Draft Basic Assessment Report

for

EB SCHULENBURG CC

REF No.

Prepared by:

Bucandi Environmental Solutions



Project Manager: Hélen Prinsloo (*Pr.Sci.Nat.*) Reg. No. 400108/11 (SACNASP)

August 2021

Table of contents

| 1. | Introduction and background | . 1 |
|----|--|-----|
| | 1.1 Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 Details of the project proponent | |
| | 1.3 Details of Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) | |
| | 1.4 Details of specialists | |
| | 1.4 Details of specialists | _ |
| 2. | Location of proposed activity | 2 |
| 3. | | |
| ٠. | | _ |
| | 3.1 Listed activities triggered | 2 |
| | 3.2 Description of activity | . 3 |
| | 3.3 National Environmental Management Act | . 3 |
| | | |
| 4. | Need and desirability of the project | . 4 |
| | 4.4 No ad for an arction of the facility | 4 |
| | 4.1 Need for operation of the facility | |
| | 4.2 Preferred location | . 5 |
| 5. | Project alternatives | 5 |
| ٠. | 1 10/000 (11/01/1000) | Ü |
| | 5.1 Property or location alternatives | . 5 |
| | 5.2 Activity alternatives | . 5 |
| | 5.3 Design of layout alternatives | 6 |
| | 5.4 Technology alternatives | |
| | 5.5 Operational alternatives | |
| | 5.6 The "no-go" activity alternative | |
| | | |
| 6. | Public participation process | 6 |
| 7. | Environmental issues and possible impacts | 6 |
| | 740 | _ |
| | 7.1 Geographical and Bio-physical environment | 6 |
| | 7.1.1 Gradient of the site | 6 |
| | 7.1.2 Location in landscape | |
| | 7.1.3 Groundwater, soil and geological stability | |
| | 7.1.4 Groundcover | |
| | 7.1.4 Grodridover | . , |
| | 7.2 Human environment | . 7 |
| | | |
| | 7.2.1 Cultural heritage | |
| | 7.2.2 Socio-economic environment | |
| | 7.2.3. Waste | _ |
| | 7.2.4 Liquid effluent1 | 10 |
| | 7.2.5 Atmospheric emissions1 | 10 |
| | 7.2.6. Noise1 | 11 |
| | 7.2.7 Water use1 | 11 |
| | 7.2.8 Energy efficiency1 | 11 |

| 8. | Potential impacts | . 13 |
|----|--|------|
| | 8.1 Full description of impacts and risks identified | . 13 |
| | 8.1.1 Activity alternative 1 – Construction of closed poultry houses (preferred activity) 8.1.2 Activity alternative 2 – Construction of open poultry houses | . 18 |
| | 8.2 Methodology of determining impacts | . 32 |
| | 8.5 Motivation for alternative selection | |
| | 8.6 Impact of activity on preferred location | |
| | 8.7 Description and assessment of each impact | |
| | 8.8 Summary of specialist reports | . 39 |
| 9. | Environmental impact statement | . 39 |
| | 9.1 Key findings of the environmental impact assessment | . 39 |
| | 9.2 Summary of the positive and negative impacts | . 40 |
| 10 |). Impact management objectives and outcomes | . 40 |
| | 10.1 Ecological environment | . 40 |
| | 10.2 Landforms and soils | |
| | 10.3 Surface water | |
| | 10.4 Groundwater | |
| | 10.5 Aesthetic environment: | |
| | 10.6 Noise | |
| | 10.7 Air quality | |
| 11 | Aspects for inclusion in authorisation | |
| 1 | . Aspects for industrin authorisation | . ¬¬ |
| | 11.1 Reasoned opinion | |
| | 11.2 Conditions that must be included in the authorisation | . 44 |
| 12 | 2. Appendices | . 45 |
| 13 | 3. Undertaking | . 45 |
| | | |

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1 Background

EB Schulenburg CC is construction of 8 poultry houses on Portion 9 & 10 of Farm Hakboslaagte 77 IP, situated in Lichtenburg District within Ditsobotla Local Municipality area. The proposed project triggers a Basic Assessment for certain listed activities under Listing 1 of NEMA (National Environmental Management Act, 1998). Bucandi Environmental Solutions (Bucandi) was requested by EB Schulenburg CC to conduct a Basic Assessment as part of the application for environmental authorisation.

1.2 Details of the project proponent

Company name: E. B. Schulenburg CC.

Physical address: Farm Cyferfontein

Coligny 2740

Postal address: PO Box 1078

Lichtenburg

2740

Contact person: Mr. André Schulenburg

Telephone number: 082 920 2479

Email address: hakbos@truenw.co.za

1.3 Details of Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP)

Company name: Bucandi Environmental Solutions

Reg. No: 2009/087537/23

Physical address: 23 Burger Street

Viljoenskroon

9520

Postal address: P. O. Box 317

Viljoenskroon

9520

Project coordinator: Hélen Prinsloo

Telephone number: 076 682 4369

Email address: helen@bucandi.co.za

Qualification: M. Tech (Nature Conservation)

Experience: 15 years
Bucandi Environmental Solutions

Affiliation: SACNASP *Pri.Sci.Nat* 400108/11

Assistant: Anton Louw

Telephone number: 076 422 3484

Email address: info@bucandi.co.za

Please see Appendix H for a copy of the Curriculum Vitae for the EAP.

1.4 Details of specialists

No specialists have been used for this project at this time.

2. LOCATION OF PROPOSED ACTIVITY

The study area is located 8.5km west of Coligny in the Northwest Province within the Ditsobotla Local Municipality and Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality (Appendix A). More specifically it is located on Portion 9 & 10 of Farm Hakboslaagte 77 IP at 26°21'13.3" S; 26°13'23.0" E (Appendix A). The N14 between Coligny (east) and Biesiesvlei (west) runs within 500m from the site with a farm road providing access to the site. See Appendix A for the locality map and layout plans.

| 21 digit Surveyor General code | T0IP0000000007700009 T0IP0000000007700010 |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Physical address and farm name | 9 & 10 of Farm Hakboslaagte 77 IP |
| GPS coordinates | 26°21'13.3" S; 26°13'23.0" E |

3. SCOPE OF ACTIVITY

3.1 Listed activities triggered

The proposed activity triggers the following Listed Activities in terms of **Listing Notice 1 of Government Notice No. R327** published in Government Gazette No. 40772 of **7 April 2017** under the National Environmental Management Act, Act 107 of 1998:

(ACTIVITY NO. 5) The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the concentration of (ii) more than 5 000 poultry per facility situated outside an urban area, excluding chicks younger than 20 days and (iv) more than 25 000 chicks younger than 20 days per facility situated outside an urban area.

(ACTIVITY NO. 28) Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional development where such land was used for agriculture, game farming, equestrian purposes or afforestation on or after 01 April 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare.

3.2 Description of activity

The activity will entail the construction of 8 environmentally controlled chicken broiler houses (135m x 15m each). Each house will have the capacity for 45 000 broilers. The entire site will be able to house up to 360 000 broiler chicks. The farm boundary is 129.47ha and the development site boundary is 59 661.59m2.

