling, removing, or burning invading alien plants.
- Chemical methods - using environmentally safe herbicides.
- Biological control - using species-specific insects and diseases from the alien plant's country of origin. To date 76 bio-control agents have been released in South Africa against 40 weed species.
- Integrated control - combinations of the above three approaches. Often an integrated approach is required to prevent enormous impacts.

Vehicles often transport many seeds, and some may be of invader species, which may become established along the roads through the area, especially where the area is disturbed. The construction phase of the development will certainly carry the greatest risk of alien invasive species being imported to the site, and the high levels of habitat disturbance also provide the greatest opportunities for such species to establish themselves, since most indigenous species are less tolerant of disturbance. The biggest risk is that invasive alien species such as the seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites.

Continued movement of personnel and vehicles on and off the site, as well as occasional delivery of materials required for maintenance, will result in a risk of importation of alien species throughout the life of the project. The following alien invasive and exotic plant species were recorded on site during the surveys as stipulated in the Alien and Invasive Species Regulations (GNR 599 of 2014) (Table 7):

Table 7. Declared weeds and invader plants of the study area.

Species	Category
Achyranthes aspera	1b
Opuntia ficus-indica	1b

According to the amended regulations (No. R280) of March 2001 of the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act 1983 (Act no. 43 of 1983), it is the legal duty of the land user/landowner to control invasive alien plants occurring on the land under their control. The State has the right to clear invasive plants at the landowner's expense if the landowner refuses to remove invasive plants.

4.2.5 General

Of importance relating to the proposed development should be to protect and manage biodiversity (structure and species composition) of vegetation types which are represented on the proposed development site. Vegetation removal should be kept to a minimum during construction phase and only vegetation on footprint areas should be removed. Mitigation measures and monitoring must be implemented if the development is approved.

4.3 FAUNAL HABITAT AND ANIMAL SPECIES ASSESSMENT

4.3.1 Overview

A healthy environment is inhabited by animals that vary from micro-organisms to the birds and mammals. The species composition and diversity are often parameters taken into consideration when determining the state of the environment. A comprehensive survey of all animals is a time-consuming task that will take a long time and several specialists to conduct. The alternative approach to such a study is to do a desktop study from existing databases and conduct a site visit to verify the habitat requirements and condition of the habitat. If any rare or endangered species are discovered in the desktop study that will be negatively influenced by the proposed development, specialist surveys will be conducted.

4.3.2 Results of desktop survey and site visits during April 2022

A survey was conducted during April 2022 to identify specific fauna habitats, and to compare these habitats with habitat preferences of the different fauna groups (birds, mammals, reptiles, amphibians) occurring in the quarter degree grid. Number of mammal species supported by a plant community depends on several factors like primary production, seasonal availability of resources, floral heterogeneity, diversity of plant structure, nature of the substratum and previous history (Delany, 1982). Each mammal species has a particular niche, which can be regarded as the sum of all ecological requirements of a species namely food, space, shelter, and physical conditions. Mills & Hes (1997) stated that distribution and abundance of animal species does not rigorously follow that of plant communities or biomes. Mammal species have certain preferences for a specific habitat type (Skinner & Smithers, 1990). Several authors have shown this preference of mammals to certain habitats through analysis (Beardall *et al*. 1984; Ben-Shahar, 1991; Dekker *et al*. 1996). The area represents a diverse vegetation structure and height class. A species list for fauna of the area is included in Appendix C, D and E of this report.

4.3.3 Fauna habitats of the project area

One major fauna habitat was observed in the area namely:

- Rocky grassland.

4.3.4 Common fauna documented and potentially occurring on the development site

4.3.4.1 Mammals

Much of the large and medium-sized mammal fauna that previously occurred on the project site is now locally extinct or occurs in small, fragmented populations in reserves. Most of the habitat types on the respective study sites are fragmented. Therefore, the expected mammalian richness on these areas is considered low, although slightly higher richness values are expected from the more intact grassland habitats. Antelope species that have been introduced into the fenced area include eland, blue wildebeest, blesbok, red hartebeest, gemsbok, springbok and waterbuck.

The Highveld Ecoregion contains a higher number of mammals, although only the orange mouse (*Mus orangiae*) is restricted to the ecoregion, and the rough-haired golden mole (*Chrysospalax villosa*) is near-endemic. The ecoregion also supports populations of several large mammal species, some of which are rare in southern Africa (Stuart and Stuart 1995). Among these are the brown hyena (*Hyaena brunnea*), African civet (*Civettictis civetta*), leopard (*Panthera pardus*), pangolin (*Manis temminckii*), honey badger (*Mellivora capensis*), striped weasel (*Poecilogale albinucha*), aardwolf (*Proteles cristatus*), oribi (*Ourebia ourebi*), and mountain zebra (*Equus zebra hartmannae*).

Predators that still roam freely in the area include larger predators such brown hyena, while smaller predators such as caracal, serval and honey badger are common throughout the larger area. Antelope species such as duiker and steenbok will roam freely through the area and are not restricted by game fences. Smaller mammal species such as honey badgers and serval can become habituated to anthropogenic influences, while other species such as brown hyena will move away from the construction activities and will seldom use the area.

The connectivity1 of the project site to the remainder of the larger area is Moderate due to other surrounding areas representing natural grassland and drainage channels. Of significance is the role of the channels and riparian zone as zoogeographical dispersal corridor.

Most mammal species are highly mobile and will move away during construction of the solar development. The most important corridors that need to be preserved for free-roaming mammal species in the area include the indigenous grasslands asnd wetlands surrounding the development site.

1 C**onnectivity (habitat connectivity)** - Allowing for the conservation or maintenance of continuous or connected habitats, to preserve movements and exchanges associated with the habitat.

4.3.4.2 Birds (avifauna)

One major bird habitat system was identified within the project area, including the grassland.

Bird species richness is high within the Highveld Ecoregion (Harrison et al. 1997). However, Botha's lark (*Spizocorys fringillaris*) is the only bird species strictly endemic to the ecoregion, where it inhabits heavily grazed grassland. An additional six species of birds are near-endemics including whitewinged flufftail (*Sarothrura ayresii*), blue korhaan (*Eupodotis caerulescens*), southern whitebellied korhaan (*Eupodotis cafra*), Rudd's lark (*Heteromirafra ruddi*), melodious lark (*Mirafra cheniana*), buff-streaked chat *(Oenanthe bifasciatai*), and yellow-breasted pipit (*Hemimacronyx chloris*) (Harrison et al. 1997).

Many grassland birds, several of which are endemic to southern Africa, show a clear preference for sour over sweet and mixed grassland, and some of these are essentially absent from the last two grassland types, e.g. Bald Ibis, Redwing Francolin, Blackwinged Plover, Rudd's Lark, Botha's Lark, Blue Swallow, Buffstreaked Chat, Palecrowned Cisticola and Yellowbreasted Pipit. Examples of grassland species preferring sweet and mixed grasslands appear fewer but include Melodious Lark and South African Cliff Swallow. The extensive human pressures on the grassland biome have severe conservation implications for its avifauna: many of the globally threatened species present on the mainland of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland have major strongholds in the grassland biome and five of these (Bald Ibis, Whitewinged Flufftail, Rudd's and Botha's larks, and Yellowbreasted Pipit) are entirely restricted to this biome in the region.

There is a long list of red data bird species that have a geographical distribution with the site. The presence of the habitat of these species is mostly confined to the open water habitat that was not observed on site, although the probability of finding these species in degraded habitats is very low in general. More than 250 bird species have been recorded in the project area and surroundings. Globally threatened species include Secretarybird and Black-winged Pratincole. Congregatory birds are Egyptian Goose, Western Cattle Egret, Spur-winged Goose, South African Shelduck, Cape Shoveler and African Spoonbill.

According to Birdlife South Africa, the study area falls outside of any Important Bird Areas (IBA), identified within South Africa (www.birdlife.org.za). The conservation status of many of the bird species that are dependent on wetlands reflects critical status of wetland nationally, with many having already been destroyed. In the study area, no wetlands were identified.

4.3.4.3 Herpetofauna (Reptiles and Amphibians)

Twenty-nine amphibians occur within the ecoregion, but none are endemic (Passmore and Carruthers 1995). No habitat occurs on site for frogs and toads. Amphibian species potentially occurring in the larger area include Common River Frog, Natal Sand Frog, Gutteral Toad, Raucous Toad and Bubbling Kassina. These species are non-threatened and widespread, and the development will not have any impact on amphibian conservation in the region. Few reptile species occur within the Highveld Ecoregion, due to its cool climate.

Ecoregion supports some of Africa's most characteristic reptile species, including Nile crocodile (*Crocodylus niloticus*), African rock-python (*Python sebae*), water monitor (*Varanus niloticus*) and veld monitor (*Varanus exanthematicus albigularis*). There are also two strict endemic reptiles: giant girdled lizard (*Cordylus giganteus*), and *Agama distanti* (Branch 1998). Several additional reptile species are near-endemics, including Drakensberg rock gecko (*Afroendura niravia*), giant spinytail lizard (*Cordylus giganteus*), and Breyer's whiptail (*Tetrodactylus breyeri)* (Branch 1998).

