



# Scoping Assessments for the Mutsho Power Project

## Makhado, Limpopo Province

April 2022

CLIENT

savannah  
environmental

Prepared by:

**The Biodiversity Company**

Cell: +27 81 319 1225

Fax: +27 86 527 1965

[info@thebiodiversitycompany.com](mailto:info@thebiodiversitycompany.com)

[www.thebiodiversitycompany.com](http://www.thebiodiversitycompany.com)



## Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Background Information .....	1
1.2	Specialist Details .....	3
1.3	Scope of Work.....	4
1.4	Assumptions and Limitations .....	4
2	Key Legislative Requirements.....	4
3	Methods.....	5
3.1	Desktop Assessment .....	5
3.1.1	Ecologically Important Landscape Features .....	5
3.1.2	Desktop Flora Assessment .....	7
3.1.3	Desktop Faunal Assessment .....	8
4	Receiving Environment .....	8
4.1	Desktop Assessment .....	8
4.1.1	Ecologically Important Landscape Features .....	8
4.1.2	Flora Assessment.....	16
4.1.3	Faunal Assessment.....	18
5	Terms of Reference .....	21
5.1	Flora Survey .....	21
5.2	Fauna Survey .....	22
5.3	Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance .....	22
6	Impact Assessment.....	25
6.1	Terrestrial Impact Assessment.....	25
7	Conclusion.....	26
8	References.....	27
9	Appendix Items.....	29
9.1	Appendix A – Specialist Declaration of Independence .....	29

## List of Tables

Table 2-1	A list of key legislative requirements relevant to biodiversity and conservation in the Limpopo Provinces.....	4
Table 4-1	Summary of relevance of the proposed project to ecologically important landscape features	8
Table 4-2	Threatened flora species that may occur within the project area.....	18
Table 4-3	Threatened amphibian species that are expected to occur within the project area.....	18
Table 4-4	Threatened reptile species that are expected to occur within the project area.....	19
Table 4-5	Threatened mammal species that are expected to occur within the project area. ....	19
Table 4-6	Threatened avifauna species that are expected to occur within the project area.....	20
Table 5-1	Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria.....	22
Table 5-2	Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria .....	23
Table 5-3	Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI) and Conservation Importance (CI) .....	23
Table 5-4	Summary of Receptor Resilience (RR) criteria .....	24
Table 5-5	Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance from Receptor Resilience (RR) and Biodiversity Importance (BI) .....	24
Table 5-6	Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of the proposed development activities .....	24
Table 6-1	Scoping evaluation table summarising the impacts identified to terrestrial biodiversity ...	25
Table 6-2	Cumulative impact of the solar plant and battery system ...	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Proposed location of the project area in relation to the nearby towns .....	2
Figure 3-1	Map illustrating extent of area used to obtain the expected flora species list from the Plants of South Africa (POSA) database. Yellow dot indicates approximate location of the project area. The red squares are cluster markers of botanical records as per POSA data .....	7
Figure 4-1	Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status associated with the project area. ....	9
Figure 4-2	Map illustrating the ecosystem protection level associated with the project area .....	10
Figure 4-3	Map illustrating the locations of CBAs in the project area.....	11
Figure 4-4	The project area in relation to the protected areas .....	12
Figure 4-5	The project area in relation to the National Protected Area Expansion Strategy .....	13
Figure 4-6	The project area in relation to the Soutpansberg IBA .....	14
Figure 4-7	Map illustrating ecosystem threat status of rivers and wetland ecosystems in the project area .....	15

---

Figure 4-8	The project area in relation to the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas. ....	16
Figure 4-9	Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the project area.....	17

## 1 Introduction

The Biodiversity Company was appointed by Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd (Savannah) to undertake a scoping level assessment for the Mutsho Power Project, which this scoping report makes specific reference to the terrestrial ecology expertise. The project area is located in the magisterial district of Vhembe, in the Limpopo Province, approximately 39 km north of the town Makhado (Louis Trichardt) and 8 km south-west of Mopane Town (Figure 1-1).

The approach was informed by the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2014 (GNR 326, 7 April 2017) of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA). The approach has taken cognisance of the recently published Government Notices 320 (20 March 2020) in terms of NEMA, dated 20 March and 30 October 2020: “*Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, when applying for Environmental Authorisation*” (Reporting Criteria). The National Web based Environmental Screening Tool has characterised the terrestrial theme sensitivity of the project area as “Very High”.

This report, after taking into consideration the findings and recommendations provided by the specialist herein, should inform and guide the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) and regulatory authorities at a scoping level, enabling informed decision making.

### 1.1 Background Information

Specialist studies were undertaken for the proposed project, dated 2018. These studies have been considered to supplement the findings for the newly commissioned process. The following studies are applicable:

- Bathusi Environmental Consulting cc (2018). Terrestrial Biodiversity EIA assessment for the proposed Mutsho Power Project near Makhado, Limpopo Province. Reference Number SVE – MPS – 2018/07, Version 2018.04.12.03.
- Digby Wells Environmental (2018). Aquatic Biodiversity, Groundwater, Surface Water and Wetland Impact Assessments for the proposed Coal-fired Mutsho Power Project near Makhado, Limpopo Province. Project Number: SAV4689.
- ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate and Water (2018). Soil information for proposed Mutsho Power Project. ISCW Report Number GW/A/2018/02.







Figure 1-1 Proposed location of the project area in relation to the nearby towns

## 1.2 Specialist Details

Report Name	<b>Scoping Assessments for the Mutsho Power Project</b>
Reference	<b>Mutsho</b>
Submitted to	
Report Writer	<p><b>Lindi Steyn</b> </p> <p>Dr Lindi Steyn has completed her PhD in Biodiversity and Conservation from the University of Johannesburg. Lindi is a terrestrial ecologist with a special interest in ornithology. She has completed numerous studies ranging from basic Assessments to Environmental Impact Assessments following IFC standards.</p>
Reviewer	<p><b>Andrew Husted</b> </p> <p>Andrew Husted is Pr Sci Nat registered (400213/11) in the following fields of practice: Ecological Science, Environmental Science and Aquatic Science. Andrew is an Aquatic, Wetland and Biodiversity Specialist with more than 12 years' experience in the environmental consulting field. Andrew has completed numerous wetland training courses, and is an accredited wetland practitioner, recognised by the DWS, and also the Mondi Wetlands programme as a competent wetland consultant.</p>
Declaration	<p>The Biodiversity Company and its associates operate as independent consultants under the auspice of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions. We declare that we have no affiliation with or vested financial interests in the proponent, other than for work performed under the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2017. We have no conflicting interests in the undertaking of this activity and have no interests in secondary developments resulting from the authorisation of this project. We have no vested interest in the project, other than to provide a professional service within the constraints of the project (timing, time and budget) based on the principals of science.</p>

### 1.3 Scope of Work

The principle aim of the assessment was to provide information to guide the risk of the proposed activity to the ecological communities of the associated ecosystems within the project area. This was achieved through the following:

- Desktop assessment to identify the relevant ecologically important geographical features within the project area;
- Desktop assessment to compile an expected species list and identify possible threatened flora and fauna species that occur within the project area;
- A desktop description of the land type and soil characteristics for the area;
- Identify the manner that the proposed project impacts based on the screening assessment information and the desktop information, and evaluate the level of risk of these potential impacts; and
- The prescription of mitigation measures and recommendations for identified risks.

