# PROPOSED SHONGWENI LANDFILL

AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

JANUARY 2016

PUBLIC



### PROPOSED SHONGWENI LANDFILL AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Durban Solid Waste** 

Type of document (version) Public

Project no: 46250 Date: January 2016

WSP | Parsons Brinckerhoff

WSP House 1 Langford Road, Westville, 3629

Tel: +27 (31) 240 8860 Fax: +27 (31) 240 8861 www.wspgroup.com www.pbworld.com



# QUALITY MANAGEMENT

<b>ISSUE/REVISION</b>	FIRST ISSUE	<b>REVISION 1</b>	<b>REVISION 2</b>	<b>REVISION 3</b>
Remarks		Client comments incorporated		
Date	November 2015	November 2015		
Prepared by	Lisa Ramsay	Lisa Ramsay		
Signature	Fansay	Hanisay		
Checked by	Vladimir Jovic			
Signature	Julling-1			
Authorised by	Hilary Konigkramer			
Signature	Huighran			
Project number	46250			
Report number	1			
File reference	46250/AQMP			

## PRODUCTION TEAM

#### CLIENT

Senior Manager: Engineer

Logan Moodley

Deputy Head: Plant and Engineering

John Parkin

#### WSP | PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF

Air Quality Specialist

Lisa Ramsay

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION4
2	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK
2.1	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY
2.2	DUST FALLOUT
2.3	ODOUR
2.4	EXTRACTION OF LANDFILL GAS
3	RECOMMENDATIONS
3.1	DUST MANAGEMENT7
3.2	ODOUR MANAGEMENT9
3.3	MONITORING9
3.4	MANAGEMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH AND NUISANCE IMPACTS 1
4	SUMMARY1

# TABLES

TABLE 1: APPLICABLE NATIONAL AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS (NAAQS)...5

## FIGURES

# **INTRODUCTION**

Durban Solid Waste (DSW) is intending to construct and operate a landfill site in Shongweni, to be known as the Shongweni South Landfill. In 2002, DSW appointed WSP Walmsley (Pty) Ltd as the independent consultant responsible for compiling the environmental impact assessment (EIA). WSP requested that Margot Saner and Associates conduct an Air Quality Impact Assessment (AQIA) for the proposed landfill site. The aims of this AQIA were to:

- $\rightarrow$  Determine baseline air quality;
- Select appropriate environmental benchmarks in the absence of national air quality standards at the time;
- → Identify local sensitive receptors;
- → Assess potential impacts on each receptor;
- Determine buffer zones around the proposed site.

The AQIA considered the cumulative air quality impacts of the proposed landfill, an adjacent existing landfill site, a furniture factory, mushroom farm, composting facility, vehicular emissions and sugar cane fires. This Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) is compiled in line with the findings of this original AQIA in consideration of current legal requirements.

### **REGULATORY FRAMEWORK**

The National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act (Act 39 of 2004) (NEM:AQA) came into effect on 11 September 2005. A staggered promulgation that iteratively replaced sections of the Atmospheric Pollution Prevention Act 45 of 1965 (APPA) saw APPA fully repealed by 1 April 2010.

Key features of the current legislation include:

- $\rightarrow$  A decentralisation of air quality management responsibilities;
- → The identification and quantification of significant emission sources that then need to be addressed;
- $\rightarrow$  The development of ambient air quality targets as goals for driving emission reductions;
- The use of source-based (command-and-control) measures in addition to alternative measures, including market incentives and disincentives, voluntary programmes, and education and awareness;
- → The promotion of cost-optimized mitigation and management measures;
- → Air quality management planning by authorities, and emission management planning by sources; and
- → Access to information and public consultation.

