DRAFT BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME

Farm Name: Gorra's Stad 75 portion 2

Magisterial District: Ladybrand



July 2021

Compiled by: Environmental Management Group Physical Address: 41 Laan Sonder Naam, Groenvlei, Bloemfontein Postal Address: P.O Box 37473, Langenhovenpark 9330 Telephone: 051 412 6350 Contact person: Carika Nel Email:cnel@envmgp.com



mineral resources

Department: Mineral Resources REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

And

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT

SUBMITTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORIZATIONS IN TERMS OF THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACT, 1998 AND THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT WASTE ACT, 2008 IN RESPECT OF LISTED ACTIVITIES THAT HAVE BEEN TRIGGERED BY APPLICATIONS IN TERMS OF THE MINERAL AND PETROLEUM RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ACT, 2002 (MPRDA) (AS AMENDED).

NAME OF APPLICANT: Mantsopa Local Municipality

TEL NO: 051 924 0654 FAX NO: 051 924 0020 POSTAL ADDRESS: PO Box 64, LADYBRAND PHYSICAL ADDRESS: 38 Joubert Street, LADYBRAND FILE REFERENCE NUMBER SAMRAD: To be assigned

FILE REFERENCE NUMBER SAMRAD: to be determined

- APPENDIX 1: EAPs CURRICULUM VITAE
- APPENDIX 2: LOCALITY MAP AND VEGETATION MAP
- APPENDIX 3: PUBLIC CONSULTATION DOCUMENTS
- APPENDIX 4: PROPOSED MAP AND ON SITE SURVEY REPORTS
- APPENDIX 5: IMPACTS AND RISKS ASSESSMENT REPORT
- APPENDIX 6: SPECIALISTS STUDIES
- APPENDIX 7: SENSITIVITY MAP
- APPENDIX 8: FINANCIAL PROVISIONS QUANTUM CALCULATION
- APPENDIX 9: REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE PLAN
- APPENDIX 10: ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN
- APPENDIX 11: ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS PLAN
- APPENDIX 12: PROPERTY TITLE DEEDS DETAILS
- APPENDIX 13: LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS REPORT

DMR	:	Department of Mineral Resources.
DWS	:	Department of Water and Sanitation.
ECO	:	Environmental Control Official.
EIA	:	Environmental Impact Assessment.
EMPr	:	Environmental Management Programme.
FS	:	Free State.
IAP	:	Interested and Affected Parties.
LOM	:	Life of Mine.
MPRDA	:	Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development Act.
NEMA	:	National Environmental Management Act.
SAHRA	:	South African Heritage Resources Agency.
SAPS	:	South African Police Services.

1. IMPORTANT NOTICE

In terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (Act 28 of 2002 as amended), the Minister must grant a prospecting or mining right if among others the mining "will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment".

Unless an Environmental Authorisation can be granted following the evaluation of an Environmental Impact Assessment and an Environmental Management Programme report in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) (NEMA), it cannot be concluded that the said activities will not result in unacceptable pollution, ecological degradation or damage to the environment.

In terms of section 16(3)(b) of the EIA Regulations, 2014, any report submitted as part of an application must be prepared in a format that may be determined by the Competent Authority and in terms of section 17 (1) (c) the competent Authority must check whether the application has taken into account any minimum requirements applicable or instructions or guidance provided by the competent authority to the submission of applications.

It is therefore an instruction that the prescribed reports required in respect of applications for an environmental authorisation for listed activities triggered by an application for a right or a permit are submitted in the exact format of, and provide all the information required in terms of, this template. Furthermore please be advised that failure to submit the information required in the format provided in this template will be regarded as a failure to meet the requirements of the Regulation and will lead to the Environmental Authorisation being refused.

It is furthermore an instruction that the Environmental Assessment Practitioner must process and interpret his/her research and analysis and use the findings thereof to compile the information required herein. (Unprocessed supporting information may be attached as appendices). The EAP must ensure that the information required is placed correctly in the relevant sections of the Report, in the order, and under the provided headings as set out below, and ensure that the report is not cluttered with un-interpreted information and that it unambiguously represents the interpretation of the applicant.

2. OBJECTIVE OF THE BASIC ASSESSMENT PROCESS

The objective of the basic assessment process is to, through a consultative process-

- (a) determine the policy and legislative context within which the proposed activity is located and how the activity complies with and responds to the policy and legislative context;
- (b) identify the alternatives considered, including the activity, location, and technology alternatives;
- (c) describe the need and desirability of the proposed alternatives,
- (d) through the undertaking of an impact and risk assessment process inclusive of cumulative impacts which focused on determining the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage, and cultural sensitivity of the sites and locations within sites and the risk of impact of the proposed activity and technology alternatives on the these aspects to determine:
 - (i) the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration, and probability of the impacts occurring to; and
 - (ii) the degree to which these impacts-
 - (aa)can be reversed;
 - (bb)may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and
 - (cc) can be managed, avoided or mitigated;
- (e) through a ranking of the site sensitivities and possible impacts the activity and technology alternatives will impose on the sites and location identified through the life of the activity to—
 - (i) identify and motivate a preferred site, activity and technology alternative;
 - (ii) identify suitable measures to manage, avoid or mitigate identified impacts; and
 - (iii) identify residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

PART A

SCOPE OF ASSSSMENT AND BASIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

3. Contact Person and correspondence address

a) Details of

i) Details of the EAP

Name of The Practitioner:	CW Vermeulen
Tel No.:	051 412 6350
Fax No.:	082 824 9308
E-mail address:	cwv@envmgp.com

ii) Expertise of the EAP.

(1) **The qualifications of the EAP** (with evidence).

CW Vermeulen holds a BSc. Degree in Environmental and Biological Sciences. The full CV of the EAP is attached in Appendix 1.

(2) Summary of the EAP's past experience.

(In carrying out the Environmental Impact Assessment Procedure)

The EAP has over 7 years environmental assessment experience in projects covering waste management, mining permits or rights, road construction, infrastructure developments, agric-industrial developments, etc.

b) Location of the overall Activity.

Farm Name:	Gorra's Stad 75 portion 2
Application area (Ha):	4.9ha
Magisterial district:	Ladybrand
Distance and direction from	5 km Northeast from Hobhouse
nearest town	
21 digit Surveyor General	F021000000007500002
Code for each farm portion	

c) Locality map

(show nearest town, scale not smaller than 1:250000).

The locality map is shown in Appendix 2.

d) Description of the scope of the proposed overall activity.

Provide a plan drawn to a scale acceptable to the competent authority but not less than 1: 10 000 that shows the location, and area (hectares) of all the aforesaid main and listed activities, and infrastructure to be placed on site

The proposed site consists of undulating hills comprised of weathered dolerite, meaning the intrusions can be classified as horizontal sill layers. This means that the 5ha borrow pit area will be a uniform block, starting at a specified point, mining into the higher topography. The site is easily accessible from a well-developed unnamed gravel road leading straight into the town of Hobhouse. Please refer to Appendix 4 which contains the site locality map.

The purpose of registering a borrow pit will be for the supply of construction materials for the surrounding area. Only mobile and temporary structures will be used during the operational period of the borrow pit which includes the office site and space for vehicle parking of \pm 0, 5 ha. A 900 m fence will be erected around the borrow pit totalling a surface area of approximately 4, 4 ha. The site will impact an area of approximately 4, 5 ha.

Due to the nature of the material (weathered dolerite) only Excavators and TLBs will be used in the extraction process. Mining of dolerite will be executed as a shallow surface mining operation which makes "cuts" or a minable faces to mine before moving on to the next cut. No blasting will be required.

(i) Listed and specified activities

NAME OF ACTIVITY (E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etcetc	Aerial extent of the Activity Ha or m²	LISTED ACTIVITY Mark with an X where	APPLICABLE LISTING NOTICE (GNR 544, GNR
E.g. for mining,- excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etcetc)		applicable or affected.	545 or GNR 546)
Surface mining to excavate material (dolerite).	4.9 ha	X	NEMA Listing Notice 1, Activity No. 21, GNR 327 of 7 April 2017
Clearing of vegetation for surface mining	4.9 ha	X	NEMA Listing Notice 1, No. 27 of 327 of 7 April 2017

(ii) Description of the activities to be undertaken

(Describe Methodology or technology to be employed, including the type of commodity to be prospected /mined and for a linear activity, a description of the route of the activity)

The Mantsopa Local Municipality requires building material to be used in construction projects for the upgrading of various infrastructure in the surrounding area. Therefore, a borrow pit/ gravel quarry is proposed in order to source the above mentioned construction material.

When mining activities start, existing gravels roads will be used to grant access to the borrow pit. Vegetation clearance will have to occur first and for this site no specific areas will need to be avoided. It is recommended that the operation starts at the Southernmost point and progress into the higher topography to the North. If topsoil and overburden needs to be removed before mining the materials, they will be stockpiled correctly and used again during the rehabilitation of the borrow pit. Mining will be done primarily by excavator (due to the soft nature of the material) and tipper trucks will remove mined gravel from the site.

Material excavated from the proposed borrow pit will be processed onsite in the following manner:

For the excavation of G5 to G7 material, an excavator will be utilised and all mining operation will be of a shallow surface open cast. An excavator will simply excavate the material and load the excavated material on to hauling trucks.

e) Policy and Legislative Context

APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND GUIDELINES USED TO COMPILE THE REPORT (a description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process	REFERENCE WHERE APPLIED	HOW DOES THIS DEVELOPMENT COMPLIY WITH AND RESPOND TO THE LEGISLATION AND POLICY CONTEXT. (E.g. In terms of the National Water Act a Water Use License has/ has not been applied for)
Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development	Sections: 17, 38,	Application submitted
Act, No. 28 of 2002 (as amended)	39, 41 and 106	for a mining permit.
National Environmental Management Act, No.	Section 24, 44	Environmental authorisation is
107 of 1998 as amended	and 47	required prior to the
		commencement of an activity
		that requires a mining permit.
		This is in the process of being
		done.

f) Need and desirability of the proposed activities.

(Motivate the need and desirability of the proposed development including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location).

As the economy of South Africa grows the Mantsopa Local Municipality needs to develop their infrastructure to keep up with the growing population and need for job creation.

It is thus crucial to find and use suitable construction material for infrastructure. This material will be vital to the growth of the Mantsopa Local Municipality and will provide the opportunity for job creation and upgrading of existing infrastructure.

g) Motivation for the overall preferred site, activities and technology alternative.

An in-depth exploration and site selection process was undertaken to identify suitable areas to register a borrow pit. This commodity is of limited extent in the area and was difficult to find on municipal owned land. It stands to reason that the proposed borrow pit that is located on municipal land is the only alternative. The mining activities will be basic with the following occurring on the proposed site:

- 1 Construction and upgrading of existing gravel roads to gain access to the borrow pit,
- 2 Clearance of vegetation and stockpiling of topsoil and overburden,
- 3 Step wise (cuts) excavation of the dolerite material through means of an excavator and,
- 4 Transportation of the excavated material through means of tipper trucks to the project locations.

As far as possible, modern mining techniques will be used to minimize operational costs and environmental impacts. Due to the simplified nature of mining in a borrow pit no other technology alternatives are recommended/ available.

h) Full description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred alternatives within the site.

NB!! – This section is about the determination of the specific site layout and the location of infrastructure and activities on site, having taken into consideration the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and the consideration of alternatives to the initially proposed site layout.

Note that a detailed feasibility study was conducted with specific objectives and goals which aided in selecting an appropriate site for registering a borrow pit. This study is attached in Appendix 6.

i) Details of the development footprint alternatives considered.

With reference to the site plan provided as **Appendix 2** and the location of the individual activities on site, provide details of the alternatives considered with respect to:

- (a) the property on which or location where it is proposed to undertake the activity;
- (b) the type of activity to be undertaken;
- (c) the design or layout of the activity;
- (d) the technology to be used in the activity;
- (e) the operational aspects of the activity; and
- (f) the option of not implementing the activity.
- a) The site is located on Gorra's Stad 75 portion 2, Hobhouse, Mantsopa Local Municipality, Free State Province.
- b) The activity that will be undertaken is mining and the method used will be basic shallow surface open cast mining.
- c) Areas that will be dedicated for the storage of topsoil and mined material stockpiles will be demarcated prior to the commencement of stripping. Due to the limited scope of the activities, only one layout is considered in this report.
- d) No technology alternatives are considered in the report.
- e) No operational alternatives are considered in the report.
- f) The No-go alternative implies that no material from the proposed area will be available.

Details of the Public Participation Process Followed

Describe the process undertaken to consult interested and affected parties including public meetings and one on one consultation. NB the affected parties must be specifically consulted regardless of whether or not they attended public meetings. (Information to be provided to affected parties must include sufficient detail of the intended operation to enable them to assess what impact the activities will have on them or on the use of their land.

The following measures were taken regarding the public participation process:

- Interested and affected parties (I&AP) were identified, and all relevant information sent to these parties.
- Notice boards were placed at the location where the borrow pit excavations will take place as well as a nearby local general store where the larger public will be able to take notice of the mining permit application.
- Newspaper advertisement will be placed in the Free State Kroon

The following Interested and Affected Parties were identified:

- Department of Water and Sanitation;
- Department of Agriculture,
- Ward Counsillor
- Department of Rural Development and Land Reform
- Mantsopa Local Municipality
- Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality
- Local District Executive manager of the local Municipality

The proof of public participation is shown in Appendix 3.

i.

Summary of issues raised by I&APs (Complete the table summarising comments and issues raised, and reaction to those responses)

Interested and Affected Parties	6	Date	Issues raised	EAPs response to issues a	s Section and paragraph
		Comments		mandated by the applicant	reference in this report
List the names of persons consu	ilted in	Received			where the issues and
this column, and					or response were
Mark with an X where those who	o must				incorporated.
be consulted were in fact cons	ulted.				
AFFECTED PARTIES					
	X				
Landowner/s	Λ				
Mantsopa Local Municipality	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Lawful occupier/s of the land					
N/A	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Landowners or lawful occupiers on adjacent properties	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
N/A	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Municipal councillor	X	N/A	No issues where raised	N/A	N/A
Municipality	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Organs of state (Responsible for					
infrastructure that may be					
affected Roads Department,					
Eskom, Telkom, DWA e					
Department of Water and Sanitation	Х	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A

Thabo Mofutsanyana District	Χ	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Municipality					
	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Communities					
Dept. Land Affairs					
Department of Agriculture,	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Traditional Leaders					
None are applicable	X	N/A	No issues were raised	N/A	N/A
Dept. Environmental Affairs					
Department of Rural Development and					
Land Reform					
Other Competent Authorities					
affected					
OTHER AFFECTED PARTIES					
None					
INTERESTED PARTIES					
None					

ii. The Environmental attributes associated with the alternatives.(The environmental attributed described must include socio-economic, social, heritage, cultural, geographical, physical and biological aspects)

(1) Baseline Environment

(a) Type of environment affected by the proposed activity.

(its current geographical, physical, biological, socio- economic, and cultural character).

The borrow pit is located approximately 5 km in a North-East direction from the town of Hobhouse, Free State Province.

The site topography can be classified as being that of at the foot of an undulating hill which has a medium slope. The proposed 5ha site is located within the Eastern Free State Clay grassland (Gm3) (SANBI; 2018). Gm3 is an endangered vegetation type with very little of its distribution formally conserved (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; DEA, 2016). In addition, the site is placed within a CBA1 zone and is very well connected to other CBA and ESA areas (Collins, 2015). The site's locality and connectivity to other green nodes and its endangered status considerably raises its conservation value. At face value, the site should have a high conservation value.

However, the ecological survey concluded that the site and its surroundings have experienced severe bush encroachment pressures and have endured intense grazing. Most of the area has been transformed by a dense community of Seriphium plumosum, Felicia filifolia and Chrysocoma ciliata. These woody shrubs have caused considerable loss of biodiversity through encroachment (Hae, 2016). This has led to substantial site degradation and thus cannot be associated with a high conservation value. In addition, no sensitive/ protected species were logged.

The proposed borrow pit site lies within the Mantsopa Local Municipality, in the Thabo Mofutsanyane District Municipality. According to Stats SA the total population of Mantsopa municipality is 53 036 people, of which 47311 are black African, 1760 are coloured, 4010 are white people and with the other population groups making up the remaining 444 people. The main age group is between 15 and 34 years of age. Only 2,6% of people completed Matric , whereas 4,8% have a higher education. The dependency ratio in the area is 59.8 (This indicates a high pressure on a low active population). 36, 9% have a good quality of water service provided inside a dwelling or yard and 82,5% of people obtain water outside their yard. Electricity is provided for 80,4% of the population.

(b) Description of the current land uses.

The surrounding land is utilised by subsistence farmers as grazing for livestock.

(c) Description of specific environmental features and infrastructure on the site.

There is no infrastructure near proposed borrow pit site.

(d) Environmental and current land use map.

(Show all environmental, and current land use features)

Refer to Appendix 4 to observe the current land use and the environment.

iii. Impacts and risks identified including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts

(Provide a list of the potential impacts identified of the activities described in the initial site layout that will be undertaken, as informed by both the typical known impacts of such activities, and as informed by the consultations with affected parties together with the significance, probability, and duration of the impacts. Please indicate the extent to which they can be reversed, the extent to which they may cause irreplaceable loss of resources, and can be avoided, managed or mitigated).

Refer to Appendix 5.3 which includes a full risk impact assessment

iv. Methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks;

(Describe how the significance, probability, and duration of the aforesaid identified impacts that were identified through the consultation process was determined in order to decide the extent to which the initial site layout needs revision).

Refer to Appendix 5.2 for the methods used to determine the environmental impact.

v. The positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity (in terms of the initial site layout) and alternatives will have on the environment and the community that may be affected.

(Provide a discussion in terms of advantages and disadvantages of the initial site layout compared to alternative layout options to accommodate concerns raised by affected parties)

The site layout of the proposed borrow pit is simple and uncomplicated from a mining perspective since it will only be utilised as a source of construction material. In terms of site layout and its alternatives, no significant changes will be noticed as the site is only a small 5ha area. As such, the positive and negative impacts relating to the layout of the borrow pit are insignificant, impacting neither in a positive nor negative manner.

vi. The possible mitigation measures that could be applied and the level of risk.

(With regard to the issues and concerns raised by affected parties provide a list of the issues raised and an assessment/ discussion of the mitigations or site layout alternatives available to accommodate or address their concerns, together with an assessment of the impacts or risks associated with the mitigation or alternatives considered).

Refer to Appendix 5.4 for the mitigation measures.

vii. Motivation why no alternative sites were considered.

As previously mentioned, the Mantsopa Local Municipality together with the Feasibility Study (Appendix 6) had objectives which included that dolerite must be used as construction material and it had to be on municipal owned land. Due to the scares resource in the area any dolerite areas found on municipal land were selected. Due to transportation constraints (distance form towns), only the area being applied for is feasible.

viii. Statement motivating the alternative development location within the overall site. (Provide a statement motivating the final site layout that is proposed)

No alternative development was considered for the small 5ha site, which will be insignificant.

i) Full description of the process undertaken to identify, assess and rank the impacts and risks the activity will impose on the preferred site (In respect of the final site layout plan) through the life of the activity. (Including (i) a description of all environmental issues and risks that were identified during the environmental impact assessment process and (ii) an assessment of the significance of each issue and risk and an indication of the extent to which the issue and risk could be avoided or addressed by the adoption of mitigation measures.)

Only one layout was considered. Information about the process is contained in Appendix 5.

j) Assessment of each identified potentially significant impact and risk (This section of the report must consider all the known typical impacts of each of the activities (including those that could or should have been identified by knowledgeable persons) and not only those that were raised by registered interested and affected parties).

NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS	PHASE	SIGNIFICANCE	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE
(E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etcetc E.g. For mining,- excavations, blacting stockniles discard dumps or	(Including the potential impacts for cumulative impacts)	AFFECTED	In which impact is anticipated	ir not mitigated	(modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, storm- water control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc. etc)	If mitigated
dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etcetc)	surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etcetc)		(e.g. Construction, commissioning, operational Decommissioning, closure, post-closure)		E.g. Modify through alternative method. Control through noise control Control through management and monitoring through rehabilitation	
Site establishment activities (fencing, signage, access	Loss of vegetation	Visual character, Land Use	Pre-mining	Medium	Remedy through rehabilitation, Limit footprint	Low
formation, etc.)	Habitat destruction	Visual character	Pre-mining	Medium	Remedy through rehabilitation, Limit footprint	Low
	Visual scarring	Visual character	Pre-mining	Medium	Remedy through rehabilitation	Low
	Soil erosion	Visual character, Land use	Pre-mining	Medium	Remedy through rehabilitation, Limit footprint, Control through storm water control	Low
Clearance of area for mining	Visual scarring	Visual character	Operational Phase	Medium	Remedy through rehabilitation	Low
	Destruction of flora and habitat	Visual character, Land use	Operational Phase	Medium	Remedy through rehabilitation, Limit footprint and removal of vegetation	Low
	Loss of agricultural potential	Land use management	Operational Phase	Low	Control through soil conservation techniques	Low

NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE	SIGNIFICANCE if not mitigated	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE if mitigated
					Limit footprint of the borrow pit as far possible to limit loss of agricultural land	
	Soil erosion	Land use management	Operational	Medium	Control through soil conservation techniques, Stop through appropriate storage of topsoil	Low
Excavation	Dust emissions	Air quality	Operational Phase	Medium	Use of dust control measures	Low
	Drainage disruption	Drainage	Operational Phase	High	Control through storm water controls	Low
	Slope instability	Topography	Operational Phase	Low	Control through slope management controls	Low
	Noise	Noise	Operational Phase	Low	Control through noise control measures	Low
	Visual scarring	Visual character	Operational Phase	Medium	Remedy through rehabilitation of already worked areas	Low
	Soil erosion	Land use	Operational Phase	High	Remedy through the rehabilitation of already worked areas, Control through slope control, Stop through appropriate storage of topsoil	Low
	Destruction of heritage resource	Heritage issues	Operational Phase	Low	Avoidance	Low
Drilling & blasting (if done)	Noise and vibrations	Noise	Operational Phase	Medium	Use of blast control measures	Low
	Dust	Air quality	Operational Phase	Low	Use of dust control measures	Low
	Fly rock	Safety and land degradation	Operational Phase	Low	Use blast of control measures and site management protocols	Low

NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE	SIGNIFICANCE if not mitigated	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE if mitigated
Waste Disposal and Material storage	Soil contamination	Land degradation	Operational Phase	Low	Avoidance	Low
	Water pollution	Water	Operational Phase	Low	Avoidance	Low
	Increased risk of fire	Safety	Operational Phase	Low	Avoidance	Low
Material handling, hauling and transportation	Dust	Air quality	Operational Phase	Low	Use of dust control measures	Low
	Increased risk of accidents	Safety	Operational Phase	Low	Use of site management protocols	Low
	Noise	Noise	Operational Phase	Low	Control through noise control measures	Low
	Soil contamination from oil/fuel leaks	Land degradation	Operational Phase	Low	Stop through operational control measures.	Low
Removal of infrastructure & equipment and re- shaping of	Noise	Noise	Decommissioning and closure	Low	Use of noise control measures	Low
borrow pit	Dust	Air quality	Decommissioning and closure	Low	Use of dust control measures	Low
	Soil contamination from oil/fuel	Land degradation	Decommissioning and closure	Low	Stop through operational control measures	Low
	Disruption of surface drainage	Water movement	Decommissioning and closure	Low	Remedy with storm water controls, remedy through rehabilitation	Low
Community and labour relations management	Community conflicts and tensions	Community relations	Operational Phase	Low	Control with site management protocols	Low
	Increased risk of fire	Fire risk	Operational Phase	Low	Control with site management protocols	Low
	Reduced security on area	Safety issues	Operational Phase	Low	Control with site management protocols	Low

NAME OF ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE	SIGNIFICANCE if not mitigated	MITIGATION TYPE	SIGNIFICANCE if mitigated
	Improved employment	Community relations	Operational Phase	Low	Control with site management protocols	Low
	Improved skills	Community relations	Operational Phase	Low	Control with site management protocols	Low

The supporting impact assessment conducted by the EAP is attached in Appendix 5.

k) Summary of specialist reports.

(This summary must be completed if any specialist reports informed the impact assessment and final site layout process and must be in the following tabular form):-

LIST OF STUDIES UNDERTAKEN	RECOMMENDATIONS OF SPECIALIST REPORTS	SPECIALIST RECOMMENDATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN INCLUDED IN THE EIA REPORT (Mark with an X where applicable)	REFERENCE TO APPLICABLE SECTION OF REPORT WHERE SPECIALIST RECOMMENDATIONS HAVE BEEN INCLUDED.
Ecological Assessment	Impact on ecological elements within the study area is considered unlikely	X	
Heritage Impact Assessment	All items of a heritage nature to be handled as per the Heritage Resources Act.	X	

(i) Summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment;

The findings of the studies undertaken within this EIA to assess both the benefits and potential negative impacts anticipated from the proposed project conclude that:

- There are no environmental fatal flaws that should prevent the proposed borrow pit development, provided that the recommended mitigation and management measures are implemented, and given due consideration during the life of mine of the borrow pit.
- The development will have both positive and negative social impacts. It will create employment for locals during operational and closure and rehabilitation. The negative impacts are very low and restricted to loss of grazing.
- The management of the impacts hinge on the effective and efficient operation of the borrow pit. There is a need to ensure that competent personnel are employed and adequate training and skills development are provided for where it is lacking.
- The cumulative significance of all the negative potential impacts on the environment is considered low due to the limited scale of the development and the scarcity of development in the immediate surrounding area.
 - (ii) Final Site Map

Provide a map at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed overall activity and its associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the preferred site indicating any areas that should be avoided, including buffers.

Map information is attached as Appendix 7.

(iii)Summary of the positive and negative impacts and risks of the proposed activity and identified alternatives;

No alternatives were considered. The major negative impacts associated with the proposed borrow pit is the deterioration of environmental parameters such as loss of vegetation and land use. These impacts however have no- to low impacts on the small 5 ha scale that is being applied for and if rehabilitation is applied correctly the risks will be considered insignificant.

For the proposed borrow pit the positive impacts far outweigh the small environmental impacts from operating a borrow pit. Authorizing the borrow pit will stimulate the construction sector for the surrounding community which leads to temporary/ permanent job creation. This in turn increase living standards and infrastructure for the area.

m) Proposed impact management objectives and the impact management outcomes for inclusion in the EMPr;

Based on the assessment and where applicable the recommendations from specialist reports, the recording of proposed impact management objectives, and the impact management outcomes for the development for inclusion in the EMPr as well as for inclusion as conditions of authorisation.

