

THE TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR THE GLENCORE ENERGY CONVERSION PROJECT

Sekhukhune District, Limpopo Province

January 2022



Prepared by: The Biodiversity Company Cell: +27 81 319 1225 Fax: +27 86 527 1965 info@thebiodiversitycompany.com www.thebiodiversitycompany.com

Table of Contents

1	l	ntro	duc	tion	1
	1.1		Ter	ms of Reference	1
2	S	Spe	ciali	st Details	4
3	k	Key	Leg	islative Requirements	5
4	Ν	Vetł	node	5	6
	4.1		Des	sktop Assessment	6
	4	1.1. ⁻	1	Ecologically Important Landscape Features	6
	4	4.1.2	2	Desktop Flora Assessment	7
	4.2	2	Bota	anical Assessment	7
	4.3	5	Flor	ristic Analysis	8
	4.4	Ļ	Lim	itations	9
5	F	Res	ults	& Discussion	9
	5.1		Des	sktop Assessment	9
	5	5.1.1	1	Ecologically Important Landscape Features	9
	5.2		Fiel	d Assessment	17
	5	5.2.´	1	Flora Assessment	17
	5	5.2.2	2	Faunal Assessment	21
	5.3	5	Site	e verification Outcome and Habitat Summary	22
	5	5.3.1	1	Areas of Concern	22
	5	5.3.2	2	Confirmation of Site Sensitivity	24
6	F	Prop	ose	ed Impact Management Outcomes	26
7	F	Rec	omn	nended Actions	30
	7.1		Imp	pact Statement	30
	7.2	2	Plai	n of study for Basic	30
8	F	Refe	eren	ces	31
9	A	٩рр	endi	ices	34





Tables

Table 2-1	A list of key legislative requirements relevant to these studies in Limpopo5		
Table 4-1	Summary of relevance of the proposed project to ecologically important landscape features		
Table 4-2	Criteria used to identify threatened terrestrial ecosystems		
Table 4-3	Threatened flora species that may occur within the assessment area associated with the proposed project area. EN=Endangered		
Table 4-4	Trees, shrub, graminoid and herbaceous plant species recorded in the project area		
Table 4-5	Avifaunal species recorded in the project area		
Table 4-6	Summary of habitat types delineated within field assessment area of the project area		
Table 5-1	Impact Management Outcomes		

Figures

Figure 1-1	The project area in relation to nearest towns2
Figure 1-2	The project area3
Figure 4-1	Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status associated with the proposed project area
Figure 4-2	Map illustrating the ecosystem protection level associated with the proposed project area
Figure 4-3	Map illustrating the locations of Critical Biodiversity Areas proximal to the proposed project area
Figure 4-4	Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the project area
Figure 4-5	Map showing the grid drawn to compile an expected species list (BODATSA- POSA, 2016)
Figure 4-6	Photographs illustrating some of the flora recorded within the assessment area. A) Dichrostachys cinerea subsp. africana, B) Vachellia tortilis., C) Euphorbia ingens., D) Aloe globuligemma., and E) Solanum lichtensteinii Willd
Figure 4-7	Map depicting relative plant theme sensitivity of the proposed project (National Environmental Screening Tool, 2021)
Figure 4-8	Map depicting relative animal theme sensitivity of the proposed project (National Environmental Screening Tool, 2021)
Figure 4-9	Map showing the relative terrestrial biodiversity sensitivity of the site for the proposed project





Figure 4-10	The habitat units identified in the project area	. 25
Figure 4-11	The sensitivity of the project area	. 26



Table of Acronyms

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition	
ARC	Agricultural Research Counsel	
СВА	Critical Biodiversity Area	
CR	Critically Endangered	
DEA	Department of Environmental Affairs	
DEFF	Department of Environment, Forestry and Fisheries	
EA	Environmental Authorisation	
EAP	Environmental Assessment Practitioner	
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment	
EIS	Ecological Integrity and Sensitivity	
EMPr	Environmental Management Programme	
EN	Endangered	
ESA	Ecological Support Area	
IBA	Important Bird Area	
NEMA	National Environmental Management Act	
NEM:BA	National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004 NFA National Forest Act, Act 84 of 1998	
NFEPA	National Freshwater Ecosystem Protection Assessment	
NNR	No Natural Habitat Remaining	
NSBA	National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment	
ONAs	Other Natural Areas	
SANBI	South African National Biodiversity Institute	
SCC:	Species of Conservation Concern	
ToR	Terms of reference	
VU	Vulnerable	





Executive Summary

Nettzero (Pty) Ltd (hereafter referred to as Nettzero) appointed The Biodiversity Company to undertake a specialist terrestrial biodiversity assessment for the proposed Glencore energy conversion project. The project area is situated along the provincial R555 road approximately 12 km southwest of the town Steelpoort in the Fetakgomo-Greater Tubatse Municipality (F-GTM), Sekhukhune District, Limpopo Province.

In accordance with the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998, as amended) (NEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations of 2014, an initial site sensitivity verification has been undertaken in order to confirm the current land use and environmental sensitivity of the proposed project area as identified by the National Web-Based Environmental Screening Tool (Screening Tool). The purpose of this report/statement is to verify the site sensitivity as identified by the screening tool and compile a statement confirming the identified impacts and any changes with the revised layout.

The following is deduced from the National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool:

- Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme is Low for the proposed project;
- Plant Species Theme ranges from Medium to Low for the project (Mostly Low) with several sensitive species predicted to be present; and
- Animal Species Theme is Medium for the proposed project with several sensitive species being said to occur.

The medium to low sensitivity for the Plant Species Theme is confirmed, areas presented in the specialist sensitivity map indicates the true sensitivity confirmed on site. The medium-high Animal Species Theme sensitivity is disputed as no faunal species or signs of any were recorded in the project area, with the exception of avifaunal species. The Low sensitivity terrestrial biodiversity sensitivity is confirmed. As stated above the vegetation structure and species composition of the two habitats have been completely altered as such, has a very low conservation value and ecological sensitivity from both a faunal and floral perspective.

It is the opinion of the ecologists that the proposed development is feasible, and no ecological constraints present a fatal flaw. The SCC must be marked for rescue and relocation, or removal (where permit application would then apply). These SCC can either be relocated to similar suitable habitat within the surrounding area, but outside the development footprint and utilised within the landscaping plan of the project or moved to registered nurseries with guidance from the Agricultural Research Counsel (ARC) or the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI).

It is the opinion of the Ecologist that the overall impact of the Energy project, on the terrestrial biodiversity and plant species resources, is seen as acceptably low after the recommendations have been implemented and therefore, impacts can be mitigated to acceptable levels allowing for the development to be authorised and no further specialist studies are deemed necessary for the proposed development.





Document Guide

The Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA (GNR 320), as gazetted on 20 March 2020 provides guidelines on information that must be found in a compliance statement. These requirements are listed below.

Item	Pages	Comment
The assessment must be prepared by a specialist registered with the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professionals (SACNASP)	42-43	Appendix B
Must include contact details, CV, SACNASP number and field of expertise of specialist	42-43	
Signed statement of independence	40	Appendix A
 Initial site sensitivity verification: Desktop Analysis using satellite imagery and available information Onsite inspection, to include a description of current land use, vegetation found on-site and status quo of screening tool confirmation/dispute Include photographs/evidence of land and environmental sensitivity 	15-36	Section 4
Methodology used to undertake the site survey and prepare compliance statement, including equipment and modelling relevant	13-15	Section 3
The assessment must verify the "low" sensitivity of the site, this would be in terms of terrestrial, animal and plant	34	Section 4.3.2
Indicate whether or not the proposed development will have any impact on the terrestrial environment, animals and/or plants	31	Section 6
Proposed impact management outcomes or monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr	34	Section 5
Description of the assumptions and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge or data	16	Section 3.4
Statement of timing and intensity of site inspection	8	Section 1
Any conditions to which the statement is subjected	8	Section 1

1 Introduction

The Biodiversity Company was appointed to conduct a terrestrial biodiversity assessment for the proposed Glencore energy conversion project. The project area is situated along the provincial R555 road approximately 12 km southwest of the town Steelpoort in the Fetakgomo-Greater Tubatse Municipality (F-GTM), Sekhukhune District, Limpopo Province (Figure 1-1 and Figure 1-2). The town of Burgersfort is located approximately 27 km northeast of the project area, whilst Lydenburg is *ca.* 47 km southwest of the project area.

A single day wet season survey was conducted in December 2021. The survey focused on the project footprint and the areas directly adjacent to the project area, hereafter referred to as the "project area". Furthermore, identification and description of any sensitive receptors were recorded across the project area, and how these sensitive receptors may be affected by the proposed development were also investigated

This assessment was conducted per the amendments to the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations. 2014 (GNR 326, 7 April 2017) of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) (NEMA). This report was compiled to fulfil the requirement for a Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment as per the Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of Sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA (GNR 320), as gazetted on 20 March 2020. This report is undertaken as supporting information as part of a greater environmental application process and is compliant in terms of the Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental application process and is compliant in terms of the requirements in the above regulations in terms of Terrestrial Biodiversity. In terms of the Procedures for the Assessment and Minimum Criteria for Reporting on Identified Environmental Themes in terms of sections 24(5)(a) and (h) and 44 of NEMA, gazetted on 30 October 2020, relating to requirements relating specifically to the Terrestrial Plant and Animal (species) themes, this report includes these requirements.

The following is deduced from the National Web-based Environmental Screening Tool:

- Terrestrial Biodiversity Theme is Low for the proposed project;
- Plant Species Theme ranges from Medium to Low for the project (Mostly Low) with several sensitive species predicted to be present; and
- Animal Species Theme is Medium for the proposed project with several sensitive species being said to occur.

The purpose of the specialist studies is to provide relevant input into the authorisation process and to provide a report for the proposed activities associated with the project. This report, after taking into consideration the findings and recommendations provided by the specialist herein, should inform and guide the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) and regulatory authorities, enabling informed decision making, as to the ecological viability of the proposed project.

1.1 Terms of Reference

The Terms of Reference (ToR) included the following:

• Description of the baseline receiving environment specific to the field of expertise (general surrounding area as well as the site-specific environment);





- Verification/confirmation of current use of the land and the potential environmental sensitivity of the site under consideration as identified by the National Web-Based Screening Tool
- Undertaking an on-site inspection to identify if there are any discrepancies with the identified environmental sensitivity as depicted on the National Web-Based Screening Tool and what is present on site.
- Identification and description of any sensitive receptors in terms of relevant specialist discipline (flora) that occur in the project area, and how these sensitive receptors may be affected by the activity;
- Identify 'significant' ecological, botanical features within the proposed project areas;
- Identification of conservation significant habitats around the project area which might be impacted;
- Screening to identify any critical issues (potential fatal flaws) that may result in project delays or rejection of the application;
- Provide a map to identify sensitive receptors in the project area, based on available maps and database information; and



• Provide outcomes to be included in the Management plan.

Figure 1-1 The project area in relation to nearest towns











2 Specialist Details

Report Name	THE TERRESTRIAL ECOLOGY COMPLIANCE STATEMENT FOR THE GLENCORE ENERGY CONVERSION PROJECT		
Submitted to	nettZer		
	Lusanda Matee	figure .	
Report writer	Lusanda Matee is a registered scientist (119257/2018) in the fields of Biological Science (Cand Nat.) and Ecological Science (Cand Nat.). He is a specialist terrestrial ecologist and botanist who conducts floral surveys faunal surveys which include mammals, birds, amphibians, and reptiles. He has 4 years of experience in environmental consulting. He received a Bachelor of Science, Honours, and MSc in Biological Sciences from the University of KwaZulu-Natal.		
	Andrew Husted	HANK	
Report reviewer	Andrew Husted is Pr Sci Nat registered (400213 Science, Environmental Science and Aquatic Scier Specialist with more than 12 years of experience completed numerous wetland training courses, and the DWS, and also the Mondi Wetlands programme	8/11) in the following fields of practice: Ecological nce. Andrew is an Aquatic, Wetland and Biodiversity in the environmental consulting field. Andrew has is an accredited wetland practitioner, recognised by a s a competent wetland consultant.	
Declaration	The Biodiversity Company and its associates operate as independent consultants under the auspice of the South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions. We declare that we have no affiliation with or vested financial interests in the proponent, other than for work performed under the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, 2017. We have no conflicting interests in the undertaking of this activity and have no interests in secondary developments resulting from the authorisation of this project. We have no vested interest in the project, other than to provide a professional service within the constraints of the project (timing, time, and budget) based on the principles of science.		





3 Key Legislative Requirements

The legislation, policies and guidelines listed below apply to the current project in terms of biodiversity and ecological support systems. The list below, although extensive, is not exhaustive and other legislation, policies and guidelines may apply in addition to those listed below (Table 3-1).

