



Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Construction of a 132kV Power Line from the proposed Mookodi MTS to the existing Magopela Substation, North West Province Final Basic Assessment Report

DEA Reference Number: 14/12/16/3/3/1/678 NEAS Reference Number: DEA/EIA/0001400/2012 Issue Date: 20 March 2013 Revision No.: 1 Project No.: 10902

Date:	20 March 2013	
Document Title:	Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Construction of a 132kV Power Line from the proposed Mookodi MTS to the existing Magopela Substation, North West Province- Final Basic Assessment Report	
Author:	Daniela Venzo, Andrea Gibb	
Revision Number:	1	
Checked by:	Andrea Gibb, Rebecca Thomas	
Approved:	Rebecca Thomas	
Signature:	Adomas	
For:	SiVEST Environmental Division	

COPYRIGHT IS VESTED IN SIVEST IN TERMS OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT (ACT 98 OF 1978) AND NO USE OR REPRODUCTION OR DUPLICATION THEREOF MAY OCCUR WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF THE AUTHOR

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED



environmental affairs

Department: Environmental Affairs REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

(For official use only)

File Reference Number: Application Number: Date Received:

Basic assessment report in terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2010, promulgated in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended.

#### Kindly note that:

- 1. This **basic assessment report** is a standard report that may be required by a competent authority in terms of the EIA Regulations, 2010 and is meant to streamline applications. Please make sure that it is the report used by the particular competent authority for the activity that is being applied for.
- 2. This report format is current as of **1 September 2012**. It is the responsibility of the applicant to ascertain whether subsequent versions of the form have been published or produced by the competent authority
- 3. The report must be typed within the spaces provided in the form. The size of the spaces provided is not necessarily indicative of the amount of information to be provided. The report is in the form of a table that can extend itself as each space is filled with typing.
- 4. Where applicable **tick** the boxes that are applicable in the report.
- 5. An incomplete report may be returned to the applicant for revision.
- 6. The use of "not applicable" in the report must be done with circumspection because if it is used in respect of material information that is required by the competent authority for assessing the application, it may result in the rejection of the application as provided for in the regulations.
- 7. This report must be handed in at offices of the relevant competent authority as determined by each authority.
- 8. No faxed or e-mailed reports will be accepted.
- 9. The signature of the EAP on the report must be an original signature.
- 10. The report must be compiled by an independent environmental assessment practitioner.
- 11. Unless protected by law, all information in the report will become public information on receipt by the competent authority. Any interested and affected party should be provided with the information contained in this report on request, during any stage of the application process.
- 12. A competent authority may require that for specified types of activities in defined situations only parts of this report need to be completed.
- 13. Should a specialist report or report on a specialised process be submitted at any stage for any part of this application, the terms of reference for such report must also be submitted.
- 14. Two (2) colour hard copies and one (1) electronic copy of the report must be submitted to the competent authority.
- 15. Shape files (.shp) for maps must be included on the electronic copy of the report submitted to the competent authority.

 ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED
 prepared by: SiVEST

 Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line
 Revision No. 1

 18 March 2013
 Page ii

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013

 AG reduced.docx

# MOOKODI INTEGRATION PHASE 2: PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF A 132KV POWER LINE FROM THE PROPOSED MOOKODI MTS TO THE EXISTING MAGOPELA SUBSTATION, NORTH WEST PROVINCE

# FINAL BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

#### **Executive Summary**

Eskom Holdings SOC Limited (hereafter referred to as Eskom) intends to develop a 132kV power line from the proposed Mookodi Main Transmission Substation (MTS) to the existing Magopela Substation. In addition the existing Magopela Substation will be upgraded to accommodate the additional incoming line. Eskom, being responsible for the provision of reliable and affordable power to consumers in South Africa, has initiated the proposed project in order to improve the reliability of the network and create capacity for new customers in the greater Vryburg area. This project is the second phase of the Mookodi Integration project, which is being proposed to integrate the new Mookodi Main Transmission Substation (MTS), due for construction, south of Vryburg, into the network. The network in the area is currently unstable, therefore the proposed development will help regulate and strengthen the network, should the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) grant an Environmental Authorisation (EA). In addition, there is mining potential in the area north of Vryburg and the proposed project would help supply electricity to these areas.

SiVEST Environmental Division has been appointed as independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) by Eskom to undertake a Basic Assessment (BA) for the proposed project.

The proposed development requires environmental authorisation from the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA). Provincial authorities have also been consulted i.e. The North West Province Department of Economic Development, Environment, Conservation and Tourism. The BA for the proposed development will be conducted in terms of the 2010 Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations promulgated in terms of section 24(5) and section 44 of the National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA), as amended, in Government Notice (GN) No. R543. In terms of these regulations, a Basic Assessment (BA) is required for the proposed project. All relevant legislations and guidelines were consulted during the BA process and will be complied with at all times.

Depending on the issuing date of the Environmental Authorisation (EA), should it be granted by Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA), it is proposed that Eskom will commence construction in March 2014. The construction period for the proposed power lines is estimated to be 18 months and construction period for the proposed substation is estimated to be 8 months. This includes the

clearing of the servitude (where required), construction of the towers, stringing of the conductors substation upgrades and commissioning of the newly proposed lines and substation.

The power line will consist of a series of towers located approximately 200m apart. It is proposed that the steel monopole tower type (e.g. ESKOM D-DT 7649), that is bird-friendly, would be used for the proposed power line in combination with other towers (e.g. guyed steel lattice tower types) at bend points and where greater distances need to be spanned. The steel monopole tower type is between 18 and 25m in height and each tower will have a footprint of between 0.8m<sup>2</sup> and 1.2m<sup>2</sup> (without stavs). The exact location of the towers will be determined during the final design stages of the power line. A diagram of the steel monopole tower type is included in Appendix C.

Five route corridor alternatives that are approximately 1km wide will be assessed during the Basic Assessment for the proposed development. These are as follows:

- Corridor Route 1 approximately 60 km (pink) .
- Corridor Route 2 approximately 63 km (purple)
- Corridor Route 3 approximately 53 km (green) .
- Corridor Route 4 – approximately 54 km (blue)
- Corridor Route 5 approximately 69 km (blue/green)



#### Figure i: Locality Map of the proposed route corridor alternatives

The study area is located in the North West Province near the town of Vryburg. The proposed power line traverses two municipal areas; Naledi and Greater Taung Local Municipality. The landscape throughout the survey area is characterised by a largely homogenous flat to moderately undulating terrain that rises in an easterly and westerly direction from the Dry Harts valley bottom. All the proposed route corridor alternatives traverse open agricultural areas for the vast majority of their alignments. Where possible, the alternatives run parallel to major and minor roadways, farm boundaries, existing power lines and along the outer periphery of urban areas. Limited areas of intact natural vegetation are traversed by the proposed route alternatives.

Several specialist studies were conducted during the BA to identify the issues associated with the proposed development. These include:

- Biodiversity (fauna, flora and avifauna)
- Surface water
- Agricultural potential and soil
- Visual
- Heritage
- Social
- Geotechnical
- Geohydrology

#### Table i: Summary of findings

Environmental		
Parameter	Summary of major findings	Recommendations
Biodiversity	<ul> <li>Much of the survey area is utilised for low-density livestock grazing and therefore has retained natural features and overall ecological integrity;</li> <li>All route alternative incorporate habitat units that would support a variety of faunal and floral species biodiversity to a greater or lesser extent;</li> <li>Protected floral species were observed along all alternative routes to a greater or lesser extent;</li> <li>No RDL faunal or floral species were noted during the field survey.</li> <li>Impacts on biodiversity and habitat conservation can be successfully</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A walk-through survey of the proposed line alternative should be undertaken prior to the onset of the construction phase</li> <li>Routine surveys should be undertaken once construction has been completed in order to identify any further collision hotspot areas.</li> <li>New lines as well as the existing lines must be fitted with Bird Flappers to avoid potential collisions where power lines will be developed within areas where established power lines occur.</li> <li>Removal of vegetation from within servitude areas should be minimal and only limited to a height class that could pose a fire risk to the overhead lines.</li> </ul>

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

Environmental		
Parameter	Summary of major findings	Recommendations
	<ul><li>mitigated;</li><li>Impacts on protected areas within</li></ul>	
	<ul><li>the survey area should be avoided as far as possible</li><li>For avifaunal impacts, mitigation</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>measures should include the marking of all sections of the lines that pass through migratory routes.</li> <li>Alternative 4 is considered to be</li> </ul>	
	preferred	
Surface Water	<ul> <li>Five (5) artificial wetlands were identified, seven (7) channelled valley bottom wetlands, thirteen (13) un-channelled valley bottom wetlands, three (3) pans and nine (9) drainage lines were identified and delineated.</li> <li>Alternative corridor route 4 is preferred as the isolated wetlands present can be avoided.</li> <li>The primary construction related impacts relate to the placing of towers in the wetlands</li> <li>The main operation related impact concerns vehicle damage to wetlands during maintenance.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Preferred or favourable alternative corridor routes be considered when selecting the final corridor for the proposed alignment.</li> <li>All isolated wetlands should be circumvented by the proposed power line to avoid impacts.</li> <li>Once the final alignment is established a final walk-down study is to be conducted for accurate infield delineation and to identify high risk areas that will require site specific mitigation measures.</li> </ul>
Agricultural potential and soils	<ul> <li>Agricultural activities are found throughout the assessed corridors.</li> <li>Activities include grazing (for beef, sheep and goats), irrigated and non-irrigated crop production as well as</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In terms of routing recommendations the power lines should, ideally, skirt any areas under centre pivot irrigation. Centre pivot irrigation infrastructure is generally over 4m in</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The various alternative corridors also cross important agricultural enterprises and these high value areas need to be protected from the predicted impacts relating to the proposed developments.</li> </ul>	under the proposed transmission lines.
Heritage	The following sites, features and objects of cultural significance are known to	<ul> <li>It is recommended that the proposed Alternatives are subjected to a "walk-</li> </ul>

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

Environmental		
Parameter		
	Summary of major findings	Recommendations
	exist in the identified corridors:	down" by a heritage consultant to
	<ul> <li>Stone Age sites are found to occur</li> </ul>	determine if there are any fatal flaws
	over large sections of the study area,	that would prevent to proposed
	especially in the vicinity of natural	development from taking place.
	pans and rock outcrops.	<ul> <li>Relevant permits should be obtained</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Some site dating to historic events</li> </ul>	where applicable.
	that took place during the early part	
	of the foundation of the town of	
	Vryburg occurs in the vicinity of the	
	town.	
	<ul> <li>A number of structures/buildings</li> </ul>	
	occur in the town of vryburg as well	
	as in the smaller township to the	
	south.	
	<ul> <li>A number of formal and informal</li> </ul>	
	cemeteries occur in the built regions.	
	Some old farmsteads occur in the	
Marral	vicinity of the various alternatives.	
visuai	<ul> <li>The study area is not typically valued</li> <li>as utilized for its natural secric value</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Align the power line slightly away</li> <li>N40, highway, to reduce the visual</li> </ul>
	or utilised for its natural scenic value	impact on materiate travelling along
	and therefore a low density of	this read
	visually sensitive receptors were	this road.
	Most of the study gras has a low to	<ul> <li>Align the power line to run parallel to existing power lines.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Most of the study area has a low to mederate viewal appaitivity due to the</li> </ul>	• Avoid crossing cross of high
	moderate visual sensitivity due to the	Avoid crossing areas of high
	limited number of visual recenters	or bills
	nimited number of visual receptors	• Avoid gross of patural wooded
	Corridor alternative 2 is considered	Avoid aleas of flatural wooded
	the preferred alternative as it is	vegetation where possible.
	aligned parallel to existing	
	infrastructure (roads railway line and	
	nower lines) and the power line	
	would be located on lower lying	
	around	
	The visual impacts resulting from the	
	proposed power line would be low	
Social	<ul> <li>Loss of land may result due to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Landowners must be compensated</li> </ul>
	Eskom acquiring the servitude right	The Resident's Forum should
	from the legal owners.	monitor and report on the
	<ul> <li>In areas of existing habitation. it may</li> </ul>	development of informal settlements.
		development of informal settlements.

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

Environmental		
Parameter	Summary of major findings	Recommendations
	not be pessible to provent residential	Employment expertunities should be
	<ul> <li>not be possible to prevent residential dwellings from being established within the power line servitude.</li> <li>Non-local construction workers and opportunity seekers may move into the area. This may extend and disrupt informal settlements, create conflict situations, increase crime and the local risk of HIV/AIDS infection.</li> <li>The development may provide jobs for unskilled and semi-skilled labour.</li> <li>The development will increase the opportunities for informal trading during the construction phase.</li> <li>The increased accessibility would improve living conditions and investor confidence.</li> <li>Corridor alternative 3 is preferred as it does not traverse through communities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Employment opportunities should be made known through a corporate communication function, and locally via the Local Council offices and Residents Forums.</li> <li>A construction phase Code of Conduct should be prepared and implemented among construction workers.</li> <li>Contractors Code of Conduct should include HIV/AIDS counseling and prevention measures.</li> <li>Contractors must develop and implement a recruitment and employment policy, and a goods and services procurement policy that will promote fair access to jobs and procurement opportunities, through an objective and transparent process.</li> <li>Increase community policing and community engagement in order to find solutions to cable theft</li> </ul>
Castachnical	- From a geotophnical parapostive na	Scenarios.
Geotechnical	<ul> <li>From a geotechnical perspective no fatal flaws have been identified that would prevent the construction of a power line along any of the proposed corridor alternatives.</li> <li>The corridor alternatives would result in similar impacts from a geotechnical perspective</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It is recommended that further detailed geotechnical investigations are undertaken for the preferred site alternatives to confirm the findings of this study.</li> </ul>
Geohydrology	<ul> <li>The site is underlain by varying geological rock types including tillite, quartzites, limestones, basalts, rhyolites etc.</li> <li>Geological structures within the project area include faults, inferred faults, lineaments and dyke, which are areas of heightened groundwater potential.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Relevant mitigation measures stipulated in the EMPr should be enforced.</li> </ul>

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 \JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

prepared by: SiVEST

Page viii

Environmental		
Parameter		
	Summary of major findings	Recommendations
	<ul> <li>226 boreholes were identified within</li> </ul>	
	the study area.	
	<ul> <li>Probability of impact of the proposed</li> </ul>	
	power line on the geohydrological	
	environment is generally low and can	
	be suitably managed.	
	• The impacts are considered to be	
	site specific and would	
	predominately occur during the	
	construction phase.	

An impact assessment was conducted to ascertain the level of each identified impact, as well as mitigation measures which may be required. The potential positive and negative impacts associated with the proposed development were evaluated and rated accordingly. The results of the specialist studies have indicated that no fatal flaws exist as a result of the proposed development. Refer to Appendix F for details of the impact assessment undertaken as part of the BA process.

Based on the findings of the specialist studies, **alternative 4** was chosen as the preferred route corridor for the proposed 132kV power line from the Mookodi Substation (under construction) to Magopela Substation (existing). The preferred route alignment, according to the specialist findings, is indicated in Figure ii.

prepared by: SiVEST

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED



Figure ii: Preferred Route Alignment

A thorough public participation process (PPP) was undertaken as part of the BA. During this process on-going consultation took place with various key stakeholders and organs of state, which include provincial, district and local authorities, relevant government departments, parastatals and NGO's.

It is the opinion of the EAP that the proposed project should be allowed to proceed provided that the recommended mitigation measures are implemented, and provided the following conditions are adhered to:

- All mitigation measures recommended by the various specialists should be strictly implemented.
- Final EMPr should be approved by DEA prior to construction.

# MOOKODI INTEGRATION PHASE 2: PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF A 132KV POWER LINE FROM THE PROPOSED MOOKODI MTS TO THE EXISTING MAGOPELA SUBSTATION, NORTH WEST PROVINCE

# FINAL BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

# Contents

#### Page

INTRO	DDUCTION1
1.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION1
2.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE RECEIVING ENVIRONMENT
3.	EXPERTISE OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER
4.	AUTHORITY CONSULTATION
5.	BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT STRUCTURE
6.	ASSUMPTIONS
SECT	ION A: ACTIVITY INFORMATION
1.	PROJECT DESCRIPTION8
2.	FEASIBLE AND REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES15
3.	PHYSICAL SIZE OF THE ACTIVITY18
4.	SITE ACCESS
5.	LOCALITY MAP19
6.	LAYOUT/ROUTE PLAN
7.	SENSITIVITY MAP20
8.	SITE PHOTOGRAPHS
9.	FACILITY ILLUSTRATION
10.	ACTIVITY MOTIVATION22
11.	APPLICABLE LEGISLATION, POLICIES AND/OR GUIDELINES27
12.	WASTE, EFFLUENT, EMISSION AND NOISE MANAGEMENT29
13.	WATER USE
14.	ENERGY EFFICIENCY
SECT	ION B: SITE/AREA/PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

1. GRADIENT OF THE SITE
2. LOCATION IN LANDSCAPE
3. GROUNDWATER, SOIL AND GEOLOGICAL STABILITY OF THE SITE
4. GROUNDCOVER
5. SURFACE WATER
6. LAND USE CHARACTER OF SURROUNDING AREA
7. CULTURAL/HISTORICAL FEATURES41
8. SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTER42
9. BIODIVERSITY
SECTION C: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
1. ADVERTISEMENT AND NOTICE
2. DETERMINATION OF APPROPRIATE MEASURES50
3. ISSUES RAISED BY INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES
4. COMMENTS AND RESPONSE REPORT
5. AUTHORITY PARTICIPATION
6. CONSULTATION WITH OTHER STAKEHOLDERS59
SECTION D: IMPACT ASSESSMENT 60
1. IMPACTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE PLANNING AND DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, OPERATIONAL, DECOMMISSIONING AND CLOSURE PHASES AS WELL AS PROPOSED MANAGEMENT OF IDENTIFIED IMPACTS AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES
2. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT70
SECTION E. RECOMMENDATION OF PRACTITIONER LXXVII
SECTION F: APPENDIXESLXXX
REFERENCESLXXXI

# List of Tables

Table 1: Environmental Consultants	
------------------------------------	--

# List of Figures

Figure 1: Tower Type	2
Figure 2: Route Overview Map	3
Figure 3: Composite Sensitivity Overlay Map	21
Figure 4: Slope Classification Map	36
Figure 5: Topography Map	36
Figure 6: Land Use Map	40
Figure 7: Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) Map	44

Appendix A: Site Plan(s) **Appendix B: Photographs** Appendix C: Facility Illustration(s) **Appendix D: Specialist Reports** Appendix D1: Biodiversity Impact Assessment Appendix D2: Surface Water Impact Assessment Appendix D3: Agricultural Potential and Soils Assessment Appendix D4: Heritage Impact Assessment Appendix D5: Visual Impact Assessment Appendix D6: Social Impact Assessment Appendix D7: Geotechnical Impact Assessment Appendix D8: Geohydrology Impact Assessment Appendix D9: Specialist Terms of Reference (ToR) **Appendix E: Public Participation Report** Appendix E1: Proof of Advertisements and Site Notices Appendix E2: Proof of Written Notification to Stakeholders Appendix E3: Comments and Response Report Appendix E4: Proof of Written Notification to Authorities and Organs of State Appendix E5: I&APs Database Appendix E6: Correspondence and Meeting Minutes **Appendix F: Impact Assessment** Appendix G: Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) Appendix H: Details of the EAP and Expertise **Appendix I: Specialist Declaration of Interest Appendix J: Other Information** Appendix J1: Competent Authority Consultation Appendix J2: A3 Maps Appendix J3: Coordinate Spreadsheets Appendix J4: Property Description Spreadsheet Appendix J5: Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Report Appendix J6: Photographs of Typical Construction Activities

#### **Glossary of Terms**

**Biodiversity:** The variety of life in an area, including the number of different species, the genetic wealth within each species, and the natural areas where they are found.