### 1.4 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations are applicable for this assessment:

- The assessment area was based on the area provided by the client and any alterations to the footprint and/or missing GIS information pertaining to the assessment area would have affected the area surveyed;
- The species likelihood of occurrence is based on desktop information and might be changed after the assessment;
- The impact assessment included is preliminary and is solely based on the screening survey and desktop information; and
- No decommissioning phase impacts have been considered for this project. The life of operation is unknown and expected for perpetuity.

## 2 Key Legislative Requirements

The legislation, policies and guidelines listed below in Table 2-1 are applicable to the current project. The list below, although extensive, may not be complete and other legislation, policies and guidelines may apply in addition to those listed below.

**Table 2-1** *A list of key legislative requirements relevant to biodiversity and conservation in the Limpopo Provinces*

Region	Legislation / Guideline
International	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, 1993)
	The Convention on Wetlands (RAMSAR Convention, 1971)
	The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC, 1994)
	The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES 1973)
	The Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention, 1979)
National	Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act No. 108 of 1996)
	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998)
	The National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act (Act No. 57 of 2003)
	The National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004), Threatened or Protected Species Regulations



	Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, GNR 320 of Government Gazette 43310 (March 2020)
	Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998, GNR 1150 of Government Gazette 43855 (October 2020)
	The National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act 59 of 2008);
	The Environment Conservation Act (Act No. 73 of 1989)
	National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES)
	Natural Scientific Professions Act (Act No. 27 of 2003)
	National Biodiversity Framework (NBF, 2009)
	National Forest Act (Act No. 84 of 1998)
	National Veld and Forest Fire Act (101 of 1998)
	National Water Act (NWA) (Act No. 36 of 1998)
	National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment (NSBA)
	World Heritage Convention Act (Act No. 49 of 1999)
	Municipal Systems Act (Act No. 32 of 2000)
	Alien and Invasive Species Regulations and, Alien and Invasive Species List 20142020, published under NEMBA
	South Africa's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)
	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act 43 of 1983) (CARA)
	Sustainable Utilisation of Agricultural Resources (Draft Legislation).
	White Paper on Biodiversity
Provincial	Limpopo Conservation Plan (2018)
	Limpopo Environmental Management Act (2003)

### 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Desktop Assessment

The desktop assessment was principally undertaken using a Geographic Information System (GIS) to access the latest available spatial datasets to develop digital cartographs and species lists. These datasets and their date of publishing are provided below.

##### 3.1.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features

Existing ecologically relevant data layers were incorporated into a GIS to establish how the proposed project might interact with any ecologically important entities. Emphasis was placed around the following spatial datasets:

- National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 (Skowno et al, 2019) (NBA) - The purpose of the NBA is to assess the state of South Africa's biodiversity based on best available science, with a view to understanding trends over time and informing policy and decision-making across a range of sectors. The NBA deals with all three components of biodiversity: genes, species, and ecosystems; and assesses biodiversity and ecosystems across terrestrial, freshwater, estuarine and marine environments. The two headline indicators assessed in the NBA are:
  - *Ecosystem Threat Status* – indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT)

or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition.

- *Ecosystem Protection Level* – indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. NP, PP or MP ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems.
- Protected areas - South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) (DEA, 2021) – The SAPAD Database contains spatial data pertinent to the conservation of South African biodiversity. It includes spatial and attribute information for both formally protected areas and areas that have less formal protection. SAPAD is updated on a continuous basis and forms the basis for the Register of Protected Areas, which is a legislative requirement under the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, Act 57 of 2003.
- National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (SANBI, 2016) – The NPAES provides spatial information on areas that are suitable for terrestrial ecosystem protection. These focus areas are large, intact and unfragmented and therefore, of high importance for biodiversity, climate resilience and freshwater protection.
- Conservation/Biodiversity Sector Plans:

The **Limpopo Conservation Plan** was completed in 2018 for the Limpopo Department of Economic Development, Environment & Tourism (LEDET) (Desmet *et al.*, 2013). The purpose of the LCPv2 was to develop the spatial component of a bioregional plan (i.e., map of Critical Biodiversity Areas and associated land-use guidelines). The previous Limpopo Conservation Plan (LCPv1) was completely revised and updated (Desmet *et al.*, 2013). A Limpopo Conservation Plan map was produced as part of this plan and sites were assigned to the following CBA categories based on their biodiversity characteristics, spatial configuration, and requirement for meeting targets for both biodiversity pattern and ecological processes:

- Critical Biodiversity Area 1 (CBA1);
- Critical Biodiversity Area 2 (CBA2);
- Ecological Support Area 1 (ESA1);
- Ecological Support Area 2 (ESA2);
- Other Natural Area (ONA);
- Protected Area (PA); and
- No Natural Remaining (NNR).

Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) are terrestrial and aquatic areas of the landscape that need to be maintained in a natural or near-natural state to ensure the continued existence and functioning of species and ecosystems and the delivery of ecosystem services. Thus, if these areas are not maintained in a natural or near natural state then biodiversity targets cannot be met. Maintaining an area in a natural state can include a variety of biodiversity compatible land uses and resource uses (Desmet *et al.*, 2013).

Ecological Support Areas (ESA's) are not essential for meeting biodiversity targets but play an important role in supporting the ecological functioning of Critical Biodiversity Areas and/or in delivering ecosystem services (SANBI, 2017). Critical Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas may be terrestrial or aquatic.


Other Natural Areas (ONAs) consist of all those areas in good or fair ecological condition that fall outside the protected area network and have not been identified as CBAs or ESAs. A biodiversity sector plan or bioregional plan must not specify the desired state/management objectives for ONAs or provide land-use guidelines for ONAs (Driver *et al.*, 2017).

Areas with No Natural Habitat Remaining (NNR) are areas in poor ecological condition that have not been identified as CBAs or ESAs. They include all irreversibly modified areas (such as urban or industrial areas and mines), and most severely modified areas (such as cultivated fields and forestry plantations). A biodiversity sector plan or bioregional plan must not specify the desired state/management objective or provide land-use guidelines for NNR areas (Driver *et al.*, 2017).

- Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) (BirdLife South Africa, 2015) – IBAs constitute a global network of over 13 500 sites, of which 112 sites are found in South Africa. IBAs are sites of global significance for bird conservation, identified through multi-stakeholder processes using globally standardised, quantitative and scientifically agreed criteria; and
- South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) (Van Deventer *et al.*, 2018) – A SAIIAE was established during the NBA of 2018. It is a collection of data layers that represent the extent of river and inland wetland ecosystem types and pressures on these systems.

### 3.1.2 Desktop Flora Assessment

The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006) and SANBI (2019) was used to identify the vegetation type that would have occurred under natural or pre-anthropogenically altered conditions. Furthermore, the Plants of Southern Africa (POSA) database was accessed to compile a list of expected flora species within the project area (Figure 3-1). The Red List of South African Plants (Raimondo *et al.*, 2009; SANBI, 2020) was utilized to provide the most current national conservation status of flora species.