#### 2.1 AMBIENT AIR QUALITY

NEM:AQA introduced a management system based on national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) and corresponding emission limits to achieve them. Two significant regulations stemming from NEM:AQA have been published as Government Notices, namely:

- → National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (Government Notice 1210, Government Gazette 32816, 2009)<sup>1</sup>.
- → National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004) List of Activities Which Result in Atmospheric Emissions Which Have or May Have a Significant Detrimental Effect on the Environment, Including Health, Social Conditions, Economic Conditions, Ecological Conditions or Cultural Heritage (Government Notice 248, Government Gazette 33064, 2010)<sup>2</sup>.

The NAAQS relevant to this assessment are presented in **Table 1** below. Particulate matter<sup>3</sup> is the key concern associated with landfill sites, due to the potential for wind entrained dust from exposed surfaces and fine wastes. Nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) and carbon monoxide (CO) are relevant during flaring operations. Benzene is a component of landfill gas. Landfill gas is passively emitted to atmosphere and can be collected and vented or flared (as will be the case at the proposed Shongweni South Landfill).

Particulate Matter (PM)							
Averaging	Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )		Allowable Exceedences		Compliance Date		
Period	<b>PM</b> 10	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	<b>PM</b> 10	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	
Annual	50	25	0	0	Immediate – 31/12/2014	Immediate – 31/12/2015	
	40	20	0	0	01/01/ 2015	01/01/16 – 31/12/2029	
Daily	120	65	4	4	Immediate – 31/12/2014	Immediate – 31/12/2015	
	75	40	4	4	01/01/2015	01/01/16 – 31/12/2029	
Nitrogen dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )							
Averaging Period	ng Concentration (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Allowable Exceedences		Compliance Date				
Hourly	20	200 88		38	Imm	ediate	
Annual	40		0		Immediate		
Carbon monoxide (CO)							
Averaging Period	Concentrat	ion (mg/m³)	Allowable Exceedences		Compliance Date		
Hourly	3	0	88		Immediate		
8-hour	1	0	11		Immediate		
Benzene (C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>6</sub> )							
Averaging Period	Concentrat	ion (µg/m³)	Allowable E	Exceedences	Complia	ince Date	
Annual	1	0	0		Immediate – 31/12/2014		
Annual	5		0		01/01/2015		

#### Table 1: Applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Subsequent standards for PM<sub>2.5</sub> were published in Government Notice 486, Government Gazette 35463, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Amendments were published in Government Notice 893, Government Gazette 37054, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PM<sub>10</sub> comprises the component with an aerodynamic diameter less than 10 microns and PM<sub>2.5</sub> comprises the component with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 microns.

#### 2.2 DUST FALLOUT

Acceptable dust fallout rates for both residential and non-residential areas (**Table 2**) were provided in the *National Dust Control Regulations* (Government Notice 827, Government Gazette 36974, 2013).

Tab	e 2:	Acceptable	dust fa	allout rates
-----	------	------------	---------	--------------

Restriction Areas	30 Day Average Dust Fallout (mg/m <sup>2</sup> /day)	Permitted Frequency of Exceedence	Reference Method
Residential Area	D < 600	Two within a year, not sequential months	ASTM D1739
Non-Residential Area	600 < D < 1,200	Two within a year, not sequential months	ASTM D1739

Any person who conducts an activity that might give rise to dust in quantities that may exceed the standards above must, upon receipt of a written notice from the air quality officer (AQO), implement a dustfall monitoring program and submit a dustfall report to the AQO with a period of time specified by the AQO. A schedule for submission of subsequent reports (annually or more frequently) will be approved by the AQO. If the above standards are exceeded, and within three months of submission of the dust monitoring report, the facility must develop and submit a revised dust management plan to the AQO for approval. Such a plan must:

- $\rightarrow$  Identify all possible sources of dust within the affected site;
- $\rightarrow$  Detail the best practicable measures to be undertaken to mitigate dust emissions;
- Detail an implementation schedule;
- $\rightarrow$  Identify the line management responsible for implementation;
- → Incorporate the dust fallout monitoring plan; and
- → Establish a register for recording all complaints received regarding dustfall, and for recording follow up actions and responses to the complainants.