**Basic Assessment:** The process of collecting, organising, analysing, interpreting and communicating information that is relevant to the consideration of the application.

**Environmental Management Programme:** A legally binding working document, which stipulates environmental and socio-economic mitigation measures that must be implemented by several

### List of Abbreviations

ATNS	Air Traffic Navigation Services
BA	Basic Assessment
BAR	Basic Assessment Report
C&RR	Comments and Response Report
DAFF	Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
DWA	Department of Water Affairs
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMF	Electric and Magnetic Fields
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme
EWT	Endangered Wildlife Trust
GIS	Geographic Information System
GN	Government Notice
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
I&AP	Interested and Affected Party
IDP	Integrated Development Plan
kV	Kilovolt
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No.107 of 1998)
NEMBA	National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act, 2004 (Act No. 10 of 2004)
NFA	National Forests Act, 1998 (Act No. 84 of 1998)
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999)
NWA	National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)
PPP	Public Participation Process
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute
SANRAL	South African National Roads Agency SOC Limited
SDF	Spatial Development Framework
SG	Surveyor General
SKA	Square Kilometre Array
SOC	State Owned Company

# MOOKODI INTEGRATION PHASE 2: PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF A 132KV POWER LINE FROM THE PROPOSED MOOKODI MTS TO THE EXISTING MAGOPELA SUBSTATION, NORTH WEST PROVINCE

# FINAL BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

#### INTRODUCTION

Eskom Holdings SOC Limited (hereafter referred to as Eskom) intends to develop a 132kV power line from the proposed Mookodi Main Transmission Substation (MTS) to the existing Magopela Substation. SiVEST Environmental Division has been appointed as independent Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) by Eskom to undertake a Basic Assessment (BA) for the proposed project.

Eskom, being responsible for the provision of reliable and affordable power to consumers in South Africa, has initiated the proposed project in order to improve the reliability of the network and create capacity for new customers in the greater Vryburg area. This project is the second phase of the Mookodi Integration project, which is being proposed to integrate the new Mookodi Main Transmission Substation (MTS), due for construction, south of Vryburg, into the network. The network in the area is currently unstable, therefore the proposed development will help regulate and strengthen the network, should the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) grant an Environmental Authorisation (EA). In addition, there is mining potential in the area north of Vryburg and the proposed project would help supply electricity to these areas.

#### 1. **Project Description**

The proposed project consists of the following main activities:

- Construction of a 132kV Power Line from the proposed Mookodi MTS to the existing Magopela Substation.
- Upgrade Magopela Substation to accommodate the additional incoming line.
- Construction of an access track along the power line servitude.
- Construction of associated infrastructure required by Eskom.

The power line will consist of a series of towers located approximately 200m apart. It is proposed that the steel monopole tower type (e.g. ESKOM, D-DT 7649), that is bird-friendly, would be used for the proposed power line in combination with other towers (e.g. guyed steel lattice tower types) at bend points and where greater distances need to be spanned. The steel monopole tower type is between

18 and 25m in height and each tower will have a footprint of between 0.8m<sup>2</sup> and 2.2m<sup>2</sup> (without stays). The exact location of the towers will be determined during the final stages of the power line. A photograph of the steel monopole tower type is indicated below.



#### Figure 1: Tower Type

Five route corridor alternatives that are approximately 1km wide will be assessed during the Basic Assessment for the proposed development. These are as follows:

- Corridor Route 1 approximately 60 km (pink)
- Corridor Route 2 approximately 63 km (purple)
- Corridor Route 3 approximately 53 km (green)
- Corridor Route 4 approximately 54 km (blue)
- Corridor Route 5 approximately 69 km (blue/green)

It should be noted that a 1km wide corridor has been proposed for each route alternative to allow flexibility when determining the final route alignment, however only a 31m wide servitude would be required for each proposed 132kV power line. As such, the 31m wide servitude would be positioned within the 1km wide corridor.

 ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED
 prepared by: SiVEST

 Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line
 Revision No. 1

 18 March 2013
 Page 2

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013

 AG\_reduced.docx

#### 2. Brief Description of the Receiving Environment

The study area is located in the North West Province near the town of Vryburg. The proposed power lines transverses two municipal areas; Greater Taung and Naledi municipality. The landscape throughout the survey area is generally homogenous partly due to the relatively limited spatial extent of the proposed activities. All the proposed route corridor alternatives runs between the southern outskirts of Vryburg (at Mookodi Substation), southwards toward the Magopela Substation generally running in conjunction with the N18 roadway. There is a pipeline development being constructed along the length of the roadway, which has already impacted and transformed the landscape and associated vegetation.



#### Figure 2: Route Overview Map

The survey area falls within the Savanna biome and the Eastern Kalahari Bushveld bioregion. The savannah biome is characterised by a mix of grassy and wooded vegetation, with varying densities of tree / shrub and open grass cover. The proposed lines traverse vegetation types; Kimberly Thornveld and Ghaap Plateau Vaalbosveld, which is characterised by a well-developed shrub and an open tree layer. The dominant land use in the study area is characterised by natural unimproved vegetation, which is used as grazing land for game, cattle, sheep and goats. Intensive cultivation limited to a small area to the west of the N18 near Pudimoe. The dominant built-up areas in the study area include the town of Vryburg and the small town of Taung in the southern reaches of the study area. In

addition a number of formal, semi-formal, informal communities and 'breaking new ground' (BNG) housing developments are scattered throughout the study area. These include Huhudi just south of Vryburg as well as Moretele, Dry Harts, Choseng, Pudimoe, Mogopela and Mokgareng in the southern parts of the study area.

#### 3. Expertise of Environmental Assessment Practitioner

SPECIALIST STUDY / PROJECT	SPECIALIST UNDERTAKING THE STUDY /	
ROLE	CONSULTANT	
Project Leader	Rebecca Thomas SiVEST	
Environmental Consultant	Andrea Gibb SiVEST	
Junior Environmental Consultant	Daniela Venzo SiVEST	
Biodiversity (Flora, Fauna and	Matthew Ross – EnviRoss CC	
Avifauna)		
Surface Water	Shaun Taylor – SiVEST	
Agriculture and Soils	Kurt Barichievy – SiVEST	
Geotechnical	Cecilia Canahai – Jeffares & Green	
Geohydrology	Yasmin Raikumar – Jeffares & Green	
Visual Impact	Andrea Gibb – SiVEST	
Heritage	Johnny van Schalkwyk	
Social	Kim Moonsamy – Royal Haskoning DHV	
Public Participation	Nicolene Venter – Imaginative Africa	
GIS and Mapping	Kerry Schwartz – SiVEST	

Table 1: Environmental Consultants

Please refer to attached CV's for more information (See Appendix H).

#### 4. Authority Consultation

The Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) is the competent authority on this application. The following consultation took place with the DEA:

- An application was submitted to the DEA on 17 August 2012. The application was acknowledged on 31 August 2012 and the following reference numbers were allocated for the project.
  - o DEA Ref No: 14/12/16/3/3/1/678
  - NEAS Ref No: DEA/EIA/0001400/2012
- The DBAR was submitted to the DEA in December 2012 and was received by the DEA on 04 January 2013.
- An authority site visit was undertaken on the 7<sup>th</sup> of February 2013.

All authority consultation is included within Appendix J1.

#### 5. Basic Assessment Report Structure

This Final Basic Assessment Report (FBAR) is structured as follows:

- Section A describes the activity and technical project components, including the proposed alternatives, location and physical size of the activity. This section also provides an activity motivation by describing the need and desirability for the proposed project. Section A expands on the legal ramifications applicable to the project and describes relevant development strategies and guidelines. Finally the section explains the infrastructural requirements of the proposed project such as waste, effluent, emission water use and energy efficiency.
- Section B provides a description of the site and region in which the proposed development is intended to be located. Although the chapter provides a broad overview of the region, it is also specific to the application.
- Section C describes the Public Participation Process (PPP) undertaken during the Basic Assessment and tables issues and concerns raised by Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs).
- Section D provides a summary and anticipated significance of the potential direct, indirect
  and cumulative impacts that are likely to occur as a result of the planning and design phase,
  construction phase, operational phase, decommissioning and closure phase of the proposed
  project. It also details the mitigation measures that may eliminate or reduce the potential
  impacts listed.
- Section E outlines the recommendations of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP).

#### 6. Assumptions

The following assumptions and limitations have been taken into account when compiling this FBAR:

- It is assumed that all technical information provided by Eskom is technically acceptable and accurate.
- The scope of the study is limited to assessing the environmental impacts associated with the proposed development of a 132kV power line and infrastructure associated with these activities such as, upgrading to the substation.
- The project is still in the planning stages and therefore some of the specific details technical details are not available. Should these become available during the BA process, they will be included in the report before submission to the DEA.
- It is assumed that the information provided by the various specialists is unbiased and accurate.
- The following assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge were encountered by the various specialists:
  - The desktop component of the agricultural assessment was used to identify any major agricultural impacts relating to the proposed developments. Since the spatial

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

information used in portions of the report is of a reconnaissance nature, only broad/large scale climate, land use and soil details are provided.

- Due to the extensive nature of this integration project, detailed (i.e. hand auguring) soil classification was not possible and only general soil characteristics were noted during the agricultural potential and soil field verification.
- The presence of RDL and protected species and other pertinent ecological issues relating to the project were based on certain assumptions regarding the potential presence or absence of species. These assumptions were largely based on the professional judgment supported by similar field experience within similar areas.
- Mapping of ecological features for the surrounding areas for all alternatives is aimed at indicating the general features of the surrounding habitat units. It is not intended as an accurate account of the boundaries of each habitat unit and should not be construed as such. This is especially pertinent to any wetland habitat units.
- A full delineation and mapping of all surface water resources and wetlands in the wider area was not been undertaken.
- Due to the scale of the project, the limited budgetary and time restrictions of the study, an accurate in-field detailed delineation could not be undertaken. Rather, where surface water resources were identified from a desktop level, these were groundtruthed and the general characteristics (vegetation, soils, topography etc.) were noted. Delineation of all surface water resources was conducted at a desktop level and took the field verification information into account.
- Wetlands have been classified holistically from a small scale, desktop level taking field verification information into account. However, the characteristics of extensive linear surface water features may change characteristics along the length of the system at larger scales.
- The general condition (overgrazed and dry) of the veld made the identification of vegetation difficult in instances. Where possible, vegetation that could be identified at the time the in-field assessment was undertaken, were noted.
- The identification of visual receptors was based on a combination of desktop assessment as well as field-based observation. It should be noted that not all receptor locations would necessarily perceive the proposed development in a negative way. Due to the extensive area covered by the three proposed power lines that are being assessed during the Basic Assessment, not all receptor locations were visited during the fieldwork. As such, a number of broad assumptions were made in terms of the visual intrusion of the proposed power lines from each receptor location and the sensitivity of the receptor to the proposed development.
- Viewsheds have not been generated for the proposed power line due to the complexity associated with generating viewsheds off multiple points within the context of a corridor. In addition, detailed digital data was not available and the topography within the study area is relatively flat. Generating viewsheds from coarse-grained DTMs would only take the large scale topographical variations into account and not minor topographical features, vegetative screening, or man-made structures which are important factors influencing the severity of visual impacts in this context.

• Visualisation modelling has not been undertaken for the proposed development due to budget limitations.

# SECTION A: ACTIVITY INFORMATION

#### Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?

If YES, please complete the form entitled "Details of specialist and declaration of interest" for the specialist appointed and attach in Appendix I.

#### 1. Project Description

#### a) Describe the project associated with the listed activities applied for

The proposed project consists of the following main activities:

- Construction of a 132kV Power Line from the proposed Mookodi MTS to the existing Magopela Substation.
- Upgrade Magopela Substation to accommodate the additional incoming line.
- Construction of an access track along the power line servitude.
- Construction of associated infrastructure required by Eskom.

The power line will consist of a series of towers located approximately 200m apart. It is proposed that the steel monopole tower type (e.g. ESKOM, D-DT 7649), that is bird-friendly, would be used for the proposed power line in combination with other towers (e.g. guyed steel lattice tower types) at bend points and where greater distances need to be spanned. The steel monopole tower type is between 18 and 25m in height and each tower will have a footprint of between 0.8m<sup>2</sup> and 1.2m<sup>2</sup>. (without stays). The exact location of the towers will be determined during the final design stages of the power line. A diagram of the steel monopole tower type is included in Appendix C.

Five route corridor alternatives that are approximately 1km wide will be assessed during the Basic Assessment for the proposed development. These are as follows:

- Corridor Route 1 approximately 60 km (pink)
- Corridor Route 2 approximately 63 km (purple)
- Corridor Route 3 approximately 53 km (green)
- Corridor Route 4 approximately 54 km (blue)

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

Corridor Route 5 – approximately 69 km (blue/green)

It should be noted that a 1km wide corridor has been proposed for each route alternative to allow flexibility when determining the final route alignment, however only a 31m wide servitude would be required for each proposed 132kV power line. As such, the 31m wide servitude would be positioned within the 1km wide corridor.

# b) Provide a detailed description of the listed activities associated with the project as applied for

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Revision No. 1

prepared by: SiVEST

YES √

In terms of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations 2010, Government Notice (GN) No. R543 promulgated in terms of section 24(5) and section 44 of the National Environmental Management Act (No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA), as amended, the following listed activities pertain to the development.

Listed activity as described in GN R.544 and 546	Description of project activity
Example: GN R.544 Item 11(3): The construction of a bridge where such construction occurs within a watercourse or within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse, excluding where such construction will occur behind the development setback line.	A bridge measuring 5 m in height and 10m in length, no wider than 8 meters will be built over the Orange river
<ul> <li>GN R.544 Item 10 The construction of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity – <ul> <li>(i) Outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Eskom is proposing to develop 132 kilovolt power line, which is located outside of an urban area.
<ul> <li>GN R.544 Item 11 The construction of:</li> <li>(xi) infrastructure or structures covering 50 square metres or more</li> <li>where such construction occurs within a watercourse or within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse, excluding where such construction will occur behind the development setback line.</li> </ul>	Tower may need to be placed within 32 metres of a wetland/water course.
GN R.544 Item 13 The construction of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 but not exceeding 500 cubic metres.	Fuel and oil may be stored on site during construction.
GN R.544 Item 18 The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 5 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock	Construction activities may take place within a wetland / watercourse.

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 \JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

Page 9

from	
(i) a watercourse;	
<ul> <li>but excluding where such infilling, depositing, dredging, excavation, removal or moving</li> <li>(i) is for maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a management plan agreed to by the relevant environmental authority; or</li> <li>(ii) occurs behind the development setback line.</li> </ul>	
GN R.544 Item 22 The construction of a road,	Eskom is proposing to construct a new access
(i) with a reserve wider than 13.5 meters	road to serve and maintain the proposed power line.
or,	
(ii) where no reserve exists where the road	
is wider than 8 metres	
<ul> <li>GN R.544 Item 23 The transformation of undeveloped, vacant or derelict land to –</li> <li>(ii) residential, retail, commercial, recreational, industrial or institutional use, outside an urban area and where the total area to be transformed is bigger than 1 hectare but less than 20 hectares; -</li> <li>except where such transformation takes place for linear activities</li> </ul>	The proposed development may transform undeveloped, vacant or derelict land.
GN R.544 Item 24 The transformation of land	The cumulative area of the land that will
bigger than 1000 square metres in size , to residential, retail , commercial, industrial or institutional use, where, at the time of the coming into effect of this schedule such lad was zoned open space, conservation or had an equivalent zoning.	transformed, due to the proposed tower structures will be greater than 1000 square metres in size- some of which may be zoned open space, conservation or have an equivalent zoning.
GN R.546 Item 4 The construction of a road	Eskom is proposing to construct a new access
wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than	road to serve and maintain the proposed power
c) In North West :	North West outside an urban area.
i. Outside urban areas, in:	

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

(aa)	A protected area identified in	
	terms of NEMPAA, excluding	
	conservancies;	
(bb)	National Protected Area	
	Expansion Strategy Focus	
	areas;	
(cc)	Sensitive areas as identified in	
	an environmental management	
	framework as contemplated in	
	chapter 5 of the Act and as	
	adopted by the competent	
	authority;	
(dd)	Sites or areas identified in	
	terms of an International	
	Convention;	
(ee)	Critical biodiversity areas	
	(Terrestrial Type 1 and 2 and	
	Aquatic Type 1) as identified in	
	systematic biodiversity plans	
	adopted by the competent	
	authority or in bioregional	
	plans;	
(ff)	Core areas in biosphere	
	reserves;	
(gg)	Areas within 10 kilometres from	
	national parks or world heritage	
	sites or 5 kilometres from any	
	other protected area identified	
	in terms of NEMPAA or from a	
	biosphere reserve.	
GN R.546 Item	16 The construction of:	Towers need to be placed within 32 metres of a
(iv) infras	tructure covering 10 square	wetland/ watercourse.
metre	s or more	
where such	construction occurs within a	
watercourse	or within 32 metres of a	
watercourse, r	measured from the edge of a	
watercourse, e	xcluding where such construction	
will occur behin	nd the development setback line.	
(c) In Noi	rth West:	
i. Outside	e urban areas, in:	
(aa)	A protected area identified in	
	terms of NEMPAA, excluding	

	conservancies;	
(bb)	National Protected Area	
	Expansion Strategy Focus	
	areas;	
(cc)	World Heritage Sites;	
(dd)	Sensitive areas as identified in	
	an environmental management	
	framework as contemplated in	
	chapter 5 of the Act and as	
	adopted by the competent	
	authority;	
(ee)	Sites or areas identified in	
	terms of an International	
	Convention;	
(ff)	Critical biodiversity areas or	
	ecosystem service areas as	
	identified in systematic	
	biodiversity plans adopted by	
	the competent authority or in	
	bioregional plans;	
(gg)	Core areas in biosphere	
	reserves;	
Areas within	10 kilometres from national parks	
or world herita	age sites or 5 kilometres from any	
other protect	ed area identified in terms of	
NEMPAA or f	rom the core areas of a biosphere	
reserve.		
GN R.546 Ite	m 23 The expansion of facilities or	Existing infrastructure required for the storage of
infrastructure	for the storage, or storage and	fuel and oil may need to be expanded during the
handling of	a dangerous good, where such	construction phase.
storage facilit	ies will be expanded by 30 cubic	
metres or mor	e but less than 80 cubic metres.	
(c) In No	rth West :	
i. Outsi	de urban areas, in:	
(aa)	A protected area identified in	
	terms of NEMPAA, excluding	
(1.1.)	conservancies;	
(dd)	National Protected Area	
	Expansion Strategy Focus	
	aitas, Consitivo aroas as identified in	
(00)	an environmental management	
	framework as contemplated in	
	chapter 5 of the Act and as	
	chapter 5 of the Act and as	

