

Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd | Directors: KM Jodas, J Thomas, M Matsabu Company Reg No.: 2006/000127/07

VAT Reg No.: 4780226736

## SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION REPORT FOR THE PROPOSED MUTSHO SOLAR PV2 ON THE REMAINING EXTENT OF FARM VREINDEN 589 MS, MUSINA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY, LIMPOPO PROVINCE (DFFE REFERENCE: 14/12/16/3/3/2/2181)

Mutsho Power (Pty) Ltd is proposing the construction and operation of a commercial Photovoltaic (PV) Solar Energy Facility and associated infrastructure on the Remaining Extent of Farm Vrienden 589 MS, located approximately 8km south-west of Mopane and 39km south-west of Musina, within the Musina Local Municipality and the Vhembe District Municipality in the Limpopo Province (refer to **Figure 1**). The facility will have a contracted capacity of up to 100MW and will be known as Mutsho Solar PV2. The project is planned as part of a cluster of renewable energy projects, which include three (3) additional 100MW Solar PV Energy Facilities and grid connection infrastructure connecting the facilities to the national grid, with the point of connection being the existing Nzhelele Substation.

The full extent of the project site (i.e., ~1 237ha) was considered during the Scoping Phase of the EIA process, within which Mutsho Solar PV2 will be appropriately located from a technical and environmental sensitivity perspective. A development footprint of ~167ha has been identified within the project site and assessed for the construction of the facility and its associated infrastructure.




Figure 1: Locality map illustrating the location of Mutsho Solar PV2 and associated infrastructure

## SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION METHODOLOGY:

The site sensitivity verification report was compiled by the EAP and is based on specialist desktop information and field work undertaken as part of the S&EIA process. This report forms part of the Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment (S&EIA) process being undertaken for the proposed Mutsho Solar PV2 and associated infrastructure on the Remaining Extent of Farm Vrienden 589 MS, Musina Local Municipality, Limpopo Province.

## SITE SENSITIVITY VERIFICATION:

The table below and reference to specialist assessments serve to:

- » Verify land use and sensitivities identified in the screening report; and
- » Confirm / contest the need for the various specialist inputs called for in terms of the screening tool report.

Environmental Theme/Specialist Assessment	Sensitivity Rating as per the Screening Tool (relating to the need for the study)	Verification of Site Sensitivity						
Agriculture Theme/Agricultural Impact Assessment	Medium	The proposed Mutsho Solar PV2 project is mostly characterised with "Left to "Moderate" land capability sensitivities. Some small portions in assessment area fall within the "Very Low" sensitivities. In the assessment area there is no segregation of agricultural lands or crop fields with potentials. The land capability and land potential in the assessed concur. The "Very Low to Moderate" sensitivities also fall within the D (2017) requirements for a compliance statement report only.  A Soils and Agricultural Potential Compliance Statement is included in EIA Report as Appendix F.						
Animal Species Theme/ Terrestrial Ecology Impact Assessment	Medium	A total of 13 mammal, 0 amphibian, and 3 reptile species were record within the project/study area. No amphibian or reptile SCC were record within the study area; and no mammal SoCC were recorded, namel was determined that the development will not detrimentally impact the populations as no faunal SCC were recorder within the project/study are Four (4) different habitat units were identified during the assessment of included closed woodland, a rocky area, watercourses, and mope bushveld. The sensitivity of these habitats ranged from high to medium with the closed woodland, rocky area and watercourses regarded as his sensitivity due to the species recorded and the role of this intact unit habitat to biodiversity, whilst the mopane bushveld is regarded as have a medium sensitivity.  Summary of habitat types delineated within the project area is providing the table below.						
		Habitat	Conservation Importance	Functional Integrity	Biodiversity Importance	Receptor Resilience	Site Ecological Importance	
		Wetlands	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	High	

