

SCOPING REPORT

Draft – 08 June 2023

THE PROPOSED VIRGO SOLAR POWER
PLANT NEAR KATHU, NORTHERN CAPE
PROVINCE



ENVIRONAMICS

PROJECT DETAIL

| | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| DFFE Reference No. | : | To be confirmed |
| Project Title | : | Proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant near Kathu, Northern Cape Province |
| Authors | : | Mr. Herman Alberts |
| Reviewed | : | Ms. Christia van Dyk |
| Client | : | Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd |
| Report Status | : | Draft Scoping Report |
| Submission date | : | 08 June 2023 |

When used as a reference this report should be cited as: Environamics (2023) Draft Scoping Report: Proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant near Kathu, Northern Cape Province.

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

This technical report has been produced for Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd. The intellectual property contained in this report remains vested in Environamics and Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd. No part of this report may be reproduced in any manner without written permission from Environamics or Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| PROJECT DETAIL..... | 1 |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | 2 |
| LIST OF TABLES | 5 |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | 6 |
| PLATES | 7 |
| APPENDICES | 8 |
| GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ACRONYMS..... | 9 |
| CONTEXT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT | 11 |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 13 |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 17 |
| 1.1 LEGAL MANDATE AND PURPOSE OF THE REPORT | 17 |
| 1.2 DETAILS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER (EAP) | 21 |
| 1.3 DETAILS OF SPECIALISTS..... | 22 |
| 1.4 STATUS OF THE EIA PROCESS | 19 |
| 1.5 SPECIALIST STUDIES IDENTIFIED IN THE DFFE SCREENING TOOL REPORT..... | 20 |
| 1.6 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT | 23 |
| 2 ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION | 26 |
| 2.1 THE LOCATION OF THE ACTIVITY AND PROPERTY DESCRIPTION | 26 |
| 2.2 ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION..... | 29 |
| 2.3 PHOTOVOLTAIC TECHNOLOGY..... | 32 |
| 2.4 LAYOUT DESCRIPTION | 33 |
| 2.5 SERVICES PROVISION..... | 36 |
| 3 LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY CONTEXT | 39 |
| 3.1 INTRODUCTION | 39 |
| 3.2 LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT..... | 41 |
| 3.3 POLICY CONTEXT | 46 |

| | | |
|----------------|--|-----------|
| 3.4 | OTHER LEGISLATION..... | 58 |
| 3.5 | RELEVANT GUIDANCE..... | 58 |
| 3.6 | CONCLUSION | 59 |
| 4 | THE NEED AND DESIRABILITY..... | 60 |
| 4.1 | THE NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY..... | 60 |
| 4.2 | THE DESIRABILITY OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY | 61 |
| 5 | DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES..... | 64 |
| 5.1 | CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES..... | 64 |
| 5.1.1 | No-go alternative..... | 65 |
| 5.1.2 | Location alternatives | 65 |
| 5.1.3 | Activity alternatives..... | 66 |
| 5.1.4 | Technical alternatives..... | 67 |
| 5.1.5 | Design and layout alternatives | 69 |
| 5.1.6 | Technology alternatives | 71 |
| 5.2 | PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS..... | 73 |
| 5.2.1 | General..... | 73 |
| 5.2.2 | Consultation process | 74 |
| 5.2.3 | Registered I&APs | 75 |
| 5.2.4 | Issues raised by I&APs and consultation bodies | 75 |
| 5.3 | THE ENVIRONMENTAL ATTRIBUTES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE | 75 |
| 5.3.1 | Biophysical environment..... | 75 |
| 5.3.1.1 | Geology, soils and agricultural potential | 76 |
| 5.3.1.2 | Vegetation and, topography and landscape features | 77 |
| 5.3.1.3 | Climate | 78 |
| 5.3.1.4 | Biodiversity | 78 |
| 5.3.1.5 | Visual landscape | 80 |
| 5.3.1.6 | Traffic consideration..... | 83 |
| 5.3.2 | Description of the socio-economic environment..... | 84 |

| | | |
|----------------|--|------------|
| 5.3.2.1 | Socio-economic conditions | 84 |
| 5.3.2.2 | Cultural and heritage aspects | 86 |
| 5.4 | SITE SELECTION MATRIX..... | 88 |
| 5.5 | CONCLUDING STATEMENT ON ALTERNATIVES | 90 |
| 6 | DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPACTS AND RISKS | 91 |
| 6.1 | SCOPING METHODOLOGY | 91 |
| 6.1.1 | Checklist analysis..... | 91 |
| 6.1.2 | Matrix analysis..... | 95 |
| 6.2 | KEY ISSUES IDENTIFIED | 111 |
| 6.2.1 | Impacts during the construction phase..... | 111 |
| 6.2.2 | Impacts during the operational phase | 134 |
| 6.2.3 | Impacts during the decommissioning phase..... | 143 |
| 7 | CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ASSESSMENT | 146 |
| 7.1 | Introduction..... | 146 |
| 7.2 | Geographic Area of Evaluation..... | 146 |
| 7.3 | Temporal Boundary of Evaluation..... | 147 |
| 7.4 | OTHER PROJECTS IN THE AREA | 148 |
| 7.4.1 | Existing projects in the area | 148 |
| 7.5 | SPECIALIST INFORMATION ON CUMULATIVE EFFECTS | 149 |
| 7.5.1 | Soil, Land Capability and Agricultural Potential | 150 |
| 7.5.2 | Ecology | 151 |
| 7.5.3 | Avifauna..... | 152 |
| 7.5.4 | Social Impact Assessment | 152 |
| 7.5.5 | Visual | 153 |
| 7.5.6 | Heritage | 153 |
| 7.5.8 | Traffic..... | 153 |
| 7.6 | IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 154 |
| 7.6.1 | Potential Cumulative Effects | 154 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 7.7 | CONCLUSION | 163 |
| 8 | PLAN OF STUDY FOR EIA..... | 165 |
| 8.1 | INTRODUCTION | 165 |
| 8.2 | ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE | 165 |
| 8.3 | TASKS TO BE UNDERTAKEN | 166 |
| 8.3.1 | Project Description | 166 |
| 8.3.2 | Consideration of alternatives | 166 |
| 8.3.3 | Compilation of Environmental Impact Report (EIR) | 167 |
| 8.3.4 | Public participation..... | 167 |
| 8.4 | ASPECTS ASSESSED | 167 |
| 8.4.1 | Specialist studies | 168 |
| 8.4.2 | Terms of reference for specialist studies | 169 |
| 8.5 | METHOD OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT | 171 |
| 8.5.1 | Impact Rating System | 172 |
| 8.6 | CONSULTATION WITH THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY..... | 176 |
| 9 | CONCLUSION | 177 |
| 10 | REFERENCES..... | 179 |

| |
|-----------------------|
| LIST OF TABLES |
|-----------------------|

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1.1: Description of each listed activity as per project description | 17 |
| Table 1.2: Details of specialists..... | 19 |
| Table 1.3: Estimated timeframe for completion of the ‘scoping and EIA process’ | 19 |
| Table 1.4: Studies identified by the screening tool | 20 |
| Table 1.5: Structure of the report | 23 |
| Table 2.1: General site information..... | 27 |
| Table 2.2: Listed activities | 29 |
| Table 2.3: Technical details for the proposed facility..... | 34 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Table 2.4: Coordinates..... | 34 |
| Table 3.1: Legislative context for the construction of photovoltaic solar plants..... | 41 |
| Table 3.2: Policy context for the construction of photovoltaic solar plants | 46 |
| Table 4.1: Published Draft IRP 2018 (Approved by Cabinet for Consultation)..... | 61 |
| Table 5.1: ZTV Visibility rating in terms of Proximity to the Solar Power Plant | 81 |
| Table 5.2: ZTV Visibility Rating in terms of Proximity to the Power Line | 81 |
| Table 6.1: Environmental checklist..... | 92 |
| Table 6.2: Matrix analysis..... | 96 |
| Table 6.3: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the construction phase..... | 113 |
| Table 6.4: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the operational phase | 135 |
| Table 6.5: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the decommissioning phase..... | 144 |
| Table 7.1: A summary of related projects that may have a cumulative impact, in a 30 km radius of the study area..... | 148 |
| Table 7.2: Potential Cumulative Effects for the proposed project..... | 154 |
| Table 8.1: Aspects assessed..... | 167 |
| Table 8.2: The rating system | 172 |

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure A: Locality Map
- Figure B: Regional Map
- Figure C: Footprint map
- Figure D: South African Protected Areas Map
- Figure E: Vegetation Map
- Figure F: Land capability classification Map
- Figure G: Cumulative Impact Map
- Figure H1: Critical Biodiversity Map
- Figure H2: Sensitivity Map

Figure H3: Layout and CBA Map

Figure H4: Layout and Sensitivity Map

Figure H5: Layout, Sensitivity and Similar Projects Map

Figure I: Layout Map

Figure 5.1: Location of the single preferred location (i.e. development footprint) located within the affected property assessed 66

Figure 5.2: Global horizontal irradiation values for South Africa (Solar GIS, 2021) and the Virgo Solar Power Plant development footprint. 67

Figure 5.3: Bifacial vs Monofacial Solar Panel absorption. 73

Figure 5.4: The land capability sensitivity (DAFF, 2017)..... 77

Figure 5.5: Map illustrating the preliminary Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance 79

Figure 5.6: Approximate centre of SPP taken towards the north: AGL 6m..... 80

Figure 5.7: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) for the Virgo Solar Power Plant..... 82

Figure 5.8: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) for the proposed grid connection corridor. 83

Figure 7.1: Geographic area of evaluation with utility-scale renewable energy generation sites and power lines 147

Figure 7.2: Process flow diagram for determining cumulative effects 150

PLATES

Plate 1: The site (taken towards the north)

Plate 2: The site (taken towards the north-east)

Plate 3: The site (taken towards the east)

Plate 4: The site (taken towards the south-east)

Plate 5: The site (taken towards the south)

Plate 6: The site (taken towards the south-west)

Plate 7: The site (taken towards the west)

Plate 8: The site (taken towards the north-west)

APPENDICES

Appendix A: EAP declaration & Curriculum Vitae

Appendix B: Screening report

Appendix C: Public Participation

Appendix C1: Pre-application meeting

Appendix C2: Press advertisement

Appendix C3: On site notice

Appendix C4: List of I&APs

Appendix C5: Proof of correspondence

Appendix C6: Written comments

Appendix C7: Comments and Responses Report

Appendix D: Developer's Assessment

Appendix E: Specialist Reports

Appendix E1: Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment and Wetland /Riparian Impact Assessment

Appendix E2: Avifaunal Impact Assessment

Appendix E3: Visual Impact Assessment

Appendix E4: Soil and Agricultural Assessment

Appendix E5: Heritage Impact Assessment

Appendix E6: Palaeontological Impact Assessment

Appendix E7: Social Impact Assessment

Appendix E8: Traffic Impact Assessment

Appendix E9: Specialist Terms of Reference

Appendix F: Additional Information

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ACRONYMS

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| BA | Basic Assessment |
| BAR | Basic Assessment Report |
| CEA | Cumulative Effects Assessment |
| DFFE | Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment |
| DM | District Municipality |
| DMRE | Department of Mineral Resources and Energy |
| DWS | Department of Water and Sanitation |
| EA | Environmental Authorisation |
| EAP | Environmental Assessment Practitioner |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| EMPr | Environmental Management Programme |
| EP | Equator Principles |
| EPFI | Equator Principles Financial Institutions |
| Environmental impact | Any change to the environment, whether adverse or beneficial, wholly or partially resulting from an organization's environmental aspects. |
| GNR | Government Notice Regulation |
| I&AP | Interested and affected party |
| IDP | Integrated Development Plan |
| IFC | International Finance Corporation |
| IPP | Independent Power Producer |
| kV | Kilo Volt |
| LM | Local Municipality |
| Mitigate | Activities designed to compensate for unavoidable environmental damage. |
| MW | Megawatt |
| NEMA | National Environmental Management Act No. 107 of 1998 |
| NERSA | National Energy Regulator of South Africa |
| NWA | National Water Act No. 36 of 1998 |
| PAOI | Project Area of Influence |
| PPP | Public Participation Process |
| PV | Photovoltaic |

| | |
|--------|--|
| REIPPP | Renewable Energy IPP Procurement Process |
| SAHRA | South African Heritage Resources Agency |
| SDF | Spatial Development Framework |
| SPP | Solar Power Plant |
| VU | Vegetation Unit |

CONTEXT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT

According to Eskom, the demand for electricity in South Africa has been growing at approximately 3% per annum. This growing demand, fueled by increasing economic growth and social development, is placing increasing pressure on South Africa's existing power generation capacity. Coupled with this, is the growing awareness of environmentally responsible development, the impacts of climate change and the need for sustainable development. The use of renewable energy technologies, as one of a mix of technologies needed to meet future energy consumption requirements is being investigated as part of the national Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMRE) (previously referred to as the Department of Energy) long-term strategic planning and research process.