**Figure 3-1** Map illustrating extent of area used to obtain the expected flora species list from the Plants of South Africa (POSA) database. Yellow dot indicates approximate location of the project area. The red squares are cluster markers of botanical records as per POSA data

### 3.1.3 Desktop Faunal Assessment

The faunal desktop assessment comprised of the following, compiling an expected:

- Amphibian list, generated from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017) and AmphibianMap database (Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021a), using the 2229 quarter degree square;
- Reptile list, generated from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017) and ReptileMap database (Fitzpatrick Institute of African Ornithology, 2021b), using the 2229 quarter degree square;
- Avifauna list, generated from the SABAP2 dataset by looking at pentads 2230\_2945; 2230\_2950; 2230\_2955; 2235\_2945; 2235\_2950; 2235\_2955; 2240\_2945; 2240\_2950; 2240\_2955); and
- Mammal list from the IUCN spatial dataset (2017).

## 4 Receiving Environment

### 4.1 Desktop Assessment

#### 4.1.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features


The GIS analysis pertaining to the relevance of the proposed project to ecologically important landscape features is summarised in Table 4-1.

**Table 4-1 Summary of relevance of the proposed project to ecologically important landscape features**

Desktop Information Considered	Relevant/Irrelevant	Section
Ecosystem Threat Status	Relevant – Overlaps with a Least Concern ecosystem	4.1.1.1
Ecosystem Protection Level	Relevant – Overlaps with a Moderately Protected Ecosystem	4.1.1.2
Protected Areas	Relevant – The project area overlaps with the Vhembe Biosphere Reserve	4.1.1.4
Renewable Energy Development Zones	Irrelevant - The project area is 309 km for the closest REDZ	-
Powerline Corridor	Relevant- The project area overlaps with the International Corridor	-
National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy	Relevant – The project area is approximately 3.7 km from a priority focus area	4.1.1.5
Critical Biodiversity Area	Relevant – The project area overlaps with ESA1 classified areas	4.1.1.3
Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas	Relevant – The project area is 12 km to the Soutpansberg IBA.	4.1.1.6
South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems	Relevant - The project area is 11km away from the closest NBA river and 7.6 km away from the closest wetland	4.1.1.7
National Freshwater Priority Area	Relevant – a non-priority seepage system is located within the extent of the project area.	4.1.1.8
Strategic Water Source Areas	Irrelevant- The project area is 31 km from the closest SWSA	-
Coordinated Avifaunal Road Count	Relevant – 275 km from the closest CAR route	-

#### 4.1.1.1 Ecosystem Threat Status


The Ecosystem Threat Status is an indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition. According to the spatial dataset the proposed project overlaps with a LC ecosystem (Figure 4-1).



**Figure 4-1** Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status associated with the project area.

#### 4.1.1.2 Ecosystem Protection Level

This is an indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. NP, PP or MP ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems. The proposed project overlaps with a MP ecosystem (Figure 4-2).



**Figure 4-2** Map illustrating the ecosystem protection level associated with the project area


#### 4.1.1.3 Critical Biodiversity Areas and Ecological Support Areas

The conservation of CBAs is crucial, in that if these areas are not maintained in a natural or near-natural state, biodiversity conservation targets cannot be met. Maintaining an area in a natural state can include a variety of biodiversity compatible land uses and resource uses (SANBI-BGIS, 2017).

The provincial CBA spatial data for the North West province indicates that both feasibility areas don't traverse any CBA nor Ecological Support Areas (ESAs) and Other Natural Areas (ONAs). Based on the Limpopo Conservation Plan the SCSC feasibility area traverses ESA1 and NNR areas, whereas the SBPM feasibility area traverses ESA1, NNR and ONA area.

The purpose of the Limpopo C-Plan (2018) is to inform land-use planning and development on a provincial scale and to aid in natural resource management. One of the outputs is a map of Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) and Ecological Support Areas (ESAs). These are classified into different categories, namely Protected Areas, CBA1 areas, CBA2 areas, ESA1 areas, ESA2 areas, Other Natural Areas (ONAs) and areas with No Natural Habitat Remaining (NNR) based on biodiversity characteristics, spatial configuration, and requirements for meeting targets for both biodiversity patterns and ecological processes.


Figure 4-3 shows the project area superimposed on the Terrestrial CBA maps. The project area overlaps with ESA1 classified areas.



**Figure 4-3** Map illustrating the locations of CBAs in the project area

#### 4.1.1.4 Protected areas

According to the protected area spatial datasets from SAPAD (2021), the project area overlaps with the Vhembe Biosphere Reserve (Figure 4-4). No protected areas were found within 5km of the project area. The closest reserve is the Boabab Private Nature Reserve that is 8.8 km from the project area.




**Figure 4-4** The project area in relation to the protected areas

#### 4.1.1.5 National Protected Area Expansion Strategy

National Protected Area Expansion Strategy 2016 (NPAES) areas were identified through a systematic biodiversity planning process. They present the best opportunities for meeting the ecosystem-specific protected area targets set in the NPAES and were designed with a strong emphasis on climate change resilience and requirements for protecting freshwater ecosystems. These areas should not be seen as future boundaries of protected areas, as in many cases only a portion of a particular focus area would be required to meet the protected area targets set in the NPAES. They are also not a replacement for finescale planning which may identify a range of different priority sites based on local requirements, constraints and opportunities (NPAES, 2016). The project area is approximately 3.7 km from a priority focus area as can be seen in Figure 4-5.






**Figure 4-5** The project area in relation to the National Protected Area Expansion Strategy

#### 4.1.1.6 Important Bird and Biodiversity Area

Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) are the sites of international significance for the conservation of the world's birds and other conservation significant species as identified by BirdLife International. These sites are also all Key Biodiversity Areas; sites that contribute significantly to the global persistence of biodiversity (Birdlife, 2017).


According to Birdlife International (2017), the selection of IBAs is achieved through the application of quantitative ornithological criteria, grounded in up-to-date knowledge of the sizes and trends of bird populations. The criteria ensure that the sites selected as IBAs have true significance for the international conservation of bird populations and provide a common currency that all IBAs adhere to, thus creating consistency among, and enabling comparability between, sites at national, continental and global levels. Figure 4-6 shows the project area is 12 km to the Soutpansberg IBA.



**Figure 4-6** The project area in relation to the Soutpansberg IBA

#### 4.1.1.7 Hydrological Setting

The South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) was released with the NBA 2018. Ecosystem threat status (ETS) of river and wetland ecosystem types are based on the extent to which each river ecosystem type had been altered from its natural condition. Ecosystem types are categorised as CR, EN, VU or LT, with CR, EN and VU ecosystem types collectively referred to as 'threatened' (Van Deventer *et al.*, 2019; Skowno *et al.*, 2019). The project area is 11km away from the closest NBA river and 7.6 km away from the closest wetland (Figure 4-7).




**Figure 4-7** Map illustrating ecosystem threat status of rivers and wetland ecosystems in the project area

#### 4.1.1.8 National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Area Status

In an attempt to better conserve aquatic ecosystems, South Africa has categorised its river systems according to set ecological criteria (i.e., ecosystem representation, water yield, connectivity, unique features, and threatened taxa) to identify Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (FEPAs) (Driver *et al.*, 2011). The FEPAs are intended to be conservation support tools and envisioned to guide the effective implementation of measures to achieve the National Environment Management Biodiversity Act's (NEM:BA) biodiversity goals (Nel *et al.*, 2011).

Figure 4-8 shows the location of the project area in relation to wetland FEPAs. Based on this information, a non-priority seepage system is located within the extent of the project area. The wetland is considered to be in a seriously modified ecological state.



**Figure 4-8** The project area in relation to the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas.

#### 4.1.2 Flora Assessment

This section is divided into a description of the vegetation type expected to occur under natural conditions and the expected flora species.

