



Registration No: 2007/165328/23

083 650 4351 - Johan

Faks: 087 803 7504
Vat Number: 4800 2428 79

P O Box 319
De Wildt
0251

Krelingspost
De Wildt
0251

30th April 2014

AECOM
P.O.Box 3173
Pretoria

ATT: Mamokele Maimano/Maril Le Roux

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE MULTISAND REGIONAL CLASS B WASTE DISPOSAL FACILITY, NEAR TSHWANE, GAUTENG PROVINCE: GDARD REF NO – GAUT 002/13-14/E0280; DEA REF NO – 12/9/11/L1422/3

Comments on Draft Scoping Report for the proposed Multisand Regional Waste Disposal Facility

We, as the neighbouring community has the following comments and concerns regarding the proposed Multisand Waste Disposal Facility planed in our area:

- Section 2.1.4 - Why is waste from neighbouring areas around Gauteng and Brits brought into our farming area;
- Table 2-3 - Although not mentioned in the executive summary there are various references to hazardous waste in the document, the executive summary is therefore misleading. Why bring hazardous waste to our area. Refer to typical types of waste accepted – Type 2, 3 and 4 waste streams for disposal (general and hazardous) in the said table;
- Table 3-1 - Treatment of Hazardous waste - 500kg – 1 ton. Please provide detail on the intended storage of hazardous waste i.e. quantity and type. Same comment regarding the executive summary as above, applies;
- Table 3-1 - Treatment of Hazardous waste – Excess of 1 ton per day. Please provide detail on the intended storage of hazardous waste i.e. quantity and type. Same comment regarding the executive summary as above, applies;
- Table 3-1 - Activity 7 - Disposal of any quantity of hazardous waste to land. Please provide detail on the intended disposal of hazardous waste. Same comment regarding the executive summary as above, applies;

- Table 3-2 - Activity 13 – Storage and handling of dangerous goods. What dangerous goods are referred to? Same comment regarding the executive summary as above, applies;
- 4.1 No Go option – Paragraph 2 Onderstepoort and Ga- Rankuwa has between 9-14 years left according to table 2-1. Why do you indicate that there is not enough disposal facilities close by?;
- 4.1 Paragraph 3 – Please define the study area since it seems as if you are taking Tshwane, then Gauteng and then North West. We live in Tshwane, why should waste from neighbouring communities and even provinces be disposed next to us?;
- 4.4 - One of the objectives refer to additional landfills of Tshwane, no mention is made of other areas, do we then assume you intend dealing with waste from Tshwane only or the other areas mentioned as well. The report is confusing. Since the last paragraph states that the study area is mainly the City of Tshwane are north of the Magaliesberg Mountain. From where will waste come to this site?;
- 5.1.10.2 - Why don't the report say between 5 – 40m as in the 5.1.10.1, as oppose to as deep as 40m below surface, this paints a pretty picture and does not reflect the potential reality?;
- 5.3 - There is still confusion regarding the term "regional" vs City of Tshwane. Will this site cater for waste from City of Tshwane only or will it receive waste from other areas and if so how much?

Based on the above mentioned comments we are concerned that the report is not reflecting the true intend of what will be disposed of at the disposal facility and is also not clear where the waste will originate from. We require clear and concise facts in the report; at this stage it is vague and confusing.

Yours faithfully



Johan Schoeman
Primeco Meat