Table 3-1	A list of key legislative requirements relevant to these studies in Limpopo
-----------	---

Region	Legislation
	Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD, 1993)
	The Convention on Wetlands (RAMSAR Convention, 1971)
International	The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCC, 1994)
	The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES 1973)
	The Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (Bonn Convention, 1979)
	Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (Act No. 108 of 2006)
	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998)
	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998) Section 24, No 42946 (January 2020)
	The National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) (Act No. 107 of 1998) Section 24, No 43110 (March 2020)
	The National Environmental Management Protected Areas Act (Act No. 57 of 2003)
	The National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act (Act No. 10 of 2004)
	The National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act 59 of 2008);
	The Environment Conservation Act (Act No. 73 of 1989) and associated EIA Regulations
	National Environmental Management Air Quality Act (No. 39 of 2004)
	National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES)
	Environmental Conservation Act (Act No. 73 of 1983)
	Natural Scientific Professions Act (Act No. 27 of 2003)
National	National Biodiversity Framework (NBF, 2009)
	National Forest Act (Act No. 84 of 1998)
	National Veld and Forest Fire Act (101 of 1998)
	National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment (NSBA)
	World Heritage Convention Act (Act No. 49 of 1999)
	National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act 25 of 1999)
	Municipal Systems Act (Act No. 32 of 2000)
	Alien and Invasive Species Regulations, 2014
	South Africa's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP)
	Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act 43 of 1983)
	Sustainable Utilisation of Agricultural Resources (Draft Legislation).
	White Paper on Biodiversity
	National Water Act (NWA, 1998)
Provincial	Limpopo Environmental Management Act 7 of 2003



4 Methods

4.1 Desktop Assessment

The desktop assessment was principally undertaken using a Geographic Information System (GIS) to access the latest available spatial datasets to develop digital cartographs and species lists. These datasets and their date of publishing are provided below.

4.1.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features

Existing ecologically relevant data layers were incorporated into a GIS to establish how the proposed development might interact with any ecologically important entities. Emphasis was placed around the following spatial datasets:

- National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 (Skowno et al, 2019) The purpose of the National Biodiversity Assessment (NBA) is to assess the state of South Africa's biodiversity based on the best available science, with a view to understanding trends over time and informing policy and decision-making across a range of sectors. The NBA deals with all three components of biodiversity: genes, species and ecosystems; and assesses biodiversity and ecosystems across terrestrial, freshwater, estuarine and marine environments. The two headline indicators assessed in the NBA are:
 - Ecosystem Threat Status an indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition.
 - Ecosystem Protection Level an indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. Not Protected, Poorly Protected or Moderately Protected ecosystem types are collectively referred to as under-protected ecosystems.
- Protected areas:
 - South Africa Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) (DEA, 2020) The South African Protected Areas Database (SAPAD) contains spatial data for the conservation of South Africa. It includes spatial and attribute information for both formally protected areas and areas that have less formal protection. SAPAD is updated continuously and forms the basis for the Register of Protected Areas which is a legislative requirement under the National Environmental Management: Protected Areas Act, Act 57 of 2003.
 - National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy (NPAES) (SANBI, 2017) The National Protected Area Expansion Strategy (NPAES) provides spatial information on areas that are suitable for terrestrial ecosystem protection. These focus areas are large, intact and unfragmented and are, therefore, of high importance for biodiversity, climate resilience and freshwater protection.





- The Limpopo Conservation Plan, Version 2 (LCPv2), was completed in 2018 for the Limpopo Department of Economic Development, Environment & Tourism (LEDET) (Desmet *et al.*, 2018). The purpose of the LCPv2 was to develop the spatial component of a bioregional plan (i.e., map of Critical Biodiversity Areas and associated land-use guidelines). The previous Limpopo Conservation Plan (LCPv1) was completely revised and updated (Desmet *et al.*, 2018). A Limpopo Conservation Plan map was produced as part of this plan and sites were assigned to the following CBA categories based on their biodiversity characteristics, spatial configuration, and requirement for meeting targets for both biodiversity pattern and ecological processes:
 - Critical Biodiversity Area 1 (CBA1);
 - Critical Biodiversity Area 2 (CBA2);
 - Ecological Support Area 1 (ESA1);
 - Ecological Support Area 2 (ESA2);
 - Other Natural Area (ONA);
 - Protected Area (PA); and
 - No Natural Remaining (NNR).
- Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (BirdLife South Africa, 2015) Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas (IBAs) constitute a global network of over 13 500 sites, of which 112 sites are found in South Africa. IBAs are sites of global significance for bird conservation, identified through multi-stakeholder processes using globally standardised, quantitative and scientifically agreed criteria; and
- South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) (Van Deventer *et al.*, 2018) A South African Inventory of Inland Aquatic Ecosystems (SAIIAE) was established during the National Biodiversity Assessment of 2018. It is a collection of data layers that represent the extent of the river and inland wetland ecosystem types as well as pressures on these systems.

4.1.2 Desktop Flora Assessment

The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland (Mucina & Rutherford, 2006) was used to identify the vegetation type that would have occurred under natural or pre-anthropogenically altered conditions. Furthermore, the Plants of Southern Africa (POSA) database was accessed to compile a list of expected flora species within the proposed development area and surrounding landscape. The Red List of South African Plants (Raimondo *et al.,* 2009; SANBI, 2020) was utilized to provide the most current national conservation status of flora species.

4.2 Botanical Assessment

The botanical assessment encompassed an assessment of all the vegetation units and habitat types within the project area. The focus was on an ecological assessment of habitat types as well as identification of any Red Data species within the known distribution of the project area. The South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) provides an electronic database system, namely the Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA), to access distribution





records on southern African plants. This is a new database that replaces the old Plants of Southern Africa (POSA) database. The POSA database provided distribution data of flora at the quarter degree square (QDS) resolution. The Red List of South African Plants website (SANBI, 2017) was utilized to provide the most current account of the national status of flora. Relevant field guides and texts consulted for identification purposes in the field during the surveys included the following:

- Field Guide to the Wild Flowers of the Highveld (Van Wyk & Malan, 1997);
- A field guide to Wildflowers (Pooley, 1998);
- Guide to Grasses of Southern Africa (Van Oudtshoorn, 1999);
- Orchids of South Africa (Johnson & Bytebier, 2015);
- Guide to the Aloes of South Africa (Van Wyk & Smith, 2014);
- Mesembs of the World (Smith *et al.,* 1998);
- Medicinal Plants of South Africa (Van Wyk et al., 2013);
- Freshwater Life: A field guide to the plants and animals of southern Africa (Griffiths & Day, 2016); and
- Identification guide to southern African grasses. An identification manual with keys, descriptions and distributions (Fish *et al.*, 2015).

Additional information regarding ecosystems, vegetation types, and Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) included the following sources:

- The Vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland (Mucina & Rutherford, 2012); and
- Red List of South African Plants (Raimondo et al., 2009; SANBI, 2016).

The fieldwork methodology included the following survey techniques:

- Timed meanders;
- Sensitivity analysis based on structural and species diversity; and
- Identification of floral red-data species.

4.3 Floristic Analysis

A single day wet season field assessment was undertaken, and sample sites were placed within targeted areas (i.e., target sites) perceived as ecologically sensitive based on the preliminary interpretation of satellite imagery (Google Corporation) and GIS analysis (which included the latest applicable biodiversity datasets) available prior to the fieldwork. The focus of the fieldwork was therefore to maximise coverage and navigate to each target site in the field to perform rapid vegetation and ecological assessment at each sample site. Emphasis was placed on sensitive habitats, especially those overlapping with the proposed project area.





Homogenous vegetation units were subjectively identified using satellite imagery and existing land cover maps. The floristic diversity and search for flora SCC were conducted through timed meanders within representative habitat units delineated during the scoping fieldwork. Emphasis was placed mostly on sensitive habitats overlapping with the proposed project areas.

The timed random meander method is a highly efficient method for conducting floristic analysis, specifically in detecting flora SCC and maximising floristic coverage. In addition, the method is time and cost-effective and highly suited for compiling flora species lists and therefore gives a rapid indication of flora diversity. The timed meander search was performed based on the original technique described by Goff *et al.* (1982). Suitable habitats for SCC were identified according to Raimondo *et al.* (2009) and targeted as part of the timed meanders.

At each sample site notes were made regarding current impacts (e.g., mining, erosion etc.), subjective recording of dominant vegetation species and any sensitive features (e.g., wetlands, outcrops etc.). In addition, opportunistic observations were made while navigating through the project area.

4.4 Limitations

The following limitations should be noted for the assessment:

- Only a single-season one day survey was conducted for the respective studies, this would constitute an early wet season survey; and
- This assessment has not assessed any temporal trends for the project.

5 Results & Discussion

5.1 Desktop Assessment

5.1.1 Ecologically Important Landscape Features

The GIS analysis pertaining to the relevance of the proposed development to ecologically important landscape features are summarised in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1	Summary of relevance of the proposed project to ecologically important		
landscape features			

Desktop Information Considered	Relevant/Irrelevant	Section
Ecosystem Threat Status	Relevant – Overlaps with an EN ecosystem.	4.1.1.1
Ecosystem Protection Level	Relevant – Overlaps mainly with a Poorly Protected Ecosystem.	4.1.1.2
Protected Areas	Irrelevant – The proposed development does not occur within any protected area and there is no protected area in close proximity to the project area. The De Hoop Private Nature Reserve is more than 20 km away from the project area.	4.1.1.3
Limpopo Conservation Plan	Relevant – The project area traverses areas that are classified as NNR areas	4.1.1.4
National Threatened Ecosystems (2011)	Irrelevant - The project area does not fall within any National Threatened Ecosystems (2011).	4.1.1.5
Important Bird and Biodiversity Areas	Irrelevant – More than 10 from the closest IBAs	-





5.1.1.1 Ecosystem Threat Status

The Ecosystem Threat Status is an indicator of an ecosystem's wellbeing, based on the level of change in structure, function or composition. Ecosystem types are categorised as Critically Endangered (CR), Endangered (EN), Vulnerable (VU), Near Threatened (NT) or Least Concern (LC), based on the proportion of the original extent of each ecosystem type that remains in good ecological condition. According to the spatial dataset, the proposed development overlaps with an EN ecosystem (Figure 5-1).



Figure 5-1 Map illustrating the ecosystem threat status associated with the proposed project area

5.1.1.2 Ecosystem Protection Level

Indicator of the extent to which ecosystems are adequately protected or under-protected. Ecosystem types are categorised as Well Protected (WP), Moderately Protected (MP), Poorly Protected (PP), or Not Protected (NP), based on the proportion of the biodiversity target for each ecosystem type that is included within one or more protected areas. Not Protected, Poorly Protected or Moderately Protected ecosystem types are collectively referred to as underprotected ecosystems. The proposed development overlaps with a PP ecosystem (Figure 5-2).







Figure 5-2 Map illustrating the ecosystem protection level associated with the proposed project area

5.1.1.3 Protected Areas

According to the protected area spatial dataset from SAPAD (2021), SACAD (2021) and SAMPAZ (2021), The proposed development does not occur within any protected area and there is no protected area in close proximity to the project area. The De Hoop Private Nature Reserve is more than 20 km away from the project area.

5.1.1.4 Terrestrial Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs)

The purpose of the Limpopo C-Plan is to inform land-use planning and development on a provincial scale and to aid in natural resource management and one of the outputs is a map of Critical Biodiversity Areas (CBAs) and Ecological Support Areas (ESAs). These are classified into different categories, namely Protected Areas, CBA1 areas, CBA2 areas, ESA1 areas, ESA2 areas, Other Natural Areas (ONAs) and areas with No Natural Habitat Remaining (NNR) based on biodiversity characteristics, spatial configuration, and requirements for meeting targets for both biodiversity patterns and ecological processes.

CBA2 are areas selected to meet biodiversity targets and "must be maintained in a natural state with limited or no biodiversity loss" (SANBI, 2016).

ESAs are areas that "must be maintained in at least fair ecological condition (seminatural/moderately modified state) in order to support the ecological functioning of a CBA or protected area, or to generate or deliver ecosystem services, or to meet remaining biodiversity



targets for ecosystem types or species when it is not possible or necessary to meet them in natural or near-natural areas" (SANBI, 2016).

ONAs are areas that are "in good or fair ecological condition (natural, near-natural or seminatural) that is not required to meet biodiversity targets for ecosystem types, species or ecological processes" (SANBI, 2016).

NNR areas are areas with no significant direct biodiversity value. Not Natural or degraded natural areas that are not required as ESAs, including intensive agriculture, urban, industry; and human infrastructure (SANBI, 2016).

Figure 5-3 shows the project area superimposed on the terrestrial CBA map. The project area overlaps with NNR areas.



Figure 5-3 Map illustrating the locations of Critical Biodiversity Areas proximal to the proposed project area

5.1.1.5 The National List of Threatened Terrestrial Ecosystems

The National List of Threatened Terrestrial Ecosystems for South Africa (NEM:BA: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection, (GN 34809, GN 1002), 9 December 2011) was published in terms of NEM: BA and the list categorizes ecosystems into Critically Endangered (CR) which have undergone severe degradation; Endangered (EN) which have undergone lesser degradation; Vulnerable (VU), which are at a high risk of undergoing degradation and protected which are of high conservation importance. The criteria used for identifying threatened terrestrial ecosystems was done through extensive stakeholder





engagement and based on the best available science. The criteria for thresholds for critically endangered, endangered and vulnerable ecosystems are summarized in

Table 5-2.

Table 5-2

5-2 Criteria used to identify threatened terrestrial ecosystems

Criterion	Critically Endangered	Endangered	Vulnerable	
A1: Irreversible loss of natural habitat	Remaining natural habitat < biodiversity target	Remaining natural habitat < biodiversity target + 15%	Remaining natural habitat < 60% of the original area	
A2: Ecosystem degradation and loss of integrity	> 60% of ecosystem significantly degraded	> 40% of ecosystem significantly degraded	> 20% of ecosystem	
C: Limited extent and imminent threat	-	Ecosystem extent < 3000ha and imminent threat	significantly degraded Ecosystem extent <	
D: Threatened plant species associations	> 80 threatened Red List plant species	> 60 threatened Red List plant species	 > 40 threatened Red List plant species 	
F: Priority areas for meeting explicit biodiversity targets as defined in a systematic biodiversity plan	Very high irreplaceability and high threat	Very high irreplaceability and medium threat	Very high biodiversity and low threat	

There are four main types of implications of listed ecosystems on development:

- Planning related implications, linked to the requirement in the National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act (NEM: BA) for listed ecosystems to be considered in municipal Integrated Development Plans (IDPs) and Spatial Development Frameworks (SDFs);
- Environmental authorisation implications, especially in terms of NEMA and EIA regulations;
- Proactive management implications, in terms of the Biodiversity Act; and
- Monitoring and reporting implications, in terms of the Biodiversity Act.