##### 4.1.2.1 Vegetation Type


The project area is situated in the Savanna biome. The savanna vegetation of South Africa represents the southernmost extension of the most widespread biome in Africa (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006). Major macroclimatic traits that characterise the Savanna biome include:

- a) Seasonal precipitation; and
- b) (Sub) tropical thermal regime with no or usually low incidence of frost (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

Most savanna vegetation communities are characterised by a herbaceous layer dominated by grasses and a discontinuous to sometimes very open tree layer (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006).

The savanna biome is the largest biome in South Africa, extending throughout the east and north-eastern areas of the country. Savannas are characterised by a dominant grass layer, over-topped by a discontinuous, but distinct woody plant layer. At a structural level, Africa's savannas can be broadly categorised as either fine-leaved (microphyllous) savannas or broad-leaved savannas. Fine-leaved savannas typically occur on nutrient rich soils and are dominated by microphyllous woody plants of the Mimosaceae family (Common genera include *Acacia* and *Albizia*) and a generally dense herbaceous layer (Scholes & Walker, 1993).

On a fine-scale vegetation type, the project area overlaps with the Musina Mopane Bushveld vegetation type (Figure 4-9).



**Figure 4-9** Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the project area

#### 4.1.2.1.1 Musina Mopane Bushveld

This vegetation type can be found in the Limpopo Province on undulating to very irregular plains, with some hills. In the western section, open woodland to moderately closed shrubveld dominated by *Colophospermum mopane* and *Combretum apiculatum* can be found. While in the Eastern section *Colophospermum mopane* and *Terminalia prunioides* dominates open shrubland.

#### Important Taxa (d = dominant species)

Tall Trees: *Senegalia nigrescens*, *Adansonia digitata*, *Sclerocarya birrea* subsp. *caffra*.

Small Trees: *Colophospermum mopane* (d), *Combretum apiculatum* (d), *Senegalia senegal* var. *leiorhachis*, *S. tortilis* subsp. *heteracantha*, *Boscia albitrunca*, *B. foetida* subsp. *rehmanniana*, *Commiphora glandulosa*, *C. tenuipetiolata*, *C. viminea*, *Sterculia rogersii*, *Terminalia prunioides*, *T. sericea*, *Ximenia americana*.

Tall Shrubs: *Grewia flava* (d), *Sesamothamnus lugardii* (d), *Commiphora pyracanthoides*, *Gardenia volkensii*, *Grewia bicolor*, *Maerua parvifolia*, *Rhigozum zambesiicum*, *Tephrosia polystachya*. Low Shrubs: *Acalypha indica*, *Aptosimum lineare*, *Barleria senensis*, *Dicoma tomentosa*, *Felicia clavipilosa* subsp. *transvaalensis*, *Gossypium herbaceum* subsp. *africanum*, *Hermannia glanduligera*, *Neuracanthus africanus*, *Pechuel-Loeschea leubnitziae*, *Ptychobium contortum*, *Seddera suffruticosa*. Succulent Shrub: *Hoodia currorii* subsp. *lugardii*.

Herbaceous Climber: *Momordica balsamina*. Graminoids: *Schmidtia pappophoroides* (d), *Aristida adscensionis*, *A. congesta*, *Bothriochloa insculpta*, *Brachiaria deflexa*, *Cenchrus ciliaris*, *Digitaria*

*eriantha* subsp. *eriantha*, *Enneapogon cenchroides*, *Eragrostis lehmanniana*, *E. pallens*, *Fingerhuthia africana*, *Heteropogon contortus*, *Sporobolus nitens*, *Stipagrostis hirtigluma* subsp. *patula*, *S. uniplumis*, *Tetrapogon tenellus*, *Urochloa mosambicensis*.

Herbs: *Acrotome inflata*, *Becium filamentosum*, *Harpagophytum procumbens* subsp. *transvaalense*, *Heliotropium steudneri*, *Hermbstaedtia odorata*, *Oxygonum delagoense*. Succulent Herbs: *Stapelia gettliffei*, *S. kwebensis*.

#### Conservation Status

This vegetation type is classed as Least Concerned, with only 3 % statutorily conserved in the Mapungubwe National Park, Nwanedi and Honnet Nature Reserves and the Baobab Tree Reserve. The conservation target is 19 %.

#### 4.1.2.2 Expected Flora Species

The POSA database indicates that 292 species of indigenous plants are expected to occur within the project area (The full list of species will be provided in the final report). Two (2) SCC based on their conservation status could be expected to occur within the project area and are provided in Table 4-2 below.

**Table 4-2 Threatened flora species that may occur within the project area**

Family	Taxon	Author	IUCN	Ecology
Fabaceae	<i>Indigofera rehmannii</i>	Baker f.	EN	Indigenous; Endemic
Apocynaceae	<i>Ceropegia cimiciodora</i>	Oberm.	VU	Indigenous

#### 4.1.3 Faunal Assessment

##### 4.1.3.1 Amphibians

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data and AmphibianMap, 35 amphibian species are expected to occur within the area (The full list will be provided in the final assessment). Two (2) are regarded as threatened (Table 4-3).

**Table 4-3 Threatened amphibian species that are expected to occur within the project area**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	
<i>Breviceps sylvestris</i>	Northern Forest Rain Frog	VU	VU	Low
<i>Pyxicephalus adspersus</i>	Giant Bullfrog	NT	LC	Moderate

*Breviceps sylvestris* (Northern Forest Rain Frog) is endemic to the Limpopo province, where they can be found in temperate forests, temperate grassland, and rural gardens. This species is threatened mainly by habitat loss. Suitable habitat cannot be found in the project area for this species.

Giant Bull Frog (*Pyxicephalus adspersus*) is a species of conservation concern that will possibly occur in the project area, especially in the area with the wetlands. The Giant Bull Frog is listed as near threatened on a regional scale. It is a species of drier savannas where it is fossorial for most of the year, remaining buried in cocoons. They emerge at the start of the rains, and breed in shallow, temporary waters in pools, pans and ditches (IUCN, 2017).

##### 4.1.3.2 Reptiles

Based on the IUCN Red List Spatial Data and the ReptileMAP database, 134 reptile species are expected to occur within the area (The full list will be provided in the final assessment). Seven (7) are regarded as threatened (Table 4-4).

**Table 4-4 Threatened reptile species that are expected to occur within the project area**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2017)	
<i>Chamaesaura macrolepis</i>	Large-scaled Grass Lizard	NT	LC	Low
<i>Chirindia langi occidentalis</i>	Soutpansberg Worm Lizard	VU	Unlisted	Moderate
<i>Crocodylus niloticus</i>	Nile Crocodile	VU	LC	Low
<i>Homopholis mulleri</i>	Muller's Velvet Gecko	VU	LC	Moderate
<i>Lygodactylus ocellatus soutsbergensis</i>	Soutpansberg Dwarf Gecko	NT	LC	Low
<i>Scelotes limpopoensis albiventris</i>	White-bellied Dwarf Burrowing Skink	NT	Unlisted	Low
<i>Vhembelacerta rupicola</i>	Soutpansberg Rock Lizard	NT	LC	Low

*Chirindia langi occidentalis* is found in South Africa, Mozambique and Zimbabwe, where they occur in the savanna habitats. They are more specifically found under rocks on the soil surface, in burrows or in rotting logs. The main threats to this species is agriculture and changes in game stocking levels. Suitable habitat can be found in the project area for this species as such it was given a moderate likelihood of occurring.

