## CHAPTER TEN: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 10.1 INTRODUCTION

As per section of Section 31, 32 and 33 of the NEMA EIA Regulations, 2010 as amended this section of the report provides an environmental impact statement which contains a summary of the key findings of the environmental impact assessment; and a comparative assessment of the positive and negative implications of the proposed activity and identified alternatives.

This section presents the conclusion on the most significant impacts identified through the EIA process, together with management actions required to avoid or mitigate the negative impacts; or to enhance the positive benefits.

The assessment of impacts is presented in the following sections:

- Ecology potential impacts on vegetation, biodiversity patterns and processes as well as fauna
- Aquatic assessment potential impacts on wetlands and watercourses
- Heritage potential impacts on palaeontological and archaeological material
- Assessment of Alternatives

The monitoring of impacts is outlined in the Draft Environmental Management Plan included as Part B of this report. The key issues identified during the Scoping process, which have been the subject of separate specialist assessments during the EIA, are outlined below:

- Biophysical site assessment to include:
  - Identification and verification of Critical Biodiversity Areas on the site
  - Potential project related impacts on natural vegetation and faunal habitat need to be considered
  - The consideration of any potential impacts on the Addo Elephant National Park.
- A specialist wetland assessment in order to identify and delineate wetlands and watercourses on the site as well as provide appropriate no development buffers.
- A desktop Palaeontological assessment and phase 1 Archaeological Impact Assessment to potential Heritage impacts.
- Soil suitability assessment to determine the suitability of the soil for citrus cultivation in order to provide input into the layout for citrus production.
- Written confirmation regarding the availability of water for irrigation purposes from the LSRWUA

## 10.2 IMPACTS ON ECOLOGY AND RECOMMENDED MITIGATORY MEASURES

The proposed clearing of vegetation for conversion to cultivated land/ orchards (Chapter 2) will result in the clearing of intact and degraded Sundays Spekboom Thicket and Sundays Thicket. The loss of vegetation, subsequent faunal habitat and protected flora will be greatest where intact vegetation is present. While approximately 199 ha of Sundays Thicket will be cleared, it is anticipated that approximately 228 ha will be conserved within the No-go areas on the site. This represents conservation of approximately 51% of the Sundays Thicket which occurs on the site.

Similarly approximately 18 % of the Sundays Spekboom Thicket which occurs in the southern portion of the site is proposed to be conserved within the No-go areas.

The site is however, somewhat fragmented in places by cut-lines and portions are in a degraded state due to historical grazing and bush clearing practices. Notwithstanding the above, vegetation in the less dense areas does provide important faunal habitat, as dense thicket tends to exclude many faunal species. A fauna and flora search and rescue operation must be conducted before and during vegetation clearing activities. Relevant permits will also be required before search and rescue can commence.

The southern portion of the site has an abundance of Spekboom (*Portulacaria afra*), which is commonly used in the area for rehabilitation of degraded farmlands, and as part of carbon offset activities. It is highly recommended that as far as is possible, the Spekboom which will be cleared from the site be used for rehabilitation of degraded portions on the site as well as be provided to contractors involved in rehabilitation work.

The key impacts associated with the construction and operational phase of the development are as follows:

- Destruction of habitat for plant and faunal species of special concern (SSC) within the development footprints.
- Loss of plant and faunal SSC due to vegetation clearing, disturbance and collection
- Disruption of ecological corridors, patterns and processes
- Increased erosion risk and topsoil loss due to vegetation clearing, stormwater runoff and wind
- Increased exotic plant invasion due to disturbance of soils and vegetation
- Pollution of surface and groundwater by herbicides, pesticides and fertilizer

All these impacts can be reduced by implementing the mitigation and management recommendations found in Chapter 6.