In the presence of dead termitaria, small geckos may be found on site. Some lizards (Yellow-throated Plated Lizard, Variegate Skink), typical for Highveld Grassveld, are expected on site. A variety of smaller snake species characteristic for Highveld Grassveld will be present (Common Wolf Snake, Brown House Snake), although some might be dependent on by the presence of dead termitaria. The only venomous snakes, which has been reported present and common, is, the Rinkhals, Mozambique spitting cobra, snouted cobra and the Puffadder for this QDS. All the reptile species are common and widespread, and as such the development will not have any impact on reptile conservation in the region. Sungazer lizard occurs in some grassland areas, while southern spiny agama and striped harlequin snake may occur in small numbers in suitable habitat.

4.3.4.4 Insects and invertebrates

All the potential invertebrate habitats are well represented by a high family richness of insects and spiders. Spiders occur throughout all the habitats, and both web builders and active hunters find their ways in trapping and actively hunt around for potential food.

4.3.5 Species of Conservation Concern (SCC)

According to the existing databases and field survey the following number of fauna species included in the IUCN red data lists can potentially be found in the study area (Table 8):

Table 8. Red data list of potential fauna for the study area

English Name	Conservation Status	Probability of occurrence on site
BIRDS		
Abdim's Stork	Near Threatened	Moderate
African Marsh Harrier	Endangered	Moderate
European Roller	Near Threatened	Low
Black-winged Pratincole	Near Threatened	Moderate
Yellow-billed Stork	Endangered	Moderate
Martial Eagle	Endangered	Moderate
Secretarybird	Vulnerable	High
MAMMALS		
Bontebok	Vulnerable (2016)	Low - confined to protected areas / game farms
African Clawless Otter	Near Threatened (2016)	Low – confined to perennial rivers outside development footprint
Spotted Necked otter	Near Threatened (2016)	Low – confined to perennial rivers outside development footprint
HERPETOFAUNA		
Giant Bull Frog	Near Threatened	Moderate

The following impacts might occur during the development phase of fauna populations of the area:

- Destruction/permanent loss of individuals of rare, endangered, endemic and/or protected species through habitat loss or fragmentation.
- Disturbance of remnant terrestrial wild mammal, avian, amphibian and insect fauna would occur through physical habitat destruction, noise, traffic, and movement of people.
- Potential increase in feral animals and impact on indigenous fauna e.g., cats, rats.
- Illegal hunting or disturbance

  The following management measures are proposed regarding the conservation of these and other fauna which might occur on the property:
- The development would not have a significant impact on the above-mentioned red data fauna since adequate and natural habitat/vegetation would be available on the peripheral woodland surrounding the development site. The most probable habitat to find any of the red data species in the study area would be in the more natural areas of the rocky grassland. Most of the site represent suitable habitat considering the low anthropogenic influences in the area.
- The removal of vegetation should be confined to the footprints of the proposed development site. This will be on small sections in relation to the total available surrounding habitat for fauna.
- Development will not influence the natural feeding and movement patterns of existing fauna on site.
- If habitat descriptions of the red data species, are considered, most are not directly threatened by habitat loss. Impact of development on red data species would be less than predicted.
- The protection of different habitat types in the area will be important to ensure the survival of the different animals due to each species' individual needs and requirements. Sufficient natural corridor sections should be protected around the proposed development footprints to allow fauna to move freely between the different vegetation units on the property. The drainage channels and sections of natural vegetation on the fenced area of the Lichtenburg Game Breeding Centre will be preserved as corridors in the area and mitigation measures should be implemented to ensure that the habitats are protected.
- The taller (>3m) indigenous trees within this area also provide resting/perching sites for larger birds like vultures, birds of prey, arboreal reptiles and mammals that might occur/pass through the area and should preferably be preserved. These larger trees should be protected as far as possible and be incorporated into the proposed development. The removal of large dead trees is also not advised as these trees also provide smaller habitats for the mentioned bat species as well as rodents. The grass layer on the other hand also provides a valuable food source (insects, reptiles, small mammals that occur in/on the grass layer) for fauna.
- A monitoring programme needs to be implemented by a specialist if any rare species are confirmed on the property.

The following practical recommendations with regards to the fauna of the area apply with regards to the construction of the proposed development:

- Where trenches pose a risk to animal safety, they should be cordoned off to prevent animals falling in and getting trapped and/or injured. This could be prevented by the constant excavating and backfilling of trenches during the construction.
- No animals may be poached. Many animals are protected by law and poaching, or other interference could result in a fine or jail term.
- Do not feed any wild animals on site.
- Poisons for the control of problem animals should be avoided since the wrong use thereof can have disastrous consequences for the raptors occurring in the area. The use of poisons for the control of rats, mice or other vermin should only be used after approval from an ecologist.
- Walkways and roads should be designed without vertical pavements to allow for the movement of small mammals.
- Waste bins and foodstuffs should be made scavenger proof.
- Monitoring of the environmental aspects is recommended for the future phases of the proposed development should the authorities approve the application. The monitoring phase would ensure that negative impacts on the fauna and flora of the area are limited to a minimum during the construction phase.

4.3.5.1 EIA screening tool listed species (SCC)

No listed fauna species for the project area according to the EIA screening tool:

5 POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT ON THE FAUNA AND FLORA

An environmental impact is defined as a change in the environment, be it the physical/chemical, biological, cultural and or socio-economic environment. Any impact can be related to certain aspects of human activities in this environment and this impact can be either positive or negative. It could also affect the environment directly or indirectly and the effect of it can be cumulative. There are three major categories of impacts on biodiversity namely:

- Impacts on habitat resulting in loss, degradation and / or fragmentation.
- Direct impacts on fauna and flora species, for example plants and animals that are endemic / threatened/special to a habitat will not be able to survive if that habitat is destroyed or altered by the development.
- Impact on natural environmental processes and ecosystem functioning. This can lead to an accumulated effect on both habitat and species.

This biodiversity assessment focused on the description of ecosystem- and species-related biodiversity. It can be expected that if ecosystem diversity is managed effectively, species and genetic diversity should also be protected. Emphasis was therefore placed on the ecosystem diversity (landscape/habitat types) within the proposed development area, with reference to biota observed and expected to utilise these landscapes or habitat types.

5.1 CONSTRUCTION PHASE

The development and start-up of the Solar Power Plant (SPP) covers the period when considerable changes take place as the infrastructure, plant and facilities are constructed. The most immediate impacts are seen as disruptions and disturbances to fauna and flora communities due to site clearance for construction of the plant, access road and other related infrastructure. This is usually a significant change to the visual appeal of the area.

Exposure of soils to rainfall and wind may lead to atmospheric contamination by dusts and increased erosion of the site and sedimentation of local water courses. An increase in the movement of construction vehicles will result in an increase in the ambient noise levels and dust levels in the area. The construction phase will involve the following aspects:

- Site clearing and preparation: Certain areas of the site will need to be cleared of vegetation and some areas may need to be levelled.
- Civil works: The main civil works are:
    - Terrain levelling if necessary– Levelling will be minimal as potential site chosen is flat.
    - Laying foundation- The structures will be connected to the ground through cement pillars, cement slabs or metal screws. The exact method will depend on the detailed geotechnical analysis.
    - Construction of access and inside roads/paths – existing paths will be used were possible. Additionally, the turning circle for trucks will also be taken into consideration.

- Transport and installation of PV panels into an Array: Panels are assembled at supplier's premises and will be transported from the factory to site on trucks. Panels will be mounted on metal structures which are fixed into the ground either through a concrete foundation or a deep-seated screw.
- Wiring to the Central Inverters: Sections of the PV array would be wired to central inverters which have a maximum rated power of 2000kW each. Inverter is a pulse width mode inverter that converts DC electricity to alternating electricity (AC) at grid frequency.

5.2 OPERATIONAL PHASE

The routine operational phases account for most of the environmental impacts associated with the SPP and are considered to have the greatest potential to drive environmental change. The extent to which operational activities act as drivers of environmental change depends in part on the type, scale, duration and magnitude of the activities, and the sensitivity of the receiving environment.

The operational phase will involve the following aspects:

- PV Panel Array - To produce 120 MW, the proposed facility will require numerous linked cells placed behind a protective glass sheet to form a panel. Multiple panels will be required to form the solar PV arrays which will comprise the PV facility. The PV panels will be tilted at a northern angle to capture the most sun.
- Wiring to Central Inverters - Sections of the PV array will be wired to central inverters. The inverter is a pulse width mode inverter that converts direct current (DC) electricity to alternating current (AC) electricity at grid frequency.
- Connection to the grid - Connecting the array to the electrical grid requires transformation of voltage from 480V to 33kV to 132kV. Normal components and dimensions of a distribution rated electrical substation will be required. Output voltage from an inverter is 480V and this is fed into step up transformers to 132kV. An onsite substation and switching station will be required on site to step the voltage up to 132kV, after which the power will be evacuated into the national grid.
- Supporting Infrastructure – Auxiliary buildings with basic services such as water and electricity will be constructed on site. Other supporting infrastructure include voltage and current regulators, protection circuitry and Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS).
- Roads – Access will be obtained via an internal road network, which will be required to provide access to the site and associated infrastructure. All site roads will require a width of 6–12m.
- Fencing - For health, safety and security reasons, the facility will be required to be fenced off from the surrounding farm.

5.3 DECOMMISSIONING PHASE

The decommissioning phase will involve the following aspects:

- Dismantlement of infrastructure: During the decommissioning phase the Solar PV Energy facility and its associated infrastructure will be dismantled.
- Rehabilitation of biophysical environment: The biophysical environment will be rehabilitated.