#### 2.3 ODOUR

In line with Section 35 of NEMAQA:

- → The Minister or MEC<sup>4</sup> may prescribe measures for the control of offensive odours emanating from the specified activities.
- → The occupier of any premises must take all reasonable steps to prevent the emission of any offensive odour caused by any activity on such premises.

Odour performance criteria guide decision makers on odour management and can be used to assess whether odour control and management techniques need to be implemented. Since specific measures for the assessment and control of offensive odours in South Africa are yet to be promulgated, international guidance generally is sought in odour assessments.

#### 2.4 EXTRACTION OF LANDFILL GAS

Section 7: National Norms and Standards of The National Environmental Management: Waste Act 59 of 2008 (NEM:WA) makes provision for the National Standards for the Extraction, Flaring or Recovery of Landfill Gas (Government Notice 924, Government Gazette 37086, 2013). The standards control the extraction, flaring or recovery of landfill gas to minimise potential harmful impacts on the environment.

<sup>4</sup> Member of the Executive Council

Any extraction of landfill gas at the Shongweni South Landfill would need to comply with regulations set out in **Government Notice 924**. With respect to air quality, the following subsections are relevant:

- $\rightarrow$  Section 5: Requirements during planning or preparation phase:
  - (1)(a) Identify and list all environmental aspects or hazards of the proposed project and associated potential negative impacts or risks on the biological and socio-economic environments.
  - (1)(b) Evaluate the level of significance of such impacts or risks.
- $\rightarrow$  Section 10: Monitoring and reporting requirements:
  - (6) Air quality monitoring should be conducted throughout the landfill gas flaring period at the perimeter of the site as a safety precaution.
  - (10) The (required) annual environmental performance audit should include the following:
    - (e) Confirmation of compliance with the Air Quality Plan of the project.
- → Section 11: General requirements:
  - (3) Gaseous emissions from the venting, flaring or electricity generation process must comply with the requirements of NEM:AQA.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

In line with findings of the AQIA and updated legal requirements, the following are recommended for the management of air quality at the proposed Shongweni South Landfill.

#### 3.1 DUST MANAGEMENT

#### **CONSTRUCTION PHASE**

- $\rightarrow$  Shade cloth along the fenceline to limit the transfer of dust offsite.
- → Use of side screens during excavation activities.
- $\rightarrow$  Reduction where feasible of the drop heights of excavated materials.
- → Excavation work should be limited during high wind conditions.
- → Wetting of stockpiles of excavated materials. Regular light wetting tends to be most effective at limiting dust.
- → Daily sweeping of paved roads onsite (or more regularly if dust concerns persist).
- → Regular wetting of unpaved roads using a vehicle water spray. Regular light wetting tends to be most effective at limiting dust.
- → A speed limit onsite of 20 km/hr must be strictly maintained.
- → Wheel wetting of vehicles leaving the site can limit the transfer of dusty materials offsite.
- → Any complaints from the public must be logged on a complaints register, which must also document the prevailing weather conditions, likely source of dust and corrective actions.

#### **OPERATIONAL PHASE**

- A vegetation barrier along the fenceline must be established to limit the transfer of dust offsite. Until shrubs and trees are established, shade cloth along the fenceline offers an effective barrier.
- → Use of side screens during excavation activities.
- $\rightarrow$  Reduction where feasible of the drop heights of excavated materials.
- → Excavation work should be limited during high wind conditions.