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

		adopted by the competent	
authority;			
	(dd)	d) Sites or areas identified in	
		terms of an International	
		Convention;	
	(ee)	Critical biodiversity areas	
	<b>、</b> ,	(Terrestrial Type 1 and 2 and	
		Aquatic Type 1) as identified in	
	systematic biodiversity plans		
	adopted by the competent		
adopted by the competent			
	(11)	pians;	
	(#)	Core areas in biosphere	
		reserves;	
	(gg)	Areas within 10 kilometres from	
		national parks or world heritage	
		sites or 5 kilometres from any	
		other protected area identified	
in terms of NEMPAA or from			
		the core area of a biosphere	
		reserve;	
	(hh) Areas on the watercourse side		
	( )	of the development setback line	
		or within 100 metres from the	
edge of a watercourse where			
		no such setback line has been	
		dotormined	
	In Nor		
н.	Outsid	le urban areas, in:	
	(11)	A protected area identified in	
		terms of NEMPAA, excluding	
		conservancies;	
	(jj)	National Protected Area	
		Expansion Strategy Focus	
		areas;	
	(kk)	Sensitive areas as identified in	
		an environmental management	
		framework as contemplated in	
		chapter 5 of the Act and as	
		adopted by the competent	
		authority:	
	(11)	Sites or areas identified in	
	(1)	terms of an International	
		Convention,	

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

(mm)	Critical biodiversity areas	
	(Terrestrial Type 1 and 2 and	
	Aquatic Type 1) as identified in	
	systematic biodiversity plans	
	adopted by the competent	
	authority or in bioregional	
	plans;	
(nn)	Core areas in biosphere	
	reserves;	
(00)	Areas within 10 kilometres from	
	national parks or world heritage	
	sites or 5 kilometres from any	
	other protected area identified	
	in terms of NEMPAA or from	
	the core area of a biosphere	
	reserve;	
Areas on th	ne watercourse side of the	
development s	etback line or within 100 metres	
from the edge	of a watercourse where no such	
setback line ha	s been determined.	
GN R.546 Item	24 The expansion of	The existing infrastructure to be expanded may
(d) infrastr	ucture where the infrastructure	need to be located within 32 metres of a wetland
will be	expanded by 10 square metres	/ watercourse.
or mor	е	
where such	construction occurs within a	
watercourse	or within 32 metres of a	
watercourse,	measured from the edge of a	
watercourse, e	xcluding where such construction	
will occur behir	nd the development setback line.	
(c) In Nor	th West :	
i. Outsid	e urban areas, in:	
(aa)	A protected area identified in	
	terms of NEMPAA, excluding	
(1.1.)	conservancies;	
(dd)	National Protected Area	
	Expansion Strategy Focus	
	areas;	
(CC)	Sensitive areas as identified in	
	an environmental management	
	tramework as contemplated in	
	chapter 5 of the Act and as	
	adopted by the competent	
( ا ا ا ا	autionity,	
(aa)	Siles of areas identified in terms	

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013

	of an International Convention;
(ee)	Critical biodiversity areas
	(Terrestrial Type 1 and 2 and
	Aquatic Type 1) as identified in
	systematic biodiversity plans
	adopted by the competent
	authority or in bioregional plans;
(ff)	Core areas in biosphere
	reserves;
(gg)	Areas within 10 kilometres from
	national parks or world heritage
	sites or 5 kilometres from any
	other protected area identified in
	terms of NEMPAA or from the
	core area of a biosphere
	reserve;
(hh)	Areas on the watercourse side
	of the development setback line
	or within 100 metres from the
	edge of a watercourse where no
	such setback line has been
	determined.

#### 2. Feasible and reasonable alternatives

"alternatives", in relation to a proposed activity, means different means of meeting the general purpose and requirements of the activity, which may include alternatives to—

- (a) the property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;
- (b) the type of activity to be undertaken;
- (c) the design or layout of the activity;
- (d) the technology to be used in the activity;
- (e) the operational aspects of the activity; and
- (f) the option of not implementing the activity.

Describe alternatives that are considered in this application as required by Regulation 22(2)(h) of GN R.543. Alternatives should include a consideration of all possible means by which the purpose and need of the proposed activity (NOT PROJECT) could be accomplished in the specific instance taking account of the interest of the applicant in the activity. The no-go alternative must in all cases be included in the assessment phase as the baseline against which the impacts of the other alternatives are assessed.

The determination of whether site or activity (including different processes, etc.) or both is appropriate needs to be informed by the specific circumstances of the activity and its environment. After receipt of ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED prepared by: SiVEST

this report the, competent authority may also request the applicant to assess additional alternatives that could possibly accomplish the purpose and need of the proposed activity if it is clear that realistic alternatives have not been considered to a reasonable extent.

The identification of alternatives should be in line with the Integrated Environmental Assessment Guideline Series 11, published by the DEA in 2004. Should the alternatives include different locations and lay-outs, the co-ordinates of the different alternatives must be provided. The co-ordinates should be in degrees, minutes and seconds. The projection that must be used in all cases is the WGS84 spheroid in a national or local projection.

#### a) Site alternatives

Alternative 1		
Description	Lat (DDMMSS)	Long (DDMMSS)
Alternative 2		
Description	Lat (DDMMSS)	Long (DDMMSS)
Alternative 3 (preferred)		
Description	Lat (DDMMSS)	Long (DDMMSS)
Alternative 4	1	1
Description	Lat (DDMMSS)	Long (DDMMSS)
Alternative 5		
Description	Lat (DDMMSS)	Long (DDMMSS)

In the case of linear activities:

Alternative:	Latitude (S):	Longitude (E):	
Alternative 4 (preferred alternative)			
Starting point of the activity	27° 0.574'	24° 44.751'	
(Mookodi Substation Site)			
Middle/Additional point of the activity	27° 14.642'	24° 44.335'	

# ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED prepared by: SiVEST Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 Page 16 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

End point of the activity (Magopela Substation)	27° 28.659'	24° 43.580'
Alternative 1		
Starting point of the activity	27° 0.574'	24° 44.751'
(Mookoul Substation Site)		048 40 0071
Middle/Additional point of the activity	27 15.773	24° 43.387
End point of the activity	27° 28.659'	24° 43.580'
(Magopela Substation)		
Alternative 2		
Starting point of the activity	27° 0.574'	24° 44.751'
(Mookodi Substation Site)		
Middle/Additional point of the activity	27° 14.985'	24° 42.469'
End point of the activity	27° 28.659'	24° 43.580'
(Magopela Substation)		
Alternative 3		
Starting point of the activity	27° 0.574'	24° 44.751'
(Mookodi Substation Site)		
Middle/Additional point of the activity	27° 14.921'	24° 45.076'
End point of the activity	27° 28.659'	24° 43.580'
(Magopela Substation)		
Alternative 5		
Starting point of the activity	27° 0.574'	24° 44.751'
(Mookodi Substation Site)		
Middle/Additional point of the activity	27° 14.337'	24° 41.060'
End point of the activity	27° 28.659'	24° 43.580'
(Magopela Substation)		

For route alternatives that are longer than 500m, please provide an addendum with co-ordinates taken every 250 meters along the route for each alternative alignment.

In the case of an area being under application, please provide the co-ordinates of the corners of the site as indicated on the lay-out map provided in Appendix A.

Please refer to Appendix J3 for the coordinates of the power line corridor alternatives taken every 250 meters along each alignment.

#### e) No-go alternative

The	"no-go"	alternative	assumes	that	the	proposed	activity	does	not	go-ahead,	implying	а
-----	---------	-------------	---------	------	-----	----------	----------	------	-----	-----------	----------	---

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 Page 17 \JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

continuation of the current situation or the status quo. In the case of this project, the no go alternative would result in no 132kV power line being constructed.

Paragraphs 3 – 13 below should be completed for each alternative.

#### 3. Physical size of the activity

a) Indicate the physical size of the preferred activity/technology as well as alternative activities/technologies (footprints):

#### Alternative:

Alternative A1<sup>1</sup> (preferred activity alternative) Alternative A2 (if any) Alternative A3 (if any) Alternative A4 (if any) Alternative A5 (if any)

"Alternative A." refers to activity, process, technology or other alternatives.

or, for linear activities:

#### Alternative:

Alternative 4 (preferred alternative) Alternative 1 Alternative 2 Alternative 3 Alternative 5

#### Size of the activity:

$m^2$		
m <sup>2</sup>		
m <sup>2</sup>		
m <sup>2</sup>		
$m^2$		

#### Length of the activity:

54 180 m
60 460 m
62 700 m
52 840 m
69 290 m

b) Indicate the size of the alternative sites or servitudes (within which the above footprints will occur):

Alternative:	Size of the
	site/servitude:
Alternative 4 (preferred alternative)	31 m <sup>2</sup>
Alternative 1	31 m <sup>2</sup>
Alternative 2	31 m <sup>2</sup>
Alternative 3	31 m <sup>2</sup>
Alternative 5	31 m <sup>2</sup>
Alternative 4 (preferred alternative) Alternative 1 Alternative 2 Alternative 3 Alternative 5	$31 \text{ m}^2$

#### 4. Site Access

Does ready access to the site exist?

If NO, what is the distance over which a new access road will be built

NO J Unknown

Describe the type of access road planned:

Existing access roads will be used to access the servitude where possible, otherwise access roads will be typically 4-5m in width, gravel wearing course (G5 or better) with kerbing.

Include the position of the access road on the site plan and required map, as well as an indication of the road in relation to the site.

#### 5. Locality map

An A3 locality map must be attached to the back of this document, as Appendix A. The scale of the locality map must be relevant to the size of the development (at least 1:50 000. For linear activities of more than 25 kilometres, a smaller scale e.g. 1:250 000 can be used. The scale must be indicated on the map.). The map must indicate the following:

- an accurate indication of the project site position as well as the positions of the alternative sites, if any;
- indication of all the alternatives identified;
- closest town(s;)
- road access from all major roads in the area;
- road names or numbers of all major roads as well as the roads that provide access to the site(s);
- all roads within a 1km radius of the site or alternative sites; and
- a north arrow;
- a legend; and
- Iocality GPS co-ordinates (Indicate the position of the activity using the latitude and longitude of the centre point of the site for each alternative site. The co-ordinates should be in degrees and decimal minutes. The minutes should have at least three decimals to ensure adequate accuracy. The projection that must be used in all cases is the WGS84 spheroid in a national or local projection).

#### An A3 locality map is included in Appendix A.

#### 6. Layout/route plan

A detailed site or route plan(s) must be prepared for each alternative site or alternative activity. It must be attached as Appendix A to this document.
The site or route plans must indicate the following:

- the property boundaries and numbers of all the properties within 50 metres of the site;
- the current land use as well as the land use zoning of the site;
- the current land use as well as the land use zoning each of the properties adjoining the site or sites;
- the exact position of each listed activity applied for (including alternatives);
- servitude(s) indicating the purpose of the servitude;
- a legend; and
- a north arrow.

A layout/route plan indicating the alternative route corridor alternatives is included in Appendix A.

#### 7. Sensitivity map

The layout/route plan as indicated above must be overlain with a sensitivity map that indicates all the sensitive areas associated with the site, including, but not limited to:

watercourses;

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

- the 1:100 year flood line (where available or where it is required by DWA);
- ridges;
- cultural and historical features;
- areas with indigenous vegetation (even if it is degraded or infested with alien species); and
- critical biodiversity areas.

The sensitivity map must also cover areas within 100m of the site and must be attached in Appendix A.



#### Figure 3: Composite Sensitivity Overlay Map

The sensitivity map indicating sensitive areas associated with the route alternatives is included in Appendix A.

#### 8. Site photographs

Colour photographs from the centre of the site must be taken in at least the eight major compass directions with a description of each photograph. Photographs must be attached under Appendix B to this report. It must be supplemented with additional photographs of relevant features on the site, if applicable.

Site Photographs taken along the (5) proposed alternative route corridors for the 132kV power line are included in Appendix B. Key features of the site are depicted in the site photographs.

#### 9. Facility illustration

A detailed illustration of the activity must be provided at a scale of at least 1:200 as Appendix C for activities that include structures. The illustrations must be to scale and must represent a realistic image of the planned activity. The illustration must give a representative view of the activity.

A schematic drawing of the proposed steel monopole tower type is included in Appendix C.

#### 10. Activity motivation

Motivate and explain the need and desirability of the activity (including demand for the activity):

A la the estimite normalited in terms of the mean adult		Disess			
1. Is the activity permitted in terms of the property's	YES J	Please			
existing land use rights?	• ·	explain			
The project in question is for the proposed construction of a 132 kV po	wer line, w	hich will consist of			
servitude within the properties it will be traversing. A change in land us	e will not b	e required and the			
servitude will be considered as special use within the existing land use.					
2. Will the activity be in line with the following?					
(a) Provincial Spatial Development Framework (PSDF)	VES./	Please			
	ILSV	explain			
The proposed project falls within the North West Province. The	e main air	m of the Spatial			
Development Framework (SDF) for the North West Province is to imp	rove the qu	uality of life for the			
population, particularly the disadvantaged poor within the North West	Province.	The SDF is one of			
the fundamental implementation instruments, which provides the spat	tial dimens	ions for achieving			
the strategies of the province. One such, strategy includes the recently	adopted te	n-year growth and			
development goal, which seeks to fight poverty and unemployment by	promoting	economic growth			
(SDF North West Province, 2005). In this way, the proposed deve	lopment is	aligned with the			
provincial SDF as it would promote economic growth by improving the	network ir	n the Vryburg area			
and supplying electricity to new customers, including the mining industr	у.				
(b) Urban adda / Edda of Built anvironment for the area	N	Please			
(b) Orban edge / Edge of Built environment for the area	N	explain			
Majority of the proposed development would fall outside the urban e	dge. Altho	ugh the proposed			
development does not entirely fir the surrounding area, majority of the proposed corridors follow					
existing power lines and a secondary road (R34) which transverses the	surroundir	ng area.			
(c) Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and Spatial					
Development Framework (SDF) of the Local Municipality		Please			
(e.g. would the approval of this application compromise	YES √	evolain			
the integrity of the existing approved and credible		елріант			
municipal IDP and SDF?).					

The proposed development is situated partly within the Naledi and Greater Taung Local Municipality which falls under the jurisdiction of Dr. Ruth S. Mompati District Municipality. The Integrated development plan (IDPs) and Spatial development framework (SDF) for the Naledi Local Municipality have identified electricity as a service delivery need and prioritises the provision of universal access to this service (North West Provincial Spatial Development Framework 2008). The Naledi Local Municipality delivery targets set in 2011 to provide sufficient electricity at the highest affordable level to all communities, to maintain a good quality standard in all electricity related infrastructure and services, and to provide free basic electricity to poor households within Naledi' (Naledi Local Municipality Final IDP & Budget, 2011/2012). In this way the proposed development is aligned with the municipal objectives and priorities for service delivery and infrastructural development in the area.

(d) Approved Structure Plan of the Municipality		Please
(u) Approved Structure Fian of the Municipality		explain
The proposed development is for service infrastructure and therefore	will not have ar	ny bearing on
the Municipalities' Structure Plans.		

(e) An Environmental Management Framework (EMF) adopted by the Department (e.g. Would the approval of this application compromise the integrity of the existing environmental management priorities for the area and if so, can it be justified in terms of sustainability considerations?)

The proposed development would not compromise the integrity of the environmental management priorities for the area. No environmental fatal flaws were identified and it was established that the impacts can be suitably mitigated. In addition, the development would result in socio-economic benefits for the area at large.

#### (f) Any other Plans (e.g. Guide Plan)

explain

Please

YES √

YES √

Please

explain

The proposed development is aligned with Eskom's Integrated Strategic Electricity Planning (ISEP) process, which is intended to provide strategic projections of supply-side and demand-side options to be implemented in order to meet long-term load forecasts. It provides the framework for Eskom to investigate a wide range of new supply-side and demand-side technologies with a view to optimising investments and returns.

3. Is the land use (associated with the activity being applied for) considered within the timeframe intended by the existing approved SDF agreed to by the relevant environmental authority (i.e. is the proposed development in line with the projects and programmes identified as priorities within the credible IDP)? Please

As mentioned above, the Integrated Develop development plan (IDPs) and Spatial development framework (SDF) for the Naledi Local Municipality have identified electricity as a service delivery need and prioritises the provision of universal access to this service (North West Provincial Spatial Development Framework, 2008). The Naledi Local Municipality delivery targets were set in 2011 is to provide sufficient electricity at the highest affordable level to all communities, to maintain a good quality standard in all electricity related infrastructure and services and to provide free basic electricity to poor households within Naledi' (Naledi Local Municipality Final IDP & Budget, 2011/2012). In this way the proposed development is aligned with the priority projects and programmes identified within the IDPs for the local and district municipalities.

4. Does the community/area need the activity and the associated land use concerned (is it a societal priority)? (This refers to the strategic as well as local level (e.g. development is a national priority, but within a specific local context it could be inappropriate.)



Please explain

The proposed development could improve the lives of the local communities by assisting the Local Government in providing electricity to them. Local employment benefit would result during the construction of the power line. In addition education levels are extremely low within the surrounding area. The development would act as catalysed promoting economic growth, thus providing future opportunities for the surrounding communities by improving education and helping reverse urbanization.

5. Are the necessary services with adequate capacity currently available (at the time of application), or must additional capacity be created to cater for the development? (Confirmation by the relevant Municipality in this regard must be attached to the final Basic Assessment Report as Appendix I.)

YES J PI ex

Please explain

Past experience from similar electricity project in the area have indicated that the necessary services and adequate capacity are available. During the construction phase workers will either be accommodated at a construction camp, housed within the town or take lodging with local community members. Normally a base camp is set up and workers are dispersed from there, however as the line construction proceeds, a mobile camp is also provided for. Water will be sourced locally from the municipality. All relevant local and district municipalities have been provided with the opportunity to comment on the proposed development as well as the DBAR.

6. Is this development provided for in the infrastructure planning of the municipality, and if not what will the implication be on the infrastructure planning of the municipality (priority and placement of services and opportunity costs)? (Comment by the relevant Municipality in this regard must be attached to the final Basic Assessment Report as Appendix I.)

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line



The development will contribute to the service infrastructure of the municipality. All relevant local and district municipalities have been provided with the opportunity to comment on the proposed development as well as the DBAR.

