ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: PROPOSED TAFELKOP SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC FACILITY, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

TRANSPORT STUDY

March 2023
First Issue

Prepared by:

JG AFRIKA (PTY) LTD

Branch: Cape Town
PO Box 38561
Postal code: 7430
Telephone: 021 530 1800

VERIFICATION PAGE	Qual-frm-026
	Rev 14

TITLE:
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT: PROPOSED TAFELKOP SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC FACILITY, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

JGA REF. NO.	DATE:	REPORT STATUS
5750	20/03/2023	First Issue

CARRIED OUT BY:	COMMISSIONED BY:
JG AFRIKA (PTY) LTD **CAPE TOWN** 14 Central Square Pinelands 7405 Tel.: +27 21 530 1800 Email: johnsona@jgafrika.com	**Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd** **WOODMEAD** First Floor, Block 2 5 Woodlands Drive Office Park Cnr Woodlands Drive & Western Service Road Woodmead, 2191 Tel: 27 011 656 3237 Email: carina@savannahsa.com
AUTHOR	**CLIENT CONTACT PERSON**
S Patandin	C de Ornelas

SYNOPSIS

Preparation of a Transport Study for the Proposed Tafelkop Solar Photovoltaic Facility in the Northern Cape Province, pertaining to all relevant traffic and transportation engineering aspects.

KEY WORDS:

Environmental Impact Assessment Report, Solar Energy, Transport Study, Photovoltaic, PV

QUALITY VERIFICATION

This report has been prepared under the controls established by a quality management system that meets the requirements of ISO 9001: 2015 which has been independently certified by DEKRA Certification.

Verification	Capacity	Name	Signature	Date
By Author:	Technologist	S Patandin		20/03/2023
Checked by:	Associate	A Johnson		20/03/2023
Authorised by:	Technical Director	D Murphy		20/03/2023
Filename:	https://jgafrika.sharepoint.com/sites/Job5750-team-100-WIP-Internal-Eng/Shared Documents/100-WIP-Internal-Eng/104-Studies/EIA/5750_Crossroads_Tafelkop PV_EIA_20032023.docx			

Report template version: 2017-10-30

PROPOSED TAFELKOP SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC FACILITY, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLES

FIGURES

ANNEXURES

PROPOSED TAFELKOP SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC FACILITY, NORTHERN CAPE PROVINCE

1 INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

1.1 Scope and Objectives

Tafelkop (Pty) Ltd (a consortium consisting of Akuo Energy Afrique, Africoast Investments and Golden Sunshine Trading) proposed to develop the Tafelkop Solar PV Facility and its associated electrical infrastructure on Portion 3 of the Farm Grass Pan 40 in the Renosterberg Local Municipality in the greater Pixley ka Seme District Municipality in the Northern Cape Province, as shown in **Figure 1-1.**

A technically suitable project site of ~1703ha has been identified by Akuo Energy Afrique for the establishment of the Tafelkop Solar PV Facility with a contracted capacity of up to 240MW.



Figure 1-1: Proposed Tafelkop Solar PV Facility

The project site is located approximately 20km north of Philipstown and 30km west of Petrusville and within the Central Transmission Corridor. The Project (Tafelkop Solar PV Facility) is part of a cluster known as the Crossroads Green Energy. The Cluster entails the development of up to 21 solar energy facilities, each up to 240MW in capacity, and each including grid connection infrastructure connecting the facilities to the proposed Hydra B Substation.

As part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process undertaken, the services of a Transportation Specialist are required to conduct a Transport Study.

The following two main transportation activities will be investigated:

- Abnormal load vehicles transporting components to the site.
- The transportation of construction materials, equipment and people to and from the site/facility.

The transport study will aim to provide the following objectives:

- Assess activities related to traffic movement for the construction and operation (maintenance) phases of the facility.
- Recommend a preliminary route for the transportation of the components to the proposed site.
- Recommend a preliminary transportation route for the transportation of materials, equipment and people to site.
- Recommend alternative or secondary routes where possible.

1.2 Terms of Reference

General:

A specialist report prepared in terms of the Regulations must contain the following:

(a) details of-
  (i) the specialist who prepared the report; and
  (ii) the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae;
(b) a declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;
(c) an indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;
  (cA) an indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report
  (cB) a description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change;
(d) the duration date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;
(e) a description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;
(f) details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;
(g) an identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;
(h) a map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;
(i) a description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;

(j) a description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity or activities;
(k) any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;
(l) any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;
(m) any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;
(n) a reasoned opinion-
  (i) whether the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised; and (considering impacts and expected cumulative impacts).
  (iA) regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities, and
  (ii) if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr, and where applicable, the closure plan;
(o) a description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;
(p) a summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and
(q) any other information requested by the competent authority.

Specific:

- Extent of the transport study and study area;
- The proposed development;
- Trip generation for the facility during construction and operation;
- Traffic impact on external road network;
- Accessibility and turning requirements;
- National and local haulage routes;
- Assessment of internal roads and site access;
- Assessment of freight requirements and permitting needed for abnormal loads; and
- Traffic accommodation during construction.

1.3 Approach and Methodology

The report deals with the traffic impact on the surrounding road network in the vicinity of the site:

- during the construction of the access roads;
- construction of the facility; and
- operation and maintenance during the operational phase.

This transport study was informed by the following:

<u>Site Visit and Project Assessment</u>

- Overview of project background information including location maps, component specs and any possible resulting abnormal loads to be transported.

- Research of all available documentation and information relevant to the proposed facility; and
- Site visit to gain sound understanding of the project.

The transport study considered and assessed the following:

Traffic and Haul Route Assessment

- Estimation of trip generation;
- Discussion on potential traffic impacts;
- Assessment of possible haul routes; and
- Construction and operational (maintenance) vehicle trips.

Site layout, Access Points and Internal Roads Assessment per Site

- Description of the surrounding road network;
- Description of site layout;
- Assessment of the proposed access points; and
- Assessment of the proposed internal roads on site.