The management objectives and impact management outcomes are:

• To fulfil the requirements of Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, the requirements of the National Environmental Management Act and other legislative requirements.

- To promote the rational development of the borrow pit in order to reduce or eliminate the associated negative environmental impacts.
- To identify proposed mitigation and management measures to manage adverse impacts and to increase benefits.
- To ensure that the applicant use resources efficiently and effectively during the life of mine in order to reduce wastage thereby reducing associated negative environmental impacts.
- To improve the environmental awareness of all personnel who will work at the borrow pit.

n) Aspects for inclusion as conditions of Authorisation.

Any aspects which must be made conditions of the Environmental Authorisation

The applicant should provide environmental training for all employees working at the borrow pit during life of mine.

o) Description of any assumptions, uncertainties and gaps in knowledge.

(Which relate to the assessment and mitigation measures proposed)

In undertaking this investigation and compiling the report, it has been assumed that:

- The information provided by the client, the applicant and specialists is accurate and unbiased.
- The scope of this investigation is limited to assessing the environmental impacts associated with the proposed mine area and does not include assessment of lifecycle analysis of equipment and other materials to be used at the mine.

p) Reasoned opinion as to whether the proposed activity should or should not be authorised

i) Reasons why the activity should be authorized or not.

There are no environmental fatal flaws that should prevent the proposed development of the proposed mine on the current location provided that the recommended mitigation and management measures are implemented

The cumulative significance of all the negative potential impacts on the environment is considered low due to the limited scale of the development and the scarcity of development in the immediate surrounding area.

ii) Conditions that must be included in the authorisation

- The borrow pit will be rehabilitated properly after material has been sourced and the Municipality should give their input in the finishing off and rehabilitation of the mine (Rehabilitation Plan).
- The mine must be managed in accordance with the Environmental Management Program /plan
- The finishing off of the mine must be safe for humans and animals
- People residing in the area should be informed of who will be working on the mine.
- There should be no dumping of any kind of waste at or in the mine.
- Environmental awareness training should be given to all employees working at the mine
- The applicant should provide environmental training for all employees working at the mine during life of mine.

q) Period for which the Environmental Authorisation is required.

3 years for a mining permit.

r) Undertaking

Confirm that the undertaking required to meet the requirements of this section is provided at the end of the EMPr is applicable to both the Basic assessment report and the Environmental Management Programme report.

The undertaking is provided at the end of the Report.

s) Financial Provision

State the amount that is required to both manage and rehabilitate the environment in respect of rehabilitation.

The Department of Mineral and Petroleum Resources was contacted before the submission of this BAR. DMR confirmed that since the Mantsopa Local Municipality is the applicant no financial provision report will be required. Rehabilitation will then be the sole responsibility of the contractor that is utilizing the borrow pit.

- t) Specific Information required by the competent Authority
 - i) Compliance with the provisions of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) read with section 24 (3) (a) and (7) of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998). the EIA report must include the:-
 - (1) Impact on the socio-economic conditions of any directly affected person. (Provide the results of Investigation, assessment, and evaluation of the impact of the mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting on any directly affected person including the landowner, lawful occupier, or, where applicable, potential beneficiaries of any land restitution claim, attach the investigation report as an Appendix

The mining activities will have no direct impact on the social-economic conditions. The landowner is the Mantsopa Local Municipality which is using the land for informal subsistence farming. The material will be used to improve the road conditions and safety that will have a positive impact on the social-economic conditions within the surrounding community.

(2) Impact on any national estate referred to in section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act. (Provide the results of Investigation, assessment, and evaluation of the impact of the mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting on any national estate referred to in section 3(2) of the National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999) with the exception of the national estate contemplated in section 3(2)(*i*)(vi) and (vii) of that Act, attach the investigation report as Appendix 2.19.2 and confirm that the applicable mitigation is reflected in 2.5.3; 2.11.6.and 2.12.herein).

No site of archaeological or historical significance may be moved without a permit from the SAHRA. Any permitted removal of any archaeological or historical matter must be done under the strict supervision of a qualified registered heritage specialist.

u) Other matters required in terms of sections 24(4)(a) and (b) of the Act. (the EAP managing the application must provide the competent authority with detailed, written proof of an investigation as required by section 24(4)(b)(i) of the Act and motivation if no reasonable or feasible alternatives, as contemplated in sub-regulation 22(2)(h), exist. The EAP must attach such motivation as Appendix 4).

As the nature and scale of the borrow pit operation is limited to 5ha, no reasonable feasible alternative was therefore considered. Whilst no feasible alternatives are assessed, the assessment of the No Go alternative, i.e. not implementing the borrow pit development was done.

PART B

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME REPORT

1) Draft environmental management programme.

a) **Details of the EAP**, (Confirm that the requirement for the provision of the details and expertise of the EAP are already included in PART A, section 1(a) herein as required).

The details of the EAP are provided in Part A.

b) **Description of the Aspects of the Activity** (Confirm that the requirement to describe the aspects of the activity that are covered by the draft environmental management programme is already included in PART A, section (1)(h) herein as required).

The details are covered in Part A as required.

c) Composite Map

(Provide a map (Attached as an Appendix) at an appropriate scale which superimposes the proposed activity, its associated structures, and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the preferred site, indicating any areas that should be avoided, including buffers)

The map is included in Appendix 7.

d) Description of Impact management objectives including management statements

i) **Determination of closure objectives.** (ensure that the closure objectives are informed by the type of environment described)

The closure management objectives took into account the existing environment, possible environmental impacts and the expectations at closure. To ensure that the closure objectives are informed by the type of environment, the anticipated impacts and damage at closure, the sensitivity of the area and expected post closure land use were taken into account. In doing so, principles of integrated environmental management were taken into account together with the principles of sustainable development. The closure objectives are:

- To create a post mining environment that eliminates unacceptable health hazards and ensures public safety.
- To leave the site in a stable, non-polluting and tidy condition with no remaining plant or infrastructure that is not required for post mining operational use.
- To minimise or eliminate the downstream environmental impacts on the ecosystem due to interruption of drainage once the borrow pit operations cease.
- To establish a stable post-mining land surface which has been rehabilitated that also supports vegetation growth, is erosion resistant and has long term sustainability.
- To reduce the need for long-term monitoring and maintenance by establishing effective stability of the disturbed areas.

ii) Volumes and rate of water use required for the operation.

No water will be used for actual borrow pit operations; however, water will be available for the personnel working at the borrow pit and distributed via a water truck.

iii) Has a water use licence has been applied for?

In terms of actual water usage on site, no water license will be required

iv) Impacts to be mitigated in their respective phases

Measures to rehabilitate the environment affected by the undertaking of any listed activity

4	ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR
	(E.g. For prospecting - drill site, site camp, ablution facility, accommodation, equipment storage, sample storage, site office, access route etcetcetc E.g. For mining,- excavations, olasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, oipelines, power lines, conveyors, etcetcetc.)	(of operation in which activity will take place. State; Planning and design, Pre-Construction' Construction, Operational, Rehabilitation, Closure, Post closure).	of disturbance (volumes, tonnages and hectares or m ²)	(describe how each of the recommendations in herein will remedy the cause of pollution or degradation and migration of pollutants)	(A description of how each of the recommendations herein will comply with any prescribed environmental management standards or practices that have been identified by Competent Authorities)	Describe the time period when the measures in the environmental management programme must be implemented Measures must be implemented when required. With regard to Rehabilitation specifically this must take place at the earliest opportunity. With regard to Rehabilitation, therefore state either:- Upon cessation of the individual activity or. Upon the cessation of mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting as the case may be.
:	Site establishment activities (fencing, signage, access formation, etc.)	Start-up	± 0.5ha	See Appendix 5	Issues of compliance with standards will be incorporated into the day to day activities at the quarry. The work methods used the monitoring and measures done and the review processes will be aimed at ensuring that legal thresholds as set out in the environmental standards are complied with. This will include compliance with Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and National Water Act regulations.	During start-up and operational phase.
(Clearance of vegetation for mining	Start-up & Operational phase	± 4.9 ha	See Appendix 5	The work methods used, the monitoring and measurements done and the review processes will the aimed at ensuring that legal thresholds as set out in the environmental standards are complied with. This will include compliance with	During start-up and operational phase as necessary.

ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR
				Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act.	
Excavation of material	Operational	± 4.9 ha	See Appendix 5	Management of legal compliance will be incorporated into normal business activities. This means that particular responsibilities need to be clearly defined for the identification of relevant issues and delivery of compliance. This will help to ensure that adequate resources are available to support these activities. Environmental standards as set out in Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and National Water Act regulations.	Operational phase.
Drilling & blasting (if done)	Operational	As needed	See Appendix 5	All recommendations and mitigation measures will ensure little to no permanent impact on the environment this will ensure effective rehabilitation and restoration.	Operational phase (when necessary)
Waste disposal and material storage	Operational	Undetermined	See Appendix 5	The waste management hierarchy and the proximity principle will be used in ensuring that the environmental standards as set out in National Environmental Management Waste Act regulations and National Water Act regulations, are complied with.	Operational phase.
Material handling, hauling and transportation	Operational	Undetermined	See Appendix 5	Issues of compliance with standards will be incorporated into the day to day business activities at the quarry to ensure that legal thresholds as set out in the environmental standards are complied with. This will include	Operational phase.

ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND SCALE	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR
				compliance with standards as per Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations, National Water Act regulations and Mine Health and Safety Act regulations.	
Removal of infrastructure & equipment	Decommissioning and closure	Affected areas	See Appendix 5	The recommendations will incorporate factors that include the elimination or the minimization of negative impacts in the work methodologies used during decommissioning so as to comply with the standards as per Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and National Environmental Management Act.	At decommissioning.
Re-shaping of quarry	Decommissioning and closure	±4.9ha	See Appendix 5	Considerations with the elimination or at least the minimization of any future impacts from the quarry and the long term stability of the facility. Also, any concerns in relation to the long term liability for the proposed quarry/mine and its aesthetics will be incorporated in order to ensure compliance with standards as set out in the Mine Health and Safety Act regulations, National Environmental Management Act and National Water Act regulations.	Closure period.
Community and labour relations management	Operational	N/A	See Appendix 5	Will comply with Basic Conditions of Employment Act regulations, Employment equity Act, Labour Relations Act and Skills Development Act.	During operational phase.
Re-vegetation of disturbed areas	Closure	± 4.99ha	See Appendix 5	The future impacts from the quarry and the long term stability of the area, any concerns in relation to the long term liability for the facility	During operational phase in sections where mining has been completed and during

ACTIVITIES	PHASE	SIZE AND	MITIGATION MEASURES	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	TIME PERIOD FOR
		SCALE			IMPLEMENTATION
				and its aesthetics will be taken into account to	closure.
				ensure compliance with the National	
				Environmental Management Act, Conservation	
				of Agricultural Resources Act and National	
				Environmental Management Biodiversity Act	
				regulations.	

Details of mitigation measures are provided for in Appendix 5.

e) Impact Management Outcomes (A description of impact management outcomes, identifying the standard of impact management required for the aspects contemplated in paragraph ();

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE	MITIGATION TYPE	STANDARD TO BE ACHIEVED
(whether listed or not listed). (E.g. Excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etcetcetc.).	(e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etcetc)		In which impact is anticipated (e.g. Construction, commissioning, operational Decommissioning, closure, post- closure)	 (modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, storm- water control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc. etc) E.g. Modify through alternative method. Control through noise control Control through management and monitoring Remedy through rehabilitation. 	(Impact avoided, noise levels, dust levels, rehabilitation standards, end use objectives) etc.
Site establishment activities (fencing,	Loss of vegetation	Visual character, Land use	Start-up	Remedy through rehabilitation Limit footprint	Impact managed effectively
signage, access formation, etc.)	Habitat destruction	Visual character, land use	Start-up	Remedy through rehabilitation Limit footprint	Impact reduced
	Visual scarring	Visual character	Start-up and Operational Phase	Remedy through rehabilitation	Impact managed effectively
	Soil erosion	Visual character, land use	Star-up and Operational Phase	Remedy through rehabilitation, storm water control. Limit footprint, Control through storm water control	Impact avoided
Clearance of area for mining	Visual scarring	Visual character	Operational Phase	Remedy through rehabilitation Limit footprint and removal of vegetation.	Impact managed to acceptable levels, residual impact reduced
	Destruction of flora and habitat	Visual character, land sue	Operational Phase	Remedy through rehabilitation	Impact reduced to satisfactory levels
	Loss of agricultural potential	Land use management	Operational Phase	Use soil conservation techniques Limit Foot print	Impact managed to suitable soil fertility levels
	Soil erosion	Visual character, land	Start-up and	Remedy through rehabilitation,	Impact avoided

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE	MITIGATION TYPE	STANDARD TO BE ACHIEVED
		use	operational	Storm water control	
Excavation	Dust emissions	Air quality	Operational Phase	Control with dust control	Particulates reduced to acceptable
				measures	levels
	Drainage disruption	Drainage	Operational Phase	Control with Storm water	Good surface water run-off
				controls	established around the mining
					area.
	Slope instability	Topography	Operational Phase	Control with slope management controls	Stable surfaces established
	Noise	Noise	Operational Phase	Control with Noise control	Noise reduced to acceptable
				measures	levels
	Visual scarring	Visual character	Operational Phase	Rehabilitation	Impact managed effectively,
			-		residual impact reduced
	Soil erosion	Land use	Operational Phase	Rehabilitation, use slope	Impact levels avoided
				management control	
	Destruction of	Heritage issues	Operational Phase	Avoidance	Impact avoided
	heritage resource				
Drilling & blasting (if	Noise and vibrations	Noise	Operational Phase	Control with blast control	Noise levels reduced to
done)				measures	acceptable levels
	Dust	Air quality	Operational Phase	Control with dust control	Particulates reduced to acceptable
				measures	levels
				Control with blast control	
				measures	
	Fly rock	Safety and land	Operational Phase	Control with blast control	Fly rock avoided
		degradation		measures	
Waste Disposal and	Soil contamination	Land degradation	Operational Phase	Avoidance, operational control	Impact avoided
Material storage	Water pollution	Motor	Oneretional Dhees	Measures	Import evolded
	water pollution	water	Operational Phase	Avoidance, operational control	Impact avoided
	Increased risk of fire	Safety	Operational Phase	Avoidance operational control	Impact avoided or managed to low
		ourcey		measures	levels
Material handling,	Dust	Air quality	Operational Phase	Control with dust control	Particulates reduced to acceptable

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	ASPECTS AFFECTED	PHASE	MITIGATION TYPE	STANDARD TO BE ACHIEVED
hauling and				measures	levels
transportation	Increased risk of	Safety	Operational Phase	Use site management protocols	Accidents avoided or reduced to
	accidents				low levels
	Noise	Noise	Operational Phase	Control with noise control	Noise reduced to acceptable
				measures	levels
	Soil contamination	Land degradation	Operational Phase	Operational control measures	Impact managed to suitable soil
	from oil/fuel leaks				fertility levels
Removal of	Noise	Noise	Decommissioning	Control with noise control	Noise levels reduced to
infrastructure &			and Closure	measures	acceptable levels
equipment and re-	Dust	Air quality	Decommissioning	Control with dust control	Particulates reduced to acceptable
shaping of Quarry			and Closure	measures	levels
	Soil contamination	Land degradation, water	Decommissioning	Avoidance, Control with	Impact managed to suitable soil
	from oil/fuel	pollution	and Closure	operational control measures	fertility levels
	Disruption of surface	Water movement	Decommissioning	Control with storm water controls	Free drainage achieved
	drainage		and Closure		
Community and labour	Community conflicts	Community relations	Operational Phase	Control using site management	Reduction in complaints and
relations management	and tensions			protocols	incidences of conflict
	Increased risk of fire	Fire risk	Operational Phase	Control using Site management	Fires avoided and risk reduced
				protocols	
	Reduced security on	Safety issues	Operational Phase	Control site management	Improvement in security and
	area			protocols	elimination of theft incidences
	Improved employment	Community relations	Operational Phase	Control site management	Increase in number of people
				protocols	employed
	Improved skills	Community relations	Operational Phase	Controls site management	Improvement in skills level
				protocols	

f) Impact Management Actions

 (A description of impact management actions, identifying the manner in which the impact management objectives and outcomes contemplated in paragraphs (c) and (d) will be achieved).

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	MITIGATION TYPE	TIME PERIOD FOR	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS
			IMPLEMENTATION	
whether listed or not listed. (E.g. Excavations, blasting, stockpiles, discard dumps or dams, Loading, hauling and transport, Water supply dams and boreholes, accommodation, offices, ablution, stores, workshops, processing plant, storm water control, berms, roads, pipelines, power lines, conveyors, etcetcetc.).	(e.g. dust, noise, drainage surface disturbance, fly rock, surface water contamination, groundwater contamination, air pollution etcetc)	 (modify, remedy, control, or stop) through (e.g. noise control measures, storm- water control, dust control, rehabilitation, design measures, blasting controls, avoidance, relocation, alternative activity etc. etc) E.g. Modify through alternative method. Control through noise control Control through management and monitoring Remedy through rehabilitation 	Describe the time period when the measures in the environmental management program must be implemented Measures must be implemented when required. With regard to Rehabilitation specifically this must take place at the earliest opportunity. With regards to Rehabilitation, therefore state either: Upon cessation of the individual activity or. Upon the cessation of mining, bulk sampling or alluvial diamond prospecting as the case may be.	(A description of how each of the recommendations in 2.11.6 read with 2.12 and 2.15.2 herein will comply with any prescribed environmental management standards or practices that have been identified by Competent Authorities)
Site establishment activities (fencing,	Loss of vegetation	Remedy through rehabilitation Limit footprint	Start-up	Issues of compliance with standards will be incorporated into the day to day activities at the
signage, access formation, etc.)	Habitat destruction	Remedy through rehabilitation Limit footprint	Start-up	mine. The work methods used, the monitoring and measures done, and the review processes will be
	Visual scarring	Remedy through rehabilitation	Start-up and Operational Phase	aimed at ensuring that legal thresholds, as set out in the environmental standards, are complied with.
	Soil erosion	Remedy through rehabilitation, storm water control. Limit footprint, Control through storm water control	Start-up and Operational Phase	This will include compliance with Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and National Water Act regulations.
Clearance of area for mining	Visual scarring	Remedy through rehabilitation Limit footprint and removal of vegetation.	Operational Phase	The work methods used, the monitoring and measurements done and the review processes will be aimed at ensuring that legal thresholds, as set
	Destruction of flora and habitat	Remedy through rehabilitation	Operational Phase	out in the environmental standards, are complied with. This will include compliance with Mining and
	Loss of agricultural potential	Use soil conservation techniques Limit foot print as far as possible	Operational Phase	Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and

ACTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	MITIGATION TYPE	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS
	Soil erosion	Remedy through rehabilitation, Storm water control	Operational Phase	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act.
Excavation	Dust emissions	Control with dust control measures	Operational Phase	Management of legal compliance will be
	Drainage disruption	Control with Storm water controls	Operational Phase	incorporated into normal business activities. This
	Slope instability	Control with slope management controls	Operational Phase	means that particular responsibilities need to be clearly defined for the identification of relevant
	Noise	Control with Noise control measures	Operational Phase	issues and delivery of compliance. This will help to ensure that adequate resources are available to
	Visual scarring	Rehabilitation	Operational Phase	support these activities. Environmental standards
	Soil erosion	Rehabilitation, use slope management control, storm water control	Operational Phase	as set out in Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations and Mine Health and Safety Act regulations.
	Destruction of heritage resource	Avoidance	Operational Phase	
Drilling & blasting (if done)	Noise and vibrations	Control with blast control measures	Operational Phase	This will be achieved by clearly outlining the environmental standards to be achieved and the thresholds which are not to be exceeded in the management system used at the site. This will include compliance with standards as per, Explosive Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and the Hazardous Substances Act
	Dust	Control with dust control measures Control with blast control measures	Operational Phase	
	Fly rock	Control with blast control measures, operational control.	Operational Phase	
Waste disposal and material storage	Soil contamination	Avoidance, Operational control measures	Operational Phase	The waste management hierarchy and the proximity principle will be used in ensuring that the
	Water pollution	Avoidance, Operational control measures	Operational Phase	environmental standards as set out in National Environmental Management Waste Act regulations
	Increased risk of fire	Avoidance, Operational control measures	Operational Phase	and National Water Act regulations are complied with.
Material handling,	Dust	Control with dust Control measures	Operational Phase	Issues of compliance with standards will be
hauling and transportation	Increased risk of accidents	Site management protocols	Operational Phase	incorporated into the day to day activities at the mine to ensure that legal thresholds as set out in

ΑCTIVITY	POTENTIAL IMPACT	MITIGATION TYPE	TIME PERIOD FOR IMPLEMENTATION	COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS	
	Noise	Control with noise control measures	Operational Phase	the environmental standards are complied with. This will include compliance with Mining and	
	Soil contamination from oil/fuel leaks	Control with operational control measures	Operational Phase	Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations, National Water Act regulations and Mine Health and Safety Act regulations.	
Removal of infrastructure & equipment and shaping	Noise	Control with noise control measures	Decommissioning and Closure	The recommendations will incorporate factors that include the elimination or the minimization of	
of quarry	Dust	Control with dust control measures	Decommissioning and Closure	negative impacts in the work methodologies used during decommissioning so as to comply with the Mining and Petroleum Resources Development Act regulations, Mine Health and Safety Act regulations and National Environmental Management Act.	
	Soil contamination from oil/fuel	Control with operational control measures	Decommissioning and Closure		
	Disruption of surface drainage	Control with storm water controls	Decommissioning and Closure		
Community and labour relations management	Community conflicts and tensions	Control using site management protocols	Operational Phase	The future impacts from the mine and the long term stability of the area, any concerns in relation to the	
	Increased risk of fire	Control using site management protocols	Operational Phase	long term liability for the facility and its aesthetics will be taken into account to ensure compliance	
	Reduced security in area	Control site management protocols	Operational Phase	with the National Environmental Management Act,	
	Improved employment	Control site management protocols	Operational Phase	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act and	
	Improved skills	Use of site management protocols	Operational Phase	National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act regulations.	
- i) Financial Provision
 - (1) Determination of the amount of Financial Provision.
 - (a) Describe the closure objectives and the extent to which they have been aligned to the baseline environment described under the Regulation.

Note that the Mantsopa local municipality is the applicant but will not be required to submit a financial provision report. The responsibility of rehabilitation will be the sole responsibility of the contractor using the borrow pit. Whilst steps are taken throughout the project life cycle to reduce negative environmental impacts as they occur, the specific closure objectives are as follows:

- To create a post mining environment that eliminates unacceptable health hazards and ensures public safety.
- To leave the site in a stable, non-polluting and tidy condition with no remaining plant or infrastructure that is not required for post mining operational use.
- To minimise or eliminate the downstream environmental impacts on the ecosystem due to interruption of drainage once the mine operations cease.
- To establish a stable post-mining land surface which has been rehabilitated that also supports vegetation growth, is erosion resistant and has long term sustainability.
- To rehabilitate the disturbed areas to an end land use similar to that prior to commencement of any mining activities as far possible, in this case an end land use of at least grazing.
- To reduce the need for long-term monitoring and maintenance by establishing effective stability of the disturbed areas.
- (b) Confirm specifically that the environmental objectives in relation to closure have been consulted with landowner and interested and affected parties.

Objectives have been set out in regard to closing of the borrow pit. Consultation between the EAP, landowner and I&AP has taken place.

(c) Provide a rehabilitation plan that describes and shows the scale and aerial extent of the main mining activities, including the anticipated mining area at the time of closure.

The rehabilitation plan is shown in Appendix 9.

(d) Explain why it can be confirmed that the rehabilitation plan is compatible with the closure objectives.

The rehabilitation takes into consideration the nature of the impacted land at the end of operational activities and objectives at closure and the need to ensure that the post closure maintenance is minimal.

(e) Calculate and state the quantum of the financial provision required to manage and rehabilitate the environment in accordance with the applicable guideline.

A financial provision report will not be applicable to the Mantsopa Local Municipality which is the applicant. The contractor which utilizes the borrow pit will be responsible for the total cost of rehabilitation as set out according to the EMPr and Rehabilitation Plan.

(f) Confirm that the financial provision will be provided as determined.

The applicant, Mantsopa Local Municipality, will be responsible to ensure rehabilitation is done by the contractor.

Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with and performance assessment against the environmental management programme and reporting thereon, including

g) Monitoring of Impact Management Actions

h) Monitoring and reporting frequency

Responsible persons i)

Time period for implementing impact management actions Mechanism for monitoring compliance j)

k)

SOURCE ACTIVITY	IMPACTS REQUIRING	FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR	ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES	MONITORING AND REPORTING
	MONITORING	MONITORING	(FOR THE EXECUTION OF THE	FREQUENCY and TIME PERIODS FOR
	PROGRAMMES		MONITORING PROGRAMMES)	IMPLEMENTING IMPACT
				MANAGEMENT ACTIONS
Site establishment	Loss of vegetation,	Visual checks, monitoring incidences	Appointed Contractor/	At start and as and when required.
activities (fencing,	Habitat destruction,	of non-compliance, recording of key		Record incidences of non-compliance
signage, access	Visual scarring, Soil	parameters		monthly.
formation, etc.)	erosion			
		See Appendix 10 for details		See Appendix 9 for details
Clearance of area for	Visual scarring,	Visual checks, monitoring incidences	Appointed Contractor	At start and as and when required.
mining	Destruction of flora and	of non-compliance, recording of key		Record incidences of non-
	habitat, Loss of	parameters		conformances as they occur and do
	agricultural potential			monthly report.
		See Appendix 10 for details		See Appendix 9 for details
Excavation	Dust emissions,	Visual checks, monitoring incidences	Appointed Contractor	During operational phase. Record
	Drainage disruption,	of non-compliance, recording of key		measurements monthly and incidences
	Slope instability, Visual	parameters		of non-compliance.
	Scarring, Soil erosion,			
	Destruction of heritage	See Appendix 10 for details		See Appendix 9 for details
	resources			
Drilling & blasting (if	Noise and vibrations,	Visual checks, monitoring incidences	Appointed Contractor	When drilling and/or blasting is done.
done)	Dust, Fly rock	of non-compliance, recording of key		Record key parameters when done.
		parameters		
				See Appendix 9 for details
		See Appendix 10 for details		
Waste disposal and	Soil contamination,	Visual checks, monitoring incidences	Appointed Contractor	During life of mine as and when

material storage	Water pollution, Increased risk of fire	of non-compliance, recording of key parameters		required. Record key parameters monthly and non-compliances.
		See Appendix 9 for details		See Appendix 9 for details
Material handling, hauling and transportation	Dust, Increased risk of accidents, Noise, Soil contamination	Visual checks, monitoring incidences of non-compliance, recording of key parameters	Appointed Contractor	Ongoing during life of mine and record key parameters monthly & non compliances.
		See Appendix 10 for details		See Appendix 9 for details
Removal of infrastructure & equipment and shaping of guarry	Noise, Dust, Soil contamination, Disruption of surface drainage	Visual checks, monitoring incidences of non-compliance, recording of key parameters	Appointed Contractor	At decommissioning and closure and when required. Maintain disposal records.
		See Appendix 10 for details		See Appendix 9 for details
Community and labour relations management	Community conflicts and tensions, Increased risk of fire, Reduced security on	Monitoring incidences of complaints, recording of key parameters See Appendix 10 for details	Appointed Contractor	During life of mine and record complaints, incidents and labour statistics monthly.
	area, improved employment rates, Improved skills			See Appendix 9 for details

I) Indicate the frequency of the submission of the performance assessment/ environmental audit report.