# 10.2.1 Impacts and Management of Ecology *Vegetation*

The vegetation types present in the study area can be broadly defined as:

- o Intact and degraded Sundays Spekboom Thicket in the southern section of the site;
- o Intact and degraded Sundays Thicket (no Spekboom) in the northern section of the site;
- Wetland Habitat associated with the water bodies on the site;
- o Cultivated agricultural lands in the centre of the site;
- Transformed areas associated with bush clearing and structures.

The **intact Sundays Spekboom Thicket** is considered of <u>Medium Conservation Value</u> due to the fact that it provides habitat for indigenous species and species of special concern; as well as for its role in providing ecosystem services (eg. carbon sequestration). The conservation value of this vegetation on the site is somewhat diminished by the heavily degraded nature of the surrounding vegetation and the use of the vegetation by domestic animals as well as a small extent of the remaining patch of intact vegetation.

The **degraded Sundays Spekboom Thicket** is considered of <u>Low Conservation Value</u> as it may provide some habitat for indigenous species and species of special concern. However, due to its degraded nature it has low species diversity and has lost some of its ability to support ecosystem functioning.

The **intact Sundays Thicket (no Spekboom)** is considered of <u>High Conservation Value</u> due to its providing habitat for indigenous species and species of special concern as well as, in some instances, providing connectivity between intact vegetation on adjacent properties. These portions of the site have relatively high species diversity and have little degradation or invasion by alien vegetation.

The **degraded Sundays Thicket (no Spekboom)** is considered of <u>Medium to Low</u> <u>Conservation Value</u>. The conservation value of this vegetation type as it is found on the site varies due to the varying levels of degradation and associated species diversity. It does however still have value as it provides faunal habitat and some indigenous elements and may provide connectivity between intact vegetation on adjacent properties.

**Cultivated agricultural lands** in the centre of the site are of <u>Low Conservation Value</u>. The agricultural lands on the site represents largely transformed habitat, with no indigenous species and some invasion by exotic weeds and grasses. It does not represent particularly unique faunal habitat, or provide significant ecosystem services. It may however provide habitat for some birds that are often associated with agricultural lands.

**Transformed areas** at the site are of <u>Very Low Conservation Value</u>. They represent areas that have few or no indigenous plant species. Some of these areas are characterised by the presence of structures such as dwellings, as well as areas that have been cleared of natural vegetation for the grazing of livestock.

The following recommendations are made with regards to the mitigation and management of impacts on vegetation:

- Plant species of special concern should be transplanted from the disturbance footprint to refuge areas on the site (e.g. remaining intact thicket).
- An alien plant control program should be implemented which ensures that all invasive exotic plants (Prickly Pear) must be removed from the site and alien plant control must take place on an ongoing basis.
- Areas having steep slopes must be avoided and measures implemented to remove alien invasive species and improve the natural vegetation cover as a stabilisation measure.

## Fauna

The central portion of the site (RE/82 Wolve Kop) under assessment currently forms part of the Ntsomi Game Farm and as such provides habitat for a number of large mammals for example Giraffe and Kudu as well as smaller mammals such as Impala, bushbuck, blue duiker, porcupine and monkeys. It is anticipated that there is also a variety of bird and reptile species to be found on this portion of the site.

The southern portion of the site is unlikely to have the same level of diversity or abundance of faunal species when compared to the central portion, as it is bounded by transformed areas to the north, west and east; and because of the presence of human and domestic animals on this

portion of the site. It does however still provide habitat for a variety of bird and reptile species, and perhaps small mammals.

The proposed clearing of vegetation for the establishment of citrus orchards does not fall within any official national, provincial or municipal protected areas, nor is it included within an Important Bird Area (Birdlife South Africa, Barnes 1998) or Ramsar wetland site (Ramsar 2007). However, it lies within close proximity to Addo Elephant National Park as well as a number of private game farms and lodges. It therefore does form part of an important corridor for faunal movement and other ecological processes. That being said however, it does lose some of its effectiveness to function as a corridor because of the gravel Zuurberg Road (R335) which runs along the eastern boundary.