1.4 Assumptions and Limitations

The following assumptions and limitations apply:

- This study is based on the project information provided by Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd.
- According to the Eskom Specifications for Power Transformers (Eskom Power Series, Volume 5: Theory, Design, Maintenance and Life Management of Power Transformers), the following dimensional limitations need to be kept when transporting the transformer – total maximum height 5 000mm, total maximum width 4 300 mm and total maximum length 10 500 mm.
- Maximum vertical height clearances along the haulage route is 5.2 m for abnormal loads.
- Imported elements will be transported from the most feasible port of entry, which is deemed to be Port of Ngqura.
- If any elements are manufactured within South Africa, these will be transported from their respective manufacturing centres, which would be either in the greater Johannesburg area for the transformer, inverter and the support structures and in Pinetown/Durban, Cape Town or Johannesburg for the PV modules.
- All haulage trips will occur on either surfaced national and provincial roads or existing gravel roads.
- Construction materials will be sourced locally as far as possible.

1.5 Source of Information

Information used in a transport study includes:

- Project Information provided by the Client;
- Google Earth.kmz provided by the Client;
- Google Earth Satellite Imagery;
- Road Traffic Act, 1996 (Act No. 93 of 1996)

- National Road Traffic Regulations, 2000
- SANS 10280/NRS 041-1:2008 - Overhead Power Lines for Conditions Prevailing in South Africa
- The Technical Recommendations for Highways (TRH 11): “Draft Guidelines for Granting of Exemption Permits for the Conveyance of Abnormal Loads and for other Events on Public Roads
- Information gathered during the site visit; and
- Project research of all available information.

2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT ASPECTS RELEVANT TO THE TRANSPORT STUDY

2.1 Port of Entry

It is assumed that if components are imported to South Africa, it will be via the Port of Ngqura, which is located in the Eastern Cape. The Port is located approximately 425km from the proposed site. The Port of Ngqura is a world-class deep-water transshipment hub offering an integrated, efficient and competitive port service for containers on transit. The Port forms part of the Coega Industrial Development Zone (CIDZ) and is operated by Transnet National Ports Authority.

2.2 Transportation requirements

It is anticipated that the following vehicles will access the site during construction:

- Conventional trucks within the freight limitations to transport building material to the site;
- 40ft container trucks transporting solar panels, frames and the inverter, which are within freight limitations;
- Flatbed trucks transporting the solar panels and frames, which are within the freight limitations;
- Light Differential Vehicle (LDV) type vehicles transporting workers from surrounding areas to site;
- Drilling machines and other required construction machinery being transported by conventional trucks or via self-drive to site; and
- The transformers will be transported as abnormal loads.

2.3 Abnormal Load Considerations

It is expected that the transformers will be transported with an abnormal load vehicle. Abnormal permits are required for vehicles exceeding the following permissible maximum dimensions on road freight transport in terms of the Road Traffic Act (Act No. 93 of 1996) and the National Road Traffic Regulations, 2000:

- Length: 22m for an interlink, 18.5m for truck and trailer and 13.5m for a single unit truck
- Width: 2.6m
- Height: 4.3m measured from the ground. Possible height of load – 2.7m.
- Weight: Gross vehicle mass of 56t resulting in a payload of approximately 30t
- Axle unit limitations: 18t for dual and 24t for triple-axle units
- Axle load limitation: 7.7t on the front axle and 9t on the single or rear axles

Any dimension / mass outside the above will be classified as an Abnormal Load and will necessitate an application to the Department of Transport and Public Works for a permit that will give authorisation for the conveyance of said load. A permit is required for each Province that the haulage route traverses.

2.4 Further Guideline Documentation

The Technical Recommendations for Highways (TRH 11): “Draft Guidelines for Granting of Exemption Permits for the Conveyance of Abnormal Loads and for other Events on Public Roads” outlines the rules and conditions that apply to the transport of abnormal loads and

vehicles on public roads and the detailed procedures to be followed in applying for exemption permits are described and discussed. Legal axle load limits and the restrictions imposed on abnormally heavy loads are discussed in relation to the damaging effect on road pavements, bridges and culverts.

The general conditions, limitations and escort requirements for abnormally dimensioned loads and vehicles are also discussed and reference is made to speed restrictions, power / mass ratio, mass distribution and general operating conditions for abnormal loads and vehicles. Provision is also made for the granting of permits for all other exemptions from the requirements of the Road Traffic Act and the relevant regulations.

2.5 Permitting – General Rules

The limits recommended in TRH 11 are intended to serve as a guide to the Permit Issuing Authorities. It must be noted that each Administration has the right to refuse a permit application or to modify the conditions under which a permit is granted. It is understood that:

a) A permit is issued at the sole discretion of the Issuing Authority. The permit may be refused because of the condition of the road, the culverts and bridges, the nature of other traffic on the road, abnormally heavy traffic during certain periods or for any other reason.
b) A permit can be withdrawn if the vehicle upon inspection is found in any way not fit to be operated.
c) During certain periods, such as school holidays or long weekends an embargo may be placed on the issuing or permits. Embargo lists are compiled annually and are obtainable from the Issuing Authorities.

2.6 Load Limitations

The maximum load that a road vehicle or combination of vehicles will be allowed to carry legally under permit on a public road is limited by:

- the capacity of the vehicles as rated by the manufacturer;
- the load which may be carried by the tyres;
- the damaging effect on pavements;
- the structural capacity on bridges and culverts;
- the power of the prime mover(s);
- the load imposed by the driving axles; and
- the load imposed by the steering axles.