Environmental Theme/Specialist Assessment	Sensitivity Rating as per the Screening Tool (relating to the need for the study)	Verification of Site Sensitivity							
		Closed Woodland	High	Medium	Medium	Low	High		
		Rocky Area	Medium	High	Medium	Low	High		
			Medium mpact Assessr included as A				Medium  Solar Energy		
Landscape (Solar) Theme/Visual Impact Assessment	Very High	The most proland uses, who be a sign receptors in a closest settler.  Highly Sensitives Areas immore likely to some guests. A second that development are tourism in the main impacted roads are tourism in the main impacted are tourism in the sensitivity zone.  A Visual Importance of the sensitivity zone.	minent (and vict mainly confict mainly conficted the co-to- clude settlemment to the provity Areas included in the provity Areas included in the confict mediately surrignificantly characteristic forment of these in the conficted in the conficte	visible) land naists of low purism seconent Areas, roposed declared and the continuation of the continuati	use within to intensity gradery bias in particularly velopment.  Index by dependent of the particularly velopment of the particularly velopment of the particular of the parti	he region is zing. There is to the land Mopane where the sufficient views for reduced by the sensitivities of the sensitivities and the sensitivities of the	also appears ad use. Area which is the art of which is esidents and ent to ensure possible that egarding the ity rating will to distance, esignificantly unsurfaced se also have		
Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Theme/Heritage Impact Assessment	High	The current and past heritage assessments of this property have identified limited heritage resources of cultural value. A previous assessment identified Farm Vrienden 589 as preferred for development with limited impacts to heritage resources anticipated as its overall heritage sensitivit is regarded as LOW overall. The most significant archaeological sitilidentified in the vicinity of the development is Site V04. It is recommended that Site V04, the Baobab Room, must not be impacted by any activit and any proposed activity on this farm must adhere to a buffer area of							

Environmental Theme/Specialist Assessment	Sensitivity Rating as per the Screening Tool (relating to the need for the study)	Verification of Site Sensitivity							
		100m around this site. This site is located a significant distance from area proposed for development.							
		A Heritage Impact Assessment (including cultural heritage, palaeontology and archaeology) has been undertaken for the Solar Energy Facility and is included in this EIA Report as <b>Appendix G.</b>							
Palaeontology Theme/Heritage Impact Assessment	Very High	The Palaeontological Impact Assessment notes that "The scarcity of fossil heritage at the proposed development footprint indicate that the impact of the (of the development) will be of a low significance in palaeontological terms. Thus, the construction and operation of the facility may be authorised as the whole extent of the development footprint is not considered sensitive in terms of palaeontological resources."  A Heritage Impact Assessment (including cultural heritage, palaeontology and archaeology) has been undertaken for the Solar Energy Facility and is included in this EIA Report as <b>Appendix G</b> .							
Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme/ Terrestrial Ecology Impact Assessment	Very High	The completion of the terrestrial biodiversity assessment found that the Mopane Bushveld habitat that overlaps with the screening report is of medium sensitivity and thus do not corroborate the screening report in that regard.  Four (4) different habitat units were identified during the assessment and included closed woodland, a rocky area, watercourses, and mopane bushveld. The sensitivity of these habitats ranged from high to medium with the closed woodland, rocky area and watercourses regarded as high sensitivity due to the species recorded and the role of this intact unique habitat to biodiversity, whilst the mopane bushveld is regarded as having a medium sensitivity.  Summary of habitat types delineated within the project area is provided							
		in the table below.    Conservation   Functional   Biodiversity   Receptor   Ecologi   Ecologi   Importance   Resilience   Ecologi   Eco							
		Wetlands	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	Importance High		
		Closed	High	Medium	Medium	Low	High		
		Woodland Rocky Area	Medium	High	Medium	Low	High		
		Mopane Bushveld	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium		
			mpact Assessr included as <b>A</b>				Solar Energy		
Aquatic Biodiversity Theme/Freshwater Impact Assessment	Very High	regulated ar Natural wetlo	of a waterco rea applied, ands were abs a is more tha	namely ep sent from th	hemeral dr ne project a	ainage line rea. The ne	es/ features. arest known		