7. Is this project part of a national programme to address		Please
an issue of national concern or importance?	YES √	explain
Stable electricity provision in South Africa is a critical issue. It	is impossible t	o create an
economically sound country without a secure and reliable energy sour	ce. As mentione	ed above, the
network in the area is currently unstable, therefore the proposed develo	opment will help	regulate and
improve the reliability of the network, thereby creating capacity for n	ew customers i	n the greater
Vryburg area. In addition, there is mining potential in the area north	of Vryburg and	the proposed
project would help supply electricity to these areas.	, ,	
8. Do location factors favour this land use (associated with		
the activity applied for) at this place? (This relates to the		Please
contextualisation of the proposed land use on this site	YES V	explain
within its broader context.)		-
Although the proposed development does not entirely fit the surrou	unding area, m	ajority of the
proposed corridors follow existing power lines and a national highwa	ay (N18) which	traverses the
surrounding area.		
9. Is the development the best practicable environmental	NEO /	Please
option for this land/site?	YES V	explain
The proposed development is a suitable development and will co	onform to the	typical visual
character and pattern of elements that make up the landscape form.		
10. Will the benefits of the proposed land use/development		Please
outweigh the negative impacts of it?	YES J	explain
The absence of the proposed 132kV power line would mean that the	ne power supply	y in the area
would not be improved. This will have negative implications on ne	w customers ir	the greater
Vryburg area and would hinder the potential for mining in the area ne	orth of Vryburg,	which will in
turn have a negative impact on economic growth. Although the impa	cts identified, s	uch as visual
and biodiversity impacts, would not occur if the project did not go ahea	d, the socio eco	nomic benefit
of the proposed project are considered to outweigh the negative impact	ts thereof,	
11. Will the proposed land use/development set a precedent		Please
for similar activities in the area (local municipality)?	NO √	explain
Infrastructure for service provision, as proposed, would not set a pred	cedent for simila	r activities in
the area at large. Should additional power lines be required in the	area in the futu	ire it may be
beneficial to align them parallel in order to consolidate the impacts.		
12. Will any person's rights be negatively affected by the		Please
proposed activity/ies?	YES V	explain
The proposed development will impact on individuals where a propo	sed tower struc	cture is to be
constructed on the land on which they are residing. The preferred u	se of the farmla	and, which is
usually recreation or commercial, may be impacted upon in the futur	e as the electri	city servitude
area will need to be considered in all aspects of development plannir	ng for the farm.	For instance,
Eskom does not allow development within their servitude and no b	ouildings can be	e constructed
below a power line. The land is usually sold on a once-off purchase	e, as a result ch	ances of the
landowner re-obtaining the land is improbable.		
13. Will the proposed activity/ies compromise the "urban		Please
edge" as defined by the local municipality?	NOV	explain
Infrastructure for service provision, as proposed, would not alter the urb	ban edge.	
ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED	prepared by: S	ivest
NIOOKOUI Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132KV Power Line		

14. Will the proposed activity/ies contribute to any of the 17		Please
Strategic Integrated Projects (SIPS)?	YES√	explain

17 Strategic Integrated Projects (SIPs) have been identified based on a spatial analysis of the South Africa's needs. The proposed development would contribute to SIP number 4, which involves unlocking the economic opportunities in the North West Province. Amongst others, the project seeks to facilitate further mining development by promoting a reliable supply of transmission infrastructure (Provincial and Local Government conference: A Summary of the Infrastructure Plan, 2012). In this way the proposed development would contribute this project by improving the electricity supply in the North West and providing additional capacity for future mining in the province. The proposed development would also contribute to SIP number 10, which involves expanding the distribution network to address historical imbalances by providing access to electricity for all and supporting economic development (Provincial and Local Government conference: A Summary of the Infrastructure conference: A Summary of the Infrastructure Plan, 2012).

## 15. What will the benefits be to society in general and to the local Please communities?

The increased electricity supply may encourage residential and urban development in the area, which in turn may provide job opportunities for local communities. In addition, the proposed development could improve the lives of the local community by assisting the Local Government in providing electricity to them. The development may act as catalysed promoting economic growth in the area, which may result in future opportunities for the surrounding communities by improving education and helping reverse urbanization.

## 16. Any other need and desirability considerations related to the<br/>proposed activity?Please<br/>explain

As mentioned above the project is needed in order to improve the reliability of the electricity supply in the Vryburg area, to promote economic growth, to stabilise the electricity supply in the area and create capacity for new customers, such as new mines to the area north of Vryburg.

#### 17. How does the project fit into the National Development Plan for 2030?

Please explain

The National Development Plan sets out various goals in order to eliminate poverty and reduce inequality by 2030 (National Development Plan, 2011). It mentions the need to create 11 million more jobs and promote economic growth and development through the provision of quality, reliable and efficient energy services by 2030. The North West Provincial Government endorsed the vision of the National Development Plan and the need to prioritise infrastructure development as a way of avoiding the migration of people to big cities in an attempt to find better job opportunities (http://www.info.gov.za/speech/DynamicAction). In this way, the proposed power line project is aligned with the National Development Plan, as it will help promote economic growth by improving the reliability of the network and creating capacity for new customers in the area, which in turn could promote local job opportunities.

18. Please describe how the general objectives of Integrated Environmental Management as set out in section 23 of NEMA have been taken into account.

In terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA) the required BA and public participation process (PPP) was undertaken for the proposed power line in order to investigate and assess any potential environmental impacts associated with the development prior to implementation. As part of the BA process several specialist studies were conducted to evaluate the actual and potential impact that the proposed development could have on the biophysical environment, socio-economic conditions and cultural heritage within the study area. In line with the general objectives of Integrated Environmental Management, the risks and consequences of the various corridor alternatives were assessed and mitigation measures were recommended by each specialists in order to minimise the negative impacts and maximise the benefits of the proposed project. In addition, a thorough PPP was undertaken as part of the BA, which involved consultation with various key stakeholders and organs of state, including provincial, district and local authorities, relevant government departments, parastatals and NGO's.

## 19. Please describe how the principles of environmental management as set out in section 2 of NEMA have been taken into account.

The principles of environmental management as set out in section 2 of the NEMA require that environmental management must place people and their needs at the forefront of development and that development must be socially, environmentally and economically sustainable. As described above; these principles have been taken into account by undertaking a thorough PPP in order to ensure that all Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) are given the opportunity to be involved in the BA process and ultimately that their comments are taken into consideration by the DEA when reviewing the application. Several specialist studies were also undertaken to ensure that the development is sustainable and that disturbance to the environment is avoided were possible, minimised through appropriate mitigation measures and remedied via appropriate measures.

#### 11. Applicable legislation, policies and/or guidelines

List all legislation, policies and/or guidelines of any sphere of government that are applicable to the application as contemplated in the EIA regulations, if applicable:

Title of legislation, policy	Applicability to the project	Administering	Date
or guideline		authority	
Legislation			
National Environmental	In terms of the NEMA the	Department of	1998
Management Act, 1998 (Act	proposed development must	Environmental Affairs	
No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA)	be considered, investigated	(DEA)	
	and assessed prior to		
	implementation.		
National Heritage Resources	In terms of section 38 of the	South African Heritage	1999
Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of	NHRA, the responsible	Resources Authority	
1999)	heritage resources authority	(SAHRA)	
	can call for a Heritage Impact		
	Assessment (HIA) where a		
	power line is being proposed.		

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013

 18 March 2013
 Page 27

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902
 Mookodi
 2
 Basic
 Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi
 Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela
 Power
 line
 FBAR
 rev
 1\_18Mar2013

 AG\_reduced.docx
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

National Water Act, 1998	If the development may need	Department of Water	1998
(Act 36 of 1998)	to take place within a 500m	Affairs (DWA)	
	radius of a delineated wetland		
	a water use license is likely to		
	be required with regards to		
	water uses (c) and (i) of the		
	NWA.		
National Environmental	Under the NEMBA the project	Department of	2004
Management: Biodiversity	proponent is required to take	Environmental Affairs	
Act. 2004 (Act No. of 2004)	appropriate reasonable	(DEA) and South	
	measures to limit the impacts	African National	
	on biodiversity to obtain	Biodiversity Institute	
	permits if required and to	(SANBI)	
	invite SANBI to provide		
	commentary on any		
	documentation resulting from		
	the proposed development		
National Forests Act 1998	The proposed development.	Department of	1008
(Act $94$ of $1009$ ) (NEA)	result in the disturbance or	Agriculturo Ecrostry	1990
(ACI 64 01 1996) (INFA)	demage to a tree protected	Agriculture, Forestry	
		and Fishenes (DAFF)	
		Desertered	4000
Conservation of Agricultural	line construction of power	Department of	1983
Resources Act, 1983 (Act	lines may impact on	Agriculture, Forestry	
No. 43 of 1983) (CARA)	agricultural resources and	and Fisheries (DAFF)	
	vegetation on the site. The		
	CARA prohibits the spreading		
	of weeds and prescribes		
	control measures that need to		
	be complied with in order to		
	achieve this.		
National Road Traffic Act,	All the requirements stipulated	South African National	1996
1996 (No. 93 0f 1996)	in the NRTA regarding traffic	Roads Agency Limited	
	matters will need to be	(SANRAL)	
	complied with during the		
	construction and operational		
	phases of the proposed power		
	line.		
Regulations			
NEMA EIA 2010 Regulations	In terms of the EIA 2010	Department of	2010
	Regulations, a basic	Environmental Affairs	
	assessment process is	(DEA)	
	required for this proposed		
	project.		
Guidelines			

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

North West Provincial Spatial	The SDF is one of the	North West Provincial	2008
Development Framework.	fundamental implementation	SDF	
Support to Environment and	instruments, which provides		
Sustainable Development in	the spatial dimensions for		
the North West Province,	achieving the strategies of the		
September 2008	province. The proposed		
	development should be		
	aligned with the provincial		
	SDF.		
Naledi Local Municipality	Naledi Local Municipality Final	Naledi Local	2011/2012
Final IDP & Budget,	IDP addresses pertinent	Municipality	
2011/2012	issues and the proposed		
	development should be		
	aligned with the IDP.		
Protected species -	The proposed project may		
Provincial Legislation	impact on certain animals and		
	plant species that are under		
	threat or which are already		
	considered to be endangered.		
	The provincial environmental		
	authorities are responsible for		
	the issuing of permits in terms		
	of this legislation.		
Integrated strategic	The ISEP provides a	Eskom	2005
Electricity planning (ISEP),	framework for Eskom to		
2005	investigate a wide range of		
	new supply-side and demand-		
	side technologies with a view		
	to optimising investments and		
	returns.		

#### 12. Waste, effluent, emission and noise management

#### a) Solid waste management

Will the activity produce solid construction waste during the construction/initiation phase?

If YES, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?



ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 Page 29 \JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

How will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

All solid waste collected shall be disposed of at registered/licensed landfill site. Skip waste containers and waste collection bins will be maintained on site and the contractor will arrange for them to be collected regularly and transported to the landfill site.

Under no circumstances will waste be burned or buried on site.

Hazardous materials and contaminants will be stored carefully to prevent contamination until being disposed of at a licensed landfill site.

Where will the construction solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

All solid waste will be disposed of at a registered landfill site.

Will the activity produce solid waste during its operational phase?

If YES, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

How will the solid waste be disposed of (describe)?

All solid waste will be collected and dispose of. Waste separation and recycling will take place where possible.

If the solid waste will be disposed of into a municipal waste stream, indicate which registered landfill site will be used.

All solid waste will be disposed of at the Lichtenburg resisted landfill site.

Where will the solid waste be disposed of if it does not feed into a municipal waste stream (describe)?

The waste will be disposed of at nearby registered landfill sites.

If the solid waste (construction or operational phases) will not be disposed of in a registered landfill site or be taken up in a municipal waste stream, then the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

All hazardous components will be discarded at a licensed hazardous waste disposal facility. The waste produced will be under that stipulated in the waste management listing activities and therefore it is not anticipated that the application will not need to be changed to an application for scoping and EIA.

Can any part of the solid waste be classified as hazardous in terms of the NEM: WA?

If YES, inform the competent authority and request a change to an application for scoping and EIA. An application for a waste permit in terms of the NEM: WA must also be submitted with this application.

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013



prepared by: SiVEST

NO J

# ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED prepared by: SiVEST Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 Page 31 \UNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx AG

Is the activity that is being applied for a solid waste handling or treatment facility?

If YES, then the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA. An application for a waste permit in terms of the NEM: WA must also be submitted with this application.

#### b) Liquid effluent

Will the activity produce effluent, other than normal sewage, that will be disposed of in a municipal sewage system?

If YES, what estimated quantity will be produced per month?

Will the activity produce any effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of onsite?

If YES, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

### Will the activity produce effluent that will be treated and/or disposed of at another facility?

If YES, provide the particulars of the facility:

Facility		
name:		
Contact		
person:		
Postal		
address:		
Postal code:		
Telephone:	Cel	11:
E-mail:	Fax	x:

Describe the measures that will be taken to ensure the optimal reuse or recycling of waste water, if any:

Waste water will not be generated by the activity.

#### c) Emissions into the atmosphere

Will	the	activity	release	emissions	into	the	atmosphere	other	that	exhaust
emis	sion	s and du	st associ	ated with co	onstru	ction	phase activit	ies?		

	NO √
YES	NO

If YES, the applicant must consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

#### If NO, describe the emissions in terms of type and concentration:

If YES, is it controlled by any legislation of any sphere of government?



NO b

NO J er it i Other that exhaust emissions and dust associated with construction phase activities, the activity will not release emissions into the atmosphere.

#### d) Waste permit

Will any aspect of the activity produce waste that will require a waste permit in terms of the NEM: WA?

If YES, please submit evidence that an application for a waste permit has been submitted to the competent authority

#### e) Generation of noise

#### Will the activity generate noise?

If YES, is it controlled by any legislation of any sphere of government?

YES J NO J

NO J

If YES, the applicant should consult with the competent authority to determine whether it is necessary to change to an application for scoping and EIA.

If NO, describe the noise in terms of type and level:

Noise will be generated during the construction phase. This impact is transient and is unlikely to be heard by many noise receptors due to the limited human habitation in the area. The impact of the project on noise does therefore not warrant a specialist noise impact assessment.

During the operational phase the power line will generate a low hissing noise, known as corona. This noise will vary depending on the weather conditions and in dry conditions; the noise level will be comparative with the usual ambient noise level in the environment.

#### 13. Water use

Please indicate the source(s) of water that will be used for the activity by ticking the appropriate box(es):

Municipal √	Water board	Groundwater	River, stream, Other	The will	ac not	tivity
	Water Source	Croanawator	dam or lake	Other	wate	er

If water is to be extracted from groundwater, river, stream, dam, lake or any other	litroc
natural feature, please indicate the volume that will be extracted per month:	IIIIes
Does the activity require a water use authorisation (general authorisation or water	
use license) from the Department of Water Affairs?	
A water use license may be required in terms of the NWA should construction ne	ed to take place
inside any of the wetlands. Once the final alignment is established a final walk-dowr	n study would be
conducted for accurate in-field delineation and to identify if a water use license woul	d be required.

If YES, please provide proof that the application has been submitted to the Department of Water Affairs.

#### 14. Energy efficiency

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Describe the design measures, if any, that have been taken to ensure that the activity is energy efficient:

The proposed development would not consume power.

Describe how alternative energy sources have been taken into account or been built into the design of the activity, if any:

Energy efficiency measures are not applicable to this proposed project as the voltage required for the distribution wiring is considerably low.

#### SECTION B: SITE/AREA/PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

#### Important notes:

For linear activities (pipelines, etc) as well as activities that cover very large sites, it may be necessary to complete this section for each part of the site that has a significantly different environment. In such cases please complete copies of Section B and indicate the area, which is covered by each copy No. on the Site Plan.

Section B Copy No. (e.g. A):

- 1. Paragraphs 1 6 below must be completed for each alternative.
- 2. Has a specialist been consulted to assist with the completion of this section?

YES √

If YES, please complete the form entitled "Details of specialist and declaration of interest" for each specialist thus appointed and attach it in Appendix I. All specialist reports must be contained in Appendix D.

A 'specialist declaration of interest" for each specialist is included in Appendix I and all specialist reports are contained in Appendix D.

Property	Province	
description/physi	District Municipality	
cal address:	Local Municipality	
	Ward Number(s)	
	Farm name and	
	number	
	Portion number	
	SG Code	

Where a large number of properties are involved (e.g. linear activities), please attach a full list to this application including the same information as indicated above.

Refer to Appendix J4 for a full list of the properties (including farm names, numbers and Surveyor General (SG) codes) traversed by the proposed power line alternatives. The province, district municipality, local municipality and ward numbers are also indicated.

Current land-use zoning as per local municipality **IDP/records:** 

Unknown

In instances where there is more than one current land-use zoning, please attach a list of current land use zonings that also indicate which portions each use pertains to, to this application.

Is a change of land-use or a consent use application required?

#### 1. Gradient of the site

Indicate the general gradient of the site.

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Alternative 1:

Flat	1:50 – 1:20	1:20 - 1:15	1:15 – 1:10	1:10 - 1:7,5	1:7,5 – 1:5	Steeper
	J					than 1:5
Alternative 2 (i	f any):		·	·	·	·
Flat	1:50 – 1:20	1:20 - 1:15	1:15 – 1:10	1:10 - 1:7,5	1:7,5 – 1:5	Steeper
	J					than 1:5
Alternative 3 (i	f any):		·	·	·	·
Flat	1:50 – 1:20	1:20 - 1:15	1:15 – 1:10	1:10 - 1:7,5	1:7,5 – 1:5	Steeper
	J					than 1:5
Alternative 4 (i	f any):					
Flat	1:50 – 1:20	1:20 - 1:15	1:15 – 1:10	1:10 - 1:7,5	1:7,5 – 1:5	Steeper
	J					than 1:5
Alternative 5 (i	f any):					
Flat	1:50 – 1:20	1:20 - 1:15	1:15 – 1:10	1:10 - 1:7,5	1:7,5 – 1:5	Steeper
	J					than 1:5
-						

All of the proposed route alignments follow a topographical similar landscape as they run roughly parallel to one another in relatively close proximity. Most of the terrain in the study area is flat to moderately undulating. An A3 Slope Classification Map and Topography Map are included in Appendix J2.



Figure 4: Slope Classification Map



Figure 5: Topography Map

Revision No. 1

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

prepared by: SiVEST

 18 March 2013
 Page 36

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902
 Mookodi
 2
 Basic
 Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi
 Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela
 Power
 line
 FBAR
 rev
 1\_18Mar2013

 AG\_reduced.docx
 A
 Page 36
 Power
 Power
 Power
 Power
 Power
 Page 36

#### 2. Location in landscape

Indicate the landform(s) that best describes the site:



#### 3. Groundwater, Soil and Geological stability of the site

Is the site(s) located on any of the following?

	Alt 1		Alt 2		Alt 3		Alt 4		Alt 5	
Shallow water table (less than 1.5m deep)	YES J									
Dolomite, sinkhole or doline areas	YES √		YES J			NO √		NO √	YES J	
Seasonally wet soils (often close to water bodies)		NO J		NO √		NO √		NO √		NO √
Unstablerockyslopesorslopeswithloose soil		NO J		NO J		NO √		NO √		NO √
Dispersive soils (soils that dissolve in water)		NO J		NO J		NO √		NO √		NO J
Soils with high clay content (clay fraction more than 40%)		NO J		NO J		NO √		NO √		NO J
Any other unstable soil or geological feature		NO J		NO J		NO √		NO √		NO J

## ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED p Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

If you are unsure about any of the above or if you are concerned that any of the above aspects may be an issue of concern in the application, an appropriate specialist should be appointed to assist in the completion of this section. Information in respect of the above will often be available as part of the project information or at the planning sections of local authorities. Where it exists, the 1:50 000 scale Regional Geotechnical Maps prepared by the Council for Geo Science may also be consulted.

A specialist geotechnical study was undertaken by Jeffares and Green and is included in Appendix D7.