The following provides recommendations for the management of impacts on fauna:

- Most of the mobile fauna are expected to vacate the area that is to be developed once vegetation clearing and other site preparation activities commence and will seek refuge in intact natural or near-natural areas (Ntsomi Game Farm).
- Measures should be implemented to ensure that fauna on site are not harmed during site preparation or operational phase activities associated with the development, e.g. environmental induction process for construction personnel and / or farm workers.
- Removal of animals from the affected areas before the start of site clearing and relocating these to safe areas would only be a valid mitigation option in the case of tortoises.
- All other reptile and small mammal species are extremely difficult to catch and it would be a futile attempt to try and relocate them. Before doing site clearing, affected areas should be thoroughly searched for tortoises.
- Tortoises found must be released in the no-go areas.
- A professional reptile remover (with the necessary permits) needs to be contacted to remove dangerous reptiles when in conflict with the workers.
- Search and rescue operations before and during the site preparation phase will decrease the impacts considerably.

## **Biodiversity Patterns and Processes**

The Biodiversity Planning Resources for the area show that the site under assessment falls within an Ecological Corridor (STEP) as well as a Critical Biodiversity Area (ECBCP).

The Ecological Corridor described in STEP extends from Bontrug (near Kirkwood) in the west to just the other side of the River Bend Concession Area in the east. The gravel Zuurberg Road (R335) limits the effectiveness of the eastern extent of this corridor as it restricts faunal movement between the Addo Elephant National Park and the study site.

Most of the site that has not been transformed for orchards or grazing land is classified as a BLMC 1, with a few parcels identified as degraded classified as BLMC 2). However, the ECBCP is a broad scale biodiversity plan and as such requires ground truthing. In this instance most of the site has been degraded to some extent by historical land use practices (game farming, agriculture). It would therefore be more appropriate to classify the majority of the site as BLMC 2, with perhaps a few patches of BLMC1.

The small valleys associated with the drainage lines on site would represent Ecological Process Areas that could link up the Zuurberg Mountain Range with the Coerney River, flowing through the centre of the site. The effectiveness of this link is not known as the Coerney River is largely cut off from other natural areas by the existing orchards.

Due to the fact that the ecological corridor formed by the site has been compromised by the establishment of orchards along the Coerney River's banks as well as the barrier created by the gravel Zuurberg Road (R335) the impacts on the biodiversity of the area as a result of the proposed development are likely to be less significant than may otherwise have been anticipated.

Development of the entire site would pose a significant risk to the Critical Ecological Process Areas, Ecological Corridors and Critical Biodiversity Areas occurring thereon. It is therefore proposed that portions of the site be excluded from the proposed development so as to conserve biodiversity pattern and process. These areas are to include the portions of the site where vegetation is intact as well as a buffer area (50 metres) around the drainage lines and endorheic pans (wetlands), as recommended by the ECBCP.

The following provides recommendations for the management of impacts with regards to biodiversity patterns and processes:

- No activities, inclusive of site preparation related pedestrian or vehicle traffic, should be allowed within wetlands or any of the areas designated as No-go areas on Map 6.5 below.
- Drainage line / watercourse crossings may be required for the internal roads to service the citrus orchards, however, wherever possible existing vehicle tracks should be used and no other development (establishment of citrus orchards) should be allowed within the designated buffer area.

## Wetlands (modified pans) and Watercourses

Past and to a lesser extent, present land use activities have disrupted the natural flow of the water along the two water courses within the proposed development area. The drainage lines have been modified to such an extent that shortly after the confluence of the two systems, the channel or water course area is no longer definable and was confirmed by the Chief Surveys and Mapping data.

This together with the channel form limits the formation of permanent riparian / obligate riparian zones being found within the development area. Plant species recorded were mostly associated with the 2 local thicket types and are thus not dependent on sources of water.