Once the borrow pit is utilized by a contractor, they will be required to appoint an Environmental Control Officer, which then will be required to perform a monthly environmental compliance report which includes the borrow pit area.

m) Environmental Awareness Plan

(1) Manner in which the applicant intends to inform his or her employees of any environmental risk which may result from their work.

All employees will go through an induction of general environmental issues and given specifics on their jobs. The training will include:

- Making employees aware that everyone has a right to a clean environment and that everyone has a responsibility to protect the environment.
- Explanation of the importance of complying with the EMP specifications.
- Discussion of the potential environmental impacts of operational activities and mitigation measures that must be implemented when carrying out activities.
- The importance of personal performance on dealing with environmental issued and explanations of the management structure of individuals responsible for matters pertaining to the EMP.
- Communication can be done either in a written or verbal format but will be in an appropriate format for the receiving audience. Records of all training done are to be kept.

Refer to Environmental Awareness Plan Appendix 11

(2) Manner in which risks will be dealt with in order to avoid pollution or the degradation of the environment.

- The applicant will endeavor to improve the competence and skills of personnel. A culture of environmental protection will be promoted.
- Procedures will be put in place to effectively minimize any identified high risk areas and to proactively control any environmental incidents if they occur.
- The applicant will also continuously improve and promote a code that goes beyond minimal compliance with environmental legislation.

n) Specific information required by the Competent Authority

(Among others, confirm that the financial provision will be reviewed annually).

Confirm

2) UNDERTAKING BY EAP

The EAP herewith confirms

- a) the correctness of the information provided in the reports \boxtimes
- b) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APs ;
- c) the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports where relevant; \square and
- d) that the information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested and affected parties are correctly reflected herein. ⊠

Signature of the environmental assessment practitioner:

Name of company:

Date:

Signature of the Commissioner of Oaths:

Name:

Designation:

Date:

Official stamp of Commissioner of Oaths (below)

Page intentionally left blank

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX 1

EAP CURRICULUM VITAE

CURRICULUM VITAE

CW Vermeulen

- 1. Family name: Vermeulen
- 2. First name: CW
- 3. Date of birth: 1 January 1990
- 4. Nationality: South African
- 5. Languages: Afrikaans and English
- 6. Education:

Institution	Degree(s) or Diploma(s) obtained	
North West University Potchefstroom 2010 – 2014	BSc. Environmental and Biological Sciences	

- 7. Present position: Director
- 8. Current Responsiblities Other skills (e.g computer literacy,etc.)
 - Coordinating all specialist assessments
 - Free State Borrow Pit investigation Project Co-coordinator.
 - Water Licenses and Environmental Authorisations.
 - Conduct Environmental Impact Assessments and other environmental investigations.
 - Conduct Environmental Compliance and other Environmental Audits.
 - Conduct Ecological Specialist Assessments.
 - Mining right and Mining permit applications
 - GIS Mapping

9. Professional experience:

Date	1/05/2017 - Present	
Organisation	Environmental Management Group	
Position	Director	
5		

Date	6/2009 - 12/2010	
Organisation	Krugersdorp Game Reserve	
Position	Assistant Game Manager	
Responsibilities	Game capturing, Grazing capacity evaluations, Assisting with lion breeding project.	

Date	1/2015 - 3/2015
Organisation	Zantow Environmental
Position	Environmental Specialist Consultant (Alien and Invasive Flora)
Responsibilities	Conducting specialist assessments concerning alien and invasive flora.

Date	4/2015 - 3/2016
Organisation	Hilland Environmental
Position	Junior Environmental Assessment Practitioner and Environmental Specialist (Avifauna)
Responsibilities	Conducting faunal and floral Specialist Assessments, Environmental Impact Assessments, Environmental Compliance, Environmental Monitoring and Auditing. Composing and implementing Rehabilitation Plans

Date	3/2016 - 8/2016
Organisation	Bokamoso Environmental
Position	Environmental Specialist (Fauna and Avifauna), Water Use License Application Consultant, General Environmental Consultant.
Responsibilities	Conducting specialist Faunal and Avifaunal assessments. Applying for Water Use Licenses. GIS Mapping.

APPENDIX 2

LOCALITY MAP



APPENDIX 3

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION



NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF A MINING PERMIT ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT GROUP

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT

Contents

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	3
3. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS CONDUCTED	3
3.1. NEWSPAPER ADVERTISEMENT	3
3.1.1 Newspaper Advert	4
3.2. SITE NOTICES	5
3.2.1 The poster was placed in surrounding area	0
3.3. DISTRIBUTION OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION DOCUMENT	2
POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	5
ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION	5
3.4 NOTIFICATION TO LOCAL AUTHORITIES & STAKEHOLDERS	7
3.4.1 BID circulated to all I&AP's, Stakeholders and Departments	7
3.5 LIST OF I&AP'S	8
4. CONCLUSION	9

ABBREVIATIONS

BID	Background Information Document
DWS	Department of Water and Sanitation
RI&APS	Registered Interested & Affected Parties
I&APS	Interested & Affected Parties
PPP	Public Participation Process

1. INTRODUCTION

The Public Participation Process (PPP) forms an integral part of the rectification application process. It provides people with the opportunity to raise their issues and concerns about the proposed establishment of a Borrow Pit in Hobhouse. A comprehensive public participation process was conducted by EMG Consultants, to ensure that all identified Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) were informed of the proposed project and their input is able to influence decision-making process with regards to the development.

2. APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

The Public Participation Process was conducted as per Regulation 39, 40, 41, 42, 43 & 44 of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations 2014 (as amended 07 April 2017) and the Public Participation Guidelines, 2017 were considered. Steps, which were taken to inform the identified I&APs and surrounding community of the proposed development included:

- Newspaper advertisement;
- On site Notice and Posters;
- Notifications, i.e. Distribution of Background Information Document (BID) to neighbouring property Owners & Stakeholders.

3. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS CONDUCTED

The methods that were undertaken during conducting of the public participation process as discussed in detail below.

3.1. NEWSPAPER ADVERTISEMENT

The project was advertised in the Vrystaatkroon newspaper, on the 14th of July 2021 to inform the I&APs of the Application for Environmental Authorisation of a Mining Permit for the establishment of a Borrow Pit in Hobhouse.

3.1.1 Newspaper Advert

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF MINING PERMITS

Notice is hereby given in terms of regulation 41 of Government Notice No. R328 under the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) as amended 7 April 2017, Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 OF 2002 as amended of intent to carry out the following projects:

APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF MINING PERMITS

NEMA: Listing Notice 1 (NO. 327, 07 APRIL 2017)

R327	21	 Any activity including the operation of that activity which requires a mining permit in terms of section 27 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002), including — (a) associated infrastructure, structures and earthworks, directly related to the extraction of a mineral resource[,]; or [including activities for which an exemption has been issued in terms of section 106 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)] (b) the primary processing of a mineral resource including winning, extraction, classifying, concentrating, crushing, screening or washing; but excluding the secondary processing of a mineral resource, including the smelting, beneficiation, reduction, refining, calcining or gasification of the mineral resource in which case activity 6 in Listino Notice 2 applies.
R327	27	The clearance of an area of 1 hectares or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation, except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for— 1. the undertaking of a linear activity; or 11. maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan

- LOCATIONS: Excelsior, situated on the farm Excelsior, 358 on remaining extent Hobhouse, situated on the farm Gorra's Stad, 75 on portion 2 Ladybrand, situated on the farm Dorp Gronden Lady Brand 451, remaining extent. Tweespruit (Thaba Patchoa), situated on the farm Mammas Hoek 802, remaining extent PROPONENT: Mantsopa Local Municipality Free State
- CONSULTANT: ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT GROUP PO BOX 37473 LANGENHOVEN PARK, 9330 TEL: 051 412 6350 CELL PHONE: 082 824 9308 EMAIL: <u>GWW@environ.com</u>

In order to ensure that you are identified as an interested and/or affected party, please submit your name, contact information and interest in the matter to the consultant given above.

Thirty days are allowed for your comments to reach us as per NEMA (Act 107, 1998, amended 7 April 2017), GNR 326.

8 NUUS NEWS

VrystaatKroon 14 Julie 2021

Nuutste note se boodskap sterk

Die veelsydige musikant en liedjieskrywer Kate Rok se nuwe enkelsnit, "Maangenade", is op haar verjaardag, 1 julie, bekend gestel.

Kate is 'n donkerkop-superster met 'n Voortrekkerkappie wat simbolies is van die sterk vroue wat sy bewonder, asook haar onwrikbare trots in haar kultuur en herkoms. Haar musiek is net so uniek en emosievol soos sy. Dié gebore en getoë

Dié gebore en getoë Bethlehemiet woon in Clarens en het geen bekendstelling in die plaaslike musiekbedryf nodig nie. "Maangenade" is op 2 Julie landwyd aan alle radiostasies

uitgereik. "Hierdie lied het ek geskryf om te onthou dat, ondanks die seer in 'n mens se lewe, daar ook soveel

In mens se tewe, daar dook soveel mooi en lekker dinge is, se Kate. "As jy daarop fokus, vind jy genesing in die vreugde van soveel ander goed – soos familie, vriende en die natuur.

"Ek raak soms baie vies oor die situasie in die land, maar dan besef ek dat die genade van my opvoeding en hoe ek redeneer, gesetel is in kennis wat ek onverdiend ontvang het. "As jy besef hoe groot rol jou

genade-aandeel in jou lewe speel, behoort jy meer empatie en liefde uit te deel, ongeag van aan wie dit is."

Kate se treffende nuwe enkelsnit



Kate Rok se enkelsnit "Ma op haar verjaardag vanjaar uitgereik. ILLUSTRASIE: VERSKAF

het 'n meegaande musiekvideo wat op 6 Julie bekend gestel is. Dit is

op 6 julie bekend gestel is. Dit is op haar familie se prentjiemooi plaas by Clarens verfilm. Die land en sy probleme inspireer Kate om liedjies te skryf, 'n vaardigheid wat sy al van haar skooldae af slyp. Sy het as driejarige kind begin sing. Sy hoop om mense met haar musiek te motiveer om 'n verskil

musiek te motiveer om 'n verskil

in ander se lewe te maak. Haar musiek is op 'n verskeidenheid digitale platforms beskikbaar



Hoop op genoeg stemme om VS trots te maak

Senekal is as finalis in Kwêla se dorp van die jaar-kompetisie benoem. Om vir Senekal te stern, SMS die woord "Senekal" na 33157. Die stemlyn sluit Vrydag (16/07) om middernag. 'n SMS kos R1,50 en 'n maksi word toegelaat. FOTO: SENEKAL MATWARENG COMMUNITY FORUM m van 30 SMS'e per selfoonn

Sal die speke laat sing vir Olimpiese roem

¹n Oud-Kroonstadter en bekende in nasionale fletsrykringe is vir die Suld-Afrikaanse span gekie wat aan die Olimpiese Spele in Tokio gaan deelneem. David Maree (31) is 'n gesoute fletsryer wat met die wêreld se bestes in baanfietswedrenne sal meeding. Soos elke ander deelnemer hoop Maree dat sy harde werk en veggees hom 'n Olimpiese medalje sal besorg.



NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF MINING PERMITS

Notice is hereby given in terms of regulation 41 of Government Notice No. R326 under the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) as amended 7 April 2017, Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 OF 2002 as amended of intent to carry out the following projects

APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF MINING PERMITS

NEMA: Listing Notice 1 (NO. 327, 07 APRIL 2017) Any activity including the operation of that activity which requires a mining permit in terms of section 27 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, R327 21 (a) associated infrastructure, structures and earthworks, directly related to the extraction of a mineral resource[,]; or [including activities for which an exemption has been issued in terms of section 106 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)] (b) the primary processing of a mineral resource including winning, extraction, classifying, concentrating, crushing, screening or washing; but excluding the secondary processing of a mineral resource, including the smelting, beneficiation, reduction, refining, calcining or gasification of the mineral resource in which case activity 6 in Listing Notice 2 applies. The clearance of an area of 1 hectares or more, but less than 20 hectares of R327 27 indigenous vegetation, except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for the undertaking of a linear activity; or maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan Ш.

LOCATIONS:	Excelsior, situated on the farm Excelsior, 358 on remaining extent Hobhouse, situated on the farm Gorra's Stad, 75 on portion 2
	Ladybrand, situated on the farm Dorp Gronden Lady Brand 451, remaining extent Tweespruit (Thaba Patchoa), situated on the farm Mammas Hoek 802, remaining extent
PROPONENT:	Mantsopa Local Municipality Free State
CONSULTANT:	ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT GROUP
	PO BOX 37473
	LANGENHOVEN PARK, 9330
	TEL: 051 412 6350
	CELL PHONE: 082 824 9308
	EMAIL: cwv@envmgp.com
In order to ensure	that you are identified as an interested and/or affected party, please submit yo

name, contact information and interest in the matter to the consultant given above

Thirty days are allowed for your comments to reach us as per NEMA (Act 107, 1998, amended 7 April 2017), GNR 326



LOCAL GOVERNMENT NOTICE MOOHAKA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY NOTICE IN TERMS OF SECTION 46 OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT MUNICIPAL FINANCE MANAGEMENT ACT, NO. 56 OF 2003

Notice is hereby given in terms of Section 46 of the Local Government: Municipal Finance Management Act, No. 56 of 2003 that:

Moghaka Local Municipality intends entering into a contract for the Supply and Delivery of Municipal Fleet on a Finance Lease Contract for a period of three (3) years. The contract will impose financial obligations on the Municipality for the next three (3) annual budgets. At the end of the Finance lease term, the ownership of this fleet will transfer to the municipality. The appointment of the service provider is in line with the Municipality's Supply Chain Policy and the service provider will be responsible for the supply of Municipal Fleet on a finance lease basis

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN in accordance with Section 21 and Section 21A of the Local

Government Municipal Systems Act, No. 32 of 2000 that – (bb) The local community and other interested persons/ parties are invited to submit comments or representations to the Municipality in respect of the proposed drafts proposal. Such comments or representations must be received by no later than 13 August 2021 via electronic mail on the email address provided below or be delivered to the mailing address provided hereunder.

royv@moghaka.gov.za; agnesm@moghaka.gov.za; cfosec@moghaka.gov.za

Copies of the draft contract and information statement summarizing the Municipality's obligations in terms of the contract are available for inspection at the locations listed hereunder and will also be accessible on www.moghaka.gov.za (http://www.moghaka.gov.za/) (Official website of the Municipality).

Further details and clarity may be requested via email on tshepisos@moqhaka.gov.za or 056 216 9245; 056 216 9183; 056 216 9143

PHYSICAL ADDRESS MAILING ADDRESS Moghaka Local Municipality Hill Street Tender Box Ground Floor 9500

SUBMISSION MUST BE MARKED: SECTION 46 SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF MUNICIPAL FLEET.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF A MINING PERMIT PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT

3.2. SITE NOTICES

On site notices was placed on the 2nd of June 2021, to bring the Application for Environmental Authorisation of a Mining Permit for the establishment of a Borrow Pit in Hobhouse to the attention of I&APs including surrounding land users.



3.2.1 The poster was placed in surrounding area.



NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF A MINING PERMIT PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT



3.3. DISTRIBUTION OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION DOCUMENT

For notification of I&APs about the proposed project, a BID, shown below was compiled, and it was sent to the identified I&APs.



NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF A MINING PERMIT

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT GROUP NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF A MINING PERMIT

CHRISTIEN KRUGER

Background Information Document

Background Information Document for the Notice of Application for Environmental Authorization of a Mining Permit

June 2021

INTRODUCTION

Environmental Management Group is applying for Environmental Authorisation on behalf of Mantsopa Local Municipality for the Application for Environmental Authorization of a Mining Permit in Hobhouse.

LOCALITY

The proposed Borrow Pit will be located near Hobhouse on remaining extent of farm Hobhouse 358 which falls under the Mantsopa Local Municipality, in the Free State Province.

ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION

Prior to the commencement of the proposed Borrow Pit establishment, Environmental Authorization in terms of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA), 107 of 1998, as amended 7 April 2017 is required from the competent authority Department of Mineral Resources (DMR). The Environmental Assessment Process will be conducted in terms of the 2014 NEMA environmental impact assessment (EIA) Regulations, GNR 326 as amended.

According to the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998) as amended 7 April 2017, Listing Notice 1 (NO. 327, 07 APRIL 2017) – R 327 the following activity applicable to this project:

Activity 27: The clearance of an area of 1 hectare or more, but less than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation, except where such clearance of indigenous vegetation is required for-

(i) the undertaking of a linear activity; or

(ii) maintenance purposes undertaken in accordance with a maintenance management plan.

- Activity 21: Any activity including the operation of that activity which requires a mining permit in terms of section 27 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002), including —
 - (a) associated infrastructure, structures and earthworks, directly related to the extraction of a mineral resource[,]; or [including activities for which an exemption has been issued in terms of section 106 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, 2002 (Act No. 28 of 2002)]

PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT

EMG has prepared this document to inform you about:

- The proposed application;
- The current understanding of the baseline environmental and social conditions;
- The required environmental assessment processes;
- Possible environmental impacts and proposed specialist studies;
- How you can have input into the Environmental Authorization;

YOU'RE ROLE

You have been identified as an interested and/or affected party (I&AP) who may want to be informed about the proposed project and have input into the environmental assessment processes and environmental reports.

You have an opportunity to review this document and provide your initial comments to us for incorporation in the environmental assessment process. You will also be given the opportunity to provide input at the public meeting, if the need arises. And to review and comment on some reports:

A Draft BAR

Comments will be recorded and included in the reports submitted to the relevant authorities for decision-making.

HOW TO RESPOND

If you are interested in receiving further information on the project please register your details with the persons listed below. Responses to this document can be submitted by means of the attached comments sheet and/or through communication with the persons listed below.

Christien Kruger

Tel: 051 412 6350 or Fax: 051 412 6351 E-mail: <u>ckruger@envmgp.com</u>

 (b) the primary processing of a mineral resource including winning, extraction, classifying, concentrating, crushing, screening or washing;

but excluding the secondary processing of a mineral resource, including the smelting, beneficiation, reduction, refining, calcining or gasification of the mineral resource in which case activity 6 in Listing Notice 2 applies.

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION OF A MINING PERMIT PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT



MAPS

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Environmental Authorisation is required for a Mining Permit for a Borrow pit less than 5ha. In order to provide gravel (dolerite) material for construction purposes, extraction of gravel (dolerite) from a quarry by means of surface mining is needed. As well as the primary processing of a dolerite including winning, classifying, concentrating, crushing, screening and washing.

POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

Below is a preliminary list of potential impacts identified at this stage of the process and will be investigated as part of the environmental assessment process. The list will be refined during the course of the Basic Assessment Process.

- Ecological assessment
- Heritage impact assessment

ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION

The environmental assessment processes will be conducted to inform the competent authorities in their decision-making. These processes are conducted simultaneously.

STEPS IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORISATION PROCESSES

The environmental authorisation processes provides information on the project and environment in which it is being undertaken; identifies, in consultation with registered interested & affected parties (RI&APs), the potential negative as well as positive impacts of the project; and reports on management measures required to mitigate impacts to an acceptable level. The likely process steps and timeframes are provided below. RI&APs and other stakeholders on the project's database will receive notification of public participation opportunities in advance.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Public Participation provides Stakeholders and I&APs the opportunity to raise issues of concern and comment on the proposed activity. Notify other regulatory authorities and I&APs of project and environmental assessment (via newspaper advertisements, site notices and this BID document)

	PROCESS STEPS (in accordance with GN R326)	RESPONSIBLE	TIMEFRAME
1.	Initial communication to clarify the application with the Authorising Department.	EAP	1 day
2.	EAP to conduct a site investigation	EAP	1 day
3.	EAP to submit Basic Assessment Application to competent authority.	EAP	1 day
4.	Competent authority Accepts Application	DMR	14 days
5.	EAP to undertake the BAR and compile a Report (including the draft EMP) subjected to 30 days Public Participation Process	EAP	90 days
6.	EAP to submit Final BAR report inclusive of comments to competent authority.	EAP	1 day
7.	Competent Authority to grant environmental authorisation	DMR	107 days
8.	Environmental Authorisation subjected to 20-day appeal process	EAP	20 days
9.	Final Approval of Environmental Authorisation	DMR	1 day

PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL APPLICATION PROCESSES

IAPs

 Surrounding landowners, land users and communities

KEY STAKEHOLDERS

- Department of Rural Development and Land Reform
- Department Of Agriculture and Rural Development
- Department of Water and Sanitation (DWS)
- Department of Heritage (SAHRIS)
- Department of Public Works and Infrastructure

LOCAL AUTHORITIES

- Mantsopa Local Municipality
- Thabo Mofutsanyana District Municipality
- Mayor & Ward Councillor

Please let us know if there are any additional parties that should be involved.

Proposed School Development in Frankfort						
Registration and Response Form for Interested and Affected Parties (I&AP)						
				<u>, </u>		
Date						
Particulars of the I&AP						
Name						
Postal Address & Code						
Street Address & Code						
Telephone number		Cell Phone	Number			
Fax Number		E-Mail Add	ress			
Please Identify your Interest	in the Proposed Project:					
	<u></u>					
Please write your comments	and questions here:					
Plana at 11			1			
Please return completed d	ocument prior to 30 days la	<u>apsing to:</u>				
	ENVMGP					
Tel:	: 051 412 6350					
Email: ck						
Webs	site: envmgp.com		J			

3.4 NOTIFICATION TO LOCAL AUTHORITIES & STAKEHOLDERS

3.4.1 BID circulated to all I&AP's, Stakeholders and Departments.

S 🗄 5 (🛁 亏 🕐 🔹 🔹 Notice of Application for Environmental Authorization of a Mining Permit Hobbouse (BID) - Message (HTML)						? 🗷 – 🗗)	
FILE MESS	AGE							
🕞 Ignore 🗙	Reply Reply Forward I More -	Image: Status Image: Status Image: Status	→ → → Mov	Rules *	Mark Categorize Follow	Translate ↓ Find Related → ↓ Select →	Zoom	
Delete	Respond	Quick Steps	ra	Move	Tags 🖬	Editing	Zoom	
Chri Noti	13 Jul 2021 11:13 stien Kruger <ckruger@envmgp.c ce of Application for Environmental Autho</ckruger@envmgp.c 	OM> orization of a Mining Permit Hobhouse (BID)						
To 'masitengt@da 'mayor@mants	rd.gov.za'; 'degracia@fs.agric.za'; 'mkhosana opa.co.za'; 'mpmakalebe@gmail.com'	g@destea.fs.gov.za'; 'manakeo@destea.fs.gov.z	a'; 'molokwane	2n@destea.fs.gov.z	za'; Blair Vernon (BFN); 'Nel Georg	e'; hodoffice@fsworks.gov	.za'; %gabalem@fsworks.gov.za';	'takatso@tmdm.gov.za'; 'lechesa@mantsopa.co.za'; 'dumile@mantsopa.co.za';
Message	PPP BID Hobhouse.pdf (1 MB)							

Good Day Sir / Madam

Please find attached Background Information Document (BID) for your attention.

Kind Regards / Vriendelike Groete

Christien Kruger



3.5 LIST OF I&AP'S

List of Stakeholders and I&AP's							
Department / Organisation	Contact Person	E-Mail Address	Address	Contact Nr			
Department of Agriculture and	Dr T Masiteng	masitengt@dard.gov.za -	Gielie Joubert St	051 861 8363 – 060 983			
Rural Development		degracia@fs.agric.za	Glen, BFN, 9360	8820			
Department of Economic	Mrs Grace	mkhosanag@destea.fs.gov.za -	113 St Andrews Street	051 400 4800			
Development, Tourism,	Skosana	manakeo@destea.fs.gov.za -	Bloemfontein				
Environmental Affairs & Small		molokwanen@destea.fs.gov.za	9300				
Business							
Department of Water &	Mr. Vernon Blair	BlairV@dws.gov.za,	Bloem Plaza	051 405 9000			
Sanitation	Deputy Director:	NelG@dws,gov.za	2nd Floor c/o Charlotte Maxeke & East	082 807 3552			
	Water Use		Burger Streets, Bloemfontein, 9300				
Free State Department of Public	Mr M Mohlahlo	hodoffice@fsworks.gov.za -	Room 146, OR Tambo House	051 492 3915			
Works and Infrastructure		kgabalem@fsworks.gov.za	Cnr St, Andrews and Markgraaf				
			Streets				
			Bloemfontein				
			9300				
Thabo Mofutsanyana District	Ms. Takatso	takatso@tmdm.gov.za	Old Parliament Building	Tel: (058) 718 1036 / 1089			
Municipality	Lebenya		1 Mampoi Street				
			PHUTHADITJHABA				
			9870				
Mantsopa Local Municipality	Mr. T.P Masejane	lechesa@mantsopa.co.za -	No 38 Joubert Street	051 924 0654			
		dumile@mantsopa.co.za	Ladybrand				
			9745				
Mantsopa Local Municipality	Mayor	mayor@mantsopa.co.za	No 38 Joubert Street				
			Ladybrand				
			9745				
Mantsopa Local Municipality	Mr. P Makalebe	mpmakalebe@gmail.com	No 38 Joubert Street				
Ward 2 Councilor			Ladybrand				
			9745				
4. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that the methods incorporated in the public participation process to inform the surrounding landowners, users, organs of state and identified government authorities was adequate. All the identified I&APs were given with an opportunity to give input regarding the Notice of Application for Environmental Authorization of a Mining Permit and no objections were received.