The project will entail the following:

- Earthworks and clearing of vegetation (agricultural lands) on the site for 8 poultry houses.
- Construction of 8 environmentally controlled chicken houses (135m x 15m) with capacity for 45 000 birds per house, totalling approximately 360 000 birds.
- A silo and water tank will be erected next to each house.
- Powerlines will be connected to each house from the current Eskom point at the existing site.
- Pipelines will be connected to each house from the borehole at the existing site.
- The site will be fenced off with a 1.8m high electric fence.

3.3 National Environmental Management Act

| Title of legislation, policy or guideline: | Administering authority: | Date: |
|--|---|-------|
| National Environmental Management Act, Act No. 107 of 1998. Listing 1 of regulation 327 | Department of Economic Development, Environment, Conservation and Tourism | 1998 |
| promulgated under Chapter 5 of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA, Act 107 of 1998) in Government Gazette 40772. Listed activity 5(ii) & (iv) & 28 (ii) | | 1998 |
| National Water Act, Act No. 36 of 1998. | Department of Water Affairs | 1998 |
| Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, Act No. 43 of 1983 | North West Department: Agriculture and Rural Development | 1983 |
| Air Quality Act, Act No. 39 of 2004. Reg. 983 published on 22 November 2013 in GN 37054 | Ngaka Modiri Molema District Municipality | 2004 |
| | South African Heritage | 2013 |
| Heritage Act, Act No 25 of 1999. | Resources Act | |
| Meat Safety Act, Act 40 of 2000 | Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries | 1999 |

| National Environmental Management: Waste Act, Act No. 59 of 2008 Listed Activities Reg. 921 published on 29 November 2013 in GN 37083 | Department of Economic Development, Environment, Conservation and Tourism | 2000 |
|--|---|------|
| Occupational Health and Safety Act, Act 85 of 1993 | Department of Labour | 1993 |
| Noise regulation, 2003 | Department of Health and Safety | |
| Environmental regulations for | Department of Labour | 2003 |
| workplaces, 1987 | Department of Labour | 1987 |
| Facility regulations,1990 | Department of Labour | 1990 |
| General Health and Safety Regulations, 1986 | Department of Labour | 1986 |
| Electrical Installation Regulations, 2009. | Department of Labour | 1900 |
| Electrical Machinery Regulations, 1988. | Department of Labour | 2009 |
| Construction Regulations, 2014 | | 1988 |
| | | 2014 |

4. NEED AND DESIRABILITY OF THE PROJECT

4.1 Need for operation of the facility

The facility will provide increased food availability; in particular poultry products. Poultry is highly desirable as a food item across all income groups in South Africa. Internationally production of poultry has increased significantly over the past few years in line with increased consumer demands for production of poultry and expectations are that consumer demand will continue to increase. Due to overcrowding of present facilities, lack of additional facilities and therefore the potential for increased biological risk, suppliers have embarked on a process of establishing new facilities in order to overcome these problems and ensure the long term sustainability and viability of the industry. The socio-economic value of the project Bucandi Environmental Solutions

will indirectly have a positive impact on the immediate area as well as cater for the increasing demand for poultry in the Northwest Province and nationally. At least 40 temporary employment opportunities will be created during the development and construction phase. At least 10 additional people will be permanently employed during the operational phase of the activity. Contractors are employed during the construction phase and additional employment opportunities are therefore created.

4.2 Preferred location

The N14 between Coligny (east) and Biesiesvlei (west) runs within 500m from the site with a farm road providing access to the site. It is located within and area that is classified as Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Area 1. The preferred site is currently being used as agricultural fields. The slope on the site is roughly 1:24 (see complete site description in Section 5.1).

5. PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

5.1 Property or location alternatives

See Appendix B for site photographs and Appendix C for the site plans.

Site alternative 1 (preferred site)

The N14 between Coligny (east) and Biesiesvlei (west) runs within 500m from the site with a farm road providing access to the site. It is located within and area that is classified as Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Area 1. The preferred site is currently being used as agricultural fields. The slope on the site is roughly 1:24 (see complete site description in Section 5.1). S1 is flat and the costs and impacts of earthworks before construction will be minimal. An Eskom point and borehole are located on the site. The site is located relatively high and stays dry year-round.

5.2 Activity alternatives

Preferred activity

Eight environmentally controlled, closed chicken houses (approximately 135m X 15m) will be constructed with a capacity for 45 000 birds per house. Each house will have a Heatco oven controlling the temperature inside the house. A water tank and a silo for food will be constructed next to each house with underground pipelines connecting the water tanks with the existing borehole. A 1.8m electric fence with an entry gate (with biosecurity control measures) will be constructed around the site. Electricity lines will be connected from the existing site to the water tanks and all the houses.

Activity alternative

The site lay-out will be exactly as for A1, but the chicken houses will be open and not environmentally controlled. The differences between closed houses (A1) and open houses (A2) are as follows:

| A1 | _ | Environmentally | | |
|--------|------|-----------------|--------|-----|
| contro | lled | · | A2 - O | pen |

| Isolation value (R) | 12 | 1.5 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| Heat capacity | 1 100kW | 1 500kW |
| Chickens/m ² | 14 | 13 |
| Energy saving | 20% | 0% |

5.3 Design of layout alternatives

Apart from the site alternatives, no design or layout alternatives are being considered.

5.4 Technology alternatives

No technology alternatives were considered for the proposed project.

5.5 Operational alternatives

No operational alternatives were considered for the proposed project.

5.6 The "no-go" activity alternative

The area is currently being used partially as part of the infrastructure for the existing facility and partially for the production of maize. If the proposed activity does not go ahead, the site will continue to be used for agriculture.

6. Public participation process

Please see Appendix D1 for a copy of the newspaper notice that was placed in "Beeld" on 26 February 2021.

Please see Appendix D2 for a photo of the notices placed at the site.

Please see Appendix D3 for the notifications that was sent to all the neighbours as well as the Local and District Municipalities and Department of Water and Sanitation on 26 February 2021.

Please see Appendix D4 for the Comments and Responses Report.