With regards to the observed wetlands, these six areas were defined as endorheic pans, of which only three remain partly functional as pans / depressions. These pans can further be defined by the National Wetland Classification system as endorheic systems.

Typically it would seem that the natural depressions have been altered by increasing their catchment depth, through excavation of the pan floor. The area however still functions as a pan and several wetland plant and animals species still make use of the available habitat. Therefore although modified these three pans would be considered wetlands due to the functional role they play within the landscape.

The Department of Water Affairs presented a desktop analysis of the Coerney River in 1999 in which the overall PES for the river reach within the study area was rated as C (Moderately modified). The PES system, using an updated DWA method is presently being revised by SC&A on a province wide scale. Due to the overall degradation of the site, the current riparian vegetation PES would be lower i.e. D when compared to the 1999 rating. This is due to the lack of riparian zone continuity due to removal or disturbance of the river bank vegetation and the disturbed nature of the floodplain / channel environment.

Similarly the PES rating systems have only been developed for those palustrine or riparian associated wetland areas. Therefore using a modified Wetland Integrated Habitat Assessment Approach, the endorheic pans, although mostly disturbed, would have a low PES score of D.

The Environmental Importance and Sensitivity or EIS is a measure of the conservation value. Due to the current disturbances within the study area the EIS would be rated as **LOW**, due to the lack of any important riparian vegetation or sensitive plant species associated with the water courses. This was further emphasised by the lack of riparian plant diversity (1 opportunistic species *A. karroo*). Also no protected or species of special concern were observed within or adjacent to the water courses due to the degree of past disturbance.

With regard the wetland areas, only two facultative hydrophilic plants species were evident namely *Juncus effuses* and *Cyperus spp* (grazed, thus no identification could be made). These species were found in areas with permanent inundation. Therefore these area form unique habitats within the landscape and the EIS of the three remaining pans would be rated as **MODERATE**.

The following mitigation and management is recommended:

- Stormwater should be managed using suitable structures such as swales, gabions and rock rip-wrap so that any run-off from the orchards site is attenuated prior to discharge. Silt and sedimentation should be kept to a minimum, through the use of the above mentioned structures and by also ensuring that all structures don't create any form of erosion.
- Areas susceptible to erosion must be protected by appropriate measures and repair of any damage caused by erosion due to construction activities must be undertaken as soon as possible.
- Minimise erosion and sedimentation into water courses through effective stabilisation (gabions and reno mattresses) and re-vegetation of disturbed river banks (Refer to rehabilitation specifications and erosion control measures below).
- Stabilisation of sandy, dispersive slopes or slopes steeper than 1:3 will be required. The following methods may be required:
  - Topsoil covered with a geotextile and a grass seed mixture (see Rehabilitation Specifications).
  - Logging or stepping following the contours of the slope.
  - Earth or rock-pack cut-off berms.
  - Packed branches.
  - Benches (sand bags).
- Stabilisation of near vertical slopes (1:1 1:2), if created during construction, will be required using hard structures that have a natural look. The following methods may be required:

- Gabions (preferred method).
- Retaining walls.
- Stone pitching.
- Vegetation clearing should occur in parallel with the developments progress to minimise erosion and/or run-off. Large tracts of bare soil will either cause dust pollution or quickly erode and then cause sedimentation in the lower portions of the catchment.
- All construction materials including fuels and oil should be stored in demarcated areas that are contained within berms / bunds to avoid spread of any contamination into wetlands or rivers. Washing and cleaning of equipment should also be done in berms or bunds, in order to trap any cement and prevent excessive soil erosion. These sites must be re-vegetated after construction has been completed. Mechanical plant and bowsers must not be refuelled or serviced within or directly adjacent to any river channel. It is therefore suggested that all construction camps, lay down areas and any storage areas should be more than 50m from any demarcated wetland or riverine area.
- Similarly during the operations phase, fuels, herbicides and insecticides must be stored within demarcated, bermed areas, with the necessary hazardous materials spill contingency systems in place.
- Where any works (e.g. storm water control measures) near a wetland or river is required specific attention should be paid to the immediate re-vegetation of cleared areas to prevent future erosion or sedimentation issues.