PROSOED SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT IN FRANKFORT PUBLIC PARTICIPATION REPORT

APPENDIX 4

PROPOSED SITE PLAN and ON SITE SURVEY

APPENDIX 4.1: Proposed site plan



APPENDIX 4.2: Site Photos taken on the 2 July 2021



APPENDIX 5

IMPACTS AND RISK ASSESSMENT REPORT

IMPACTS AND RISKS REPORT

Introduction.

This report describes the environmental impacts and risks identified during the environmental impact assessment carried out for the quarry.

Environmental Impact Assessment is a structured approach for obtaining and evaluating environmental information prior to its use in decision-making in the development process. This information consists, basically, of predictions of how the environment is expected to change if certain alternative actions are implemented and advise on how best to manage environmental changes if one alternative is selected and implemented. NEMA through the EIA regulations requires that listed activities that have, or are likely to have a detrimental effect on the environment should be authorised and a license applied for before commencement. An EIA must be done as stipulated in the EIA regulations made under section 24(5) of NEMA. The EIA process used for this project refers to the process which involves the identification and assessment of direct, indirect and cumulative environmental impacts of a proposed project. In addition to this the specific requirements for environmental assessments as stipulated in the Minerals and Petroleum Resources Development regulations were also taken into account to ensure that all aspects of the impacts and risks were taken into account.

The report contains the following appendixes to comply with the requirements of the Basic Assessment Report and Environmental Management Program.

APPENDIX 5.1: Nature of the Impacts and Risks Identified

APPENDIX 5.2: Methodology Used in Assessing the Impacts and Consequences.

Appendix 5.3: Assessment of the Impacts and Risks before Mitigation

Appendix 5.4: Possible Mitigation Measures that could be applied and the level of risk.

Appendix 5.5: Assessment of the Impacts and Risks after Mitigation

Appendix 5.6: Assessment of the No-Go Alternative.

APPENDIX 5.1: IMPACTS AND RISK IDENTIFIED INCLUDING THEIR NATURE, SIGNIFICANCE CONSEQUENSES, EXTENT PROBABILITY AND REVERSIBILITY

1) DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND RISKS

a) Destruction of Flora and Habitat Loss

The mine/quarry establishment will result in net vegetation loss during the establishment and the operational phases. Net vegetation loss will result in secondary impacts on fauna due to habitat loss. Direct loss or damage to habitat leads to reduced foraging/food resources, disturbance and displacement of fauna. If invasive weeds find themselves in the area as a result of being accidentally carried into the area, for example along tyres of vehicles, there might be a weed invasion/proliferation of opportunist species. This will threaten indigenous vegetation species. Whilst assessment noted that the site does not have rare or endangered species, the removal of vegetation need to be carefully managed to limit the impacts.

b) Air Quality Deterioration

Stripping of soil and vegetation and excavating for gravel can result in dust emissions. Dust can cause adverse environmental impacts such as soiling of property or surfaces, visual impacts and personal discomfort (for example, gritty eyes) and can also cause considerable annoyance to people and respiratory problems for sensitive people. It can be a very contentious issue, particularly for heavy dusty activities. Wind whipping can result in dust emission from stockpiles and bare surfaces. The mobilisation of vehicles and equipment on site can result in excessive vehicular emissions such as smoke if they are not properly maintained. Dust pollution can also be very problematic due to the concentration of heavy machinery and vehicle on the gravel access roads in the area during the operational phase.

c) Visual Impact

Disturbance of the mine/quarry area and excavated areas can cause visual scarring of the environment if not properly handled. Before rehabilitation, during operational phase, the mine/quarry surfaces with bare patches may contrast with the surrounding area that has vegetation, making the site unpleasant.

d) Land and Soil Contamination and Erosion Impacts

Soil surface erosion, loss of topsoil and deterioration of soil quality and productivity may occur due to the removal of stabilising vegetation from certain areas in order to facilitate work. Soils may also be compacted by heavy vehicles and construction equipment. Once disturbed, soils become more susceptible to erosion. Also associated with land pollution is the disposal of waste. Careless unregulated waste management practices during operation of the quarry will exacerbate this problem. Oil and fuel leakages from the vehicle and material stored can cause soil contamination by hazardous substances if they occur. Improper disposal of food cartons and other domestic forms of mining garbage could lead to littering of the site and pollution.

e) Water Conservation and Water Pollution

The mine/quarry operation will require use of water mainly for dust suppression. Water is a scarce resource and needs to be conserved. The impact of using water resources for dust suppression should be weighted up against the impact of dust. Poor waste management practices at the mine/quarry site areas may lead to the contamination of water. Sewage and sanitary effluent has the potential to adversely affect the quality of water if not properly handled. Fuel leakage and chemical spills can also cause problems to water resources. These may be washed during rains and end up polluting water bodies.

f) Disruption of Surface Drainage

Failure to incorporate drainage control systems on site and from excavated area can lead to interruption of natural drainage. Natural movement of surface water might be changed. The mine/quarry might form a pool(s), depriving

areas that normally get surface water, of the resource. Ecosystem functions that depend on natural water surface drainage of the area will be negatively affected. Drowning of animals may occur in the formed pools.

g) Slope Instability

Unstable slopes or slope failure of excavated surfaces may result in safety problems if the excavation is not managed.

h) Erosion

Removal of vegetation cover and the disturbance of soils can lead to soil erosion during rainy periods where soil is loose.

i) Noise and Vibration

The proposed mine/quarry development is anticipated to cause increased noise levels in the area during the operational phase, due to the movement of construction vehicles and machinery. If drilling and blasting is done this can be a major source of noise. Noise and vibration generated during operational phase has the potential to cause annoyance and disturbance effects on noise sensitive receptors, ear damage to workers and cause damage to structures as a result of vibration if operations are not handled properly.

j) Increased Risk of Fire

The presence of workers and mine/quarry operational related activities poses an increased risk of veld fires. The potential risk of veld fires is heightened during windy conditions in the area. Fire may result in the loss of grazing vegetation and would therefore impact negatively on the affected community's livelihoods. Changes to the fire regime may impact on ecosystem integrity and biodiversity in the long term.

k) Safety and Security Risks

Conduct of mine/quarry workers is also important in considering public safety. Trespassing and illegal access onto private land may compromise the safety of local communities. As the mine/quarry development is taking place in a farming area, collisions with livestock are likely to be present as a hazard.

I.) Creation of Employment Opportunities And Skills Development

The development of the mine/quarry is expected to create employment opportunities during operation of the quarry mine in the area. Some will be low skilled positions (i.e. Administrative clerk etc.) and semi-skilled workers (i.e. drivers, equipment operators etc.) and some skilled personnel (i.e. operational management etc.) Although the development of the mine is a small operation, and does not guarantee the generation of significant employment opportunities there is need to maximise the employment opportunities for the locals.

m). Skills Development Impacts

The majority of the training benefits are likely to accrue to personnel employed by the relevant beneficiaries. The training should provide for meaningful skills development for members from the local community.

APPENDIX 5.2: METHODOLOGY USED IN DETERMINING THE NATURE, SIGNIFICANCE, CONSEQUENCES, EXTENT, DURATION AND PROBABILITY OF THE POTENTIAL IMPACTS.

The mine/quarry development will result in a number of environmental impacts and risks so it is important to determine the critical ones so that effort can be concentrated in managing them. The following criterion was used to determine the significance of the impacts. The criterion takes into account the nature of the impact, the duration the extent, the magnitude, and the likelihood of occurrence to determine the significance of the potential impact.

The following ratings will be used.

The <u>duration</u> was assigned a score of 1 to 5 where:

- 1 The lifetime of the impact will be of a very short duration.
- 2 The lifetime of the impact will be of a short duration.
- 3 Assigned to medium-term (5–15 years)
- 4 Assigned to long term (> 15 years)
- 5 Permanent.

The magnitude, quantified on a scale from 0-10, where a score is assigned:

- 0 is small and will have no effect on the environment
- 2 is minor and will not result in an impact on processes
- 4 is low and will cause a slight impact on processes
- 6 is moderate and will result in processes continuing but in a modified way
- 8 is high (processes are altered to the extent that they temporarily cease)
- 10 is very high and results in complete destruction of patterns and permanent cessation of processes

The <u>extent</u> indicates whether the impact will be local (limited to the immediate area or site of development), regional, national or international. A score of between 1 and 5 is assigned as appropriate (with a score of 1 being low and a score of 5 being high). The scores are as follows:

- 1 Local immediate area
- 2 Local immediate area and surroundings
- 3 Regional
- 4 National
- 5 International

The probability of occurrence, which describes the likelihood of the impact actually occurring. Probability is estimated on a scale, score of 1–5 where:

- 1 is very improbable (probably will not happen)
- 2 is improbable (some possibility, but low likelihood)
- 3 is probable (distinct possibility)
- 4 is highly probable (most likely)
- 5 is definite (impact will occur regardless of any prevention measures)

The significance, which is determined through a synthesis of the characteristics described above (refer formula below) and can be assessed as low, medium or high. The significance is determined by combining the criteria in the following formula:

S = (E+D+M) P; where S = Significance weighting

E = Extent, D = Duration, M = Magnitude, P = Probability

The <u>status</u> of the impact describes whether the impact will have positive, negative or neutral ramifications of the environment.

The <u>significance weightings</u> for each potential impact are as follows:

Value	Significance
<30 points	Low (i.e. where this impact would not have a direct influence on the decision
	to develop in the area)
30-60 points	Medium (i.e. where the impact could influence the decision to develop in the
	area unless it is effectively mitigated)
>60 points	High (i.e. where the impact must have an influence on the decision process
	to develop in the area)

APPENDIX 5.3: THE ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS BEFORE MITIGATION OF THE IMPACTS.

	Activity	Impact	Positive /Negative	Duration	Magnitude	Extent	Probability	Significance Rating Before Mitigation		Is Impact Reversible? Yes/No	Irreplaceable Loss of Resources? Yes/No
	START-UP ACTIVIT	IES									
		Loss of vegetation	Neg	2	6	1	5	45	Medium	Reversible	No
		Habitat destruction	Neg	2	6	1	5	45	Medium	Reversible	No
	Cito	Visual scarring	Neg	2	2	2	5	45	Medium	Reversible	No
	site establishment	Air quality deterioration	Neg	1	2	2	4	20	Low	Reversible	No
1	activities, vegetation stripping	Soil erosion	Neg	2	4	2	2	16	Low	Reversible	No
		Potential loss of agricultural potential	Neg	2	2	1	4	20	Low	Reversible	No
		Impact of use of water during all operations	Neg	1	2	1	4	16	Low	Reversible	No
		Safety and security	Neg	1	2	2	2	10	Low	Reversible	No
ſ	Soil stripping and stockpiling	Dust	Neg	1	4	2	2	14	Low	Reversible	No
Z		Disruption of drainage	Neg	3	2	2	2	14	Low	Reversible	No
	DURING OPERATIO	DNAL PHASE									
		Visual impact	Neg	3	6	2	5	55	Medium	Reversible	No
3	Clearance of area	Destruction of flora and habitat	Neg	3	6	1	5	50	Medium	Reversible	No
		Loss of agricultural potential	Neg	3	7	2	4	48	Medium	Reversible	No
		Dust emissions	Neg	2	4	2	5	40	Medium	Reversible	No
4	Material	Drainage disruption	Neg	3	6	2	3	33	Medium	Reversible	No
		Slope instability	Neg	2	6	1	4	36	Medium	Reversible	No

	Activity	Impact	Positive /Negative	Duration	Magnitude	Extent	Probability	Significance Rating Before Mitigation		Is Impact Reversible? Yes/No	Irreplaceable Loss of Resources? Yes/No
		Noise	Neg	1	2	1	4	16	Low	Reversible	No
		Visual Scarring	Neg	3	7	2	4	48	Medium	Reversible	No
		Soil erosion	Neg	3	7	2	4	48	Medium	Reversible	No
		Altered topography	Neg	4	7	1	4	52	Medium	Irreversible	No
5	Drilling & blasting	Noise and vibrations	Neg	1	3	1	5	25	Low	Reversible	No
C	(if done)	Air quality Deterioration	Neg	1	3	1	5	25	Low	Reversible	No
	Stockpiles	Dust	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low	Reversible	No
6		Surface disturbances	Neg	2	2	1	3	15	Low	Reversible	No
		Drainage disruption	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low	Reversible	No
	Material handling, hauling and transportation	Dust	Neg	1	2	2	4	20	Low	Reversible	No
7		Increased risk of accidents	Neg	2	2	1	2	10	Low	Reversible	No
/		Noise	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low	Reversible	No
		Soil contamination from oil/fuel leaks	Neg	2	2	1	3	15	Low	Reversible	No
	Waste Disposal	Soil contamination	Neg	2	2	1	3	15	Low	Reversible	No
8	and Material	Water pollution	Neg	2	2	2	3	18	Low	Reversible	No
	storage	Increased risk of fire	Neg	2	2	1	3	15	Low	Reversible	No
	DURING CLOSURE	AND POST CLOSURE									
		Noise	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low	Reversible	No
	Decommissioning	Dust	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low	Reversible	No
9	of site and shaping of quarry	Soil contamination from oil/fuel	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low	Reversible	No
		Disruption of surface	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low	Reversible	No

	Activity	Impact	Positive /Negative	Duration	Magnitude	Extent	Probability	Significance Rating Before Mitigation		ls Impact Reversible? Yes/No	Irreplaceable Loss of Resources? Yes/No
		drainage									
	SOCIO ECONOMIC	IMPACTS									
	Negative socio- economic	Community conflicts and tensions	Neg	2	2	2	2	12	Low	N/A	N/A
10		Increase risk of fire	Neg	2	2	2	2	12	Low	N/A	N/A
	impacts	Reduced security in area	Neg	2	2	2	2	12	Low	N/A	N/A
11	Positive socio economic impacts	Employment opportunities	Pos	2	2	2	2	12	Low	N/A	N/A
		Training and Skills Development	Pos	2	2	1	2	10	Low	N/A	N/A
	HERITAGE RESOUR	RCES IMPACTS									
11	Heritage impacts	Potential impacts associated with site of a cultural or archaeological importance	Neg	5	2	1	1	8	Low	Irreversible	Irreplaceable if it occurs
	CUMULATIVE IMP	ACTS									
12		Increased loss of vegetation	Neg	2	6	1	5	45	Medium	Reversible	No

APPENDIX 5.4: POSSIBLE MITIGATION MEASURES THAT COULD BE APPLIED AND THE LEVEL OF RISK

1. INTRODUCTION

These technical and management processes in this section have been developed to enable the applicant to mitigate negative environmental impacts and to provide a proactive approach to manage identified environmental risks. It provides systematic and explicit mitigation and monitoring measures for the proposed mine/quarry to ensure implementation during the planning, construction, operational and decommissioning phase of the project. It also mandates the Department to internalise the environmental impacts that would otherwise be a social cost.

2. PERMITS AND PERMISSIONS

All pertinent permits, approvals and agreements are to be obtained before activities commence on site and the conditions are to be strictly adhered to.

3. GENERAL SITE ESTABLISHMENT

Objective: To ensure proper control of the mining area.

Management measures

- Access at the mine area shall be controlled and adequate precautions taken to prevent unauthorised entry to the mine/quarry. A fence or other barrier should be erected to restrict access
- The area must be clearly demarcated along its boundaries.
- Permanent beacons must be firmly erected and maintained in their correct position throughout the life of the operation.
- Resultant operations shall only take place within this demarcated area.
- Mine boundaries shall be signposted and laid out so as to be clearly visible and identifiable.
- Entry to the mine area shall be controlled and unauthorised entry prohibited.
- Adequate precautions shall be taken to protect persons present at, or in the vicinity of, the mine from risks that may arise from mine operations

Time Schedule for Implementation: At start-up and throughout life of mine.

4. MINING AREA LAYOUT PLAN

Objective: To ensure proper control of the mining area

Management measures

- A copy of the layout plan of the mine/quarry must be available at the mining site for scrutiny when required.
- The plan should be updated on a regular basis with regards to the actual progress of establishment of surface infrastructure, mining operations and rehabilitation.
- The final layout plan must be submitted to DMR at the closure of the mine/quarry or when operations have ceased.

 Beacons as indicated on the layout plan or as prescribed by the DMR must be firmly erected and maintained in their correct positions throughout the life of the operations.

Time Schedule for Implementation: At start-up and throughout life of mine.

5. ESTABLISHMENT OF SITE OFFICE/CAMP

- The planning and design for the Site infrastructure must ensure that there is a minimum impact on the environment. Where possible existing infrastructure and disturbed areas must be used.
- No construction camps will be allowed in sensitive areas such as wetlands.
- The area size chosen for these purposes shall be the minimum reasonably required and which will involve the least disturbance to vegetation.
- No trees or shrubs will be felled or damaged for the purpose of obtaining firewood. Fires will only be allowed in facilities or equipment specially constructed for this purpose and only in areas demarcated for that purpose.
- Adequate firefighting equipment must be available at all areas that might pose a fire risk.
- Lighting and noise disturbance or any other form of disturbance that may have an effect on the landowner/tenant/persons lawfully living in the vicinity shall be kept to a minimum.
- Chemical toilet facilities or other approved toilet facilities should be sited in such a way that they do not cause water or other pollution. The use of existing facilities must take place in consultation with the owners of the facilities.
- In cases where facilities are linked to existing sewerage structures, all necessary regulatory requirements concerning construction and maintenance should be adhered to.
- Any effluents containing oil, grease or other industrial substances must be collected in a suitable receptacle and treated prior to discharge or removed from the site for appropriate disposal.
- Adequate facilities waste receptacles should be made available for waste collection on site prior to removal for disposal at an authorised waste disposal facility on site.
- Adequate storage facilities should be available for materials. The nature of the storage facilities should be as per the recommendations of the manufacturer. The storage areas shall be securely secured and appropriately marked to indicate the goods in the storage.
- Provisions shall be made for the storage of hazardous substances and stocks. Diesel and oil, etc. shall be stored in areas with impervious flooring such as concrete and properly bunded. Drip pans, a thin concrete slab or other impervious surfaces shall be installed in such storage areas with a view to prevent soil and water pollution.

Time Schedule for Implementation: At start-up and throughout life of mine.

6. ACCESS ROADS ON THE SITE

- The access road to the area and the camp-site/site office must be established in consultation with the landowner/tenant and existing roads shall be used as far as practicable.
- Should a portion of the access road be newly constructed, the route shall be selected that a minimum number of bushes or trees are felled and existing fence lines shall be followed as far as possible. Adequate drainage and erosion protection shall be provided where necessary.

- The erection of gates in fence lines and the open or closed status of gates in new and existing
 positions shall be clarified in consultation with the landowner/tenant and maintained throughout the
 operational period.
- No other routes will be used by vehicles or personnel for the purpose of gaining access to the site except designated areas only.
- In the case of dual or multiple uses of access roads by other users, arrangements for multiple responsibilities must be made with the other users. If not, the maintenance of access roads will be the responsibility of the mine/quarry operator.
- Traffic controls/signage should be installed as appropriate, particularly in advance of temporary route changes or deviations and alternatives should be provided as necessary to maintain required access.
- Movement of heavy earth moving machinery should be restricted to certain access roads.
- Access roads shall be adequately maintained so as to minimise dust, erosion or undue surface damage. Spraying with water or use of dust suppressants shall be done as is necessary.

Time Schedule for Implementation: At start-up and throughout life of mine.

7. MANAGING SOCIAL IMPACT RELATED ISSUES

- Effective two-way public disclosure and public consultation should be implemented to allay community perceptions. There should be an opportunity provided for the resolution of grievances or complaints received and recorded from individuals in the community.
- Community should be adequately informed in advance of activities being done at the mining area that are likely to affect them.
- Labour recruitment should occur in a manner that is objective, transparent, and wherever possible, provide opportunities for people from the local area.
- The activities of the mine/quarry operators and company employees should be routinely reviewed to ensure good community relations are being maintained. The project proponent should use its influence as employer to encourage responsible behaviour among employees.
- The landowner should be fully compensated prior to usage of his land for mining and he should be notified when operations commence and who will work on the site.
- An agreement should be made with the land owner that he is satisfied with the level of rehabilitation on completion of the mining.

8. SPECIFIC MITIGATION MEASURES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND RISKS

8.1 MANAGING SOIL IMPACTS

These measures are targeted at managing soil erosion, soil contamination, compaction of soil and removal of topsoil.

- The area that is stripped of vegetation should be kept to an absolute minimum
- The contractor shall at all times carefully consider what machinery is appropriate to the task while minimising the extent of environmental damage and unnecessary movements should be prohibited.
- The topsoil, including the existing grass cover is to be shallowly ripped (only the depth of the topsoil) before removal. This is to ensure that organic plant material, and the natural seed base is included in the stripping process. The soil is to be stored and the soil stockpiles shall not be higher than 2m.
- Topsoil shall be stored separately from subsoil and other overburden material.
- No vehicles shall be allowed access onto the stockpiles after they have been placed.
- Stockpiles shall not be allowed to become contaminated with oil, diesel, petrol, garbage or any other material, which may inhibit the later growth of vegetation.
- The mine/quarry operator shall apply soil conservation measures to the stockpiles to prevent erosion.
- Ensure regular maintenance of equipment to prevent diesel and hydraulic spillages.
- Where possible ensure low work surface gradients so that run-off flows at a controlled rate so as to minimize channelling and soil erosion during high rainfall.
- At the end of operations, all disturbed areas shall be re-vegetated.

TIMING: At Start – up and throughout the operational phase of the mine/quarry 8.2 LOSS OF VEGETATION AND HABITAT DESTRUCTION

- Clearance of vegetation should be restricted to the absolute minimum required to facilitate access and undertake quarry activities. Disturbance of topsoil and vegetation rootstock must be minimized as far as possible.
- Trees larger than 2m should not be removed unless it is absolutely necessary and cannot be avoided.
- No protected species must be removed without a permit. A final walkthrough must be done by an ecologist to ensure that the areas where vegetation is to be cleared do not have protected species.
- Any alien species identified should be cleared.
- Burning of any waste material is not permitted under any circumstances.
- Rehabilitation strategies following operational activities must ensure that appropriate indigenous plant species are used and should be done as per rehabilitation plan.

TIMING: At Start – up and throughout the operational phase of the quarry mine 8.3 DUST AND VEHICLE FUMES

- Avoid unnecessary excessive vehicle movement.
- Limit vehicle speeds on unsurfaced roads.
- Rehabilitate disturbed areas with vegetation as soon as operation is completed.
- Maintain equipment and vehicles in good working order to avoid excessive emissions.
- Mine/quarry working floors should be sprayed with water from time to time to reduce dust emission during
 operations

- The use of rubber curtains/other material to limit dust during screening should be considered.
- Spray roads, material stockpiles and screening areas with water if dust becomes problematic.
- No fires should be allowed on site.

TIMING: Throughout life of mine

8.4 BLASTING IMPACTS (if done)

- All blasting and handling of blasting materials should be done in accordance with the Explosives Act and the Mine Health and Safety Act
- A risk assessment has to be undertaken that takes into account the safety of the people, infrastructure and the surrounding environment. A pre and post blasting survey should be done.
- A blasting time schedule shall be distributed to all surrounding villages indicating the time and date for blasting activities. It is recommended that blasting takes place between 1200hrs and 1500hrs.
- At all times blasting shall be carried out in such a way that ground vibration, air blast and scatter are kept within such limits as to avoid damage to adjacent structures/machinery etc. already placed at the works. Any fly rock should be cleared after blasting.

TIMING: As and when blasting occurs

8.5 WASTE DISPOSAL

- All personnel must be instructed to dispose of waste in a proper manner.
- Suitable receptacles shall be available at all times and conveniently placed for the disposal of waste.
- No waste shall under any circumstance be disposed of in the veld. No burning of waste is permitted on site and the mining area should be protected from illegal dumping of waste.
- All used oils, grease or hydraulic fluids shall be placed in appropriate impervious containers and these
 receptacles will be removed from the site on a regular basis for disposal at a registered or licensed disposal
 facility or sent for recycling/reuse with a registered facility.
- Spills should be cleaned up immediately by removing the spillage together with the polluted soil and by disposing thereof at a recognised facility. In areas where the spills are small, an appropriate absorbent agent can be used and the area treated accordingly.
- Contaminated materials and residues from machinery maintenance and other sources contaminated with hazardous waste should be stored in proper containers that avoid seepage to the ground.
- The 'reduce, reuse, recycle' waste management philosophy will be used where possible.
- Only authorized registered waste disposal contractors should be hired for collection of waste for all waste streams

TIMING: At Start – up and throughout the operational phase of the mine/quarry 8.6 STABILITY OF EXCAVATIONS

- Excavations shall take place only within the approved demarcated mine area and appropriate barriers should be put as necessary.
- The appointed contractor/operator shall ensure that a place of work, whether temporary or permanent in or near the excavation has a structure and solidity appropriate to its use and is operated, supervised and maintained, so as to withstand the environmental forces anticipated and be safe.
- The mine/quarry operator shall ensure that material is not placed or stacked near the edge of any excavation,

where it is likely to endanger people at work and equipment or where it is likely to cause collapse of the side of the excavation.

- Excavations should be routinely inspected. If cracks occur in any structure they need to be investigated to ascertain if there is a risk to safety
- Overburden rocks and coarse material shall be placed concurrently in the excavations or stored adjacent to the excavation, if practicable, to be used as backfill material once the quarry has been excavated.
- Appropriate drainage provisions must be constructed as necessary to accommodate the surface water movement. If the water table is reached during excavations appropriate pumping facilities should be provided.
- Excavated areas should be kept in a safe and stable manner. No unstable block should be present. Reshaping of the mining area may need to be done to ensure that this objective is reached. The profiling should be done to match the surrounding landscape.
- The mine/quarry should be finished in such a manner that it is self-draining as far as is possible
- Top soil should be put back on the surfaces and the areas re-vegetated.

TIMING: During operational phase, closure and post closure of the quarry mine 8.7 VISUAL IMPACTS

- The excavated area must serve as a final depositing area for the placement of overburden. Rocks and coarse material removed from the excavation must be backfilled into the excavation.
- Once excavation parts that can be filled have been refilled with overburden, rocks and coarse natural
 materials, the quarry shall be profiled with acceptable contours and erosion control measures, the topsoil
 previously stored shall be returned to its original depth over the area. The profiling shall be done to match the
 surrounding landscape as far as is reasonable possible.
- The area shall be fertilised if necessary to allow vegetation to establish rapidly. The site shall be seeded with a local or adapted indigenous seed mix in order to propagate the locally or regionally occurring flora.
- If a reasonable assessment indicates that the re-establishment of vegetation is unacceptably slow, then there may be need for the soil to be analysed and any deleterious effects on the soil arising from the mine area, be corrected and the area be seeded with a suitable vegetation seed mix.