The project area does not fall within any National Threatened Ecosystems (2011).

5.1.1.6 Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas

The Glencore energy conversion project area is not located within an IBA nor is there one within the immediate landscape.

5.1.1.7 Flora Assessment

This section is divided into a description of the vegetation type expected under natural conditions and the expected flora species.

5.1.1.7.1 Regional Vegetation





The project area is located within the vast Savanna biome, which covers large parts of southern Africa. At a more intricate spatial scale, it is located within the Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld unit (SVcb 27) (Mucina & Rutherford 2006; SANBI,2018) previously referred to as the Mixed Bushveld (Acocks, 1953; Low and Rebelo, 1996). It is distributed in the Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces and occurs on the low lying areas where the altitude ranges between 700 and 1 100 m. The vegetation unit is described as semiarid plains and open valleys, surrounded by low hills and mountains associated with the escarpment (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). The vegetation is further described as open to closed Thornveld with Aloe species and succulents with large areas degraded and over exploited. This resulted in encroachment by indigenous and alien species (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006)

5.1.1.7.2 Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld (SVcb 27)

The Sekhukhune Plains Bushveld occurs in the Limpopo and Mpumalanga Provinces, mainly in semi-arid plains and open valleys in between small mountains. The vegetation consists predominantly of open to close thornveld with large numbers of Aloe species.

Important Taxa

Tall Trees: Vachellia erioloba, Philenoptera violacea.

Small Trees: Senegalia mellifera subsp. detinens, Vachellia nilotica, V. tortilis subsp. heteracantha, Boscia foetida subsp. rehmanniana, Acacia grandicornuta, Albizia anthelmintica, Balanites maughamii, Combretum imberbe, Commiphora glandulosa, Maerua angolensis, Markhamia zanzibarica, Mystroxylon aethiopicum subsp. schlechteri, Ptaeroxylon obliquum, Schotia brachypetala, Ziziphus mucronata.

Succulent Tree: Euphorbia tirucalli.

Tall Shrubs: Searsia engleri, Cadaba termitaria, Dichrostachys cinerea, Ehretia rigida subsp. rigida, Grewia bicolor, Karomia speciosa, Maerua decumbens, Rhigozum brevispinosum, R. obovatum, Tinnea rhodesiana, Triaspis glaucophylla.

Low Shrubs: Felicia clavipilosa subsp. transvaalensis, Seddera suffruticosa, Gnidia polycephala, Gossypium herbaceum subsp. africanum, Jamesbrittenia atropurpurea, Jatropha latifolia var. latifolia, Lantana rugosa, Melhania rehmannii, Monechma divaricatum, Myrothamnus flabellifolius, Pechuel-Loeschea leubnitziae, Plinthus rehmannii.

Succulent Shrubs: Aloe cryptopoda, Euphorbia enormis, Kleinia longiflora, Aloe castanea, A. globuligemma.

Woody Succulent Climber: Sarcostemma viminale.

Herbaceous Climbers: Coccinia rehmannii, Decorsea schlechteri.

Graminoids: Cenchrus ciliaris, Enneapogon cenchroides, Panicum maximum, Urochloa mosambicensis, Aristida adscensionis, A. congesta, Eragrostis barbinodis, Paspalum distichum, Schmidtia pappophoroides, Stipagrostis hirtigluma subsp. patula, Tragus berteronianus.

Herbs: Becium filamentosum, Phyllanthus maderaspatensis, Blepharis integrifolia, Corchorus asplenifolius, Hibiscus praeteritus, Ipomoea magnusiana.

Geophytic Herbs: Drimia altissima, Sansevieria pearsonii.

Biogeographically Important Taxa

Small Tree: Lydenburgia cassinoides.





Tall Shrub: Nuxia gracilis

Low Shrubs: Amphiglossa triflora, Asparagus fourei, Hibiscus barnardii, Orthosiphon fruticosus, Petalidium oblongifolium, Searsia batophylla.

Woody Climber: Asparagus sekukuniensis.

Herb: Aneilema longirrhizum.

Geophytic Herb: Chlorophytum cyperaceum.

Succulent Herb: *Piaranthus atrosanguineus*.

Conservation Status of the Vegetation Type

According to Mucina and Rutherford (2006), this vegetation type is classified as VU. The national target for conservation protection for this vegetation type is 19%, with approximately 2% statutorily conserved in Potlake, Bewaarkloof and Wolkberg Caves Nature Reserves. Approximately 25% of this area has been transformed and is mainly under dry-land subsistence cultivation.

5.1.1.7.3 Sekhukhuneland Centre of Plant Endemism

The project area is situated within the Sekhukhuneland Centre of Plant Endemism (SCPE). SCPE has an extraordinary level of endemism, with 2 000 indigenous species within 4 000 km². This number or rather figure is extraordinary if compared with islands in the world, namely New Zealand has 2 000 species on 268 000 km² and Hawaii which has 2000 indigenous species on 16600 km². SCPE comprises a mountainous region with flat to undulating valleys. Sekhukhune land is known for its parallel belts or rocky ridges and mountains, including the Leolo and Dwars River ranges. The core of the Centre is formed by the surface outcrops of the Rustenburg Layered Suite of the eastern Bushveld Complex.







Figure 5-4 Map illustrating the vegetation type associated with the project area

5.1.1.7.4 Expected Flora Species

According to the new Plants of Southern Africa (POSA) database underpinned by the Botanical Database of Southern Africa (BODATSA), a total of 485 species of indigenous plants are expected to occur within the assessment area and immediate landscape. Appendix C provides the list of species and their respective conservation status and endemism. A total of 8 Red List/SCC according to the IUCN Red List status could be expected to occur within the assessment area and are provided in

Table 5-3 below (according to the relevant POSA Grid Squares).







Figure 5-5 Map showing the grid drawn to compile an expected species list (BODATSA-POSA, 2016)

Table 5-3Threatened flora species that may occur within the assessment area associated
with the proposed project area. EN=Endangered

Family	Species	IUCN	Diagnostic	Ecology
Acanthaceae	Dicliptera fruticosa	NT	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Iridaceae	Gladiolus reginae	CR	geophyte;	Indigenous; Endemic
Anacardiaceae	Searsia batophylla	VU	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Hyacinthaceae	Ledebouria dolomiticola	VU	geophyte;	Indigenous; Endemic
Passifloraceae	Adenia fruticosa	NT	tree; succulent; climber; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Scrophulariaceae	Nemesia zimbabwensis	EN		Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala sekhukhuniensis	VU		Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Jamesbrittenia macrantha	NT	shrub; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic

5.2 Field Assessment

The following sections provide the results from the field survey for the proposed development that was undertaken on the 15th of December 2021.

5.2.1 Flora Assessment

A total of 28 woody, graminoid, shrub and herbaceous plant species belonging to were recorded in the project area during the field assessment (Table 5-4). This includes two species that have been assigned alien invader plant categories under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA). Plants listed in Category 1b appear in green. Some of the plant species recorded can be seen in Figure 5-6.



Table 5-4

Trees, shrub, graminoid and herbaceous plant species recorded in the project area

Scientific Name	Common Name	Threat Status (SANBI, 2017)	SA Endemic	Alien Category
Aloe globuligemma	Knoppiesaalwyn	LC	Not Endemic	
Argemone ochroleuca	Mexican Poppy		Not Indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive	NEMBA Category 1b.
Asparagus laricinus	Wild asparagus	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Dichrostachys cinerea subsp. africana	Small-leaved Sickle Bush	LC	Not Endemic	
Digitaria eriantha	Woolly Finger Grass	LC	Not Endemic	
Elephantorrhiza elephantina	Elephant's root	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Eragrostis chloromelas	Blue Love Grass	LC	Not Endemic	
Eragrostis curvula	Weeping Love Grass	LC	Not Endemic	
Eragrostis gummiflua Nees	Gum Grass	LC	Not Endemic	
Eragrostis superba Peyr.	Heart-seed Grass	LC	Not Endemic	
Euphorbia ingens	Cactus Euphorbia	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Gomphocarpus tomentosus	Woolly Milkweed	LC	Not Endemic	
Gymnosporia senegalensis	Red Spike-thorn	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Hibiscus engleri	Wild Hibiscus	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Jatropha gossypiifolia	Bellyache Bush		Not Indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive	
Leonotis nepetifolia	Lion's Ear	LC	Not Endemic	
Opuntia stricta	Shell Mound Pricklypear		Not Indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive	NEMBA Category 1b.
Panicum maximum	Guinea Grass	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Sansevieria hyacinthoides	Mother-in-law's-tongue	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Solanum lichtensteinii Willd.	Large Yellow Bitter Apple	LC	Not Endemic	
Tricholaena monachne	Blousaadgras	LC	Not Endemic	
Urochloa mosambicensis	Herringbone Grass	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Vachellia karroo	Sweet Thorn, Cape Gum	LC	Indigenous, Not Endemic	
Vachellia tortilis	Umbrella Thorn	LC	Not Endemic	
Viscum combreticola Engl.	Bushwillow Mistletoe	LC	Not Endemic	
Xanthium spinosum	Spiny cocklebur		Not Indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive	
Xanthium strumarium	Large Cocklebur		Not Indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive	
Ziziphus mucronata	Buffalo thorn	LC	Not Endemic	







Figure 5-6 Photographs illustrating some of the flora recorded within the assessment area. A) Dichrostachys cinerea subsp. africana, B) Vachellia tortilis., C) Euphorbia ingens., D) Aloe globuligemma., and E) Solanum lichtensteinii Willd



5.2.1.1 Invasive Alien Plants

The National Invasive Species Council (Invasive Species Advisory Committee, 2006) defines alien invasive species that are non-native to the ecosystem under consideration and whose introduction causes or is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human health. Invasive Alien Plants (IAPs) tend to dominate or replace indigenous flora, thereby transforming the structure, composition and functioning of ecosystems. Therefore, these plants must be controlled using an eradication and monitoring programme. Some invader plants may also degrade ecosystems through superior competitive capabilities to exclude native plant species. Although bush encroachment and invasion are sometimes used loosely and commonly interchangeably it is crucial to recognise that these are different processes. Bush encroachment refers to the spread of plant species into an area where previously it did not occur, thus, bush encroachment could occur even with indigenous species, and it is more defined by plant density than species themselves.

NEMBA is the most recent legislation pertaining to alien invasive plant species. In August 2014, the list of Alien Invasive Species was published in terms of the NEMBA. The Alien and Invasive Species Regulations were published in Government Gazette No. 44182, 24th of February 2021. The legislation calls for the removal and/or control of AIP species (Category 1 species). In addition, unless authorised thereto in terms of the NWA, no land user shall allow Category 2 plants to occur within 30 meters of the 1:50 year flood line of a river, stream, spring, natural channel in which water flows regularly or intermittently, lake, dam or wetland. Category 3 plants are also prohibited from occurring within proximity to a watercourse. Below is a brief explanation of the three categories in terms of the NEMBA:

- Category 1a: Invasive species requiring compulsory control. Remove and destroy. Any specimens of Category 1a listed species need, by law, to be eradicated from the environment. No permits will be issued;
- Category 1b: Invasive species requiring compulsory control as part of an invasive species control programme. Remove and destroy. These plants are deemed to have such a high invasive potential that infestations can qualify to be placed under a government-sponsored invasive species management programme. No permits will be issued;
- Category 2: Invasive species regulated by area. A demarcation permit is required to import, possess, grow, breed, move, sell, buy or accept as a gift any plants listed as Category 2 plants. No permits will be issued for Category 2 plants to exist in riparian zones; and
- Category 3: Invasive species regulated by activity. An individual plant permit is required to undertake any of the following restricted activities (import, possess, grow, breed, move, sell, buy or accept as a gift) involving a Category 3 species. No permits will be issued for Category 3 plants to exist in riparian zones.

Note that according to the regulations, a person who has under his or her control a category 1b listed invasive species must immediately:

- Notify the competent authority in writing; and
- Take steps to manage the listed invasive species in compliance with:



- Section 75 of the Act;
- The relevant invasive species management programme developed in terms of regulation 4; and
- \circ Any directive issued in terms of section 73(3) of the Act.

Two IAP species listed under the Alien and Invasive Species List 2016, Government Gazette No. 40166 as Category 1b were recorded for the area. These IAP species must be controlled by implementing an Invasive Alien Plant Management Programme in compliance of section 75 of the Act as stated above. Plants listed as Category 1 alien or invasive species under the National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act (NEMBA) appear in the green text (Table 5-4).

5.2.2 Faunal Assessment

5.2.2.1 Avifauna

A total of twenty-five (25) bird species were recorded in the project area during the survey based on either direct observation or the presence of visual tracks & signs. Avian diversity within this habitat was relatively poor due to the project area's surrounding land-use. In addition to this, the avian diversity recorded was not considered unique and is typical of what occurs across large areas of the Savannah Biome, which therefore suggests that the sensitivity of the site, from an avian perspective, will not be of any great significance.