2.7 Dimensional Limitations

A load of abnormal dimensions may cause an obstruction and danger to other traffic. For this reason, all loads must, as far as possible, conform to the legal dimensions. Permits will only be considered for indivisible loads, i.e. loads that cannot, without disproportionate effort, expense or risk of damage, be divided into two or more loads for the purpose of transport on public roads. For each of the characteristics below there is a legally permissible limit and what is allowed under permit:

- Width;
- Height;

- Length;
- Front Overhang;
- Rear Overhang;
- Front Load Projection;
- Rear Load Projection;
- Wheelbase;
- Turning Radius; and
- Stability of Loaded Vehicles.

2.8 Transporting Other Plant, Material and Equipment

In addition to transporting the specialised equipment, the normal Civil Engineering construction materials, plant and equipment will need to be transported to the site (e.g. sand, stone, cement, gravel, water, compaction equipment, concrete mixers, etc.). Other components, such as electrical cables, pylons and substation transformers, will also be transported to site during construction. The transport of these items will generally be conducted with normal heavy loads vehicles, except for the transformers which require an abnormal load vehicle.

3 DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Description of the site

The proposed Tafelkop Solar PV facility will be located 31km north-west of Philipstown and 62km north-east of De Aar, as shown in **Figure 3-1**. The proposed site is located north of the gravel road connecting Philipstown to Houtkraal and the site can be accessed via a gravel road used by property owners in the area.

Figure 3-1: Aerial View of the Proposed Tafelkop Development

The Tafelkop Solar PV Facility project site is proposed to accommodate the following infrastructure, which will enable the facility to supply a contracted capacity of up to 240MW:

- Solar PV array comprising PV modules and mounting structures (monofacial or bifacial and a single axis tracking system)
- Inverters and transformers
- Cabling between the project components
- Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)
- On-site facility substation and power lines between the solar PV facility and the Eskom substation (to be confirmed and assessed through a separate process)
- Site offices, Security office, operations and control, and maintenance and storage laydown areas
- Access roads, internal distribution roads

3.2 National Route to Site for Imported Components

There are two viable options for the port of entry for imported components - the Port of Ngqura in the Eastern Cape and the Port of Saldanha in the Western Cape.

The Port of Ngqura is located approximately 530km travel distance from the proposed site whilst the Port of Saldanha is located approximately 865km travel distance from the proposed site. The Port of Ngqura is the preferred port of entry, however, the Port of Saldanha can be used as an alternative should the Port of Ngqura not be available.

The preferred route from the Port of Ngqura is shown in green in **Figure 3-2** below. The route is 530km and follows the N10 north to De Aar, passing Cradock and Middelburg, and onto the R48 towards the proposed site.

The alternative route from the Port of Saldanha, shown in orange in **Figure 3-2**, will follow the R45 east to Moorreesburg before taking the R46 east to Ceres. Vehicles will head east on the N1, passing Laingsburg and Beaufort West, and north on the N12 towards Britstown. At Britstown, vehicles will head east on the N10, before heading north on the R48 at De Aar towards the proposed site.

Figure 3-2: Preferred and Alternative Routes

It is critical to ensure that the abnormal load vehicle will be able to move safely and without obstruction along the preferred route. The preferred route should be surveyed prior to construction to identify any problem areas, e.g., intersections with limited turning radii and sections of the road with sharp horizontal curves or steep gradients, that may require modification. After the road modifications have been implemented, it is recommended to undertake a “dry-run” with the largest abnormal load vehicle, prior to the transportation of any components, to ensure that the delivery will occur without disruptions.

It needs to be ensured that the gravel sections of the haulage routes remain in good condition and will need to be maintained during the additional loading of the construction phase and reinstated after construction is completed.

3.3 Route for Components manufactured locally

As mentioned in Section 1.4 (Assumptions and Limitations), it is anticipated that elements manufactured within South Africa will be transported to the site from the Cape Town, Johannesburg and Pinetown/Durban areas. It is also assumed that the transformer, which will be transported with an abnormal load vehicle, will be transported from the Johannesburg area and therefore it needs to be verified that the route from the manufacturer to the site does not have any load limitations for abnormal vehicles. At this stage, only a high-level assessment can be undertaken as no information of the exact location of the manufacturer is known and all road structures (such as bridges and culverts) need to be confirmed for their load bearing by SANRAL or the respective Roads Authority.

3.4 Route from Cape Town to Proposed Site

Components, such as PV panels, manufactured in Cape Town will be transported to site via road as shown in **Figure 3-3**. Haulage vehicles will travel from Cape Town on N1, passing Laingsburg and Beaufort West, before heading north on the N12 towards Britstown. At Britstown, vehicles will head east on the N10, before heading north on the R48 at De Aar towards the proposed site.

Haulage vehicles will mainly travel on the national highway and the total distance to the proposed site is approximately 825km.



Figure 3-3: Route from Cape Town to Proposed Site

3.5 Route from Johannesburg to Proposed Site

It is assumed that the inverter and support structure will be manufactured in the Johannesburg area and transported to site. The travel distance is around 690km, and no

road limitations are expected on this route for normal loads vehicles as it will mainly follow national and provincial roads. The route is shown in **Figure 3-4**.



Figure 3-4: Route from Johannesburg to Proposed Site

3.6 Route from Pinetown / Durban to Proposed Site

If the PV panels are manufactured in South Africa, they could possibly be manufactured in the Pinetown area, close to Durban and transported to site via road. These elements are normal loads and no road limitations are expected along the routes, which is shown in **Figure 3-5**. Haulage vehicles will mainly travel on national and provincial roads and the total distance to the proposed site is approximately 935km.



Figure 3-5: Route from Durban to Proposed Site

3.7 Route from Johannesburg Area to Site – Abnormal Load

It is assumed that the transformer will be manufactured locally in South Africa and be transported from the Johannesburg area to site. As the transformer will be transported with an abnormal load vehicle, the route planning needs a more detailed investigation of the feasible routes considering any limitations due to existing road features. Furthermore, a load of abnormal dimensions may cause an obstruction and danger to other traffic and therefore the transformer needs to be transported as far as possible on roads that are wide enough for general traffic to pass. It is expected that the transformer can be transported to site via the same route used for normal loads.