No comments were received from the I&APs.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES AND POSSIBLE IMPACTS

7.1 Geographical and Bio-physical environment

7.1.1 Gradient of the site

Alternative S1:

| Flat | 1:50 – | 1:20 - | 1:15 – | 1:10 - | 1:7,5 – 1:5 | Steeper |
|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|----------|
| | 1:20 | 1:15 | 1:10 | 1:7,5 | | than 1:5 |
| | | | | | | |

7.1.2 Location in landscape

Alternative S1:

| Ridgeline | Plateau | Side slope | Closed | Open | Plain | Undulating | Dune | Sea- |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|--------|--------|-------|------------|------|-------|
| | $\sqrt{}$ | of | valley | valley | | plain/low | | front |
| | | hill/mountain | | | | hills | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

7.1.3 Groundwater, soil and geological stability

| Alternative | S1: | Alternativ |
|-------------|-----------------------------|--|
| YES | NO √ | YES |
| | YES YES YES YES YES YES YES | $\begin{array}{c c} & \\ \text{YES} & \text{NO} \\ \\ \end{array}$ |

| Alternative S | S2 (if any): |
|---------------|--------------|
| YES | NO |

7.1.4 Groundcover

Alternative S1 (preferred site):

| Natural veld - good condition | Natural veld with scattered aliens | Natural veld with heavy alien infestation | | Gardens |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Sport field | Cultivated land | Paved surface | Building or other structure | Bare soil |

7.2 Human environment

7.2.1 Cultural heritage

There are no artefacts of cultural or heritage importance at the site. If any artefacts are discovered construction will seize and a Heritage Specialist will be contacted.

7.2.2 Socio-economic environment

Activity alternative 1 (preferred activity)

What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion? R 15 840 000 What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as R 22 000 000 a result of the activity? Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure? YES NO Χ YES Is the activity a public amenity? NO Χ 50 How many new employment opportunities will be created in the development phase of the activity? What is the expected value of the employment opportunities during R 550 000 the development phase? What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged 95% individuals? How many permanent new employment opportunities will be 20 created during the operational phase of the activity? What is the expected current value of the employment R 6 500 000 opportunities during the first 10 years? What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged 90% individuals?

Activity alternative 2

What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion? R 7 920 000 What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a R 22 000 000 result of the activity? Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure? YES NO Χ YES Is the activity a public amenity? NO Χ How many new employment opportunities will be created in the 50 development phase of the activity? R 550 000 What is the expected value of the employment opportunities during the development phase? What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged 95% individuals? 20 How many permanent new employment opportunities will be created during the operational phase of the activity? What is the expected current value of the employment opportunities R 6 500 000 during the first 10 years? What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged 90% individuals?

No-go alternative

What is the expected capital value of the activity on completion? What is the expected yearly income that will be generated by or as a result of the activity?

Will the activity contribute to service infrastructure?

| R0 | |
|-----|----|
| R0 | |
| | |
| YES | NO |
| | Χ |

| Is the activity a public amenity? | YES | NO X |
|---|-----|---------|
| How many new employment opportunities will be created in the development phase of the activity? | 0 | |
| What is the expected value of the employment opportunities during the development phase? | R0 | |
| What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals? | | 0% |
| How many permanent new employment opportunities will be created during the operational phase of the activity? | 0 | |
| What is the expected current value of the employment opportunities during the first 10 years? | R0 | |
| What percentage of this will accrue to previously disadvantaged individuals? | | 0% |

7.2.3. Waste

Activity alternative 1 (Preferred alternative)

Construction Phase

An estimated 9m³ of solid waste will be produced per month during the Construction Phase. Waste is expected to be limited to packaging materials (shrink wrap, cardboard) and litter generated by the construction staff. It will also contain leftover building materials such as cement or concrete, and PVC panelling. All the leftover building materials will be removed by the building contractor. Waste will be recycled as far as possible. Non-recyclable waste will be sorted into different types and disposed of at a suitably licensed waste disposal facility.

Construction phase solid waste will be disposed of at the nearest licensed waste disposal site. Waste considered unsuitable for municipal waste disposal sites will be disposed of at a suitably licensed hazardous waste disposal facility (e.g. WasteTech).

Operational Phase

An estimated 170m³ of solid waste will be produced per month during the Operational Phase. Solid waste will be disposed of at the nearest licensed waste disposal. Waste considered unsuitable for municipal waste disposal sites will be disposed of at a suitably licensed hazardous waste disposal facility (e.g. WasteTech). Any general waste such as litter generated by staff will be disposed of at the nearest licensed waste disposal site.

Manure Removal

Approximately 320 tons of chicken manure will be produced monthly. After completion of each cycle manure is removed and used on the same farm as fertilizer.

Disposal of Mortalities

Approximately 2 560 dead chickens will be produced monthly. The carcasses are removed on a daily basis and collected by a contractor.

Activity alternative 2

Construction Phase

An estimated 9m³ of solid waste will be produced per month during the Construction Phase. Waste is expected to be limited to packaging materials (shrink wrap, cardboard) and litter generated by the construction staff. It will also contain leftover building materials such as

cement or concrete, and PVC panelling. All the leftover building materials will be removed by the building contractor. Waste will be recycled as far as possible. Non-recyclable waste will be sorted into different types and disposed of at a suitably licensed waste disposal facility.

Construction phase solid waste will be disposed of at the nearest licensed waste disposal site. Waste considered unsuitable for municipal waste disposal sites will be disposed of at a suitably licensed hazardous waste disposal facility (e.g. WasteTech).

Operational Phase

An estimated 170m³ of solid waste will be produced per month during the Operational Phase. Solid waste will be disposed of at the nearest licensed waste disposal. Waste considered unsuitable for municipal waste disposal sites will be disposed of at a suitably licensed hazardous waste disposal facility (e.g. WasteTech). Any general waste such as litter generated by staff will be disposed of at the nearest licensed waste disposal site.

Manure Removal

Approximately 320 tons of chicken manure will be produced monthly. After completion of each cycle manure is removed and used on the same farm as fertilizer.

Disposal of Mortalities

Approximately 12 000 dead chickens will be produced monthly. The carcasses are removed on a daily basis and collected by a contractor.

No-go alternative

No solid waste will be produced.

7.2.4 Liquid effluent

Activity alternative 1 (Preferred alternative)

After the completion of each cycle, the chickens and all manure are removed. After removal, all surfaces are sprayed with foam based detergent that is left to evaporate. Upon completion of this process, the floors of the houses are washed with water only that will be allowed to soak into the soil surrounding the facility.

Activity alternative 2

After the completion of each cycle, the chickens and all manure are removed. After removal, all surfaces are sprayed with foam based detergent that is left to evaporate. Upon completion of this process, the floors of the houses are washed with water only that will be allowed to soak into the soil surrounding the facility.

No-go alternative

No liquid effluent will be produced.

7.2.5 Atmospheric emissions

Activity alternative 1 (Preferred alternative)

Since the houses will be closed and environmentally controlled, the amounts of dust, ammonia and odours released into the atmosphere will be minimal.

Activity alternative 2

If this activity alternative is chosen, open houses will be used and relatively high amounts of dust, ammonia and odours will be released into the atmosphere, being of some discomfort to neighbours.

No-go alternative

No liquid effluent will be produced.

7.2.6. Noise

Activity alternative 1 (Preferred alternative)

The fans used inside the chicken houses will generate low levels of noise. Noise levels (at existing chicken broiler houses) were measured 100m away from the fans. In both cases the levels read 58db. Low levels of noise will be produced by the chickens in the houses as well.

Activity alternative 2

Low levels of noise will be produced by the chickens in the houses.

No-go alternative

Low levels of noise will be produced during cultivation of the fields.