Stanian	Common Nomo	Conservation S	tatus
Species	Common Name	Regional (SANBI, 2016)	IUCN (2017)
Acridotheres tristis	Myna, Common	Unlisted	LC
Apus affinis	Swift, Little	Unlisted	LC
Cercotrichas leucophrys	Scrub-robin, White-browed	Unlisted	LC
Columba guinea	Pigeon, Speckled	Unlisted	LC
Corvinella melanoleuca	Shrike, Magpie	Unlisted	LC
Corvus albus	Crow, Pied	Unlisted	LC
Cossypha humeralis	Robin-chat, White-throated	Unlisted	LC
Dendroperdix sephaena	Francolin, Crested	Unlisted	LC
Dicrurus adsimilis	Drongo, Fork-tailed	Unlisted	LC
Hirundo dimidiata	Swallow, Pearl-breasted	Unlisted	LC
Lamprotornis nitens	Starling, Cape Glossy	Unlisted	LC
Laniarius atrococcineus	Shrike, Crimson-breasted	Unlisted	LC
Mirafra africana	Lark, Rufous-naped	Unlisted	LC
Numida meleagris	Guineafowl, Helmeted	Unlisted	LC
Onychognathus morio	Starling, Red-winged	Unlisted	LC
Passer diffusus	Sparrow, Southern Grey-headed	Unlisted	LC
Ploceus velatus	Masked-weaver, Southern	Unlisted	LC
Pycnonotus tricolor	Bulbul, Dark-capped	Unlisted	Unlisted

Table 5-5Avifaunal species recorded in the project area





Streptopelia capicola	Turtle-dove, Cape	Unlisted	LC
Streptopelia senegalensis	Dove, Laughing	Unlisted	LC
Trachyphonus vaillantii	Barbet, Crested	Unlisted	LC
Turdoides bicolor	Babbler, Southern Pied	Unlisted	LC
Turdoides jardineii	Babbler, Arrow-marked	Unlisted	LC
Turdus libonyana	Thrush, Kurrichane	Unlisted	Unlisted
Vanellus coronatus	Lapwing, Crowned	Unlisted	LC

5.2.2.2 Amphibians and Reptiles

No reptile or amphibian species were recorded in the project area during the survey, this can be attributed to the lack of suitable habitat and a river system that is also ephemeral and the lack of water (albeit standing or flowing) and the past human settlements and mining areas.

5.2.2.3 Mammals

No mammal species were recorded in the project area due to lack of suitable habitat as well as ecological risk from past or current smelter-related emissions as well as edge effects from smelter related activities resulting in the project area being in a degraded state.

5.3 Site verification Outcome and Habitat Summary

The description of vegetation recorded in the project area mainly focuses on vegetation structure and species distribution and does not give an exhaustive list of plant species that occur in the project area.

A one day general habitat sensitivity scan was carried out on the 14th of December 2021. This preliminary site visit did not entail intensive surveying or utilisation of any specialised sampling methods and can rather be viewed as being an opportunity to identify sensitive habitats occurring in the project area. One habitats unit is traversed by the project area but two are mapped due to the proximity of the riparian feature to the left of the project area (**Error! R eference source not found.**).

Drainage lines: One drainage line was observed to the west of the project area. This drainage line appears to be highly degraded, and no hydrophytes were observed in the drainage lines and the vegetation consists of mainly trees and shrubs with low diversity in graminoid and forb content. Trees observed adjacent to the drainage line include Silver Terminalia (*Terminalia sericea*) and Buffalo-Thorn (*Ziziphus mucronata*) to name a few.

Secondary Bushveld: The project area is made up entirely of degraded bushveld which is various stages of succession and degradation. The vegetation is dominated by secondary successional grasses and AIP species. The majority of habitat around the proposed project area has also been transformed although remnant patches remain in small areas. A few individuals of *Aloe globuligemma* were observed along the Southern portion of the project area. All indigenous Aloes are protected plant species in the Limpopo Environmental Management Act (Act No.7 of 2003).

5.3.1 Areas of Concern

The following concerns are associated with the two feasibility areas:





- According to the spatial dataset, the proposed development overlaps with an EN ecosystem; and
- A few individuals of *Aloe globuligemma* were observed along the Southern portion of the project area. All indigenous Aloes are protected plant species in the Limpopo Environmental Management Act (Act No.7 of 2003);



Figure 5-7 Map depicting relative plant theme sensitivity of the proposed project (National Environmental Screening Tool, 2021).



Figure 5-8 Map depicting relative animal theme sensitivity of the proposed project (National Environmental Screening Tool, 2021).





Figure 5-9 Map showing the relative terrestrial biodiversity sensitivity of the site for the proposed project

5.3.2 Confirmation of Site Sensitivity

The medium to low sensitivity for the Plant Species Theme is confirmed, areas presented in the specialist sensitivity map (Figure 5-11)indicates the true sensitivity confirmed on site. The medium-high Animal Species Theme sensitivity is disputed as no faunal species or signs of any were recorded in the project area, with the exception of avifaunal species. The Low sensitivity terrestrial biodiversity sensitivity is confirmed. As stated above the vegetation structure and species composition of the two habitats have been completely altered as such, has a very low conservation value and ecological sensitivity from both a faunal and floral perspective.

5.3.2.1 Site Ecological Importance

The vegetation structure and species composition of the two habitats have been completely altered as such, has a very low conservation value and ecological sensitivity from a floral perspective.

			area		
Habitat	Conservation Importance	Functional Integrity	Biodiversity Importance	Receptor Resilience	Site Ecological Importance
Degraded Bushveld	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low
Riparian zone	Low	Low	Low	Medium	Low

Table 5-6Summary of habitat types delineated within field assessment area of the
project area







Figure 5-10 The habitat units identified in the project area







Figure 5-11 The sensitivity of the project area

6 Proposed Impact Management Outcomes

The area has been altered from its original state however it can still affect species in the surrounding area by means of erosion, dust, fire, alien vegetation introduction and proliferation, poor waste management resulting in increase in pest numbers, as well as chemical spills, therefore, the following generic management outcomes were suggested and should be included into the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) (Table 6-1).





Table 6-1 Impact Management Outcomes

Management outcome: Vegetation and Habitats					
	Imple	ementation	Monitoring		
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency	
All construction activities must be carried out according to the generally accepted environmental best practice and the spatial footprint must be kept to a minimum.	Construction	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Site footprint and vegetation	Ongoing	
Areas of indigenous vegetation, even secondary communities outside of the direct project footprint, should under no circumstances be fragmented or disturbed further. Clearing of vegetation should be minimized and avoided where possible. All activities must be restricted within the development footprint sensitivity areas. No loss of areas surrounding the development area. It is recommended that areas to be developed be specifically demarcated so that during the construction phase, only the demarcated areas be impacted upon (including fencing off the defined project area);	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer	Areas of indigenous vegetation surrounding the proposed development	Ongoing	
Where possible, existing access routes and walking paths must be made use of, and the development of new routes limited.	All phases	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Roads and paths used	Ongoing	
Provincially protected (SCC species) must be marked for rescue and relocation, or removal (where permit application would then apply) before any vegetation removal commences	Post Construction/Closure Phase/Rehabilitation phase	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Protection of SCC floral species	Throughout phase	
Permits need to be obtained from LEDA for the protected plant species that need to be translocated through the search and rescue exercise.	Post Construction/Closure Phase/Rehabilitation phase	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Protection of SCC floral species	Throughout phase	
All laydown, chemical toilets etc. should be restricted to low sensitivity areas. Any materials may not be stored for extended periods of time and must be removed from the project area once the construction/closure phase has been concluded. Buildings should preferably be prefabricated or constructed of re-usable/recyclable materials. No storage of vehicles or equipment will be allowed outside of the designated project areas.	Construction/Operational Phase	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Laydown areas and material storage & placement.	Ongoing	
It is recommended that the supervisor of the vegetation clearing contractors receive adequate training as to the presence, identity, and management of species of conservation importance, and that a botanical specialist/ECO (Environmental Control Officer) be appointed during vegetation clearing to conduct monthly on-site audits of the vegetation clearing process.	Construction	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Site footprint and vegetation	Ongoing	
A hydrocarbon spill management plan must be put in place to ensure that should there be any chemical spill out or over that, it does not run into the surrounding areas. The Contractor shall be in possession of an emergency spill kit that must always be complete and available on site. Drip trays or any form of oil absorbent material must be placed underneath vehicles/machinery and equipment when not in use. No servicing of equipment on-site during construction unless necessary. All contaminated soil/yard stone shall be treated in situ or removed and be placed in containers	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Spill events, Vehicles dripping.	Ongoing	
Leaking equipment and vehicles must be repaired immediately or be removed from the project areas to facilitate the repair	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Leaks and spills	Ongoing	
A fire prevention and emergency response plan needs to be complied and implemented to restrict the impact fire might have on the project area and it's immediate surrounding.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Fire Management	During Phase	
Management outcome: Fauna					



	Implementation	Monitoring	Impact Management Actions	Implementation
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Phase	Responsible Party
Employees and contractors should be made aware of the presence of, and rules regarding fauna through suitable induction training and on-site signage.	Construction	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Faunal mortalities including SCC species	Ongoing
It is recommended that the supervisors of the vegetation clearing, and construction contractors receive adequate training as to the presence, identity and management of on-site fauna	Construction	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Faunal mortalities including SCC species	Ongoing
Management ou	tcome: Alien Vegetation			
	Imple	ementation	Monitoring	
Impact Management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
AIP species should be managed using the existing mine AIP management plan. Removal AIPs should preferably commence during the pre-construction phase and continue throughout the construction and operational phases. AIPs should be cleared within the project area before any vegetation clearing activities commence, thereby ensuring that no AIP propagules are spread, or soils contaminated with AIP seeds during the construction phase; and the existing mine AIP Management/Control Plan should be implemented by a qualified professional. No chemical control of AIPs to occur without a certified professional.	Life of operation	Project manager, Environmental Officer & Contractor	Assess presence and encroachment of alien vegetation	Quarterly monitoring
Regular monitoring of the implementation of this plan for the rehabilitation of disturbed areas must be conducted by the appointed ECO.	Construction Phase	Project manager, Environmental Officer & Contractor	Assess presence and encroachment of alien vegetation	Quarterly monitoring
Management outco	ome: Waste management			
Import Management Actions	Implementation		Monitoring	
impact management Actions	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
Waste must be managed in accordance with the existing waste management procedures.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer, Contractor & Health and Safety Officer	Management of bins and collection of waste	Ongoing
Waste management must be a priority and all waste must be collected and stored effectively.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Contractor	Waste Removal	Weekly
No dumping of litter, rubble or cleared vegetation on site should be allowed. Infrastructure and rubble removed as a result of the construction activities should be reduced, re-used or recycled with disposal to landfill as last resort. No temporary dump sites should be allowed in areas with natural vegetation. It is advised that waste disposal containers and bins be provided during the construction phase for all construction rubble and general waste. Vegetation cuttings must be carefully collected and disposed of at a separate waste facility.	Construction/Closure Phase	Environmental Officer & Health and Safety Officer	Presence of Waste	Daily
A minimum of one toilet must be provided per 10 persons. Portable toilets must be pumped dry to ensure the system does not degrade over time and spill into the surrounding area.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Health and Safety Officer	Number of toilets per staff member. Waste levels	Daily
Management outcome: Er	nvironmental awareness tra	aining		





Impact Management Actions	Implementation			Monitoring
	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
Ensure that all site personnel have a basic level of environmental awareness training. A signed register of attendance must be kept for proof. Discussions are required on sensitive environmental receptors within the project area to inform contractors and site staff of the presence of SSC, their identification, conservation status and importance, biology, habitat requirements and management requirements the Environmental Authorisation and within the EMPr. The avoidance and protection of the surrounding watercourses and riparian areas must be included into a site induction. Contractors and employees must all undergo the induction and be made aware of the areas to be avoided.	Life of operation	Health and Safety Officer	Compliance to the training.	Ongoing
Management outcom	e: Stormwater managemen	t		
Impact Management Actions	Implementation			Monitoring
	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
A Stormwater Management Plan must be developed to control runoff and prevent erosion of the site and its surroundings	Construction	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Site footprint and vegetation	Ongoing
Appropriate stormwater structures alongside a stormwater management plan must be designed to minimise erosion of the surrounding environment and sedimentation of surrounding watercourses.	Life of operation	Environmental Officer & Design Engineer	Site footprint and vegetation	Ongoing
Management out	come: Dust and Erosion			
Impact Management Actions	Implementation	Monitoring		
	Phase	Responsible Party	Aspect	Frequency
Dust-reducing mitigation measures as per existing mine procedures must be strictly adhered to, for the road and any burrow pits (if any are required). This includes wetting of exposed soft soil surfaces.	Construction Phase	Contractor	Dustfall	As per the air quality report and the dust monitoring program.
Areas that are denuded during construction need to be re-vegetated with indigenous vegetation to prevent erosion during flood events. This will also reduce the likelihood of encroachment by alien invasive plant species	Construction Phase	Contractor	Erosion	Ongoing

7 Recommended Actions

Through the analysis of various database and satellite imagery as well as the infield screening assessment it was determined that the project area possess a few sensitive ecological receptors but is highly degraded. These sensitivity receptors relate to being located in an EN ecosystem, traversing threatened ecosystems as well as the recording of one SSC floral species within the project area, *Aloe globuligemma* which is a protected plant species in the Limpopo Environmental Management Act (Act No.7 of 2003). However, the project area is in a highly degraded state as the vegetation structure and species composition has been completely altered as such, has a very low conservation value and ecological sensitivity from a floral perspective.