#### 4. Groundcover

Indicate the types of groundcover present on the site. The location of all identified rare or endangered species or other elements should be accurately indicated on the site plan(s).

Natural veld - good condition <sup>E</sup>	Natural veld with scattered aliens <sup>E</sup>	Natural veld with heavy alien infestation <sup>E</sup>	Veld dominated by alien species <sup>E</sup>	Gardens
Sport field	Cultivated land	Paved surface	Building or other structure	Bare soil

If any of the boxes marked with an "<sup>E</sup> "is ticked, please consult an appropriate specialist to assist in the completion of this section if the environmental assessment practitioner doesn't have the necessary expertise.

#### 5. Surface water

Indicate the surface water present on and or adjacent to the site and alternative sites?

Perennial River	YES J		
Non-Perennial River		NO √	
Permanent Wetland	YES J		
Seasonal Wetland	YES J		
Artificial Wetland	YES J		
Estuarine / Lagoonal wetland		NO √	

If any of the boxes marked YES or UNSURE is ticked, please provide a description of the relevant watercourse.

 ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED
 prepared by: SiVEST

 Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line
 Revision No. 1

 18 March 2013
 Page 38

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013

 AG reduced.docx

A specialist surface water study was undertaken by SiVEST and is included in Appendix D2.

#### 6. Land use character of surrounding area

Indicate land uses and/or prominent features that currently occur within a 500m radius of the site and give description of how this influences the application or may be impacted upon by the application:

Natural area	Dam or reservoir	Polo fields		
Low density residential	Hospital/medical centre	Filling station <sup>H</sup>		
Medium density residential	School	Landfill or waste treatment site		
High density residential	Tertiary education facility	Plantation		
Informal residential <sup>A</sup>	Church	Agriculture		
Retail commercial &	Old age home	River stream or wetland		
warehousing		River, stream of wetland		
Light industrial	Sewage treatment plant <sup>A</sup> Nature conservation are			
Medium industrial AN	Train station or shunting yard <sup>N</sup> Mountain, koppie or ride			
Heavy industrial AN	Railway line <sup>™</sup>	Museum		
Power station	Major road (4 lanes or more) $^{N}$	Historical building		
Office/consulting room	Airport <sup>N</sup>	Protected Area		
Military or police	Horbour	Grovovard		
base/station/compound	Harbour	Graveyard		
Spoil heap or slimes dam <sup>A</sup>	Sport facilities	Archaeological site		
Quarry, sand or borrow pit	Golf course Other land uses (describ			

If any of the boxes marked with an " $^{N}$  "are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity?

**Railway line**  $^{N}$  – The route corridor alternatives would traverse aligned railway line. Transnet Freight Rail has been notified of the proposed power line development in order to provide them with the opportunity to raise any issues and concerns which they may have in this regard.

If any of the boxes marked with an "<sup>An</sup>" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity? Specify and explain:

**Informal residential**  $^{A}$  – Informal settlements are located partially within Corridor alternative 1, 2, 4 and 5. The proposed project may severely affect this settlement; as such, the power line should be routed in such a way that it avoids this area.

If any of the boxes marked with an "H" are ticked, how will this impact / be impacted upon by the proposed activity? Specify and explain:

All the proposed route corridor alternatives traverse open agricultural areas for the vast majority of their alignments. Where possible, the alternatives run parallel to major and minor roadways, farm boundaries, existing power lines and along the outer periphery of urban areas. The dominant builtup areas in the study area include the town of Vryburg and Taung in the southern reaches of the study area. An A3 Land Use Map is included in Appendix J2.



#### Figure 6: Land Use Map

Does the proposed site (including any alternative sites) fall within any of the following:

Critical Biodiversity Area (as per provincial conservation plan)	YES J	
Core area of a protected area?		NO √
Buffer area of a protected area?		NO √
Planned expansion area of an existing protected area?		NO √
Existing offset area associated with a previous Environmental Authorisation?		NO √
Buffer area of the SKA?		NO √

If the answer to any of these questions was YES, a map indicating the affected area must be included in Appendix A.

A map indicating the Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBA's) and protected areas is included within the

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 Page 40 \JJNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

Biodiversity Impact Assessment Report in Appendix D1 as well as in Appendix A.

#### 7. Cultural/Historical Features

Are there any signs of culturally or historically significant elements, as defined in section 2 of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999, (Act No. 25 of 1999), including Archaeological or paleontological sites, on or close (within 20m) to the site? If YES, explain:



A Heritage Assessment was conducted by J van Schalkwyk in order to assess the impact of the proposed Mookodi Integration Phase 2 project on heritage resources in the study area. The following sites, features and objects of cultural significance are known to exist in the identified corridors:

- Stone Age sites are found to occur over large sections of the study area, especially in the vicinity of natural pans and rock outcrops.
- Some site dating to historic events that took place during the early part of the foundation of the town of Vryburg occurs in the vicinity of the town.
- A number of structures/buildings occur in the town of Vryburg as well as in the smaller township to the south.
- A number of formal and informal cemeteries occur in the built regions.
- Some old farmsteads occur in the vicinity of the various alternatives.
- The railway line and some of its associated structures such as station buildings, date to at least the early 1920s.

If uncertain, conduct a specialist investigation by a recognised specialist in the field (archaeology or palaeontology) to establish whether there is such a feature(s) present on or close to the site. Briefly explain the findings of the specialist:

According to the background information, the cultural landscape qualities of the region essentially consist of a two components. The first is a rural area in which the human occupation is made up of a pre-colonial (Stone Age and Iron Age) occupation and a much later colonial (farmer) component. The second component is an urban one consisting of a number of smaller towns, most of which developed during the last 150 years or less.

Based on current information it is our view that for some of the corridor alternatives there would be some problems from a heritage point of view for the development of the power line. The area following the railway line has some very important heritage sites, such as the Tierkloof Institute and old station buildings, which should be avoided.

It is recommended that the preferred alternative be subjected to a "walk-down" by a heritage consultant to determine if there are any fatal flaws that would prevent the proposed development from taking place.

Will any building or structure older than 60 years be affected in any way?

NO √

Although, number of structures/buildings occur in the town of Vryburg, it is likely that these would be avoided.

If YES, please provide proof that this permit application has been submitted to SAHRA or the relevant provincial authority.

#### 8. Socio-economic character

#### a) Local Municipality

Please provide details on the socio-economic character of the local municipality in which the proposed site(s) are situated.

Level of unemployment:

A large portion (42.61%) of the economically active population is unemployed. The dominant employment sectors in Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati District are the services sector (providing 36.80% of the total number of employment opportunities) and the agricultural sector (providing 33% of the total number of employment opportunities).

Economic profile of local municipality:

The following social and economic profile was extrapolated from the Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati District Municipality Profile, 2011:

- The total population is approximately 358 166 people;
- There is extreme poverty throughout the district with 82% of households living at or below subsistence level (that is, earning R1 600 or less a month);
- Sanitation services are considered 'inadequate' with the district supplying just over 26% of households with 'adequate' sanitation services;
- Access to infrastructure in the municipality increased from 22% in 1996 to a higher level of 31% in 2009. The main contributor to the increase in access is electricity infrastructure;
- The State of Local Government Assessment found that there is a lack of interim strategies to provide access of water and sanitation facilities to people;
- The DM has a lack of technical personnel and engineers;
- The District is the Water Services Authority and has WSP agreements with a number of local municipalities, but there are challenges relating to the understanding and agreement of service provision responsibilities between the DM and LMs; and

The poverty rates in the two local municipalities traversed by the proposed power line corridor alternatives are as follows:

- Naledi Local Municipality 53.54%
- Greater Taung Local Municipality 70.46%

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

Revision No. 1

Level of education:

The education levels within the area are extremely low.

#### Socio-economic value of the activity b)

What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion?	Approxim	ately	
	R40 Millio	on	
What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a result of	Unknown		
the activity?			
Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure?	YES √		
Is the activity a public amenity?		NO √	
How many new employment opportunities will be created in the development	40 pers	sons	at
and construction phase of the activity/ies?	peak		
What is the expected value of the employment opportunities during the	Approxim	ately	
development and construction phase?	R 7 millio	n	
What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?	92 %		
How many permanent new employment opportunities will be created during the	Approxim	ately 0	)-2
operational phase of the activity?	people		
What is the expected current value of the employment opportunities during the	Unknown	— tl	he
first 10 years?	project	v	vill
	stimulate		
	economic	;	
	developm	nent.	
What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals?	Unknown		

#### 9. Biodiversity

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Please note: The Department may request specialist input/studies depending on the nature of the biodiversity occurring on the site and potential impact(s) of the proposed activity/ies. To assist with the identification of the biodiversity occurring on site and the ecosystem status consult http://bgis.sanbi.org or BGIShelp@sanbi.org. Information is also available on compact disc (cd) from the Biodiversity-GIS Unit, Ph (021) 799 8698. This information may be updated from time to time and it is the applicant/ EAP's responsibility to ensure that the latest version is used. A map of the relevant biodiversity information (including an indication of the habitat conditions as per (b) below) and must be provided as an overlay map to the property/site plan as Appendix D to this report.



Figure 7: Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) Map

a) Indicate the applicable biodiversity planning categories of all areas on site and indicate the reason(s) provided in the biodiversity plan for the selection of the specific area as part of the specific category)

Systematic Biodiversity Planning Category			If CBA or ESA, indicate the reason(s) for its selection in biodiversity plan	
Critical Biodiversity Area (CBA)	Ecological Support Area (ESA)	Other Natural Area (ONA)	No Natural Area Remaining (NNR)	The proposed power line corridor alternatives traverse CBAs that have been selected in the biodiversity plan for the following reasons. They have been identified as CBAs as include areas of natural vegetation (1) and areas where the natural vegetation has suffered a
				degree of transformation (2).

#### b) Indicate and describe the habitat condition on site

Alternative 1						
	Percentage of	Description	and	additional	Comments	and
Habitat Condition	habitat	Observations				
	condition	(including ad	ditiona	insight into	condition, e.g.	poor

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

Revision No. 1

prepared by: SiVEST

 18 March 2013
 Page 44

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902
 Mookodi
 2
 Basic
 Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi
 Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela
 Power
 line
 FBAR
 rev
 1\_18Mar2013

 AG\_reduced.docx
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

	class (adding	land management practises, presence of quarries,
	up to 100%)	grazing, harvesting regimes etc).
Natural	12 %	The overall ecological impacting features remain low
	/0	within the open areas.
Near Natural		The private farm areas have retained ecological
(includes areas with		functionality. Grazing pressure within these areas was
low to moderate	86 %	however observed, with some of the veld being
level of alien		transformed through bush encroachment.
invasive plants)		
		Ecological impacting features increase toward the urban
Degraded		hub areas, especially toward Vryburg, as well as along
		the main roads. At the time of the survey, a major pipeline
(includes aleas	1 %	was being constructed along the western side of the N18,
alion planta)		just outside of the road reserve. This, together with the
alleli plantsj		construction of the Mookodi Substation, had created
		major disturbances within the area.
		Rural settlement, informal, semi-formal and formal
Transformed		housing within the areas surrounding Magopela have had
(includes		a profound impact on ecological transformation. This is
cultivation, dams,	2 %	because the rural sector remaining dependent on the
urban, plantation,		natural resources of the adjacent veld for firewood,
roads, etc)		building material, subsistence collecting and waste
		disposal.

Alternative 2				
	Percentage of	Description and additional Comments and		
	habitat	Observations		
Habitat Condition	condition	(including additional insight into condition, e.g. poor		
	class (adding	land management practises, presence of quarries,		
	up to 100%)	grazing, harvesting regimes etc).		
Natural	0.9/	The overall ecological impacting features remain low		
Inatural	9 70	within the open areas.		
Near Natural		The private farm areas have retained ecological		
(includes areas with		functionality. Grazing pressure within these areas was		
low to moderate	84 %	however observed, with some of the veld being		
level of alien		transformed through bush encroachment.		
invasive plants)				
		Ecological impacting features increase toward the urban		
Degraded		hub areas, especially toward Vryburg, as well as along		
(includes areas	1 %	the main roads. At the time of the survey, a major pipeline		
heavily invaded by	1 70	was being constructed along the western side of the N18,		
alien plants)		just outside of the road reserve. This, together with the		
		construction of the Mookodi Substation, had created		

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

		major disturbances within the area.		
		Rural settlement, informal, semi-formal and formal		
Transformed	housing within the areas surrounding Magopela have had			
(includes	a profound impact on ecological transformation. This is			
cultivation, dams,	6 %	because the rural sector remaining dependent on the		
urban, plantation,		natural resources of the adjacent veld for firewood,		
roads, etc)		building material, subsistence collecting and waste		
		disposal.		

Alternative 3						
	Percentage of	Description and additional Comments and				
	habitat	Observations				
Habitat Condition	condition	(including additional insight into condition, e.g. poor				
	class (adding	land management practises, presence of quarries,				
	up to 100%)	grazing, harvesting regimes etc).				
Notural	E 9/	The overall ecological impacting features remain low				
Naturai	5 %	within the open areas.				
Near Natural		The private farm areas have retained ecological				
(includes areas with		functionality. Grazing pressure within these areas was				
low to moderate	91 %	however observed, with some of the veld being				
level of alien		transformed through bush encroachment.				
invasive plants)						
		Ecological impacting features increase toward the urban				
Degraded		hub areas, especially toward Vryburg, as well as along				
(includes areas		the main roads. At the time of the survey, a major pipeline				
hosvily invaded by	0 %	was being constructed along the western side of the N18,				
alian planta)		just outside of the road reserve. This, together with the				
allen plants)		construction of the Mookodi Substation, had created				
		major disturbances within the area.				
		Rural settlement, informal, semi-formal and formal				
Transformed		housing within the areas surrounding Magopela have had				
(includes		a profound impact on ecological transformation. This is				
cultivation, dams,	4 % because the rural sector remaining dependent on th					
urban, plantation,		natural resources of the adjacent veld for firewood,				
roads, etc)		building material, subsistence collecting and waste				
		disposal.				

Alternative 4		
	Percentage of	Description and additional Comments and
	habitat	Observations
Habitat Condition	condition	(including additional insight into condition, e.g. poor
	class (adding	land management practises, presence of quarries,
	up to 100%)	grazing, harvesting regimes etc).

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

Natural	4 %	The overall ecological impacting features remain low within the open areas.
Near Natural		The private farm areas have retained ecological
(includes areas with	<b>a i a i</b>	functionality. Grazing pressure within these areas was
low to moderate	94 %	however observed, with some of the veld being
level of alien		transformed through bush encroachment.
invasive plants)		
		Ecological impacting features increase toward the urban
Degraded		hub areas, especially toward Vryburg, as well as along
(includes areas	0 %	the main roads. At the time of the survey, a major pipeline
(includes areas		was being constructed along the western side of the N18,
alien plants)		just outside of the road reserve. This, together with the
		construction of the Mookodi Substation, had created
		major disturbances within the area.
		Rural settlement, informal, semi-formal and formal
Transformed		housing within the areas surrounding Magopela have had
(includes		a profound impact on ecological transformation. This is
cultivation, dams,	2 %	because the rural sector remaining dependent on the
urban, plantation,		natural resources of the adjacent veld for firewood,
roads, etc)		building material, subsistence collecting and waste
		disposal.

Alternative 5						
Percentage of		Description and additional Comments and				
	habitat	Observations				
Habitat Condition	condition	(including additional insight into condition, e.g. poor				
	class (adding	land management practises, presence of quarries,				
	up to 100%)	grazing, harvesting regimes etc).				
Natural	2.0/	The overall ecological impacting features remain low				
Inatural	2 /0	within the open areas.				
Near Natural		The private farm areas have retained ecological				
(includes areas with		functionality. Grazing pressure within these areas was				
low to moderate	87 %	however observed, with some of the veld being				
level of alien		transformed through bush encroachment.				
invasive plants)						
		Ecological impacting features increase toward the urban				
Degraded		hub areas, especially toward Vryburg, as well as along				
(includos aroas		the main roads. At the time of the survey, a major pipeline				
hosvily invaded by	0 %	was being constructed along the western side of the N18,				
alian planta)		just outside of the road reserve. This, together with the				
		construction of the Mookodi Substation, had created				
		major disturbances within the area.				
Transformed	11 %	Rural settlement, informal, semi-formal and formal				

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 Page 47 NINEEL ElBraineth/Machaeli Maganala/Machaeli Machaeli Machaeli

\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

(includes	housing within the areas surrounding Magopela have had
cultivation, dams,	a profound impact on ecological transformation. This is
urban, plantation,	because the rural sector remaining dependent on the
roads, etc)	natural resources of the adjacent veld for firewood,
	building material, subsistence collecting and waste
	disposal.

#### c) Complete the table to indicate:

ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

- (i) the type of vegetation, including its ecosystem status, present on the site; and
- (ii) whether an aquatic ecosystem is present on site.

Terrestrial Ecosystems	5	Aquatic E	cosystems					
Ecosystem threat	Critical	Wetland (including rivers,						
status as per the	Endangered	depressions, channelled and						
National	Vulnerable	unchanne	eled wetlands, flats,	Estua	ry	Coast	line	
Environmental		seeps pa	ans, and artificial					
Management:	Least	wetlands)	1					
Biodiversity Act (Act	Threatened				NO		NO	
No. 10 of 2004)	i in outonou	YES √			J		J	

d) Please provide a description of the vegetation type and/or aquatic ecosystem present on site, including any important biodiversity features/information identified on site (e.g. threatened species and special habitats)

The survey area falls within the Savanna biome and the Eastern Kalahari Bushveld bioregion. Ghaap Plateau Vaalbosveld and Kimberly Thornveld are the vegetation types present in each proposed route alternative and have a conservation status of least threatened.

The survey area falls within the eastern boundary area of the Griqualand West Centre (GWC). Specific threats to the vegetation and flora in the GWC include mining activities and the encroachment of *Acacia tortilis* and (especially) *Acacia mellifera* due to mismanagement of grazing practices of the veld.

Protected floral species were observed along all the alternative routes; *Acacia erioloba* (Fabaceae) and *Boscia albitrunca*. It should be noted that species listed as protected (e.g. *Acacia erioloba*) under the National Forests Act (Act No 84 of 1998) require one to obtain a permit from the national authority (DAFF) for removal.

No RDL faunal or floral species were noted during the field study and few species have been indicated to be directly impacted by the proposed development activities.

The mammalian species of conservational concern, which occur within the area, are limited to highly-mobile bat species, small carnivores, small rodents and insectivores. Larger species (e.g. rhino) occur within the region, but are confined to reserves and do not occur naturally within the region.

Endemic reptilian species within the area is relatively high (18 out of the 50 recorded species, 36%). The availability of large expanses of open habitat within the region means that reptilian species, in general, have not been unduly impacted by development and habitat transformation. It must, however, be considered that development has a cumulative impact.

There are 15 amphibian species recorded from the region, of these, only one is considered *Near Threatened* (*Pyxicephalus adspersus* – Giant bullfrog). The remainders are regarded as *Least threatened*.

An overall 336 avifaunal species have been recorded in the region and a major cause of unnatural mortality of birds emanates from collisions and electrocutions by overhead lines the proposed mitigation measures should be implemented.