Environmental Theme/Specialist Assessment	Sensitivity Rating as per the Screening Tool (relating to the need for the study)	Verification o	of Site Sensitivi	ty			
		Habitat  Conservation Importance Integrity Integrity Integrity Importance Importance Integrity Importance Impo					essment and nd mopane medium with ded as high ntact unique ed as having
Avian Impact Assessment/Avifauna Impact Assessment	Very High	Sensitivities wand desktop report, all have endlocated sensitivity based area not only level of distumostly general SEI Summary	s included as a vere compiled to information. The compiled to information abitats within ted a sensitivities on the importance found and included in the conservation of the conservation	for the aviing Based on the assessing ty category portance burce but and in the Macound here bees delineare.	fauna study ke he criteria poment area or y. The Wetla of these area lso as habita opane Bushved to the Matter within fie	oased on the rovided in the proportion of the proportion of the span of the span of the way reld combined the rational operate rational control of the way reld combined the restrict of the	the specialist osed project given a high pecies in the ter birds. The ned with the ng.
		Closed	-	-	-		
		Woodland Mopane	High	High	High	Medium	High
		Bushveld	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium

Environmental Theme/Specialist Assessment	Sensitivity Rating as per the Screening Tool (relating to the need for the study)	Verification of Site Sensitivity							
		Rocky Areas Medium Medium Medium Medium Me							
		The Very High sensitivity rating for avifauna, as indicated in the screening tool report, was based on the occurrence of four SCCs, Kori Bustard (Ardeotis kori); Black Stork (Ciconia nigra); Saddle-billed Stork (Ephippiorhynchus senegalensis), and White-backed Vulture (Gyps africanus) within the project site. High sensitivity in some areas has been confirmed as detailed above.  An Avifauna Impact Assessment has been undertaken for the Solar Energy Facility and included as <b>Appendix E</b> of the EIA Report. 12-months preconstruction monitoring as per the BirdLife SA Best Practice Guidelines has been completed and has informed the assessment of impacts.							
Civil Aviation (Solar PV) Theme	Low	The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) and Air Traffic Navigation Services (ATNS) have been consulted throughout the BA process to obtain input and details of any requirements for further studies. No objections to the project have been received.							
		The project site is not located within close proximity of any aerodromes, landing strips or infrastructure. The low sensitivity rating is supported, and no study is required in this regard.							
Defence Theme	Low		site is not loca e. The low s his regard.						
RFI Theme	Medium	The project site is not located near any SKA receptors as the site is not the Northern Cape. The South African Radio Astronomy Observate (SARAO) will however be consulted during the 30-day review a comment period of the EIA Report to provide written comment on the proposed development.							
Plant Species Theme/ Terrestrial Ecology Impact Assessment	Low	The completion of the terrestrial biodiversity assessment found that a Mopane Bushveld habitat that overlaps with the screening report is medium sensitivity and thus do not corroborate the screening report in the regard.  Four (4) different habitat units were identified during the assessment of included closed woodland, a rocky area, watercourses, and moped bushveld. The sensitivity of these habitats ranged from high to medium with a closed woodland, rocky area and watercourses regarded as his sensitivity due to the species recorded and the role of this intact unit habitat to biodiversity, whilst the mopane bushveld is regarded as have a medium sensitivity.							
		Summary of habitat types delineated within the project area is provided in the table below.							

Environmental Theme/Specialist Assessment	Sensitivity Rating as per the Screening Tool (relating to the need for the study)	Verification of Site Sensitivity					
		Habitat	Conservation Importance	Functional Integrity	Biodiversity Importance	Receptor Resilience	Site Ecological Importance
		Wetlands	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low	High
		Closed Woodland	High	Medium	Medium	Low	High
		Rocky Area	Medium	High	Medium	Low	High
		Mopane Bushveld	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
		•	mpact Assessr included as <b>A</b>				Solar Energy
Socio-Economic Assessment	The screening report does not indicate a rating for this theme.	A Socio-Economic Impact Assessment has been undertaken and is included in the EIA Report as <b>Appendix I</b> .					

Based on the outcomes of the Scoping Phase evaluation of the project and the outcomes of the Site Sensitivity Verification, the following studies were identified as being required:

- » Terrestrial and Freshwater Ecology Impact Assessment
- » Avifauna Impact Assessment
- » Soils and Agricultural Potential Compliance Statement
- » Heritage Impact Assessment (Including cultural heritage, archaeology, and palaeontology)
- » Visual Impact Assessment
- » Social Impact Assessment

The specialist studies undertaken for this project are required to comply with either the above Protocols or, alternatively, with the requirements of Appendix 6 of the NEMA EIA Regulations of 2014 (as amended 2017 & 2021).