The primary rationale for the proposed solar photovoltaic (PV) facility is to add new generation capacity from renewable energy to the national electricity mix and to aid in achieving the goal of 42% share of all new installed generating capacity being derived from renewable energy forms, as targeted by DMRE (Integrated Resource Plan Update 2010-2030). The IRP also identifies the preferred generation technologies required to meet the expected demand growth up to 2030 and incorporates government objectives including affordable electricity, reduced greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, reduced water consumption, diversified electricity generation sources and localisation and regional development. In terms of the Integrated Resource Plan Update (2019 IRP Update, 2010-2030), over the short term (of the next two or three years), clear guidelines arose; namely to continue with the current renewable bid programme with additional annual rounds of 1000 MW PV, with approximately 8.4GW of the renewable energy capacity planned to be installed from PV technologies over the next twenty years.

The proposed project is intended to form part of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMREs) Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement (REIPPP) Programme or any other programmes/opportunities to generate power in South Africa. The REIPPP Programme aims to secure 14 725 Megawatts (MW) of new generation capacity from renewable energy sources, while simultaneously diversifying South Africa's electricity mix. According to the 2021 State of the Nation Address, Government will soon be initiating the procurement of an additional 11 800 MW of power from renewable energy, natural gas, battery storage and coal in line with the Integrated Resource Plan 2019 and fulfilling their commitments under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and its Paris Agreement which include the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Eskom, our largest greenhouse gas emitter, has committed in principle to net zero emission by 2050 and to increase its renewable capacity.

In response to the above, Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd is proposing the development of a photovoltaic solar facility and associated infrastructure for the purpose of commercial electricity generation on an identified site located on the Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476, Northern Cape Province situated within the Gamagara Local Municipality area of jurisdiction (refer to Figure A for the locality map). The project entails the generation of up to 350 MW electrical power through photovoltaic (PV) technology. The total development footprint of

the project will approximately be 589 hectares (including supporting infrastructure on site) within the assessed 589 hectares assessed as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Like many other developing municipalities in the country, the Gamagara Local Municipality faces a number of challenges in addressing the needs of sustainable growth and improved quality of life (Draft IDP, 2022 - 2026). The John Taolo Gaetswe District Municipality Integrated Development Plan (2020-2021) states that the district municipality's vision is to be "a developmental municipality dedicated to the social and economic upliftment of its communities." The vision of the municipality can be achieved by ensuring the effective utilisation of economic resources to address the socio-economic imperatives. Gamagara Local Municipality's Spatial Development Framework (2018) further contributes to the vision and mission of the John Taolo Gaetswe District Municipality District Municipality by aiming to create a conducive environment for investment and sustainable economic development within the municipality.

The Gamagara Local Municipality's Integrated Development Plan (IDP, 2022-23) identified that the mission of the municipality is to continually work toward the achievement of sustainable job creation opportunities for communities and the ensuring of a safe, healthy and prosperous environment.

Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd intends to develop a 350MW photovoltaic solar facility and associated infrastructure on Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476, Northern Cape Province situated within the Gamagara Local Municipality and John Taolo Gaetswe District Municipality area of jurisdiction. The town of Kathu is located approximately 14km west from the proposed development (refer to Figure A and B for the locality and regional map). The total development footprint of the project will approximately be 600 hectares (including supporting infrastructure on site) within the assessed area of 949 hectares during the Environmental Impact Assessment process. The site was identified as being highly desirable due to its suitable climatic conditions, topography (i.e. in terms of slope), environmental conditions (i.e. agricultural potential, ecological sensitivity and archaeology), proximity to a grid connection point (i.e. for the purpose of electricity evacuation), as well as site access via a main road (i.e. to facilitate the movement of machinery, equipment, infrastructure and people during the construction phase).

In terms of the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998), with specific reference to Sections 24 and 24D, as read with GNR 324-327, as amended (2017), Environmental Authorisation is required for the Virgo Solar Power Plant. The following listed activities have been identified with special reference to the proposed development and are listed in the EIA Regulations (as amended):

| Relevant notice: | Activity No (s) | Description of each listed activity as per project description: |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 11(i) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>"The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity (i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts."</i> |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 14 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres.”</i> |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 24(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of a road (ii) with reserve wider than 13,5 meters, or where no reserve exists where the road is wider than 8 meters.</i> |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 28(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare.”</i> |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 56(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The widening of a road by more than 6 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (ii) where no reserve exists, where the existing road is wider than 8 metres...”</i> |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more.”</i> |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 15 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation.”</i> |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 4 (g)(ii)(ee) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (g) the Northern Cape province, (ii) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans....”</i> |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 10 (g)(iii)(ee) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (g) in the Northern Cape province, (iii) Outside urban areas (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans...”</i> |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 12 (g)(i)(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (g) in the Northern Cape province, (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans.”</i> |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 18 (g)(ii)(ee) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (g) in the Northern Cape province, (ii) outside urban areas, within (ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and....”</i> |

Regulation 21 of the EIA Regulations requires that a scoping report must contain the information set out in Appendix 2 of the Regulations or comply with a protocol or minimum information requirements relevant to the application as identified and gazetted by the Minister in a government notice. Appendix 2 of GNR326 requires that information which is necessary for a proper understanding of the process, informing all preferred alternatives, including location alternatives, the scope of the assessment, and the consultation process undertaken be set out in the scoping report.

It has been determined through the scoping process that the proposed development will have a net positive impact for the area and will subsequently ensure the optimal utilisation of resources and land. All negative environmental impacts can be effectively mitigated through the recommended mitigation measures and no residual negative impacts are foreseen. The potentially most significant environmental impacts associated with the development, as identified in this scoping phase, are briefly summarised below.

It must be noted that the EIA phase of the project will consider the impacts on a more detailed level and provide feedback on the facility layout for the proposed project.

Impacts during the construction phase:

During the construction phase minor negative impacts are foreseen over the short term. The latter refers to a period of 18 - 24 months. The potentially most significant impacts relate to habitat destruction caused by clearance of vegetation and socio-economic impacts such as the creation of direct and indirect employment opportunities, economic multiplier effects from the use of local goods and services and temporary increase in traffic disruptions and movement patterns.

Impacts during the operational phase:

During the operational phase the site will serve as a solar PV energy facility and the potential impacts will take place over a period of 20 – 25 years. The negative impacts are generally

associated with habitat destruction caused by clearance of vegetation, displacement of priority avian species from important habitats, collision and electrocutions of avifauna and visual impact of sensitive visual receptors located within a 500m radius of the proposed power line. The provision of sustainable services delivery also needs to be confirmed. The operational phase will have a direct positive impact through the creation of employment opportunities and skills development, development of non-polluting, renewable energy infrastructure and contribution to economic development and social upliftment.

Impacts during the decommissioning phase:

The negative impacts generally associated with the decommissioning phase include: habitat destruction caused by clearance of vegetation and the loss of permanent employment. However, skilled staff will be eminently employable and a number of temporary jobs will also be created in the process. It is not expected that the facility will be decommissioned, but rather that the technology used will be upgraded.

Cumulative impacts:

Cumulative impacts could arise as other similar projects are constructed in the area. According to the Department of forestry, Fisheries and Environment database three (3) other solar plants have been proposed in relatively close proximity to the proposed activity.

The potential for cumulative impacts may therefore exist. The Draft Scoping Report includes an assessment of the potential cumulative impacts associated with the proposed development. Potential cumulative impacts with a significance rating of negative medium during the construction phase relate to: habitat destruction and fragmentation, impact on the characteristics of the watercourse, displacement of priority avian species from important habitats, loss of important avian habitats, impacts of employment opportunities, business opportunities and skills development and impact associated with large-scale in-migration of people. Cumulative impacts during the operational phase relate to: habitat destruction and fragmentation, impacts on the characteristics of the watercourse and visual intrusion. The cumulative effect of the generation of waste was identified as being potentially significant during the decommissioning phase.

Regulation 23 of the EIA Regulations determine that an EIA report be prepared and submitted for the proposed activity after the competent authority approves the final scoping report. The EIA report will evaluate and rate each identified impact and identify mitigation measures that may be required. The EIA report will contain information that is necessary for the competent authority to consider the application for Environmental Authorisation and to reach a decision contemplated in Regulation 24 of the EIA Regulations.

1 INTRODUCTION

This section aims to introduce the Scoping Report and specifically to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include- (a) details of:

- (i) the EAP who prepared the report; and
- (ii) the expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae.

1.1 LEGAL MANDATE AND PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

The National Environmental Management Act identifies listed activities (in terms of Section 24) which are likely to have an impact on the environment. These activities cannot commence without obtaining an Environmental Authorisation (EA) from the relevant competent authority. Sufficient information is required by the competent authority to make an informed decision and the project is therefore subject to an environmental assessment process which can be either a Basic Assessment Process or a full Scoping and Environmental Impact Assessment process.

The Listing Notices 1, 2 and 3 (GNR 327, 325 and 324) outline the activities that may be triggered and therefore require EA. The following listed activities with special reference to the proposed development is triggered:

Table 1.1: Description of each listed activity as per project description

| Relevant notice: | Activity No (s) | Description of each listed activity as per project description: |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 11(i) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity (i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts.”</i> • Activity 11(i) is triggered since the proposed <i>photovoltaic</i> solar facility will transmit and distribute electricity of 132 kilovolts outside an urban area. The infrastructure for the distribution of electricity will include a power line (132kV), an on-site HV/MV substation and switching station (132kV) |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 14 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres.”</i> |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activity 14 is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel) in containers with combined capacity of 80 cubic metres. The capacity will not exceed 500 cubic metres. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 19 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse.”</i> Activity 19 is triggered since the proposed development may require the infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 24(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“The development of a road (ii) with reserve wider than 13,5 meters, or where no reserve exists where the road is wider than 8 meters.</i> Activity 24(ii) is triggered is triggered as the access road will have a width of up to 10 meters. The internal and perimeter roads with a width of between 6 and 12 meters will be constructed. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 28(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare.”</i> Activity 28(ii) is triggered as portions of the affected property have been used for grazing and the property will be re-zoned to “special” use for the proposed development. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 589 hectares. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 56(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“The widening of a road by more than 6 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (ii) where no reserve exists, where the existing road is wider than 8 metres...”</i> Activity 56 (ii) is triggered since the existing access to the affected property does not have a reserve and will need to be widened by more than 6 metres. The access road will have a width of up to 10 metres. |



| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more.”</i> • Activity 1 is triggered since the proposed photovoltaic solar facility will generate up to 350 megawatts electricity through the use of a renewable resource. |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 15 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation.”</i> • Activity 15 is triggered since more than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation will be removed. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 589ha in extent. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 4 (g)(ii)(ee) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (g) the Northern Cape province, (ii) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans....”</i> • Activity 4 (g)(ii)(ee) is triggered as the access road will have a width of up to 10 meters. The internal and perimeter roads with a width of between 6 and 12 meters will be constructed |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 10 (g)(iii)(ee) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (g) in the Northern Cape province, (iii) Outside urban areas (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans...”</i> • Activity 10(g)(iii)(ee) is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel and oils) in containers with a capacity exceeding 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres. The project is located within the Northern Cape Province. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 12 (g)(i)(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (g) in the Northern Cape province, (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within</i> |

| | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | | <p><i>an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans.”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Activity 12 (g)(i)(ii) is triggered since the proposed development is located in the Northern Cape province and portions of the site has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years and therefore indigenous vegetation is present on the site. |
| GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 18 (g)(ii)(ee) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>“The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (g) in the Northern Cape province, (ii) outside urban areas, within (ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and....”</i> Activity 18 (g)(ii)(ee) is triggered since the existing access road to the site will need to be widened by more than 4 metres. The project is located within the Northern Cape Province and outside urban areas. |

The activities triggered under Listing Notice 1, 2 and 3 (Regulation 327, 325 and 324) for the project implies that the development is considered as potentially having a significant impact on the environment. Subsequently a ‘thorough assessment process’ is required as described in Regulations 21-24. According to Appendix 2 of Regulation 326 the objective of the scoping process is to, through a consultative process:

- Identify the relevant policies and legislation relevant to the activity;
- Motivate the need and desirability of the proposed activity, including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location;
- Identify and confirm the preferred activity and technology alternative through an identification of impacts and risks and ranking process of such impacts and risks;
- Identify and confirm the preferred site, through a detailed site selection process, which includes an identification of impacts and risks inclusive of identification of cumulative impacts and a ranking process of all the identified alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, and cultural aspects of the environment;
- Identify the key issues to be addressed in the assessment phase;
- Agree on the level of assessment to be undertaken, including the methodology to be applied, the expertise required as well as the extent of further consultation to be undertaken to determine the impacts and risks the activity will impose on the preferred site through the life of the activity, including the nature, significance, consequence,

extent, duration and probability of the impacts to inform the location of the development footprint within the preferred site; and

- Identify suitable measures to avoid, manage or mitigate identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

This Draft Scoping Report has been submitted to the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) for review and comment. According to Regulation 326 all registered I&APs and relevant State Departments (including Organs of State) must be allowed the opportunity to review and provide comment on the scoping report. The Draft Scoping Report has been made available to I&APs and all relevant State Departments. They have been requested to provide written comments on the report within 30 days of receiving it.