## **10.2.2 Summary and Additional Recommendations**

The following provides a summary of the key direct and indirect impacts associated with the <u>construction phase</u> of the development. Only impacts that are rated as having a potential *Medium to High or Very High* negative or positive impact are listed below:

- Destruction of habitat for plant species of special concern (SSC) within the development footprints can be mitigated from a *High to a <u>Medium Negative impact</u>*.
- Destruction of habitat for faunal species of special concern (SSC) can be mitigated from a *Medium to a Low Negative impact*.
- Loss of plant SSC due to vegetation clearing and disturbance can be mitigated from a *Medium to a Low Negative impact*.
- Loss of faunal SSC due to construction activities can be mitigated from a *Medium to a Low* <u>Negative impact.</u>
- Disruption of ecological corridors, patterns and processes can be mitigated from a *High to a* <u>Low Negative impact.</u>
- Increased erosion risk and topsoil loss due to vegetation clearing and can be mitigated from a Medium to a <u>Low Negative impact</u>.
- Loss of plant species of special concern (collection for ethno-botanical use, firewood, etc.) can be mitigated from a *Medium to a <u>Very Low Negative impact.</u>*
- Increased exotic plant invasion due to disturbance of soils and vegetation can be mitigated from a *High Negative to a Low Positive impact*.

Construction phase direct and indirect impacts of medium to high significance, both positive and negative can, by applying the mitigatory measures proposed, can mostly be reduced to impacts of <u>low to very low negative or neutral</u> significance as well as impacts of <u>positive</u> significance.

The following provides a summary of the key direct and indirect impacts associated with the <u>operational phase</u> of the development. Only impacts that are rated as having a potential *Medium to High or Very High* negative or positive impact are listed below:

- Erosion risk and topsoil loss due to stormwater runoff and wind and can be mitigated from a *Medium to a Low Negative impact*.
- Pollution of surface and groundwater by herbicides, pesticides and fertilizer and can be mitigated from a *Medium to a <u>Low Negative impact</u>*.
- Loss of faunal species of special concern (poaching, domestic dogs & cats) and can be mitigated from a *Medium to a <u>Low Negative impact</u>.*
- Introduction of exotic flora and risk of alien plant invasion and can be mitigated from a Medium Negative to a <u>Neutral</u> Impact<u>impact</u>.

The key direct and indirect impacts associated with the <u>operational phase</u> of the development can, by applying the mitigatory measures proposed can be reduced from negative impacts of medium significance to impacts of low significance and neutral significance.

It is recommended that the following are included as conditions in the Environmental Authorisation:

- No-go areas for development must be demarcated on site before vegetation clearing commences.
- Any lay-down areas must be contained within the proposed disturbance area and may not encroach on any no-go areas on the site.
- Before site clearing commences the development area should be surveyed for plant SSC by a suitably qualified botanist. Plant species of special concern should be translocated to the remaining patches of intact vegetation or buffer areas on the property, or stored in a suitably prepared nursery area during the site preparation phase and used later in rehabilitation.
- Heavily degraded and transformed portions that fall within the No-go areas must be rehabilitated using the intact vegetation that is cleared during the site preparation phase.
- Exotic plants present on the site, which are listed in CARA (Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act 43 of 1983) should be progressively removed from the site. In addition, regular follow-up clearing should be conducted for the duration of the project lifetime to ensure that the No-go areas are kept free of these plants.