It is the opinion of the ecologists that the proposed development is feasible, and no ecological constraints present a fatal flaw. The SCC must be marked for rescue and relocation, or removal (where permit application would then apply). These SCC can either be relocated to similar suitable habitat within the surrounding area, but outside the development footprint and utilised within the landscaping plan of the project, or moved to registered nurseries with guidance from the Agricultural Research Counsel (ARC) or the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI).

7.1 Impact Statement

No fatal flaws are evident for the proposed project. It is the opinion of the specialists that the project, may be favourably considered for authorisation. All prescribed mitigation measures and supporting recommendations must be considered by the issuing authority. Mitigation measures as described in this report will reduce the significance of the risk to an acceptable level.

7.2 Plan of study for Basic

It is the opinion of the ecologists that this study provides the relevant information required to implement Integrated Environmental Management (IEM) and to ensure that the best long-term use of the ecological resources in the focus area will be made in support of the principle of sustainable development. Furthermore, It is the opinion of the Ecologist that the overall impact of the Energy project, on the terrestrial biodiversity and plant species resources, is seen as acceptably low after the recommendations have been implemented and therefore, impacts can be mitigated to acceptable levels allowing for the development to be authorised and no further specialist studies are deemed necessary for the proposed development.



8 References

ADU (Animal Demography Unit). (2021). Virtual Museum. (Accessed: December 2021).

Alexander, G. & Marais, J. (2007). A guide to the Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town.

Bates, M.F., Branch, W.R., Bauer, A.M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G.J & de Villiers, M.S. (Eds). (2014). Atlas and Red List of Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Suricata 1. South African Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

BGIS (Biodiversity GIS). (2018). <u>http://bgis.sanbi.org/</u> (Accessed: December 2021).

Branch, W.R. (1998). Field Guide to Snakes and Other Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik, Cape Town.

Driver, A., Nel, J.L., Snaddon, K., Murray, K., Roux, D.J., Hill, L., Swartz, E.R., Manuel, J. & Funke, N. (2011). Implementation Manual for Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas. Report to the Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

Du Preez, L. & Carruthers, V. (2009). A Complete Guide to the Frogs of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

EWT. (2016). Mammal Red List 2016. <u>www.ewt.org.za</u> (Accessed: December 2021).

EWT (Endangered Wildlife Trust). (2017). Threatened Amphibian Programme. (2015). The Southern African Frog Atlas Project <u>https://www.ewt.org.za/TAP/refrence.html</u> (SAFAP, now FrogMAP). <u>http://vmus.adu.org.za</u> (Accessed: November 2020).

Fish, L., Mashau, A.C., Moeaha, M.J. & Nembudani, M.T. (2015). Identification Guide to Southern African Grasses: An Identification Manual with Keys, Descriptions, and Distributions. SANBI, Pretoria.

Goff, F., Dawson, G., & Rochow, J. (1982). Site examination for threatened and endangered plant species. *Environmental Management, 6*(4), 307-316.

Griffiths, C., Day, J. & Picker, M. (2016). Freshwater Life: A Field Guide to the Plants and Animals of Southern Africa. Struik Nature, Cape Town.

Hockey, P.A.R., Dean, W.R.J. & Ryan, P.G. (Eds). (2005). Roberts – Birds of Southern Africa, VIIth ed. The Trustees of the John Voelcker Bird Book Fund, Cape Town.

IUCN. (2017). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. www.iucnredlist.org (Accessed: December 2021).

Johnson, S. & Bytebier, B. (2015). Orchids of South Africa: A Field Guide. Struik publishers, Cape Town.

LCPv2 (2019). Critical Biodiversity Areas for Limpopo (LCPv2_CBA_Layer.shp) - SANBI.

MammalMap. (2017). http://mammalmap.adu.org.za/ (Accessed: December 2021).

Measey, G.J. (2011). Ensuring a Future for South Africa's Frogs: A Strategy for Conservation Research. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Biodiversity Compliance Statement

the BIODIVERSITY company

Glencore Energy Conversion Project

Minter, L., Burger, M., Harrison, J.A. & Kloepfer, D. (2004). Atlas and Red Data Book of the Frogs of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Smithsonian Institute Avian Demography Unit, Washington; Cape Town.

Monadjem, A., Taylor, P.J., Coterrill, F.D.P. & Schoeman, C. (2010). Bats of southern and central Africa: a biogeographic and taxonomic synthesis. Wits University Press, Johannesburg.

Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (Eds.). (2006). The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelizia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria South African.

NBA. (2018). Terrestrial Ecosystem Threat Status 2018. <u>http://bgis.sanbi.org/</u>. (Accessed: December 2021).

Nel, J. L., Driver, A., Strydom, W. F., Maherry, A. M., Petersen, C. P., Hill, L., Roux, D. J., Nienaber, S., van Deventer, H., Swartz, E. R. & Smith-Adao, L. B. (2011). Atlas of Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas in South Africa: Maps to support sustainable development of water resources, WRC Report No. TT 500/11. Water Research Commission, Pretoria.

NPAES. (2011). National Protected Areas Expansion Strategy. <u>www.environment.gov.za</u> (Accessed: December 2021).

Pooley, E. (1998). A Field Guide to Wild Flowers: KwaZulu-Natal and Eastern Region. The Flora Publications Trust; ABC Bookshop, Durban.

Raimonde, D. (2009). Red list of South African Plants. SANBI, Pretoria.

SACAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. 2021. South Africa Protected Areas Database . Online available: [http://egis.environment.gov.za]

SANBI. (2016). Red List of South African Plants version 2017.1. Redlist.sanbi.org (Accessed: December 2021).

SANBI. (2017). Technical guidelines for CBA Maps: Guidelines for developing a map of Critical Biodiversity Areas & Ecological Support Areas using systematic biodiversity planning. Driver, A., Holness, S. & Daniels, F. (Eds). 1st Edition. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

SAPAD: Department of Environmental Affairs. (2021). South Africa Protected Areas Database. Online available: [http://egis.environment.gov.za]

Shisler, J.K., Jordan, R.A. and Wargo, R.N. (1987) Coastal wetland buffer delineation. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Coastal Resources, Trenton.

Skinner, J.D. & Chimimba, C.T. (2005). The Mammals of the Southern African Subregion (New Edition). Cambridge University Press, South Africa.

Skowno, A.L., Raimondo, D.C., Poole, C.J., Fizzotti, B. & Slingsby, J.A. (eds.). (2019). South African National Biodiversity Assessment 2018 Technical Report Volume 1: Terrestrial Realm. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

Smith, G.F., Chesselet, P., van Jaarsveld, E.J., Hartmann, H., Hammer, S., van Wyk, B., Burgoyne, P., Klak, C. & Kurzweil, H. (1998). Mesembs of the world. Briza Publishers, Pretoria.

Threatened Ecosystems: National Environmental Management Biodiversity Act: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection (G 34809, GoN 1002). (2011). Department of Environmental Affairs.



the BIODIVERSITY company

Glencore Energy Conversion Project

.

Van Oudtshoorn, F. (2004). Second Edition, Third Print. Guide to Grasses of South Africa. Briza Publications, Pretoria, RSA..

Van Wyk, B. & Van Wyk, P. (1997). Field guide to trees of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

Van Wyk, B. & Malan, S. (1997). Field Guide to the Wild Flowers of the Highveld: Also Useful in Adjacent Grassland and Thornveld, Struik Publishers, Cape Town.

Van Wyk, B-E., Van Oudtshoorn, B. & Gericke, N. (2013). Medicinal Plants of South Africa. Briza Publications, Pretoria.

Vlok, J., Grobler, A., Cowling, R, van der Merwe, S., Skowno, A.L., Dayaram, A. 2018. Technical Report: Integration of the Subtropical Thicket Ecosystem Project (STEP) vegetation types into the VEGMAP national vegetation map 2018.





9 Appendices

Appendix A Specialist declarations

DECLARATION

I, Lusanda Matee, declare that:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations, and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan, or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority.
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

fmaro.

Lusanda Matee Terrestrial Ecologist The Biodiversity Company January 2022





DECLARATION

I, Andrew Husted, declare that:

- I act as the independent specialist in this application;
- I will perform the work relating to the application in an objective manner, even if this results in views and findings that are not favourable to the applicant;
- I declare that there are no circumstances that may compromise my objectivity in performing such work;
- I have expertise in conducting the specialist report relevant to this application, including knowledge of the Act, regulations and any guidelines that have relevance to the proposed activity;
- I will comply with the Act, regulations and all other applicable legislation;
- I have no, and will not engage in, conflicting interests in the undertaking of the activity;
- I undertake to disclose to the applicant and the competent authority all material information in my possession that reasonably has or may have the potential of influencing any decision to be taken with respect to the application by the competent authority; and the objectivity of any report, plan or document to be prepared by myself for submission to the competent authority;
- All the particulars furnished by me in this form are true and correct; and
- I realise that a false declaration is an offence in terms of Regulation 71 and is punishable in terms of Section 24F of the Act.

Hat

Andrew Husted Terrestrial Ecologist The Biodiversity Company January 2022



Biodiversity Compliance Statement Glencore Energy Conversion Project

Appendix B: Specialists CVs

Lusanda Patrick Matee M.Sc Biological Sciences (*Cand Sci Nat*)

Cell: +27 66 225 6653 Email: lusanda@thebiodiversitycompany.com Identity Number: 8909175526080 Date of birth: 17 September 1989

Education

2012: BSc. Biological Sciences University of KwaZulu-Natal

Research Project: "Mapping the distribution of selected Southern African bat species"

2013: BSc. (Honours) Biological Sciences (Zoology) University of KwaZulu-Natal Research Project: "Sleeping patterns in selected South African avian species: Ring- necked Parakeets (*Psittacula krameri*), and Red-winged Starling (*Onychognathus morio*)"

2016: MSc by Research Biological Sciences University of KwaZulu-Natal

Research Project: "Lichen photobiology in relation to climate change: Protection in Peltigeralean lichens against excess ultraviolet (UV) radiation using induced melanins and the effects of UV on melanin synthesizing enzymes"

Master of Science (Masters by Research in Biological Sciences (Botany) SANCOOP Project, collaboration with Norwegian University of Life Sciences Department of Ecologyand Natural Resource Management

Other relevant courses and training

Advanced grassland identification

Riparian Vegetation Response Assessment Index in River EcoClassification (VEGRAI)

First Aid Level 1

Language Skills

English: 1st Language

isiXhosa: Home language

isiNdebele: Conversational and written command

isiZulu: Conversational and written command

Employment





Biodiversity Compliance Statement

Glencore Energy Conversion Project



May 2021- Present: Technical Specialist Subcontractor: Terrestrial Biodiversity (Fauna and Flora), The Biodiversity Company (TBC)

February 2021- May 2021: Freelance Terrestrial Biodiversity (Fauna and Flora) Specialist

September 2020- January 2021: Technical Specialist (Consultant VI): TerrestrialBiodiversity (Fauna and Flora), Digby Wells

November 2017-September 2020: Assistant Ecologist (Consultant), Digby Wells

June 2017- November 2017: Digby Wells Environmental Biophysical Intern (Ecology intern: Fauna and Flora), Digby Wells

2011-2016: Laboratory demonstrator & Teaching Assistant, University of KwaZulu-Natal

2012-2013: DNA Bar-coding Research Intern, South African National BiodiversityInstitute (SANBI)

Professional bodies and memberships

South African Council for Natural Scientific Professions, *Cand Natural Scientist in the field of practice Biological Sciences and Ecological Sciences*, the registration number is 119257 (Pending upgrade)

Golden Key International Honour Society, member ID number is 14254770.

Zoological Society of Southern Africa

South African Association of Botany (SAAB)

Publications

Matee, L. P., Beckett, R. P., Solhaug, K. A., & Minibayeva, F. V. (2016). Characterizationand role of Tyrosinases in the lichen *Lobaria Pulmonaria* (L.) Hoffm. The Lichenologist, 48(4), 311-322.