There are several bridges and culverts along this route, which need to be confirmed for load bearing and height clearances. There are several turns along the way and small towns to pass through. According to the desktop study, all turning movements along the route are manageable for the abnormal vehicle.

However, there are many alternative routes which can be investigated if the above route or sections of the route should not be feasible.

3.8 Proposed main access road to the Proposed Development

The proposed main access road to the site is an existing gravel road located off the R48 at Philipstown. An existing gravel road between the proposed site and Petrusville can be considered as an alternative access road, as shown in **Figure 3-6**. The proposed access road will link to the internal road network of the facility.



Figure 3-6: Proposed Access Road

The **proposed access road to the development is deemed suitable** as it is an existing gravel road.

A minimum required road width of 4 m needs to be maintained and all turning radii must conform with the specifications needed for the abnormal load vehicles and haulage vehicles. It needs to be ensured that the gravel sections of the haulage routes remain in good condition and will hence need to be maintained during the additional loading of the construction phase and then reinstated after construction is completed. The gravel roads will require grading with a grader to obtain a flat even surface and the geometric design of these gravel roads needs to be confirmed at detailed design stage.

3.9 Proposed Access Point to the Proposed Development

The proposed main access point to the site will be located on an existing gravel farm access road, as shown in **Figure 3-7**.

The **proposed access point is deemed suitable** from a transport engineering perspective, with the access point exceeding the shoulder sight distance requirements of TRH17.



Figure 3-7: Proposed Access Points

3.10 Main Route for the Transportation of Materials, Plant and People to the proposed site

The nearest towns in relation to the proposed development site are Philipstown and De Aar. It is envisaged that most materials, water, plant, services and people will be procured within a 100km radius of the proposed facility.

Concrete batch plants and quarries in the vicinity could be contracted to supply materials and concrete during the construction phase, which would reduce the impact on traffic on the surrounding road network. Alternatively, mobile concrete batch plants and temporary construction material stockpile yards could be commissioned on vacant land near the proposed site. Delivery of materials to the mobile batch plant and the stockpile yard could be staggered to minimise traffic disruptions.

4 APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Key legal requirements pertaining to the transport requirements for the proposed development are:

- Abnormal load permits, (Section 81 of the National Road Traffic Act)
- Port permit (Guidelines for Agreements, Licenses and Permits in terms of the National Ports Act No. 12 of 2005), and
- Authorisation from Road Authorities to modify the road reserve to accommodate turning movements of abnormal loads at intersections.

5 IDENTIFICATION OF KEY ISSUES

5.1 Identification of Potential Impacts

The potential transport related impacts are described below.

5.1.1 Construction Phase

Potential impact

- Construction related traffic
- The construction traffic would also lead to noise and dust pollution.
- This phase also includes the construction of roads, excavations, trenching for electrical cables and other ancillary construction works that will temporarily generate the most traffic.

5.1.2 Operational Phase

Potential impact

- During operation, it is expected that staff and security will visit the facility.
- Maintenance vehicles are expected on site at times.
- Should municipal water not be available, water will have to be transported to the site.

5.1.3 Cumulative Impacts

Potential impact

- Traffic congestion/delays on the surrounding road network.
- Noise and dust pollution

6 ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS AND IDENTIFICATION OF MANAGEMENT ACTIONS

6.1 Potential Impact (Construction Phase)

6.1.1 Nature of the impact

- Potential traffic congestion and delays on the surrounding road network and associated noise and dust pollution.

6.1.2 Significance of impact without mitigation measures

- Traffic generated by the construction of the facility will have a significant impact on the surrounding road network. The exact number of trips generated during construction will be determined by the contractor, the haulage company transporting the components to site, the staff requirements and where equipment is sourced from.

6.1.3 Trip Generation – Construction Phase

From experience on other projects of similar nature, the number of heavy vehicles per 7MW installation is estimated to range between 200 and 300 trips depending on the site conditions and requirements. For the 240MW, the total trips can therefore be estimated to be between 6 858 and 10 286 heavy vehicle trips, which will generally be made over a 12-month construction period. Choosing the worst-case scenario of 10 286 heavy vehicles over a 12-month period travelling on an average of 22 working days per month, the resulting daily number of vehicle trips is 39. Considering that the number of vehicle trips during peak hour traffic in a rural environment can roughly be estimated at around 20-40% of the average daily traffic, the resulting peak hour vehicle trips for the construction phase are approximately 8 - 16 trips.

If the panels are imported instead of manufactured within South Africa, the respective shipping company will be able to indicate how the panels can be packed (for example using 2MW packages and 40ft containers). These can then be stored at the port and repacked onto flatbed trucks.

It is assumed that during the peak of the construction period, 150 employees will be active on site. Staff trips are assumed to be:

Table 6-1: Estimation of daily staff trips

Vehicle Type	Number of vehicles	Number of Employees
Car	7	7 (assuming single occupant)
Bakkie	12	18 (assuming 1.5 occupants)
Taxi – 15 seats	3	45
Bus – 80 seats	1	80
Total	**23**	**150**

It is difficult to accurately estimate the construction traffic for the transportation of materials as it depends on the type of vehicles, tempo of the construction, source/location of construction material etc. However, it is assumed that at the peak of construction, approximately 150 construction vehicle trips will access the site per day.

The total estimated daily site trips, at the peak of construction, are shown in the table below.

Table 6-2: Estimation of daily site trips

Activity	Number of trips
Component delivery	39
Construction trips	150
Total	**189**

The impact on the surrounding road network and the general traffic is therefore deemed nominal, with mitigation, as the 189 trips will be distributed across a 9-hour working day. The majority of the trips will occur outside the peak hours.

The significance of the transport impact without mitigation measures during the construction phase can be rated as medium. However, considering that this is temporary and short term in nature, the impact can be mitigated to an acceptable level.