5.4 POTENTIAL IMPACTS

5.4.1 Direct habitat destruction

5.4.1.1 Description of impact:

The construction phase of the development and associated infrastructure will result in loss of and damage to natural habitats if the vegetation is cleared for the development of the solar plant. Rehabilitation of some areas would be possible but there is likely to be long-term damage in large areas. Most habitat destruction will be caused during the construction phase. Vegetation communities are likely to be impacted on a small spatial scale in comparison to the extent of the vegetation communities' total area in the region.

Impact of the habitat destruction will be on the flora and fauna of the study area in the following ways:

- The construction will lead to the loss of individual plants such as grasses, forbs, trees, and shrubs that will be cleared on the footprint area. This will mostly occur during the construction phase.
- Loss of threatened, near-threatened and endemic taxa: The anticipated loss of some of the natural habitats that support endemic species will result in the local displacement of endemic listed flora.
- Due to habitat loss and construction activities animals will migrate from the construction area and animal numbers will decrease.
- Loss of threatened, "near-threatened" and conservation important taxa: The anticipated loss of the natural woodland will result in the local displacement of some fauna species. In some cases, isolated populations of threatened fauna might be removed from the area, although no such populations or knowledge thereof was found in the study area. This impact could also take place because of hunting and snaring of animals in natural areas not used for the mine or its infrastructure.
- Changes in the community structure: It is expected that the faunal species composition will shift, due to an anticipated loss in habitat surface area. In addition, it is predicted that more generalist species (and a loss of functional guilds) will dominate the study area. Attempts to rehabilitate will attract taxa with unspecialized and generalist life-histories. It is predicted that such taxa will persist for many years before conditions become suitable for succession to progress.

5.4.1.2 Mitigation measures:

- The removal of indigenous trees and shrubs should be kept to a minimum necessary. Trim, rather than fell of woody species along the edges of the development site where possible. The clearing and damage of plant growth in the riparian and wetland areas should be restricted to the actual crossing where possible, and not into the sensitive adjacent areas. Where protected trees will need to be cleared or pruned, permits should be obtained from the relevant authority.
- Peripheral impacts around the development footprint sites on the surrounding vegetation of the area should be avoided and a monitoring programme should be implemented to ensure the

impacts are kept to a minimum, while the rehabilitation of the site should be prioritized after construction has been completed.

- During construction, sensitive habitats must be avoided by construction vehicles and equipment, wherever possible, to reduce potential impacts. Only necessary damage must be caused and, for example, unnecessary driving around in the veld or bulldozing natural habitat must not take place.
- An avifauna specialist should be consulted to conduct a specialist study for the project area and monitoring of the potential impact of the solar plant in the future.
- All development activities should be restricted to specific recommended areas. The Environment Control Officer (ECO) should control these areas. Storage of equipment, fuel and other materials should be limited to demarcated areas. Layouts should be adapted to fit natural patterns rather than imposing rigid geometries. The entire development footprint should be clearly demarcated prior to initial site clearance and prevent construction personnel from leaving the demarcated area. This would only be applicable to the construction phase of the proposed development.
- The ECO should advise the construction team in all relevant matters to ensure minimum destruction and damage to the environment. The ECO should enforce any measures that he/she deem necessary. Regular environmental training should be provided to construction workers to ensure the protection of the habitat, fauna and flora and their sensitivity to conservation.
- Where holes for poles pose a risk to animal safety, they should be adequately cordoned off to prevent animals falling in and getting trapped and/or injured. This could be prevented by the constant excavating and backfilling during planting of the poles along the lines.
- Poisons for control of problem animals must be avoided since the wrong use thereof can have disastrous consequences for the raptors occurring in the area. The use of poisons for the control of rats, mice or other vermin should only be used after approval from an ecologist.
- Limit pesticide use to non-persistent, immobile pesticides and apply in accordance with label and application permit directions and stipulations for terrestrial and aquatic applications.
- Monitoring should be implemented during the construction phase of the development to ensure that minimal impact is caused to the fauna and flora of the area.
- A detailed wetland assessment should be conducted to determine the exact edges of potential wetlands and drainage channels.

5.4.2 Habitat fragmentation

5.4.2.1 Description of impact:

The construction of the development and associated infrastructure will result in natural movement patterns being disrupted for a limited period and, to a varying degree depending on how different species react to these barriers will result in the fragmentation of natural populations, although the impact will be minimal and restricted to the construction phase.

5.4.2.2 Mitigation measures:

- Use existing facilities (e.g., impacted areas) to the extent possible to minimize the amount of new disturbance.
- Ensure protection of important resources by establishing protective buffers to exclude unintentional disturbance. All efforts must be made to ensure as little disturbance as possible to the sensitive features such as surrounding woodland and riparian woodland outside the project area during construction.
- During construction, sensitive habitats must be avoided by construction vehicles and equipment, wherever possible, to reduce potential impacts. Only necessary damage must be caused and, for example, unnecessary driving around in the veld or bulldozing natural habitat must not take place.
- Construction activities must remain within defined construction areas. No construction / disturbance will occur outside these areas.

5.4.3 Increased Soil erosion and sedimentation

5.4.3.1 Description of impact:

The construction activities associated with the development may result in widespread soil disturbance and is usually associated with accelerated soil erosion. Soil erosion promotes a variety of terrestrial ecological changes associated with disturbed areas, including the establishment of alien invasive plant species, altered plant community species composition and loss of habitat for indigenous flora.

5.4.3.2 Mitigation measures:

The following mitigation measures should be implemented to prevent erosion during construction:

- The project should be divided into as many phases as possible, to ensure that the exposed areas prone to erosion are minimal at any specific time.
- Cover disturbed soils as completely as possible, using vegetation or other materials.
- Minimize the amount of land disturbance and develop and implement stringent erosion and dust control practices.
- Protect sloping areas and drainage channel banks that are susceptible to erosion and ensure that there is no undue soil erosion resultant from activities within and adjacent to the construction camp and Work Areas.
- Repair erosion damage as soon as possible to allow for sufficient rehabilitation growth.
- Gravel roads to the construction sites must be well drained to limit soil erosion.
- Control flow of runoff to move water safely off the site without destructive gully formation.
- Protect all areas susceptible to erosion and ensure that there is no undue soil erosion resultant from activities within and adjacent to the construction camp and Work Areas.

5.4.4 Soil and water pollution

5.4.4.1 Description of impact:

Construction work for the proposed development will always carry a risk of soil and water pollution, with large construction vehicles contributing due to oil and fuel spillages. If not promptly dealt with, spillages or accumulation of waste matter can contaminate the soil and surface or ground water, leading to potential medium/long-term impacts on fauna and flora. During the constructional phase heavy machinery and vehicles would be the main contributors to potential pollution problems.

5.4.4.2 Mitigation measures:

- Any excess or waste material or chemicals should be removed from the site and discarded in an environmentally friendly way. The ECO should enforce this rule rigorously.
- Hazardous chemicals to be stored on an impervious surface protected from rainfall and storm water run-off.
- Spill kits should be on-hand to deal with spills immediately.
- All vehicles should be inspected for oil and fuel leaks on a regular basis. Vehicle maintenance yards on site should make provision for drip trays that will be used to capture any spills. Drip trays should be emptied into a holding tank and returned to the supplier.

5.4.5 Air pollution

5.4.5.1 Description of impact:

The environmental impacts of wind-borne dust, gases and particulates from the construction activities associated with the proposed development are primarily related to human health and ecosystem damage. The proposed development will typically comprise the following sources and associated air quality pollutants:

- Materials handling operations (truck loading & unloading, tipping, stockpiling).
- Vehicle entrainment on paved and unpaved roads.
- Windblown dust-fugitive emissions.

One of the primary impacts on the biophysical environment is linked to emission of dusts and fumes from both the transportation system. Dust pollution will impact the most severe during the construction phase. Construction vehicles and equipment are the major contributors to the impact on air quality. Dust is generated during site clearance for the construction of infrastructure. Diesel exhaust gasses and other hydrocarbon emissions all add to the deterioration in air quality during this phase. Vehicles travelling at high speeds on dirt roads significantly aggravate the problem.

Poor air quality results in deterioration of visibility and aesthetic landscape quality of the region, particularly in winter due to atmospheric inversions.

5.4.5.2 Mitigation measures:

- A speed limit should be enforced on dirt roads (preferably 30-40km/h).
- Implement standard dust control measures, including periodic spraying (frequency will depend on many factors including weather conditions, soil composition and traffic intensity and must thus be adapted on an on-going basis) of construction areas and access roads, and ensure that these are continuously monitored to ensure effective implementation.

5.4.6 Spread and establishment of alien invasive species

5.4.6.1 Description of impact:

Continued movement of vehicles on and off the site during the construction phase will result in a risk of importation of alien species. Vehicles often transport many seeds, and some may be of invader species, which may become established along the access road, especially where the area is disturbed. The construction carries by far the greatest risk of alien invasive species being imported to the site, and the high levels of habitat disturbance also provide the greatest opportunities for such species to establish themselves, since most indigenous species are less tolerant of disturbance. The biggest risk is that seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites.

5.4.6.2 Mitigation measures:

- Control involves killing the plants present, killing the seedlings which emerge, and establishing and managing an alternative plant cover to limit re-growth and re-invasion. Weeds and invader plants will be controlled in the manner prescribed for that category by the CARA or in terms of Working for Water guidelines. The control of these species should even begin prior to the construction phase considering that small populations of these species was observed during the field surveys.
- Institute strict control over materials brought onto site, which should be inspected for seeds of noxious plants and steps taken to eradicate these before transport to the site. Routinely fumigate or spray all materials with appropriate low-residual herbicides prior to transport to or in a quarantine area on site. The contractor is responsible for the control of weeds and invader plants within the construction site for the duration of the construction phase. Alien invasive tree species listed by the CARA regulations should be eradicated.
- Rehabilitate disturbed areas as quickly as possible to reduce the area where invasive species would be at a strong advantage and most easily able to establish.
- Institute a monitoring programme to detect alien invasive species early, before they become established and, in the case of weeds, before the release of seeds. Once detected, an eradication/control programme should be implemented to ensure that the species' do not spread to surrounding natural ecosystems.