The alternatives do not fall within any protected or conservation areas however it has been noted that there are important habitat features (e.g. hills and ridges), irreplaceable sub-catchments and wetlands as well as biodiversity corridors present.

prepared by: SiVEST

**ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED** 

#### **SECTION C: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

A Public Participation Report has been compiled, outlining the detailed public participation process undertaken as part of this basic assessment. The Public Participation Report is included in Appendix E.

#### 1. Advertisement and Notice

Publication name	Stellalander	
Date published	12 September 2012	
Publication name	Beeld	
Date published	12 September 2012	
Site notice position	Latitude	Longitude
(Mookodi SS Site)	27° 0'27.85"S	24°44'57.03"E
Date placed	20 September 2012	·
Site notice position	Latitude	Longitude
(Magopela SS)	27°28'36.36"S	24°43'31.89"E
Date placed	20 September 2012	
Site notice position	Latitude	Longitude
(Schweizer-Reneke SS)	27°11'19.02"S	25°20'5.35"E
Date placed	20 September 2012	·

Include proof of the placement of the relevant advertisements and notices in Appendix E1.

Proof of the Advertisements and Site notices are included in Appendix E1

#### 2. Determination of appropriate measures

Provide details of the measures taken to include all potential I&APs as required by Regulation 54(2)(e) and 54(7) of GN R.543.

Refer to Appendix E for further details of the measures taken to notify all potential I&APs of the proposed project.

Key stakeholders (other than organs of state) identified in terms of Regulation 54(2)(b) of GN R.543:

Title, Name and Surname	Affiliation/ key status	stakeholder	Contact details (tel number and/ e-mail address)
Mr Francis	Adjoining Landowner		To be requested directly from
Mr Sakkie Van Niekerk	Adjoining Landowner		SiVEST (Pty) Ltd.

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 \JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

Page 50

Mr Eddie Dalton	Adjoining Landowner
Me Celeste De Beer	Omgewingsbestuur Beampte
Mr Reinhard Weber	Bophirima Crushers
Mr Chris Van Rooyen	Endangered Wildlife Trust
Mr Pieter Olberholzer	Landowner
Mr Kollie Wessels	Landowner
Mr Theunissen	Landowner
Mr Corlia Strydom	Morakane Safaris
Mr Hilgard Kotze	Moredou Taxidermy
Mnr Gert Cruywagen	Noordwes Landbou Unie
Mnr Tielman Niewoudt	Schweizer Distriksboere-Unie
Mnr Leon Bellingan	Schweizer Distriksboere-Unie
Mrs Mandy Frylick	SetIhare Guest Lodge
Mr Johann Rossouw	Trans-African Projects
Mr Leon Erasmus	Trans-African Projects
Mr Abel Bester	Vryburg Ratepayers Ass

Include proof that the key stakeholder received written notification of the proposed activities as Appendix E2. This proof may include any of the following:

- e-mail delivery reports;
- registered mail receipts;
- courier waybills;
- signed acknowledgements of receipt; and/or
- or any other proof as agreed upon by the competent authority.

Proof that the key stakeholder received written notification of the proposed activities is included in Appendix E2.

#### 3. Issues raised by interested and affected parties

Summary of main issues raised by I&APs	Summary of response from EAP		
DAFF- Enock Makhubele	A copy of the Agricultural Study was forwarded		
	to the Department on Wednesday 12 December		
Acknowledged the project and requested that	2012 and will also be available on SiVEST's		
SiVEST provide the department with the	website as part of the DBAR. The list of the		
following documents;	properties that fall within the proposed corridor		
<ul> <li>Servitude agreements</li> </ul>	alternatives were also forwarded to the		
<ul> <li>List of properties involved</li> </ul>	Department on Wednesday 12 December 2012.		
<ul> <li>Title deeds</li> </ul>	Andrea Gibb, SiVEST (E-mail 12 December		
<ul> <li>Size of properties and footprints to be</li> </ul>	2012)		
used for the project			
<ul> <li>Agricultural study</li> </ul>	The request for the Servitude Agreements, Title		

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

	Deeds, Size of properties and footprints to be
	used for this proposed project has been
	forwarded to Eskom to provide once the DEA
	has issued the Environmental Authorisation and
	a route alignment has been determined and
	negotiated.
DAFF- HJ Buys	Noted.
Confirmed that project application has been	
captured in their electronic AgriLand tracking	
and management system.	
DEDECT- Steven Mukhola	Noted.
Confirmed that they received the letter for the	
Application of Environmental Authorisation.	
SACAA – Lizell Stroh	Noted that at this stage several 1km wide
	corridors are being investigated. As such, once
Requested to be provided with a kml file	the project has received an Environmental
indicating the footprint of the proposed	Authorisation and the DEA has approved an
development as well as an indication of the	alternative the obstacle application form and kml
highest structure.	files will be provided.
	Andrea Gibb, SiVEST (E-mail 30 November
	2012)
Telkom SA SOC Limited – Heleen van den	The conditions were forwarded to Eskom
Heever	Holdings SOC Limited for their consideration
	during the design and planning phase of the
Noted that in principal Telkom approves the	proposed power line.
proposed Bophirima to Schweizer-Reneke	
power linein principal and provided various	
conditions as Telkom infrastructure would be	
affected by the project.	
EWT – Megan Diamond	The Biodiversity Report lists the Cape Vulture
	(Gyps coprotheres) as a Red data listed (RDL)
Noted that there was no mention of vultures in	specie that has been recorded in the study area
the DBAR and she would like to ascertain if this	and which habitat preferences are still available.
specie was highlighted in the avifaunal specialist	
report.	In order to mitigate the impact on birds it is
	proposed in the Biodiversity Report that bird
EWT also has grave concerns with regard to the	flappers be fitted on the power line where it
mention migratory paths in the DBAR and	crosses migratory pathways. The use of bird
wetlands are not the only sensitive areas that	flappers is also mentioned in the DBAR.
should be considered in an area like this.	
	The Biodiversity Report refers to additional
	sensitive areas other than wetlands, these

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

	include:		
	All protected areas		
	Riparian areas		
	Areas that have retained natural ecological		
	features and are not suffering degradation		
Bophirima Crushers – Marius Prinsloo	A site visit was undertaken by Eskom on 06		
	February 2013 in order to investigate the		
Noted that they have an Open Cast Quarry	location of the proposed power line in relation to		
(Bophirima) on Portion 2 of the Farm Brandwagt	Bophirima Crushers Open Cast Quarry. It was		
738. Sometimes blasting is undertaken at the	established that the centre line for the proposed		
mine and it is important that their construction	power line corridor is approximately 15-20m		
activities are not limited by Eskom's services. As	from the boundary fence and parallel to the		
such, an alternative power line route should be	existing Bophirima DS – Kalplats 132kV line		
found, if possible.	servitude. Eskom also traversed the proposed		
	corridor for a distance of 1500m along the		
	boundary fence and found at various intervals		
	that the actual stockpiles of the Bophirima		
	Crushers are approximately 65-70m away from		
	the boundary fence.		
Bophirima Crushers – Joe Deetlefs	Eskom is currently investigating the matter and		
	as soon as Eskom has clarity and once all the		
Mentioned that the proposed project will have a	various Divisions within Eskom have been		
significant impact in the company's operations.	considered, Eskom will provide guidance and		
As the proposed servitude is adjacent to the	feedback. A way forward with regard to blasting		
company's main quarry and thus will inhibit	activities in the vicinity of Eskom power lines		
blasting, as blasting within 500m of Eskom lines	was also provided.		
is not allowed.	Wikus Snyman, Land and Rights Manager,		
	Eskom (Letter - 07 December 2012)		
Landowner – Carl Schutz	Eskom's Land and Rights Practitioner will		
	contact Carl Schutz to discuss the matter should		
He commented that he accepts the fact that he	the DEA grant an environmental authorisation		
will be compensated for the proposed power line	for a power line corridor route that traverses his		
Should it traverse his property.	property.		
Bophinina Blick and Pave cc – Rocco Olivier	and be only allowed Fakem to have new newer		
Questioned why Ecker decided to take the new	lines run within this gray. If Eakom followed the		
power line route diagonally across the P34 and	read it would dispondur the agreement and take		
utilize his property i.e. Portion 2 and 8 of the	up more space over his property. A 1km wide		
Farm Brandwart 728 Routing the new line this	corridor is being assessed during the RA which		
way would affect his mining operations. With	would allow Eskom to route the power line		
regard to the area where the proposed line	(within the 1km corridor) at a safe position where		
intersects with the tar road (R34) the point of	it intersects the R34		
intersection is at a dangerous rise on the road			
Suggested that Eskom try move the intersection	Eskom take the load beds that transport the		
<ul> <li>(Bophirima) on Portion 2 of the Farm Brandwagt 738. Sometimes blasting is undertaken at the mine and it is important that their construction activities are not limited by Eskom's services. As such, an alternative power line route should be found, if possible.</li> <li>Bophirima Crushers – Joe Deetlefs</li> <li>Mentioned that the proposed project will have a significant impact in the company's operations. As the proposed servitude is adjacent to the company's main quarry and thus will inhibit blasting, as blasting within 500m of Eskom lines is not allowed.</li> <li>Landowner – Carl Schutz</li> <li>He commented that he accepts the fact that he will be compensated for the proposed power line should it traverse his property.</li> <li>Bophirima Brick and Pave cc – Rocco Olivier</li> <li>Questioned why Eskom decided to take the new power line route diagonally across the R34 and utilise his property i.e. Portion 2 and 8 of the Farm Brandwagt 728. Routing the new line this way would affect his mining operations. With regard to the area where the proposed line intersection is at a dangerous rise on the road. Suggested that Eskom try move the intersection</li> </ul>	Bochard of the proposed power line in relation to Bophirima Crushers Open Cast Quarry. It was established that the centre line for the proposed power line corridor is approximately 15-20m from the boundary fence and parallel to the existing Bophirima DS – Kalplats 132kV line servitude. Eskom also traversed the proposed corridor for a distance of 1500m along the boundary fence and found at various intervals that the actual stockpiles of the Bophirima Crushers are approximately 65-70m away from the boundary fence. Eskom is currently investigating the matter and as soon as Eskom has clarity and once all the various Divisions within Eskom have been considered, Eskom will provide guidance and feedback. A way forward with regard to blasting activities in the vicinity of Eskom power lines was also provided. <i>Wikus Snyman, Land and Rights Manager, Eskom (Letter - 07 December 2012)</i> Eskom's Land and Rights Practitioner will contact Carl Schutz to discuss the matter should the DEA grant an environmental authorisation for a power line corridor route that traverses his property. The servitude was negotiated with Mr. Webber and he only allowed Eskom to have new power lines run within this area. If Eskom followed the road, it would dishonour the agreement and take up more space over his property. A 1km wide corridor is being assessed during the BA, which would allow Eskom to route the power line (within the 1km corridor) at a safe position where it intersects the R34. Eskom take the load beds that transport the		

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013

point to a 'safer' position.	towers to site into consideration and design the
	access road in such a way that it would be easily
Questioned if they would be allowed to make	accessible as well as safe for the 'big trucks' to
use of the new Eskom access road directly	get in and out of the site.
underneath the power line as it would be easier	
for them to transport the mined gravel, by truck,	Eskom would need to draw up an agreement
to the tar road (R34).	with regard to the application to the DMR.
Noted that he is concerned about the blasting	
operations and their application to the	
Department of Mineral Resources (DMR).	

#### 4. Comments and response report

The practitioner must record all comments received from I&APs and respond to each comment before the Draft BAR is submitted. The comments and responses must be captured in a comments and response report as prescribed in the EIA regulations and be attached to the Final BAR as Appendix E3.

The Comments and Response Report (C&RR) is included in Appendix E3.

#### 5. Authority participation

Authorities and organs of state identified as key stakeholders:

Authority/Organ	Contact person	Tel No	e-mail	Postal address
of State	(Title, Name and			
	Surname)			
ATNS	Mr Uvesh	011 607 1000	uveshg@atns.co.za	Private Bag X15
	Gopichund			KEMPTON PARK
				1620
Bophirima District	Ms Segmomotso	053 927 2401	otshelengs@bophir	PO Box 21
Municipality	Otsheleng		<u>ima.co.za</u>	VRYBURG
	Mr Govan Lobelo	053 928 1404	fouriem@bophirima	8600
			<u>.co.za</u>	
	Mr Albert Kekesi	053 928 1423	kekesia@bophitima	
			<u>.co.za</u>	
Dept of Economic	Ms Obitseng	018 369 5059		Private Bag X15
Dev,	Moholo			MMABATHO
Environment,				2753
Conservation &				
Tourism: North				

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

West				
Dept of	Dr L Madyibi	018 389 5102	Inadyibi@nwpg.gov	PO Box 369
Agriculture (North			.za	POCHESTROOM
West)				2520
Dept of	Mrs Anneliza	012 319 7508	annelizac@nda.agr	Private Bag X120
Agriculture,	Collett		<u>i.za</u>	PRETORIA
Forestry and	Mr Paul Avenant	012 319 7548	paul@daff.gov.za	1
Fisheries				
	Thembi Nyoka	012 319 7634	ThembiN@daff.gov	
			.za	
Dept of Economic	Mrs GE Thebe	018 389 5099	gethebe@nwpg.go	
Dev,			<u>v.za</u>	
Environment,				
Conservation &				
Tourism: North				
West				
Dept of	Ms Bonolo	018 389 5768	bmohlakoana@nw	
Environment -	Mohlakoana		pg.gov.za	
NW DACERD	Ms Lesego	018 389 5768	Imncwango@nwpg.	
	Mncwango		<u>gov.za</u>	
	Mr Reaboka Molusi	053 927 0432	rmolusi@nwpg.gov.	PO Box 112
			<u>za</u>	VRYBURG
				8600
	Mr Nedick Bila	018 389 5201	nbila@nwpg.gov.za	
Dept of Minerals	Ms Victoria	013 656 1448		Private Bag X59
and Energy	Mnoshapo			DELAREYVILLE
				2770
	Mr Phumudzo	018 487 9880	Phumudzo.nethwad	Private Bag A1
	Nethwadzi		zi@dmr.gov.za	KLERKSDORP
	Mr Aaron Kharivhe	018 587 9834	Aaron.kharivhe@d	2570
			mr.gov.za	
Dept of Water	Mr Gert Vemaak		gvemaak@dwa.gov	Private Bag X936
Affairs			<u>.za</u>	POTCHEFSTOO
				Μ
				2520
Dr Ruth	Mrs Balebetse	053 927 4128	bcsegapo@ruralde	PO Box 21
Segomotsi	Segapo		velopment.gov.za	VRYBURG
Mompati District	Mr Theo Volschenk	053 927 0260	volschenkt@bophiri	8600
Municipality			ma.co.za	
	Mr S Ncobo	053 927 2222	ncobog@bophirima	
			<u>.co.za</u>	
	Mr Bos Mosiapoa	053 927 0260	mosiapoab@bophir	
			ima.co.za	

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1
	Mr Peter Khiba	053 927 0260	khiba@bophirima.c	
			<u>o.za</u>	
	Mr Kekesi	053 928 1423	bama@bophirima.c	-
			<u>o.za</u>	
	Mr Mohamed Hayat	082 554 24 53	hayatm@bophirima	
			<u>.co.za</u>	
	Mr Fred Cawood	082 854 9199	cawoodf@bophirim	
			a.co.za	
	Clr Skalk	082 552 2462		-
Eskom	Ms Irene	083 308 4646	Irene.richardson@g	PO Box 8610
Distribution	Richardson		<u>mail.co.za</u>	JOHANNESBUR
	Mr Senzo Duma	083 307 7112	dumase@eskom.c	G
			<u>o.za</u>	200
	Mr Wikus Snyman	011 711 2119	Wikus.snyman@es	-
			kom.co.za	
Greater Taung	Mr Mpho Mofokeng	053 994 9418	mofokengm@taung	Private Bag
Local Municipality			lm.co.za	X1048
	Cllr Itumeleng	053 994 9600		Taung
	Makgalemane			8580
Kagisano Local	Mr Mothusi	053 998 3346	oagilem@kagisanol	Private Bag X522
Municipality	Oagile		<u>m.co.za</u>	Ganyesa
	Cllr Ontlametse	053 998 3346	mochwareo@kagis	8613
	Mochware		anolm.co.za	
Mamusa Local	Cllr Kenneth	053 963 1331	tshipelok@mamusa	PO Box 5
Municipality	Tshipelo		lm.co.za	SCHWEIZER-
				RENEKE
	Mr Ruben	053 963 1331	gincaner@mamusa	2780
	Gincane		lm.gov.za	
Molopo Local	Ms Lerato Inno	053 933 0029	linno@molopolm.co	PO Box 101
Municipality			<u>.za</u>	TOSCA
	Mr Sedirilwe	053 933 0029	linno@molopolm.co	8618
	Nmusi		<u>.za</u>	
	Mr Oduetse	053 933 0029	sboitseng@molopol	
	Boitseng		<u>m.co.za</u>	
Naledi Local	Ms L Ndlovu		ndlovuo@naledi.loc	19A Market Street
Municipality			al.gov.za	VRYBURG
	Ms Rose Mompati	053 928 2200		
				PO Box 35
	Ms M. Keeme-		gaobepeg@naledi.l	VRYBURG
	Gaobepe		ocal.gov.za	8600
	Mrs Ruth Mompati	082 328 1905	mayor@naledi.local	
			<u>.gov.za</u>	

prepared by: SiVEST

	Mr Mpho Talane	082 536 5363	koogatilem@webm	
			<u>ail.co.za</u>	
	Mr F Smit		smita@naledi.local.	-
			gov.za	
	Mr G Pretorius		pretoriusl@naledi.l	-
			ocal.gov.za	
	Mr Melrose Ncobo		ncobom@naledi.loc	-
			al.gov.za	
	Mr George	053 928 2200		-
	Mthimunye			
	Mr T Mokwena		mokwenat@naledi.l	-
			ocal.gov.za	
	Mr Olerile Mathube	053 928 2241	mathubeg@naledi.l	
			<u>ocal.gov.za</u>	
	Mr Obakeng	053 928 2200		-
	Mathube			
North West	Mr Steven Mukhola	011 389 5959	smukhola@nwpg.g	Private Bag X15
Province			<u>ov.za</u>	MMABATHO
Department of				2735
Economic				
Development,				
Environment,				
Conservation				
North West	Mr/Ms Tshepo		tshepo@nwpg.gov.	
Provincial			<u>za</u>	
Government	Mr/Ms T Ntloko		tntloko@nwpg.gov.	
			<u>za</u>	
	Mr/Ms M Nkosi		mnkosi@nwpg.gov.	
			<u>za</u>	
	Mr/Ms M		mnemutandani@n	
	Nemutandani		mpg.gov.za	
	Mr/Ms M Mohlalisi		mmohlalisi@nwpg.	
			gov.za	
	Mr/Ms J Denga		jdenga@nwpg.gov.	
			<u>za</u>	
	Mr/Ms W Boshoff		wboshoff@nwpg.go	
			<u>v.za</u>	
	Mr A Tlaletsi		atlaletsi@nwpg.gov	
			<u>.za</u>	
	Mr MJ Taffa		mtaffa@nwpg.gov.	
			<u>za</u>	
	Mr August Shoai	053 948 0061	ashoai@nwpg.gov.	Private Bag X198

prepared by: SiVEST

			<u>za</u>	DELAREYVILLE
				2770
	Mr D Magaye		dmagaye@nwpg.g	
			<u>ov.za</u>	
SA Civil Aviation	Ms Lizelle Stroh	011 545 1232	strohl@caa.co.za	Private Bag X73
Authority	Mr Chris Isherwood			HALFWAY
				HOUSE
				1685
SAHRA	Ms Colette	021 462 4502	cscheermeyer@sa	PO Box 4637
	Scheermeyer		<u>hra.org.za</u>	CAPE TOWN
				8001
	Ms Nonofho	021 462 4502	nndobochani@sahr	PO Box 4367
	Ndobochani		<u>a.org.za</u>	CAPE TOWN
				8000
	Ms S Omar			PO Box 3054
				MMABATHO
				2735
SANRAL	Dimitri Alben		AlbenD@nra.co.za	
Telkom SA	Mr Bonolo Tau			PO Box 4655
				PRETORIA
				1
Transnet	Mr Stet Muchwana	051 408 2939	Stet.mushwana@tr	PO Box 255
			ansnet.net	BLOEMFONTEIN
	Mr Sam Fiff	051 408 2565	Sam.fiff@transnet.	9300
			<u>net</u>	
	Ronald Kalimashe	011 950 1220	ronald.kalimashe@	
			transnet.net	
Transnet	Mr Andre	051 408 2111	Andre.bodenstein	PO Box 1389
Properties Real	Bodenstein		@transnet.net	BLOEMFONTEIN
Estate Services				9300
Transvaal	Mr Tinus Taute	012 804 8031	ekonomie@tlu.co.z	PO Box 51
Agricultural Union			а	SILVERTON 127

Include proof that the Authorities and Organs of State received written notification of the proposed activities as appendix E4.