6.1.4 Trip Generation – Operational Phase

During operation, it is assumed that approximately eight (8) full-time employees will be stationed on site and hence vehicle trips generated are low and will have a negligible impact on the external road network.

The solar modules would need to be cleaned twice a year. The Developer is currently investigating the availability of service and as such a worst-case scenario of transporting water to site has been assessed. The following assumptions have been made to estimate the resulting trips generated from transporting water to the site:

- 5 000 litre water bowsers to be used for transporting the water
- Approximately 5 litres of water needed per panel
- Assuming that a maximum of 400 000 solar modules are used, this would amount to approximately 400 vehicle trips
- Solar modules will be cleaned twice a year.

It is expected that these trips will not have a significant impact on external traffic. However, to limit the impact, it is recommended to schedule these trips outside of peak traffic periods and to clean the solar modules over the course of a few days i.e., spread the trips over a few days. Additionally, the provision of rainwater tanks on site would decrease the number of trips.

6.1.5 Proposed general mitigation measures

The following are general mitigation measures to reduce the impact that the additional traffic will have on the road network and the environment.

- The delivery of components to the site can be staggered and trips can be scheduled to occur outside of peak traffic periods.
- Dust suppression of gravel roads located within the site boundary, including the main access road to the site and the site access road, during the construction phase, if required.
- Regular maintenance of gravel roads located within the site boundary, including the access road to the site, by the Contractor during the construction phase and by the Owner/Facility Manager during the operation phase, if required.

- The use of mobile batch plants and quarries near the site would decrease the traffic impact on the surrounding road network, if available and feasible.
- Staff and general trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods as far as possible.
- The Contractor is to ensure that all drivers entering the site adhere to the traffic laws.
- Vehicular movements within the site boundary are the responsibility of the respective Contractor and the Contractor must ensure that all construction road traffic signs and road markings (where applicable) are in place. It should be noted that traffic violations on public roads is the responsibility of Law Enforcement and the public should report all transgressions to Law Enforcement and the Contractor.
- If required, low hanging overhead lines (lower than 5.1m) e.g., Eskom and Telkom lines, along the proposed routes will have to be moved (to be arranged by haulage company) to accommodate the abnormal load vehicles. The Contractor and the Developer is to ensure that the haulage company is aware of this requirement. The haulage company is to provide evidence to the Contractor and the Developer that any affected overhead lines have been moved or raised.
- The preferred route should be surveyed to identify problem areas (e.g., intersections with limited turning radii and sections of the road with sharp horizontal curves or steep gradients, that may require modification). After the road modifications have been implemented, it is recommended to undertake a "dry-run" with the largest abnormal load vehicle, prior to the transportation of any components, to ensure that delivery will occur without disruptions. This process is to be undertaken by the haulage company transporting the components and the contractor, who will modify the road and intersections to accommodate abnormal vehicles. The "dry-run" should be undertaken within the same month components are expected to arrive. The haulage company is to provide evidence that the route has been surveyed and deemed acceptable for the transportation of the abnormal load.
- The Contractor needs to ensure that the gravel sections of the haulage routes (i.e., the site access road and the main access road to the site) remain in good condition and will need to be maintained during the additional loading of the construction phase and reinstated after construction is completed.
- Design and maintenance of internal roads. The internal gravel roads will require grading with a grader to obtain a camber of between 3% and 4% (to facilitate drainage) and regular maintenance blading will also be required. The geometric design of these gravel roads needs to be confirmed at detailed design stage. This process is to be undertaken by a civil engineering consultant or a geometric design professional.

6.1.6 Significance of impact with mitigation measures

It should be noted that the construction phase is temporary and short term in nature and the associated impacts can be mitigated to an acceptable level.

The proposed mitigation measures for the construction traffic will result in a reduction of the impact on the surrounding road network and the impact on the local traffic will be very low as

the existing traffic volumes are deemed to be low. The dust suppression will result in significantly reducing the impact.

7 NO-GO ALTERNATIVE

The no-go alternative implies that the proposed Tafelkop Solar PV Facility does not proceed. This would mean that there will be no negative environmental impacts and no traffic impact on the surrounding network. However, this would also mean that there would be no socio-economic benefits to the surrounding communities, and it will not assist government in meeting the targets for renewable energy. **Hence, the no-go alternative is not a preferred alternative.**

8 POTENTIAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

The assessment of potential impacts discussed above are collated in the tables below.

8.1 Construction Phase

Table 8-1: Impact Rating - Construction Phase – Traffic Congestion

Nature: **Traffic congestion during the construction phase**			
Impact description: The impact will occur due to added pressure on the road network due to the increase in traffic associated with the transport of equipment, material and staff to site during the construction phase.			
	Rating	**Motivation**	**Significance**
Prior to Mitigation			
Duration	Short-term (2)	The construction period is expected to last between 1 – 2 years.	**Medium Negative (40)**
Extent	Local (2)	Pressure will only be added on the local road network.	
Magnitude	Moderate (6)	The increase in traffic will have a moderate impact on traffic operations.	
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	The possibility of the impact on the traffic operations is highly probable.	
Mitigation/Enhancement Measures			
Mitigation: • Stagger component delivery to site • Reduce the construction period • Source mobile batch plants and quarries in close proximity to the site • Staff and general trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods as much as possible • Conduct regular maintenance of gravel roads by the Contractor during the construction phase and by Client/Facility Manager during operation phase.			
Post Mitigation/Enhancement Measures			
Duration	Short-term (2)	The construction period is expected to last between 1 – 2 years.	**Low Negative (15)**
Extent	Local (2)	Pressure will only be added on the local road network.	
Magnitude	Low (2)	The increase in traffic will have a low impact on traffic operations.	
Probability	Probable (3)	The possibility of the impact on the traffic operations is probable.	
Cumulative impacts: The duration of the construction phase is short term (i.e., the impact of the generated traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and renewable energy facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network). Even if all renewable energy projects within the area are constructed at the same time, the roads authority will consider all applications for abnormal loads and work with all project companies to ensure that loads on the public roads are staggered and staged to ensure that the impact will be acceptable.			
Residual Risks: Traffic will return to normal levels after construction is completed.			