*Homopholis mulleri* is a nocturnal species that can be found sheltering in the holes in the trunks of tree species such as Marula and Knob-thorn trees. Their range is threatened mainly by clearance of habitat for agricultural use, extraction of mature trees for firewood, wood carving and charcoal production. Suitable savannah tree species can be found that provides habitat for this species, the likelihood of occurrence is rated as moderate.

#### 4.1.3.3 Mammals

The IUCN Red List Spatial Data lists 107 mammal species that could be expected to occur within the area (The full list will be provided in the final assessment). This list excludes large mammal species that are normally restricted to protected areas. Sixteen (16) (smaller non protected area restricted species) of these expected species are regarded as threatened (Table 4-5), twelve of these have a low likelihood of occurrence based on the lack of suitable habitat and food sources in the project area.

**Table 4-5 Threatened mammal species that are expected to occur within the project area.**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	
<i>Aonyx capensis</i>	Cape Clawless Otter	NT	NT	Low
<i>Atelerix frontalis</i>	South Africa Hedgehog	NT	LC	Moderate
<i>Cloeotis percivali</i>	Short-eared Trident Bat	EN	LC	Low
<i>Crocidura maquassiensis</i>	Makwassie musk shrew	VU	LC	Low
<i>Crocidura mariquensis</i>	Swamp Musk Shrew	NT	LC	Low
<i>Crocuta crocuta</i>	Spotted Hyaena	NT	LC	Low
<i>Dasymys incomtus</i>	African Marsh rat	NT	LC	Low
<i>Eidolon helvum</i>	African Straw-colored Fruit Bat	LC	NT	Low
<i>Felis nigripes</i>	Black-footed Cat	VU	VU	Moderate
<i>Leptailurus serval</i>	Serval	NT	LC	Moderate
<i>Nycteris woodi</i>	Wood's Slit Faced Bat	NT	LC	High
<i>Panthera pardus</i>	Leopard	VU	VU	Low

<i>Parahyaena brunnea</i>	Brown Hyaena	NT	NT	Low
<i>Poecilogale albinucha</i>	African Striped Weasel	NT	LC	Low
<i>Redunca fulvorufula</i>	Mountain Reedbuck	EN	EN	Low
<i>Smutsia temminckii</i>	Temminck's Ground Pangolin	VU	VU	Low

*Atelerix frontalis* (South African Hedgehog) has a tolerance to a degree for habitat modification and occurs in a wide variety of semi-arid and sub-temperate habitats (IUCN, 2017). Based on the Red List of Mammals of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (2016), *A. frontalis* populations are decreasing due to the threats of electrocution, veld fires, road collisions, predation from domestic pets and illegal harvesting. Suitable habitat occur in the project area, although somewhat disturbed, as such the likelihood of occurrence is rated as moderate.

*Felis nigripes* (Black-footed cat) is endemic to the arid regions of southern Africa. This species is naturally rare, has cryptic colouring, is small in size and is nocturnal. These factors have contributed to a lack of information on this species. The highest densities of this species have been recorded in the more arid Karoo region of South Africa. The habitat in the project area can be considered to be somewhat suitable for the species and the likelihood of occurrence is therefore rated as moderate.

*Leptailurus serval* (Serval) occurs widely through sub-Saharan Africa and is commonly recorded from most major national parks and reserves (IUCN, 2017). The Serval's status outside reserves is not certain, but they are inconspicuous and may be common in suitable habitat as they are tolerant of farming practices provided there is cover and food available. In sub-Saharan Africa they are found in habitat with well-watered savanna long-grass environments and are particularly associated with reedbeds and other riparian vegetation types. This species could use the project area for hunting, but the amount of trees found does make it not ideal habitat for Servals.

*Nycteris woodi* (Wood's Slit-faced Bat) occurs in semi-arid and moist woodland savannahs (including miombo and mopane woodlands) where suitable day-roosts are available. It roosts in hollow trees (particularly Baobabs *Adansonia digitata* and Sausage Trees *Kigelia africana*), sandstone caves, rock fissures, mine adits and buildings. Roosting habitat can be found in the project area.

#### 4.1.3.4 Avifauna

The SABAP2 Data lists 237 avifauna species that could be expected to occur within the area (The full list will be provided in the final assessment). Eleven (11) of these expected species are regarded as threatened (Table 4-6). Six of the species have a low likelihood of occurrence due to lack of suitable habitat and food sources in the project area.

**Table 4-6 Threatened avifauna species that are expected to occur within the project area**

Species	Common Name	Conservation Status		Likelihood of Occurrence
		Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2021)	
<i>Aquila rapax</i>	Eagle, Tawny	EN	VU	Moderate
<i>Aquila verreauxii</i>	Eagle, Verreaux's	VU	LC	Low
<i>Ardeotis kori</i>	Bustard, Kori	NT	NT	Low
<i>Bucorvus leadbeateri</i>	Ground-hornbill, Southern	EN	VU	Low
<i>Ciconia nigra</i>	Stork, Black	VU	LC	Low
<i>Coracias garrulus</i>	Roller, European	NT	LC	High
<i>Ephippiorhynchus senegalensis</i>	Stork, Saddle-billed	EN	LC	Low
<i>Gyps africanus</i>	Vulture, White-backed	CR	CR	High
<i>Polemaetus bellicosus</i>	Eagle, Martial	EN	EN	High



<i>Terathopius ecaudatus</i>	Bateleur, Bateleur	EN	EN	Low
<i>Torgos tracheliotus</i>	Vulture, Lappet-faced	EN	EN	Moderate

*Aquila rapax* (Tawny Eagle) is listed as EN on a regional scale and VU on an international scale and occupies dry open habitats from sea level to 3000 m. It will occupy both woodland and wooded savannah (IUCN, 2017). Due to its large distributional range the likelihood of occurrence of this species is rated as moderate.

*Coracias garrulous* (European Roller) is a winter migrant from most of South-central Europe and Asia occurring throughout sub-Saharan Africa (IUCN, 2017). The European Roller has a preference for bushy plains and dry savannah areas (IUCN, 2017). There is a high chance of this species occurring in the project area as suitable habitat and food sources can be found in the project area.

*Gyps africanus* (White-backed Vulture) has a large range and only occurs throughout sub-Saharan Africa. Primarily a lowland species of open wooded savanna, particularly areas of *Acacia* (*Vachellia*). It requires tall trees for nesting. According to the IUCN (2017) this species faces similar threats to other African vultures, being susceptible to habitat conversion to agro-pastoral systems, loss of wild ungulates leading to a reduced availability of carrion, hunting for trade, persecution and poisoning. Suitable trees for nesting can be found in the project area.

*Polemaetus bellicosus* (Martial Eagle) is listed as EN on a regional scale and EN on a global scale. This species has an extensive range across much of sub-Saharan Africa, but populations are declining due to deliberate and incidental poisoning, habitat loss, reduction in available prey, pollution and collisions with power lines (IUCN, 2017). It inhabits open woodland, wooded savanna, bushy grassland, thorn-bush and, in southern Africa, more open country and even sub-desert (IUCN, 2017). Trees for roosting and nesting can be found in the project area.