5.4.7 Negative effect of human activities and road mortalities

5.4.7.1 Description of impact:

An increase in human activity on the site and surrounding areas is anticipated. The risk of snaring, killing, and hunting of certain faunal species is increased. If staff compounds are erected for construction workers, the risk of pollution because of litter and inadequate sanitation and the introduction of invasive fauna and flora are increased. The presence of many construction workers or regular workers during the construction phase on site over a protracted period will result in an increased risk of uncontrolled fires arising from cooking fires, improperly disposed cigarettes etc.

Large numbers of fauna are also killed daily on roads. They are either being crushed under the tyres of vehicles in the case of crawling species, or by colliding with the vehicle itself in the case of avifauna or flying invertebrates. The impact is intensified at night, especially for flying insects, as result of their attraction to the lights of vehicles.

5.4.7.2 Mitigation measures:

- No staff should be accommodated on the site. If practical, construction workers should stay in one of the nearby villages and transported daily to the site.
- The ECO should regularly inspect the site, including storage facilities and compounds and eradicate any invasive or exotic plants and animals.
- Maintain proper firebreaks around entire development footprint.
- Educate construction workers regarding risks and correct disposal of cigarettes.
- More fauna is normally killed the faster vehicles travel. A speed limit should be enforced (preferably 40 km/hour). It can be considered to install speed bumps in sections where the speed limit tends to be disobeyed. (Speed limits will also lessen the probability of road accidents and their negative consequences).
- Travelling at night should be avoided or limited as much as possible.

5.5 IMPACT ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Table 9 indicate the impacts described above and specific ratings of significance the development impact will potentially have on the ecological components of the study area.

Table 9. Impact assessment Matrix for the proposed development

Nr	Activity	Impact	Without or With Mitigation	Nature (Negative or Positive Impact)	Probability		Duration		Scale		Magnitude/ Severity		Significance		Mitigation Measures	Mitigation Effect
					Magnitude	Score	Magnitude	Score	Magnitude	Score	Magnitude	Score	Score	Magnitude		
Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment																
Construction Phase																
1	Clearing of vegetation for construction of infrastructure, access roads etc.	Habitat destruction & Fragmentation	**WOM**	Negative	Definite	5	Permanent	5	Local	1	Medium	8	70	High	Refer to Sections 5.4.1.2 and 5.4.2.2	May cause irreplaceable loss of resources
			WM	Negative	Definite	5	Permanent	5	Local	1	Low	6	60	Moderate		
2	Topsoil & subsoil stripping, exposure of soils to wind and rain during construction causing erosion and sedimentation in wetlands	Soil erosion and sedimentation	**WOM**	Negative	Definite	5	Permanent	5	Regional	3	High	8	80	High	Refer to section 5.4.3.2	Can be reversed
			WM	Negative	Highly Probable	4	Medium term	3	Site	2	Medium	6	44	Moderate		
3	Exposure of soils to rainfall and wind during construction	Dust pollution	**WOM**	Negative	Definite	5	Medium term	3	Site	2	Medium	6	55	Moderate	Refer to section 5.4.4.2	Can be reversed
			WM	Negative	Highly Probable	5	Medium term	3	Site		Low	2	25	Low		
4	Heavy machinery and vehicle movement on site	Spillages of harmful substances	**WOM**	Negative	Highly Probable	4	Long term	4	Regional	3	Medium	6	52	Moderate	Refer to section 5.4.5.2	Can be avoided, managed, or mitigated
			WM	Negative	Probable	2	Long term	4	Site	2	Low	2	16	Negligible		
5	Continued movement of personnel and vehicles on and off the site during the construction phase, as well as occasional delivery of materials required for maintenance	Spreading of alien invasive species	**WOM**	Negative	Highly Probable	4	Permanent	5	Site	2	Medium	6	52	Moderate	Refer to section 5.4.6.2	Can be reversed
			WM	Negative	Probable	2	Medium term	3	Site	2	Low	2	14	Negligible		
6	Construction of infrastructure, access roads etc.	Negative effect of human activties on fauna and flora	**WOM**	Negative	Highly Probable	4	Medium term	3	Site	2	Medium	6	44	Moderate	Refer to section 5.5.7.2	Can be avoided, managed, or mitigated
			WM	Negative	Probable	2	Medium term	3	Site	2	Low	2	14	Negligible		
7	Continued movement of vehicles on and off the site during the construction phase, as well as occasional delivery of materials required for maintenance	Road mortalities of fauna	**WOM**	Negative	Highly Probable	4	Medium term	3	Site	2	Medium	6	44	Moderate	Refer to section 5.5.8.2	Can be avoided, managed, or mitigated
			WM	Negative	Highly Probable	4	Medium term	3	Site	2	Low	2	28	Low		

6 ECOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY CLASSES

Following the ecological surveys, the classification of the study area into different sensitivity classes and development zones was based on information collected at various levels on different environmental characteristics. Factors which determined sensitivity classes were as follows:

- Presence, density and potential impact of development on rare, endemic and protected plant species.
- Conservation status of vegetation units.
- Soil types, soil depth and soil clay content.
- Previous land-use.
- State of the vegetation in general as indicated by indicator species.

Below included is the sensitivity map for the proposed solar power plant and powerline development, (Figure 16). Only criteria applicable to the specific vegetation units were used to determine the sensitivity of the specific unit.



Figure 13. Sensitivity Map of the project area

7 DISCUSSION

Following the investigation and potential ecological impact of the proposed solar development on the biodiversity (including plant and animal species theme) of the area, some conclusions can be made:

All aspects of the environment, especially living organisms, are vulnerable to disturbance of their habitat. The proposed development activities will modify the vegetation and faunal habitats of the development site to a certain extent varying according to the habitats on the site, although in general the vegetation on site where the development footprint is planned are classified as pristine to slightly degraded.

Most sensitive sections: It is evident from the distribution of biodiversity, presence of threatened species and sites of scientific interest, that the proposed development has the potential for negative impact on the flora and faunal of the study area. This is particularly true of the sensitive vegetation associated with the natural grasslands in the project area.

Most sensitive habitats: Many threatened species are grassland specialists, linked to these habitats either for breeding, feeding or shelter. Major impacts on sensitive grassland areas should be avoided wherever possible during construction. Where unavoidable impacts will occur on grassland, strict mitigation measures and legislation should be implemented (DAFF licence for eradication of protected trees etc.).

Monitoring of threatened species: Many endemic and protected species have been recorded in region. The EMP for the development should highlight the conservation status of these species and note that steps must be undertaken in conjunction with conservation authorities to protect or translocate any populations encountered during project actions. Ecological monitoring is recommended for the construction phase of the development considering the presence of protected trees and potential red data fauna on areas surrounding the site.

The importance of rehabilitation and implementation of mitigation processes to prevent negative impacts on the environment during and after the construction phase of the solar development should be considered a high priority. The proposed site for the development varies from being in a slightly degraded to pristine state.

A sensitivity analyses was conducted to identify the most suitable site for the development. From this investigation and ecological surveys, the following main observations was made:

- All the grassland areas have a Medium Sensitivity and development can be supported in the area provided certain mitigation measures are implemented. Where the clearance of the vegetation would cause protected trees or other fauna to be removed, permits should be obtained from the relevant authorities.

No red data plant species were found on the site due to the state of the vegetation and physical environment of the larger area mostly not being suitable for any of the red data plant species that may be found in the area.

Some potential rare fauna may also occur in the area, and specific mitigation measures need to be implemented to ensure that the impact of the development on the species' habitat will be low. Specific mitigation relating to red data fauna includes the following:

- Disturbances in close vicinity of the development (periphery) should be limited to the smallest possible area to protect species habitat.
- Corridors are important to allow fauna to move freely between the areas of disturbance.

Several potential impacts were identified and assessed. A few of these were assessed as having potentially medium or high significance, including the following:

- Destruction or disturbance to sensitive ecosystems leading to reduction in the overall extent of a particular habitat.
- Increased soil erosion.
- Impairment of the movement and/or migration of animal species resulting in genetic and/or ecological impacts.
- Destruction/permanent loss of individuals of rare, endangered, endemic and/or protected species.
- Soil and water pollution through spillages.
- Establishment and spread of declared weeds and alien invader plants.
- Impacts of human activities on fauna and flora of the area during construction.
- Air pollution through dusts and fumes from construction vehicles (construction phase)

Mitigation measures are provided that would reduce these impacts from a higher to a lower significance. Furthermore, the proposed layout plan of the development should be consistent with the sensitivity map and recommendations stipulated in this report, and the impact on the sensitive habitats on site should be kept to a minimum.

8 CONCLUSION

All aspects of the environment, especially living organisms, are vulnerable to disturbance of their habitat. If we can bring about a more integrated approach to living within our ecosystems, we are much more likely to save the fundamental structure of biodiversity. Positive contributions can be made even on a small scale such as within the proposed solar power plant and powerline development. All stakeholders, such as business, government and environmental groups need to be involved to the impacts associated with the development from causing a significant loss.