- → Wetting of stockpiles of excavated materials. Regular light wetting tends to be most effective at limiting dust.
- $\rightarrow$  Daily covering of the active face.
- $\rightarrow$  Immediate covering of completed cells using a capping layer.
- $\rightarrow$  Fine, friable cover materials must be avoided.
- $\rightarrow$  The size of the exposed face must be limited as much as possible.
- $\rightarrow$  Dusty waste should be delivered in sealed bags with pre-notification of delivery.
- $\rightarrow$  Wetting of dusty wastes if bags are opened for disposal.
- → All arrivals must be inspected to ensure that dusty wastes are delivered with pre-notification and disposed of appropriately.
- → Dusty wastes must not be disposed of during high wind conditions or during high particulate events, e.g. sugarcane fire episodes.
- $\rightarrow$  Dusty wastes can be pre-treated with a water spray.
- $\rightarrow$  No waste burning onsite.
- $\rightarrow$  Roads must be paved where feasible, where not feasible dust suppression to be implemented.
- $\rightarrow$  Daily sweeping of paved roads onsite (or more regularly if dust concerns persist).
- → Regular wetting of unpaved roads using a vehicle water spray. Regular light wetting tends to be most effective at limiting dust.
- $\rightarrow$  A speed limit onsite of 20 km/hr must be strictly maintained.
- $\rightarrow$  Wheel wetting of vehicles leaving the site can limit the transfer of dusty materials offsite.
- → Any complaints from the public must be logged on a complaints register, which must also document the prevailing weather conditions, likely source of dust and corrective actions.
- → Dust fallout monitoring reports must be submitted to the AQO within the timeframes stipulated (annually or more frequently).
- → If non-compliances are recorded, within three months of the submission of the dust fallout monitoring report, the dust management plan must be revised and submitted to the AQO for approval.

#### CLOSURE

- $\rightarrow$  Capping and vegetating of all cells.
- $\rightarrow$  Maintenance of a vegetated fenceline.
- → No burning onsite.
- $\rightarrow$  Sweeping of paved roads in daily use (daily sweeping or more regularly if dust concerns persist).
- → Wetting of any unpaved roads in daily use using a vehicle water spray. Regular light wetting tends to be most effective at limiting dust.
- → A speed limit onsite of 20 km/hr must be strictly maintained.
- $\rightarrow$  Wheel wetting of vehicles leaving the site can limit the transfer of dusty materials offsite.
- → Any complaints from the public must be logged on a complaints register, which must also document the prevailing weather conditions, likely source of dust and corrective actions.
- → Dust fallout monitoring reports must be submitted to the AQO within the timeframes stipulated by the AQO (annually or more frequently).
- If non-compliances are recorded, within three months of the submission of the dust fallout monitoring report, the dust management plan must be revised and submitted to the AQO for approval.

#### 3.2 ODOUR MANAGEMENT

#### **OPERATIONAL PHASE**

- → Vegetation (shrubs and trees) along the fenceline will limit the transfer of odorous dust offsite. Until a vegetation screen is established, shade cloth along the fenceline offers an effective barrier.
- → Efficient timetabling of arrivals and clear instructions to drivers will ensure prompt offloading of waste.
- → Particularly odorous wastes should be delivered with pre-notification. These wastes should be treated with odour neutralisers and promptly covered.
- → The provision of adequate covering of offloaded waste. At the close of each day or earlier, the active face must be covered.
- → Immediate covering of completed cells using a capping layer.
- → Effective leachate management<sup>5</sup>.
- $\rightarrow$  Effective landfill gas management (including collection and combustion)<sup>6</sup>.
- → Any complaints from the public must be logged on a complaints register, which must also document the prevailing weather conditions, likely source of odour and corrective actions.

#### **CLOSURE**

- $\rightarrow$  Capping and vegetating of all cells.
- $\rightarrow$  Maintenance of a vegetated fenceline.
- → Effective leachate management post closure remains essential to limit odour onsite.
- → Effective landfill gas management (including collection and combustion) post closure remains essential to limit odour onsite.
- → Any complaints from the public must be logged on a complaints register, which must also document the prevailing weather conditions, likely source of odour and corrective actions.