7.2.7 Water use

Activity alternative 1 (Preferred alternative)

The activity will use approximately 2 160 000 litres of water per month. This will be sourced from groundwater through existing boreholes.

Activity alternative 2

The activity will use approximately 2 160 000 litres of water per month. This will be sourced from groundwater through existing boreholes.

No-go alternative

The activity will not use water.

7.2.8 Energy efficiency

Activity alternative 1 (Preferred alternative)

Because of a higher isolation (R) value (12 for closed houses versus 1.5 for open houses) the use of fans for cooling in summer are much lower in closed houses than in open houses. During winter, closed houses also retain heat much longer and need substantially less heating than open houses. Energy efficient fans are also used. All the houses are fitted with a day light switch in order for outside lights only to be on when absolutely necessary. All lights inside the house make use of energy saving light bulbs.

Activity alternative 2

Open houses have a much lower isolation (R) value (12 for closed houses versus 1.5 for open houses), but canvas "walls" are opened or closed to regulated the temperature inside the houses to a degree. During winter, open houses have a poor heat retention rate and more energy is needed for heating. All the houses are fitted with a day light switch in order for outside lights only to be on when absolutely necessary. All lights inside the house make use of energy saving light bulbs.

No-go alternative

The activity will not use electricity.

8. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

8.1 Full description of impacts and risks identified

Impacts and risks identified including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts and the degree to which these impacts can be mitigated

8.1.1 Activity alternative 1 – Construction of closed poultry houses (preferred activity)

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|--|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Air pollution on a local level. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Dust control by means of watering if necessary. Vehicles to be regularly serviced and well-tuned. Operations to be undertaken during working hours only. |
| Contamination of soils, surface water and groundwater due to leakages from vehicles entering and exiting the site. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Low | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Machinery must be properly maintained at all times. Servicing of machinery must take |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|--|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | place only in specific demarcated and protected areas. Measures must be taken for the proper disposal of oils, grease, oil filters, rags, etc. |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and general waste management. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Proper ablution facilities must be provided i.e. chemical toilets at appropriate locations on site if necessary or existing facilities must be used. Workers must be made aware of the risk of soil water contamination. Domestic waste must be disposed of in appropriate containers, and removed to the nearest municipal wastedisposal site as part of existing waste |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented management system. |
|---|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure disposal. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: The manure is removed on a regular basis and used on the farm as fertilizer. Manure should be handled according to Odour Management Plan (Appendix F2), Waste Management Plan (Appendix F3) and Biosecurity Plan (Appendix F4). |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective disposal carcasses. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: The carcasses are removed on a daily basis and collected by a contractor. |
| Soil compaction and | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Low | Negative | This impact is not |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| loss of fertility. | | | | | | | | reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Appropriate measures must be taken to reduce the risk of erosion from unprotected slopes i.e. diversion berms, ponding pools, and not exceeding angles of repose of stockpiled material. All unprotected slopes must be rehabilitated concurrent with construction. |
| Increased fire risk | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Low | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Cooking and heating fires permitted only in designated areas with appropriate safety measures. Adequate firefighting equipment |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | must be available, as prescribed by the relevant safety standards and legislation. |
| Disturbance of fauna | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Only small animals occur in this area e.g. small rodents and reptiles. The area is surrounded by similar habitat and fauna is expected to move voluntarily to surrounding areas. No fauna found on the site will be killed. |
| Safety on the construction site | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | High | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Access to the construction site to be controlled at all times. |
| Degradation of aesthetics | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | High | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be mitigated and minimised. |

E. B. Schulenburg CC.

Draft Basic Assessment Report

| | | | | | | Significance | | Reversibility/Mitigation |
|--|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | prior to | Status of Impact | Measures to be |
| | | | | | | mitigation | | Implemented |
| | | | | | | | | If needed, an additional |
| | | | | | | | | line of trees will be |
| | | | | | | | | planted to minimise |
| | | | | | | | | visual impact. |
| The construction and operation of the poultry facility will provide employment opportunities to the local communities. | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | High | Positive | No mitigation suggested. |

8.1.2 Activity alternative 2 – Construction of open poultry houses

| | | | | | | Significance | | | Reversibility/Mi | itigation | |
|------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|----|------------------|------------------|-----------|----|
| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | prior | to | Status of Impact | Measures | to | be |
| | | | | | | mitigation | | | Implemented | | |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|--|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| Air pollution on a local level. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Dust control by means of watering if necessary. Vehicles to be regularly serviced and well-tuned. Operations to be undertaken during working hours only. |
| Contamination of soils, surface water and groundwater due to leakages from vehicles entering and exiting the site. | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Low | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Machinery must be properly maintained at all times. Servicing of machinery must take place only in specific demarcated and protected areas. Measures must be taken for the proper disposal of oils, grease, oil filters, rags, etc. |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|--|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and general waste management. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Proper ablution facilities must be provided i.e. chemical toilets at appropriate locations on site if necessary or existing facilities must be used. Workers must be made aware of the risk of soil water contamination. Domestic waste must be disposed of in appropriate containers, and removed to the Nearest municipal wastedisposal site as part of existing waste management system. |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: The manure is removed |

| | | | | | | Significance | | Reversibility/Mitigation |
|------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | prior to | Status of Impact | Measures to be |
| | | | | | | mitigation | | Implemented |
| disposal. | | | | | | | | on a regular basis |
| | | | | | | | | and used on the farm |
| | | | | | | | | as fertilizer. |
| | | | | | | | | Manure should be |
| | | | | | | | | handled according to |
| | | | | | | | | Odour Management Plan |
| | | | | | | | | (Appendix F2), Waste |
| | | | | | | | | Management Plan |
| | | | | | | | | (Appendix F3) and |
| | | | | | | | | Biosecurity Plan |
| | | | | | | | | (Appendix F4). |
| Pollution of soil, | | | | | | | | This impact is not |
| surface water and | | | | | | | | reversible, but can be |
| groundwater due to | | | | | | | | completely avoided by |
| ineffective disposal | | | | | | NA - altitude | NI - marthus | the following measures: |
| carcasses. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | The carcasses are |
| | | | | | | | | removed on a daily basis |
| | | | | | | | | and collected by a |
| | | | | | | | | contractor. |
| Soil compaction and | | | | | | | | This impact is not |
| loss of fertility. | | | | | | | | reversible, but can be |
| 1200 0. 10.11111, | | | | | | | | completely avoided by |
| | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Low | Negative | the following measures: |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Appropriate measures |
| | | | | | | | | must be taken to reduce |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | the risk of erosion from unprotected slopes i.e. diversion berms, ponding pools, and not exceeding angles of repose of stockpiled material. All unprotected slopes must be rehabilitated concurrent with construction. |
| Increased fire risk | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | Low | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Cooking and heating fires permitted only in designated areas with appropriate safety measures. Adequate firefighting equipment must be available, as prescribed by the relevant safety standards and legislation. |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| Disturbance of fauna | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Medium | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Only small animals occur in this area e.g. small rodents and reptiles. The area is surrounded by similar habitat and fauna is expected to move voluntarily to surrounding areas. No fauna found on the site will be killed. |
| Safety on the construction site | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | High | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by the following measures: Access to the construction site to be controlled at all times. |
| Degradation of aesthetics | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | High | Negative | This impact is not reversible, but can be mitigated and minimised. If needed, an additional line of trees will be planted to minimise visual impact. |

| | | | | | | Significance | | Reversibility/Mitigation | |
|--|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | prior to | Status of Impact | Measures to | be |
| | | | | | | mitigation | | Implemented | |
| The construction and operation of the poultry facility will provide employment opportunities to the local communities. | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 5 | High | Positive | No mitigation suggeste | ed. |