All issues to be identified and comments received during the review period will be documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of this Final Scoping Report. Where comments have been received prior to the release of the Draft Scoping Report for the 30-day review and comment period, these comments have been included in Appendix C5 and C6 and has also been included and responded to in the Comments and Responses Report (Appendix C7).

1.2 DETAILS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT PRACTITIONER (EAP)

Environamics was appointed by the applicant as the independent EAP to conduct the EIA and prepare all required reports. All correspondence to the EAP can be directed to:

Contact person: Mr. Herman Alberts
EAPASA Registration: 2019/1328
Postal Address: 14 Kingfisher Street, Tuscan Ridge Estate, Potchefstroom, 2531
Telephone: 063 685 2093 (Cell)
Electronic Mail: herman@environamics.co.za

And/or

Contact person: Ms. Christia van Dyk
Postal Address: 14 Kingfisher Street, Tuscan Ridge Estate, Potchefstroom, 2531
Telephone: 078 470 5252 (Cell)
Electronic Mail: christia@environamics.co.za

Regulation 13(1)(a) and (b) determines that an independent and suitably qualified and experienced EAP should conduct the EIA. In terms of the independent status of the EAP a declaration is attached as Appendix A to this report. The expertise of the EAP responsible for conducting the EIA is also summarized in the curriculum vitae included as part of Appendix A.

1.3 DETAILS OF SPECIALISTS

Table 1.2 provides information on the specialists that have been appointed as part of the EIA process. Regulation 13(1)(a) and (b) determines that an independent and suitably qualified, experienced and independent specialist should conduct the specialist study, in the event where the specialist is not independent, a specialist should be appointed to externally review the work of the specialist as contemplated in sub regulation (2), must comply with sub regulation 1. In terms of the independent status of the specialists, their declarations are attached as Appendix E to this report. The expertise of the specialists is also summarized in their respective reports.

Table 1.2: Details of specialists

| Study | Prepared by | Contact Person | Postal Address | Tel | e-mail |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Geotechnical Feasibility Assessment <i>(to be included in the EIA Report)</i> | To be Confirmed | - | - | - | - |
| Avifaunal Impact Assessment | The Biodiversity Company | Mahomed Desai / Andrew Husted | - | Cell: 081 319 1225 | info@thebiodiversitycompany.com |
| Terrestrial Biodiversity, and Wetland Impact Assessments | The Biodiversity Company | Marnus Erasmus / Andrew Husted | - | Cell: 081 319 1225 | info@thebiodiversitycompany.com |
| Heritage Impact Assessment | J A van Schalkwyk | J A van Schalkwyk | P.O.BOX 73703, LYNNWOOD RIDGE, 0040 | Cell: 083 459 3091 | apac.heritage@gmail.com |
| Paleontological Study | Banzai Environmental (Pty) Ltd | Elize Butler | - | Cell: 084 447 8759 | elizebutler002@gmail.com |
| Soils and Agricultural Impact Assessment | The Biodiversity Company | Matthew Mamera / Andrew Husted | - | Cell: 081 319 1225 | info@thebiodiversitycompany.com |
| Visual Impact Assessment | Donaway Environmental Consultants | Johan Botha | 30 Fouche Street Steynsrus, 9515 | Tel: 082 316 7749 | johan@donnawayl.co.za |
| Social Impact Assessment | Donaway Environmental Consultants | Johan Botha | 30 Fouche Street Steynsrus, 9515 | Cell: 082 493 5166 | johan@donnawayl.co.za |
| Traffic Assessment Study | BVi Consulting Engineers | DJP van der Merwe | Edison Square, Century City, 7441 | Cell: 060 557 7467 | dirkvdm@bviwc.co.za |

1.4 STATUS OF THE EIA PROCESS

The EIA process is conducted strictly in accordance with the stipulations set out in Regulations 21-24 of Regulation No. 326. Table 1.3 provides a summary of the EIA process and future steps to be taken. It can be confirmed that to date:

- The DFFE confirmed no pre-application meeting was necessary per email.
- A newspaper advertisement was placed in the Kathu Gazette on 25 February 2023, informing the public of the EIA process and for the public to register as I&APs.
- A site visit was conducted by the EAP on 26 September 2022.
- Site notices were erected on site informing the public of the commencement of the EIA process.
- An application form and the draft Scoping Report has been submitted to DFFE on 08 June 2023.
- The draft Scoping Report has been made available for a 30-day review and comment period from 08 June to 10 July 2023.

It is envisaged that the Final Scoping Report will be submitted to the Department in July 2023 and that the Final Scoping Report will be accepted by the Department in August 2023. The EIA process should be completed within approximately nine months of submission of the Draft Scoping Report, i.e. by March 2024 – see Table 1.3.

Table 1.3: Estimated timeframe for completion of the ‘scoping and EIA process’

| Activity | Prescribed timeframe | Timeframe |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Site visits | - | February 2023 |
| Public participation (BID) | 30 Days | March – April 2023 |
| Conduct specialist studies | 2 Months | Feb. – Apr. 2023 |
| Submit application form and DSR | - | 08 June 2023 |
| Public participation (DSR) | 30 | June – July 2023 |
| Submit FSR | 44 | July 2023 |
| Approval of Final Scoping Report | 43 Days | August 2023 |
| Submit Draft EIR & EMPr | 106 Days | August 2023 |
| Public participation (DEIR) | 30 Days | Aug. – Sept. 2023 |
| Submission of FEIR & EMPr | - | September 2023 |

| | | |
|---|----------|------------------|
| Decision | 107 Days | February 2024 |
| Public participation (decision) & submission of appeals | 20 Days | Feb. – Mar. 2024 |

1.5 SPECIALIST STUDIES IDENTIFIED IN THE DFFE SCREENING TOOL REPORT

The table included below provides an indication of the specialist studies identified by the DFFE Screening Tool Report (Appendix B), an indication of whether the studies were undertaken or not and a motivation or confirmation of the studies being included or not.

Table 1.4: Studies identified by the screening tool

| Study identified in the DFFE Screening Tool and sensitivity | Study included? | Appendix |
|--|-----------------|---|
| Agricultural Impact Assessment Sensitivity: Medium | Yes | An Agricultural Compliance Statement is included in Appendix E4 of the Scoping Report. |
| Landscape / Visual Impact Assessment Sensitivity: High | Yes | A Visual Impact Assessment is included in Appendix E3 of the Scoping Report. |
| Archaeological and Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Sensitivity: Low | Yes | Phase 1 Cultural Heritage Assessment is included in Appendix E5 of the Scoping Report, as per the requirements of the National Heritage Resources Act. |
| Palaeontological Impact Assessment Sensitivity: Medium | Yes | A Palaeontological Impact Assessment is included in Appendix E6 of the Scoping Report, as per the requirements of the National Heritage Resources Act. |
| Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment Sensitivity: Very High | Yes | A Terrestrial Biodiversity Compliance Statement Report is included in Appendix E1 of the Scoping Report. This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 – refer to the content of the report. |



| | | |
|---|------------|--|
| <p>Aquatic Biodiversity Impact Assessment</p> <p>Sensitivity: Very High</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>A Wetland Baseline & Risk Assessment Report is included in Appendix E1.</p> <p>This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 – refer to the content of the report.</p> |
| <p>Avian Impact Assessment</p> <p>Sensitivity: Low</p> <p>(not listed as a required specialist study in the DFFE Screening Report but is undertaken due to the very high sensitivity of the site)</p> | <p>Yes</p> | <p>Avifauna Scoping Assessment Report is included as Appendix E2 of the Scoping Report.</p> <p>This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 – refer to the content of the report.</p> |
| <p>Civil Aviation Assessment</p> <p>Sensitivity: Low</p> | <p>No</p> | <p>The identification of the site as low sensitivity considering civil aviation is agreed to by the EAP. This is based on the current land use of the site being used for agricultural purposes.</p> <p>The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) has been identified as an I&AP, and has received the Draft Scoping report for review and commenting. No comments from the CAA have been received as part of the public participation process.</p> |
| <p>Defence Theme</p> <p>Sensitivity: Low</p> | <p>No</p> | <p>The site verification report confirms the low sensitivity of the site as no military operations are located close to the development.</p> <p>The project is therefore not expected to have an impact on Defence Installations.</p> |
| <p>RFI Assessment</p> <p>Sensitivity: Low</p> | <p>No</p> | <p>The site verification is inconclusive as no desktop information could be sought, however on-site evidence of the low sensitivity was available</p> |



| | | |
|--|-----|---|
| | | <p>during the site inspection since no potential RFI could be identified.</p> <p>The South African Radio Astronomy Observatory (SARAO) have been consulted regarding the development of the project and the Scoping Report has been circulated to SARAO for review and commenting. No comment has been received from SARAO to date.</p> |
| Geotechnical Assessment Sensitivity: Not indicated | No | <p>The Geotechnical Assessment will be conducted before construction begins as part of the micro-siting of the facility layout.</p> <p>The consideration of geotechnical aspects is considered to be of a technical concern rather than an environmental concern.</p> |
| Socio-Economic Impact Assessment Sensitivity: Not indicated | Yes | <p>A Social Impact Assessment is included in Appendix E7 of the Scoping Report.</p> |
| Plant species Assessment Sensitivity: Low | Yes | <p>Refer to Appendix E1. The Terrestrial Biodiversity, Plant and Animal Species Impact Assessment Report also includes the relevant Plant Species Assessment.</p> <p>This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the Protocols of GNR320 – refer to the content of the report.</p> |
| Animal Species Assessment Sensitivity: Medium | Yes | <p>Refer to Appendix E1. The Terrestrial Biodiversity, Plant and Animal Species Impact Assessment Report also includes the relevant Animal Species Assessment.</p> <p>This assessment has been undertaken in terms of the</p> |

| | | |
|--|--|---|
| | | Protocols of GNR320 – refer to the content of the report. |
|--|--|---|

1.6 STRUCTURE OF THE REPORT

This report is structured in accordance with the prescribed contents stipulated in Appendix 2 of Regulation No.326. It consists of eight sections demonstrating compliance with the specifications of the regulations as illustrated in Table 1.5.

Table 1.5: Structure of the report

| Requirements for the contents of a scoping report as specified in the Regulations | | Section in report |
|---|---|-------------------|
| (a) | details of - | 1 |
| | (i) the EAP who prepared the report; and ii) the expertise of the EAP, including a curriculum vitae. | |
| (b) | the location of the activity, including- | 2 |
| | (i) the 21-digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel; (ii) where available, the physical address and farm name; (iii) where the required information in items (i) and (ii) is not available, the coordinates of the boundary of the property or properties; | |
| (c) | a plan which locates the proposed activity or activities applied for at an appropriate scale, or, if it is- | |
| | (i) a linear activity, a description and coordinates of the corridor in which the proposed activity or activities is to be undertaken; or (ii) on land where the property has not been defined, the coordinates within which the activity is to be undertaken; | 3 |
| (d) | a description of the scope of the proposed activity, including- | |
| | (i) all listed and specified activities triggered; (ii) a description of the activities to be undertaken, including associated structures and infrastructure. | |
| (e) | A description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, | 3 |

| | | |
|-----|--|---|
| | spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process; | |
| (f) | a motivation for the need and desirability for the proposed development including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location; | 4 |
| (g) | <p>a full description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred activity, site and location of the development footprint within the site, including –</p> <p>(i) details of all the alternatives considered;</p> <p>(ii) details of the public participation process undertaken in terms of regulation 41 of the Regulations, including copies of the supporting documents and inputs;</p> <p>(iii) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated, or the reasons for not including them.</p> <p>(iv) the environmental attributes associated with the alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;</p> <p>(ix) the outcome of the site selection matrix;</p> <p>(x) if no alternatives, including alternative locations for the activity were investigated, the motivation for not considering such and</p> <p>(xi) a concluding statement indicating the preferred alternatives, including preferred location of the activity;</p> | 5 |
| (g) | <p>(v) the impacts and risks which have informed the identification of each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of such identified impacts, including the degree to which these impacts- (aa) can be reversed; (bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and (cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated;</p> <p>(vi) the methodology used in identifying and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks associated with the alternatives;</p> <p>(vii) positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity and alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may be affected focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;</p> | 6 |

| | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------|
| | (viii) the possible mitigation measures that could be applied and level of residual risk; | |
| (i) | <p>a plan of study for undertaking the environmental impact assessment process to be undertaken, including-</p> <p>(i) a description of the alternatives to be considered and assessed within the preferred site, including the option of not proceeding with the activity;</p> <p>(ii) a description of the aspects to be assessed as part of the EIA process;</p> <p>(iii) aspects to be assessed by specialists;</p> <p>(iv) a description of the proposed method of assessing the environmental aspects, including aspects to be assessed by specialists;</p> <p>(v) a description of the proposed method of assessing duration and significance;</p> <p>(vi) an indication of the stages at which the competent authority will be consulted;</p> <p>(vii) particulars of the public participation process that will be conducted during the EIA process; and</p> <p>(viii) a description of the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the EIA process;</p> <p>(ix) identify suitable measures to avoid, reverse, mitigate or manage identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.</p> | 8 |
| (j) | <p>an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to-</p> <p>(i) the correctness of the information provided in the report;</p> <p>(ii) the inclusion of comments and inputs from stakeholders and interested and affected parties; and</p> <p>(iii) any information provided by the EAP to I&APs and any responses by the EAP to comments or inputs made by I&APs;</p> | Appendix A to the report |
| (k) | an undertaking under oath or affirmation by the EAP in relation to the level of agreement between the EAP and I&APs on the plan of study for undertaking the EIA; | |
| (l) | where applicable, any specific information required by the CA; and | N/A |
| (m) | any other matter required in terms of section 24(4)(a) and (b) of the Act. | N/A |