The proposed development should allow corridors of indigenous grassland on areas outside the development footprint to be preserved. Where sensitive areas of natural vegetation cannot be avoided, a few mitigation measures have been recommended to minimise and/or offset impacts (licence application for eradication of protected species.). Negative impacts can be minimised by strict enforcement and compliance with an Environmental Management Plan which considers the recommendations for managing impacts detailed above.

Provided that the proposed development and layout plans is consistent with the sensitivity map and take all the mitigation measures into consideration stipulated in this report, the planned development can be supported.

9 REFERENCES

Acocks, J.P.H. 1988. Veld types of South Africa, 3rd ed. Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa. 57: 1–146.

Barbour, M.G., J.H. Burk, and W.D. Pitts. 1987. Terrestrial Plant Ecology. Second Edition. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing, Menlo Park, CA.

BOTHMA, J. DU. P. 1996. Game Ranch Management. Van Schaick, Pretoria.

Bredenkamp, G.J. & Brown, L.R. 2001. Vegetation – A reliable ecological basis for environmental planning. Urban Greenfile Nov-Dec 2001: 38-39.

BRADY, N. C. & WEIL, R. R. 1996. The Nature and properties of Soils. Prentice Hall, New Jersey.

Branch, B. (1998). Field guide to snakes and other reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers. Cape Town.

Briza publications. 2001. Problem plants of South Africa. Pretoria.

CHECHI, F. & ROBERTS, L. 2005. Interpreting and using mortality data in humanitarian emergencies: A primer for non-epidemiologists. Humanitarian practice Network at ODI.

CONSERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES ACT, 1983. (ACT No. 43 OF 1983)

Convention on Biological Diversity. Signed 1993 and ratified 2 November 1995.

Cowling, W. E. 2005. Tourism- A Catalyst for Attitudinal Changes in Aitutaki, Cook Islands University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

DEAT, 1998. Guideline Document on the EIA Regulations implementation of sections 21, 22 and 26 of the Environment Act, Government Printer, Pretoria.

DEAT, 2002. Impact Significance, Integrated Environmental Management, Information Series 5, Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, Pretoria

DWAF. 2003. A practical field procedure for identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria.

Enpat, 2000.Environmental Potential Atlas. Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism, Pretoria.

Fabian, A & Germishuizen, G. 1997. Wildflowers of Northern South Africa. Fernwood Press.

Friedman, Y & Daly, B. 2004. Red Data Book of the Mammals of South Africa: A Conservation Assessment: CBSG Southern Africa, Conservation Breeding Specialist Group (SSC/IUCN), Endangered Wildlife Trust. South Africa.

Germishuizen, G. and Clarke, B. (2003). Illustrated Guide to the Wildflowers of Northern South Africa. Briza Publications, Pretoria

GERTENBACH, W. P. D. 1983. Landscapes of the Kruger National Park. Koedoe 26: 9-121.

GOLDING, J. (Ed.) 2002. Southern African Plant Red Data Lists. Southern African Botanical Diversity Network report no. 14. National Botanical Institute. pp. 237.

HILTON-TAYLOR, C. 1996a. Red Data list of southern African plants. Strelitzia 4: 1 - 117.

HILTON-TAYLOR, C. 1996b. Red Data list of southern African plants. 1. corrections and additions. Bothalia 26: 177 - 182.

HILTON-TAYLOR, C. 1997. Red Data list of southern African plants. 2. corrections and additions. Bothalia 27:

195 - 209.

IFC. Performance Standard 6 Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management

Kent, LE. 1980. Stratigraphy of South Africa. Part 1: Lithostratigraphy of the Republic of South Africa, Southwest Africa/Namibia and the Republics of Bophuthatswana, Transkei, and Venda. Pretoria: Department of Mineral and Energy Affairs, Handbook 8.

KOTZE, D. C., MARNEWECK, G. C., BATCHELOR, A. L., LINDLEY, D. S. & COLLINS, N. B. 2005. Wet-ecoServices: A technique for rapidly assessing ecosystem services supplied by wetlands. South Africa National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Land type Survey Staff, 1987. Land types of the maps. Mem. Agric. Nat. Resour. S. Afr. no. 8.

LEE, K. E. & WOOD, T. G. 1971. Termites and Soils. Academic Press, London.

LOW, A. B. & REBELO, A. G. 1996. Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland. Dept. Environmental Affairs and Tourism, Pretoria.

MacKay, H. 1998: Towards a Classification System for Water Resources in South Africa. Institute for Water Quality Studies. Internal Report. Department of Water Affairs and Forestry, Pretoria, South Africa.

MACVICAR, C. N. 1991. Soil Classification: A Taxonomic system for South Africa. Department of Agriculture, Pretoria.

MCLEESE, R.L. AND WHITESIDE, E.P. 1977. Ecological effects of highway construction upon Michigan woodlots and wetlands: soil relationships. Journal of Environmental Quality. v6 n4, 476-471.

Manning, J. (2003). Photographic Guide to the Wildflowers of South Africa. Briza Publications. Pretoria.

Minter, L.R., Burger, M., Harrison, J.A., Braack, H.H., Bishop, P.J. and Kloepfer, D. (2004). Atlas and Red Data Book of the Frogs of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland. Smithsonian Institute, Washington, DC.

Mucina, L., Bredenkamp, G.J., Hoare, D.B. & McDonald, D.J. 2000. A National vegetation database for South Africa. South Africa Journal of Science 96:497-498.

Mueller-Dombois, D. & Ellenberg, H. 1974. Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. Wiley, New York.

Mucina, L & Rutherford, M. C. 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19, SANBI, Pretoria.

NATIONAL FOREST ACT, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998). Government Gazette No. 29062, Notice 897, 8 September 2006)

NATIONAL WATER ACT, 1998. Act No 36 of 1998.

Onderstall, J. (1996). Wildflower Guide for Mpumalanga and Northern Province. DynamicAd. Nelspruit.

Palgrave, M.C. (2002). Trees of Southern Africa.Struik Publishers.Cape Town.

Pooley, E. 1998. A field guide to wildflowers of Kwazulu Natal and the Eastern Region. Natal Flora Publications Trust.

SANBI & DEAT. 2009. Threatened Ecosystems in South Africa: Descriptions and Maps. DRAFT for Comment. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South Africa.

Sinclair, A. R. E. & A. E. Byrom. 2006. Understanding ecosystem dynamics for conservation of biota. Journal of Animal Ecology, 75: 64–79

Smithers, R.H.N. (1983). Soogdiere van die Suider-Afrikaanse Substreek. Universiteit van Pretoria. Pretoria

Tainton, N. M. (ed.), 1981. Veld and Pasture Management in South Africa. Shuter and Shooter, Pietermaritzburg, 481pp.

The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004. (Act 10 0f 2004).

Government Gazette RSA Vol. 467, 26436, Cape Town, June 2004.

The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act, 2004. (Act 10 0f 2004). Draft. List of Threatened Ecosystems. Government Gazette RSA Vol. 1477, 32689, Cape Town, 6 Nov 2009.

The Natural Scientific Professions Act (Act 27 of 2003)

THOMPSON H (2006) Water Law: A Practical Approach to Resource Management and the Provision of Services. Juta, Cape Town.

Van Der Merwe, C. R. 1952. Soil Groups and subgroups of South Africa. Science Bulletin356.

VAN WYK, B-E. & GERICKE, N. 2000. People's Plants: A Guide to useful plants of southern Africa. Briza publications, Pretoria.

Van Wyk, B & Malan, S. 1988. Field Guide to the wildflowers of the Highveld. Struik Publishers.

Van Wyk, B. & Van Wyk, P. 1997. Field Guide to Trees of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers. Cape Town.

Van Wyk, B.E., Van Oudtshoorn, B. & Gericke, N. 1997. Medicinal plants of South

Africa. Briza, Pretoria.

Van Oudtshoorn, F. (1991) Gids tot grasse van Suid Afrika. Briza Publikasies. Pretoria.

WERGER, M.J.A. 1978. Biogeography and Ecology of Southern Africa. Monographie Biologicae vol. 31. Junk, The Hague.

Westhoff, V. & Van der Maarel, E. 1978. The Braun-Blanquet approach. In: Whittaker, R.H. (ed.)

Classification of plant communities. W. Junk, The Hague.

WHITE, F. 1983. The vegetation of Africa: a descriptive memoir to accompany the UNESCO/AETFAT/UNSO vegetation map of Africa. UNESCO, Paris, France.