8.1.3 "No-go" alternative – Agriculture

| | | | | | | Significance | | Reversibility/Mitigation |
|--------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | prior to | Status of Impact | Measures to be |
| | | | | | | mitigation | | Implemented |
| Air pollution on a local | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will |
| level. | | | | | | | | take place, only |
| | | | | | | | | agriculture that already |
| | | | | | | | | exists on the site. |
| | | | | | | | | No mitigation |
| | | | | | | | | recommended. |
| Contamination of | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will |
| soils, surface water | | | | | | | | take place, only |
| and groundwater due | | | | | | | | agriculture that already |
| to leakages from | | | | | | | | exists on the site. |
| vehicles entering and | | | | | | | | No mitigation |
| exiting the site. | | | | | | | | recommended. |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|--|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and general waste management. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will take place, only agriculture that already exists on the site. No mitigation recommended. |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure disposal. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will take place, only agriculture that already exists on the site. No mitigation recommended. |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective disposal carcasses. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will take place, only agriculture that already exists on the site. No mitigation recommended. |
| Soil compaction and loss of fertility. | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will take place, only agriculture that already |

| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | Significance prior to mitigation | Status of Impact | Reversibility/Mitigation Measures to be Implemented |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | exists on the site. No mitigation recommended. |
| Increased fire risk | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will take place, only agriculture that already exists on the site. No mitigation recommended. |
| Disturbance of fauna | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will take place, only agriculture that already exists on the site. No mitigation recommended. |
| Safety on the construction site | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will take place, only agriculture that already exists on the site. No mitigation recommended. |

| | | | | | | Significance | | Reversibility/Mitigation |
|------------------------|--------|----------|----------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Specific Impact & Risk | Extent | Duration | Severity | Degree of Certainty | Probability | prior t | Status of Impact | Measures to be |
| | | | | | | mitigation | | Implemented |
| Degradation of | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | Low | Negative | No additional activity will |
| aesthetics | | | | | | | | take place, only |
| | | | | | | | | agriculture that already |
| | | | | | | | | exists on the site. |
| | | | | | | | | No mitigation |
| | | | | | | | | recommended. |

8.2 Methodology of determining impacts

- Various site visits were conducted by the EAP and information was gathered regarding the nature of the process and the baseline environment.
- Comments were gathered from I&APS in order to identify additional possible impacts that may have been overlooked.
- The significance of identified impacts were determined as follows:

• Extent

The extent of the impact refers to the spatial dimension to which an impact will be felt (i.e. site, study area, local, regional, or national scale). The criteria for rating the impact extent are described in more detail in Table 1.

Table 1: Extent of Impact

| Extent | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| Description | On site or the impact will be restricted to its immediate area | Or the impact will be | • | Or the impact will be felt on a Local, district | | | | | | |

• <u>Duration</u>

In order to accurately describe the impact it is necessary to understand the duration and persistence of an impact in the environment. The criteria for rating the duration of the impact is described in more detail in Table 2.

Table 2: Duration of Impact

| Duration | | | | | |
|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | Temporary | Short-term | Medium term | Long term | Permanent |
| | Or the impact will | Or the impact will | Or the impact will | Or the impact will | Or the impact will be |
| D | occur very | continue to occur for | continue to occur for | continue to occur for | continue until the |
| Description | sporadically | a period between 1 to | a period between 5 to | a period longer than | conclusion of activity |
| | or less than 1 year | 5 years from | 10 years from | 10 years from | |
| | from commencement | commencement of | commencement of | commencement of | |
| | of activity | activity | activity | activity | |

• Severity

A description must be given as to whether an impact is destructive, or benign. It determines whether the intensity of the impact on the natural environment or society is permanently, significantly changes its functionality, or slightly alters it. The mitigation potential must be determined for each impact. If limited information or expertise exists, estimates based on experience will be made. The criteria for rating the severity of the impact are described in more detail in Table 3.

Table 3: Severity of Impact

| Severity | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Description | Temporary impact easily reversible. Insignificant change or deterioration or disturbance Or improvement of natural and social environments | Moderate change or deterioration or | Medium term impact, which require substantial cost to mitigate. Potential to mitigate and potential to reverse impact Significant change or deterioration or disturbance Or improvement of natural and social environments | Long term impact High cost to mitigate Possible to mitigate Very significant change or deterioration or disturbance Or improvement of natural and social environments | Permanent impact Prohibitive cost to mitigate Little or no mechanism to mitigate Irreversible Disastrous change or deterioration or disturbance or improvement of natural and social environments |

• Degree of certainty

As with all studies it is not possible to be 100% certain of all facts and for this reason a standard "Degree of certainty" scale is used as discussed in Table 4.

Bucandi Environmental Solutions

Table 4: Degree of Certainty of Impact Occurrence

| Degree of Certainty | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| | Definite | Probable | Possible | Unsure | Unknown or the | | | | | |
| | Or more than 90% | Or between 70% and | Or between 40% and | Or less than 40% | consultant or specialist | | | | | |
| Description | sure of the fact or the | 90% sure of the fact | 70% sure of the fact | sure of a the fact or | believes an | | | | | |
| · | likelihood of the | or the likelihood of | or the likelihood of | the likelihood of the | assessment is not | | | | | |
| | impact occurring | the impact occurring | the impact occurring | impact occurring. | possible even with | | | | | |
| | | | | | additional research. | | | | | |

• Probability

The criteria used for rating the likelihood of impact occurrence are described in more detail in Table 5.

Table 5: Probability of Impact Occurrence

| Probability | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Rating | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | |
| | Impossible | Improbable | Probable | Highly probable | Definite | | | | | |
| | Or the impact will not | Or the possibility of | Or there is a | Or It is most likely | Or the impact will | | | | | |
| | occur | the impact occurring | possibility that the | that the impact will | take place regardless | | | | | |
| Description | | is very low | impact will occur, | occur at some stage, | of any prevention | | | | | |
| | | | provision must be | provision must be | plans and there can | | | | | |
| | | | provided | provided | only be relied on | | | | | |
| | | | | | mitigation measures | | | | | |
| | | | | | to contain the impact | | | | | |

Significance

Evaluating the significance of environmental impacts is a critical component of impact analysis. The matrix uses the consequence and the probability of the different activities and associated impacts to determine the significance of the impacts. Consequence is determined by the sum total of criteria like extent, duration and severity, degree of certainty of impact as well as compliance to applicable legislation. Values of 1-5 are assigned to each of the different criteria to determine the overall consequence, which is divided by 3 to give a criterion rating.