2 ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-

(b) the location of the activity, including-

- (i) the 21-digit Surveyor General code of each cadastral land parcel;
- (ii) where available, the physical address and farm name;
- (iii) where the required information in items (i) and (ii) is not available, the coordinates of the boundary of the property or properties;

(c) a plan which locates the proposed activity applied for at an appropriate scale, or, if it is-

- (i) a linear activity, a description and coordinates of the corridor in which the proposed activity or activities is to be undertaken; or
- (ii) on land where the property has not been defined, the coordinates within which the activity is to be undertaken;

(d) a description of the scope of the proposed activity, including-

- (i) all listed and specified activities triggered;
- (ii) a description of the activities to be undertaken, including associated structures and infrastructure.

2.1 THE LOCATION OF THE ACTIVITY AND PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The activity entails the development of a photovoltaic solar facility and associated infrastructure on Portion 2(Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476, Northern Cape Province situated within the Gamagara Local Municipality area of jurisdiction. The proposed development is located in the Northern Cape Province of South-Africa (refer to Figure B for the regional map). The town of Kathu is located approximately 14km west of the proposed development (refer to Figure A for the locality map).

The project entails the generation of up to 350MW electrical power through the installation and operation of photovoltaic (PV) panels. An area of 949ha has been assessed as part of this Scoping Report, and an area of 600ha have been identified as the development footprint for the placement of the infrastructure (including supporting infrastructure on site). Refer to Table 2.1 for general site information.

The property on which the facility is to be constructed will be leased by Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd from the property owner, Dawid Hermanus Fourie and Hester Magrieta Fourie, for the life span of the project (minimum of 20 years).

A new 132kV power line will be constructed to connect the solar power plant to the national grid. It is expected that generation from the facility will connect to the national grid via the Existing Eskom Emil 132kv substation.

The grid connection route will be assessed within a 101 m to 1174 m wide and 4,2 km long corridor. The connection power line will be constructed within the limits of the grid connection corridor. The Project will inject up to 350 MW into the National Grid.

Table 2.1: General site information

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Description of affected farm portion | <p><u>Solar power plant:</u></p> <p>Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476</p> <p><u>Grid connection corridor:</u></p> <p>Portion 2 of the farm Bishops wood 2/476</p> <p>Remaining Extent of the Farm Lanham No. 539</p> <p>Portion 1 of the farm Fritz No. 540</p> <p>Portion 10 of the farm Fritz No. 540</p> <p>Remaining Extent of the Farm Woon No. 469</p> <p>Portion 3 of the farm Woon No. 469</p> <p>Portion 2 of the farm Fritz No. 540</p> <p>Portion 5 of the farm Fritz No. 540</p> <p>Portion 9 of the farm Fritz No. 540</p> |
| Province | Northern Cape |
| District Municipality | John Taolo Gaetswe District Municipality |
| Local Municipality | Gamagara Local Municipality |
| Ward numbers | 5 |
| Closest towns | Kathu is located approximately 14km east of the proposed development. |
| 21 Digit Surveyor General codes | <p><u>Solar Power Plant:</u></p> <p>Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476</p> <p>C0410000000047600002</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p><u>Grid connection corridor:</u></p> <p>Portion 2 of the farm Bishops wood No. 476 C041000000004760002</p> <p>Remaining Extent of the Farm Lanham No. 539 C041000000005390000</p> <p>Portion 1 of the farm Fritz No. 540 C041000000005400001</p> <p>Portion 10 of the farm Fritz No. 540 C041000000005400010</p> <p>Remaining Extent of the Farm Woon No. 469 C041000000004690000</p> <p>Portion 3 of the farm Woon No. 469 C041000000004690003</p> <p>Portion 2 of the farm Fritz No. 540 C041000000005400002</p> <p>Portion 5 of the farm Fritz No. 540 C041000000005400005</p> <p>Portion 9 of the farm Fritz No. 540 C041000000005400009</p> |
| Type of technology | Photovoltaic solar facility |
| Structure Height | <p>Panels ~ 6m;</p> <p>Buildings ~ 6m;</p> <p>Power line ~ 32m; and</p> <p>Battery storage facility ~ 8m.</p> |
| Battery storage | Within a 4-hectare area |
| Surface area to be covered (Development footprint) | Approximately 600 ha |

| | |
|---|--|
| Laydown area dimensions (EIA footprint) | Assessed 949 ha |
| Structure orientation | The panels will either be fixed to a single-axis horizontal tracking structure where the orientation of the panel varies according to the time of the day, as the sun moves from east to west or tilted at a fixed angle equivalent to the latitude at which the site is in order to capture the most sun. |
| Generation capacity | Up to 350MW |

The site is located outside an urban area and is bordered by agricultural land uses. The site survey revealed that the affected property currently consists of agricultural activities – refer to plates 1-11 for photographs of the affected property and proposed development footprint area.

2.2 ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

The proposed development will trigger the following activities:

Table 2.2: Listed activities

| Relevant notice: | Activity No (s) | Description of each listed activity as per project description: |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 11(i) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity (i) outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts.” Activity 11(i) is triggered since the proposed <i>photovoltaic</i> solar facility will transmit and distribute electricity of 132 kilovolts outside an urban area. The infrastructure for the distribution of electricity will include a power line (132kV), an on-site HV/MV substation and switching station (132kV). |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 14 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> “The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres.” Activity 14 is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel) in containers with |



| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| | | <p>combined capacity of 80 cubic metres. The capacity will not exceed 500 cubic metres.</p> |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 24(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of a road (ii) with reserve wider than 13,5 meters, or where no reserve exists where the road is wider than 8 meters.</i> • Activity 24(ii) is triggered as the access road will have a width of up to 10 meters. The internal and perimeter roads with a width of between 6 and 12 meters will be constructed. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 28(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare.”</i> • Activity 28(ii) is triggered as portions of the affected property have been used for grazing and the property will be re-zoned to “special” use for the proposed development. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 589 hectares. |
| GNR. 327 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 56(ii) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The widening of a road by more than 6 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (ii) where no reserve exists, where the existing road is wider than 8 metres...”</i> • Activity 56 (ii) is triggered since the existing access to the affected property does not have a reserve and will need to be widened by more than 6 metres. The access road will have a width of up to 10 metres. |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more.”</i> • Activity 1 is triggered since the proposed photovoltaic solar facility will generate up to 350 megawatts electricity through the use of a renewable resource. |
| GNR. 325 (as amended in 2017) | Activity 15 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation.”</i> • Activity 15 is triggered since more than 20 hectares of indigenous vegetation will be removed. The development footprint of the solar power plant will be 589ha in extent. |

| | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| <p>GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017)</p> | <p>Activity 4 (g)(ii)(ee)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (g) the Northern Cape province, (ii) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans....”</i> • Activity 4 (g)(ii)(ee) is triggered as the access road will have a width of up to 10 meters. The internal and perimeter roads with a width of between 6 and 12 meters will be constructed |
| <p>GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017)</p> | <p>Activity 10 (g)(iii)(ee)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (g) in the Northern Cape province, (iii) Outside urban areas (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans...”</i> • Activity 10(g)(iii)(ee) is triggered since the proposed development will need to develop infrastructure for the storage and handling of dangerous goods (diesel and oils) in containers with a capacity exceeding 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres. The project is located within the Northern Cape Province. |
| <p>GNR. 324 (as amended in 2017)</p> | <p>Activity 12 (g)(i)(ii)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (g) in the Northern Cape province, (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans.”</i> • Activity 12 (g)(i)(ii) is triggered since the proposed development is located in the Northern Cape province and portions of the site has not been lawfully disturbed during the preceding ten years and therefore indigenous vegetation is present on the site. |
| <p>GNR. 324 (as</p> | <p>Activity 18 (g)(ii)(ee)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>“The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (g) in the Northern Cape province, (ii) outside urban areas, within (ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic</i> |

| | | |
|------------------|--|---|
| amended in 2017) | | <p><i>biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and....”</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activity 18 (g)(ii)(ee) is triggered since the existing access road to the site will need to be widened by more than 4 metres. The project is located within the Northern Cape Province and outside urban areas. |
|------------------|--|---|

The potentially most significant impacts will occur during the construction phase of the development, which will include the following activities:

- Site clearing and preparation: Certain areas of the site and access road will need to be cleared of vegetation and some areas may need to be levelled.
- Civil works to be conducted:
 - Terrain levelling if necessary– Levelling will be minimal as the potential site chosen is relatively flat.
 - Laying foundation- The structures will be connected to the ground through cement pillars, cement slabs or metal screws. The exact method will depend on the detailed geotechnical analysis.
 - Construction of access and inside roads/paths – existing paths will be used where reasonably possible. An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and associated infrastructure. Additionally, the turning circle for trucks will also be taken into consideration.
 - Trenching – all Direct Current (DC) and Alternating Current (AC) wiring within the PV plant will be buried underground. Trenches will have a river sand base, space for pipes, backfill of sifted soil and soft sand and concrete layers where vehicles will pass.

2.3 PHOTOVOLTAIC TECHNOLOGY

The term photovoltaic describes a solid-state electronic cell that produces direct current electrical energy from the radiant energy of the sun through a process known as the Photovoltaic Effect. This refers to light energy placing electrons into a higher state of energy to create electricity. Each PV cell is made of silicon (i.e. semiconductors), which is positively and negatively charged on either side, with electrical conductors attached to both sides to form a circuit. This circuit captures the released electrons in the form of an electric current (direct current). The key components of the proposed project are described below:

- PV Panel Array - To produce up to 350MW, the proposed facility will require numerous linked cells placed behind a protective glass sheet to form a panel. Multiple panels will be required to form the solar PV arrays which will comprise the PV facility. The PV panels will be tilted at a northern angle in order to capture the most sun or using one-axis tracker structures to follow the sun to increase the Yield.

- Wiring to Inverters - Sections of the PV array will be wired to inverters. The inverter is a pulse width mode inverter that converts direct current (DC) electricity to alternating current (AC) electricity at grid frequency.
- Connection to the grid - Connecting the array to the electrical grid requires transformation of the voltage from 480V to 33kV to 132kV. The normal components and dimensions of a distribution rated electrical substation will be required. Output voltage from the inverter is 480V and this is fed into step up transformers to 132kV. An onsite substation will be required on the site to step the voltage up to 132kV, after which the power will be evacuated into the national grid via the proposed power line. It is expected that generation from the facility will connect to the national grid via the Existing Eskom Emil 132kv substation. The grid connection route will be assessed within a 101 m to 1174 m wide and 4,2 km long corridor. The connection power line will be constructed within the limits of the grid connection corridor. The Project will inject up to 350 MW into the National Grid.
- Electrical reticulation network – An internal electrical reticulation network will be required and will be laid ~2-4m underground as far as practically possible.
- Supporting Infrastructure – All associated infrastructure will be constructed within the limits of the infrastructure and ancillary complex which will include an on-site substation, Battery Energy Storage System, Operations and Maintenance buildings etc.
- Battery storage – A Battery Storage Facility with a maximum height of 8m and a maximum volume of 1,740 m³ of batteries and associated operational, safety and control infrastructure.
- Roads – Two access routes are proposed. Access route 1 will be obtained via a public gravel road off of the R380 regional road to the north of the site. Access route 2 will be obtained via a public gravel road off of the N14 regional road to the south of the site. An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and associated infrastructure.
- Fencing - For health, safety and security reasons, the facility will be required to be fenced off from the surrounding farm. Fencing with a height of 2.5 meters will be used.