WINTER, C. 1988. A conceptual framework for assessing cumulative impacts on the hydrology of nontidal wetlands. Environmental Management. v12, n5, 605-620.APPENDIX A. PLANT SPECIES LISTs FOR QDS

APPENDIX A. PLANT SPECIES IN QDS

Family	Species	IUCN
Poaceae	*Perotis patens*	LC
Fabaceae	*Vachellia robusta*	LC
Iridaceae	*Babiana bainesii*	LC
Lobeliaceae	*Cyphia persicifolia*	LC
Poaceae	*Agrostis lachnantha*	LC
Cyperaceae	*Eleocharis dregeana*	LC
Asteraceae	*Cineraria lyratiformis*	LC
Asteraceae	*Artemisia afra*	LC
Marsileaceae	*Marsilea farinosa*	LC
Scrophulariaceae	*Selago burkei*	LC
Salicaceae	*Salix mucronata*	LC
Lythraceae	*Ammannia anagalloides*	
Scrophulariaceae	*Gomphostigma virgatum*	LC
Poaceae	*Panicum coloratum*	LC
Orchidaceae	*Bonatea antennifera*	LC
Salviniaceae	*Azolla filiculoides*	NE
Hypoxidaceae	*Hypoxis hemerocallidea*	LC
Poaceae	*Aristida canescens*	LC
Hyacinthaceae	*Daubenya comata*	LC
Cyperaceae	*Cyperus obtusiflorus*	LC
Apocynaceae	*Stenostelma capense*	LC
Poaceae	*Harpochloa falx*	LC
Asteraceae	*Galinsoga parviflora*	
Cyperaceae	*Cyperus margaritaceus*	LC
Poaceae	*Aristida adscensionis*	LC
Convolvulaceae	*Seddera capensis*	LC
Santalaceae	*Thesium transvaalense*	LC
Fabaceae	*Neorautanenia ficifolia*	LC
Poaceae	*Eragrostis curvula*	LC
Euphorbiaceae	*Acalypha caperonioides*	DD
Poaceae	*Hemarthria altissima*	LC
Poaceae	*Pogonarthria squarrosa*	LC
Fabaceae	*Indigofera heterotricha*	LC
Poaceae	*Aristida congesta*	LC
Scrophulariaceae	*Nemesia fruticans*	LC
Apocynaceae	*Aspidoglossum biflorum*	LC
Iridaceae	*Dierama reynoldsii*	LC
Fabaceae	*Pearsonia bracteata*	NT
Asteraceae	*Helichrysum caespititium*	LC
Fabaceae	*Vigna unguiculata*	LC
Poaceae	*Cynodon dactylon*	LC
Fabaceae	*Listia bainesii*	LC
Verbenaceae	*Verbena officinalis*	

Family	Species	IUCN
Malvaceae	*Hibiscus microcarpus*	LC
Fabaceae	*Elephantorrhiza elephantina*	LC
Haloragaceae	*Myriophyllum spicatum*	
Poaceae	*Brachiaria eruciformis*	LC
Poaceae	*Andropogon appendiculatus*	LC
Campanulaceae	*Wahlenbergia magaliesbergensis*	LC
Caryophyllaceae	*Silene burchellii*	
Euphorbiaceae	*Leidesia procumbens*	LC
Poaceae	*Triraphis andropogonoides*	LC
Asteraceae	*Geigeria brevifolia*	LC
Acanthaceae	*Dicliptera leistneri*	LC
Apocynaceae	*Cordylogyne globosa*	LC
Poaceae	*Ischaemum afrum*	LC
Euphorbiaceae	*Jatropha zeyheri*	LC
Poaceae	*Setaria incrassata*	LC
Poaceae	*Leersia hexandra*	LC
Asteraceae	*Helichrysum dregeanum*	LC
Fabaceae	*Crotalaria lotoides*	LC
Marsileaceae	*Marsilea sp.*	
Gisekiaceae	*Gisekia africana*	LC
Malvaceae	*Pavonia burchellii*	LC
Thymelaeaceae	*Lasiosiphon burchellii*	LC
Juncaceae	*Juncus rigidus*	LC
Poaceae	*Phragmites mauritianus*	LC
Amaryllidaceae	*Nerine krigei*	LC
Poaceae	*Anthephora pubescens*	LC
Lamiaceae	*Leonotis pentadentata*	LC
Hypoxidaceae	*Hypoxis argentea*	LC
Poaceae	*Schizachyrium sanguineum*	LC
Araceae	*Lemna minor*	LC
Asteraceae	*Helichrysum callicomum*	LC
Poaceae	*Tragus berteronianus*	LC
Asteraceae	*Geigeria ornativa*	
Acanthaceae	*Crabbea angustifolia*	LC
Poaceae	*Eragrostis trichophora*	LC
Molluginaceae	*Pharnaceum sp.*	
Malvaceae	*Hibiscus calyphyllus*	LC
Hypoxidaceae	*Hypoxis acuminata*	LC
Phyllanthaceae	*Phyllanthus incurvus*	LC
Ceratophyllaceae	*Ceratophyllum muricatum*	LC
Poaceae	*Setaria sphacelata*	LC
Phyllanthaceae	*Phyllanthus maderaspatensis*	LC
Phrymaceae	*Mimulus gracilis*	LC
Poaceae	*Eragrostis superba*	LC

Family	Species	IUCN
Apocynaceae	*Raphionacme velutina*	LC
Apocynaceae	*Asclepias aurea*	LC
Poaceae	*Eragrostis gummiflua*	LC
Poaceae	*Panicum maximum*	LC
Asteraceae	*Helichrysum zeyheri*	LC
Scrophulariaceae	*Selago welwitschii*	LC
Poaceae	*Eragrostis sp.*	
Euphorbiaceae	*Euphorbia serpens*	NE
Pedaliaceae	*Pterodiscus speciosus*	LC
Potamogetonaceae	*Potamogeton pectinatus*	LC
Poaceae	*Digitaria eriantha*	LC
Poaceae	*Stipagrostis uniplumis*	LC

APPENDIX B. PLANT SPECIES FOUND ON SITE

Vegetation units
Woody species
Acacia karroo
Asparagus laricinus
Diospyros lycioides
Ehretia rigida
Grewia flava
Hermbstaedtia linearis
Ozoroa sphaerocarpa
Searsia lancea
Searsia pyroides
Grasses
Aristida congesta
Aristida junciformis
Aristida scabrivalis
Brachiaria nigropedata
Brachiaria serrata
Cymbopogon excavatus
Elionorus muticus
Eragrostis biflora
Eragrostis chloromelas
Hyparrhenia hirta
Loudetia flavida
Melinis repens
Pogonarthria squarrosa
Schizachyrium jeffreysii
Sporobolus iocladus
Themeda triandra
Trachypogon spicatus
Trichoneura grandiglumis
Triraphis andropogonoides
Tristachys leucothrix
Dwarf shrubs, forbs & succulents
Achyranthes aspera
Athrixia elata
Berkheya onopordifolia
Boophane distycha
Bulbostylis burchellii
Chamaechrista comosa
Cichorium intybus
Cleome maculata
Commelina africana
Conyza bonariensis
Dicerocarium eriocarpum
Dicoma anomala

Vegetation units
Elephanthorhiza elephanthina
Gnidia capitata
Gomphrena celasoides
Haplocarpa scaposa
Helichrysum cerastoides
Helichrysum kraussii
Hermbstaedtia odorata
Hypoxis iridifolia
Hypoxis rigidula
Indigofera cryptantha
Ipomoea omnaeyi
Lantana rugosa
Ledebouria revoluta
Nidorella hottentotta
Oxalis depressa
Parinari capensis
Rhynchosia monophylla
Salvia runcinnata
Scabiosa columbaria
Scilla natalensis
Senecio inornatus
Solanum incanum
Solanum panduriforme
Tephrosia filipes
Triumfetta sonderi
Wahlenbergia caledonica
Walafrida densiflora
Zinnia peruviana

APPENDIX C. BIRD SPECIES LIST FOR QDS

Common_group	Common_species	Genus	Species
	Bokmakierie	*Telophorus*	*zeylonus*
	Brubru	*Nilaus*	*afer*
	Hamerkop	*Scopus*	*umbretta*
	Neddicky	*Cisticola*	*fulvicapilla*
	Quailfinch	*Ortygospiza*	*atricollis*
	Ruff	*Calidris*	*pugnax*
Apalis	Bar-throated	*Apalis*	*thoracica*
Avocet	Pied	*Recurvirostra*	*avosetta*
Barbet	Acacia Pied	*Tricholaema*	*leucomelas*
Barbet	Black-collared	*Lybius*	*torquatus*
Barbet	Crested	*Trachyphonus*	*vaillantii*
Batis	Chinspot	*Batis*	*molitor*
Batis	Pririt	*Batis*	*pririt*
Bee-eater	European	*Merops*	*apiaster*
Bee-eater	Little	*Merops*	*pusillus*
Bee-eater	Swallow-tailed	*Merops*	*hirundineus*
Bee-eater	White-fronted	*Merops*	*bullockoides*
Bishop	Southern Red	*Euplectes*	*orix*
Bishop	Yellow-crowned	*Euplectes*	*afer*
Bittern	Little	*Ixobrychus*	*minutus*
Bulbul	African Red-eyed	*Pycnonotus*	*nigricans*
Bunting	Cinnamon-breasted	*Emberiza*	*tahapisi*
Buzzard	Common	*Buteo*	*buteo*
Canary	Black-throated	*Crithagra*	*atrogularis*
Canary	Yellow	*Crithagra*	*flaviventris*
Canary	Yellow-fronted	*Crithagra*	*mozambica*
Chat	Ant-eating	*Myrmecocichla*	*formicivora*
Chat	Familiar	*Oenanthe*	*familiaris*
Cisticola	Cloud	*Cisticola*	*textrix*
Cisticola	Desert	*Cisticola*	*aridulus*
Cisticola	Levaillant's	*Cisticola*	*tinniens*
Cisticola	Rattling	*Cisticola*	*chiniana*
Cisticola	Zitting	*Cisticola*	*juncidis*
Coot	Red-knobbed	*Fulica*	*cristata*
Cormorant	Reed	*Microcarbo*	*africanus*
Cormorant	White-breasted	*Phalacrocorax*	*lucidus*
Coucal	Burchell's	*Centropus*	*burchellii*
Crake	African	*Crecopsis*	*egregia*
Crake	Black	*Zapornia*	*flavirostra*
Crombec	Long-billed	*Sylvietta*	*rufescens*
Crow	Pied	*Corvus*	*albus*
Cuckoo	Diederik	*Chrysococcyx*	*caprius*
Cuckoo	Red-chested	*Cuculus*	*solitarius*