In the case of renewable energy projects, Eskom and the SKA Project Office must be included in the list of Organs of State.

Proof that the Authorities and Organs of State received written notification of the proposed activities in included in Appendix E4.

 ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED
 prepared by: SiVEST

 Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line
 Revision No. 1

 18 March 2013
 Page 58

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013

 AG\_reduced.docx

# 6. Consultation with other stakeholders

Note that, for any activities (linear or other) where deviation from the public participation requirements may be appropriate, the person conducting the public participation process may deviate from the requirements of that sub-regulation to the extent and in the manner as may be agreed to by the competent authority.

Proof of any such agreement must be provided, where applicable. Application for any deviation from the regulations relating to the public participation process must be submitted prior to the commencement of the public participation process.

A list of registered I&APs must be included as appendix E5. Copies of any correspondence and minutes of any meetings held must be included in Appendix E6.

A list of registered I&APs is included in Appendix E5. Full detail of the correspondence and minutes of meetings are included in Appendix E6.

# SECTION D: IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The assessment of impacts must adhere to the minimum requirements in the EIA Regulations, 2010, and should take applicable official guidelines into account. The issues raised by interested and affected parties should also be addressed in the assessment of impacts.

# 1. Impacts that may result from the planning and design, construction, operational, decommissioning and closure phases as well as proposed management of identified impacts and proposed mitigation measures

Provide a summary and anticipated significance of the potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts that are likely to occur as a result of the planning and design phase, construction phase, operational phase, decommissioning and closure phase, including impacts relating to the choice of site/activity/technology alternatives as well as the mitigation measures that may eliminate or reduce the potential impacts listed. This impact assessment must be applied to all the identified alternatives to the activities identified in Section A(2) of this report.

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
Alternative 1, 2, 3	3, 4 and 5		
Biodiversity	Direct impacts:		
	Vegetation removal through	Medium	<ul> <li>Existing servitudes and</li> </ul>
	soil stripping within the	negative	roadways should be utilised
	servitude and tower sites.	impact	as far as possible, thereby
			limiting the impact of
			establishing new service
			roads.
			<ul> <li>Indiscriminate damage of</li> </ul>
			vegetation to be avoided.
	Direct impacts due to inclusion	Low negative	Prior to the onset of the
	of RDL species in vegetation	impact	construction phase, a
	removal.		thorough search through the
			preferred alignment route
			and servitude roads (walk-
			through survey) should be
			undertaken during the
			flowering season of known
			RDL floral species in order
			to remove and rescue
			potentially affected species.
			RDL species must be
			translocated to outside of

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
			the footprint area or removed to a suitable botanical garden for cultivation and protection. This should only be done after consultation with the provincial conservation authorities.
	Depletionofbiodiversitythroughindiscriminatecollectingandharvestingfloralspeciesbyconstructionteams.Impactsonfaunalcommunitiesduetoindiscriminatecollectingand	Low negative impact Low negative	<ul> <li>All labourers to be informed of disciplinary actions for the willful damage to plants / habitat.</li> </ul>
	hunting by construction teams. Impacts on avifaunal communities due to the depletion of avifaunal biodiversity through indiscriminate collecting and hunting by construction teams. Disturbance of avifaunal communities due to construction activities that will displace various avifaunal species. Disturbance during the construction phase that will displace sensitive faunal species.	Low negative impact Low negative impact Low negative	<ul> <li>Important habitat to avifaunal conservation within the area (i.e. wetland habitat) should be avoided.</li> <li>Movement of personnel and machinery to be limited to the areas designated for the established servitude.</li> <li>No movement of personnel or machinery to take place within the wetland areas in order for this ecologically sensitive habitat unit to retain its features;</li> <li>Dumping or storage of topsoil must not be done on established vegetation, but should remain within the construction footprint.</li> <li>Workers and machinery to remain inside construction footprint.</li> <li>Indiscriminate damage of the environment to be avoided.</li> </ul>
	Indirect impacts:		

prepared by: SiVEST

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Pro	pposed mitigation
	Vegetation removal and site	Low negative	•	Important habitat to
	disturbances leading to shifts	impact		avifaunal conservation
	in floral community and habitat			within the area (i.e. wetland
	unit structures.			habitat) should be avoided.
	Impact on RDL faunal species	Low negative	•	Movement of personnel and
	due to the inclusion of RDL	impact		machinery to be limited to
	species in vegetation removal.			the areas designated for the
	Impact on RDL avifaunal	Low negative		established servitude.
	species due to inclusion of	impact	•	No movement of personnel
	RDL species nesting sites in			or machinery to take place
	vegetation removal or habitat			within the wetland areas in
	destruction leading to RDL			order for this ecologically
	species displacement.			sensitive habitat unit to
	Impacts on avifaunal	Low negative		retain its features;
	communities due to shifts in	impact	•	Dumping or storage of
	floral community dependent on			topsoil must not be done on
	habitat.			established vegetation, but
	Impacts on faunal	Low negative		should remain within the
	communities due to habitat	impact		construction footprint.
	destruction leading to loss of		•	Workers and machinery to
	faunal diversity.			remain inside construction
				footprint.
			•	All labourers to be informed
				of disciplinary actions for the
				wilful damage to habitat.
			•	Indiscriminate damage of
				the environment to be
				avoided
	Construction activities altering	Low negative	•	Soil should be shallow-
	soil conditions, hydrological	impact		ripped and scoured prior to
	features & topography from			replanting and placing of a
	the movement of heavy			geotextile layer (on steep
	machinery, leading to loss of			topographies) to avoid soil
	wetland functionality. This will			erosion.
	affect wetland-dependent		•	Heavy machinery should be
	faunal species.			limited to designated
				roadways.
			-	Wetland habitat should be
				avoided as far as possible
				during the construction of
				lines as access roads can
				cause major damage to
				these sensitive systems

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1 18 March 2013 \JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Moo

prepared by: SiVEST

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
			(van Rooyen, 2004).
			<ul> <li>Soil that is removed for any</li> </ul>
			excavations should be
			placed in the layers that it
			was removed and replaced
			according to the layers that
			it was removed.
	Pollution of soils due to oil/fuel	Low negative	• The source of the pollution
	leaks & wastes that will affect	impact	must immediately be
	floral species.		identified and rectified.
			<ul> <li>Polluted soils should be</li> </ul>
			immediately cleaned and
			transferred to an appropriate
			registered landfill site.
			<ul> <li>Previously removed soils</li> </ul>
			should be replaced with
			unpolluted soils of similar
			geological, chemical and
			pedological characteristics.
	Impact on avifauna due to	Low negative	<ul> <li>Ecologically sensitive areas</li> </ul>
	exotic vegetation	impact	should be retained as
	encroachment which follows		prohibited areas to workers.
	soil disturbances and leads to		
	displacement of nabitat.		- Dive floorers (found to be
	with everband lines		<ul> <li>Bird happens (found to be more effective then Bird</li> </ul>
	with overhead lines.	impost	Flight Divertors (PED's) (von
		impact	Proven 2004) to be placed
			con lines within areas
			identified as important
			migrotory routes
			Maintonanco crowo to
			monitor for bird collisions
			and to mitigate for this
			impact within arose
			identified as hotspot collision
			areas not previously
			identified during the pre-
			construction and
			construction phase.
	Perpetual impacts on	Low negative	<ul> <li>Ecologically sensitive areas</li> </ul>
	biodiversity communities due	impact	should be retained as
	to site disturbances that will		prohibited areas to workers.

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

prepared by: SiVEST

Revision No. 1

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
	enhance the long-term		<ul> <li>Workers and machinery to</li> </ul>
	encroachment of exotic		remain inside construction
	vegetation.		footprint. All labourers to be
			informed of disciplinary
			actions for the wilful damage
			to plants.
			<ul> <li>Encroachment of alien</li> </ul>
			vegetation to be monitored
			for regularly and controlled.
	Cumulative impacts:		
	Cumulative impact of	Medium	• Align the power line to run
	extending the transformed	cumulative	parallel to existing power
	area, which results in habitat	effect	lines and other linear
	destruction.		impacts such as roads (R34
			and R504) and the existing
			railway line.
Surface Water	Direct impacts:	I	
	Impact on wetland and	Medium	• A final walk-down surface
	watercourses due to placing	negative	water study is required to
	tower structures in surface	impact	identify wetlands that are at
	water resources.		risk to damage during the
			construction process and
			will require site specific
			mitigation measures.
	Damage to surface water	Medium	<ul> <li>It is imperative that existing</li> </ul>
	resources during	negative	roads are used so that
	maintenance.	impact	damage is limited and no
			new impacts are created.
			Where new access roads
			are required and the
			necessary authorisations
			and licenses are obtained
			(i.e. water use license and
			environmental
			authorisation), these roads
			must be limited in extent (i.e.
			go directly to the desired
			tower) and will need to be
			maintained.
			<ul> <li>Ideally, if access roads are</li> </ul>
			required inside the wetlands,
			coarse gravel should be
			used. This material will not
			coarse gravel should be used. This material will not

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

prepared by: SiVEST

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
			erode away after rainfall
			events and will provide a
			relatively solid foundation
			when surface water
			accumulates.
			<ul> <li>If dirt roads will be the</li> </ul>
			means of access, these will
			have to be regularly
			checked for erosion. This
			includes on a weekly to
			monthly basis and after
			short or long periods of
			heavy rainfall or after long
			periods of sustained rainfall.
			<ul> <li>Where erosion begins to</li> </ul>
			take place, this must be
			dealt with immediately to
			prevent severe erosion
			damage to the wetlands.
			Should large scale erosion
			occur, a rehabilitation plan
			will be required. Input from a
			suitably qualified wetland
			specialist must be obtained.
	Indirect impacts:		
	None identified.		
	Cumulative impacts:		
	Impact on wetland and	High	<ul> <li>A final walk-down surface</li> </ul>
	watercourse functioning as a	cumulative	water study is required to
	result of wetland destruction.	effect	identify wetlands that are at
			risk to damage during the
			construction process and
			will require site specific
			mitigation measures.
Agricultural	Direct impacts:	I	5
Potential &	Loss of agricultural land and /	Low negative	<ul> <li>Interact with impacted</li> </ul>
Soils	or production as a result of the	impact	landowners should form part
	proposed construction of the	F	of the final survey/line route
	132kV power line.		selection.
			<ul> <li>Attempt to place towers on</li> </ul>
			the edge of existing
			agricultural areas and span
			active agricultural fields as
			active agricultural fields as

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

prepared by: SiVEST

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Propo	osed mitigation
			fai	r as possible.
			<ul> <li>En</li> </ul>	sure adequate
			CO	mpensation is paid to land
			OV	vners where necessary.
			<ul> <li>En</li> </ul>	nploy erosion control.
	Indirect impacts:	·		
	None identified			
	Cumulative impacts:			
	Negligible cumulative impacts			
Heritage	Direct impacts:			
	Physical disturbance of Stone	Medium	<ul> <li>Iso</li> </ul>	plate known sites and
	Age material and its context.	negative	de	clare them as no-go
		impact	zo	nes with sufficient large
			bu	ffer zones around them
			foi	r protection. Sites that
			ca	nnot be avoided should
			be	e excavated in full by an
			ar	chaeologist qualified in
			St	one Age archaeology.
	Physical disturbance of Iron	Medium	■ lso	plate known sites and
	Age material and its context.	negative	de	clare them as no-go
		impact	zo	nes with sufficient large
			bu	ffer zones around them
			foi	r protection. Sites that
			ca	nnot be avoided should
			be	e excavated in full by an
			ar	chaeologist qualified in
			Irc	on Age archaeology.
	Impact on colonial period	Low negative	<ul> <li>Iso</li> </ul>	plate known sites and
	farmsteads.	impact	de	clare them as no-go
			zo	nes with sufficient large
			bu	iffer zones around them
			foi	r protection. In exceptional
			ca	ses mitigation can be
			im	plemented after required
			pro	ocedures have been
			fol	lowed.
	Indirect impacts:	1		
	None identified.			
	Cumulative impacts:			
	Low cumulative impacts.			
Visual	Direct impacts:			
	Visual impact on sensitive	Low negative	<ul> <li>Ali</li> </ul>	ign the power line slightly
	· ·	L S		

prepared by: SiVEST

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
	visual receptors that may	impact	away N18 highway to
	perceive the power line to be		reduce the visual impact on
	an unwelcome intrusion.		motorists travelling along
			this road.
	Indirect impacts:		
	Change to the visual character	Low negative	Align the power line to run
	of the surrounding area.	impact	parallel to existing power lines.
			<ul> <li>Avoid crossing areas of high elevation, especially</li> </ul>
			ridges, koppies or hills.
			<ul> <li>Avoid areas of natural</li> </ul>
			wooded vegetation where
			possible.
	Cumulative impacts:		I
	Low cumulative impacts.		
Social	Direct impacts:		
	Loss of land due to servitude	Medium	Compensation for land and
	rights.	negative	assets must be undertaken.
		impact	
	Changes in employment and	Low positive	<ul> <li>Employment opportunities</li> </ul>
	incomes through project	impact	should be made known
	recruitment.		through a corporate
			communication function, and
			locally via the Local Council
			offices and Residents
			Forum.
			• A Contractor Human
			Resource Development Plan
			will ensure the on-going
			training and development of
			staff.
	Inconvenience and danger to	Medium	<ul> <li>A policy on Contractor</li> </ul>
	proximate residents through	negative	Health and Safety for the
	increased road traffic and	impact	duration of their work on
	dust.		site, must apply, and be
			monitored.
			• A Residents Forum (if not
			already in existence) should
			be set up.
			<ul> <li>Regular information sharing</li> </ul>
			discussions must be
			pursued, to give residents
	Inconvenience and danger to proximate residents through increased road traffic and dust.	Medium negative impact	<ul> <li>through a corporate communication function, and locally via the Local Council offices and Residents Forum.</li> <li>A Contractor Human Resource Development Plan will ensure the on-going training and development of staff.</li> <li>A policy on Contractor Health and Safety for the duration of their work on site, must apply, and be monitored.</li> <li>A Residents Forum (if not already in existence) should be set up.</li> <li>Regular information sharing discussions must be pursued, to give residents</li> </ul>

prepared by: SiVEST

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
			an opportunity to voice
			concerns and grievances
			throughout the project
			construction phase.
	Indirect impacts:		
	Establishment or extension of	Medium	<ul> <li>Action is required by the</li> </ul>
	informal settlements by people	negative	Local municipality to put in
	seeking work opportunities.	impact	place strategies to curb the
			expansion of informal
			settlements.
			The Resident's Forum play
			a role in monitoring and
			reporting on informat
	Community discustion by non	Madium	Settlement development.
	Community disruption by non-		Construction phase Code of     Conduct about the property
	workers and opportunity	impact	and implemented among
	sookers	impact	construction workers
	SECREIS.		• A Community Health and
			Safety Policy must act to
			concretise safety
			awareness and conduct in
			proximate communities.
	Increased local risk of	Hiah	The Contractors Code of
	HIV/AIDS infection with influx	negative	Conduct should include
	of workers and opportunity	impact	HIV/AIDS counseling and
	seekers.		prevention measures.
			Community counseling
			ideas should be provided
			through the Community
			Health and Safety Plan.
	Local dissatisfaction due to	Medium	• A labour office should be
	finite jobs and perceived	negative	established during
	preferential access to these	impact	construction, to dispel fears
	jobs and procurement.		that the recruitment of local
			labour is political, gender or
			culturally biased.
	Investment opportunities.	High positive	<ul> <li>None.</li> </ul>
		impact	
	Increased business	Low positive	A contractor Procurement
	opportunity through the	impact	policy must be maintained.
	procurement of goods and		<ul> <li>Marketing and advertising</li> </ul>
	services.		campaigns to be actively

Revision No. 1

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

prepared by: SiVEST

 18 March 2013
 Page 68

 \\JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902
 Mookodi
 2
 Basic
 Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi
 Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela
 Power
 line
 FBAR
 rev
 1\_18Mar2013

 AG\_reduced.docx
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
			pursued in an effort to
			procure goods first from
			local producers/ suppliers,
			failing which, non-local
			suppliers may be targeted.
	Increased opportunity for	Low positive	<ul> <li>None.</li> </ul>
	informal business	impact	
	development.		-
	Crime related incidents.	High	Community policing would
		negative	need to be increased.
		impact	<ul> <li>Increase engagement with</li> </ul>
			communities with regard to
			finding solutions to cable
			theft scenarios should be
			pursued.
		Modium	
	Added chine in the		<ul> <li>Increase community policing and community opgagement</li> </ul>
	anhance the stready dire	impact	in order to find solutions to
	crime situation	impact	
Geotechnical	Direct impacts:		cable their scenarios.
Conconnical	Soil disturbance during	Low negative	Lise of berms and drainage
	construction and by heavy	impact	channels to direct water
	duty vehicles and construction		away from the construction
	equipment may destabilise the		areas where necessary.
	soil and lead to soil erosion.		<ul> <li>Use existing access roads</li> </ul>
			wherever possible.
			<ul> <li>Preserve topsoil separate</li> </ul>
			from the subsoils.
			Rehabilitate disturbed areas
			as soon as possible after
			construction.
			<ul> <li>It is strongly recommended</li> </ul>
			that a dolomite risk
			assessment is undertaken.
	Indirect impacts:		
	None identified.		
	Cumulative impacts:		
	Negligible cumulative effects.		
Geohydrology	Direct impacts:		Need
	Impacts from excavation.	Low negative	None.
		impact	R d'al a cal
	Impacts on drainage	Low negative	<ul> <li>Mitigation measures</li> </ul>

prepared by: SiVEST

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line Revision No. 1

# 18 March 2013

Page 69 \JNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

Activity	Impact summary	Significance	Proposed mitigation
	crossings,	impact	stipulated in the EMPr
			should be enforced.
	Impact in groundwater quality.	Medium	
		negative	
		impact	
	Impact on site specific	Low negative	
	groundwater quantity.	impact	
	Impact of dumping soil and	Low negative	
	construction material.	impact	
	Impacts on ground instability.	Low negative	<ul> <li>None</li> </ul>
		impact	
	Indirect impacts:		
	None identified.		
	Cumulative impacts:		
	Insignificant cumulative impacts	anticipated.	
No-go option			
	Direct impacts:		
	The reliability of the network in	the greater Vryb	ourg area would not be improved.
	In addition capacity to supply el	ectricity to new	customers, such as new mines to
	the area north of Vryburg would	not be created.	
	Indirect impacts:		
	Negative implications on the mir	ning industry and	d may hinder further development
	in the study area, which will in tu	irn have a negat	ive impact on economic growth.
	Cumulative impacts:		
	None anticipated.		