TIMING: At Start –up and throughout the whole life of the mine/quarry 8.8 EQUIPMENT USED ON SITE

- Only well-maintained vehicles and equipment should be operated onsite and all machinery should be serviced regularly during the mine operation to limit operational impacts as a result of spillage, emissions and noise.
- The maintenance of vehicles and some equipment used for any purpose during the mine operation will take place only in the maintenance workshops which are not located on the mine/quarry. No vehicle may be extensively repaired in any place at the quarry. The exception is only to allow the machinery to be moved in the event of a breakdown for further repairs outside the mine/quarry.
- A maintenance schedule should be prepared in order to ensure that equipment is in is best form so as to not cause unnecessary pollution such as noise, emissions and makes effective use of energy.
- Machinery or equipment used on the mine area must not constitute a pollution hazard. No equipment leaking oil should be used. Drip tray should be used to prevent pollution

TIMING: At Start – up and throughout the operational phase of the mine/quarry

8.9 NOISE

- Work activities required outside normal working hours must be approved by the Project Manager, and where necessary, advance warning provided to adjacent residents.
- Noise levels exceeding 85dB shall only be permitted where approved and with appropriate advanced warning to adjacent residents (minimum of 2 days) being provided.
- Noise that could cause a major disturbance should only be carried out during daylight hours and with advance warning provided as above.
- Adequate ear protection should be provided to employees in noisy areas
- No amplified music shall be allowed at the site.
- Construction vehicles and plant to be in good working order.

TIMING: At Start – up and throughout the operational phase of the mine/quarry

8.10 SAFETY AND SECURITY RISKS

- The mine/quarry should be fenced off and adequate beacons put in place. Entry to the mine area shall be controlled and unauthorised entry prohibited.
- Adequate precautions shall be taken to protect persons present at, or in the vicinity of, the mine/quarry from risks that may arise from the operation
- Adequate signage should be put in place regarding safety and to warn the public it is a mine.
- The appointed mine operator should be held liable for damage to farm/community infrastructure that can be linked to workers.
- The appointed contractor/ mine operator should have a code of conduct governing activities of workers during the work at the mine and ensure that all workers are informed of the conditions, specifically trespassing on adjacent farms/plots.
- Workers are only to use designated access roads for movement of materials from or to site.
- Safety rules, such as the application of speed limits in particularly, restriction of heavy vehicle movements to specific access roads should be in place.
- There should be procedures for managing and storing waste on site that may pose a threat to livestock if ingested e.g. plastics.
- There should be a mechanism to inform police of theft that occur on site.

TIMING: At Start – up and throughout the operational phase of the mine 8.11 ALTERED TOPOGRAPHY IMPACTS

- Mining techniques used should try to avoid the creation of steep slopes.
- On completion of mining, proper profiling should be made and profiling shall be done to match the surrounding landscape as far as is reasonable possible.
- Slopes on finishing off the mine should be gentle to ensure slope stability and the easy re-establishment of vegetation.
- Appropriate drainage provisions must be constructed as necessary to accommodate the free surface water movement.
- On completion all slopes and disturbed areas are to be re-top soiled and re-vegetated in order to prevent erosion, improve aesthetics and regenerate the biodiversity of the site.

TIMING: During the operational and closing phase. 8.12 DEALING WITH EMERGENCIES

- The mine operator should identify all situations that can lead to emergency situations and provide response strategies. The situations should include fire and major chemical spill.
- Contact details of all departments/service providers to be contacted in case of an emergency shall be made available to employees.
- Equipment for dealing with emergencies such as spill kits, firefighting equipment, first aid boxes etc. shall be made available and personnel properly trained in its use.
- All the emergency equipment should be serviced, repaired and maintained as per supplier's specification or as per engineering specification to ensure that the equipment is in order. Service certificates should be kept on site and be available on inspection.
- All staff on site should be trained on how to handle emergency situations and emergency drills/ rehearsals should be conducted periodically to ensure that staff are prepared. The training shall be recorded.

TIMING: Whenever an emergency occurs during the operational and closing phase.

APPENDIX 5.5: ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS AFTER MITIGATION MEASURES

	Activity	Impact	Positive /Negative	Duration	Magnitude	Extent	Probability	Signifi Rating mitiga	icance gafter ation
	START-UP ACTIVITIES								
1	Site establishment	Loss of vegetation	Neg	2	4	1	3	21	Low
	activities, vegetation	Habitat destruction	Neg	2	4	1	3	21	Low
	stripping	Visual scarring	Neg	2	3	2	3	21	Low
		Air quality deterioration	Neg	2	2	2	3	18	Low
		Soil erosion	Neg	2	2	2	3	18	Low
		Potential loss of	Neg	1	6	2	2	18	Low
		agricultural potential							
		Safety and security	Neg	2	2	1	1	5	Low
2	Soil stripping and	Dust	Neg	2	4	2	2	16	Low
	stockpiling	Disruption of drainage	Neg	3	2	1	2	12	Low
	DURING OPERATIONAL PH/	ASE							
3	Clearance of area for	Visual impact	Neg	2	4	1	3	21	Low
	mining	Destruction of flora and	Neg	2	4	1	3	21	Low
		habitat							
		Loss of agricultural	Neg	1	7	1	2	18	Low
		potential							
4	Dolerite excavation	Dust emissions	Neg	1	4	2	2	14	Low
		Drainage disruption	Neg	3	2	1	3	24	Low
		Slope instability	Neg	2	3	1	1	6	Low
		Noise	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low
		Visual Scarring	Neg	2	3	2	3	21	Low
		Altered topography	Neg	2	4	2	3	24	Low
		Soil erosion	Neg	2	1	2	3	15	Low
5	Drilling & blasting (if done)	Noise and vibrations	Neg	1	4	1	1	6	Low
		Air quality deterioration	Neg	1	3	1	1	5	Low
6	Stockpiles	Dust	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
		Surface disturbances	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
		Drainage disruption	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
7	Material handling, hauling	Dust	Neg	2	2	1	3	15	Low
	and transportation	Increased risk of accidents	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low
		Noise	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low
		Soil contamination from	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low
		oil/fuel leaks							
8	Waste Disposal and	Soil contamination	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low
	Material storage	Water pollution	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low

	Activity	Impact	Positive /Negative	Duration	Magnitude	Extent	Probability	Signifi Rating mitiga	icance g after ation
		Increased risk of fire	Neg	1	2	1	3	12	Low
	DURING CLOSURE AND POS	ST CLOSURE							
9	Decommissioning of site	Noise	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
	and shaping of quarry	Air quality deterioration	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
		(dust)							
		Soil contamination from	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
		oil/fuel							
		Disruption of surface	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
		drainage							
	SOCIO ECONOMIC IMPACT	S							
10	Negative socio-economic	Community conflicts and	Neg	1	2	2	2	10	Low
	impacts	tensions							
		Increased risk of fire	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
		Reduced security in area	Neg	1	2	1	2	8	Low
11	Positive socio economic	Employment opportunities	Pos	2	2	2	3	18	Low
	impacts	Training and Skills	Pos	2	2	2	3	18	Low
		Development							
	HERITAGE RESOURCES IMP	ACTS							
11	Heritage impacts	Potential impacts	Neg	5	1	1	1	7	Low
		associated with site of a							
		cultural or archaeological							
		importance							
	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS								
12	Use of mine	Increased loss of	Neg	2	4	1	2	14	Low
		vegetation							

APPENDIX 5.6: ASSESSMENT OF NO-GO ALTERNATIVE

The no-go alternative means that no development will take place on the site. This means that current impacts that are on site as a result of previous activities will continue to occur.

Activity	tivity Impact		Duration	Magnitude	Extent	Probability	Signifi	cance Rating		
NO GO ALTERNATIVE	NO GO ALTERNATIVE									
Leaving area as is.	Visual Scarring	Neg	2	4	2	4	32	Medium		
	Drainage disruption	Neg	3	2	2	2	14	Low		

APPENDIX 6

SPECIALISTS STUDIES

HERITAGE STUDY

Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment of a new Borrow Pit site near Hobhouse, FS Province.

Report prepared by Paleo Field Services PO Box 38806 Langenhovenpark Bloemfontein 9330 July 2021

Summary

A Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment was carried out over a 5 ha - area designated for use of a new borrow pit near Hobhouse in the Free State Province. The footprint is located on a weather-resistant dolerite sill with partially incorporated Tarkastad Subgroup metasediments that are occasionaly exposed along the western boundary of the footprint. The proposed footprint will primarily impact paleontologically insignificant dolerite and associated metasediments considered to be of low palaeontological significance. There is no aboveground evidence of historically significant building structures older than 60 years, Stone Age archaeological remains, Iron Age structures or material of cultural significance within the confines of the development footprint. As for potential archaeological impact, the archaeological and cultural component of the proposed project footprint is assigned a site rating of General Protection C (GP.C). The development may proceed, provided that all excavation activities are restricted to within the boundaries of the footprint. As for potential palaeontological impact, the development may proceed, provided that all excavation activities are restricted to within the boundaries of the footprint. As for potential palaeontological impact, the development may proceed, provided that all excavation activities are restricted to within the boundaries of the footprint. As for potential palaeontological impact, the development may proceed, provided that borrow pit excavations avoid sedimentary (sandstone) outcrop as far as possible, since fossil exposures may be encountered within intact Tarkastad Subgroup sediments that will require constant monitoring by a professional palaeontologist.

Introduction

A Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment was carried out over a 5 ha - area designated for use of a new borrow pit near Hobhouse in the Free State Province (**Fig. 1 & 2**). The extent of the affected areas (over 5000 m2) falls within the requirements for a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) as required by Section 38 (Heritage Resources Management) of the South African National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999). The site visit and subsequent assessment took place during May 2016. The task involved identification of possible archaeological sites or occurrences in the proposed zone, an assessment of their significance, possible impact by the proposed development and recommendations for mitigation where relevant.

Terms of Reference

- Identify and map possible heritage sites and occurrences using published and database resources;
- Determine and assess the potential impacts of the proposed development on potential heritage resources;
- Recommend mitigation measures to minimize potential impacts associated with the proposed development.

Approach and Methodology

The heritage significance of the affected area was based on existing field data, database information and published literature. A field assessment, using a Garmin Etrex Vista GPS hand model (set to the WGS 84 map datum) and a digital camera for recording purposes followed this. Geological maps, aerial photographs and site records were integrated with data acquired during the on-site inspection. The study area is rated according to field rating categories as prescribed by SAHRA (**Table 1**).

Locality data

Maps: 1:50 000 scale topographical map 2927 CA & CB Kommissiepoort

1:250 scale geological map 2926 Bloemfontein

Site coordinates (Fig. 2):

- A) 29°30'28.48"S 27°11'56.72"E
- B) 29°30'33.47"S 27°12'5.66"E
- C) 29°30'37.99"S 27°12'2.09"E
- D) 29°30'32.00"S 27°11'53.72"E

The study area lies on flat open terrain on top of a rocky plateau about 6 km east-northeast of Hobhouse on the farm Gorrasstad 75 (**Fig. 3**).

Background

The study area is located within the outcrop area of the late Permian Tarkastad Subgroup (K3u) sedimentary strata of the Karoo Supergroup (Theron 1963; Johnson *et al.* 2006) (**Fig. 4**). Intrusive dykes and sills of resistant Jurassic dolerites (*Jd*) are common in the region, but are not fossiliferous.

Tarkastad Subgroup sedimentary strata in the region are assigned to the Lystrosaurus Assemblage Zone (AZ) (Groenewald 1991, Groenewald & Kitching 1995) (Fig. 5). This biozone is characterized by the abundant genus Lystrosaurus, which represents up to 95% of the vertebrate fossils. Other common genera include Procolophon, Moschorhinus, Proterosuchus, Lydekkerina, and Thrinaxodon. Given the position of the borrow pit, the likelihood of impact on potential Quaternary fossil exposures is considered unlikely. The archaeological footprint in the area are primarily represented by Stone Age archaeological localities, rock art sites and an extensive footprint related to the distribution of Iron Age settlements and early history of Sotho-speaking communities along the Caledon River Valley. Previously recorded Stone Age sites in the region are found at Bokpoort, Orange Springs Fort Savange, Leliehoek and Rose Cottage Cave. In addition to Later Stone Age levels with European and Iron Age artifacts, Rose Cottage Cave also has a long cultural sequence incorporating several MSA and LSA industries ranging from ca. 70 ka to around 10 ka ago. Rock shelters associated with more recent hunter – gatherer activities are found at Rooikrans, Mauermanshoek, Westbury and Tienfontein. Historical accounts of the middle Caledon Valley indicate that hunter-gatherers survived as communities until the end of the Basuto Wars and the establishment of European farms in 1869. Stow (1905) records traditions about the last "Bushmen" inhabitants of the Korannaberg/Viervoetberg (Mequatling) situated between Excelsior and Labybrand, and the Platberg situated about 4 km south of Ladybrand.

Numerous rock art sites have been recorded in the region with over 30 farms, listed in the Ladybrand district (Van Riet Low 1941).

A number of Iron Age settlements, which resemble Maggs's Type V settlement pattern in many aspects of their material culture, are found in the Caledon Valley and surrounds, including those at Mequatling and Tihela. According to historical accounts, the southward migration of early Sotho- speaking communities led to at least one group reaching the Caledon Valley about the mid- seventeenth century and occupying most of the upper and middle parts of the valley by 1800 AD. A major event to take place among the indigenous tribes of the interior highveld of South Africa before the coming of European settlers was the Difaqane raids and wars. Precipitated by the rise of Shaka's Zulu empire among the coastal Nguni-speaking peoples, it resulted in the creation of large-scale refugee communities that were
continued and extended over the whole interior by resident Southern Sotho-speaking peoples who could not resist the advanced military and political system of the Nguni invaders, but rather led to the segmentation of the Southern Sotho into numerous antagonistic communities scattered along the Caledon River Valley. One group was the Leghoya who in 1810 or 1812, were finally conquered and completely absorbed by the Taung under their chief, Moletsane, with whom they settled at Mequatling, to the west of Ladybrand, in 1837. Although the Leghoya were subjects of Moletsane they lived as separate pockets among the Taung and actually retained their own chief. In 1869, by the Treaty of Aliwal North, Moletsane's territory, which had previously been part of Basutoland, was ceded to the Orange Free State, and Moletsane with his Taung and Leghoya followers moved into south Basutoland, between Mafeteng and Mohale's Hoek, where he was granted land by Moshesh.

Field Assessment and Recommendations

The footprint is located on a weather-resistant dolerite sill with partially incorporated Tarkastad Subgroup metasediments that are occasionaly exposed along the western boundary of the footprint (**Fig. 6**). The proposed footprint will primarily impact paleontologically insignificant dolerite and associated metasediments considered to be of low palaeontological significance.

There is no above-ground evidence of historically significant building structures older than 60 years, Stone Age archaeological remains, Iron Age structures or material of cultural significance within the confines of the development footprint. As for potential archaeological impact, the archaeological and cultural component of the proposed project footprint is assigned a site rating of General Protection C (GP.C). The development may proceed, provided that all excavation activities are restricted to within the boundaries of the footprint.

As for potential palaeontological impact, the development may proceed, provided that borrow pit excavations avoid sedimentary (sandstone) outcrop as far as possible, since fossil exposures may be encountered within intact Tarkastad Subgroup sediments that will require constant monitoring by a professional palaeontologist.

References

Cochrane, G.W.G. 2008. A Comparison of Middle Stone Age and Later Stone Age Blades from South Africa. *Journal of Field Archaeology* 33 (4) 429-448.

Duncan, A.R. and Marsh, J.S. 2006. *The Karoo Igneous Province*. In: M.R. Johnson, *et al.* (eds). The Geology of South Africa. Geological Society of South Africa.

Ellenberger, DF 1992. History of the Basuto: Ancient and Modern. Morija: Morija Museum & Archives.

Erasmus, P.A. 2019. The land struggle of the Newlanders of Thaba Phatswa. Journal for Contemporary History 44(1):65-89.

Groenewald G.H. 1991. Burrow casts from the Lystrosaurus-Procolophon Assemblage Zone, Karoo Sequence, South Africa. *Koedoe* 34. 13-22. Groenewald G.H. and Kitching, J.W. 1995. Biostratigraphy of the Lystrosaurus AZ. In: B.S. Rubidge, *Biostratigraphy of the Beaufort Group*. Biostrat. Ser. S.Afr. Comm. Strat. 35 – 39.

Humphreys, A.J.B. 1991. On the Distribution and Dating of Bifacial Tanged and Barbed Arrowheads in the Interior of South Africa. *South African Archaeological Bulletin* 46 (153)

Johnson, M.R., Van Vuuren, C.J., Hegenberger, W.F., Key, R., Shoko, U., 1996. Stratigraphy of the Karoo Supergroup in southern Africa: an overview. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 23(1): 3–15.

Johnson *et al.* 2006. Sedimentary rocks of the Karoo Supergroup. In: M.R. Johnson, et. al. (eds). *The Geology of South Africa*. Geological Society of South Africa.

Lye, W.F. 1967. The Difiqane: The Mfecane in the Southern Sotho Area, 1822 – 1824. *Journal of African History* 8 (1) 107-131

MacRae, C. 1999. *Life Etched in Stone*. Fossils of South Africa. The Geological Society of South Africa, Johannesburg.

McCarthy, T. and Rubidge, B.S. 2005. The Story of Earth and Life. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

Maggs, T O'C 1976. Iron Age Patterns and Sotho History on the Southern Highveld, South Africa. *World Archaeology* 7(3) 318-332.

Murray, C 1992. Black Mountain: Land, Class and Power in the Eastern Orange Free State, 1880s to 1980s. London: Witwatersrand University Press.

Smith, R.H.M., Eriksson, P.G., Botha, W.J., 1993. A review of the stratigraphy and sedimentary environments of the Karoo-aged basins of Southern Africa. *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 16: 143–169.

Stow, G.W. 1905. The Native Races of South Africa. London.

Theron, J.C. 1963. Geology of Bloemfontein area. Dept. of Mines. Government Printer, Pretoria.

Thorp, C. and De Ruiter, D. 1997. Evidence for Interaction from Recent Hunter-Gatherer Sites in the Caledon Valley. *African Archaeological Review* 14 (4): 231-256.

Van Riet Lowe, C. 1941. Prehistoric art in SA. *Archaeological Series No. 5*. Bureau of Archaeology. Dept. Of the Interior, Pretoria.

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

I, Lloyd Rossouw, declare that I act as an independent specialist consultant. I do not have or will not have any financial interest in the undertaking of the activity other than remuneration for work as stipulated in the terms of reference and have no interest in secondary or downstream developments resulting from the authorization of this project.

Tables and Figures

Field Rating	Grade	Significance	Mitigation
National Significance	Grade 1	-	Conservation; national
(NS)			site nomination
Provincial Significance	Grade 2	-	Conservation;
(PS)			provincial site
			nomination
Local Significance	Grade 3A	High significance	Conservation;
(LS)			mitigation not advised
Local Significance	Grade 3B	High significance	Mitigation (part of site
(LS)			should be retained)
Generally Protected A	-	High/medium	Mitigation before
(GP.A)		significance	destruction
Generally Protected B	-	Medium significance	Recording before
(GP.B)			destruction
Generally Protected C	-	Low significance	Destruction
(GP.C)			

Table 1. Field rating categories as prescribed by SAHRA.



Figure 1. Map of the study area marked on portion of 1:50 000 scale topographic map 2927 CA & CB Kommissiepoort.



Figure 2. Aerial view and layout of the site.



Figure 3 General view of the site, looking northwest from the highground (above) and northeast towards the rocky outcrop on which the site is located (below).



*	Dolerite, overprinted on geological formations Doleriet, oorgedruk op geologiese formasies	Jd		
K4d	Basaltic lava Basaltiese lawa	Drakensberg Stage		
K4c	Massive sandstone, thin shale Massiewe sandsteen, dun skalie	Cave Sandstone Stage Etage Holkranssandsteen	Stormberg Series	TT. Sandal Research
K4r	Purple shale and mudstone; thin sandstone Pers skalie en moddersteen; dun sandsteen	Red Beds Stage Etage Rooilae	Serie Stormberg	Maut
K4m	Feldspathic sandstone and grit, green shale Veldspatiese sand- en grintsteen, groen skalie	Molteno Stage Molteno Étage Molteno Frm		KARROO SYSTEM
Кзи	Purple and green shale, thick sandstone beds Pers en groen skalie, dik sandsteenlae	Upper Stage Boonste Étage		SISTEEM KAROO
Кзт	Sandstone, shale and mudstone Sandsteen, skalie en moddersteen	Middle Stage Subgroup Middelste Etage	Beaufort Series Serie Beaufort	
КзІ	Sandstone, shale and mudstone Sandsteen, skalie en moddersteen	Lower Stage Adelaide Onderste Etage Subgroup		
K2u	Mudstone, shale Moddersteen, skalie	Upper Stage Boonste Etage	Ecca Series Serie Ecca	J

Figure 4. The study area is located within the outcrop area of the late Permian Tarkastad Subgroup (*K3u*) sedimentary strata of the Karoo Supergroup (portion of 1:250 scale geological map 2926 Bloemfontein).





Figure 6. Dolerite exposures within the proposed borrow pit area .

ECOLOGICAL STUDY



ECOLOGICAL REPORT FOR THE BORROW PIT AT FARM 75 GORRA'S STAD, PORTION 2, MANTSOPA MUNICIPALITY

Prepared by: Ricus Nel, T- 083 279 5143 E- <u>rnel@envmgp.com</u> 41 Frans Kleynhans, Groenvlei, Bloemfontein Environmental Management Group July 2021 Specialist investigator: Mr R Nel (BSc Honours majoring in Botany (ecology))

Declaration of independence:

I, the above-mentioned specialist investigator responsible for conducting this particular specialist ecological study, declare that

- I consider myself bound to the rules and ethics of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions (SACNASP);
- At the time of conducting the study and compiling this report I did not have any interest, hidden or otherwise, in the proposed development, except for financial compensation for work done in a professional capacity;
- Work performed for this study was done in an objective manner. Even if this study
 results in views and findings that are not favourable to the client/applicant, I will
 not be affected in any manner by the outcome of any environmental process of
 which this report may form a part;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing this specialist investigation. I do not necessarily object to or endorse the proposed development, but aim to present facts, findings and recommendations based on relevant professional experience, and scientific data;
- I do not have any influence over decisions made by the governing authorities;
- I have the necessary qualifications and guidance from professional experts (registered Pr. Nat. Sci.) in conducting specialist reports relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity
- This document and all information contained herein are and will remain the intellectual property of Environmental Management Group. This document, in its entirety or any portion thereof, may not be altered in any manner or form, for any purpose without the specific and written consent of the respective specialist investigator.

Ricus Nel

To whom it may concern,

Review of specialist ecological assessment:

Of

ECOLOGICAL REPORT FOR **THE BORROW PIT AT FARM 75 GORRA'S STAD, PORTION 2, MANTSOPA MUNICIPALITY, FREE STATE**, SE van Rooyen, member and principal consultant of Environmental Management Group (EMG) and registered professional scientists in the fields of ecological sciences, evaluated the ecological assessment of the specialist as mentioned above.

In general, criticism lodged against ecological studies includes poor use of relevant scientific literature, lack of or inadequate field surveys and associated data collection, poor use of regional information datasets, lacking general knowledge of the subject, failure to describe limitations or constraints on survey methodology, insufficient or inadequate data, vague generalisations with no indication of the relative importance of a particular component. Regarding the above criticism, none of it is relevant to the ecological assessment of the aforementioned report. It is concluded that the report complies with the general provincial requirements, and the content as discussed in the report is relevant and concise.

Regards

SE van Rooyen, Director Managing & Environmental Assessment Practitioner & Ecologist (MSc. Cand.Sci.Nat.116554; IAIA Reg No. 5901)

1. Executive summary

The proposed borrow pit is situated approximately 5 km north east of Hobhouse on farm Gorra's stad 75 portion 2, Mantsopa municipality, Free State. The site is located at, Lat: 29°30'32.97"S; Long: 27°11'58.38"E. The site is situated near the East facing foot of Gorra's kop mountain.

A site visit was conducted on the 1st of July 2021. The proposed 5ha and the surrounding area was surveyed for one day. The survey had to be conducted in winter, which is not optimal for plant identification since many floral species only flower during the rainy season. For this reason, it is possible that some sensitive/protected species were overlooked, however this is unlikely considering the site's transformed state.

The proposed 5ha site is located within the Eastern Free State Clay grassland (Gm3). Gm3 is an endangered vegetation type with very little of its distribution formally conserved. In addition, the site is situated within a CBA1 zone and is very well connected to other CBA and ESA areas. The site's locality and connectivity to other green nodes and its endangered status considerably raises its conservation value. At face value, the site is considered to have a high conservation status.

However, the ecological survey concluded that the site and its surroundings have experienced severe bush encroachment pressures and have endured intense grazing. Most of the area has been transformed by a dense community of *Seriphium plumosum, Felicia filifolia* and *Chrysocoma ciliata*. These woody shrubs have caused considerable loss of biodiversity through bush encroachment. This has led to substantial site degradation; therefore, the area cannot be associated with a high conservation value. The field survey resulted in one provincially protected flora being logged. The everlasting flowers Genus: *Helichrysum* is a provincially protected taxon in the Free State province. A few small mats of *Helichrysum caespititium* (Figure 9) were located at the hilltop. This species may not be removed without the relevant flora permits (DESTEA, 1969).

A biodiversity sensitivity rating was conducted to evaluate the current ecological condition and the site's sensitivity to development. The BSR evaluation concluded that the habitat is relatively transformed due to unregulated grazing and bush encroachment; therefore, the site is considered acceptable for development.

An impact assessment was performed and resulted in an overall low impact significance score. Owing to the site's high level of disturbance originating from bush encroachment, unregulated grazing, and exotic species, the overall impact of the proposed development on this ecosystem will be low. With adequate mitigation measures, the impacts emanating from the development will be very low.