*Torgos tracheliotus* (Lappet-faced Vulture) is listed as EN, both on a regional and global level. Only a small, very rapidly declining population remains, owing primarily to poisoning and persecution, as well as ecosystem alterations (IUCN, 2017). The species inhabits dry savanna, arid plains, deserts and open mountain. It ranges widely when foraging and is mainly a scavenger, feeding predominantly on any large carcasses or their remains. This rare species is unlikely to be resident within the project area due to unsuitable nesting sites, but may scavenge on any dead carcasses in the area, and therefore the likelihood of occurrence is rated as moderate.

## 5 Terms of Reference

### 5.1 Flora Survey

The fieldwork and sample sites will be placed within targeted areas (i.e., target sites) perceived as ecologically sensitive based on the preliminary interpretation of satellite imagery (Google Corporation) and GIS analysis (which will include the latest applicable biodiversity datasets) available prior to the fieldwork. The focus of the fieldwork will therefore be to maximise coverage and navigate to each target site in the field, to perform a rapid vegetation and ecological assessment at each sample site. Emphasis will be placed on sensitive habitats, especially those overlapping with the proposed project area.

Homogenous vegetation units will be subjectively identified using satellite imagery and existing land cover maps. The floristic diversity and search for flora SCC will be conducted through timed meanders within representative habitat units delineated during the fieldwork. Emphasis will be placed mostly on sensitive habitats overlapping with the proposed project areas.

The timed random meander method is highly efficient for conducting floristic analysis, specifically in detecting flora SCC and maximising floristic coverage. In addition, the method is time and cost effective and highly suited for compiling flora species lists and therefore gives a rapid indication of flora diversity. The timed meander search will be performed based on the original technique described by Goff *et al.* (1982). Suitable habitat for SCC will be identified according to Raimondo *et al.* (2009) and targeted as part of the timed meanders.

At each sample site notes will be made regarding current impacts (e.g., livestock grazing, erosion etc.), subjective recording of dominant vegetation species, and any sensitive features (e.g., wetlands, outcrops etc.). In addition, opportunistic observations will be made while navigating through the project area.

## 5.2 Fauna Survey

The faunal assessment within this report pertains to herpetofauna (amphibians and reptiles), avifauna and mammals. The faunal field survey will be comprised of the following techniques:

- Visual and auditory searches - This typically comprises of meandering and using binoculars to view species from a distance without them being disturbed; and listening to species calls;
- Active hand-searches - Used for species that shelter in or under particular micro-habitats (typically rocks, exfoliating rock outcrops, fallen trees, leaf litter, bark etc.);
- Point counts for the avifauna; and
- Utilization of local knowledge.

Relevant field guides and texts that will be consulted for identification purposes included the following:

- Field Guide to Snakes and other Reptiles of Southern Africa (Branch, 1998);
- A Complete Guide to the Snakes of Southern Africa (Marais, 2004);
- Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (Bates *et al*, 2014);
- A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa (du Preez and Carruthers, 2009);
- Smithers' Mammals of Southern Africa (Apps, 2000);
- A Field Guide to the Tracks and Signs of Southern and East African Wildlife (Stuart and Stuart, 2000);
- Book of birds of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland (Taylor *et al.*, 2015); and
- Roberts – Birds of Southern Africa (Hockey *et al.*, 2005).

## 5.3 Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance

The different habitat types within the project area will be delineated and identified based on observations during the field assessment, and available satellite imagery. These habitat types will be assigned Ecological Importance (EI) categories based on their ecological integrity, conservation value, the presence of species of conservation concern and their ecosystem processes.

Site Ecological Importance (SEI) is a function of the Biodiversity Importance (BI) of the receptor (e.g., SCC, the vegetation/fauna community or habitat type present on the site) and Receptor Resilience (RR) (its resilience to impacts) as follows.

BI is a function of Conservation Importance (CI) and the Functional Integrity (FI) of the receptor as follows. The criteria for the CI and FI ratings are provided in Table 5-1 and Table 5-2, respectively.

**Table 5-1 Summary of Conservation Importance (CI) criteria**

Conservation Importance	Fulfilling Criteria
Very High	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU) or Extremely Rare or CR species that have a global extent of occurrence (EOO) of < 10 km <sup>2</sup> . Any area of natural habitat of a CR ecosystem type or large area (> 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of natural habitat of an EN ecosystem type. Globally significant populations of congregatory species (> 10% of global population).
High	Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of CR, EN, VU species that have a global EOO of > 10 km <sup>2</sup> . IUCN threatened species (CR, EN, VU) must be listed under any criterion other than A.

	<p>If listed as threatened only under Criterion A, include if there are less than 10 locations or &lt; 10 000 mature individuals remaining.</p> <p>Small area (&gt; 0.01% but &lt; 0.1% of the total ecosystem type extent) of natural habitat of EN ecosystem type or large area (&gt; 0.1%) of natural habitat of VU ecosystem type.</p> <p>Presence of Rare species.</p> <p>Globally significant populations of congregatory species (&gt; 1% but &lt; 10% of global population).</p>
<b>Medium</b>	<p>Confirmed or highly likely occurrence of populations of Near Threatened (NT) species, threatened species (CR, EN, VU) listed under Criterion A only and which have more than 10 locations or more than 10 000 mature individuals.</p> <p>Any area of natural habitat of threatened ecosystem type with status of VU.</p> <p>Presence of range-restricted species.</p> <p>&gt; 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with potential to support SCC.</p>
<b>Low</b>	<p>No confirmed or highly likely populations of SCC.</p> <p>No confirmed or highly likely populations of range-restricted species.</p> <p>&lt; 50% of receptor contains natural habitat with limited potential to support SCC.</p>
<b>Very Low</b>	<p>No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of SCC.</p> <p>No confirmed and highly unlikely populations of range-restricted species.</p> <p>No natural habitat remaining.</p>

**Table 5-2 Summary of Functional Integrity (FI) criteria**

Functional Integrity	Fulfilling Criteria
<b>Very High</b>	<p>Very large (&gt; 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or &gt; 5 ha for CR ecosystem types.</p> <p>High habitat connectivity serving as functional ecological corridors, limited road network between intact habitat patches.</p> <p>No or minimal current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance.</p>
<b>High</b>	<p>Large (&gt; 20 ha but &lt; 100 ha) intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or &gt; 10 ha for EN ecosystem types.</p> <p>Good habitat connectivity, with potentially functional ecological corridors and a regularly used road network between intact habitat patches.</p> <p>Only minor current negative ecological impacts, with no signs of major past disturbance and good rehabilitation potential.</p>
<b>Medium</b>	<p>Medium (&gt; 5 ha but &lt; 20 ha) semi-intact area for any conservation status of ecosystem type or &gt; 20 ha for VU ecosystem types.</p> <p>Only narrow corridors of good habitat connectivity or larger areas of poor habitat connectivity and a busy used road network between intact habitat patches.</p> <p>Mostly minor current negative ecological impacts, with some major impacts and a few signs of minor past disturbance. Moderate rehabilitation potential.</p>
<b>Low</b>	<p>Small (&gt; 1 ha but &lt; 5 ha) area.</p> <p>Almost no habitat connectivity but migrations still possible across some modified or degraded natural habitat and a very busy used road network surrounds the area.</p> <p>Low rehabilitation potential.</p> <p>Several minor and major current negative ecological impacts.</p>
<b>Very Low</b>	<p>Very small (&lt; 1 ha) area.</p> <p>No habitat connectivity except for flying species or flora with wind-dispersed seeds.</p> <p>Several major current negative ecological impacts.</p>

BI can be derived from a simple matrix of CI and FI as provided in Table 5-3.