Common_group	Common_species	Genus	Species
Darter	African	*Anhinga*	*rufa*
Dove	Cape Turtle	*Streptopelia*	*capicola*
Dove	Laughing	*Spilopelia*	*senegalensis*
Dove	Namaqua	*Oena*	*capensis*
Dove	Red-eyed	*Streptopelia*	*semitorquata*
Dove	Rock	*Columba*	*livia*
Duck	African Black	*Anas*	*sparsa*
Duck	White-faced Whistling	*Dendrocygna*	*viduata*
Duck	Yellow-billed	*Anas*	*undulata*
Eagle	African Fish	*Haliaeetus*	*vocifer*
Eagle	Long-crested	*Lophaetus*	*occipitalis*
Eagle	Martial	*Polemaetus*	*bellicosus*
Eagle-Owl	Spotted	*Bubo*	*africanus*
Egret	Great	*Ardea*	*alba*
Egret	Intermediate	*Ardea*	*intermedia*
Egret	Little	*Egretta*	*garzetta*
Egret	Western Cattle	*Bubulcus*	*ibis*
Falcon	Amur	*Falco*	*amurensis*
Falcon	Peregrine	*Falco*	*peregrinus*
Firefinch	African	*Lagonosticta*	*rubricata*
Firefinch	Jameson's	*Lagonosticta*	*rhodopareia*
Firefinch	Red-billed	*Lagonosticta*	*senegala*
Fiscal	Southern	*Lanius*	*collaris*
Flycatcher	African Paradise	*Terpsiphone*	*viridis*
Flycatcher	Fiscal	*Melaenornis*	*silens*
Flycatcher	Spotted	*Muscicapa*	*striata*
Francolin	Orange River	*Scleroptila*	*gutturalis*
Goose	Domestic	*Anser*	*anser*
Goose	Egyptian	*Alopochen*	*aegyptiaca*
Goose	Spur-winged	*Plectropterus*	*gambensis*
Goshawk	Gabar	*Micronisus*	*gabar*
Grebe	Black-necked	*Podiceps*	*nigricollis*
Grebe	Great Crested	*Podiceps*	*cristatus*
Grebe	Little	*Tachybaptus*	*ruficollis*
Greenshank	Common	*Tringa*	*nebularia*
Guineafowl	Helmeted	*Numida*	*meleagris*
Gull	Grey-headed	*Chroicocephalus*	*cirrocephalus*
Heron	Black	*Egretta*	*ardesiaca*
Heron	Black-headed	*Ardea*	*melanocephala*
Heron	Goliath	*Ardea*	*goliath*
Heron	Grey	*Ardea*	*cinerea*
Heron	Purple	*Ardea*	*purpurea*
Heron	Squacco	*Ardeola*	*ralloides*
Honeybird	Brown-backed	*Prodotiscus*	*regulus*

Common_group	Common_species	Genus	Species
Honeyguide	Lesser	*Indicator*	*minor*
Hoopoe	African	*Upupa*	*africana*
Ibis	African Sacred	*Threskiornis*	*aethiopicus*
Ibis	Glossy	*Plegadis*	*falcinellus*
Ibis	Hadada	*Bostrychia*	*hagedash*
Indigobird	Dusky	*Vidua*	*funerea*
Indigobird	Purple	*Vidua*	*purpurascens*
Indigobird	Village	*Vidua*	*chalybeata*
Jacana	African	*Actophilornis*	*africanus*
Kestrel	Greater	*Falco*	*rupicoloides*
Kestrel	Lesser	*Falco*	*naumanni*
Kingfisher	Brown-hooded	*Halcyon*	*albiventris*
Kingfisher	Giant	*Megaceryle*	*maxima*
Kingfisher	Malachite	*Corythornis*	*cristatus*
Kingfisher	Pied	*Ceryle*	*rudis*
Kite	Black-winged	*Elanus*	*caeruleus*
Korhaan	Northern Black	*Afrotis*	*afraoides*
Lapwing	African Wattled	*Vanellus*	*senegallus*
Lapwing	Blacksmith	*Vanellus*	*armatus*
Lapwing	Crowned	*Vanellus*	*coronatus*
Lark	Eastern Clapper	*Mirafra*	*fasciolata*
Lark	Pink-billed	*Spizocorys*	*conirostris*
Lark	Rufous-naped	*Mirafra*	*africana*
Lark	Sabota	*Calendulauda*	*sabota*
Longclaw	Cape	*Macronyx*	*capensis*
Mannikin	Bronze	*Spermestes*	*cucullata*
Martin	Banded	*Riparia*	*cincta*
Martin	Brown-throated	*Riparia*	*paludicola*
Martin	Rock	*Ptyonoprogne*	*fuligula*
Moorhen	Common	*Gallinula*	*chloropus*
Mousebird	Red-faced	*Urocolius*	*indicus*
Mousebird	Speckled	*Colius*	*striatus*
Mousebird	White-backed	*Colius*	*colius*
Myna	Common	*Acridotheres*	*tristis*
Ostrich	Common	*Struthio*	*camelus*
Owl	Marsh	*Asio*	*capensis*
Owl	Western Barn	*Tyto*	*alba*
Pigeon	Speckled	*Columba*	*guinea*
Pipit	African	*Anthus*	*cinnamomeus*
Pipit	Buffy	*Anthus*	*vaalensis*
Plover	Three-banded	*Charadrius*	*tricollaris*
Pochard	Southern	*Netta*	*erythrophthalma*
Prinia	Black-chested	*Prinia*	*flavicans*
Prinia	Tawny-flanked	*Prinia*	*subflava*

Common_group	Common_species	Genus	Species
Pytilia	Green-winged	*Pytilia*	*melba*
Quelea	Red-billed	*Quelea*	*quelea*
Rail	African	*Rallus*	*caerulescens*
Robin-Chat	Cape	*Cossypha*	*caffra*
Robin-Chat	White-throated	*Cossypha*	*humeralis*
Roller	European	*Coracias*	*garrulus*
Sandpiper	Common	*Actitis*	*hypoleucos*
Sandpiper	Curlew	*Calidris*	*ferruginea*
Sandpiper	Marsh	*Tringa*	*stagnatilis*
Sandpiper	Wood	*Tringa*	*glareola*
Scimitarbill	Common	*Rhinopomastus*	*cyanomelas*
Scrub Robin	Kalahari	*Cercotrichas*	*paena*
Scrub Robin	White-browed	*Cercotrichas*	*leucophrys*
Shelduck	South African	*Tadorna*	*cana*
Shoveler	Cape	*Spatula*	*smithii*
Shrike	Crimson-breasted	*Laniarius*	*atrococcineus*
Shrike	Lesser Grey	*Lanius*	*minor*
Shrike	Red-backed	*Lanius*	*collurio*
Snipe	African	*Gallinago*	*nigripennis*
Sparrow	Cape	*Passer*	*melanurus*
Sparrow	House	*Passer*	*domesticus*
Sparrow	Southern Grey-headed	*Passer*	*diffusus*
Sparrow	Yellow-throated Bush	*Gymnoris*	*superciliaris*
Sparrow-Weaver	White-browed	*Plocepasser*	*mahali*
Sparrowhawk	Little	*Accipiter*	*minullus*
Spoonbill	African	*Platalea*	*alba*
Spurfowl	Natal	*Pternistis*	*natalensis*
Spurfowl	Swainson's	*Pternistis*	*swainsonii*
Starling	Cape	*Lamprotornis*	*nitens*
Starling	Pied	*Lamprotornis*	*bicolor*
Starling	Wattled	*Creatophora*	*cinerea*
Stilt	Black-winged	*Himantopus*	*himantopus*
Stint	Little	*Calidris*	*minuta*
Stonechat	African	*Saxicola*	*torquatus*
Stork	Yellow-billed	*Mycteria*	*ibis*
Sunbird	Amethyst	*Chalcomitra*	*amethystina*
Sunbird	White-bellied	*Cinnyris*	*talatala*
Swallow	Barn	*Hirundo*	*rustica*
Swallow	Greater Striped	*Cecropis*	*cucullata*
Swallow	Pearl-breasted	*Hirundo*	*dimidiata*
Swallow	South African Cliff	*Petrochelidon*	*spilodera*
Swallow	White-throated	*Hirundo*	*albigularis*
Swamphen	African	*Porphyrio*	*madagascariensis*
Swift	African Black	*Apus*	*barbatus*