The overall consequence and probability rating are multiplied to give a final significance rating. The values as shown in the following table are then used to rank the significance. It must be said however that in the end, a subjective judging of an impact can still be done, but the reasons for doing so must be qualified. The matrix used to determine the significance of each of the identified impact in this study is shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Impact Significance Matrix

| Impact Significance Matrix | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Rating | Very Low | Low | Medium | High | Very High | |
| | 1-4 | 5-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25+ | |
| Description | There is little or no impact at all | Impact is of a low order and therefore likely to have little real effect In the case of adverse impacts: mitigation and or remedial activity is either easily achieved or little will be required, or both In the case of | substantial in relation to other impacts, which might take effect within the bounds of those which could occur In the case of | Impact is of substantial order within the bounds of impacts which could occur In the case of adverse impacts: mitigation and or remedial activity are feasible but difficult, expensive, time- consuming or | bounds of impacts which could occur In the case of adverse impacts: there is no possible mitigation and or remedial activity which could offset the | |

| beneficial | impacts, | both fea | asible | and | some com | bination |) | In t | he | case | of |
|--------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|
| alternative | means for | fairly easi | y possi | ible | In the | case | of | benef | icial | imp | acts, |
| achieving | this benefit | In the | case | of | beneficial | imp | acts, | there | is | no | real |
| are likely t | to be easier, | beneficial | imp | oacts: | other | means | of | altern | ative | | to |
| cheaper, | more | other i | means | of | achieving | this be | enefit | achie | ving tl | nis ber | nefit. |
| effective, | less time | achieving | this be | enefit | are feasil | ole but | they | | | | |
| consuming | g, or some | are abou | ıt equa | al in | are mo | re dif | ficult, | | | | |
| combination | on of these. | time, cost | , effort, | etc. | expensive | , | time- | | | | |
| | | | | | consumin | g or s | some | | | | ļ |
| | | | | | combination | on of the | ese. | | | | |

Table 7: How to Apply the Rating Scale

Consequence

Impact Significance = (Extent + Duration + Severity + Degree of Certainty)/3] X Probability

8.3 Summary of positive and negative impacts

| Specific impact or risk | Preferred activity (Activity alternative 1) | "No-go" alternative |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Air pollution on a local level. | Negative | No impact |
| Contamination of soils, surface water and groundwater due to leakages from vehicles entering and exiting the site. | Negative | Negative |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and | Negative | Negative |

| general waste management. Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure disposal. | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective disposal carcasses. | Negative | No impact |
| Soil compaction and loss of fertility. | Negative | No impact |
| Increased fire risk | Negative | No impact |
| Disturbance of fauna | Negative | No impact |
| Safety on the construction site | Negative | No impact |
| Degradation of aesthetics | Negative | Negative |
| The construction and operation of the poultry facility will provide employment opportunities to the local communities. | Positive | No impact |

8.4 Mitigation measures

| Specific impact or risk | Mitigation measures |
|--|--|
| Air pollution on a local level. | Dust control by means of watering if necessary. Vehicles to be regularly serviced and well-tuned. Operations to be undertaken during working hours only. |
| Contamination of soils, surface water and groundwater due to leakages from vehicles entering and exiting the site. | Machinery must be properly maintained at all times. Servicing of machinery must take place only in specific demarcated and protected areas. Measures must be taken for the proper disposal of oils, grease, oil filters, rags, etc. |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and general waste management. | Proper ablution facilities must be provided i.e. chemical toilets at appropriate locations on site if necessary or existing facilities must be used. Workers must be made aware of the risk of soil water contamination. Domestic waste must be disposed of in appropriate containers, and removed to the nearest municipal waste-disposal site as part of existing waste management system. |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure disposal. | The manure is removed on a regular basis and used on the farm as fertilizer. Manure should be handled according to Odour Management Plan (Appendix F2), Waste Management Plan (Appendix F3) and Biosecurity Plan (Appendix F4). |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective disposal carcasses. | The carcasses are removed on a daily basis and collected by a contractor. |
| Soil compaction and loss of fertility. | Appropriate measures must be taken to reduce the risk of erosion from unprotected slopes i.e. diversion berms, ponding pools, and not exceeding angles of repose of stockpiled material. All unprotected slopes must be rehabilitated concurrent with construction. |
| Increased fire risk | Cooking and heating fires permitted only in designated areas with appropriate safety measures. Adequate firefighting equipment must be available, as prescribed by the relevant safety standards and legislation. |
| Disturbance of fauna | Only small animals occur in this area e.g. small rodents and reptiles. The area is surrounded by similar habitat and fauna is expected to move voluntarily to surrounding areas. No fauna found on the site will be killed. |
| Safety on the construction site | Access to the construction site to be controlled at all times. |
| Degradation of aesthetics | If needed, an additional line of trees will be planted to |

| | minimise visual impact. |
|--|--------------------------|
| The construction and operation of the poultry facility will provide employment opportunities to the local communities. | No mitigation suggested. |

8.5 Motivation for alternative selection

The proposed activity alternative was selected as it will have minimal impact on the environment after mitigation measures have been implemented.

8.6 Impact of activity on preferred location

The table below provides a description of the significance of each identified activity on the preferred site location throughout the life of the proposed project.

| Specific risk or activity | Significance before mitigation | Significance after mitigation |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Air pollution on a local level. | Low | Low |
| Contamination of soils, surface water and groundwater due to leakages from vehicles entering and exiting the site. | Low | Low |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and general waste management. | Medium | Low |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure disposal. | Medium | Low |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective disposal carcasses. | Medium | Low |
| Soil compaction and loss of fertility. | Low | Low |
| Increased fire risk | Low | Low |
| Disturbance of fauna | Medium | Low |
| Safety on the construction site | High | Low |
| Degradation of aesthetics | High | Low |
| The construction and operation of the poultry facility will provide employment opportunities to the local communities. | High | High |

8.7 Description and assessment of each impact

1. **Impact:** Air pollution on a local level.

This is not a cumulative impact.

Nature, significance and consequences:

Noise, dust and emissions due to excavation, stockpiling and transport of building material and removal of rubble may cause air pollution.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss | Degree of avoidance, management or mitigation |
|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Study area | Short- term | Probable | Not reversible | No | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. |

2. **Impact:** Contamination of soils, surface water and groundwater due to leakages from vehicles entering and exiting the site.

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

Contamination of surface and ground water can be caused by operation and servicing of light earthmoving and transport machinery, particularly oil spills and leakage.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss | Degree of avoidance, management or mitigation |
|------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Site specific | Temporary | Probable | Not reversible | No | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. |

3. **Impact:** Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and general waste management.