2.4 LAYOUT DESCRIPTION

The layout plan will consider and adhere to the limitations of the site and aspects such as environmentally sensitive areas, roads, fencing and servitudes on site – refer to Figure G and H. The total surface area proposed for the layout includes the PV panel arrays (spaced to avoid shadowing), access and maintenance roads and associated infrastructure (buildings, power inverters, power line, battery energy storage system, on-site substation and switching station and

perimeter fences). Limited features of environmental significance exist on site, however the sensitivities that do exist have to be avoided in the layout of the solar facility (refer to Figure G and H). Table 2.3 below provides detailed information regarding the layout for the proposed facility as per DFFE requirements.

Table 2.3: Technical details for the proposed facility

| Component | Description / dimensions |
|---|---|
| Height of PV panels | 6 meters |
| Area of PV Array | 600 Hectares (Development footprint) |
| Number of inverters required | Minimum 50 |
| Area occupied by inverter / transformer stations / substations / BESS | Central inverters+ LV/MV trafo: 750 m ² Substation : 1.5 ha (IPP step-up and Eskom switching/collector) BESS: 4 ha |
| Capacity of on-site substation | 132kV |
| Capacity of the power line | 132kV |
| Area occupied by both permanent and construction laydown areas | Permanent Laydown Area: 600 Hectares Construction Laydown Area: ~5 ha |
| Area occupied by buildings | Infrastructure & Ancillary Complex: 15 ha |
| Battery storage facility | Maximum height: 8m Maximum volume: 1740 m ³ Capacity ~up to 500MWh |
| Length of access roads | To be confirmed with the layout of the facility |
| Width of access roads | 8 m – 10 m |
| Length of internal roads | To be confirmed with the layout of the facility |
| Width of internal roads | 4 m – 6 m |
| Length of perimeter roads | To be confirmed with the layout of the facility |
| Width of perimeter roads | 8 m – 12 m |
| Grid connection corridor width | 101 m to 1174 m |
| Grid connection corridor length | ~4.2 km (4216 m) |
| Power line servitude width | 32m |
| Height of fencing | Approximately 2.5 m |

Table 2.4 provide the coordinate points for the proposed project site, associated infrastructure and grid connection corridor.

Table 2.4: Coordinates

| Coordinates | | | |
|-------------|---|---------------|--------------|
| | A | 27°43'31.71"S | 22°51'9.01"E |

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------|---------------|
| Project Site (EIA footprint) | B | 27°43'26.59"S | 22°54'3.51"E |
| | C | 27°42'59.96"S | 22°54'52.48"E |
| | D | 27°43'51.09"S | 22°55'3.04"E |
| | E | 27°44'40.54"S | 22°51'59.64"E |
| | | | |
| Access Road 1 (R380) | A | 27°44'16.21"S | 22°53'28.86"E |
| | B | 27°44'3.95"S | 22°54'14.70"E |
| | C | 27°43'26.42"S | 22°54'3.80"E |
| | D | 27°43'21.89"S | 22°53'56.52"E |
| | E | 27°43'15.70"S | 22°53'51.70"E |
| | F | 27°43'3.56"S | 22°53'49.01"E |
| | G | 27°41'30.47"S | 22°54'3.30"E |
| | H | 27°41'11.43"S | 22°54'1.11"E |
| | I | 27°41'5.78"S | 22°53'56.14"E |
| | J | 27°40'53.53"S | 22°53'43.15"E |
| | K | 27°40'37.32"S | 22°53'32.71"E |
| | L | 27°40'28.69"S | 22°53'24.35"E |
| | M | 27°39'45.31"S | 22°53'25.06"E |
| | N | 27°39'0.24"S | 22°53'22.51"E |
| | O | 27°38'16.96"S | 22°53'6.37"E |
| | P | 27°37'56.28"S | 22°53'7.13"E |
| | Q | 27°36'17.74"S | 22°52'42.57"E |
| | R | 27°35'56.05"S | 22°52'55.92"E |
| | | | |
| Access Road 2 (N14): | A | 27°44'16.24"S | 22°53'28.84"E |
| | B | 27°44'3.91"S | 22°54'14.72"E |
| | C | 27°44'56.46"S | 22°54'28.31"E |
| | D | 27°45'31.46"S | 22°54'50.23"E |
| | E | 27°47'20.26"S | 22°55'9.60"E |
| | F | 27°47'44.15"S | 22°55'13.29"E |
| | G | 27°48'45.48"S | 22°56'43.25"E |
| | H | 27°48'34.17"S | 22°57'25.36"E |
| | I | 27°49'44.91"S | 22°58'42.31"E |
| | J | 27°53'21.69"S | 22°58'4.37"E |
| | | | |
| Grid Connection Corridor | A | 27°44'13.03"S | 22°53'29.05"E |
| | B | 27°43'42.73"S | 22°55'21.69"E |
| | C | 27°44'15.34"S | 22°55'21.81"E |
| | D | 27°44'15.44"S | 22°55'11.41"E |
| | E | 27°44'6.95"S | 22°54'55.49"E |
| | F | 27°43'54.43"S | 22°54'50.82"E |
| | G | 27°44'16.50"S | 22°53'29.04"E |
| | | | |
| Infrastructure and Ancillary Complex | A | 27°44'6.20"S | 22°53'14.63"E |
| | B | 27°44'6.19"S | 22°53'28.99"E |
| | C | 27°44'16.50"S | 22°53'29.05"E |
| | D | 27°44'20.31"S | 22°53'14.60"E |

2.5 SERVICES PROVISION

The following sections provides information on services required on the site e.g. water, sewage, refuse removal, and electricity.

2.5.1 Water

Adequate provision of water will be a prerequisite for the development. Water for the proposed development will most likely be obtained from ground water resources or alternatively collected with water trucks from an authorized water service provider and stored on site. A full assessment of the application for water use authorisation will only be undertaken in the event that the project proponent has obtained preferred bidder status by the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy.

The estimated maximum amount of water required during construction is 45 000 m³ annually during the 18 - 24 months of construction. The estimated maximum amount of water required during the facility's 20 years of production is 7000m³ per annum. The majority of this usage is for the cleaning of the solar panels. Since each panel requires approximately 2 litres of water for cleaning. It is estimated that the panels may only need to be washed twice per annum, but provision is made for quaternary cleaning (March, May, July, and September).

Drinking water supplied will comply with the SANS:241 quality requirements. Water quality from the borehole will be tested to confirm SANS:214 quality, if water quality is not sufficient for drinking, bottled water will be supplied to staff during construction and operational phases of the project.

Water saving devices and technologies such as the use of dual flush toilets and low-flow taps, the management of stormwater, the capture and use of rainwater from gutters and roofs will be considered by the developer. Furthermore, indigenous vegetation will be used during landscaping and the staff will be trained to implement good housekeeping techniques.

2.5.2 Stormwater

To avoid soil erosion, it is recommended that the clearing of vegetation be limited. Stormwater management and mitigation measures will be included in the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) to be submitted as part of the EIR.

2.5.3 Sanitation and waste removal

Portable chemical toilets will be utilised, that will be serviced privately or by the local municipality. Waste will be disposed of at a licensed landfill site. The construction- and hazardous waste will be removed and disposed of at licensed landfill sites accepting such kinds of wastes. During the operational phase household waste will be removed to a licensed landfill site by a private contractor or by the local municipality. The relevant Local Municipality(s) have been contacted, to formally confirm that it has the capacity to provide the proposed development with these services for the lifetime of the project (20 years). No feedback has been received yet, however, confirmation will be included in Appendix F when it is received.

2.5.4 Electricity

During the construction phase of the development, electricity will either be generated on site through a small solar system or through the use of generators or the existing Eskom supply on the farm will be utilised. This will depend on the Engineering, Procurement, and Construction (EPC) contractor appointed. During operation electricity use will be limited and will primarily be related to the lighting of the facility and domestic use. Design measures such as the use of energy saving light bulbs will be considered by the developer. During the day, electricity will be sourced from the photovoltaic plant, and from the electricity connection at night.

2.6 Decommissioning of the facility

The operating period will be 20 years from the commencement date of the operation phase. Thereafter two rights of renewal periods of 40 years and 20 years will be relevant. It is anticipated that new PV technologies and equipment will be implemented, within the scope of the Environmental Authorisation, when influencing the profitability of the solar facility.

A likely extension of the plant's lifetime would involve putting new, more efficient, solar panels on the existing structures to improve the efficiency of the facility as the technology improves. The specifications of these new panels will be the same as the current panels under consideration, but the conversion efficiency of sunlight to energy will be greater (comparable to new computer chips, that are the same, but faster and more efficient). If, for whatever reason the plant halts operations, the Environmental Authorisation and contract with the landowner will be respected during the decommissioning phase.

The decommissioning process will consist of the following steps:

- The PV facility would be disconnected from the Eskom grid.
- The BESS, inverters and PV modules would be disconnected and disassembled.
- Concrete foundations (if used) would be removed and the structures would be dismantled.
- Wastewater storage conservancy tank would be responsibly removed and area would be rehabilitated.
- The underground cables would be unearthed and removed and buildings would be demolished and removed.
- The fencing would be dismantled and removed.
- The roads can be retained should the landowner choose to retain them, alternatively the roads will be removed and the compaction will be reversed.
- Most of the wires, steel and PV modules are recyclable and would be recycled to a reasonable extent. The Silicon and Aluminium in PV modules can be removed and reused in the production of new modules.
- Any rubble and non-recyclable materials will be disposed of at a registered landfill facility.



The rehabilitation of the site would form part of the decommissioning phase. The aim would be to restore the land to its original form (or as close as possible). The rehabilitation activities would include the following:

- Removal of all structures and rubble;
- Breaking up compaction where required, loosening of the soil and the redistribution of topsoil; and
- Restoration of the surface to the original contours and application of hydro seeding.

3 LEGISLATIVE AND POLICY CONTEXT

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-

(e) a description of the policy and legislative context within which the development is proposed including an identification of all legislation, policies, plans, guidelines, spatial tools, municipal development planning frameworks and instruments that are applicable to this activity and are to be considered in the assessment process;

3.1 INTRODUCTION

Environmental decision making with regards to solar PV plants is based on numerous policy and legislative documents. These documents inform decisions on project level environmental authorisations issued by the National Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) as well as comments from local and district authorities. Moreover, it is significant to note that they also inform strategic decision making reflected in the IDPs and SDFs. Therefore, to ensure streamlining of environmental authorisations it is imperative for the proposed activity to align with the principles and objectives of key national, provincial and local development policies and legislation. The following acts and policies and their applicability to the proposed development are briefly summarised:

- The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Act No. 108 of 1996)
- National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) [NEMA]
- The National Energy Act, 2008 (Act 34 of 2008)
- National Water Act, 1998 (Act No. 36 of 1998)
- National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act No. 59 of 2008)
- National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act, 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004)
- The National Heritage Resources Act, 1999 (Act No. 25 of 1999)
- Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 85 of 1983)
- The National Forests Act, 1998 (Act 84 of 1998)
- The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa (1998)
- The White Paper on Renewable Energy (2003)
- Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for South Africa (2010-2030)
- National Development Plan of 2030
- National Infrastructure Plan of South Africa (2012)

- New Growth Path Framework (2010)
- Climate Change Bill (2018)
- Climate Change Bill (2021) – for public comment
- Strategic Integrated Projects (SIPs) (2010 – 2030)
- Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for wind and solar PV Energy in South Africa (2014).
- Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (PSDF) (2016)
- John Taolo Gaetswe District Municipality Final Integrated Development Plan (IDP) 2020 – 2021 (2020)
- Gamagara Local Municipality Draft Integrated Development Plan (IDP) Review 2020-2021 (2020)

The key principles and objectives of each of the legislative and policy documents are briefly summarised in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 to provide a reference framework for the implications for the proposed activity.