Table 8-2: Impact Rating - Construction Phase – Air Quality

Nature: **Air quality will be affected by dust pollution**			
Impact description: The impact will occur due to the increase in construction traffic associated with the transport of equipment, material and staff to site during the construction phase.			
	Rating	**Motivation**	**Significance**
Prior to Mitigation			
Duration	Short-term (2)	The construction period is expected to last between 1 – 2 years.	**Medium Negative (36)**
Extent	Local (2)	Pressure will only be added on the local road network.	
Magnitude	Moderate (5)	The increase in traffic will have a moderate impact on traffic operations.	
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	The possibility of the impact on the traffic operations is highly probable.	
Mitigation/Enhancement Measures			
Mitigation: • Dust suppression of gravel roads during the construction phase, as required. • Regular maintenance of gravel roads by the Contractor during the construction phase and by Client/Facility Manager during operation phase.			
Post Mitigation/Enhancement Measures			
Duration	Short-term (2)	The construction period is expected to last between 1 – 2 years.	**Low Negative (15)**
Extent	Local (2)	Pressure will only be added on the local road network.	
Magnitude	Low (2)	The increase in traffic will have a low impact on traffic operations.	
Probability	Probable (3)	The possibility of the impact on the traffic operations is probable.	
Cumulative impacts: The duration of the construction phase is short term (i.e., the impact of the generated traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and renewable energy facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network). Even if all renewable energy projects within the area are constructed at the same time, the roads authority will consider all applications for abnormal loads and work with all project companies to ensure that loads on the public roads are staggered and staged to ensure that the impact will be acceptable.			
Residual Risks: Traffic will return to normal levels after construction is completed. Dust pollution during the construction phase cannot be completely mitigated but mitigation measures will significantly reduce the impact. Dust pollution is limited to the construction period.			

Table 8-3: Impact Rating - Construction Phase – Noise Pollution

Nature: **Noise pollution due to the increase in traffic**			
Impact description: The impact will occur due to the increase in construction traffic associated with the transport of equipment, material and staff to site during the construction phase.			
	Rating	**Motivation**	**Significance**
Prior to Mitigation			
Duration	Short-term (2)	The construction period will last between 1 – 2 years.	**Medium Negative (36)**
Extent	Local (2)	Pressure will only be added on the local road network.	
Magnitude	Moderate (5)	The increase in traffic will have a moderate impact on traffic operations.	
Probability	Highly Probable (4)	The possibility of the impact on the traffic operations is highly probable.	
Mitigation/Enhancement Measures			
Mitigation: • Stagger component delivery to site • Reduce the construction period as far as possible • The use of mobile batch plants and quarries in close proximity to the site • Staff and general trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods			
Post Mitigation/Enhancement Measures			
Duration	Short-term (2)	The construction period will last between 1 – 2 years.	**Low Negative (15)**
Extent	Local (2)	Pressure will only be added on the local road network.	
Magnitude	Low (2)	The increase in traffic will have a low impact on traffic operations.	
Probability	Probable (3)	The possibility of the impact on the traffic operations is probable.	
Cumulative impacts: The duration of the construction phase is short term (i.e., the impact of the generated traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and renewable energy facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network). Even if all renewable energy projects within the area are constructed at the same time, the roads authority will consider all applications for abnormal loads and work with all project companies to ensure that loads on the public roads are staggered and staged to ensure that the impact will be acceptable.			
Residual Risks: Traffic will return to normal levels after construction is completed. Noise pollution during the construction phase cannot be completely mitigated but mitigation measures will significantly reduce the impact. Noise pollution is limited to the construction period.			

8.2 Operational Phase

Table 8-4: Impact Rating – Operational Phase

IMPACT TABLE – OPERATIONAL PHASE
The traffic generated during this phase will be minimal and will have not have any impact on the surrounding road network. However, the Client/Facility Manager is to ensure that regular maintenance of gravel roads occurs during operation phase to minimize/mitigate dust pollution.

8.3 Decommissioning Phase

Table 8-5: Potential Impact - Decommissioning Phase

IMPACT TABLE – DECOMMISSIONING PHASE
This phase will have a similar impact as the Construction Phase i.e. traffic congestion, air pollution and noise pollution, as similar trips/movements are expected.

9 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

To assess the cumulative impact, it was assumed that all proposed and authorized renewable energy projects within 50 km be constructed at the same time. This is a precautionary approach, as in reality these projects would be subject to a highly competitive bidding process. Only a handful of projects would be selected to enter into a power purchase agreement with Eskom, and construction is likely to be staggered depending on project-specific issues.

The construction and decommissioning phases are the only significant traffic generators for renewable energy projects. The duration of these phases is short term (i.e., the impact of the generated traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and renewable energy facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network). Even if all renewable energy projects within the area are constructed at the same time, the roads authority will consider all applications for abnormal loads and work with all project companies to ensure that loads on the public roads are staggered and staged to ensure that the impact will be acceptable.

The assessment of the potential cumulative impacts is shown in the table below.

Table 9-1: Cumulative Impact

Nature: Traffic generated by the proposed development and the associated noise and dust pollution.		
	Overall impact of the proposed project considered in isolation (post mitigation)	**Cumulative impact of the project and other projects in the area**
Extent	Low (1)	High (5)
Duration	Short (2)	Medium-term (3)
Magnitude	Low (4)	High (8)
Probability	Probable (3)	Improbable (2)
Significance	**Low (21)**	**Medium (32)**
Status (positive/negative)	Negative	Negative
Reversibility	Completely reversible	High
Loss of resources?	No	No
Can impacts be mitigated?	Yes	Yes
Confidence in findings: High.		
Mitigation: • *Stagger component delivery to site* • *Dust suppression* • *Reduce the construction period* • *The use of mobile batch plants and quarries in close proximity to the site* • *Staff and general trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods*		

10 ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM INPUTS

OBJECTIVE: It is recommended that dust suppression and maintenance of gravel roads form part of the EMPr. This would be required during the Construction phase where an increase in vehicle trips can be expected. No traffic related mitigation measures are envisaged during the operational phase due to the negligible traffic volume generated during this phase.