A complete impact assessment in terms of Regulation 22(2)(i) of GN R.543 must be included as Appendix F.

Due to the generic nature of the study area and the fact that the routes run in close proximity to each other (overlapping in part) for portions of the alignments, there was no comparative difference between the proposed alternatives. As such, the impacts of the development as a whole is summarised in the table above. A complete impact assessment in terms of Regulation 22(2)(i) of GN R.543 is included in Appendix F.

# 2. Environmental Impact Statement

Taking the assessment of potential impacts into account, please provide an environmental impact statement that summarises the impact that the proposed activity and its alternatives may have on the environment after the management and mitigation of impacts have been taken into account, with specific reference to types of impact, duration of impacts, likelihood of potential impacts actually occurring and the significance of impacts.

# Alternative 4 (preferred alternative)

Biodiversity	It is a relatively straight route and the greatest proportion of the
	corridor is associated with existing linear developments and therefore
	overall cumulative impacting footprint will be the smallest.
Surface Water	<ul> <li>Several areas that will result in the proposed power line crossing</li> </ul>
	through wetlands. Given that the average spanning length for a
	132kV power line is approximately 250m, it is likely that only one
	monopole structure will be need to be placed in the Dry Harts
	channelled valley bottom system. Where isolated wetlands are
	present, these can be circumvented.
Agricultural	<ul> <li>Route contains areas under centre pivot irrigation which could be</li> </ul>
Potential and Soils	avoided if other Alternative corridors are used.
Heritage	<ul> <li>Large number of heritage features identified within the corridor.</li> </ul>
Visual	<ul> <li>Almost the entire route is aligned parallel to existing power lines.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The alignment runs parallel to existing railway line for its entire route.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>The power line would be located on lower lying ground.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Although the power line would be highly visible to motorists travelling</li> </ul>
	along the N18, the power line would not be incongruent within this
	setting due to the presence of existing power lines and the railway
	line that run parallel to the road.
Socio-economic	<ul> <li>Alternative route 4 follows the N18 road from Mookodi substation to</li> </ul>
	Magopela substation. This route has the potential to directly affect a
	spattering of residences along the route. The approximate distances
	(from the Mookodi substation) where the potentially affected
	households are located, are:
	o 5 kms
	o 15 kms
	<ul> <li>40 kms (Dry Harts)</li> </ul>
	o 45-48 kms (Pudimoe)
Geotechnical	<ul> <li>No geotechnical fatal flaws were identified that would prevent the</li> </ul>
	construction of a power line along the proposed corridor alternative.
	<ul> <li>The impact of soil disturbance during construction that may</li> </ul>
	destabilise the soil and lead to soil erosion is rated as low.
Geohydrology	<ul> <li>65 boreholes were identified within the corridor alternative.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Probability of impact of the proposed power line on the</li> </ul>
	geohydrological environment is generally low and can be suitably
	I he impacts are considered to be site specific and would be a site specific and would be a site sp
Alternetive 4	predominantly occur during the construction phase.
Alternative 1	- It is a relatively langer route and sure through a greater sure with a f
Diodiversity	<ul> <li>It is a relatively longer route and runs through a greater proportion of provide the unimported errors</li> </ul>
Surface Mater	previously unimpacted areas.
Surface water	<ul> <li>Several areas that will result in the proposed power line crossing</li> </ul>

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

through wetlands. Given that the average spanning length for a

	132kV power line is approximately 250m, it is likely that several
	monopole structures will be placed in wetlands.
Agricultural	<ul> <li>Route influences grazing land and unimproved veld. Route also</li> </ul>
Potential and Soils	avoids high value agricultural land and infrastructure.
Heritage	<ul> <li>Large number of heritage features identified within the corridor.</li> </ul>
Visual	<ul> <li>The power line corridor is partially located on the hillier terrain where intact natural vegetation prevails. Clearing a strip of the bushier vegetation would draw attention of the viewer and disrupt the natural texture of the hillside vegetation.</li> </ul>
Socio-economic	<ul> <li>Choseng and Leshobo communities lie in close proximity to the Alternative 1. here is evidence to suggest that local people utilise the bushveld to feed their free-roaming cattle, and perhaps use it as connecting (walking) routes to other nearby villages, the settlement of Sedibeng being one.</li> </ul>
Geotechnical	<ul> <li>No geotechnical fatal flaws were identified that would prevent the construction of a power line along the proposed corridor alternative.</li> <li>The impact of soil disturbance during construction that may destabilise the soil and lead to soil erosion is rated as low.</li> </ul>
Geohydrology	<ul> <li>56 boreholes were identified within the corridor alternative.</li> <li>Probability of impact of the proposed power line on the geohydrological environment is generally low and can be suitably managed.</li> <li>The impacts are considered to be site specific and would predominantly occur during the construction phase.</li> </ul>
Alternative 2	
Biodiversity	<ul> <li>It is a relatively longer route with a greater amount of turning points.</li> </ul>
Surface Water	<ul> <li>Several areas that will result in the proposed power line crossing through wetlands. Given that the average spanning length for a 132kV power line is approximately 250m, it is likely that several monopole structures will be placed in wetlands.</li> </ul>
Agricultural	<ul> <li>Route influences grazing land and unimproved veld. Route also</li> </ul>
Potential and Soils	avoids high value agricultural land and infrastructure.
Heritage	Large number of heritage features identified within the corridor.
Visual	The power line corridor is partially located on the hillier terrain where intact natural vegetation prevails. Clearing a strip of the bushier vegetation would draw attention of the viewer and disrupt the natural texture of the hillside vegetation.
Socio-economic	<ul> <li>Choseng and Leshobo communities lie in close proximity to the Alternative 2 routes. There is evidence to suggest that local people utilise the bushveld to feed their free-roaming cattle, and perhaps use it as connecting (walking) routes to other nearby villages, the settlement of Sedibeng being one.</li> </ul>
Geotechnical	<ul> <li>No geotechnical fatal flaws were identified that would prevent the construction of a power line along the proposed corridor alternative.</li> </ul>

prepared by: SiVEST

	<ul> <li>The impact of soil disturbance during construction that may destabilise the soil and lead to soil erosion is rated as low.</li> </ul>
Geohydrology	<ul> <li>42 boreholes were identified within the corridor alternative.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Probability of impact of the proposed power line on the geohydrological environment is generally low and can be suitably managed.</li> <li>The impacts are considered to be site specific and would predominantly occur during the construction phase.</li> </ul>

# Alternative 3

<ul> <li>Runs through a greater proportion of previously unimpacted areas.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Several areas that will result in the proposed power line crossing</li> </ul>
through wetlands. Given that the average spanning length for a
132kV power line is approximately 250m, it is likely that several
monopole structures will be placed in wetlands.
<ul> <li>Route influences grazing land and unimproved veld. Route also</li> </ul>
avoids high value agricultural land and infrastructure.
<ul> <li>The impact on heritage resources can be suitable mitigated as a</li> </ul>
limited number of heritage resources were identified within the
corridor.
The entire route is aligned parallel to an existing high voltage power
line.
<ul> <li>The alignment is partially aligned parallel to the existing railway line.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>The power line would be located on lower lying ground.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Alternative 3 is the preferred option for the route alignment as it does</li> </ul>
not traverse through communities.
<ul> <li>No geotechnical fatal flaws were identified that would prevent the</li> </ul>
construction of a power line along the proposed corridor alternative.
<ul> <li>The impact of soil disturbance during construction that may</li> </ul>
destabilise the soil and lead to soil erosion is rated as low.
<ul> <li>25 boreholes were identified within the corridor alternative.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Probability of impact of the proposed power line on the</li> </ul>
geohydrological environment is generally low and can be suitably
managed.
<ul> <li>The impacts are considered to be site specific and would</li> </ul>
predominantly occur during the construction phase.

#### Alternative 5

Biodiversity	<ul> <li>It is a relatively longer route with a greater amount of turning points.</li> </ul>
Surface Water	<ul> <li>Several areas that will result in the proposed power line crossing through wetlands. Given that the average spanning length for a 132kV power line is approximately 250m, it is likely that several</li> </ul>
	monopole structures will be placed in wetlands and across drainage lines.
Agricultural	<ul> <li>Route influences grazing land and unimproved veld. The route also</li> </ul>
Potential and Soils	avoids high value agricultural land and infrastructure.

# ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

prepared by: SiVEST

Heritage	<ul> <li>The impact on heritage resources can be suitable mitigated as a limited number of heritage resources were identified within the corridor.</li> </ul>
Visual	The power line corridor is partially located on the hillier terrain where
violat	integration into contract to particular proveile. Clearing a strip of the husbier
	intact natural vegetation prevails. Cleaning a strip of the bushler
	vegetation would draw attention of the viewer and disrupt the natural
	texture of the hillside vegetation.
Socio-economic	<ul> <li>Alternative 5 traverses a number of farms for the first 38kms</li> </ul>
	(approximate) after leaving the Mookodi substation. It passes the
	west side of Maganeng before joining routes with Alternative 1close
	to Moretele. While it is not in close proximity to Maganeng, there are
	a few residential households that may fall directly within the route
	before reaching Moretele. Since it follows the same route as
	Alternative 1 towards the southern and it also skirts west around the
	Alternative i towards the southern end, it also skirts west alound the
	communities of intswananatsne, moretele, Dry Harts, Choseng,
	Malapaneng, passing between the communities of Mase and
	Leshobo.
Geotechnical	<ul> <li>No geotechnical fatal flaws were identified that would prevent the</li> </ul>
	construction of a power line along the proposed corridor alternative.
	<ul> <li>The impact of soil disturbance during construction that may</li> </ul>
	destabilise the soil and lead to soil erosion is rated as low.s
Geohydrology	<ul> <li>38 boreholes were identified within the corridor alternative.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Probability of impact of the proposed power line on the</li> </ul>
	geohydrological environment is generally low and can be suitably
	managed.
	<ul> <li>The impacts are considered to be site specific and would</li> </ul>
	predominantly occur during the construction phase
	presentating social during the conciliation phase.

# No-go alternative (compulsory)

The "no-go" alternative assumes that the proposed activity does not go-ahead, implying a continuation of the current situation or the status quo. The "no-go" or "no-action" alternative is regarded as a type of alternative that provides the means to compare the impacts of project alternatives with the scenario of a project not going ahead. In evaluating the "no-go" alternative it is important to take into account the implications of foregoing the benefits of the proposed project.

In the case of this project, the no go alternative would result in no 132kV power line being constructed. The absence of the new 132kV power line would mean that the reliability of the network in the greater Vryburg area would not be improved. In addition capacity to supply electricity to new customers, such as new mines to the area north of Vryburg would not be created. This may have negative implications on the mining industry and may hinder further development in the study area, which will in turn have a negative impact on economic growth.

Although the impacts identified, such as visual impacts, would not occur if the project did not go ahead, the socio economic benefit of the proposed project should not be overlooked. The No-Go alternative has thus been eliminated due to the fact that the identified environmental impacts can be

Revision No. 1

suitably mitigated and that by not building the project, the socio-economic benefits would be lost.

# SECTION E. RECOMMENDATION OF PRACTITIONER

Is the information contained in this report and the documentation attached hereto sufficient to make a decision in respect of the activity applied for (in the view of the environmental assessment practitioner)?



If "NO", indicate the aspects that should be assessed further as part of a Scoping and EIA process before a decision can be made (list the aspects that require further assessment).

If "YES", please list any recommended conditions, including mitigation measures that should be considered for inclusion in any authorisation that may be granted by the competent authority in respect of the application.

# **Recommendations of the Biodiversity Specialist**

- A walk-through survey of the proposed line alternative should be undertaken prior to the onset of the construction phase
- Routine surveys should be undertaken once construction has been completed in order to identify any further collision hotspot areas.
- New lines as well as the existing lines must be fitted with Bird Flappers to avoid potential collisions where power lines will be developed within areas where established power lines occur.
- Removal of vegetation from within servitude areas should be minimal and only limited to a height class that could pose a fire risk to the overhead lines.

# **Recommendations of the Surface water Specialist**

- Preferred or favourable alternative corridor routes be considered when selecting the final corridor for the proposed alignment.
- All isolated wetlands should be circumvented by the proposed power line to avoid impacts.
- Once the final alignment is established a final walk-down study is to be conducted for accurate in-field delineation and to identify high risk areas that will require site specific mitigation measures

# **Recommendations of the Agricultural Potential and Soils Specialist**

In terms of routing recommendations the power lines should, ideally, skirt any areas under centre pivot irrigation. Centre pivot irrigation infrastructure is generally over 4m in height and is thus not permitted under the proposed transmission lines

# **Recommendations of the Heritage Specialist**

• It is recommended that the preferred Alternatives are subjected to a "walk-down" by a

#### ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED

Revision No. 1

Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line

prepared by: SiVEST

18 March 2013 Page 77 \UNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx heritage consultant to determine if there are any fatal flaws that would prevent the proposed development from taking place.

Permits should be applied for where applicable.

# **Recommendations of the Visual Specialist**

- Align the power line slightly away N18 highway to reduce the visual impact on motorists travelling along this road.
- Align the power line to run parallel to existing power lines.
- Avoid crossing areas of high elevation, especially ridges, koppies or hills.
- Avoid areas of natural wooded vegetation where possible.

# **Recommendations of the Social Specialist**

- Landowners must be compensated.
- Resident's Forum should monitor and report on the development of informal settlements.
- Employment opportunities should be made known through a corporate communication function, and locally via the Local Council offices and Residents Forums.
- A construction phase Code of Conduct should be prepared and implemented among construction workers.
- Contractors Code of Conduct should include HIV/AIDS counseling and prevention measures.
- Contractors must develop and implement a recruitment and employment policy, and a goods and services procurement policy that will promote fair access to jobs and procurement opportunities, through an objective and transparent process.
- Increase community policing and community engagement in order to find solutions to cable theft scenarios.

# **Recommendations of the Geotechnical Specialist**

It is recommended that further detailed geotechnical investigations are undertaken for the preferred site alternatives to confirm the findings of this study.

# **Recommendations of the Geohydrology Specialist**

Relevant mitigation measures stipulated in the EMPr should be enforced.

# General Recommendations of the EAP

- All mitigation measures recommended by the various specialist should be strictly implemented.
- Final EMPr should be approved by DEA prior to construction.

# Is an EMPr attached?

The EMPr must be attached as Appendix G.

Page 78

NO

YES

prepared by: SiVEST

The details of the EAP who compiled the BAR and the expertise of the EAP to perform the Basic Assessment process must be included as Appendix H.

If any specialist reports were used during the compilation of this BAR, please attach the declaration of interest for each specialist in Appendix I.

Any other information relevant to this application and not previously included must be attached in Appendix J.

The EMPr is included in Appendix G. Details of the EAP who compiled the BAR are included in Appendix H. The declaration of interest for each specialist is included in Appendix I. Other information that is relevant to this application is included in Appendix J. This includes the following:

- Competent Authority Consultation (Appendix J1)
- A3 Maps (Appendix J2)
- Coordinate Spreadsheets (Appendix J3)
- Property Description Spreadsheet (Appendix J4)
- Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Report (Appendix J5)

Rebecca Thomas

NAME OF EAP

Las

11 March 2013

SIGNATURE OF EAP

DATE

 ESKOM HOLDINGS SOC LIMITED
 prepared by: SiVEST

 Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Proposed Mookodi-Magopela 132kV Power Line
 Revision No. 1

 18 March 2013
 Page 79

 \UNBFILE\Projects\10000\10902 Mookodi 2 Basic Assessment\Reports\FBAR\Mookodi Magopela\Mookodi-Magopela Power line FBAR rev 1\_18Mar2013 AG\_reduced.docx

# **SECTION F: APPENDIXES**

The following appendixes must be attached:

- Appendix A: Maps
- Appendix B: Photographs
- Appendix C: Facility illustration(s)
- Appendix D: Specialist reports (including terms of reference)
- Appendix E: Public Participation
- Appendix F: Impact Assessment
- Appendix G: Environmental Management Programme (EMPr)
- Appendix H: Details of EAP and expertise
- Appendix I: Specialist's declaration of interest
- Appendix J: Additional Information

# REFERENCES

- Barichievy, K. 2012. Eskom Mookodi Integration Phase 2: Agricultural Assessment Report. SiVEST Civil Engineering Division.
- Canahai, C. 2012. Mookodi Integration Phase 2 132kV Power Lines and Substation: Geotechnical Desktop Study. Jeffares and Green.
- Gibb, A. 2012. Proposed Mookodi Integration Phase 2 132kV Power Lines and Ganyesa Substation near Vryburg, North West Province: Basic Visual Impact Assessment. SiVEST Environmental Division.
- Greater Taung Local Municipality, Budget, 2010/2011-2012/2012
- Moonsamy, K. 2012. Social Baseline Assessment for the Proposed Mookodi Integration Phase 2 Project. Royal Haskoning DHV.Naledi Local Municipality Final IDP & Budget, 2011/2012
- Maxim Planning Solutions, 2005. Spatial Development Framework: North West Province. North West Provincial Government, Mafikeng.
- National Planning Commission, 2011. National Development Plan: Vision for 2030.
- North West Provincial Spatial Development Framework. Support to Environment and Sustainable Development in the North West Province, September 2008
- North West thumps up National Development Plan, 7 November 2012. South African Government Information: Speeches and Statements (http://www.info.gov.za/speech/).
- Provincial and Local Government Conference: A Summary of the Infrastructure Plan. Friday, 13 April 2012. Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Commission.
- Rajkumar, Y. 2012. Geohydrological Impact Assessment on the Proposed Mookodi Power Line and Ganyesa Substation, North West Province. Jeffares and Green.
- Ross, M. 2012. Eskom Holdings Ltd: Proposed Mookodi Integration Phase 2 132kV Power Lines and Substation Development. EnviRoss CC.
- Taylor, S. Mookodi Integration Project Phase 2, North West Province: Surface Water Impact Assessment. SiVEST Environmental Division.
- Van Schalkwyk, J.A. 2013. Eskom Distribution Mookodi Integration Project Phase 2: Heritage Report - Basic Assessment.