3.2 LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

Table 3.1: Legislative context for the construction of photovoltaic solar plants

| LEGISLATION | ADMINISTERING AUTHORITY | DATE | SUMMARY / IMPLICATIONS FOR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |
|--|---|------|---|
| The Constitution of South Africa (Act No. 108 of 1996) | National Government | 1996 | <p>The Constitution is the supreme law of the Republic and all law and conduct must be consistent with the Constitution. The Chapter on the Bill of Rights contains a number of provisions, which are relevant to securing the protection of the environment. Section 24 states that “everyone has the right to (a) an environment that is not harmful to their health or well-being and (b) to have the environment protected, for the benefit of present and future generations, through reasonable legislative and other measures that – (i) prevent pollution and ecological degradation; (ii) promote conservation; and (iii) secure ecologically sustainable development and use of natural resources while promoting justifiable economic and social development. The Constitution therefore, compels government to give effect to the people’s environmental right and places government under a legal duty to act as a responsible custodian of the country’s environment. It compels government to pass legislation and use other measures to protect the environment, to prevent pollution and ecological degradation, promote conservation and secure sustainable development.</p> <p>The development of the Virgo Solar Power Plant and the aspects related thereto considers the creation of an environment which is not harmful or degraded through the implementation of appropriate mitigation measures.</p> |
| The National Environmental Management Act (Act No. 107 of 1998) | National Department of Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) and the Northern Cape | 1998 | <p>NEMA provides for co-operative governance by establishing principles and procedures for decision-makers on matters affecting the environment. An important function of the Act is to serve as an enabling Act for the promulgation of legislation to effectively address integrated environmental management. Some of the principles in the Act are accountability; affordability; cradle to grave management; equity; integration; open information; polluter pays; subsidiary; waste avoidance and minimisation; co-operative governance; sustainable development; and environmental protection and justice.</p> |



| | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--|
| | <p>Province Department of Economic, Small Business Development, Tourism and Environmental Affairs (DESTEA)</p> | | <p>The mandate for EIA lays with the National Environmental Management Act (107 of 1998) and the EIA Regulations No. 324, 325, 326, and 327 promulgated in terms of Section 24 of NEMA. The EIA Regulations determine that an Environmental Authorisation is required for certain listed activities, which might have a detrimental effect on the environment.</p> <p>The EIA process undertaken for the Virgo Solar Power Plant is in-line with the requirements of NEMA for the Application for Environmental Authorisation.</p> |
| <p>The National Energy Act (Act No. 34 of 2008)</p> | <p>Department of Mineral Resources and Energy</p> | <p>of 2008</p> | <p>One of the objectives of the National Energy Act was to promote diversity of supply of energy and its sources. In this regard, the preamble makes direct reference to renewable resources, including solar: “To ensure that diverse energy resources are available, in sustainable quantities, and at affordable prices, to the South African economy, in support of economic growth and poverty alleviation, taking into account environmental management requirements (...); to provide for (...) increased generation and consumption of renewable energies...” (Preamble).</p> <p>Considering that the Virgo Solar Power Plant is proposed to make use of PV technology and the solar resource for the generation of electricity, the proposed project is in-line with the Act.</p> |
| <p>The National Water Act (Act No. 36 of 1998)</p> | <p>Department of Water Affairs (now known as Department of Water and Sanitation)</p> | <p>1998</p> | <p>Sustainability and equity are identified as central guiding principles in the protection, use, development, conservation, management and control of water resources. The intention of the Act is to promote the equitable access to water and the sustainable use of water, redress past racial and gender discrimination, and facilitate economic and social development. The Act provides the rights of access to basic water supply and sanitation, and environmentally, it provides for the protection of aquatic and associated ecosystems, the reduction and prevention of pollution and degradation of water resources.</p> <p>As this Act is founded on the principle that National Government has overall responsibility for and authority over water resource management, including the equitable allocation and beneficial use of water in the public interest, a person can only be entitled to use water if the use is permissible under the Act. Chapter 4 of the Act lays the basis for regulating water use.</p> <p>The site is located within the A23G quaternary catchment and is situated in the Apies/Pienaar Water Management Area. Drainage occurs as sheet-wash into the drainage channels on site</p> |

that eventually drains into the major river namely the Pienaars River that occurs to the south of the project area.

Also, should a water use license be required for the project, the National Water Act will be applicable in terms of obtaining the relevant license.

| | | | |
|--|--|------|---|
| National Environmental Management: Waste Act (Act No. 59 of 2008) | National Department Environmental Affairs (DEA) (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) | 2008 | <p>NEMWA has been developed as part of the law reform process enacted through the White Paper on Integrated Pollution and Waste Management and the National Waste Management Strategy (NWMS). The objectives of the Act relate to the provision of measures to protect health, well-being and the environment, to ensure that people are aware of the impact of waste on their health, well-being and the environment, to provide for compliance with the measures, and to give effect to section 24 of the Constitution in order to secure an environment that is not harmful to health and well-being.</p> <p>Regulations No. R921 (of 2013) promulgated in terms of Section 19(1) of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act (59 of 2008) determines that no person may commence, undertake or conduct a waste management activity listed in this schedule unless a license is issued in respect of that activity. It is not envisaged that a waste permit will be required for the proposed development as no listed activities in terms of waste management are expected to be triggered.</p> |
| National Environment Management: Air Quality Act (Act No. 39 of 2004) | National Department Environmental Affairs (DEA) (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) | 2004 | <p>The object of this Act is to protect the environment by providing reasonable measures for the protection and enhancement of the quality of air in the Republic; the prevention of air pollution and ecological degradation; and securing ecologically sustainable development while promoting justifiable economic and social development.</p> <p>Regulations No. R248 (of 31 March 2010) promulgated in terms of Section 21(1)(a) of the National Environmental Management Act: Air Quality Act (39 of 2004) determine that an Atmospheric Emission License (AEL) is required for certain listed activities, which result in atmospheric emissions which have or may have a detrimental effect on the environment. The Regulation also sets out the minimum emission standards for the listed activities. It is not envisaged that an Atmospheric Emission License will be required for the proposed development.</p> |



| | | |
|--|--|---|
| The National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999) | South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) 1999 | <p>The Act aims to introduce an integrated and interactive system for the management of heritage resources, to promote good governance at all levels, and empower civil society to nurture and conserve heritage resources so that they may be bequeathed to future generations and to lay down principles for governing heritage resources management throughout the Republic. It also aims to establish the South African Heritage Resources Agency together with its Council to co-ordinate and promote the management of heritage resources, to set norms and maintain essential national standards and to protect heritage resources, to provide for the protection and management of conservation-worthy places and areas by local authorities, and to provide for matters connected therewith.</p> <p>The Act protects and manages certain categories of heritage resources in South Africa. For the purposes of the Heritage Resources Act, a “heritage resource” includes any place or object of cultural significance. In this regard the Act makes provision for a person undertaking an activity listed in Section 28 of the Act to notify the resources authority. The resources authority may request that a heritage impact assessment be conducted if there is reason to believe that heritage resources will be affected.</p> <p>A case file has been opened on SAHRIS for the Virgo Solar Power Plant and all relevant documents were submitted for their comments and approval. The Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken for the solar power plant is included as Appendix E5, and the Palaeontological Impact Assessment is included as Appendix E6.</p> |
| Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act (Act No. 85 of 1983) | National and Provincial Government 1983 | <p>The objective of the Act is to provide control over the utilisation of the natural agricultural resources of the Republic in order to promote the conservation of the soil, the water sources and the vegetation and the combating of weeds and invader plants; and for matters connected therewith.</p> <p>Consent will be required from the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) in order to confirm that the proposed development is not located on high potential agricultural land and to approve the long term lease agreement.</p> |



A Soils and Agricultural Assessment has been undertaken for the Virgo Solar Power Plant and is included as Appendix E4.

The National Forests Act, 1998 (Act 84 of 1998) Department of Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) of 1998

The purposes of this Act are to:

- (a) promote the sustainable management and development of forests for the benefit of all;
- (b) create the conditions necessary to restructure forestry in State forests;
- (c) provide special measures for the protection of certain forests and trees;
- (d) promote the sustainable use of forests for environmental, economic, educational, recreational, cultural, health and spiritual purposes.
- (e) promote community forestry;
- (f) promote greater participation in all aspects of forestry and the forest products industry by persons disadvantaged by unfair discrimination.

Section 12(1) read with s15(1) of the NFA stated that the Minister may declare a particular tree, group of trees, woodland; or trees belonging to a particular species, to be a protected tree, group of trees, woodland or species. A list of protected tree species was gazetted in GN 635 of 6 December 2019. The effect of the declaration is that no person may (a) cut, disturb, damage or destroy; or (b) possess, collect, remove, transport, export, purchase, sell, donate or in any other manner acquire or dispose of any protected tree, or any forest product derived from a protected tree, except under a license granted by the Minister; or in terms of an exemption published by the Minister in the Gazette.

A Terrestrial Biodiversity, Plant and Animal Species Impact Assessment has been undertaken for the Virgo Solar Power Plant and is included in Appendix E1.



3.3 POLICY CONTEXT

Table 3.2: Policy context for the construction of photovoltaic solar plants

| POLICY | ADMINISTERING AUTHORITY | DATE | SUMMARY / IMPLICATIONS FOR PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT |
|---|--|------|---|
| The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa | Department of Mineral Resources and Energy | 1998 | <p>The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa establishes the international and national policy context for the energy sector, and identifies the following energy policy objectives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing access to affordable energy services • Improving energy governance • Stimulating economic development • Managing energy-related environmental and health impacts • Securing supply through diversity • Energy policy priorities <p>The White Paper sets out the advantages of renewable energy and states that Government believes that renewables can in many cases provide the least cost energy service, particularly when social and environmental costs are included. The White Paper acknowledges that South Africa has neglected the development and implementation of renewable energy applications, despite the fact that the country’s renewable energy resource base is extensive, and many appropriate applications exist.</p> <p>The White Paper notes that renewable energy applications have specific characteristics that need to be considered. Advantages include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Minimal environmental impacts in operation in comparison with traditional supply technologies; and • Generally lower running costs, and high labour intensities. <p>Disadvantages include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher capital costs in some cases; • Lower energy densities; and |



- Lower levels of availability, depending on specific conditions, especially with sun and wind-based systems.

The Virgo Solar Power Plant is in line with this policy as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource.

| | | | |
|--|--|---------|---|
| The White Paper on Renewable Energy | Department of Mineral Resources and Energy | of 2003 | This White Paper on Renewable Energy supplements the <i>White Paper on Energy Policy</i> , which recognises that the medium and long-term potential of renewable energy is significant. This Paper sets out Government’s vision, policy principles, strategic goals and objectives for promoting and implementing renewable energy in South Africa. |
|--|--|---------|---|

The White Paper notes that while South Africa is well-endowed with renewable energy resources that have the potential to become sustainable alternatives to fossil fuels, these have thus far remained largely untapped. Government’s long-term goal is the establishment of a renewable energy industry producing modern energy carriers that will offer in future years a sustainable, fully non-subsidised alternative to fossil fuels. The medium-term (10-year) target set in the White Paper is: *10 000 GWh (0.8 Mtoe) renewable energy contribution to final energy consumption by 2013, to be produced mainly from biomass, wind, solar and small-scale hydro. The renewable energy is to be utilised for power generation and non-electric technologies such as solar water heating and bio-fuels. This is approximately 4% (1667 MW) of the projected electricity demand for 2013 (41539 MW)* (Executive Summary, ix).

The Virgo Solar Power Plant is in line with this paper as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource.

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------|---|
| Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for South Africa | Department of Mineral Resources and Energy | of 2010-2030 | The Integrated Resource Plan for Electricity for South Africa of 2010–2030 (further referred to as the IRP) is a “living plan” which is expected to be revised and updated continuously as necessary due to changing circumstances. According to the Summary of the plan the current IRP for South Africa, which was originally initiated by the Department of Energy (DoE) in June 2010 (the Department is now known as Department of Mineral Resources and Energy), led to the Revised Balanced Scenarios (RBS) for the period 2010–2030. |
|--|--|--------------|---|

“This scenario was derived based on the cost-optimal solution for new build options (considering the direct costs of new build power plants), which was then “balanced” in accordance with qualitative measures such as local job creation”. In addition to all existing and committed power plants, the RBS included 11,4 GW of



renewables, which relates to the proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant. In 2010 several changes were made to the IRP model. The main changes in the IRP were the disaggregation of renewable energy technologies to explicitly display solar photovoltaic (PV), concentrated solar power (CSP), and wind options (RSA, 2011a).

The summary of the IRP further explains that traditional cost-optimal scenarios were developed based on the previously mentioned changes in the IRP. This resulted in the Policy-Adjusted IRP, which stated that:

“The installation of renewables (solar PV, CSP and wind) have been brought forward in order to accelerate a local industry; To account for the uncertainties associated with the costs of renewables and fuels, a nuclear fleet of 9,6 GW is included in the IRP; The emission constraint of the RBS (275 million tons of carbon dioxide per year after 2024) is maintained; and Energy efficiency demand-side management (EEDSM) measures are maintained at the level of the RBS” (RSA, 2011a:6).