Contents

1.	Exe	ecutive summary	3
2.	Intr	oduction:	6
3.	Sco	ope and limitations of the study:	7
3	.1.	Vegetation:	7
3	.2.	Fauna:	7
3	.3.	Limitations:	8
4.	Me	thodology:	8
4	.1.	Literature used for additional information:	8
4	.2.	Survey:	8
4	.3.	Assessment criteria:	9
	4.3	.1. Vegetation characteristics:	9
	4.3	.2. Vegetation condition: 1	0
	4.3	.3. Faunal characteristics:1	2
4	.4.	Biodiversity sensitivity rating (BSR): 1	3
5.	Stu	ıdy area:1	4
5	.1.	Regional vegetation:1	4
6.	Re	sults: 1	5
6	.1.	Floral survey:	5
6	.2.	VU-A:	6
6	.3.	VU-B:	7
6	.4.	Floral survey conclusion:	8
6	.5.	Faunal overview:	8
6	.6.	Biodiversity sensitivity rating:	9
	6.6	1. Habitat diversity and species richness:	9
	6.6	.2. Rare and endangered species:	20
	6.6	.3. Ecological function:	20
	6.6	.4. Conservation value:	20
	6.6	.5. Percentage ground cover:	20
	6.6	.6. Vegetation structure:	20
	6.6	.7. Infestation of exotic and invasive plants:	20
	6.6	.8. Impact of grazing/ browsing:	21
	6.6	.9. Erosion:	21
	6.6	.10. Rare and endangered faunal species:	21
7.	Ant	ticipated impacts:	21

7.1.	Concerned ecological aspects:	21
8. Re	ecommendations:	23
9. Di	scussion and conclusion:	24
10.	References:	26
11.	Appendices	28
11.1	. Appendix 1: Impact assessment evaluation form	28
11.2	Appendix 2: Impact significance on the environment	30
11.3	Appendix 3: Species list	31
11.4	Appendix 4: Pictures	33

2. Introduction:

Ecological infrastructure refers to the natural functioning ecosystems which provide essential services to people. Examples of these ecosystems include healthy mountain catchments, rivers, wetlands, rangelands and coastal dunes (SANBI, 2014). An ecosystem functions as a collective of components, both living and non-living interacting with one another (Wohlitz, 2016). Ecosystem services include provisioning services (food, raw materials, freshwater), regulating services (climate and air quality, carbon sequestration, water purification), supporting services (habitats and genetic diversity), and cultural services (recreation, tourism and spiritual) (Costanza et al., 1997; Fy et al., 2015; Wohlits, 2016). Ecosystems can only provide these services as long as they are in a healthy state. Habitat fragmentation, pollution, erosion and unsustainable harvest are only a few anthropogenic activities which threaten healthy ecosystems.

In terms of biological diversity, South Africa ranks third in the world with a high level of endemism (found only in South Africa) (Hoveka et al., 2020). Because of this, South Africa's vegetation is highly localised and experiences a greater threat of extinction. Thus, it is our responsibility to protect South Africa's rich biodiversity.

Despite the seeming homogeneity and low diversity of vegetation, an area may contain endangered and rare species. The presence of these red data species may make the development unfeasible at that specific location. If this occurs, the project should be moved to an alternative location or cease immediately.

Development is a necessity, especially for a developing country such as South Africa. New developments create job opportunities, increase capital growth, and overall create a better country. However, these developments should not come at the cost of pristine ecosystems as they produce invaluable services humans reap for free. For this reason, sustainable development practices should balance the need for development and the conservation of natural resources (Wohlitz, 2016).

The proposed borrow pit is situated approximately 5 km north east of Hobhouse on farm Gorra's stad 75 portion 2, Mantsopa municipality, Free State. The site is located at, Lat: 29°30'32.97"S; Long: 27°11'58.38"E. The site is situated near the East facing foot of Gorra's kop mountain (Elevation: 1736 m).

A site visit was conducted on the 1st of July 2021. The proposed 5ha and the surrounding area was surveyed for one day. The survey had to be conducted in winter, which is not optimal for plant identification since many floral species only flower during the rainy season.

For the reasons mentioned above, it is necessary to conduct an ecological assessment to assess the possible environmental impacts generated by mining. The recommendations and mitigation measures generated in this report should be used to minimise the impact of the proposed development.



Figure 1 biodiversity area map. The proposed site is situated in a CBA1 area as designated by the Free State Management Plan (Collins, 2015). Numerous natural and artificial wetlands are scattered around the study area (Blue)

3. Scope and limitations of the study:

- Evaluating the present ecological functioning of the area within which the proposed development will take place.
- Identifying and assessing possible environmental impacts that the proposed development could generate.

3.1. Vegetation:

Vegetation related topics to be investigated include:

- The vegetation type within which the proposed development lies and the importance thereof.
- Assessing the overall ecosystem health in terms of its vegetation with emphasis on the level of disturbance (grazing- and anthropological impacts).
- Identification of the area's species composition with emphasis on dominant-, rare-, endangered- and protected species

3.2. Fauna:

Fauna related topics to be investigated include:

- A survey primarily concerned with visual observations of species and supporting evidence of their presence in a given region, such as burrows, excavations, animal tracks, dung, etc.
- A species list including both observed and probable species occurrence.

3.3. Limitations:

- Not all plants have the same flowering period, and thus it is likely that the survey could have occurred outside of the flowering period of a specific species.
- Some geophytic and succulent plants might have been overlooked due to their cryptic nature.
- For most species, especially grasses, inflorescences are vital for accurate identification. Due to the overgrazed state of the proposed site, identification of grass species was difficult.
- Some animal species exhibit a nocturnal and or shy habit and will most likely not be observed during the daytime.

4. Methodology:

4.1. Literature used for additional information:

Vegetation:

- Red Data List (Raymondo et al. 2009)
- Vegetation types (Mucina and Rutherford 2006; SANBI, 2006-2018)
- Field guides used for species identification (van Wyk and Malan, 1998; Botha, 2001; van Tooyen et al., 2001; van Wyk and van Wyk, 2013; van Oudtshoorn, 2014; Manning, 2019)

Terrestrial fauna:

• Field guides for species identification (Marais, 2004; Sinclair and Ryan, 2010)

4.2. Survey:

Before visiting the site, a desktop study commenced where the following information was determined:

- Vegetation type.
- Climatic conditions.
- Probable rare- endemic- and protected species lists.
- Various homogenous vegetation units in which surveying will commence.
- Probable environmental impacts of the proposed development.

The site survey was performed through transects. Plant species observed were recorded with particular emphasis on rare-, endemic-, protected- and dominant species. Attention was given to the current state of the environment regarding grazing impacts, anthropogenic disturbances, erosion and the presence of alien or invasive species. Animal species observed were taken note of, as well as the probability of

other animal species' presence (dung, habitat requirements, excavations, animal tracks, burrows, and nests)

4.3. Assessment criteria:

Several assessment criteria were used to determine the overall status of the environment.

4.3.1. Vegetation characteristics:

The current state of the vegetation in terms of its species diversity, habitats sensitivity, and importance of the ecosystem.

Habitat diversity:

Greater species richness is usually promoted by an area with greater diversity in habitats that species can occupy.

Table 1 habitat diversity evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	
High species richness with many fulfilled niches	(1)
Variety of species occupying a few niches	(2)
Few or a single species dominating an area	(3)

Rare and endangered floral species:

The presence or potential presence of a rare or endangered species on the site presents an ever-important role in the feasibility of the development.

Table 2 rare- and endangered floral species evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	
Presence of or high likelihood of presence	(1)
Possible occurrence	(2)
Presence is highly unlikely	(3)

Ecological function:

Each ecosystem functions as an interconnected unit part of the greater system. Removing to many or critical units can result in an entire ecological breakdown of an area. However, the ecological importance of various areas can differ considerably.

Table 3 ecological function evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	
Critical ecological function as part of the greater system	(1)
Moderate ecological function	
No special ecological function (greater system will not fail if absent)	(3)

Conservation value:

The conservation value of an ecosystem is influenced by its natural/pristine condition, rehabilitation costs, and importance to the larger system's functioning.

Table 4 conservation value evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	Score
Natural/ pristine condition (very valuable)	(1)
Fair to good condition i.t.o. its natural condition	(2)
Heavily transformed, degraded and not rare	(3)

4.3.2. Vegetation condition:

Comparison to an objectively good/ natural condition ecosystem. Veld management practices such as fire regime and grazing intensity can have a significant influence on vegetation condition.

Percentage ground cover:

Ground cover is influenced by climate and biophysical conditions such as overgrazing, frequent fires, anthropogenic activities.

Table 5 percentage ground cover evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	Score
Good ground cover	(1)
Moderate ground cover (few patches of exposed soil)	(2)
Very poor ground cover (large areas of barren soil)	(3)

Vegetation structure:

A comparison between of various vegetation layers, i.e. the ratio between the top (trees/ tall shrubs), middle (shrubs) and lower (herbaceous/dwarf shrub) layers.

Table 6 vegetation structure evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	
All layers present with their various age classes	(1)
Lower layer highly grazed while top layer unaffected	(2)
A mono layer dominating an area (presence of degradation notable)	(3)

Infestation of exotic and invasive plants:

Exotic/ alien species are those that are not native to South Africa, while invasive species are those that adversely affect the environment.

Table 7 Infestation of exotic and invasive plant species evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	Score
No or a small presence of alien/invasive species	(1)
Moderate infestation by one or more alien/invasive species	(2)
Area with a very high presence of many alien/invasive species	(3)

Impact of grazing/ browsing:

The overall vegetation structure and vegetation condition is heavily influenced by the intensity of grazing and browsing.

Table 8 impact of grazing/ browsing evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	
Very little or no signs of grazing/browsing	
Some signs of grazing/browsing (browse lines, shrubs/trees with signs of	
browsing and grass with signs of grazing)	
Very clear browse level in trees, shrubs heavily pruned and grass layer	
heavily grazed.	

Erosion:

Signs of erosion is an indicator of environmental disturbance. The severity of erosion usually increases with a lack of ground cover.

Table 9 erosion evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	Score
No or very little signs of erosion	(1)
Small erosion gullies or the presence of slight sheet erosion	
High degree of gully erosion and/ or high degree of sheet erosion	(3)

4.3.3. Faunal characteristics:

Rare and endangered species:

The presence or potential presence of a rare or endangered species on the site presents an ever-important role in the feasibility of the development.

Table 10 rare and endangered faunal species evaluation score sheet. Refer to table 11 for the overall BSR evaluation.

Criteria:	Score
Presence of or high likelihood of presence	(1)
Possible occurrence	(2)
Presence is highly unlikely	(3)

4.4. Biodiversity sensitivity rating (BSR):

The summed scores for the environmental assessment criteria indicated above were used to determine the biodiversity sensitivity for the site. The BSR score is set at a maximum of 30 which strongly favours development's incentive, while a lower score closer to 0 indicates an ecosystem more valuable left undeveloped.

Table 11 biodiversity sensitivity rating evaluation

BSR	Environmental description according	BSR score class
	to the BSR	
Ideal for development	The vegetation has been totally transformed or is in a highly degraded state. The area can no longer be regarded as being in a natural condition. The area generally consists of a very low species diversity, does not contain any species of concern and is heavily populated by invasive plants. This area has lost its inherent ecological function. The area has no conservation value, and rehabilitation potential is outweighed by the costs. This site is ideal for the proposed development.	29-30
Preferred for development	The vegetation is in an advanced degraded state, has a low species diversity and is reasonably populated by invasive species. The area's ecological function is severely affected, and it has inadequate conservation potential. The potential for successful rehabilitation is relatively low. The area is preferred for the proposed development.	26-28
Acceptable for development	Vegetation displays moderate levels of degradation and exhibits a medium level of species richness. No species of concern are present. The degree of infestation is controllable. The area's ecological function is still intact and may be affected by the proposed development's activities. Rehabilitation is possible and should be considered. The area's conservation value is regarded as low. The area is acceptable for development.	21-25
Not preferred for development	The area is in overall good condition. There are some indications of environmental disturbance. Species diversity is reasonably high, and species of concern may be present. The area's ecological function is intact, and minimal rehabilitation efforts are needed. The area is of medium conservation value. The area is not preferred for development.	11-20
Very sensitive not suitable for development	The area is in a pristine or near pristine condition with very few indications of disturbance. The area exhibits a very high species diversity along with several species of concern. The ecological function is very well intact, and the conservation value is very high. The area is susceptible and should be avoided for the proposed development.	0-10

5. Study area:

The proposed site is located near the east facing foot of Gorra's kop mountain, approximately 5 km north east of Hobhouse, Free State (Figure 2). The site situated in the second portion of Farm 75 Gorra's stad (Figure 1).



Figure 2 vegetation type map indicating the site's locality (RED) within the Eastern Free State Clay Grassland vegetation type (Light pink). Gorra's kop mountain supports Basotho Montane Shrubland (Gm5) vegetation (Orange).

5.1. Regional vegetation:

The proposed 5ha borrow pit is situated within the Mesic Highveld Grassland bioregion (Gm). Gm is found primarily in the eastern highveld regions of South Africa (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). Shrublands are especially common on shallow rocky outcrops where the geology creates arid habitat conditions. These xeric conditions are promoted by the shallow soils limiting water absorption (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). The site is situated within the Eastern Free State Clay Grassland (Gm3) (SANBI, 2018) (Figure 2). Gm3 is categorised as an endangered vegetation type, with only 7% of the targeted 24% formally conserved (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; DEA, 2016)

Gm3 is mainly distributed in the Free State province, with some parts stretching into the low-lying areas of Lesotho (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). Most of Gm3 is distributed around the areas of Wepener in the south, Petrus Steyn in the north, Excelsior in the east. Gm3 is found mainly between 1380 and 1740 m.a.s.l. This vegetation type's topography is flat to slightly undulating (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). The Northern region of Gm3 presents a higher occurrence of mudstones and sandstones of the Adelaide subgroup, while sediments of the Tarkastad subgroup is prevalent in the south. Sharp ridges/hills are formed by dolerite sills and dykes and weathering resistant sandstone outcrops. These outcrops create a broken landscape which often sustains Gm5 associated vegetation (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). Gm3 experiences summer rainfall with a mean annual precipitation of around 630 mm. This vegetation unit experiences very cold winters and is regarded as one of the coldest regions within the Highveld.

6. Results:

A comprehensive species list is available in appendix 3

6.1. Floral survey:



Figure 3 vegetation units described following the site survey.

Only two homogenous vegetation units could be described (Figure 4). The site is currently being used as a communal grazing area. Much of the site and its immediate surroundings are experienicing significant pressuresrs accompanied by the encrouchment of *Seriphium plumosum* and *Chrysocoma* ciliata. Numerous dung piles and a short grass proflile indicates intense grazing. The grazing intensity has led to much of the veld being trampled, causing significant sheet erosion and the proliferation of woody and spinescent elements (indicative of an overgrazed veld). Sparsely distributed clumps of climax grasses indicate remnants of a more pristine ecosystem. Rehabilitation through the natural process of succession might result in a climax

community resembling its natural state. However, the level of bush encroachment and the continual pressures of intense grazing make this unlikely.

The proposed site is situated in a critical biodiversity area (CBA1) which considerably raises its conservation priority (Figure 1). The Free State biodiversity map indicates numerous CBA and ESA areas in an approximate 3km radius around the site (Collins, 2015). The site is very well connected to these biodiversity areas, thus raising the site's conservation value. When considering this site's locality in an endangered vegetation type and its placement within and connectivity to other ESA and CBA areas, it is preliminarily concluded that it should have a high conservation value. It should be stressed that the site's preliminary conservation value does not consider the actual ecological function and pristineness of the area.

6.2. VU-A:

VU-A is situated along the slope of a slightly inclined southwest facing hill (Figure 4 and 5). The unit is characterised by a poorly herbaceous developed layer consisting mainly of pioneering grass species with scattered uncovered soil patches. Pioneering grass species include Aristida congesta, Α. adscensionis. A. diffusa and *Eragrostis obtusa*. The bare soil patches pose а significant erosion risk



Figure 4 top view of VU-A. The gently sloped hill is dominated by *F. filifolia* and *S. plumosum*.

especially considering the distribution of these patches along the slope of the hill.



Figure 5 view of an area approximately 500 m south of the proposed site with a very high presence of bush encroachment of *F. filifolia* and *S. plumosum.*

The dense distribution of S. plumosum and F. filifolia is of particular concern since these native shrubs have caused considerable habitat degradation through bush encroachment across much of South Africa and Lesotho (Ward, 2009; Avenant, 2015; Hae, 2016). A few scattered clumps of climax arass species such as Cymbopogon pospischilii, Themeda triandra and Eragrostis lehmanniana suggest a previous natural

ecosystem with little disturbance. The many heaps of cattle dung suggest intense grazing, and seeing the low diversity of palatable grasses, poses a threat to the already disturbed ecosystem



Figure 6 view of the hilltop which has been severely degraded by intense grazing and bush encroachment. A dense stand of *Chrysocoma ciliata* and *Seriphium plumosum* are present in the background.

6.3. VU-B:

VU-B is situated along the top of a gently inclined hill. This unit's herbaceous layer is highly broken, represented by a few sparsely distributed pioneering grasses and many barren soil patches (Figure 7). Numerous mounds of cattle dung suggest the gentle sloping hill does not provide much refuge against cattle. The few grasses found on the hilltop include Aristida congesta, A. adscensionis and Eragrostis obtusa. The

hilltop is almost entirely encroached by *F. filifolia, S. plumossum and Chrysocoma ciliata*. Other sources of disturbance include footpaths and a few category 1b invasive species. *Xanthium strumarium, Cylindropuntia imbricata* and *Verbena* bonariensis are all category 1b invasive species and pose a significant threat to the environment (NEMBA, 2016). This unit is severely degraded, especially considering the intense grazing levels, inadequate ground cover, and bush encroachment.

6.4. Floral survey conclusion:

The site is situated within the Eastern Free State Clay Grassland (Gm3), an endangered vegetation type (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; DEA, 2016). Very little of Gm3 is formally conserved in conservation areas. The endangered status of the surrounding vegetation considerably raises the site's conservation value. The site is also situated within a CBA1 zone, which is very well connected to other ESA and CBA areas. The site's preliminary conservation value should be regarded as being high; however, following the ecological survey performed on the 1st of July 2021 it is concluded that the site is in a transformed state and hosts a low floral species richness. Intense bush encroachment, overgrazing and the influence of invasive floras have severely transformed the site. The survey resulted in the logging of several mats of Helichrysum caespititium (Figure 9). The Everlasting flowers (Genus: Helichrysum) is a protected taxon in the Free State province (DESTEA, 1969). It should be stressed that the survey was performed in winter, which is not optimal since most floral species only flower in the rainy season. In this regard, it is possible that sensitive/ rare species were missed due to the survey taking place outside of their flowering period. However, owing to the site's poor ecological condition, the presence of such species is unlikely. In general, the poor ecological condition due to bush encroachment, intense grazing and infestation by alien species has considerably lowered the site's conservation value.

Sparsely distributed clumps of *Cymbopogon pospischilii, Themeda triandra* and *Eragrostis lehmanniana* indicate a previous natural ecosystem with little disturbance. Rehabilitation through the natural process of succession is unlikely since much of the site and its surrounding area have already been transformed by severe bush encroachment and intense grazing.

6.5. Faunal overview:

The site had very few signs of mammalian life. Although a comprehensive mammal survey was not conducted, signs and tracks of animals were recorded. Indications of mammalian life are represented by a few small burrows most likely occupied by *Cynictis penicillate* (Yellow mongoose) since a few individuals of this species were observed in the surrounding area. The proximity to urban development's invites feral/ stray domestic animals such as dogs and cats. These animals have most likely decimated the natural faunal community and might be responsible for the low faunal presence.

The proposed borrow pit will transform a relatively small area of the site's vegetation and consequently habitat for fauna. It is possible that some faunal species were overlooked due to exhibiting a shy nature, nocturnal- or burrowing habit. However, owing to the site's disturbed condition, the probability of finding sensitive and or red data species are unlikely. The site is well connected to other open systems, and the surrounding environment supports both mountainous and low-lying habitats. The mountainous areas may act as a refuge for sensitive species and should be avoided.

A reptile and mammal search on the Virtual Museum portal resulted in only a few red data mammal species for QDS 2927CA (FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021). The following red data species might occur within QDS 2927CA:

Mystromys albicaudatus African white-tailed rat

Otomys auratus Southern African Vlei Rat (Grassland type)

The occurrence probability of these species is relatively low due to the proximity to urban developments, which invite anthropogenic disturbances and stray/feral animals. Should any species of conservation concern be observed during mining, all disturbance activities should halt until a suitably qualified biologist is contracted and the appropriate mitigation measures performed.

6.6. Biodiversity sensitivity rating:

The vegetation is quite disturbed due to intense grazing and bush encroachment. This has led to a low species richness, widespread presence of exotics and the proliferation of woody and spinescent elements. No rare or endangered species were recorded, and owing to the extent of bush encroachment, it is unlikely that any such species will be present. Therefore, the BSR score concluded that the site is acceptable for development.

Vegetation characteristics:	Score (1-3)
Habitat diversity	2
Rare and endangered species	3
Ecological function	2
Conservation value	2
Vegetation condition:	
Percentage ground cover	2
Vegetation structure	2
Infestation of exotic and invasive plants	2
Impact of grazing/ browsing	3
Erosion	2
Faunal characteristics:	
Rare and endangered species	3
BSR total score:	23
Development preference rating	Acceptable

Table 12 final biodiversity sensitivity rating evaluation.

6.6.1. Habitat diversity and species richness:

The site consists mainly of a degraded grass layer with a low species richness. The intense grazing has led to the promotion of woody and spinescent elements. The

surrounding area does support aquatic and mountainous habitats; however, these are not included within the mining footprint.

6.6.2. Rare and endangered species:

The site survey did not result in the identification of any rare or endangered plant species. Due to the extensive bush encroachment and intense grazing, the probability of such species occurring on the site is relatively low. The field survey resulted in the logging of several *Helichrysum caespititium* mats. The necessary transplant/removal permits should be obtained prior to mining.

6.6.3. Ecological function:

The extensive bush encroachment, intense grazing, and erosion have impeded this area's ecological function. However, it should be stressed that not all ecological function is lost in that the surrounding mesic and mountainous habitats will still function as refuges for sensitive species. However, these areas will be excluded from the mining footprint and will not be impacted.

6.6.4. Conservation value:

The site consists of Eastern Free State Clay Grassland (Gm3), an endangered vegetation type (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; DEA, 2016). This formal conservation status increases this area's conservation value considerably; however, the site cannot be considered to have the same conservation value due to the poor ecological function and transformed state.

6.6.5. Percentage ground cover:

Intense grazing has severely impacted the site's vegetation. Trampling of vegetation has left many barren soil patches. The broken veld conditions are more susceptible to erosion.

6.6.6. Vegetation structure:

Intense grazing has allowed the proliferation of woody and spinescent elements. Woody and spinescent elements are represented by *Melolobium candicans, Berkheya onopordifodia, B. rigida.* Most of the site has been transformed via bush encroachment due to dense populations of *Seriphium plumosum* and *Chrysocoma ciliata.*

6.6.7. Infestation of exotic and invasive plants:

The site is moderately infested with exotic and invasive species. These include several category 1b invasives such as *Xanthium strumarium, Cylindropuntia imbricata,* and

Verbena bonariensis.. Other non-invasive weeds which still pose a threat to this ecosystem are *Tagetes minuta*, *Bidens bipinnata* and *Alternanthera pungens*. These species outcompete native species and pose a threat to the ecosystem.

6.6.8. Impact of grazing/ browsing:

The site is used as an unregulated communal grazing area. The intense grazing by cattle has left notable impacts on the environment. Soil erosion and selective grazing leading to the proliferation of woody and spinescent elements supports the previous claim.

6.6.9. Erosion:

Notable rill and sheet erosion is present across the site and the surrounding area.

6.6.10. Rare and endangered faunal species:

No rare or endangered faunal species were recorded during the site visit. Due to the transformed vegetation structure, which forms the habitat for faunal species and feral/stray animals, it is unlikely that any red list species would occur.

7. Anticipated impacts:

This project will result in an overall loss of biodiversity through habitat destruction and reduction of species diversity. The site is situated in the Eastern Free State Clay Grassland (Gm3), an endangered vegetation type (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; DEA, 2016). The site's natural vegetation has been transformed by intense grazing and, most notably, bush encroachment. This has led to the proliferation of woody and spinescent elements, ultimately lowering species diversity (Ward, 2009; Avenant, 2015; Hae, 2016). The site is located at the foot of Gorra's kop mountain, which hosts Gm5 associated vegetation (Figure 3). Gm5 is a vulnerable vegetation type (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; DEA, 2016). The preliminary conservation value only based of the Free State Biodiversity management map (Collins, 2015) and formal conservation status (Mucina and Rutherford, 2003; DEA, 2016) would have regarded the site as a high priority. However, the degraded state of the environment does not justify a high conservation value; hence the overall anticipated environmental impact will be low (Table 16).

7.1. Concerned ecological aspects:

Habitat loss and or fragmentation is a leading cause of the global biodiversity crisis. The removal of environmental units will lead to the destabilisation of the entire ecosystem and eventually ecological breakdown. The proposed development will result in an overall loss of habitat and have a low impact significance.

Table 13 ecological impact on habitat loss for fauna and flora assessed using table 17, appendix 1 and fir	nal
evaluation form from table 18, appendix 2.	

Concerned aspect:	Impact characteristic	Score
Habitat loss	Geographical extend	1
	Probability	3
	Duration	3
	Reversibility	3
	Cumulative impacts	3
	Intensity	2
	TOTAL	26
Significance rating	Low	

Indigenous vegetation has a far greater conservation value to exotic species. Indigenous species have adapted to the surrounding environment and have established many stable networks of energy transfer. The removal of indigenous species disrupts this balance which has formed over many years. The estimated impact on the surrounding transformed vegetation will thus be of a low degree.

Table 14 the anticipated impact on the loss of indigenous floral and faunal diversity assessed table 17, appendix 1 and final evaluation form from table 18 appendix 2.

Concerned aspect:	Impact characteristic	Score
Loss of indigenous	Geographical extend	1
floral and faunal	Probability	3
diversity	Duration	3
	Reversibility	3
	Cumulative impacts	3
	Intensity	2
	TOTAL	26
Significance rating	Low	

Protected species have been assigned protected status either nationally or provincially. These species are of unique conservation concern for many purposes. These include socioeconomic importance, scarcity, limited distribution and ecological significance. Removing these species should be avoided at all costs. If removal is unavoidable, the necessary permits should be acquired for their removal and translocation if possible. The field survey resulted in one provincially protected flora being logged. The everlasting flowers Genus: *Helichrysum* is a provincially protected taxon in the Free State province. A few small mats of *Helichrysum caespititium* were located at the hilltop. This species may not be removed without the relevant flora permits (DESTEA, 1969). It should be stressed that the survey did not take place in the flowering season and that it is possible that sensitive/protected floras were missed.