Selected Project Experience

Year	Client	Project	Responsibility	Location
2017	Sibanye Gold	Long-Term Rehabilitation and Closure Strategy for the Cooke Operations	Update of Rehab and Closure Plan	South Africa
2017	Mutsho Power Company (Pty) Ltd	Proposed Mutsho Power Project Wetland Baseline Scoping Report	Wetland Scoping Report Compilation	South Africa
2017	Randgold Resources	Kibali BLMP Audit	Assisting with Report Compilation	DRC
2017	Randgold Resources	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment for the Massawa and Sofia Gold Project, Senegal	Assisting with the Baseline Report Compilation	Senegal
2017	Exxaro	Exxaro Grootegeluk Coal Mine Exploration Drilling Sites Protected Tree Assessment	Protected Tree Infield Assessment	South Africa
2018	Exxaro	Alien Invasive Vegetation Assessment and Management Plan for the Matla Colliery	AlienInvasiveVegetationInfieldAssessmentandCompilationofManagement Plan	South Africa
2018	Sasol Mining	Alien Invasive Vegetation Assessment and Management Plan for the Sigma: Mooikraal Colliery	AlienInvasiveVegetationInfieldAssessmentandCompilationofManagement Plan	South Africa
2018	Anker Coal and Mineral Holdings SA (Pty) Ltd.	Alien Invasive Vegetation Assessment and Management Plan for the Elandsfontein Colliery	AlienInvasiveVegetationInfieldAssessmentandCompilationofManagement Plan	South Africa
2018	Total East Africa Midstream B. V	Social & Resettlement Services for East Africa Crude Oil Pipeline (Eacop) Project – Tanzania Section Phase 2	Database Manager	Tanzania
2018	Sasol Mining	Sasol Sigma Defunct Colliery Surface Mitigation Project: Proposed River Diversion and Flood Protection Berms	Fauna & Flora Specialist Study	South Africa
2018	Senorian 4th Investments (Pty) Ltd	Habitat Assessment for Roan Antelope	Flora specialist	South Africa
2019	Anglo American Coal South Africa (hereafter AACSA)	Vegetation assessment as part of a Land capability study	Flora specialist	South Africa
2019	Guard Risk	Technical review of financial provisions for closure (united Manganese of Kalahari)	Technical reviewer	South Africa
2019	PPC Ltd	Financial Provisions for closure update 2019	Calculated FP Estimates	South Africa





2019	Dagsoom Coal Mining (Pty) Ltd	Baseline Input in Support of Environmental Application Process for the Proposed Twyfelaar Coal Mining Project, Mpumalanga Province	Fauna & Flora Specialist Study	South Africa
2020	Debswana	Environmental Impact Assessment for the Jwaneng Post Cut 9 Underground Mine Project	Fauna & Flora Specialist	Botswana
2020	Debswana	Alien Invasive Vegetation Assessment and Management Plan for Debswana's (OLDM) Orapa Letlhakane and Damtshaa Mines	Alien Invasive Vegetation Infield Assessment and Compilation of Management Plan	Botswana
2020	MDT Environmental	Protected Flora Assessment for Exxaro Coal's (Exxaro) No. 3 pump station (existing) to Marapong's Potable Water Reservoir (existing)	Flora Specialist	South Africa
2020	Oklo Resources Limited	Baseline Fauna and Flora Assessment for the Dandoko Gold Exploration Project	Fauna & Flora Specialist	Mali
2020	Sasol Mining	Implementation of the Leeuspruit Risk Mitigation Measures Monitoring Plan and Sigma Environmental Secondment	Alien Invasive Vegetation Infield Assessment and Compilation of Management Plan	South Africa
2021	GreenScene	Vegetation assessment in support of the environmental authorisation process and to inform the development area for Ptn 4 of 14 Marburg	Flora Specialist and Compilation of Report	South Africa
2021	Isolendalo Enviro Consulting	Vegetation assessment in support of the environmental authorisation process and to inform the development area for Erf 1251 Shelley Beach	Flora Specialist and Compilation of Report	South Africa
2021	Velezinhle Consulting and Projects	Terrestrial & Freshwater Ecology Assessment Report for the Proposed Umuziwabantu Sport Field Project	Fauna & Flora Specialist and Compilation of Report	South Africa
2021	Basia Environmental	The Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment for The Proposed in Die Kom 345JQ and Spruitfontein 341JQ Mining Permit Applications	Fauna & Flora Specialist and Compilation of Report	South Africa
2021	EnviroPro	Barberton Mine Wetland and Terrestrial Baseline and Impact Assessment for proposed Solar PV	Fauna & Flora Specialist and Compilation of Report	South Africa





2021	WSP	Mortimer Smelter Contractor Laydown Area Vegetation Assessment	Flora Specialist and Compilation of Report	South Africa
2021	WSP	Two Rivers Platinum Mine Pipeline rerouting Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment	Fauna & Flora Specialist and Compilation of Report	South Africa



Andrew Husted M.Sc Aquatic Health (*Pr Sci Nat*)

Cell: +27 81 319 1225 Email: andrew@thebiodiversitycompany.com Identity Number: 7904195054081 Date of birth: 19 April 1979

Profile Summary

Working experience throughout South Africa, West and Central Africa and also Armenia.

Specialist experience with onshore drilling, mining, engineering, hydropower and renewable energy.

Experience with project management of national and international multi-disciplinary projects. Including managing and compiling ESHIAs and EMPs

Specialist guidance, support and facilitation for the compliance with legislative processes, for in-country requirements, and international lenders.

Specialist expertise include Instream Flow and Ecological Water Requirements, aquatic ecology and wetlands resources.

Areas of Interest

Mining, Oil & Gas, Renewable Energy & Bulk Services Infrastructure Development, Sustainability and Conservation.

Key Experience

- Familiar with World Bank, Equator Principles and the International Finance Corporation requirements
- Environmental, Social and Health Impact Assessments (ESHIA)
- Environmental Management Programmes (EMP)
- Ecological Water Requirement determination experience
- Wetland delineations and ecological assessments
 - Terrestrial Ecological Assessments
- Aquatic Ecological Assessments
- Rehabilitation Plans and Monitoring
- Aquaculture

Country Experience

Botswana, Cameroon Democratic Republic of Congo Ghana, Ivory Coast, Lesotho Liberia, Mali, Mozambique Nigeria, Republic of Armenia, Senegal Sierra Leone, South Africa Swaziland, Tanzania



Nationality

South African

Languages

English – Proficient

Afrikaans - Conversational

German - Basic

Qualifications

- MSc (University of Johannesburg) – Aquatic Health.
- BSc Honours (Rand Afrikaans University) – Aquatic Health
- BSc Natural Science
- Pr Sci Nat (400213/11)
- Certificate of Competence: Mondi Wetland Assessments
- Certificate of Competence: Wetland WET-Management
- SASS 5 (Expired) Department of Water Affairs and Forestry for the River Health Programme
- EcoStatus application for rivers and streams





Publication of scientific journals and articles.

SELECTED PROJECT EXPERIENCE

Project Name: The Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) the proposed Nondvo Dam

Client: WSP

Personal position / role on project: Project Manager.

Location: Swaziland

Main project features: To conduct a dual season terrestrial and aquatic ecological baseline and impact assessment for the proposed dam. The study was required to meet national and IFC requirements, including a Critical Habitat assessment.

Project Name: The environmental flow assessment for the Mara River system

Client: IHE Delft Institute for Water Education

Personal position / role on project: Project Manager / Freshwater Ecologist

Location: Tanzania

Main project features: To conduct a dual season campaign to the Lower Mara River Basin in Tanzania to collect hydrological and ecological information as part of an environmental flow assessment on the Tanzanian side of the Mara River in collaboration with GIZ and NBI-NELSAP.

Project Name: The Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) the proposed solar photovoltaic facility and transmission in Cuamba

Client: WSP

Personal position / role on project: Project Manager.

Location: Mozambique

Main project features: To conduct a single season terrestrial and aquatic ecological baseline and impact assessment for the proposed dam. The study was required to meet national and IFC requirements, including a Critical Habitat assessment.

Project Name: A biodiversity baseline assessment for the proposed Siguiri Gold Mine Project, in Kankan Province, Guinea.

Client: SRK Consulting.

Personal position / role on project: Project Manager.

Location: Siguiri, Guinea, West-Africa (2018).

Main project features: To conduct a dual season ecological baseline assessment for the expected impact footprint area. The study was required to meet national and IFC requirements, including a Critical Habitat assessment.





Project Name: A biodiversity baseline and impact assessment for the proposed Lesotho Bulk Water Supply Scheme, Lesotho.

Client: WSP.

Personal position / role on project: Wetland & Aquatic Ecologist, PROBFLO and Project Manager.

Location: Mohale's Hoek, Lesotho (2018).

Main project features: To conduct a dual season terrestrial and aquatic ecological baseline and impact assessment for the pipeline route and proposed weir. The study was required to meet national and IFC requirements, including a Critical Habitat assessment. The study also contributed to prescribing Instream Flow Requirements using PROBFLO for the system.

Project Name: A biodiversity baseline and impact assessment for the proposed Pavua Hydropower Project, in Sofala Province, Central Mozambique.

Client: Mott MacDonald.

Personal position / role on project: Project Manager.

Location: Sofala Province, Mozambique (2017).

Main project features: To conduct a dual season terrestrial and aquatic ecological baseline and impact assessment for the expected impact footprint area, including Gorongosa National. The study was required to meet national and IFC requirements, including a Critical Habitat assessment. The study also contributed to prescribing Instream Flow Requirements for the system.

EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE

CURRENT EMPLOYMENT: The Biodiversity Company (January 2015 – Present)

I founded The Biodiversity Company in 2015, now consisting of experienced ecologists who provide technical expertise and policy advice to numerous sectors, such as mining, agriculture, construction and natural resources. The team at The Biodiversity Company have conducted stand-alone specialist studies, and provided overall guidance of studies with a pragmatic approach for the management of biodiversity that takes into account all the relevant stakeholders, most importantly the environment that is potentially affected. We manage risks to the environment to reduce impacts with practical, relevant and measurable methods.

EMPLOYMENT: Digby Wells Environmental (October 2013 – December 2014)

Digby Wells assigned me to the role of Country Manager for the united Kingdom. This was a new endeavour for the company as the company's global footprint continues to increase. The primary responsibilities for the role included the following:

- **Client liaison** to be able to interact more efficiently and personally with current mining clients, mining industry service providers, legal firms and banking institutions in order to introduce Digby Wells as a services provider with the aim of securing work.
- Project management for international projects which may require a presence in the united Kingdom, this was dependent on the location and needs of the client. These projects would mostly be based on the Equator Principles (EP) and International Finance Corporation (IFC) Performance Standards.
- Technical input to provide specialist technical expertise for projects, this included fauna, aquatic ecology, wetlands and rehabilitation. Continued with the design and implementation of Biodiversity and Land Management Plans to assist clients with managing the natural





resources. Responsibilities also included the mentorship and management (including reviewing and guiding) other expertise such as flora, fauna and pedology.

EMPLOYMENT: Digby Wells Environmental (March 2012 – September 2013)

Manager of a multi-disciplinary department of scientists providing specialist services in support of national and international requirements as well as best practice guidelines, primarily focussing on the mining sector. In addition to managing the department, I was also expected to contribute specialist services, most notably focusing on water resources. Further responsibilities also included the management of numerous projects on a national or international scale. A general overview of the required responsibilities are as follows:

- Project management for single as well as multi-disciplinary studies on a national and international scale. This included legislation and commitments for the respective country being operated in, as well as included the World Bank (WB), EP and IFC requirements.
- Individual and/or team management in order to provide mentoring and supportive structures for development and growth in support of the company's strategic objectives.
- Scientific report writing to ensure that the relevant standards and requirements have been attained, namely local country legislation, as well as WB, EP and IFC requirements.
- **Report reviewing** in order to ensure compliance and consideration of relevant legislation and guidelines and also quality control.
- Specialist management to facilitate the collaboration and integration of specialist skills for the respective projects. This also included the development of Biodiversity and Land Management Plan for clients.
- Client Resource Manager for numerous clients in order to establish as well as maintain working relationships.

An overview of the tenure working with the company is provided below:

- October 2013 December 2014: London Operations Manager Deployed to establish a presence for the company (remote office) in the united Kingdom by means of generating project work to support the employment of staff and operation of a business structure.
- March 2012 September 2013: Biophysical Department Manager Responsible for the development and growth of the department to consist of four specialist units. This included the development of a new specialist unit, namely Rehabilitation.
- January 2011 February 2012: Ecological unit Manager In addition to implementing aquatic and wetland specialist services, the role required the overall management of additional specialist services which included fauna & flora.
- June 2010 December 2010: Aquatic Services Manager This required the marketing and implementation of specialist programmes for the client base such as biomonitoring and wetland off-set strategies. In addition to this, this also included expanding on the existing skill set to include services such as toxicity, bioaccumulation and ecological flow assessments.
- August 2008: Aquatic ecologist Employed as a specialist to establish the aquatic services within the company. In addition to this, wetland specialist services were added to the existing portfolio.

PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT: Econ@UJ (University of Johannesburg)

- June 2007 July 2008: Junior aquatic ecologist
 - o Researcher
 - Technical assistant for fieldwork
 - Reporting writing
 - Project management





ADDITIONAL EXPERIENCE

Compliance audits	Conducting site investigations in order to determine the level of compliance attained, ensuring that the client maintains an appropriate measure of compliance with environmental regulations by means of a legislative approach
Control officer	Acting as an independent Environmental Control Officer (ECO), acting as a quality controller and monitoring agent regarding all environmental concerns and associated environmental impacts
Screening studies	Project investigations in order to determine the level of complexity for the environmental and social studies required for a project. This is a form of risk assessment to guide the advancement of the project.
Public consultation	The provision of specialist input in order to communicate project findings as well as assist with providing feedback if and when required.
Water use licenses	Consultation with the relevant authorities in order to establish the project requirements, as well as provide specialist (aquatics/wetland) input for the application in order to achieve authorisation.
Closure	Primarily the review of closure projects, with emphasis on the closure cost calculations. Support was also provided by assisting with the measurements of structures during fieldwork.
Visual	The review of visual studies as well as the collation of field data to be considered for the visual interpretation for the project.

ACADEMIC QUALIFICATIONS

University of Johannesburg, Johannesburg, South Africa (2009): MAGISTER SCIENTIAE (MSc) - Aquatic Health:

Title: Aspects of the biology of the Bushveld Smallscale Yellowfish (Labeobarbus polylepis): Feeding biology and metal bioaccumulation in five populations.