“The Policy-Adjusted IRP includes the same amount of coal and nuclear new builds as the RBS, while reflecting recent developments with respect to prices for renewables. In addition to all existing and committed power plants (including 10 GW committed coal), the plan includes 9,6 GW of nuclear; 6,3 GW of coal; 17,8 GW of renewables; and 8,9 GW of other generation sources” (RSA, 2011a:6).

The IRP highlights the commitments before the next IRP. The commitments pertaining to the purpose of the proposed project in renewable energy is: *“Solar PV programme 2012-2015: In order to facilitate the connection of the first solar PV units to the grid in 2012 a firm commitment to this capacity is necessary. Furthermore, to provide the security of investment to ramp up a sustainable local industry cluster, the first four years from 2012 to 2015 require firm commitment.”*

“Solar PV 2016 to 2019: As with wind, grid upgrades might become necessary for the second round of solar PV installations from 2016 to 2019, depending on their location. To trigger the associated tasks in a timely manner, a firm commitment to these capacities is necessary in the next round of the IRP at the latest. By then, the assumed cost decreases for solar PV will be confirmed” (IRP, 2011a:17).

In conclusion the IRP recommends that an accelerated roll-out in renewable energy options should be allowed with regards to the benefits of the localization in renewable energy technologies (RSA, 2011a). It is however important to take note that since the release of the IRP in 2011 there has been a number of developments in the energy sector of South Africa. Therefore, the IRP was updated and was open for



comments until March of 2017. The new IRP of 2019 was formally published in October 2019. For the revision scenario, analysis was conducted. The results revealed that for the period ending 2030 that: *“The committed Renewable Energy Independent Power Producers Programme, including the 27 signed projects and Eskom capacity rollout ending with the last unit of Kusile in 2022, will provide more than sufficient capacity to cover the projected demand and decommissioning of plants up to approximately 2025”*; *“Imposing annual build limits on renewable energy will not affect the total cumulative capacity and the energy mix for the period up to 2030”*; and *“the scenario without renewable energy annual build limits provides the least-cost option by 2030”* (RSA, 2018:34).

Lastly, the draft IRP of 2018 also included the scenario analysis for the period post 2030. Here it was observed that: *“Imposing annual build limits on renewable energy will restrict the cumulative renewable installed capacity and the energy mix for this period; adopting no annual build limits on renewables or imposing a more stringent strategy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions implies that no new coal power plants will be built in the future unless affordable cleaner forms of coal-to-power are available; and the scenario without renewable energy annual build limits provides the least-cost option by 2050”* (RSA, 2018:34–35).

In the final IRP of 2019 key considerations were taken into account together with required actions to be taken for the IRP of 2019 to be credible. In terms of renewable energy technologies like solar and wind, the IRP stated that *“The application of renewable build limits ‘smoothes out’ the capacity allocations for wind and solar PV which provides a constant pipeline of projects to investment; this addresses investor confidence”*. The decision stated against this key consideration is to *“retain the current annual build limits on renewables (wind and PV) pending the finalization of a just transition plan”* (RSA, 2019:46). Hereby the IRP also recognises renewable technologies’ potential to diversify the electricity mix, create new industries and job opportunities and localize across the value chain (RSA, 2019:13).

The Virgo Solar Power Plant is in line with this plan as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource and will contribute to the energy mix of the country as set out in this plan.



| | | | |
|---|---|------|---|
| National Development Plan of 2030 | The Presidency: - National Planning Commission | | <p>The National Development Plan aims to “eliminate poverty and reduce inequality by 2030” (RSA, undated). In order to eliminate or reduce inequality, the economy of South Africa needs to grow faster in order to benefit all South Africans. In May 2010 a draft national development plan was drafted, which highlighted the nine (9) key challenges for South Africa. The highest priority areas according to the plan are considered to be the creation of employment opportunities and to improve the quality of national education. In this regard, the plan sets out three (3) priority areas, namely, to raise employment by a faster growing economy, improve the quality of education, and to build the capability of the state in order to play a more developmental and transformative role. One of the key challenges identified was that the economy is unsustainably resource intensive and the acceleration and expansion of renewable energy was identified as a key intervention strategy to address this challenge.</p> <p>The development of the Virgo Solar Power Plant will contribute to the intervention strategy as identified within the plan.</p> |
| National Infrastructure Plan of South Africa | Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Commission | 2012 | <p>In the year 2012 the South African Government adopted a National Infrastructure Plan (hereafter referred to as the Plan). The aim of this Plan is to transform the economic landscape, while strengthening the delivery of basic services and creating new employment opportunities. This Plan also supports the integration of African communities, and also sets out the challenges and enablers that our country needs in order to respond to the planning and development of infrastructure with regards to fostering economic growth (RSA, 2012). The Plan has developed eighteen (18) strategic integrated projects (further referred to as SIPs). These SIPs stretch over all nine (9) provinces, covering social and economic infrastructure, and projects that enhances development and growth. Of the eighteen (18), five (5) are geographically focused, three (3) spatial, three (3) energy, three (3) social infrastructure, two (2) knowledge, one (1) regional integration, and one (1) water and sanitation focussed. The three (3) SIPs according to the Plan, which are energy focused and correlate to the proposed project are as follow:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SIP 8: Green energy in support of the South African economy; - SIP 9: Electricity generation to support socio-economic development; and - SIP 10: Electricity transmission and distribution for all. <p>SIP 8 according to the Plan “support sustainable green energy initiatives on a national scale through a diverse range of clean energy options as envisaged in the IRP 2010 and support bio-fuel production</p> |



facilities". The purpose of SIP 9 according to the Plan is to "accelerate the construction of new electricity generation capacity in accordance with the IRP 2010 to meet the needs of the economy and address historical imbalances". SIP 9 should also monitor the implementation of major projects such as new power stations like Medupi, Kusile and Ingula. Lastly, SIP 10 aims to "expand the transmission and distribution network to address historical imbalances, provide access to electricity for all and support economic development" (RSA, 2012:20).

The Virgo Solar Power Plant is in line with this plan as it proposes the generation of renewable energy from the solar resource which supports socio-economic development and will contribute to meeting the electricity demand of the country as set out in this plan.

New Growth Path Framework Department of Economic Development -

The New Growth Path was developed after 16 years of South Africa’s democracy, to respond to emerging opportunities and risks while building on policies. This framework provides a dynamic vision on how to collectively achieve a more developed, equitable and democratic society and economy. This framework mainly reflects the commitment of the South African Government to create employment opportunities for its people in all economic policies (RSA, 2011b).

This framework sets out the markers for job creation and growth and also identify where there are viable changes in the character and structure of production, in order to create a more inclusive, greener economy in the long-term. It is stated in the framework that in order for this framework to reach its objectives, the Government is committed to:

- Identify the possible areas of employment creation; and
- Develop a policy to facilitate employment creation especially with regards to social equity, sustainable employment and growth in the creation of employment activities (RSA, 2011b).

This framework also identifies investments in five key areas, one of which is energy. This framework also states that the green economy is a priority area, which includes the construction of and investment in renewable energy technologies like solar (RSA, 2011b). In this regard it will also assist creating employment opportunities over the medium- and long-term.



Considering that the construction of and investment in renewable energy is a key area identified within the framework, the Virgo Solar Power Plant is considered to be in-line with the framework.

| | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------|---|
| Climate Change Bill | National Department of Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment) | 2018 | <p>On 08 June 2018 the Minister of Environmental Affairs published the Climate Change Bill (“the Bill”) for public comment. The Bill provides a framework for climate change regulation in South Africa aimed at governing South Africa’s sustainable transition to a climate resilient, low carbon economy and society. The Bill provides a procedural outline that will be developed through the creation of frameworks and plans. The following objectives are set within the Bill:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Provide for the coordinated and integrated response to climate change and its impacts by all spheres of government in accordance with the principles of cooperative governance;• Provide for the effective management of inevitable climate change impacts through enhancing adaptive capacity, strengthening resilience and reducing vulnerability to climate change, with a view to building social, economic, and environmental resilience and an adequate national adaptation response in the context of the global climate change response;• Make a fair contribution to the global effort to stabilise greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that avoids dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system within a timeframe and in a manner that enables economic, employment, social and environmental development to proceed in a sustainable manner. <p>The Virgo Solar Power Plant comprises a renewable energy generation facility and would not result in the generation or release of emissions during its operation.</p> |
| Climate Change Bill | National Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment | 2021 | <p>The Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment has published a new Climate Change Bill for public comment. The bill notes that climate change represents an urgent threat to human societies and the planet, and requires an effective, progressive and incremental response from both government and citizens.</p> <p>It recognises that South Africa has a global responsibility to reduce greenhouse gasses and that the anticipated impacts arising as a result of climate change have the potential to undermine achieving of the country’s developmental goals.</p> |



The main objective of the bill is to enable the development of an effective climate change response and the long-term, just transition to a climate-resilient and lower-carbon economy and society, and to provide for matters connected therewith.

The Virgo Solar Power Plant comprises a renewable energy generation facility and would not result in the generation or release of emissions during its operation.

Strategic Integrated Projects (SIPs)

The Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Committee 2010 - 2030

The Presidential Infrastructure Coordinating Committee (PICC) is integrating and phasing investment plans across 18 Strategic Infrastructure Projects (SIPs) which have five core functions: to unlock opportunity, transform the economic landscape, create new jobs, strengthen the delivery of basic services and support the integration of African economies. A balanced approach is being fostered through greening of the economy, boosting energy security, promoting integrated municipal infrastructure investment, facilitating integrated urban development, accelerating skills development, investing in rural development and enabling regional integration. SIP 8 and 9 of the energy SIPs supports the development of the solar energy facility:

- SIP 8: Green energy in support of the South African economy: Support sustainable green energy initiatives on a national scale through a diverse range of clean energy options as envisaged in the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP 2010 – 2030) and supports bio-fuel production facilities.
- SIP 9: Electricity generation to support socio-economic development: The proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant is a potential SIP 9 Project as electricity will be generated and social and economic upliftment, development and growth will take place within the surrounding communities. It would become a SIP 9 project if selected as a Preferred Bidder project by the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy. SIP 9 supports the acceleration of the construction of new electricity generation capacity in accordance with the IRP 2010 to meet the needs of the economy and address historical imbalances.

The Virgo Solar Power Plant could be registered as a SIP project once selected as a preferred bidder under the REIPPP Programme. The project would then contribute to the above-mentioned SIPs



| | | | |
|---|--|-------------|---|
| <p>Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) for wind and solar PV Energy in South Africa</p> | <p>National Department of Environmental Affairs (now known as the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment)</p> | <p>2014</p> | <p>The then Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) has committed to contribute to the implementation of the National Development Plan and National Infrastructure Plan by undertaking Strategic Environmental Assessments (SEAs) to identify adaptive processes that integrate the regulatory environmental requirements for Strategic Integrated Projects (SIPs) while safeguarding the environment. The wind and solar photovoltaic (PV) SEA was accordingly commissioned by DEA in support of SIP 8, which aims to facilitate the implementation of sustainable green energy initiatives.</p> <p>This SEA identifies areas where large scale wind and solar PV energy facilities can be developed in terms of SIP 8 and in a manner that limits significant negative impacts on the environment, while yielding the highest possible socio-economic benefits to the country. These areas are referred to as Renewable Energy Development Zones (REDZs).</p> <p>The REDZs also provide priority areas for investment into the electricity grid. Currently one of the greatest challenges to renewable energy development in South Africa is the saturation of existing grid infrastructure and the difficulties in expanding the grid. Proactive investment in grid infrastructure is the likely to be the most important factor determining the success of REDZs. Although it is intended for the SEA to facilitate proactive grid investment in REDZs, such investment should not be limited to these areas. Suitable wind and solar PV development should still be promoted across the country and any proposed development must be evaluated on its own merit.</p> <p>The Virgo Solar Power Plant is not located within a REDZ, but the development will contribute to the expansion of renewable energy facilities and infrastructure within the country, and provide the positive opportunities associated with it.</p> |
| <p>Northern Cape Provincial Spatial Development Framework (PSDF)</p> | <p>Northern Cape Provincial Government</p> | <p>2014</p> | <p>The formulation of a Spatial Development Framework, being a <i>macro spatial plan</i> for the Northern Cape province and its municipalities requires some statement on the spatial development objectives which guided the formulation of the macro spatial plan and hierarchy of settlements.</p> <p>The main objective with the provincial SDF was to formulate a spatial framework which would guide and encourage equitable distribution of investment in terms of a functional settlement hierarchy, to achieve spatially balanced development across the Northern Cape Province and support investment in sustainable</p> |



settlements. Other spatial development objectives which guided the formulation of the macro spatial plan as well as policy and strategy formulation for implementation are:

- The review and confirmation of the hierarchy of settlements (both towns and villages) by establishing an optimal and functional spatial pattern for districts and thus the Northern Cape Province over time.
- Rationalize and promote the optimal use of land and protection of natural resources by considering high/moderate potential agricultural areas, high/moderate environmental sensitivity areas and mining/mineral deposit areas as well as other relevant factors.
- The establishing of a functional spatial pattern with a hierarchy of settlements which provides a sound basis for long term sustainable economic growth to amongst others increase income and employment in both the formal and informal sectors in urban, as well as rural areas.
- Provide guidelines for the development of transportation and utility networks to strengthen the functional linkages between settlements in terms of a hierarchy of settlements; and
- The successful integration of planning on macro (national and provincial) level and micro (district and local municipality) level.