Project component/s	Construction Phase traffic
Potential Impact	Dust and noise pollution due to increase in traffic volume
Activity/risk source	Transportation of material, components, equipment and staff to site
Mitigation: Target/Objective	Minimize impacts on road network and surrounding communities

Mitigation: Action/control	Responsibility	Timeframe
• Stagger component delivery to site • The use of mobile batch plants and quarries near the site would decrease the impact on the surrounding road network • Dust suppression • Reduce the construction period as far as possible • Maintenance of gravel roads • Apply for abnormal load permits prior to commencement of delivery via abnormal loads • Assess the preferred route and undertake a 'dry run' to test • Staff and general trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods as far as possible. • Any low hanging overhead lines (lower than 5.1m) e.g., Eskom and Telkom lines, along the proposed routes will have to be moved to accommodate the abnormal load vehicles, if required	• Holder of the EA	• Before construction commences and regularly during construction phase

Performance Indicator	Staggering or reducing the construction trips will reduce the impact of dust and noise pollution.
Monitoring	• Regular monitoring of road surface quality. • Monitoring congestion levels (increase in vehicle trips) • Apply for required permits prior to commencement of construction

11 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This report addressed key issues and alternatives to be considered for the proposed Tafelkop Solar PV Facility.

- The preferred Port of Entry for imported components is the Port of Ngqura.
- The proposed access road located off the R48 is deemed a suitable access road as it is an existing gravel road i.e., less expensive to upgrade.
- It needs to be ensured that the gravel sections of the haulage routes remain in good condition and will hence need to be maintained during the additional loading of the construction phase and then reinstated after construction is completed. The gravel roads will require grading with a grader to obtain a flat even surface and the geometric design of these gravel roads needs to be confirmed at detailed design stage.
- The construction phase traffic, although significant, will be temporary and can be mitigated to an acceptable level.
- During operation, it is expected that staff and security will periodically visit the facility. The traffic generated during this phase will be minimal and will not have an impact on the surrounding road network.
- The construction and decommissioning phases of a development is the only significant traffic generator and therefore noise and dust pollution will be higher during this phase. The duration of this phase is short term i.e., the impact of the traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and solar facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network.

The potential mitigation measures mentioned in the construction phase are:

- Dust suppression
- Component delivery to/ removal from the site can be staggered and trips can be scheduled to occur outside of peak traffic periods.
- The use of mobile batch plants and quarries near the site would decrease the impact on the surrounding road network.
- Staff and general trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods.
- A “dry run” of the preferred route.
- Design and maintenance of internal roads.
- If required, any low hanging overhead lines (lower than 5.1m) e.g. Eskom and Telkom lines, along the proposed routes will have to be moved to accommodate the abnormal load vehicles.

The potential mitigation measures mentioned in the operational phase are:

- Staff and general (maintenance) trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods as far as possible.
- The provision of water storage tanks and/or boreholes.
- Water bowsers trips should occur outside of peak traffic periods as far as possible.
- Spread the cleaning of the panels over a week.
- Using a larger water bowser.

The construction and decommissioning phases of a development is the only significant traffic generator and therefore noise and dust pollution will be higher during this phase. The duration of this phase is short term i.e., the impact of the traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and solar facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network.

The development is supported from a transport perspective provided that the recommendations and mitigations contained in this report are adhered to.

The impacts associated with the facility are acceptable with the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures and can therefore be authorised.

12 REFERENCES

- Google Earth Pro
- National Road Traffic Act (Act No. 93 of 1996)
- National Road Traffic Regulations, 2000
- SANS 10280/NRS 041-1:2008 - Overhead Power Lines for Conditions Prevailing in South Africa
- The Technical Recommendations for Highways (TRH 11): “Draft Guidelines for Granting of Exemption Permits for the Conveyance of Abnormal Loads and for other Events on Public Roads
- The Technical Recommendations for Highways (TRH 17): Geometric Design of Rural Roads

13 ANNEXURES

Annexure A – SPECIALIST EXPERTISE

ADRIAN JOHNSON

Profession	*Professional Technologist*
Position in Firm	*Head of Transport*
Area of Specialisation	*Traffic & Transportation Engineering*
Qualifications	*PrTechEng, Master of Transport Studies,* *BSc (Hons) (Applied Science: Transport Planning),* *BTech Civil Engineering*
Years of Experience	*18 Years*
Years with Firm	*6 Years*

SUMMARY OF EXPERIENCE

Adrian Johnson is a Professional Technologist registered with ECSA (201570274). He joined JG Afrika (Pty)Ltd. in January 2017. Adrian holds a BSc (Hons) (Applied Sciences: Transportation Planning) degree from the University of Pretoria, a BTech degree in Civil Engineering from the Cape Peninsula University of Technology and completed a Masters' degree in Transport Studies at the University of Cape Town in 2020. He has more than 18 years of experience in a wide range of engineering projects.

He has technical and professional skills in traffic impact studies, transport impact assessments, public transport planning, non-motorised transport planning & design, data analysis of public transport systems, access management plans, quality control, project planning and implementation, geometric design, site supervision, transport assessments for renewable energy projects, speed limit reviews and road safety audits.