Common_group	Common_species	Genus	Species
Swift	African Palm	*Cypsiurus*	*parvus*
Swift	Little	*Apus*	*affinis*
Swift	White-rumped	*Apus*	*caffer*
Tchagra	Brown-crowned	*Tchagra*	*australis*
Teal	Blue-billed	*Spatula*	*hottentota*
Teal	Red-billed	*Anas*	*erythrorhyncha*
Tern	Caspian	*Hydroprogne*	*caspia*
Tern	Whiskered	*Chlidonias*	*hybrida*
Tern	White-winged	*Chlidonias*	*leucopterus*
Thick-knee	Spotted	*Burhinus*	*capensis*
Thrush	Karoo	*Turdus*	*smithi*
Tit	Ashy	*Melaniparus*	*cinerascens*
Wagtail	African Pied	*Motacilla*	*aguimp*
Wagtail	Cape	*Motacilla*	*capensis*
Warbler	African Reed	*Acrocephalus*	*baeticatus*
Warbler	Chestnut-vented	*Curruca*	*subcoerulea*
Warbler	Garden	*Sylvia*	*borin*
Warbler	Great Reed	*Acrocephalus*	*arundinaceus*
Warbler	Icterine	*Hippolais*	*icterina*
Warbler	Lesser Swamp	*Acrocephalus*	*gracilirostris*
Warbler	Little Rush	*Bradypterus*	*baboecala*
Warbler	Marsh	*Acrocephalus*	*palustris*
Warbler	Willow	*Phylloscopus*	*trochilus*
Waxbill	Black-faced	*Brunhilda*	*erythronotos*
Waxbill	Blue	*Uraeginthus*	*angolensis*
Waxbill	Common	*Estrilda*	*astrild*
Weaver	Scaly-feathered	*Sporopipes*	*squamifrons*
Weaver	Southern Masked	*Ploceus*	*velatus*
Weaver	Thick-billed	*Amblyospiza*	*albifrons*
Wheatear	Capped	*Oenanthe*	*pileata*
Wheatear	Mountain	*Myrmecocichla*	*monticola*
White-eye	Cape	*Zosterops*	*virens*
White-eye	Orange River	*Zosterops*	*pallidus*
Whitethroat	Common	*Curruca*	*communis*
Whydah	Long-tailed Paradise	*Vidua*	*paradisaea*
Whydah	Pin-tailed	*Vidua*	*macroura*
Whydah	Shaft-tailed	*Vidua*	*regia*
Widowbird	Long-tailed	*Euplectes*	*progne*
Widowbird	Red-collared	*Euplectes*	*ardens*
Widowbird	White-winged	*Euplectes*	*albonotatus*
Wood Hoopoe	Green	*Phoeniculus*	*purpureus*
Woodpecker	Cardinal	*Dendropicos*	*fuscescens*
Woodpecker	Golden-tailed	*Campethera*	*abingoni*
Wren-Warbler	Barred	*Calamonastes*	*fasciolatus*

Common_group	Common_species	Genus	Species
Wryneck	Red-throated	*Jynx*	*ruficollis*

APPENDIX D MAMMAL SPECIES LIST

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Red list
Bathyergidae	*Cryptomys hottentotus*	Southern African Mole-rat	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Aepyceros melampus*	Impala	Least Concern
Bovidae	*Alcelaphus buselaphus caama*	Red Hartebeest	Least Concern (2008)
Bovidae	*Antidorcas marsupialis*	Springbok	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Connochaetes taurinus taurinus*		Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Damaliscus pygargus phillipsi*	Blesbok	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Damaliscus pygargus pygargus*	Bontebok	Vulnerable (2016)
Bovidae	*Kobus ellipsiprymnus*	Waterbuck	Least Concern (ver 3.1, 2016)
Bovidae	*Oryx gazella*	Gemsbok	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Raphicerus campestris*	Steenbok	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Sylvicapra grimmia*	Bush Duiker	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Syncerus caffer*	African Buffalo	Least Concern (2008)
Bovidae	*Taurotragus oryx*	Common Eland	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Tragelaphus angasii*	Nyala	Least Concern (2016)
Bovidae	*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*	Greater Kudu	Least Concern (2016)
Canidae	*Canis mesomelas*	Black-backed Jackal	Least Concern (2016)
Cercopithecidae	*Chlorocebus pygerythrus*	Vervet Monkey	Least Concern (2016)
Cercopithecidae	*Chlorocebus pygerythrus pygerythrus*	Vervet Monkey (subspecies pygerythrus)	Least Concern (2008)
Equidae	*Equus quagga*	Plains Zebra	Least Concern (2016)
Felidae	*Caracal caracal*	Caracal	Least Concern (2016)
Felidae	*Felis catus*	Domestic Cat	Introduced
Giraffidae	*Giraffa giraffa giraffa*	South African Giraffe	Least Concern (2016)
Herpestidae	*Atilax paludinosus*	Marsh Mongoose	Least Concern (2016)
Herpestidae	*Cynictis penicillata*	Yellow Mongoose	Least Concern (2016)
Herpestidae	*Herpestes sanguineus*	Slender Mongoose	Least Concern (2016)
Herpestidae	*Suricata suricatta*	Meerkat	Least Concern (2016)
Hyaenidae	*Proteles cristata*	Aardwolf	Least Concern (2016)
Hystricidae	*Hystrix africaeaustralis*	Cape Porcupine	Least Concern
Leporidae	*Lepus capensis*	Cape Hare	Least Concern
Leporidae	*Lepus saxatilis*	Scrub Hare	Least Concern
Macroscelididae	*Elephantulus myurus*	Eastern Rock Elephant Shrew	Least Concern (2016)
Muridae	*Aethomys namaquensis*	Namaqua Rock Mouse	Least Concern
Muridae	*Gerbilliscus leucogaster*	Bushveld Gerbil	Least Concern (2016)
Muridae	*Rhabdomys pumilio*	Xeric Four-striped Grass Rat	Least Concern (2016)
Mustelidae	*Aonyx capensis*	African Clawless Otter	Near Threatened (2016)
Pedetidae	*Pedetes capensis*	South African Spring Hare	Least Concern (2016)
Procaviidae	*Procavia capensis*	Cape Rock Hyrax	Least Concern (2016)
Sciuridae	*Xerus inauris*	South African Ground Squirrel	Least Concern
Suidae	*Phacochoerus africanus*	Common Warthog	Least Concern (2016)
Viveridae	*Genetta maculata*	Common Large-spotted Genet	Least Concern
Viverridae	*Genetta genetta*	Common Genet	Least Concern (2016)
Viverridae	*Genetta tigrina*	Cape Genet (Cape Large-spotted Genet)	Least Concern (2016)

APPENDIX E HERPETOFAUNA LIST

REPTILES

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Red list
Agamidae	*Agama aculeata distanti*	Distant's Ground Agama	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Agamidae	*Agama atra*	Southern Rock Agama	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Chamaeleonidae	*Chamaeleo dilepis*	Common Flap-neck Chameleon	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Colubridae	*Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia*	Red-lipped Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Colubridae	*Dasypeltis scabra*	Rhombic Egg-eater	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Cordylidae	*Cordylus vittifer*	Common Girdled Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Elapidae	*Hemachatus haemachatus*	Rinkhals	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Elapidae	*Naja nivea*	Cape Cobra	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	*Hemidactylus mabouia*	Common Tropical House Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	*Lygodactylus capensis*	Common Dwarf Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gekkonidae	*Pachydactylus capensis*	Cape Gecko	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Gerrhosauridae	*Gerrhosaurus flavigularis*	Yellow-throated Plated Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lacertidae	*Nucras holubi*	Holub's Sandveld Lizard	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	*Aparallactus capensis*	Black-headed Centipede-eater	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	*Boaedon capensis*	Brown House Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	*Lamprophis aurora*	Aurora House Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	*Lycophidion capense capense*	Cape Wolf Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	*Psammophis brevirostris*	Short-snouted Grass Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	*Psammophylax tritaeniatus*	Striped Grass Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Lamprophiidae	*Pseudaspis cana*	Mole Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Pelomedusidae	*Pelomedusa galeata*	South African Marsh Terrapin	Not evaluated
Scincidae	*Panaspis wahlbergii*	Wahlberg's Snake-eyed Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	*Trachylepis capensis*	Cape Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	*Trachylepis punctatissima*	Speckled Rock Skink	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Scincidae	*Trachylepis varia sensu lato*	Common Variable Skink Complex	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Testudinidae	*Kinixys lobatsiana*	Lobatse Hinged Tortoise	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Testudinidae	*Stigmochelys pardalis*	Leopard Tortoise	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Typhlopidae	*Afrotyphlops bibronii*	Bibron's Blind Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Typhlopidae	*Rhinotyphlops lalandei*	Delalande's Beaked Blind Snake	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Varanidae	*Varanus albigularis albigularis*	Rock Monitor	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Varanidae	*Varanus niloticus*	Water Monitor	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Viperidae	*Bitis arietans arietans*	Puff Adder	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)
Viperidae	*Causus rhombeatus*	Rhombic Night Adder	Least Concern (SARCA 2014)

AMPHIBIANS

Family	Scientific name	Common name	Red list
Bufonidae	*Schismaderma carens*	Red Toad	Least Concern
Bufonidae	*Sclerophrys capensis*	Raucous Toad	Least Concern
Bufonidae	*Sclerophrys garmani*	Olive Toad	Least Concern (IUCN, 2016)
Bufonidae	*Sclerophrys gutturalis*	Guttural Toad	Least Concern (IUCN, 2016)
Bufonidae	*Sclerophrys poweri*	Power's Toad	Least Concern
Hyperoliidae	*Kassina senegalensis*	Bubbling Kassina	Least Concern
Phrynobatrachidae	*Phrynobatrachus natalensis*	Snoring Puddle Frog	Least Concern (IUCN, 2013)
Pipidae	*Xenopus laevis*	Common Platanna	Least Concern
Pyxicephalidae	*Amietia delalandii*	Delalande's River Frog	Least Concern (2017)
Pyxicephalidae	*Cacosternum boettgeri*	Common Caco	Least Concern (2013)
Pyxicephalidae	*Pyxicephalus adspersus*	Giant Bull Frog	Near Threatened
Pyxicephalidae	*Strongylopus fasciatus*	Striped Stream Frog	Least Concern
Pyxicephalidae	*Tomopterna cryptotis*	Tremelo Sand Frog	Least Concern