## 10.3 HERITAGE IMPACTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 10.3.1 Impacts and Management of Palaeontology

The study area on the River Bend Citrus Farm near Addo is largely underlain by non-marine fluvial to estuarine sediments of Early Cretaceous age assigned to the Kirkwood Formation (Uitenhage Group). This succession has yielded important fossil biotas of Mesozoic land plants (ferns, cycads, conifers *etc*) and non-marine molluscs as well as sparse but numerous specimens of fossil bones, including large and small dinosaurs, from several localities along the northern margin of the Algoa Basin. There is also evidence for occasional marine incursions here in Early Cretaceous times from fossil marine molluscs.

However, the Kirkwood Formation bedrocks are mantled by alluvial sediments of the Coerney River in the southern part of the study area. Elsewhere they appear to lie beneath a thick (2m or

more) superficial cover of soils, alluvium and colluvium of <u>low palaeontological sensitivity</u>. The proposed extension of the cultivated area on the River Bend Citrus Farm is therefore <u>not</u> <u>considered significant</u> in terms of palaeontological heritage conservation. Providing appropriate mitigation is carried out, the majority of developments involving bedrock excavation can make a positive contribution to our understanding of local palaeontological heritage. The potential impact on palaeontological resources at the site is rated as medium negative without mitigation but can be mitigated to <u>medium positive</u> by implementing the recommendations proposed.

#### Recommendations

- No further palaeontological heritage studies or specialist mitigation are required for this agricultural project, pending the discovery or exposure of any substantial fossil remains (e.g. vertebrate bones and teeth, large blocks of petrified wood, fossil plant-rich horizons, buried laminated shales) during the construction phase.
- The ECO responsible for these developments should be alerted to the possibility of important fossil remains being found either on the surface or exposed by fresh excavations during construction.
- Should fossil remains be discovered during construction, these should be safeguarded (preferably *in situ*) and the ECO should alert the Eastern Cape Provincial Heritage Resources Authority (ECPHRA. Contact details: Mr Sello Mokhanya, 74 Alexander Road, King Williams Town 5600; Email: smokhanya@ecphra.org.zaso) so that appropriate mitigation (*e.g.* recording, sampling or collection) can be taken by a professional palaeontologist.
- The specialist involved would require a collection permit from SAHRA (Contact details: Mrs Colette Scheermeyer, P.O. Box 4637, Cape Town 8000; Tel: 021 462 4502; Email: cscheermeyer@sahra.org.za). Fossil material must be curated in an approved repository (*e.g.* museum or university collection) and all fieldwork and reports should meet the minimum standards for palaeontological impact studies developed by SAHRA.

## 10.3.2 Impacts and Management of Archaeology

The primary impact of the proposed project on archaeology is from site clearance. The development footprint is near the Coerney and Sundays Rivers and freshwater shell middens may be exposed during the clearing of the dense vegetation. In general the proposed property for development appeared to be of <u>low archaeological sensitivity</u>.

The potential impact on archaeological resources at the site is rated as low negative without mitigation but can be mitigated to <u>neutral</u> by implementing the recommendations proposed.

## Recommendations

- The proposed development will take place close to the Coerney River, in an area where one would expect to find fresh water shell middens. If such features are exposed, work should stop immediately and reported to the Albany Museum and/or the South African Heritage Resources Agency.
- If any other concentrations of archaeological material are uncovered during development, it should be reported to the Albany Museum and/or the South African Heritage Resources Agency immediately so that systematic and professional investigation/excavations can be undertaken. Sufficient time should be allowed to remove/collect such material (See Chapter 8 for a list of possible archaeological sites that maybe found in the area).

• Construction managers/foremen should be informed before construction starts on the possible types of heritage sites and cultural material they may encounter and the procedures to follow when they find sites. It is suggested that a person be trained to be on site to report to the site manager if sites are found.

## 10.4 ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVES

The assessment of alternatives on site, considered the no-go option, layout alternatives and technology alternatives.