However, considering the poor ecological status through the impacts of bush encroachment and overgrazing the likelihood of such species to occur is very low.

Table 15 the anticipated impact on the loss of protected floral and faunal species assessed using table 17, appendix 1 and final evaluation form from table 18, appendix 2.

Concerned aspect:	Impact characteristic	Score
Loss of protected	Geographical extend	1
floral and faunal	Probability	2
species	Duration	2
	Reversibility	3
	Cumulative impacts	2
	Intensity	2
	TOTAL	20
Significance rating	Low	

Table 16 overall anticipated environmental impact.

Concerned aspect	Score
Habitat loss	26
Loss of indigenous floral and faunal	
diversity	26
Loss of protected floral and faunal species	20
Overall impact:	24
Significance rating:	Low

8. Recommendations:

- Care should be taken to not unnecessarily clear or destroy indigenous vegetation.
- All areas to be affected by the proposed development will be rehabilitated by indigenous vegetation.
- The post mined site should be revegetated, strictly using indigenous vegetation. Revegetation should be performed to minimise erosion.
- All stockpiles, construction vehicles, equipment and machinery should be situated away from the natural vegetation.
- No structures should be built outside the area demarcated for the development.
- Adequate monitoring of weed establishment and their continued eradication should be maintained during and after mining.
- The hunting, capturing and trapping of fauna should be prevented throughout the mining operations.
- Training of construction workers to recognise threatened animal species will reduce the probability of fauna being harmed unnecessarily.
- Any fauna that becomes trapped in the trenches or in any construction or operational related activity may not be harmed and must be rescued and relocated by an experienced person.
- All construction and maintenance vehicles must stick to properly demarcated and prepared roads. Off-road driving should be strictly prohibited.
- No dumping of any form is permitted.
- All construction-related waste/material should be appropriately disposed of after mining has ceased.

9. Discussion and conclusion:

The proposed 5ha site is located within the Eastern Free State Clay grassland (Gm3). Gm3 is an endangered vegetation type with very little of its distribution formally conserved. In addition, the site is placed within a CBA1 zone and is very well connected to other CBA and ESA areas. The site's locality and connectivity to other green nodes and its endangered status considerably raise its conservation value. At face value, the site should have a high conservation value.

However, the site survey concluded that the site and the surrounding area are severely under bush encroachment pressure and experiences intense grazing. Most of the site and the surrounding area has been taken over by dense communities of *Seriphium plumosum, Felicia filifolia* and *Chrysocoma ciliata*. These woody shrubs have caused considerable loss of biodiversity through encroachment (Ward, 2009; Avenant, 2015; Hae, 2016). This has led to degradation of the site and it can thus not be associated with a high conservation value. The field survey resulted in one provincially protected flora being logged. The everlasting flowers Genus: *Helichrysum* is a provincially protected taxon in the Free State province. A few small mats of *Helichrysum caespititium* were located at the hilltop (Figure 9). This species may not be removed without the relevant flora permits (DESTEA, 1969).

The site had very few signs of mammalian life. Indications of mammalian life are represented by a few small burrows most likely occupied by *Cynictis penicillate* (Yellow mongoose) since a few individuals of this species were observed in the surrounding area. The proximity to urban development invites feral/ stray domestic animals such as dogs and cats. These animals have most likely decimated the natural faunal community and might be responsible for the low faunal presence.

A biodiversity sensitivity rating was conducted to evaluate the current ecological condition and the site's sensitivity to development. The BSR evaluation concluded that the habitat is transformed due to unregulated grazing and bush encroachment; thus, the site is acceptable for development (Table12).

An impact assessment was performed and resulted in an overall low impact significance score (Table 16). Even though the site is located in Gm3, which is declared an endangered vegetation type (DEA, 2016), the site's vegetation has been

severely transformed and does not represent a pristine ecosystem associated with a high conservation value. The outlying area does support aquatic and mountainous habitats; however, these are not included within the mining footprint and should not be affected. Owing to the site's high level of disturbance originating from bush encroachment, unregulated grazing, and exotic species, the overall impact of the proposed development on this ecosystem will be low. With adequate mitigation measures, the impacts emanating from the development will be very low.

10. References:

Avenant, P., 2015. Report on the national bankrupt bush (*Seriphium plumosum*) survey (2010-2012). *Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*. South Africa.

Bromilow, C., 2010. Problem plants and alien weeds of South Africa. Briza Publications CC, Cape Town.

Centre for Agriculture and Bioscience International., 2021. *Eucalyptus sideroxylon* (black ironbark). Available at: https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/22476

Collins, N.B., 2015. Free State Province Biodiversity Plan: CBA map. Free State Department of Economic, Small Business Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs. Internal Report.

Costanza, R., d' Arge, R., de Groot, R., Farber, S., Grasso, M., Hannon, B., Limburg, K., Naeem, S., O'Neill, R.V., Paruelo, J., Raskin, R.G., Sutton, P., van den Belt, M., 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. Nature 387, 253-260.

Botha, C.,2001., Common weeds of crops and gardens in southern Africa. Potchefstroom, Suid-Afrika: *ARC-Grain Crops Institute*.

Department of Environmental Affairs .,2016. National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy for South Africa 2016. Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria, South Africa.

Department of economic, small business, development, tourism and environmental affairs., 1969. Free State Nature Conservation Ordinance no 8. Free State, South Africa.

FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology .,2021. ReptileMAP Virtual Museum. Accessed at http://vmus.adu.org.za/?vm=ReptileMAP on 2021-07-05

FitzPatrick Institute of African Ornithology., 2021. MammalMAP Virtual Museum. Accessed at http://vmus.adu.org.za/?vm=MammalMAP on 2021-07-05

Fy, B., Zhang, L., Xu, Z., Zhao, Y., Wei, Y., Skinner, D., 2015. Ecosystem services in changing land use. Journal of soils and sediments 15, 833-843.

Hae, M.E., 2016. Invasive plant species in Lesotho's rangelands: species characterization and potential control measures. *United Nations University Land Restoration Training Programme*. Available at https://www.researchgate.net/deref/http%3A%2F%2Fwww.unulrt.is%2Fstatic%2Ffell ows%2Fdocument%2FHae2016.pdf

Hirsch, H., Allsopp, M.H., Canavan, S., Cheek, M., Geerts, S., Geldenhuys, C.J., Harding, G., Hurley, B.P., Jones, W., Keet, JH., Klein, H., Ruwanza, S., van Wilgen, B.W., Wingfield, M.J., Richardson, D,M., 2019. Eucalyptus camaldulensis in

South Africa-past, present, future. Transactions of the Royal Society of South Africa. DOI: 10.1080/0035919X.2019.1669732

Hoveka, L.N., van der Bank, M., Bezeng, B.S., Jonathan davies T., 2020. Identifying biodiversity knowledge gaps for conserving South Africa's endemic flora. Biodiversity and conservation 29, 2803-2819

Manning, J. 2019. Field Guide to Wild Flowers of South Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

Marais, J., 2004. 'n voledige gids tot die slange van Suider-Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act., 2016. Alien and invasive species list (Act No 10 of 2004). Government Gazette no 40166 of 29 July 2016.

Raymondo et al., 2009. Red List of South Africa. SANBI Red List. Online. Available at: http://redlist.sanbi.org/. Accessed on June 2021.

SANBI .,2014. A Framework for investing in ecological infrastructure in South Africa. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Sinclair, I., Ryan, P., 2010. Birds of Africa south of the Sahara. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

South African National Biodiversity Institute.,(2006-2018). Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland, Mucina L., Rutherford, M.C., Powrie, L.W (Eds), Online, http://bgis.sanbi.org/Projects/Detail/186, Version 2018.

van Oudtshoorn, F. 2014. Guide to grasses of southern Africa. Briza publishers, Pretoria.

van Tooyen, N., Bezuidenhout, H., de Kock, E.,2001. Blomplante van die Kalhariduineveld. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

van Wyk, B. & Malan, S. 1998. Field guide to the wild flowers of the Highveld. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

van Wyk, B., van Wyk, P., 2013. Field Guide to Trees of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

Ward, D., 2009. Do we understand the causes of bush encroachment I African savannas?. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science* 22, 101-105.

Wohlitz, E. 2016. Bring Nature back to the city: How to conduct urban nature conservation. Briza publications, Pretoria.

11. Appendices

11.1. Appendix 1: Impact assessment evaluation form

Table 17 description of the rating system used to evaluate the possible impacts concerned with the proposed development.

Geographical extend: This describes the spatial reach an impact might have.			
Score			
1	Site specific	The impacts will only affect the specific site.	
2	Local	The impacts will affect the local area or district.	
3	Provincial	The impacts will be recognised across most of	
		the province.	
4	International/ national	Will affect the entire country or other countries.	
Probability: T	nis describes the probability	that a specific environmental impact will	
occur.			
1	Unlikely	Less than 25% chance of occurrence.	
2	Possible	Between 25-50% chance of occurrence.	
3	Most likely	50-75% chance of occurrence.	
4	Definite	Greater than 75% chance of occurrence.	
Duration: This	describes the amount of tim	he an environment will be affected by the	
impact.			
1	Short term	The impact will disappear very quickly, either	
		through mitigation or through natural	
		processes. The impact should have	
		disappeared within 1 year.	
2	Medium term	The impact will endure for a short while after	
		the construction processes and will be	
		mitigated by either human intervention or	
		natural processes. The impact should have	
		disappeared between 2-10 years.	
3	Long term	The impact will persist through the construction	
		phase and disappear by either human	
		intervention or natural processes in 10-30	
		years.	
4	Permanent	Mitigation either by man or natural processes is	
		highly unlikely. The impact will have	
		permanently affected the environment.	
Reversibility:	Describes the potential of a	an impact to be entirely reversed after	
development.			
1	Entirely reversable	The impact is entirely reversible and can be	
		achieved with minor mitigation measures.	
2	Possibly reversable	The impact might be reversible. Suitable	
		mitigation measures will increase the chances	
		of reversibility and should be considered.	
3	Barely reversible	It is unlikely that the impact will be reversed.	
		Extreme mitigation measures might increase	
		the chances of successful reversibility.	
4	Irreversible	The impact is irreversible. No mitigation	
		measures can reverse the effects on the	
		environment.	

Cumulative i	mpacts: Describes the o	cumulative impacts of the proposed	
development, i.t.o. the development process and all activities emanating from the			
operation of the facility.			
1	Very low cumulative impact	The impact will result in no or minimal	
0		The impact will result in an overall low	
2	Low cumulative impact	cumulative effect.	
3	Moderate cumulative impact	The cumulative impacts will have moderate levels of impact.	
4	High cumulative impact	The cumulative impact will result in high to very high environmental effects.	
Intensity: Des	cribes the severity of the imp	pact on the environment	
1	Low	The impact's effect on the system will be hardly	
		noticeable, if at all. Rehabilitation measures	
		have to be in place if required.	
2	Medium	The impact will have a recognisable effect on	
		the environment. However, system	
		functionality will still be present with negligible	
		effects on ecosystem integrity. Rehabilitation	
		measures have to be in place.	
3	High	The impact will severely affect ecosystem	
		integrity and function. Rehabilitation will be	
		costly, and extreme mitigation measures have	
4	Venthisk	The imprace.	
4	very nign	I ne impact will result in the entire ecological	
		thereof Rehabilitation will be costly with	
		minimal chances of success Extreme	
		mitigation measures must be in place	

11.2. Appendix 2: Impact significance on the environment

Impact significance describes the overall environmental impact resulting from the cumulation of impact characteristics. Significance gives a judgement of the effect a development will have on the environment. Significance is calculated as the total score for each criterion (geographical extend + probability + duration + reversibility + cumulative impacts) multiplied by the intensity. A greater significance score results in an overall greater environmental impact and should be avoided or allowed with extreme mitigation measures in place. A lower significance score results in an overall lesser environmental impact and may be allowed with very little or no mitigation measures needed.

Score	Impact significance rating	Description
5-19	Very low	Impact significance is of a
		very low order.
		Development is
		acceptable
20-34	Low	Impact significance is of a
		low order ,and
		development is
		acceptable.
35-49	Moderate	The impact will be
		recognisable and may
		pose a problem to the
		development.
50-64	High	The impact is substantial
		and will significantly affect
		the environment.
		Development is
		unacceptable.
65-80	Very high	The impact is of the
		highest possible order and
		will cause irrefutable
		damage to the
		environment.
		Development
		unacceptable.

Table 18 impact significance evaluation form

11.3. Appendix 3: Species list

Species indicated with an * are exotic.

Protected species are coloured orange and Red Listed species red.

Table 19 species logged during the site visit of 02 July 2021.

		Invasive
Family	Species name	category
Amaranthaceae	*Alternanthera pungens	
Anacardiaceae	Searsia lancea	
Anacardiaceae	Searsia burchellii	
Anacardiaceae	Searsia erosa	
Asparagaceae	Asparagus suaveolens	
Asteraceae	*Bidens bipinnata	
Asteraceae	Berkheya onopordifodia	
Asteraceae	Berkheya rigida	
Asteraceae	Chrysocoma ciliata	
Asteraceae	Dicoma anomala	
Asteraceae	Eriocephalus ericoides	
Asteraceae	Felicia filifolia	
Asteraceae	Felicia muricata	
Asteraceae	Gazania krebsiana	
Asteraceae	Helichrysum caespititium	
Asteraceae	Seriphium plumosum	
Asteraceae	*Tagetes minuta	
Asteraceae	*Xanthium strumarium	(1b)
Cactaceae	*Cylindropuntia imbricata	(1b)
Ebenaceae	Euclea crispa	
Fabaceae	Indigofera nigromontana	
Lamiaceae	Salvia repens	
Poaceae	Aristida adscensionis	
Poaceae	Aristida congesta	
Poaceae	Aristida diffusa	
Poaceae	Cymbopogon pospischilii	
Poaceae	Eragrostis curvula	
Poaceae	Eragrostis lehmanniana	
Poaceae	Eragrostis obtusa	
Poaceae	Setaria sphacelata	
Poaceae	Sporobolus fimbriatus	
Poaceae	Themeda triandra	
Pteridaceae	Cheilanthes eckloniana	
Solanaceae	Lycium cinereum	

Solanaceae	*Solanum lichtensteinii	
Verbenaceae	*Verbena bonariensis	(1b)

11.4. Appendix 4: Pictures



Figure 7 view on top of the hill with clear indications of bush encroachment by *Chrysocoma ciliata and Seriphium plumosum*. The sparse herbaceous cover may be attributed to the survey taking place in winter and intense grazing.



Figure 8 view of *Cylindropuntia imbricata*, a category 1b invasive species. Eradication methods include introduction of cochineal insect, (*Dactylopius tomentosus*) and felling. Care should be taken when cutting down this species since it can easily re-establish from severed vegetative structure.



Figure 9 view of Helichrysum caespititium. This species creates dense to loosely constructed mats and were mostly located on the hilltop.

FEASIBILITY STUDY



Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment Tel: +27 51 412 6350 Fax: +27 51 412 6351 Email: ckruger@envmgp.com Postal Address: P.O.Box 37473, Langenhoven Park 9330

Selected Borrow Pits on Municipal Lands

LICENSING OF GRAVEL BORROW PITS IN THE MANTSOPA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

Geological Investigation of Ladybrand, Hobhouse, Excelsior and Tweespruit in the Mantsopa Local Municipality

June 2021



Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment Tel: +27 51 412 6350 Fax: +27 51 412 6351 Email: ckruger@envmgp.com Postal Address: P.O.Box 37473, Langenhoven Park 9330

Introduction

This document aims to list all the findings from the submitted report (*LICENSING OF GRAVEL BORROW PITS IN THE MANTSOPA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY: Geological Investigation of Ladybrand, Hobhouse, Excelsior and Tweespruit in the Mantsopa Local Municipality Version 2*) on the 11th May 2021. What follows are the identified and recommended areas in each of the four towns on municipal land, which show preferable properties for the establishment of borrow pits. For a detailed photographic reference please refer to the original report.



Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment

Ladybrand

The following dolerite locations are located on municipal owned land:

Name	Coordinates	Farm Name	Municipal Land (Y/N)
	-29.217332°S	Dorp Gronden Ladybrand	Van
AREA I	27.473351°E	451/RE	fes
Expected Material	Distance from	Commonte	
Quality	Town	Comments	
Dolorito C5 C6	11 km	Could be accessed from the R26 but a new access road needs	
Dolenie 03 – 00		be constructed.	









Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment

Hobhouse

The following dolerite locations are located on municipal owned land:

Name	Coordinates	Farm Name	Municipal Land (Y/N)
AREA H2 (Previous	-29.504721°S	Corrected 75/1 2 4	Ves
Study)	27.204248°E	Conastau 75/1,2,4	163
Expected Material	Distance from	Commonte	
Quality	Town	Comments	
Dolerite G5 – G6	6 km	A small access road need	is to be constructed.
		H3	







Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment

Excelsior

The following dolerite locations are located on municipal owned land:

Name	Coordinates	Farm Name	Municipal Land (Y/N)
	-28.930791°S	Excelsion 259/DE	Vee
AREA 2	27.086397°E	Excelsior 358/RE	res
Expected Material	Distance from	Commente	
Quality	Town	Comments	
Dolerite G5 – G6	6.5 km	Resource is of limited extent	











Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment

Tweespruit (Thaba Patchoa)

The following dolerite locations are located on municipal owned land:

No areas were identified on municipal land during the EMG feasibility study. It is recommended that the existing borrow pit, as brought to the attention of EMG by Mr Tsepo Selepe on the 7th June 2021, be used. The source is likely to be very low quality dolerite as numerous small borrow pits of low quality materials were opened next to the R 709 (in the immediate vicinity) leading to Thaba Patchoa from Tweespruit.

Name	Coordinates	Farm Name	Municipal Land (Y/N)	
New area	-29.369998°S 27.104723°E	Mammas Hoek 802/RE	Yes	
Expected Material	Distance from	Commonte		
Quality	Town	Comments		
Unknown. Probable	5.5 km	Not increated during site	foopibility study	
low quality dolerite.	5.5 KH		leasibility study.	
	Thata Patchoa Gravel Borroy. P.t.			



Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment Tel: +27 51 412 6350 Fax: +27 51 412 6351 Email: ckruger@envmgp.com Postal Address: P.O.Box 37473, Langenhoven Park 9330



(II)	EARTH INVESTIGATION LABORATORIES 6 Van Zyl Street Noordhoek Kroonstad P.O. Box 2856, Rosslyn, 0200		
	Tel: +27 (0)12 372 3023 Fax: +27 (0)86 241 3304	TEST R	REPORT
EARTHINYLAB	e-mail: <u>admin@earthinv.co.za</u>	REPORT #	SL 05-27-01

CLIENT INFORMATION				
Client Name:	Environmental Management Group	Client Number:	247	
Address:	41 Frans Kleinhans, Groenvlei,Bloemfontein	Project Name:	Free State Borrow Pit Investigation	
Primary Contact Person:	CW Vermeulen	Telephone Number:	082 824 9308	
Primary Contact email:	cwv@envmgp.com	Fax Number:	051 412 6351	

SAMPLES/JOB INFORMATION				
Date Sampled: 15-Jun-21 Date Received: 15-Jun-21				
Sampler:	Client	Date Tested:	17-Jun-21	
Sample Location:	Borrow Pit - HobH	Date Reported:	25-Jun-21	
Sample Method:	TMH 5 MB 1	Tests Conducted At:	Kroonstad Laboratory	
Sample Condition:	Good	Report Status:	Final	

TEST RESULTS SUMMARY							
Test (s) Methods References	Test Method(s) Description (s)	Qty	Test Conducted by.				
SANS 3001 - GR 1:2013	Wet preparation & particle size analysis	1	Kroonstad Laboratory				
SANS 3001 - GR 10:2013	Determination of the one-point liquid limit, plastic limit, plasticity index & linear shrinkage	1	Kroonstad Laboratory				
SANS 3001 - GR 20:2010	Determination of the MC by oven-drying	1	Kroonstad Laboratory				
SANS 3001 - GR 30:2013	Determination of the max. dry density & opt. MC	1	Kroonstad Laboratory				
SANS 3001 - GR 40:2013	Determination of the CBR	1	Kroonstad Laboratory				
-							
-							
			-				

TEST RESULTS NOTES	
Tests Deviations and Subcontracting - Test deviations indicated if any on the report and clearly communicated to Client.	
Documents Reproduction - If a report is published or reproduced by the client, it will be done in full, without any omittance.	
Report Status - Only final status results are to be good for publication.	
Samples Received and Results Relation - Test results relate to sample received and to conditions thereof on receipt	
Samples Retainment - If not specified by the Client, samples will be disposed off as per the Laboratories discretion	
Opinions & Recommendations: Opinions & recommendations do not form part of the Labs accreditation schedule	
Ar.	

Page 1 of 2

E		Earth Inv Lab FINAL REPORT (GRAD. ATT. MDD (BR)												
				(GRA	D, ATT	, MDD	,CBR)							
	PROJECT:	Free State Borrow Pit Investigation												
REPORT # SL 05-27-01														
	SAMPLE #	1943												
	POSITION:	HobH												
	DEPTH:	0												
	DATE:	17-Jun-21												
Desci	ription of Material	Li	ight brown grave	el										
SI														
SI	EVE SIZE (mm)													
	75.0		100											
%	63.0		100											
	50.0		100											
P A	37.5]	83											
S	28.0		73											
s	20.0		65											
1	14.0		62											
Ν	5.0		55											
G	0.425		42											
	0.075		8											
Gradin	g Modulus (GM)		2.31											
	SOIL MOTAR													
	2.0 - 0.425		55											
	0.425 - 0.250		3											
0.250 - 0.150			1											
(0.150 - 0.075		1 26											
ATTER	BERG CONSTANTS													
Plastici	ty Index (PI)		6											
Linear S	Shrinkage (LS)		2.1											
Liquid L	.imit (LL)		32											
HRB So	il Classification		A -1-a 0											
TRH 14	Classification		G6											
COLIO	MDD DATA													
			MDD										MDD	
		2040		. 9.6, 2032	1		IVIL				1			
		2000	8 .5,	1992 10 5 1985	0.8						0.6			
		1980			0.4						0.4	-		
		1940 1920	◆ 7. <mark>4</mark> , 1941	11.6,21945	0.2						0.2	0.0,	0,0,0	, 0 ,0
		ຼົມ 4 6 ອ້າ	8	10 12	6 k ^d /m	7	8	9 10) 11	12	, kg/m²	1	2 3 4	4 5 6
		aaw	% Moisture content		aaw		% Moistu	re content			aaw		% Moisture content	
Wet De	ensity (kg/m³)	2085 2161	2227 2193	2171										
Dry Der	nsity(kg/m³)	1941 1992	2032 1985	1945										
Moistu	re Content (%)	7.4 8.5	9.6 10.5	11.6									01400/	
MDD (k	(CRP DATA	2032	OMC %	9.6			OIV	IC %					UNIC%	
Specim	en	Specimen A	Specimen B	Specimen C										
Wet De	ensity (kg/m ³)	2238	2112	2014										
Dry Der	nsity(kg/m ³)	2036	1932	1833										
Moistu	re Content (%)	9.9	9.3	9.9										
% Com	paction Calculated	100.2	95.1	90.2										
CBR %		54.1	29.8	21.5										
% Swel	Laiculated	0.32	0.42 97 Q5	0.54 93 00	100	90	97	۵ç	03	۵۸	100	۵۶	97 05	93 00
CBR Va	lues	53 44	39 30	26 21	100	50	31	35	رو	30	100	30	5, 35	55 50
Remark	ks:		33 30	21	1	I	I	I	i	i	I		I I	II
L														
Signed Signed Reason	by:David Nkoane at:2021-06-30 17:29:06 + a:Technical Signatory	02:00												
	And.													
													Page 2 o	of 2

APPENDIX 7

Final Site Map



APPENDIX 8

FINANCIAL PROVISIONS QUANTUM CALCULATION

	Identification of Closure Components for Hobhouse 5 ha area								
١	Description	Site Specific Activities	Applicability of closure components		Motivation				
			Open	i-Cast					
		Removal of steel containers							
1	Dismantling of processing plant and related structures(including overland conveyors and power	Removal of general waste	YES	_	This activity will be the sole responsibility of the contractor. As this activity is part of rehabilitation				
	lines)	Labour Costs			the costs will be included in the financial provision.				
		Levelling of site							
2(A)	Demolition of steel buildings and structures	1	-	NO	No such infrastructure exisits or was used. Steel containers were used as office buildings.				
2(B)	Demolition of reinforced concrete buildings and structures	1	_	NO	No such infrastructure exisits or was used. Steel containers were used as office buildings.				
		Hire of equipment (contractor has this equipment on site).		_					
3	Rehabilitation of access roads Fuel Costs Y Ripping and Seeding Y	Fuel Costs	YES		200 m gravel access road will need to be rehabilitated.				
		Labour Costs							
4(A)	Demolition and rehabilitation of electrified railway lines	/	_	NO	None such infratructure exists on site.				
4(B)	Demolition and rehabilitation of non-electrified railwaylines	/	-	NO	None such infratructure exists on site.				
5	Demolition of housing and facilities	1	_	NO	None such infratructure exists on site.				
6	Opencast rehabilitation including final voids and ramps	1	_	NO	The strip mining activities will not exceed 5m in depth and thus no ramps or final voids will be present.				
7	Sealing of shafts, adits and inclines	1	-	NO	No such infrastructure exisits or was used due to the shallow nature of the mining activities.				
8(A)	Rehabilitation of overburden and spoils	Hire of equipment (contractor has this equipment on site).	YES	_	The desired sand which is extracted will range from 1 -2 m leaving spoils and overburden (undesirable sands and gravels) of around 4 m to be placed				
		Fuel Costs			back into the voids.				
		Labour Costs							

8(B)	Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits and evaporation ponds (basic, salt-producing)	1	-	NO	Not applicable to the operation
8(C)	Rehabilitation of processing waste deposits and evaporation ponds (acidic, metal-rich)	1	-	NO	Not applicable to the operation
9	Rehabilitation of subsided areas	I	-	NO	Rehabilitation will be shallow and overburden, spoils and topsoil will be levelled. Due to the shallow nature of rehabilitation no subsidance is predicted.
		Ripping and Seeding			
10	General surface rehabilitation, including grassing of all denuded areas	Alien Vegetation Removal		NO	Only levelling of the open cast borrow pit area will
		Fuel Costs			be required.
		Labour Costs			
11	River diversions	1	_	NO	Not applicable to the operation
12	Fencing	1	YES	_	Fencing will be a permanent fixture before mining commences and after rehabilitation has been completed.
13	Water management (Separating clean and dirty water, managing polluted water and managing the impact on groundwater)	1	-	NO	Not applicable to the operation as not polluted or hazardous waste will be produced.
14	2 to 3 years of maintenance and aftercare	Annual Rehabilitation Monitoring	YES	_	The reports will compiled according to environmental regulations as well as the EMP and
		Final Close Out Report			Rehabilitation Plan.