**Table 5-3 Matrix used to derive Biodiversity Importance (BI) from Functional Integrity (FI) and Conservation Importance (CI)**

Biodiversity Importance (BI)		Conservation Importance (CI)				
		Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
Functional Integrity (FI)	Very high	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Low
	High	Very high	High	Medium	Medium	Low
	Medium	High	Medium	Medium	Low	Very low
	Low	Medium	Medium	Low	Low	Very low
	Very low	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low	Very low

The fulfilling criteria to evaluate RR are based on the estimated recovery time required to restore an appreciable portion of functionality to the receptor, as summarised in Table 5-4.

**Table 5-4 Summary of Receptor Resilience (RR) criteria**

Resilience	Fulfilling Criteria
<b>Very High</b>	Habitat that can recover rapidly (~ less than 5 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a very high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>High</b>	Habitat that can recover relatively quickly (~ 5–10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a high likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>Medium</b>	Will recover slowly (~ more than 10 years) to restore > 75% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a moderate likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>Low</b>	Habitat that is unlikely to be able to recover fully after a relatively long period: > 15 years required to restore ~ less than 50% of the original species composition and functionality of the receptor functionality, or species that have a low likelihood of: (i) remaining at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) returning to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.
<b>Very Low</b>	Habitat that is unable to recover from major impacts, or species that are unlikely to: (i) remain at a site even when a disturbance or impact is occurring, or (ii) return to a site once the disturbance or impact has been removed.

Subsequent to the determination of the BI and RR, the SEI can be ascertained using the matrix as provided in Table 5-5.

**Table 5-5 Matrix used to derive Site Ecological Importance from Receptor Resilience (RR) and Biodiversity Importance (BI)**

Site Ecological Importance		Biodiversity Importance (BI)				
		Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
Receptor Resilience (RR)	Very Low	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Low
	Low	Very high	Very high	High	Medium	Very low
	Medium	Very high	High	Medium	Low	Very low
	High	High	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low
	Very High	Medium	Low	Very low	Very low	Very low

Interpretation of the SEI in the context of the proposed project is provided in Table 5-6.

**Table 5-6 Guidelines for interpreting Site Ecological Importance in the context of the proposed development activities**

Site Ecological Importance	Interpretation in relation to proposed development activities
<b>Very High</b>	Avoidance mitigation – no destructive development activities should be considered. Offset mitigation not acceptable/not possible (i.e., last remaining populations of species, last remaining good condition patches of ecosystems/unique species assemblages). Destructive impacts for species/ecosystems where persistence target remains.
<b>High</b>	Avoidance mitigation wherever possible. Minimisation mitigation – changes to project infrastructure design to limit the amount of habitat impacted, limited development activities of low impact acceptable. Offset mitigation may be required for high impact activities.
<b>Medium</b>	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
<b>Low</b>	Minimisation and restoration mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable followed by appropriate restoration activities.
<b>Very Low</b>	Minimisation mitigation – development activities of medium to high impact acceptable and restoration activities may not be required.

The SEI evaluated for each taxon can be combined into a single multi-taxon evaluation of SEI for the assessment area. Either a combination of the maximum SEI for each receptor should be applied, or the SEI may be evaluated only once per receptor but for all necessary taxa simultaneously. For the latter, justification of the SEI for each receptor is based on the criteria that conforms to the highest CI and FI, and the lowest RR across all taxa.

## 6 Impact Assessment

No preliminary layout was available for consideration for the scoping level impact assessment. The descriptions below are based on professional experience for the area, in light of the proposed development.

### 6.1 Terrestrial Impact Assessment

Anthropogenic activities drive habitat destruction causing displacement of fauna and flora and possibly direct mortality. Land clearing destroys local wildlife habitat and can lead to the loss of local breeding grounds, nesting sites and wildlife movement corridors such as rivers, streams and drainage lines, or other locally important features. The removal of natural vegetation may reduce the habitat available for fauna species and may reduce animal populations and species compositions within the area.

The terrestrial habitat expected in the project area consists of Musina Mopane Bushveld, which is dominated by *Colophospermum mopane* but is also known to be home to amongst other three protected trees *Adansonia digitata*, *Sclerocarya birrea* subsp. *Caffra* and *Boscia albitrunca*. The habitat appears in still mostly a natural state and from a desktop perspective does represent Musina Mopane Bushveld. The project area is classified as ESA1 and falls within the Vhembe Biosphere Reserve. The general area is known to support a number of SCCs especially avifauna species such as the White Backed Vulture (*Gyps africanus*). Based on the desktop assessment information it can be said that majority of the project area will have a moderate sensitivity rating.

**Table 6-1 Scoping evaluation table summarising the impacts identified to terrestrial biodiversity**

Impact Biodiversity loss/disturbance			
Issue	Nature of Impact	Extent of Impact	No-Go Areas
<b>Destruction, fragmentation and degradation of habitats and ecosystems</b>	<u>Direct impacts:</u> » Disturbance / degradation / loss to vegetation and habitats » Ecological corridors are disrupted » Habitat fragmentation <u>Indirect impacts:</u> » Erosion risk increases » Fire risk increases » Increase in invasive alien species	Regional	Water resources and buffer area (15m)
<b>Spread and/or establishment of alien and/or invasive species</b>	<u>Direct impacts:</u> » Loss of vegetation and habitat due to increase in alien species <u>Indirect impacts:</u> » Creation of infrastructure suitable for breeding activities of alien and/or invasive species » Spreading of potentially dangerous diseases due to invasive and pest species	Regional	None identified at this stage
<b>Direct mortality of fauna</b>	<u>Direct impacts:</u> » Loss of SCC species » Loss of fauna diversity <u>Indirect impacts:</u> » Loss of diversity and species composition in the area. » Possible impact on the food chain	Regional/International	None identified at this stage

<b>Reduced dispersal/migration of fauna</b>	<u>Direct impacts:</u> » Loss of genetic diversity » Isolation of species and groups leading to inbreeding <u>Indirect impacts:</u> » Reduced seed dispersal » Loss of ecosystem services	Regional/National	None identified at this stage
<b>Environmental pollution due to water runoff, spills from vehicles and erosion</b>	<u>Direct impacts:</u> » Pollution in watercourses and the surrounding environment » Faunal mortality (direct and indirectly) <u>Indirect impacts:</u> » Ground water pollution » Loss of ecosystem services	Regional	None identified at this stage
<b>Disruption/alteration of ecological life cycles (breeding, migration, feeding) due to noise, dust, heat radiation and light pollution.</b>	<u>Direct impacts:</u> » Disruption/alteration of ecological life cycles due to noise » Reduced pollination and growth of vegetation due to dust » Faunal mortality due to light pollution (nocturnal species becoming more visible to predators) » Heat radiation could lead to the displacement of species <u>Indirect impacts:</u> » Loss of ecosystem services	Regional	None identified at this stage
<b>Staff and others interacting directly with fauna (potentially dangerous) or poaching of animals</b>	<u>Direct impacts:</u> » Loss of SCCs or TOPS species <u>Indirect impacts:</u> » Loss of ecosystem service » Loss of genetic diversity	Regional	None identified at this stage
<b>Description of expected significance of impact</b>			
» The expected post-mitigation risk significance for the project is expected to be medium.			
<b>Gaps in knowledge &amp; recommendations for further study</b>			
» This is completed at a desktop level only. » Identification and descriptions of habitats. » Identification of the Site Ecological Importance. » Location and identification of SCCs as well as in the case of fauna their location of the nests/dens. » Determine a suitable buffer width for the identified features.			
<b>Recommendations with regards to general field surveys</b>			
» Field surveys to prioritise the development areas, but also consider the 500 m PAOI. » Fieldwork to be undertaken during the wet season period. » Avifauna assessment field work to be conducted over two seasons to ensure migratory species are considered.			