The no-go option would result in the loss of potentially productive agricultural land in an area known for citrus production. The no-go option would result in the loss of capital investment estimated to be approximately R70m and annually employment opportunities estimated to be R0.6m (permanent) and R4.m (temporary). The annually turnover, once the orchards reach maturity age in 5 to 6 years is estimated to be approximately R60m. The no-go option would result in a loss of these economic opportunities for the region.

The initial project application identified the intention of the applicant to clear approximately 300 ha for additional citrus production, however the specialist studies conducted for this assessment process recommends that a more sustainable area is approximately 263 ha for additional citrus production.

Alternative layouts for citrus in the northern portion of the properties under assessment were considered and a layout which would not fragment existing intact habitat is the preferred layout option. The northern portion of the property is also in close proximity to the intact portions of Thicket on the slopes of the Zuurberg Mountain and the maintenance of ecological processes in this area was the preferred alternative.

#### **10.5 PERMIT REQUIREMENTS**

Permission will be required from the provincial environmental authorities for the clearance of vegetation and removal of plant species protected by provincial legislation.

- Permits from the relevant authority (Department of Economic Development Environmental Affairs and Tourism) are required for the removal, translocation or destruction of all plants and animals listed as endangered or protected in terms of the Cape Nature and Provincial Conservation Ordinance (No. 19 of 1974).
- Permits from the relevant authorities (Department of Agriculture Forestry and Fisheries) are required for the damage, destruction or removal of all trees listed as protected in terms of the National Forests Act (1998).
- Any development that would take place within 500m of the wetlands (modified pans) would require a Water Use Licence (WULA) in terms of Section 21 (c) and (i) of the National Water Act.

#### 10.6 OVERALL EVALUATION OF IMPACTS

The Draft IDP (2011) for the Sundays River Valley Municipality indicates that the current unemployment rate in the municipal area may be as high as 44.1%. The monthly income of

economically active individuals (age 15-65, employed or unemployed) living within the SRVM is generally low, with the greater majority earning less than R800 a month.

Agriculture remains a primary focus for employment opportunities as it currently represents almost 50% of the employment for the SRVM area. The agricultural industry centres mainly on citrus fruit farming in the Sunday's River Valley and dairy and chicory farming towards the Alexandria area in the east. Approximately 25% of South Africa's navel oranges and 50% of the country's lemons are produced in the Sunday's River Valley with the Sunday's River exporting more than 13 million cartons of navels per year, earning more than R1 billion in foreign exchange for the country (Draft SRVM IDP, 2011).

The total capital value for the proposed agricultural expansion of River Bend Citrus Farm is estimated to be R70 million. It is anticipated that 20 permanent direct and 10 permanent indirect employment opportunities will be created during the operational phase of the proposed development. In addition, approximately 250 seasonal jobs will also be provided.

The average monthly wage for seasonal employment opportunities (a period of 8 months) is approximately R2000 per month, thus an additional annual income of R4 million will be available in the local market as a result. In addition, the average wage for the additional direct permanent employment opportunities is approximately R2500 per month, thus an additional annual income into the local market of R0.6 million will occur as a result of the proposed development.

*Construction Phase* direct and indirect impacts of medium to high significance, both positive and negative can, by applying the mitigatory measures proposed, can mostly be reduced to impacts of <u>low to very low negative</u> or <u>neutral impacts</u> as well as impacts of <u>positive significance</u>.

The key direct and indirect impacts associated with the *Operational Phase* of the development can, by applying the mitigatory measures proposed is reduced from negative impacts of medium significance to impacts of low significance and high positive significance.

The Environmental Assessment process has not identified any negative impacts that should be considered "fatal flaws" from an environmental perspective, and thereby necessitate substantial re-design or termination of the project. Taking into consideration the findings of the EIA process, it is the opinion of the Environmental Assessment Practitioner that the project benefits outweigh the negative residual environmental impacts, provided that the specified mitigation measures are applied effectively, it is proposed that the project receive environmental authorization in terms of the EIA process.