PROFESSIONAL REGISTRATIONS & INSTITUTE MEMBERSHIPS

PrTechEng - Engineering Council of South Africa, Registration No 201570274
SAICE - South African Institute of Civil Engineering. No 201700129
SARF WR - South African Road Federation Western Region Administrator and Committee Member

EDUCATION

2004 - National Diploma (Civil) – Peninsula Technikon
2006 - BTech (Civil) – Cape Peninsula University of Technology
2011 - BSc (Hon) (Applied Sciences: Transportation Planning) – University of Pretoria
2020 – Master of Transport Studies – University of Cape Town

SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE (Selection)

JG Afrika (Pty) Ltd (Previously Jeffares & Green (Pty) Ltd)
September 2022 – Date
Position – Head of Transport

JG Afrika (Pty) Ltd (Previously Jeffares & Green (Pty) Ltd)
2017 – June 2022
Position – Senior Technologist (Traffic and Transportation Engineering)

Various Transport Impact Statements (TIA) and Traffic Impact Statements (TIS) for private clients including:

- Weltevreden Clinic TIS for Edifice Consulting Engineers
- Oakhurst Primary TIS for BVZ Plan
- Sinai Academy TIS for Bettesworth Scott Planners
- Rustlamere TIA for Bettesworth Scott Planners
- Joostenbergvlakte Farms 732 and 728 TIA for Asla
- Garden Emporium TIA for Rory Cameron Smith Architects
- Strandfontein Sandmine TIS for Chand Environmental Consultants
- Proposed development of Erf 538 Grassy Park TIA for First Plan
- Riebeek West: Proposed Function/Wedding Venue TIS for Elco Property Developers

Limpopo Road Asset Management System Undertake network level road safety assessments and analysis of accident statistics of the Limpopo road network (5 000km). – Client: Roads Agency Limpopo SOC Ltd

Kampies Housing Development Proposed upgrade of the informal settlement on Cape Farm 616 Philipi and Erf 63 Spring Field, providing 275 units. Client: Ian Rout & Associates

Highlands Housing Project Traffic calming plans for three proposed sites in Mitchells Plain, Cape Town – Client: City of Cape Town

Richards Bay Gas to Power Facility Transport study for the proposed renewable energy facility in Richards Bay, KwaZulu Natal – Client: Private Client

Solid Waste Management Sector Plan – Collections Work Brief Information Analyst assisting with the assessments and detailed analysis of the collections and drop-off facilities operating model of the City of Cape Town – Client: City of Cape Town

Nooiensfontein Housing Project Transport Study for the Nooiensfontein Housing Development in Bluedowns (2500 units) – Client: Ian Rout & Associates

Bardale Housing Development Transport Impact Assessment and Signal timing plan, Western Cape – Client: Integrated Housing Development

Enkanini Housing Transport Impact Assessment for the development of the Enkanini Informal Settlement, Kayamandi - Client: Stellenbosch Municipality

Sutherland and Rietrug Access Road Transport study for the upgrading and widening of the access road to the proposed Sutherland Windfarm, Northern Cape Client: Nala Environmental Consulting

Pienaarspoort Windfarm Transport study for the proposed Pienaarspoort Windfarm, Western Cape Client: Savannah Environmental (Pty) Ltd

Speed Limit Review Main Road 546, Main Road 552 and Divisional Road 2220, Lutzville, Western Cape – Client: Western Cape Government

Gromis and Komis Wind Energy Facility Transport study for the proposed Windfarm, Northern Cape. Client: CSIR

Geelkop Solar Facility Transport study for the proposed Geelkop Solar PV Facility near Upington, Northern Cape – Client: AEP (Pty) Ltd

Khunab Solar Facility Transport study for the proposed Khunab Solar PV Facility near Upington, Northern Cape – Client: AEP (Pty) Ltd

Bloemsmond Solar Facility Transport study for the proposed Bloemsmond Solar PV Facility near Upington, Northern Cape – Client: AEP (Pty) Ltd

NMT Study for the Upgrading of DR1285, Elgin – Client: Western Cape Government

Traffic Study for the Kudusberg and Rondekop Wind Energy Facilities, Northern Cape. Client: G7

Speed Limit Review Main Road 540, Elandsbay, Western Cape – Client: Western Cape Government

Road Safety Audit for N1 Section 16 Winburg to Ventersburg – Client: Aurecon on behalf of SANRAL

Road Safety Audit for the for the N4 at Bapong, Client: Bakwena

Road Safety Audit for N2 Wild Coast Toll Road Projects, Eastern Cape & Natal, Client: Aurecon/Knight Piesold on behalf of SANRAL

Kuruman Wind Energy Facility Transport study for the proposed Kuruman Windfarm, Northern Cape. Client: CSIR

Coega West Windfarm Transportation and Traffic Management Plan for the proposed Coega Windfarm in Coega, Port Elizabeth – Client: Electrawinds Coega

Parking Audit of the Groenvallei area in Bellville – Client: City of Cape Town

Road Safety Appraisals for the Mpumalanga Province – Client: Mpumalanga Provincial Government

Transportation and Traffic Management Plan for the proposed Coega West Wind Energy Facility in Port Elizabeth – Client: Electrawinds Coega (Pty) Ltd

Road Safety Appraisals for North Region of Cape Town – Client: Aurecon on behalf of City of Cape Town

Speed Limit Reviews for North Region of Cape Town – Client: Aurecon on behalf of City of Cape Town

Road Safety Audit for the Upgrade of N1 Section 4 Monument River – Client: Aurecon on behalf of SANRAL

Road Safety Audit for the Upgrade of N2 Section 8 Knysna to Wittedrift – Client: SMEC on behalf of SANRAL

Road Safety Audit for the Upgrade of N1 Section 16 Zandkraal to Winburg South – Client: SMEC on behalf of SANRAL

Traffic and Road Safety Studies for the Improvement of N7 Section 2 and Section 3 (Rooidraai and Piekenierskloofpass) – Client: SANRAL

Traffic Engineer for the Upgrade of a 150km Section of the National Route N2 from Kangela to Pongola in KwaZulu-Natal, Client: SANRAL