Rand Afrikaans University (RAU), Johannesburg, South Africa (2004): BACCALAUREUS SCIENTIAE CUM HONORIBUS (Hons) – Zoology

Rand Afrikaans University (RAU), Johannesburg, South Africa (2001 - 2004): BACCALAUREUS SCIENTIAE IN NATURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES. Majors: Zoology and Botany.

PUBLICATIONS

Mahomed D, Husted A, Fry C, Downsa CT and O'Brien GC. 2019. Spatial shifts and habitat partitioning of ichthyofauna within the middle-lower region of the Pungwe Basin, Mozambique, Journal of Freshwater Ecology, 34:1, 685-702, DOI: 10.1080/02705060.2019.1673221

Tate RB and Husted, A. 2015. Aquatic Biomonitoring in the upper reaches of the Boesmanspruit, Carolina, Mpumalanga, South Africa. African Journal of Aquatic Science.





Tate RB and Husted A. 2013. Bioaccumulation of metals in *Tilapia zillii* (Gervai, 1848) from an impoundment on the Badeni River, Cote D'Iviore. African Journal of Aquatic Science.

O'Brien GC, Bulfin JB, Husted A. and Smit NJ. 2012. Comparative behavioural assessment of an established and new Tigerfish (*Hydrocynus vittatus*) population in two manmade lakes in the Limpopo catchment, Southern Africa. African Journal of Aquatic Science.

Tomschi, H, Husted, A, O'Brien, GC, Cloete, Y, Van Dyk C, Pieterse GM, Wepener V, Nel A and Reisinger U. 2009. Environmental study to establish the baseline biological and physical conditions of the Letsibogo Dam near Selebi Phikwe, Botswana. EC Multiple Framework Contract Beneficiaries.8 ACP BT 13 – Mining Sector (EDMS). Specific Contract N° 2008/166788. Beneficiary Country: Botswana. By: HPC HARRESS PICKEL CONSULT AG

Husted A. 2009. Aspects of the biology of the Bushveld Smallscale Yellowfish (*Labeobarbus polylepis*): Feeding biology and metal bioaccumulation in five populations. The University of Johannesburg (Thesis).





Appendix C: List of potential flor	al species	s expected	to occur	[,] in close	vicinity to	the
project area.						

Family	Species	IUCN	Diagnostic	Ecology
Lamiaceae	Clerodendrum ternatum	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Oleaceae	Olea europaea			Indigenous
Pteridaceae	Pellaea calomelanos	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Malpighiaceae	Triaspis glaucophylla	LC	climber; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Malvaceae	Grewia bicolor	LC	tree; shrub;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Panicum deustum	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Triraphis andropogonoides	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Crassulaceae	Crassula capitella	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Orthochilus leontoglossus	LC		Indigenous
Commelinaceae	Commelina africana	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Leonotis ocymifolia			Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Euclea sp.			
Lamiaceae	Syncolostemon cinereum	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigastrum costatum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Dyschoriste erecta	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Anacardiaceae	Searsia leptodictya	NE	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Calpurnia aurea	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Jamesbrittenia huillana	LC	shrub; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus cyperoides	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala wilmsii	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Leobordea hirsuta	LC		Indigenous; Endemic
Anacardiaceae	Ozoroa sphaerocarpa	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala hottentotta	LC	dwarf shrub; herb;	Indigenous
Rhamnaceae	Rhamnus prinoides	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Iridaceae	Gladiolus ecklonii	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Tinnea rhodesiana	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Vitex obovata	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Echinochloa crus-galli	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Pteridaceae	Cheilanthes hirta			Indigenous
Pteridaceae	Cheilanthes involuta	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Leskeaceae	Lindbergia sp.			
Verbenaceae	Lantana rugosa	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Orobanchaceae	Alectra sp.			
Asteraceae	Felicia clavipilosa	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia discolor	LC	shrub; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Disa cooperi	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous



Biodiversity Compliance Statement

Glencore Energy Conversion Project



Acanthaceae	Dicliptera fruticosa	NT	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Crassulaceae	Kalanchoe luciae	LC	succulent; shrub;	Indigenous
Pottiaceae	Syntrichia chisosa		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Barleria ovata	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Senecio digitalifolius	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Vitaceae	Rhoicissus sekhukhuniensis	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Iridaceae	Gladiolus reginae	CR	geophyte;	Indigenous; Endemic
Rubiaceae	Pavetta glaucophylla			Indigenous; Endemic
Stilbaceae	Halleria lucida	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Melastomataceae	Dissotis canescens	LC	shrub; herb;	Indigenous
Vitaceae	Rhoicissus sp.			
Poaceae	Aristida rhiniochloa	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Leobordea foliosa	LC		Indigenous
Santalaceae	Viscum verrucosum	LC	succulent; parasite; shrub;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Barleria rotundifolia	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Orchidaceae	Habenaria clavata	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Polydora angustifolia	LC		Indigenous
Santalaceae	Thesium impeditum	LC	herb; parasite; shrub;	Indigenous
Rutaceae	Calodendrum capense	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Hypoxidaceae	Hypoxis rigidula	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia sekhukhuniensis	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Asteraceae	Euryops brevipapposus	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Hilliardiella nudicaulis	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Fabaceae	Crotalaria sphaerocarpa	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Begoniaceae	Begonia sutherlandii			Indigenous
Fabaceae	Tephrosia polystachya	LC	herb; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Hypoxidaceae	Hypoxis sp.			
Cucurbitaceae	Cucumis zeyheri	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Crassulaceae	Crassula vaginata	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Enneapogon scoparius	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Phyllanthaceae	Phyllanthus sp.			
Iridaceae	Watsonia pulchra	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Loranthaceae	Tapinanthus quequensis	LC	parasite; shrub;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Ceropegia ampliata	LC	succulent; climber;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Kleinia stapeliiformis	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Hyacinthaceae	Ornithogalum paludosum	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Stachys caffra	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Convolvulaceae	lpomoea bathycolpos	LC	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Iridaceae	Freesia grandiflora		geophyte; herb;	Indigenous



Biodiversity Compliance Statement

Glencore Energy Conversion Project



Malvaceae	Hermannia montana	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Lamiaceae	Aeollanthus buchnerianus	LC	succulent; herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Tagetes minuta		herb;	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Poaceae	Eulalia villosa	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Aizoaceae	Delosperma sp.		succulent;	
Hyacinthaceae	Ledebouria atropurpurea	LC		Indigenous; Endemic
Colchicaceae	Ornithoglossum vulgare	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hermannia modesta	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Agapanthaceae	Agapanthus campanulatus			Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus schlechteri	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Iridaceae	Gladiolus dalenii	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Crossandra greenstockii	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Hyparrhenia anamesa	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Orobanchaceae	Striga gesnerioides	LC	parasite; herb;	Indigenous
Santalaceae	Viscum combreticola	LC	succulent; parasite; shrub;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Syncolostemon sp.			
Apocynaceae	Schizoglossum bidens	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Eragrostis capensis	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Araceae	Stylochaeton natalensis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Maesaceae	Maesa lanceolata	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Habenaria tridens	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Aspidoglossum albocoronatum			Indigenous; Endemic
Cyperaceae	Cyperus congestus	LC	cyperoid; helophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Laggera decurrens	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Aytoniaceae	Asterella sp.			
Asteraceae	Cirsium vulgare		herb;	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Rubiaceae	Anthospermum welwitschii	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Dolichos peglerae	LC	climber; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Orobanchaceae	Striga asiatica	LC	parasite; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Senecio oxyriifolius	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Verbena brasiliensis		herb;	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Fabaceae	Senna septemtrionalis	NE	dwarf shrub; shrub; tree;	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Asphodelaceae	Trachyandra saltii	LC	succulent; geophyte;	Indigenous
Capparaceae	Maerua cafra	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Amphiglossa triflora	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Neckeraceae	Orthostichella pandurifolia		epiphyte; bryophyte;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Grewia flava	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Pteridaceae	Cheilanthes viridis	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous





Lemiesse	Ooimum tubiformo		abruh	Indigonous Endomia
Lamiaceae			shrub;	indigenous; Endemic
Celastraceae	Maytenus albata		shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Eulophia ovalis		geopnyte; nerb;	
Asteraceae	Berkneya subulata	NE	nerb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Orchidaceae	Brownleea parviflora	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Crotalaria monteiroi	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Orthosiphon fruticosus	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Solanaceae	Lycium horridum	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Vitaceae	Cyphostemma woodii	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Dyschoriste perrottetii		herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Orthochilus aculeatus	LC		Indigenous
Poaceae	Andropogon eucomus	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Rothmannia capensis	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Pteridaceae	Cheilanthes hirta	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Tarchonanthus sp.			
Euphorbiaceae	Dalechampia galpinii	LC	dwarf shrub; climber; herb;	Indigenous
Geraniaceae	Pelargonium multicaule	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Apocynaceae	Schizoglossum sp.			
Asteraceae	Helichrysum harveyanum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera alternans	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus keniensis	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; helophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Orobanchaceae	Alectra orobanchoides	LC		Indigenous
Cleomaceae	Cleome angustifolia	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asphodelaceae	Aloe longibracteata		succulent;	Indigenous; Endemic
Acanthaceae	Crabbea angustifolia	LC	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Salicaceae	Populus alba		tree;	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Convolvulaceae	Xenostegia tridentata	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Geraniaceae	Pelargonium dolomiticum	LC	succulent; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Vangueria infausta	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Salvia runcinata	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Satyrium hallackii	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Aytoniaceae	Plagiochasma rupestre		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Helichrysum chrysargyrum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Buddleja saligna	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Geraniaceae	Monsonia angustifolia	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Proteaceae	Protea welwitschii	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Bryaceae	Bryum cellulare		bryophyte; hydrophyte;	Indigenous
Aspleniaceae	Asplenium stoloniferum	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb:	Indigenous



Biodiversity Compliance Statement



Apocynaceae	Orbea carnosa	LC	succulent;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Parapolydora fastigiata			Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Tetraselago longituba	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hibiscus pusillus	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Pachycarpus concolor	LC		Indigenous
Fabaceae	Rhynchosia nitens	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Euclea sekhukhuniensis		suffrutex;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus difformis	LC	helophyte; mesophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Pavetta schumanniana	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia keetii	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Fabaceae	Lotononis laxa	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Tetradenia brevispicata	LC	succulent; shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Crassulaceae	Crassula brevifolia	LC	succulent; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Bewsia biflora	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia batophylla	VU	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Ebenaceae	Diospyros lycioides	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Apocynaceae	Cynanchum ellipticum	LC	climber;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Cynodon dactylon	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala producta	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Iridaceae	Watsonia wilmsii	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Asteraceae	Gerbera jamesonii	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Argyrolobium wilmsii	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Pottiaceae	Barbula bolleana		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Senecio hieracioides	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Bryaceae	Rosulabryum capillare			Indigenous
Hyacinthaceae	Ledebouria dolomiticola	VU	geophyte;	Indigenous; Endemic
Combretaceae	Combretum sp.			
Fabaceae	Indigofera hedyantha	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Proteaceae	Faurea saligna	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Orobanchaceae	Striga bilabiata			Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Croton gratissimus	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Dyschoriste fischeri	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Lippia wilmsii	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Huernia stapelioides	LC	succulent;	Indigenous
Amaryllidaceae	Cyrtanthus stenanthus		geophyte;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Pearsonia cajanifolia	LC	herb; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Arrowsmithia tenuifolia		shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Rubiaceae	Pentanisia prunelloides	LC	herb;	Indigenous





Fabaceae	Ormocarpum kirkii	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Capparaceae	Boscia foetida	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Brachylaena ilicifolia	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Ochnaceae	Ochna inermis	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Blepharis subvolubilis	LC	herb; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Moraceae	Ficus sur	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Aspidoglossum interruptum	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Sapindaceae	Hippobromus pauciflorus	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia engleri	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous; Endemic
Leucobryaceae	Campylopus pilifer		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Pedaliaceae	Holubia saccata	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia rigida	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Acanthaceae	Barleria senensis	LC	herb; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Pearsonia uniflora	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Hyacinthaceae	Ledebouria humifusa	LC		Indigenous; Endemic
Asphodelaceae	Aloe pretoriensis	LC	succulent; herb; shrub;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Ursinia nana	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Dipsacaceae	Scabiosa columbaria	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Amaryllidaceae	Haemanthus montanus	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera lydenburgensis	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Solanaceae	Withania somnifera	LC	herb; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Piperaceae	Peperomia retusa	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Melhania rehmannii	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hermannia umbratica	LC	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Asteraceae	Pseudopegolettia tenella			Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Plectranthus hadiensis	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Cucurbitaceae	Cucumis africanus	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Santalaceae	Thesium goetzeanum	LC	shrub; parasite; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hibiscus meyeri	LC	herb; shrub;	Indigenous
Solanaceae	Solanum retroflexum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asphodelaceae	Aloe globuligemma	LC	succulent; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Indigofera frondosa	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia lucida	NE	tree; shrub;	Indigenous
Solanaceae	Solanum aculeatissimum		shrub;	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Apocynaceae	Asclepias cultriformis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Myrothamnaceae	Myrothamnus flabellifolius	DD	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Mundulea sericea	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Apiaceae	Heteromorpha arborescens			Indigenous