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

Uncontrolled sewage and domestic waste disposal by workers may cause surface and ground water pollution as well as unpleasant odours and possible health risks.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss | Degree of avoidance, management or mitigation |
|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Local | Medium term | Probable | Not reversible | No | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. |

4. **Impact:** Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure disposal.

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

The pig manure is an impact of only low adverse significance since it is a natural product of farming practice. As a resource it exerts a positive impact.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss | Degree of avoidance, management or mitigation |
|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Local | Medium term | Probable | Not reversible | No | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. |

5. **Impact:** Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective disposal carcasses.

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

Carcasses of dead pigs pose serious health, and soil and water pollution risks.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss | Degree of avoidance, management or mitigation |
|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Local | Medium term | Probable | Not reversible | No | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. |

6. **Impact:** Soil compaction and loss of fertility.

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

Soil compaction, loss of fertility and increased erosion from unprotected slopes associated with trenches and foundations, as a result of excavation and earthmoving. This will be aggravated in the event of heavy rain.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable | Degree of avoidance, |
|----------|-----------|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| ZXIOIII | Baradon | 1 Tobability | - tovorolollinty | loss | management or mitigation |
| Site | Temporary | Probable | Not | No | This impact is not |
| specific | | | reversible | | reversible, but can be |
| | | | | | completely avoided by |
| | | | | | implementing mitigation |
| | | | | | measures. |
| | | | | | |

7. **Impact:** Increased fire risk.

This is not a cumulative impact
Bucandi Environmental Solutions

Nature, significance and consequences:

Uncontrolled cooking fires could cause veld fires. This would harm fauna and flora and pose a safety risk, particularly concerning vehicles and the adjacent land users.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable | Degree of avoidance, |
|----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| LXIGIII | Duration | Tobability | Reversibility | loss | management or mitigation |
| Site | Temporary | Probable | Not | No | This impact is not |
| specific | | | reversible | | reversible, but can be |
| | | | | | completely avoided by |
| | | | | | implementing mitigation |
| | | | | | measures. |
| | | | | | |

8. **Impact:** Disturbance of fauna. This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

Temporary disturbance of fauna, becoming permanent as operational phase commences. This impact is unavoidable, but of low significance since there are no endangered species present.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss | Degree of avoidance, management or mitigation |
|--------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---|
| Local | Medium term | Probable | Not reversible | No | This impact is not reversible, but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. |

9. **Impact:** Safety on the construction site.

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

Injuries to residents and construction workers can be cause as a result of construction activities.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable | Degree of avoidance, |
|----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| LXtCHt | Daration | Trobability | reversionity | loss | management or mitigation |
| Regional | Permanent | Probable | Not | Yes | This impact is not |
| | | | reversible | | reversible, but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. |

10. Impact: Degradation of aesthetics

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

Visual impacts may occur during the construction and operational phase as a result of vehicle exhausts, dust, bare unprotected areas, the possibility of littering and the presence of pig units.

| Exter | nt Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable | Degree of avoidance, | |
|-------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| Exter | Duration | | | loss | management or mitigation | |
| Loca | l Permanent | Probable | Not | Yes | This impact is not reversible, | |
| | | | reversible | | but can be completely avoided by implementing mitigation measures. | |

11. Impact: Economic benefit to the local communities.

This is not a cumulative impact

Nature, significance and consequences:

The construction and operation of the poultry facility will provide employment opportunities to the local communities.

| Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss | Degree of avoidance, management or mitigation |
|--------|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|---|
| Local | Long term | Probable | Not reversible | No | No avoidance or mitigation required. |

8.8 Summary of specialist reports

No specialist studies were conducted during the Basic Assessment Process.

9. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

9.1 Key findings of the environmental impact assessment

It is important that all the mitigation measures identified in Section 9 and the EMPr are implemented in order to prevent environmental impacts. If the mitigation measures are implemented and monitored, the impact of the proposed activity on the environment will be minimal.

See Appendix A for a layout plan containing all the proposed activities and indicating any areas that has to be avoided.

9.2 Summary of the positive and negative impacts

| Specific impact or risk | Preferred activity (Activity alternative 1) | "No-go" alternative |
|--|---|---------------------|
| Air pollution on a local level. | Negative | No impact |
| Contamination of soils, surface water and groundwater due to leakages from vehicles entering and exiting the site. | Negative | Negative |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective management of sewage and general waste management. | Negative | Negative |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective manure disposal. | | |
| Pollution of soil, surface water and groundwater due to ineffective disposal carcasses. | Negative | No impact |
| Soil compaction and loss of fertility. | Negative | No impact |
| Increased fire risk | Negative | No impact |
| Disturbance of fauna | Negative | No impact |
| Safety on the construction site | Negative | No impact |
| Degradation of aesthetics | Negative | Negative |
| The construction and operation of the poultry facility will provide employment opportunities to the local communities. | Positive | No impact |

10. IMPACT MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES AND OUTCOMES

10.1 Ecological environment

• Injudicious and unnecessary destruction of natural vegetation should be avoided at all costs.

- Plant species of conservation significance should be conserved as far as possible by means of:
 - o Avoidance of unnecessary disturbance or destruction of their habitat.
 - If possible, developments that jeopardize any specimens or large populations of red data or protected species should be planned in such a way as to avoid the specimens or populations.
- The eradication of declared weed and invader plant populations in the study area is strongly advised. A management plan and proper follow-up strategy for the prevention of the spread or establishment of new populations of such species should be developed and enforced.
- Where necessary, temporary water control structures should be put in place to minimize erosion and to create a favourable habitat for the establishment of vegetation during and after rehabilitation/landscaping.
- In the event of any protected or Declining species being recorded within the approved development site, permission for the removal of such species should be obtained from the Permitting Office of DEDECT, and the appropriate in situ and / or ex situ conservation measures should be developed and implemented with the approval of the DEDECT conservation authorities. Where feasible, protected or Declining species can be translocated to degraded or untransformed parts of the study area which provide potentially suitable habitat, but such translocations will have to be carried out in a way that ensures no ecological degradation of the host habitat occurs, and will have to be evaluated by an ecologist for each species and each potential translocation area. Alternatively, protected or Declining species can be rescued and donated to appropriate conservation and research institutions such as the Walter Sisulu National Botanical Garden (Roodepoort) or the Pretoria National Botanical Garden of SANBI.
- Where possible, development should avoid habitat identified with high ecological sensitivity.
- According to the AIS regulations all declared alien weeds must be effectively controlled or eradicated.

10.2 Landforms and soils

- Drip trays must be used when refuelling and servicing construction vehicles or equipment. A spill "sock" should permanently be placed within the drip tray and replaced as and when required. Drip trays must be placed underneath stationary construction vehicles and the hazardous waste (e.g. fuel, oils etc.) taken to the nearest approved oil refiner or fuel recycling point for recycling.
- The existing road infrastructure as indicated in the land use map should be used, where possible.
- Care must be taken that unnecessary clearance of vegetation does not take place. The footprint of disturbance outside the construction area must be kept as small as possible, and must be rehabilitated as soon as possible.
- Regular clean-up programs must be applied at and around the site to prevent litter and to ensure proper housekeeping practices.