		Final Closure Comp	onents for I	Hobhouse 5 h	a area		
ltem	Description	Activity Requirements	Units	Cost	Quantity		Total
		Removal of steel containers (including general waste)	day	R2 000.00	1		R2 000.00
1	structures(including overland conveyors and power lines)	Fuel Costs	Litres	R17.20	60		R1 032.00
		Labour Costs	day	R200.00	4		R800.00
		Levelling of site	day	R2 000.00	1		R2 000.00
						Total 1:	R5 832.00
		Equipment Costs	No costs contractor f readily	will occur as nas equipment avaliable	0		R0.00
3	Rehabilitation of access roads	Fuel Costs	Litres	R17.20	244		R4 196.80
		Labour Costs (Ripping and levelling)	day	R200.00	4		R800.00
		Total 2:	R4 996.80				
8(A)	Rehabilitation of overburden and spoils	Hire of equipment (contractor has this equipment on site).	No costs contractor f readily	will occur as nas equipment avaliable	0		R0.00
		Fuel Costs	Litres	R17.20	630		R10 836.00
		Labour Costs	day	R200.00	16		R3 200.00
		1	Total 3:	R14 036.00			
12	Fencing	Material	per meter	R 20.00	200		R4 000.00
	_	Labour	day	R 200.00	2		R400.00
			1	1		Total 4:	R4 400.00
14	2 to 3 years of maintenance and aftercare	Rehabilitation Monitoring and Close Out	Fixed	R15 000.00	1		R15 000.00
						Total 5:	R15 000.00

SUB TOTAL 1 (Total 1 - 5)	R44 264.80
10 % Management and Contingency of SUB-TOTAL 1	R4 426.48
SUB-TOTAL 2	R48 691.28
VAT @ 15%	R7 303.69
GRAND TOTAL	R55 994.97



Tel: +27 51 412 6350 Fax: +27 51 412 6351 Email: ckruger@envmgp.com Postal Address: P.O.Box 37473, Langenhoven Park 9330

Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment

FINANCIAL AND TECHNICAL ABILITY, GORRA'S STAD 75 PORTION 2, HOUBHOUSE, FREE STATE

Department of Mineral Resources – Free State The Strip, 314 C/O Stateway & Bok Street WELKOM 9459 Telephone: (057) 391 1300 Email: Kalipa.Kewuti@dmre.gov.za

Attention: Ms Kalipa Kewuti

The applicant is an organ of state (Mantsopa Local Municipality), as such financial and technical ability cannot be submitted as part of the application.

This Mining Permit Application is lodged for the legal registration of a borrow pit to be used for road construction and maintenance of roads and infrastructure within the Hobhouse area. As such, the applicant will not actually extract material for the borrow pit themselves, but rather contracts will be awarded to successful bidders when road construction and maintenance projects are available. The contractor will then be responsible for the extraction of material as well as the rehabilitation of the borrow pit. The conditions and recommendation of the EMPr of this application will be imposed upon the contractor once a project has been awarded. It will then be the responsibility of the Municipality to ensure that the successful contractor adheres to the conditions of the EMPr and the Environmental Authorisation.

Due to the fact that the applicant is an organ of state, and that contractors will undergo a procurement process before appointment and before use of the borrow pit. The applicant can guarantee Financial and Technical Ability, since a contractor's Financial and Technical Ability will be a prerequisite for all contracts where the said borrow pit will be utilised.

Yours Faithfully

CW Vermeulen Environmental Management Group.



Environmental Management Group Pty (Ltd) Reg. No. 2017/077689/07 VAT Reg No: 4350278778 Managing Director: S. van Rooyen | 083 678 3032 | svr@envmgp.com Director: C.W. Vermeulen | 082 824 9308 | cwv@envmgp.com

19 July 2021
REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE PLAN

REHABILITATION AND CLOSURE PLAN

1. INTRODUCTION

Quarrying operations are finite economic activities, which is usually relatively short term. The long term environmental and social performance of a site is noticeable once mine closure and mine site operations have ceased, however the environmental, social and economic impacts are determined by the processes and procedures which occur during both the mining and mine closure phase.

The mine operator must perform progressive rehabilitation as material extraction is done. Progressive rehabilitation means rehabilitation done sequentially within a reasonable time after extraction of resources is complete. As one area of their mine is being extracted, rehabilitation must be completed in the areas where the mine reserves have been stopped or exhausted. Progressive rehabilitation is beneficial in many ways as it reduces the open areas within a mine, reduces soil erosion potential and reduces double-handling of soils and spoil material.

2. REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS

The following key regulatory requirements and conditions were documented for closure in the environmental management program:

- Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (Act 28 of 2002)
- Mine Safety and Health Act, 1996 (Act 29 of 1996)
- The National Water Act, 1998 (Act 36 of 1998)
- The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act 43 of 1983).
- National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998)

The summary of the regulatory requirements pertaining to closure mentioned in the Environmental Management Program are:

- a) All waste to be disposed of at authorised disposal sites.
- b) No ponding of water will be allowed to limit drainage disruption and the risk of groundwater pollution.
- c) The mined areas are to be landscaped to a profile in line with the surroundings.
- d) On completion of the mining process all topsoil should be spread back on disturbed surfaces to enable vegetation to grow again.

3. KEY OBJECTIVES OF CLOSURE

The closure management objectives take into account the existing environment, environmental impacts and the expectations at closure. To ensure that the closure objectives are informed by the type of environment, the anticipated impacts and damage at closure, the sensitivity of the area and expected post closure land use were taken into account. In doing so, principles of integrated environmental management were taken into account together with the principles of sustainable development. The closure objectives are:

- To create a post mining environment that eliminates unacceptable health hazards and ensures public safety.
- To leave the site in a stable, non-polluting and tidy condition with no remaining plant or infrastructure that is not required for post mining operational use.
- To minimise or eliminate the downstream environmental impacts on the ecosystem due to interruption of drainage once the mine operations cease.
- To rehabilitate the disturbed areas to an end land use similar to that prior to commencement of any mining activities as far possible; in this case grazing land.
- To establish a stable post-mining land surface which has been rehabilitated.

4. MECHANISMS FOR MONITORING COMPLIANCE

Effective monitoring, review and evaluation provide information on emerging issues, improve performance and ensure accountability of the closure activities. Photographs of the camp and office sites, before and during the mine operational period and after rehabilitation, shall be taken at selected fixed points and kept on record.

4.1. MONITORING

Successful monitoring delivers timely and relevant information that allows tracking of progress towards outcomes and allows adjustments to implementation arrangements as necessary. The day-to-day monitoring and verification that the EMPr and Closure and Rehabilitation Plan are being adhered to shall be undertaken by the Contactor or mine operator appointed by the Mantsopa local municipality.

4.2. REVIEWING AND AUDITING

An independent Environmental Control Official shall visit the site quarterly during the closure and rehabilitation process to ensure that the provision of closure and rehabilitations are being met. A report on non-conformances observed will be made and submitted to the applicant. Reviews of the closure plan and financial provisions will be made yearly to ensure that the plan is relevant and adequate.

5. LAND END –USE PLAN

The proposed mining area is located on farmland currently used primarily for grazing. At the end of the closure after vegetation has been re-established, the area will revert back to an open area grazing land.

6. TIME FOR IMPLEMENTING THE CLOSURE PLAN

The closure and rehabilitation activities are to be implemented immediately at the cessation of the extraction of quarry material from the proposed mine/quarry.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL RISK REPORT.

A risk assessment will be taken at the end of the mining period. The purpose of this risk assessment will identify the risks present at the closure of the mine/quarry, to evaluate them and have management measures in place so as to eliminate the risk or reduce the risks to levels that are in line with legal requirements, acceptable to the community and have long term sustainability.

8. FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

A final environmental performance assessment is to be done at the end of the mining of the quarry. The scope of the performance assessment is to identify any deviation from the Environmental Management Program measures and any outstanding issues regarding the final rehabilitation of the mined site.

9. REHABILITATION MEASURES

9.1. PROGRESSIVE REHABILITATION

Mining is to be done in sections to allow progressive rehabilitation during mining to take place. If during mining of the quarry it is deemed that the mined section will no longer be mined again, then the area can be rehabilitated as after cessation of the mining of the section. The area is to be properly profiled and the sides sloped and smoothened. This is done in order to improve the visual impact of the area and to simplify the management of storm water runoff and improve slope safety. Top soil is to be put back on the disturbed surfaces to enable the re-vegetation process to take place.

9.2. REHABILITATION OF ACCESS ROADS

- Whenever the mine is suspended, cancelled or abandoned any access road or portions thereof, constructed by the holder of the environmental authorisation and which will no longer be required by the landowner, shall be removed and rehabilitated to the satisfaction of the owner.
- Any gate or fence erected by the appointed mine operator which is not required by the landowner/tenant, shall be removed and the situation restored to the pre-mine situation. However the pit fence should be left intact until all the vegetation has fully recovered on site and the area is safe.
- Roads shall be ripped or ploughed, and if necessary, appropriately fertilised (based on a soil analysis) to ensure the regrowth of vegetation. Imported road materials which may hamper regrowth of vegetation must be removed and disposed of in an approved manner prior to rehabilitation.

 If a reasonable assessment indicates that the re-establishment of vegetation is unacceptably slow, the soil must be analysed and any deleterious effects on the soil arising from the quarry, be corrected and the area be seeded with a seed mix which is similar with the vegetation of the area.

9.3. OFFICES, STORAGES AREA AND PLANT STRUCTURES

- On completion of operations, all buildings, structures or objects on the site shall be demolished and removed.
- Where office/camp sites have been rendered devoid of vegetation/grass or where soils have been compacted owing to traffic, the surface shall be scarified or ripped.
- On completion of mine operations, the above areas shall be cleared of any contaminated soil, which must be disposed of through a licensed disposal facility or operator.
- All infrastructure, equipment, plant and other items used during the mining period will be removed from the site
- Waste material of any description, including receptacles, scrap, rubble and tyres, will be removed entirely from the mining area and disposed of at a registered waste disposal facility. It will not be permitted to be buried or burnt on the site.
- Photographs of the sites, before and during the mining and after rehabilitation, shall be taken at selected fixed points and kept on record.
- The surface shall then be ripped or ploughed and the topsoil previously stored shall be spread evenly to its original depth over the whole area. The area shall then be fertilised if necessary (based on a soil analysis).
- The site shall be seeded with a vegetation seed mix adapted to reflect the local indigenous flora.
- If a reasonable assessment indicates that the re-establishment of vegetation is unacceptably slow, there might be need for the soil be analysed and any deleterious effects on the soil arising from the mining operation be corrected and the area be re-seeded with a suitable vegetation seed mix that is matches the local flora.

9.4. REHABILITATION OF EXCAVATION AREAS

- Excavated areas should be kept in a safe and stable manner. No unstable block should be present. Reshaping of the excavated area may need to be done to ensure that this objective is reached.
- Preventative measures may be necessary during closure to construct adequate drainage structures including ditches and other structures to facilitate the movement of surface water and prevent damming. An assessment will need to be done when mining has ceased to determine if there is need for such measures. The objective of these measures is to avoid water build-up that affects the physical stability of the slopes and also interferes with the drainage of the whole area.
- The excavated area must serve as a final depositing area for the placement of overburden and un-used material.

- Rocks and coarse material removed from the excavation must be backfilled into the excavation. General waste and hazardous waste will not be permitted to be deposited in the excavations.
- Once excavation parts that can be filled have been refilled with overburden, rocks and coarse natural materials and profiled with acceptable contours and erosion control measures, the topsoil previously stored shall be returned to its original depth over the area.
- The area shall be fertilised if necessary to allow vegetation to establish rapidly. The site shall be seeded with a local or adapted indigenous seed mix in order to propagate the locally or regionally occurring flora.
- If a reasonable assessment indicates that the re-establishment of vegetation is unacceptably slow, there may be need for the soil to be analysed and any deleterious effects on the soil arising from the quarry, be corrected and the area be seeded with a vegetation seed mix that matches the local indigenous flora.

The environment affected by the operations shall be rehabilitated, as far as is practicable, to its natural state or to a predetermined and agreed standard or land use which conforms with the concept of sustainable development. The affected environment shall be maintained in a stable condition that will not be detrimental to the safety and health of humans and animals and that will not pollute the environment or lead to the degradation thereof. The rehabilitation activities shall require the re-planting of vegetation in areas cleared for the mine activities. This will promote soil stability, improve the visual environment and provide faunal habitat into the operation stage.

10. MANAGING IMPACTS ARISING FROM UNDERTAKING CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

The undertaking of the closure and rehabilitation activities is not expected to generate additional impacts that are different from the ones generated during the operational stage of the mine. The impact mitigation and management measures that are provided in the environmental management program are deemed adequate to manage the impacts arising from the closure process itself. However in the event those additional new issues are noted, appropriate mitigation will be put in place to manage the impacts.

11. LONG TERM MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE AFTER CLOSURE

No long term monitoring and maintenance is expected. There is no risk of acid mine drainage.

12. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS FOR CLOSURE PLAN

The public participation process for the closure plan was done as part of the basic assessment process and the details are provided for in Appendix 3.

13. FINANCIAL PROVISIONS FOR CLOSURE

No financial provision will be submitted by the Mantsopa Local Municipality. The rehabilitation and the subsequent costs will all be the responsibility of the contractor, which will be overseen by an Environmental Compliance Officer and DMR.

14. CONCLUSION

Proper profiling of the disturbed areas and re-vegetation of the areas will result in proper rehabilitation of the mined areas. The closure plan serves to provide details of the closure activities to be undertaken based on the anticipated mode of operation of the mine/quarry. It is expected that adequate implementation of the closure activities as stated in this document will minimise the negative impacts of the mine/quarry on the environment and enable a self-sustaining ecosystem to be re-established. If during the closure stage any unanticipated aspects occur, these should be assessed immediately and adequate mitigation measure implemented to minimise their effect at and after closure.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN

INTRODUCTION.

A number of potential environmental impacts, mitigation measures and environmental management controls are laid out in this document. The effective implementation and monitoring of the EMPr requires the involvement of several stakeholders, each fulfilling a different but vital role to ensure sound environmental management during the project life cycle. The key roles for the successful implementation of the mitigation of the project are the Applicant, the appointed contractor or operator of the mine and the independent environmental control officer.

The Applicant – Mantsopa Local Municipality.

It is the responsibility of the project applicant to ensure that the mitigation on all work is compliant with the requirements of the EMPr. The applicant shall ensure that competent people are employed on the project by its contractor. Where necessary a skills development program will be instituted to ensure that the required levels of competency are attained. The applicant should ensure that the selected contractor is able to adequately deal with the environmental challenges in this project.

The Appointed Contractor/ Operator

The contractor refers to the team/company appointed by the Applicant to undertake the developmental activities for the mine/quarry. The word contractor and quarry operator is used interchangeably in the document. The Contractor shall have the following responsibilities:

- To implement all provisions of the EMPr and ensure that the appropriate levels of measuring and monitoring are done.
- To ensure that all staff and sub-contractors are familiar with the EMPr and that duties and responsibilities of employees working on site include environmental responsibilities pertaining to the nature of their work.
- To make personnel aware of environmental issues and to ensure they show adequate consideration of the environmental aspects of the project.
- To report any incidents of non-compliance with the EMPr to the ECO and the applicant.

The Independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO)

In order to ensure compliance and ensure that adequate monitoring and auditing is done of the mining activities, the applicant shall appoint an independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO) to monitor the implementation of the recommendations made herein. The ECO must undertake monthly audits in respect of compliance with the EMPr and report to the applicant and the contractor/quarry operator if areas of non-conformance are identified. The ECO shall also inform the applicant and its contractors/quarry operators on any identified opportunities for improving environmental performance.

MONITORING MATRIX

The expected monitoring requirements are shown in the Table provided.

	ISSUE	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	MONITORING FREQUENCY	RESPONSIBILITY		
A	Social Issues					
	Community Complaints	Recording No. Of complaints received	Monthly record of incidents	Contractor		
	Fair Labour Recruitment	Recording Local Vs Migrant labour	Monthly key labour statistics	Contractor		
В	General Site Issues					
	Location of parking, offices etc.	Visual check of site suitability	At start-up	Contractor		
	Vegetation Clearance	Areas cleared	At start up, Ongoing during life of Mine (LOM)	Contractor		
	Surface or gully erosion on site	Visual check of surfaces	Ongoing during LOM. Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Adequacy of fencing and beacons	Visual check of integrity of fencing	Ongoing during LOM. Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Suitability of storage areas for waste	Check no. of receptacles and bunding	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Fire Breaks	Visual check	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Proper functioning of sanitation facilities	Check that there is no overflows & effluent spillages	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Control of Fires/Prevention of burning	Monitor incidences of non-compliance Check that firefighting equipment is serviced	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Overall appearance of site/housekeeping	Visual check of litter and order	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
С	Worker conduct					
	General environmental awareness training	Record people and issues trained	At recruitment and start-up, then as necessary Keep training records	Contractor		
	Prohibition of hunting and gathering	Monitor incidences of non-compliance	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Limitation of access to operational mine areas.	Monitor incidences of non-compliance	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
D	Equipment Maintenance					
	Adequate Maintenance of Vehicles	Check compliance with schedule	Ongoing during LOM, Keep monthly maintenance records	Contractor		
	Oil Leaks and spills clean-up	Monitor incidences of non-compliance	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		
	Excessive vehicular emissions control	Monitor incidences of non-compliance	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor		

	ISSUE	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	MONITORING FREQUENCY	RESPONSIBILITY
E	Material Storage			Contractor
	Suitability of storage facilities	Record material usage	Ongoing during LOM, Keep monthly usage	Contractor
		Check receptacles and bunding integrity	records	
F	Waste Management			
	Efficiency of collection of waste streams	Record waste disposed	Ongoing during LOM, Record Monthly disposal	Contractor
	Adequacy of storage receptacles	Visual checks	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	Containment of liquid waste	Visual checks of leakages & bunding	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	General Cleanliness of area	Visual checks of no littering	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	Containment of contaminated waste	Visual check of bunding & receptacles	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
G	Excavations, Exposed Surfaces, screening			
	Stability of slopes	Visual checks of cracks and failure signs	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	Safety signs and demarcations	Visual checks of integrity	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	Adequacy of site drainage	Check that storm water is moving freely	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	Dust Suppression on dust generating area	Check adequacy of water spraying	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	Surface erosion on site	Visual check of surfaces	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
Н	Water			
	Cleaning up of contaminated soils	Report incidences of non-conformances	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
	Water Consumption at site	Report amount of water used	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
I	Drilling and Blasting			-
	Dust Suppression	Visual check before & after blasting	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
		Record key parameters		
	Warning of workers and public	Check signs and documents before blasting.	Ongoing during LOM, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
J	Final Rehabilitation			
	Removal of infrastructure	Visual check of removal, Keep removal	At decommissioning, Record of Disposal	Contractor
		records		
	Rehabilitation of access roads	Visual check	At decommissioning, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor

ISSUE	MONITORING METHODOLOGY	MONITORING FREQUENCY	RESPONSIBILITY
Removal of contaminated soils	Record disposal record	At decommissioning, Monthly disposal record	Contractor
Reshaping of the quarry and stabilisation	Visual check	At decommissioning	Contractor
Establishment of adequate drainage structure	Visual check of non-pooling	At decommissioning, Record NCs Monthly	Contractor
Replanting with indigenous local species	Record areas planted	At decommissioning, Keep record of action done	Contractor
Checking the re-vegetation efforts	Visual check	Quarterly thereafter	Contractor

ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS PLAN

ENVIRONMENTAL AWARENESS AND TRAINING PLAN

1. Introduction

The successful implementation of the EMP is hinged on adequate environmental awareness training of employees. The workforce needs to understand their role in the achievement of the objectives specified in the EMPr. All operational staff should be provided with environmental awareness training and employees who require specialised training in line with the nature of their job should be provided with such training.

2. What the training and awareness should cover.

Mining employees and subcontractors are required to attend a site induction addressing environmental issues prior to commencing duties. Environmental content to be covered include:

- Making employees aware that everyone has a right to a clean environment and that everyone has a responsibility to protect the environment.
- Explanation of the importance of complying with the EMPr
- Discussion of the potential environmental impacts of mining activities and mitigation measures that must be implemented when carrying out activities
- Explanation of the management structure of individuals responsible for matters pertaining to the EMPr.
- Employees' roles and responsibilities, including emergency preparedness.
- Explanation of the specifics of the EMPr and its specification.

It is recommended that a short induction lecture on environmental awareness be done on all workers including contractors and casual workers who will work at the mine. The topics covered are to include the following environmental topics:

- Waste management
- Artefacts
- Storage of hazardous materials
- Fires
- Importance of good house keeping
- Noise
- Importance of water conservation
- Dust management
- And emphasize importance of minimizing vegetation removal and rehabilitation

The training should include showing on the site area, areas where vegetation clearance is not to be done, showing the personnel No Go areas, locations for stockpiles and access roads to be used.

Training can be done either in a written or verbal format but will be in an appropriate format for the receiving audience. A record of people who have been trained and the training done shall be kept

3. Training on Emergencies.

All personnel should be trained on how emergencies on site will be handled.

- The contractor / quarry operator should identify all situations that can lead to emergency situations and provide response strategies. The situations should include fire and major chemical spill.
- Contact details of all departments/service providers to be contacted in case of an emergency shall be made available to employees.
- Equipment for dealing with emergencies such as spill kits, firefighting equipment, first aid boxes etc. shall be made available and personnel properly trained in its use.
- All staff on site should be trained on how to handle emergency situations and emergency drills/ rehearsals should be conducted periodically to ensure that staff is prepared.

Property Title Deeds Details



F021000000007500002

Deeds Office Property Farm

SEARCH INFORMATION			
Summary			
Search Type	DEEDS OFFICE PROPERTY FARM		
Search Description	F02100000007500002		
Reference	CHRISTIEN		
Date	29/06/2021		

FARM INFORMATION

_

Summary	
Deeds Office	BLOEMFONTEIN
Property Type	FARM
Farm Name	GORRA'S STAD
Farm Number	75
Portion Number	2
Previous Description	-
Registration Division	LADYBRAND RD
Municipality	NOT AVAILABLE
Province	FREESTATE
Diagram Deed	T719/1937
Size	131.9059 H
LPI Code	F02100000007500002

OWNER SUMMARY					
Owner Name	ID / Reg. Number	Purchase Price	Purchase Date		
MANTSOPA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY	-	R 505 000,00 *	18/03/1998		

OWNER INFORMATION				
Owner 1 of 1				
Owner Name	MANTSOPA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY			
ID / Reg. Number	-			
Owner Type	COMPANY			
Title Deed	T 19707/1998			
Purchase Date	18/03/1998			
Registration Date	31/08/1998			
Purchase Price	R505000.00 *			
Multiple Owners	NO			
Multiple Properties	YES			
Share	-			
Microfilm Reference No.	-			

ENDORSEMENT(S)					
Document Number	Microfilm Reference Number	Institution	Value		
K951/1998S	-	-	UNKNOWN		
LADYBR RD,75,,2	-	-	UNKNOWN		

HISTORY INFORMATION					
Document Number	Microfilm Reference Number	Owner	Value		
BC10997/1998	-	-	UNKNOWN		
B14987/1995	-	LANDBANK	UNKNOWN		
T627/1993	-	-	UNKNOWN		
T2075/1965	-	MYBURGH PIETER ABRAHAM	UNKNOWN		
T18497/1995	-	-	UNKNOWN		
T627/1993	-	LAND- & LANDBOUBANK VAN S A	R 120 000,00		
T19707/1998	-	-	R 150 000,00		
T18497/1995	-	GIBSON MARIA	R 150 000,00		
T19707/1998	-	-	R 150 000,00		
T18497/1995	-	GIBSON JAN ALBERT	R 150 000,00		

INTERNAL ENQUIRY HISTORY						
Company Name	Contact Person	Contact Number	E-mail Address	Enquiry Date		

No information available.

REPORT INFORMATION				
Date of Information	29/06/202108:28			
Print Date	29-06-202108:28			
Generated By	CHRISTIEN KRUGER	QR CODE		
Reference	CHRISTIEN			
Report Type	DEEDS OFFICE PROPERTY FARM			

The data displayed above is provided by our data suppliers and is not altered by SearchWorks. Terms of Use are applicable to this information and can be found on https://app.searchworks.co.za/. SearchWorks is not liable for any damages caused by this information.



ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT GROUP

Tel: +27 51 412 6350 Fax: +27 51 412 6351 Email: ckruger@envmgp.com Postal Address: P.O.Box 37473, Langenhoven Park 9330

Specialists in Environmental Management Integrating Industry and Infrastructure with the Environment

COPY OF RESOLUTION, GORRA'S STAD 75 PORTION 2, HOBHOUSE, FREE STATE

19 July 2021

Department of Mineral Resources – Free State The Strip, 314 C/O Stateway & Bok Street WELKOM 9459 Telephone: (057) 391 1300 Email: Kalipa.Kewuti@dmre.gov.za

Attention: Ms Kalipa Kewuti

The property on which the proposed borrow pit will be situated (Gorra's stad 75 portion 2) is state owned land, as such no resolution is required. The applicant is an organ of state (Mantsopa Local Municipality)

Yours Faithfully

CW Vermeulen Environmental Management Group.

> Environmental Management Group Pty (Ltd) Reg. No. 2017/077689/07 VAT Reg No: 4350278778 Managing Director: S. van Rooyen | 083 678 3032 | svr@envmgp.com Director: C.W. Vermeulen | 082 824 9308 | cwv@envmgp.com

Legislative Context

NEMA Listing Notice 1, Activity No. 21, GNR 327 of 7 April 2017

NEMA Listing Notice 1, No. 27 of 327 of 7 April 2017

Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, No. 28 of 2002 (as amended)

National Environmental Management Act, No. 107 of 1998 as amended