Biodiversity Compliance Statement

Glencore Energy Conversion Project



Fabaceae	Vachellia grandicornuta	LC		Indigenous
Oleaceae	Jasminum quinatum	LC	climber; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Cyperaceae	Cyperus cyperoides	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; herb:	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala sp.		,	
Rutaceae	Thamnosma africana	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala krumanina	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Rubiaceae	Pentanisia angustifolia	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asphodelaceae	Aloe pienaarii		succulent;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Fimbristylis dichotoma	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; helophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Asparagaceae	Asparagus densiflorus	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Cucurbitaceae	Cucumis myriocarpus	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Thymelaeaceae	Lasiosiphon capitatus	LC		Indigenous
Celastraceae	Mystroxylon aethiopicum	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Burseraceae	Commiphora mollis	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Peltophorum africanum	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Dichrostachys cinerea	NE	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Amaranthaceae	Dysphania schraderiana		herb;	Indigenous
Balsaminaceae	Impatiens hochstetteri			Indigenous
Ericaceae	Erica leucopelta	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Kyllinga melanosperma	LC	helophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Helichrysum nudifolium	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Ranunculaceae	Ranunculus multifidus	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Eragrostis curvula	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Bignoniaceae	Rhigozum sp.			
Funariaceae	Funaria bergiana		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Apiaceae	Alepidea setifera	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Chaenostoma floribundum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Ursinia nana	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Leucobryaceae	Campylopus pilifer			Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus decurvatus	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Orobanchaceae	Graderia subintegra	LC	suffrutex; parasite; herb;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Loudetia simplex	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Crotalaria monteiroi	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Fimbristylis ferruginea	LC	cyperoid; helophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Euclea crispa	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Malpighiaceae	Triaspis hypericoides	LC	climber; shrub;	Indigenous
Crassulaceae	Crassula alba	NE	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Loranthaceae	Tapinanthus forbesii	LC	succulent; parasite; shrub;	Indigenous





Asphodelaceae	Aloe castanea	LC	succulent; shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Celastraceae	Maytenus deflexa	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous; Endemic
Anemiaceae	Mohria vestita	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hermannia cristata	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hermannia staurostemon	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Merremia kentrocaulos	LC	climber;	Indigenous
Pteridaceae	Cheilanthes dolomiticola	LC	lithophyte; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Orchidaceae	Mystacidium capense	LC	epiphyte; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Seriphium plumosum		shrub;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus austro-africanus	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Lobeliaceae	Lobelia erinus	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Burseraceae	Commiphora glandulosa	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Hedwigiaceae	Braunia secunda		epiphyte; bryophyte;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Schistostephium rotundifolium	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Phyllanthaceae	Flueggea virosa	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Aquifoliaceae	llex mitis	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Senecio microglossus	LC	herb; shrub;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Justicia odora	LC	herb; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Aristida diffusa	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Gentianaceae	Sebaea leiostyla	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Tetraselago wilmsii	LC	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Orchidaceae	Habenaria lithophila	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Ceropegia stapeliiformis	LC	succulent; climber;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Hebenstretia dura	LC	shrub; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Selaginellaceae	Selaginella mittenii	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Crabbea acaulis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Melinis repens	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Senna italica	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asparagaceae	Asparagus suaveolens	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Carissa bispinosa	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Grewia vernicosa	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Orchidaceae	Eulophia speciosa	LC	succulent; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Sphenostylis angustifolia	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia rigida	LC	tree; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Apocynaceae	Pachycarpus scaber	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Helichrysum splendidum	LC	herb; shrub;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Schoenoplectus brachyceras	LC	helophyte; cyperoid; emergent hydrophyte; herb:	Indigenous





Fabaceae	Vachellia gerrardii			Indigenous
Bryaceae	Brachymenium pulchrum		bryophyte; epiphyte;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala virgata	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Thymelaeaceae	Passerina montana	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Jatropha latifolia	NE	succulent; herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Hyacinthaceae	Dipcadi viride	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Droseraceae	Drosera madagascariensis	LC	carnivore; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Dicoma anomala	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Gomphocarpus tomentosus	LC	herb; shrub;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Piaranthus atrosanguineus	LC	succulent;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Felicia mossamedensis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Thymelaeaceae	Lasiosiphon caffer	LC		Indigenous
Icacinaceae	Apodytes dimidiata	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Entodontaceae	Entodon macropodus			Indigenous
Kirkiaceae	Kirkia wilmsii	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Pavetta lanceolata	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Hyacinthaceae	Drimia intricata	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Iridaceae	Freesia grandiflora	LC		Indigenous
Geraniaceae	Pelargonium luridum	LC	succulent; geophyte;	Indigenous
Ricciaceae	Riccia okahandjana		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Gesneriaceae	Streptocarpus vandeleurii	LC	lithophyte; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Fabaceae	Pseudarthria hookeri	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Berkheya zeyheri	NE	herb;	Indigenous
Passifloraceae	Adenia fruticosa	NT	tree; succulent; climber; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Crassulaceae	Kalanchoe rotundifolia	LC	succulent; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Iridaceae	Gladiolus densiflorus	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Combretaceae	Combretum molle	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Eulophia ovalis	LC	herb; geophyte;	Indigenous
Iridaceae	Freesia laxa	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Orbea carnosa	LC	succulent;	Indigenous; Endemic
Fabaceae	Indigofera sanguinea	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hibiscus trionum		herb;	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Malvaceae	Corchorus asplenifolius	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Celastraceae	Gymnosporia sp.			
Amaranthaceae	Cyphocarpa angustifolia	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Nemesia zimbabwensis	EN		Indigenous
Asteraceae	Athrixia phylicoides	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Kohautia caespitosa	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Meliaceae	Turraea obtusifolia	LC	climber; shrub; tree;	Indigenous



Biodiversity Compliance Statement

Glencore Energy Conversion Project



Malvaceae	Melhania randii	LC	dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Bignoniaceae	Tecoma stans	NE	shrub; tree;	Not indigenous; Cultivated; Naturalised; Invasive
Polygonaceae	Rumex sagittatus	LC	climber; herb;	Indigenous
Pottiaceae	Trichostomum brachydontium		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Combretaceae	Combretum hereroense		shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Geraniaceae	Pelargonium acraeum	LC	succulent; shrub;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Raphionacme galpinii	LC	succulent; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Velloziaceae	Xerophyta retinervis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Santalaceae	Viscum rotundifolium	LC	succulent; parasite; shrub;	Indigenous
Sapotaceae	Englerophytum magalismontanum	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Premna mooiensis	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Fuirena pubescens	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; helophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Rhynchosia minima	NE	climber; herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Osteospermum auriculatum	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Asparagaceae	Asparagus laricinus	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Linderniaceae	Craterostigma wilmsii	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Rutaceae	Vepris reflexa	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Araliaceae	Cussonia paniculata	LC	succulent; tree;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Asclepias adscendens	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Leskeaceae	Pseudoleskeopsis claviramea		epiphyte; bryophyte;	Indigenous
Oleaceae	Jasminum multipartitum	LC	climber; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Fingerhuthia africana	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Hyacinthaceae	Dipcadi rigidifolium	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Eulophia streptopetala	LC	succulent; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Priva flabelliformis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Celastraceae	Maytenus undata	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Senecio conrathii	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Petalidium oblongifolium	LC	herb; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Ricciaceae	Riccia atropurpurea		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Senegalia ataxacantha	LC		Indigenous
Sapotaceae	Mimusops zeyheri	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Argyrolobium transvaalense	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Rhamnaceae	Helinus integrifolius	LC	climber; shrub;	Indigenous
Rhamnaceae	Phylica paniculata	LC	tree; shrub;	Indigenous
Rhamnaceae	Berchemia zeyheri	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Berkheya echinacea	LC	herb;	Indigenous
• •	Afroaster hispidus	LC		Indigenous



Biodiversity Compliance Statement



Asteraceae	Lactuca inermis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Cephalanthus sp.			
Poaceae	Cymbopogon nardus	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Asphodelaceae	Aloe sp.		succulent;	
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia schinzii	LC	succulent; dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Rotheca louwalbertsii	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Iridaceae	Hesperantha coccinea	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia tumulicola	NE	tree; shrub;	Indigenous
Polypodiaceae	Pleopeltis macrocarpa	LC	lithophyte; epiphyte; herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Bolusanthus speciosus	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Syncolostemon concinnus	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Ricciaceae	Riccia nigrella		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Jamesbrittenia silenoides	LC	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Apocynaceae	Aspidoglossum sp.			
Phyllanthaceae	Phyllanthus parvulus	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Dyschoriste rogersii	LC	dwarf shrub; shrub;	Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Lippia rehmannii	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Oliniaceae	Olinia rochetiana		shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Euclea undulata	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Otholobium wilmsii	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Celastraceae	Gymnosporia tenuispina	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Artemisia afra	LC	herb; shrub;	Indigenous
Convolvulaceae	Seddera suffruticosa	LC	herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Aytoniaceae	Asterella muscicola		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala sekhukhuniensis	VU		Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Rabdosiella calycina	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Pycnostachys reticulata	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Waltheria indica	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Eulophia parvilabris	LC	geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Orchidaceae	Eulophia hereroensis	LC	succulent; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Salvia reflexa		herb;	Not indigenous; Naturalised; Invasive
Scrophulariaceae	Jamesbrittenia macrantha	NT	shrub; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Lamiaceae	Leonotis ocymifolia	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Vitaceae	Rhoicissus tridentata	NE	climber;	Indigenous
Asparagaceae	Asparagus lynetteae	LC	scrambler;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Vigna vexillata	LC	climber; herb;	Indigenous
Combretaceae	Combretum zeyheri	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Elephantorrhiza praetermissa	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic





Cyperaceae	Cyperus eragrostis		helophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Not indigenous; Naturalised
Pedaliaceae	Sesamum triphyllum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Malvaceae	Hibiscus microcarpus	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Capparaceae	Boscia albitrunca	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Cucurbitaceae	Cucumis anguria	LC	climber; herb;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Eragrostis patentipilosa	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Orobanchaceae	Striga bilabiata	LC	parasite; herb;	Indigenous
Poaceae	Bothriochloa insculpta	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala sphenoptera	LC	dwarf shrub; herb;	Indigenous
Fabaceae	Pearsonia aristata	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Agavaceae	Chlorophytum cyperaceum	LC	herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Piperaceae	Peperomia tetraphylla	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia zeyheri	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Apocynaceae	Sisyranthus randii	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Oleaceae	Olea capensis	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Geigeria burkei	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Ficinia stolonifera	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Boraginaceae	Cynoglossum lanceolatum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Oliniaceae	Olinia emarginata	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Commelinaceae	Commelina africana	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asphodelaceae	Bulbine latifolia	LC	succulent; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous; Endemic
Sapindaceae	Allophylus africanus	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Emilia transvaalensis	LC	suffrutex; herb;	Indigenous
Oxalidaceae	Oxalis semiloba	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Dicoma anomala	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Stilbaceae	Nuxia gracilis	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Cyperaceae	Isolepis costata	LC	helophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Verbenaceae	Lippia javanica	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Euclea linearis	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Ebenaceae	Diospyros whyteana	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Rhamnaceae	Ziziphus mucronata	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Selaginellaceae	Selaginella dregei	LC	lithophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Celastraceae	Robsonodendron eucleiforme	LC	tree;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Securidaca longepedunculata	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Euphorbia enormis	LC	succulent; shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Asteraceae	Gymnanthemum corymbosum	LC		Indigenous
Asteraceae	Schistostephium crataegifolium	LC	suffrutex; herb;	Indigenous





Ebenaceae	Euclea daphnoides	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Hyacinthaceae	Dipcadi papillatum	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Helichrysum aureolum	LC	herb; shrub;	Indigenous
Hypoxidaceae	Hypoxis interjecta	LC	geophyte;	Indigenous; Endemic
Boraginaceae	Cynoglossum hispidum	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Lobeliaceae	Lobelia vanreenensis	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Asteraceae	Psiadia punctulata	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Ranunculaceae	Clematis hirsuta			Indigenous
Crassulaceae	Crassula sarcocaulis	LC	succulent; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous
Anacardiaceae	Searsia wilmsii	LC	shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Poaceae	Stipagrostis hirtigluma	LC	graminoid;	Indigenous
Phyllanthaceae	Phyllanthus parvulus			Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Secamone parvifolia	LC	climber;	Indigenous
Euphorbiaceae	Jatropha latifolia	NE	succulent; herb; dwarf shrub;	Indigenous; Endemic
Crassulaceae	Crassula acinaciformis	LC	succulent; herb;	Indigenous
Polygalaceae	Polygala ohlendorfiana	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Scrophulariaceae	Limosella maior	LC	hydrophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Moraceae	Ficus ingens			Indigenous
Pteridaceae	Pteris buchananii	LC	hydrophyte; geophyte; herb;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Leonotis pentadentata	LC		Indigenous
Solanaceae	Solanum campylacanthum			Indigenous
Combretaceae	Terminalia prunioides	LC	shrub; tree;	Indigenous
Pottiaceae	Tortula atrovirens		bryophyte;	Indigenous
Araliaceae	Cussonia natalensis	LC	succulent; tree;	Indigenous
Solanaceae	Solanum anguivi	LC	shrub;	Indigenous
Apocynaceae	Huernia zebrina	LC	succulent;	Indigenous; Endemic
Leucobryaceae	Campylopus sp.			
Asteraceae	Helichrysum athrixiifolium	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Lamiaceae	Karomia speciosa	NE	shrub;	Indigenous
Cyperaceae	Cyperus rupestris	LC	mesophyte; cyperoid; herb;	Indigenous
Rubiaceae	Rubia horrida	LC	herb;	Indigenous
Acanthaceae	Dyschoriste sp.			