10.3 Surface water

- Regular clean-up programs must be applied at and around the site to prevent litter and to ensure proper housekeeping practices.
- In order to contain oil and fuel spills, drip pans or PVC lining shall be provided for drip pans. Spill kits be readily available on site and in every vehicle.
- Existing roads / tracks should be used wherever possible.
- Any new tracks must be pre-approved by the ECO and landowner. It should be ensured
 that steep slopes and sensitive environments (e.g. watercourses) are avoided during the
 planning of the new routes.
- To prevent storm water damage, the increase in storm water run-off resulting from construction activities must be estimated and the drainage system assessed accordingly, to prevent downstream impacts on water resources (including but not limited to: scouring, sedimentation, erosion and undercutting).
- Water should be used sparingly and it should be ensured that no water is wasted e.g. regular inspection of pipes to ensure that no leaks occur.
- Water tanks should be regularly inspected to ensure that no leaks occur.
- Regular clean-up programs must be applied at and around the site to prevent litter and to ensure proper housekeeping practices.

10.4 Groundwater

 Drip trays must be used when refuelling and servicing construction vehicles or equipment. A spill "sock" should permanently be placed within the drip tray and replaced as and when required. Drip trays must be placed underneath stationary construction vehicles and the hazardous waste (e.g. fuel, oils etc.) taken to the nearest approved oil refiner or fuel recycling point for recycling.

10.5 Aesthetic environment:

- Care must be taken that unnecessary clearance of vegetation does not take place. The footprint of disturbance outside the construction area must be kept as small as possible, and must be rehabilitated as soon as possible.
- The rehabilitation and soil management must be done in accordance with the guidelines provided in the EMPr.
- Regular clean-up programs must be applied at and around the site to prevent litter and to ensure proper housekeeping practices.
- Access to the site should be pre-arranged with the landowner. Only authorised personnel may be permitted on site.
- The construction site must be positioned and managed in an ecologically sound manner, minimising the potential negative impacts on the surrounding environment.
- It should be ensured that the personnel comply with speed restriction of 20 km per hour within the site boundaries to reduce the generation of dust.
- Disturbance should be limited to the minimum and agreed upon footprint, and no vehicle turning, parking or access, or other form of disturbance e.g. vegetation clearance, soil compaction or excavation should be allowed outside these areas.

- Any damage to public or private property, including roads, storm water systems, fences, gates, buildings and other structures, pipes, lines and other utilities or infrastructure and movable properties, should be repaired, replaced or otherwise compensated for as agreed with the affected person.
- The applicant must arrange for a discussion session with the surrounding access route users with regard to the maintenance of the access road.
- A complaints register should be maintained to log complaints by landowners, occupants and other Interested and Affected Parties, and response to such complaints.
- The complaints register should be provided to DEDECT on an annual basis and at any point in time if requested by the DEDECT.
- Care must be taken that unnecessary clearance of vegetation does not take place. The footprint of disturbance outside the construction area must be kept as small as possible, and must be rehabilitated as soon as possible.
- Alien invasive plants should be removed from all disturbed and subsequently rehabilitated areas.

10.6 Noise

- Vehicles and construction equipment must be well serviced so that they do not produce excessive noise.
- Construction should only take place between 08h00 and 17h00 from Monday to Friday.
- It should be ensured that the personnel comply with speed restrictions of 20 km per hour within the site boundaries to reduce the generation of noise.
- Contractors must comply with provincial noise regulations. The construction machinery must be fitted with noise mufflers and be maintained properly.
- Construction should only take place between 08h00 and 17h00 from Monday to Friday.

10.7 Air quality

- It should be ensured that the personnel comply with speed restriction of 20 km per hour within the site boundaries to reduce the generation of dust.
- Dust suppression through the spraying of water should be practiced.

10.8 Health, safety and security hazards

- The site must be properly demarcated and the proposed access routes approved by the ECO and landowner prior to the commencing of the construction activities.
- No open fires are allowed outside designated cooking areas.
- Site supervisors must ensure that the staff remains within the demarcated construction areas and access routes at all times.
- No smoking is to be allowed in the vicinity of fuel dispensing areas (smoking is only to be allowed in designated "safe" areas).
- Adequate firefighting equipment must be available onsite at all times and at least one person present on the site must be trained in the use thereof.
- Labourers and contract workers (if any) should be accompanied by a responsible supervisor at all times.

- Strict access control must be exercised to ensure that no unauthorised persons enter the property.
- The workers must wear Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) to ensure their safety during construction.
- Workers may not receive any visitors while they are within the property.
- Workers should not be allowed to keep or use alcohol, recreational drugs, traditional or modern weapons, snares or otherwise dangerous objects on-site, or to enter the construction area while on the influence of alcohol or drugs.
- Disturbance should be limited to the minimum and agreed upon footprint, and no vehicle turning, parking or access, or other form of disturbance e.g. vegetation clearance, soil compaction or excavation should be allowed outside these areas.
- It must be ensured by the relevant contractor that all the a list of all the relevant emergency telephone numbers and contact persons are kept up to date and posted at relevant locations at the site.
- A complaints register should be maintained to log complaints by landowners, occupants and other Interested and Affected Parties, and response to such complaints. The complaints register should be provided to READ on an annual basis and at any point in time if requested by the READ.

11. ASPECTS FOR INCLUSION IN AUTHORISATION

11.1 Reasoned opinion

The final site plans (Appendix C) was created taking into account all the concerns raised by the public, specialist reports and impact assessment. If this map is followed, and if proper management and mitigation is implemented and rehabilitation is done and monitored, the impact can be kept relative low.

It is recommended that the activity should be authorised.

11.2 Conditions that must be included in the authorisation

Mitigation and management measures as stipulated in Sections 9 and 11 should be implemented.

The rehabilitation and soil management must be done in accordance with the guidelines provided in the EMPr.

Environmental audits should be conducted every two months during the Construction Phase and every six months during the Operational Phase.

Rehabilitation monitoring should be conducted according to the EMPr.

Rehabilitation should be ongoing while operation is taking place.

12. APPENDICES

Appendix A: Maps

Appendix B: Site photographs

Appendix C: Site plans

Appendix D: Public participation

Appendix E: EMPr

Appendix F: Additional information Appendix G: Copy of existing ROD

Appendix H: CV of EAP

13. UNDERTAKING

The EAP herewith confirms

- a) the correctness of the information provided in the reports \boxtimes
- b) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and I&APS;
- c) the inclusion of inputs and recommendations from the specialist reports where relevant; \boxtimes and
- d) that the information provided by the EAP to interested and affected parties and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by interested and affected parties are correctly reflected herein.

Signature

Environmental Assessment Practitioner

Bucandi Environmental Solutions

Signed at Viljoenskroon on this 15th day of August 2021