## 7 Conclusion

Based on the desktop assessment it can be said that the project area is moderately sensitive with a moderate-high likelihood of species of conservation concern occurring. This assumption is based on the ESA1, Vhembe Biosphere Reserve, likely high numbers of protected trees in the Musina Mopane Bushveld vegetation type and known occurrence of fauna SCCs found in and around the project area.

The expectant anthropogenic activities are likely to drive habitat destruction causing displacement of fauna and flora and possibly even direct mortality. Land clearing destroys local wildlife habitat and can lead to the loss of local breeding grounds, nesting sites and wildlife movement corridors such as rivers, streams and drainage lines, or other locally important features. The removal of natural vegetation may reduce the habitat available for fauna species and may reduce animal populations and species compositions within the area.

## 8 References

- Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J & de Villiers, M.S. (Eds). 2014. Atlas and Red List of Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Suricata 1. South African Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.
- BirdLife International. 2016a. *Afrotis afra*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016: e.T22691975A93331501. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-3.RLTS.T22691975A93331501.en>.
- BGIS (Biodiversity GIS). (2017). <http://bgis.sanbi.org/>
- BODATSA-POSA. (2021). Plants of South Africa - an online checklist. POSA ver. 3.0. <http://newposa.sanbi.org/>.
- Boycott, R. and Bourquin, R. 2000. The Southern African Tortoise Book – A Guide to Southern African Tortoises, Terrapins and Turtles. Revised Edition. Hilton. 228 pages.
- Branch, W.R. (1998). Field Guide to Snakes and Other Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town.
- Du Preez, L. & Carruthers, V. (2009) A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.
- Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF). 2005. A practical field procedure for identification and delineation of wetlands and riparian areas. Pretoria: Department of Water Affairs and Forestry.
- EWT. (2016). Mammal Red List 2016. [www.ewt.org.za](http://www.ewt.org.za)
- Fish, L., Mashau, A.C., Moeaha, M.J. & Nembudani, M.T. (2015). Identification Guide to Southern African Grasses: An Identification Manual with Keys, Descriptions, and Distributions. SANBI, Pretoria.
- IUCN. (2021). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. [www.iucnredlist.org](http://www.iucnredlist.org)
- Johnson, S. & Bytebier, B. (2015). Orchids of South Africa: A Field Guide. Struik publishers, Cape Town.
- Kotze, D.C., Marneweck, G.C., Batchelor, A.L., Lindley, D.C. & Collins, N.B. (2009). A Technique for rapidly assessing ecosystem services supplied by wetlands. Mondi Wetland Project.
- Land Type Survey Staff. (1972 - 2006). Land Types of South Africa: Digital Map (1:250 000 Scale) and Soil Inventory Databases. Pretoria: ARC-Institute for Soil, Climate, and Water.
- Macfarlane DM and Bredin IP. 2017. Part 1: technical manual. Buffer zone guidelines for wetlands, rivers and estuaries
- Macfarlane, D.M., Bredin, I.P., Adams, J.B., Zungu, M.M., Bate, G.C., Dickens, C.W.S. (2014). Preliminary guideline for the determination of buffer zones for rivers, wetlands and estuaries. Final Consolidated Report. WRC Report No TT 610/14, Water Research Commission, Pretoria.
- Macfarlane, D.M., Dickens, J. & Von Hase, F. (2009). Development of a methodology to determine the appropriate buffer zone width and type for developments associated with wetlands, watercourses and estuaries Deliverable 1: Literature Review. INR Report No: 400/09.
- Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.). 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelizia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South African.
- Mucina, L., Rutherford, M.C. & Powrie, L.W. (Eds.). 2007. Vegetation map of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. 1:1 000 000 scale sheet maps. 2nd ed. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Nel JL, Murray KM, Maherry AM, Petersen CP, Roux DJ, Driver A, Hill L, Van Deventer H, Funke N, Swartz ER, Smith-Adao LB, Mbona N, Downsborough L and Nienaber S. 2011. Technical Report for the National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas project. WRC Report No. K5/1801.

Ollis DJ, Snaddon CD, Job NM, and Mbona N. 2013. Classification System for Wetlands and other Aquatic Ecosystems in South Africa. User Manual: Inland Systems. SANBI Biodiversity Series 22. South African Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Raimonde, D. (2009). Red list of South African Plants. SANBI, Pretoria.

Rountree, M.W. and Kotze, D.M. 2013. Manual for the Rapid Ecological Reserve Determination of Inland Wetlands (Version 2.0). Joint Department of Water Affairs/Water Research Commission Study. Report No 1788/1/12. Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

SADAP (South Africa Protected Areas Database) and SACAD (South Africa Conservation Areas Database) (2021). <http://egis.environment.gov.za>

SANBI. 2013. Grasslands Ecosystem Guidelines: landscape interpretation for planners and managers. Compiled by Cadman, M., de Villiers, C., Lechmere-Oertel, R. and D. McCulloch. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. 139 pages.

SANBI-BGIS. 2017. Technical guidelines for CBA Maps: Guidelines for developing a map of Critical Biodiversity Areas & Ecological Support Areas using systematic biodiversity planning.

Skowno, A.L., Raimondo, D.C., Poole, C.J., Fizzotti, B. & Slingsby, J.A. (eds.). 2019. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 Technical Report Volume 1: Terrestrial Realm. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Smith, B. (2006). The Farming Handbook. Netherlands & South Africa: University of KwaZulu-Natal Press & CTA.

Soil Classification Working Group. (1991). Soil Classification A Taxonomic system for South Africa. Pretoria: The Department of Agricultural Development.

Soil Classification Working Group. (2018). Soil Classification A Taxonomic system for South Africa. Pretoria: The Department of Agricultural Development.

Van Deventer, H., Smith-Adao, L., Collins, N.B., Grenfell, M., Grundling, A., Grundling, P-L., Impson, D., Job, N., Lötter, M., Ollis, D., Petersen, C., Scherman, P., Sieben, E., Snaddon, K., Tererai, F. and Van der Colff D. 2019. *South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: Technical Report*. Volume 2b: Inland Aquatic (Freshwater) Realm. CSIR report number CSIR/NRE/ECOS/IR/2019/0004/A. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria. <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12143/6230>.

Van Deventer, H., Smith-Adao, L., Mbona, N., Petersen, C., Skowno, A., Collins, N.B., Grenfell, M., Job, N., Lötter, M., Ollis, D., Scherman, P., Sieben, E. & Snaddon, K. 2018. South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018: Technical Report. Volume 2a: South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE). Version 3, final released on 3 October 2019. Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI): Pretoria, South Africa.



## 9 Appendix Items

### 9.1 Appendix A – Specialist Declaration of Independence

I, Lindi Steyn, declare that:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations, and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan, or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.



Lindi Steyn

Biodiversity Specialist

The Biodiversity Company

April 2022