#### 3.3 MONITORING

- → Continuous (12 months per annum) dust fallout monitoring at four fenceline and at four community receptor locations (**Table 3** and **Figure 1**).
  - One baseline (pre-construction) monitoring campaign should be conducted.
  - Once the site is operational, if monitoring results are consistently below relevant standards (Table 2), monitoring frequency can be revised to seasonal or biannual and only at the site boundary.
- → Seasonal benzene and hydrogen sulphide ( $H_2S$ ) monitoring (one week sampling period, four times annually) at four fenceline and at four community receptor locations (**Table 3** and **Figure 1**).
  - One baseline (pre-construction) monitoring campaign should be conducted.
  - Once the site is operational, if monitoring results are consistently below relevant standards<sup>7</sup>, monitoring frequency can be revised to biannual or annual and only at the site boundary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The collection and treatment systems for leachate onsite have been fully integrated with site operations as described in Sections 6.8 and 6.9 of the Final Environmental Impact Report (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The collection and treatment systems for landfill gas have been fully integrated with site operations as described in in Section 6.10 of the Final Environmental Impact Report (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This would be the annual standard for benzene (5 µg/m<sup>3</sup>) in the absence of a short term standard, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency's odour threshold for H<sub>2</sub>S (11 µg/m<sup>3</sup>).

- 10
- → In line with Government Notice 924 of 2013, fenceline monitoring should take place during a landfill gas flaring event to assess the ambient impacts of flaring.
  - It is recommended that continuous CO and NO<sub>2</sub> takes place during a landfill gas flaring event at the fenceline locations F1 to F4. A portable measuring device should measure at each point for 10-20 minute intervals.
- $\rightarrow$  Installation of an onsite weather station.
  - Onsite meteorological data will guide interpretation of ambient monitoring results.
  - Onsite meteorological data should be documented at the time of complaints.
  - Critical onsite wind speeds can be identified at which excavation work and disposal should cease to limit dust emissions.
  - Onsite meteorological data will provide inputs for further atmospheric dispersion modelling assessments.

Tabl	e 3: Recommended	fenceline and	community	monitoring	points
------	------------------	---------------	-----------	------------	--------

Label	Category	Approximate distance from centre of site (km)	Approximate direction from centre of site
F1	Fenceline	0.873	NW
F2	Fenceline	1.091	NE
F3	Fenceline	0.959	SE
F4	Fenceline	0.794	SW
C1	Community	4.660	NW
C2	Community	2.856	Ν
C3	Community	3.786	NE
C4	Community	1.021	SE



Figure 1: Recommended fenceline and community air quality and dust fallout monitoring points

#### 3.4 MANAGEMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH AND NUISANCE IMPACTS

- → The original AQIA (2002) recommends that the residents in the informal settlement to the southeast of the proposed site are relocated. This was on the basis of the AERMOD benzene model outputs and the application of a 1:200,000 cancer risk (0.65 µg/m<sup>3</sup>). In line with the current NAAQS, a standard of 5 µg/m<sup>3</sup> should be applied. This would result in a significant contraction of the health buffer proposed in the original AQIA. In light of this, <u>relocation is not deemed necessary</u> unless exceedences of the NAAQS are recorded in the community once the site is operational.
- → The dust buffer in the original AQIA was based on a 25 µg/m<sup>3</sup> PM<sub>10</sub> concentration. In line with the current NAAQS, a 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> buffer should be considered for an assessment of health impacts, but this cannot be directly correlated with a dust nuisance impact.
  - Dust fallout modelling is recommended to delineate a nuisance dust buffer. This is lacking from the original AQIA.

# 4

## SUMMARY

This document provides recommendations for air quality management at the proposed Shongweni South Landfill in line with findings from a 2002 AQIA, as interpreted with current legal requirements on:

- → ambient air quality;
- → dust fallout;
- odour; and
- → the extraction of landfill gas.

Specific recommendations are made to limit offsite impacts of dust and odour. Furthermore the installation of an onsite weather station and a fenceline and community air quality monitoring network are specified. Monitoring results will indicate whether sufficient mechanisms are in place to maintain odour and dust at acceptable levels, while onsite meteorological data allows for an interpretation of the source of any odour or dust events. It is recommended that the monitoring of dust, benzene and odour occurs before any community relocations are considered in line with regulatory changes subsequent to the original AQIA.