Secondary objectives pertaining to the Environmental aspects and Agricultural potential of soils, namely:

The objectives of adding an environmental perspective to the spatial framework are:

- To ensure that resources in the province are used to their fullest potential in promoting, protecting, and managing a sustainable environment.

To include information contained in available databases to assist with decision making at strategic and project level assist in decision-making.

The development of the Virgo Solar Power Plant is in-line with the framework based on the contributions and opportunities presented by a development of this nature.



| | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| John Gaetswe District Municipality Integrated Development Plan (IDP) | Taolo | John Gaetswe District Municipality | 2020-2021 | <p>The Integrated Develop Planning is a mandatory and over arching process run collectively by all role players within the municipality to achieve developmental objectives of local government. Developmental Local Government has an obligation to provide basic services through an interaction between numerous stakeholders within the municipal area. It is through this collective interaction commonly known as the “The Theatre of planning” that we intend to address service delivery challenges facing the municipality and our communities</p> <p>The vision of the John Taolo Gaetswe DM is to be “A developmental municipality dedicated to the social and economic upliftment of its communities.”</p> <p>The Mission Statement is: “To ensure effective utilization of economic resources to address socio-economic imperatives through mining, agriculture and tourism”.</p> <p>The above vision and mission statements are supported by certain values that drive the attitudes and behaviour of politicians and administration of the John Taolo Gaetswe District Municipality are confirmed as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Honesty• Respect• Fairness• Integrity• Accountability• Accessibility• Effectiveness• Ubuntu <p>The development of the Virgo Solar Power Plant is in line with the plan, considering the relevant Key Performance Area stated in the IDP.</p> |
|---|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--|



| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|--|
| Gamagara Local Municipality Integrated Development Plan (IDP) | Gamagara Local Municipality | 2022/2023 | <p>The Municipal Vision and Mission the guiding principles should be the tourism characteristics of the Area “Gamagara as a Tourism Getaway”, Service Delivery Commitment for the community of Gamagara , Sustained Environmental friendliness and Economic Growth and Employment Creation. The long-term vision for the LM were revised as follows:</p> <p>Vision: “We are the prime agricultural hub and eco-tourism destination of choice”</p> <p>The mission is to constantly strife towards the achievement of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - An effective and efficient service delivery - Stakeholders driven economic development and growth - Sustainable job creation opportunities of communities - A safe, healthy and prosperous environment. <p>The development of the Virgo Solar Power Plant will contribute to the goals of the area, albeit to a limited extent.</p> |
| Gamagara Municipal Spatial Development Framework (SDF) | Gamagara Local Municipality | 2020/2022 | <p>The SDF provides broad land use management guidelines for the municipal area. Specific development objectives are identified which related to the development of renewable energy facilities. These include integrated and broad-based agrarian transformation leading to sustainable livelihoods, increased rural economic development and improved land reform., and efficient, integrated spatial development of infrastructure and transport systems in shared focus areas. Both of these objectives refers to the development of renewable energy facilities, and in particular makes mention of solar power plants.</p> <p>The development of the Virgo Solar Power Plant will contribute to the objective of the area, albeit to a limited extent.</p> |

3.4 OTHER LEGISLATION

Other legislation mainly refers to the following:

- Planning legislation governing the rezoning process and approval of the layout plan.
- Design standards and legislation for services provision such as water, sewerage, electricity, etc.
- Municipal bylaws related to building plans, building regulations, etc.

3.5 RELEVANT GUIDANCE

The following guidance was considered in conducting the EIA:

- The Equator principles III (2013)¹
- World Bank Group Environmental, Health and Safety General Guidelines (EHS Guidelines) (2007)
- Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines for Electric Power Transmission and Distribution (2007)
- International Finance Corporation's Policy on Environmental and Social Sustainability (2012)
- DEA. (2013). Draft National Renewable Energy Guideline. Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria, South Africa
- DEA, (2012), Guideline 5 – Final companion to the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA) Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations of 2010
- DEA, (2012), Guideline 7 – Public participation in the Environmental Impact Assessment process
- DEA, (2012), Guideline 9 – Need and desirability
- DEA, (2006), Guideline 3 – General guide to the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations
- DEAT, (2006), Guideline 4 – Public participation in support of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations
- DEAT, (2006), Guideline 5 – Assessment of alternatives and impacts in support of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations

¹ Although this report is not written in terms of the Equator Principles (EPs), it fully acknowledges that the EPs will need to be complied with should funding for the project be required.

- BirdLife, (2017). Best Practise Guidelines Birds & Solar Energy: Guidelines for assessing and monitoring the impact of solar power generating facilities on bird in southern Africa.

3.6 CONCLUSION

The EIA was undertaken in accordance with the EIA Regulations (as amended) published in GNR 326, in terms of Section 24(5) and 44 of the NEMA as amended as well as all relevant National legislation, policy documents, national guidelines, the World Bank EHS Guidelines, the IFC Performance Standards, and the Equator Principles.

The legislative and policy context plays an important role in identifying and assessing the potential social impacts associated with the proposed development, as well as an indication of the need and desirability of the proposed development from a national, provincial and local level. For this reason, the proposed development project will be assessed in terms of its fit with the key legislative, policy and planning documents discussed above.

The main findings of the review of the policy documents on all spheres of Government indicated that strong support was given towards renewable energy, specifically PV solar energy and therefore it is concluded that there is support for the development of the Virgo Solar Power Plant. The White Paper on the Energy Policy of the Republic of South Africa of 1998 stated that due to the fact that renewable energy resources operate from an unlimited resource base, i.e. the sun, renewable energy can increasingly contribute towards a long-term sustainable energy supply for future generations. This policy further highlights that due to the unlimited resources base of renewable energy in South Africa, renewable energy applications, like PV solar energy and associated infrastructure, are more sustainable in terms of social and environmental costs.

The Integrated Resource Planning for Electricity for South Africa of 2010–2030, the National Infrastructure Plan of South Africa and the New Growth Path Framework all support the development of the renewable energy sector. In particular, the IRP also indicated that 43% of the energy generation in South Africa is allocated to renewable energy applications. On a District and Local level limited attention is given explicitly to renewable sources like PV solar energy, however the documents reviewed do make provision for such developments and efficiency in improving the quality of lives in terms of efficient physical infrastructure as well as socio-economic growth. At Provincial, District and Local level the policy documents support the applications of renewables.

The review of the relevant policies and documents related to the energy sector therefore indicate that renewables, like solar energy and the establishment of solar energy facilities and associated infrastructure, are supported on all spheres of Government. The proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant is therefore supported by the related policy and planning documents reviewed in this section of the report.

4 THE NEED AND DESIRABILITY

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include – (f) a motivation for the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location.

4.1 THE NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY

The proposed activity is a direct result of the growing demand for electricity and the need for renewable energy in South Africa. According to Eskom, the demand for electricity in South Africa has been growing at approximately 3% per annum. This growing demand, fuelled by increasing economic growth and social development, is placing increasing pressure on South Africa's existing power generation capacity. Coupled with this, is the growing awareness of environmentally responsible development, the impacts of climate change and the need for sustainable development.

Over 90% of South Africa's electricity generation is coal based, the World bank estimates that this results in an annual, per capita carbon emission of ~8.9 tons per person. Based on 2008 fossil-fuel CO₂ emissions statistics released by the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Centre, South Africa is the 13th largest carbon dioxide emitting country in the world and the largest emitter in Africa (Boden, et al. 2011). In August 2021 an article confirmed that South Africa is the 12th highest greenhouse gas emitter in the world (source: <https://www.news24.com/fin24/economy/eskom-will-only-able-to-meet-global-air-quality-standards-by-2050-owing-to-financial-woes-20210818>).

The proposed project is intended to form part of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy's (DMREs) Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement (REIPPP) Programme or any other appropriate energy generation programmes / opportunities. The REIPPP Programme aims to secure 14 725 Megawatts (MW) of new generation capacity from renewable energy sources, while simultaneously diversifying South Africa's electricity mix. According to the 2021 State of the Nation Address, Government will soon be initiating the procurement of an additional 11 800 MW of power from renewable energy, natural gas, battery storage and coal in line with the Integrated Resource Plan 2019 and fulfilling their commitments under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and its Paris Agreement which include the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

Eskom, the largest greenhouse gas emitter of South Africa, has committed in principle to net zero emission by 2050 and to increase its renewable capacity. During the 2022 State of the Nation Address it was indicated that during the past year the government had taken "firm steps" to bring additional generation capacity online as quickly as possible to close the shortfall in terms of electricity. As a result it was confirmed that several new generation projects will be coming online over the next few years. Besides capacity additions, several assumptions have changed since the promulgation of IRP 2010–2030. Key assumptions that changed include the electricity demand projection, Eskom's existing plant performance, as

well as new technology costs. These changes necessitated the review and update of the IRP which resulted in the draft IRP 2018 as per table 4.1 below:

Table 4.1: Published Draft IRP 2018 (Approved by Cabinet for Consultation)

| | Coal | Nuclear | Hydro | Storage (Pumped Storage) | PV | Wind | CSP | Gas / Diesel | Other (CoGen, Biomass, Landfill) | Embedded Generation |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|
| 2018 | 39 126 | 1 860 | 2 196 | 2 912 | 1 474 | 1 980 | 300 | 3 830 | 499 | Unknown |
| 2019 | 2 155 | | | | | 244 | 300 | | | 200 |
| 2020 | 1 433 | | | | 114 | 300 | | | | 200 |
| 2021 | 1 433 | | | | 300 | 818 | | | | 200 |
| 2022 | 711 | | | | 400 | | | | | 200 |
| 2023 | 500 | | | | | | | | | 200 |
| 2024 | 500 | | | | | | | | | 200 |
| 2025 | | | | | 670 | 200 | | | | 200 |
| 2026 | | | | | 1 000 | 1 500 | | 2 250 | | 200 |
| 2027 | | | | | 1 000 | 1 600 | | 1 200 | | 200 |
| 2028 | | | | | 1 000 | 1 600 | | 1 800 | | 200 |
| 2029 | | | | | 1 000 | 1 600 | | 2 850 | | 200 |
| 2030 | | | 2 500 | | 1 000 | 1 600 | | | | 200 |
| TOTAL INSTALLED | 33 847 | 1 860 | 4 696 | 2 912 | 7 958 | 11 442 | 600 | 11 930 | 499 | 2600 |
| Installed Capacity Mix (%) | 44.6 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 10.5 | 15.1 | 0.9 | 15.7 | 0.7 | |
| <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #cccccc; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Installed Capacity </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center; margin-top: 5px;"> <div style="width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #ffff00; margin-right: 5px;"></div> Committed / Already Contracted Capacity </div> <div style="display: flex; align-items: center; margin-top: 5px;"> <div style="width: 15px; height: 15px; background-color: #92d050; margin-right: 5px;"></div> New Additional Capacity (IRP Update) </div> | | | | | | | | | | |

According to the South African Energy Sector Overview (2021), there is currently 1 723MW of installed PV capacity, while an additional 2 600MW from wind and solar has been rewarded as part of Bid window 5.

4.2 THE DESIRABILITY OF THE PROPOSED ACTIVITY

The facility's contribution towards sustainable development and the associated benefits to society in general is discussed below:

- Lesser dependence on fossil fuel generated power - The deployment of the facility will have a positive macro-economic impact by reducing South Africa's dependence on fossil fuel generated power and assisting the country in meeting its growing electricity demand.
- Increased surety of supply - By diversifying the sources of power in the country, the surety of supply will increase. The power demands of South Africa are ever increasing and by adding solar power this demand can be met, even exceeded without increasing pollution in relation to the use of fossil fuels. The project has the potential of "securing" economic activity by assisting in removing supply constraints if Eskom generation activities result in a supply shortfall. When supply is constrained, it represents a limitation to economic growth. When a supply reserve is available, it represents an opportunity for economic growth.
- Local economic growth - The proposed project will contribute to local economic growth by supporting industry development in line with provincial and regional goals

and ensuring advanced skills are drawn to the Northern Cape Province. The project will likely encounter widespread support from government, civil society and businesses, all of whom see potential opportunities for revenues, employment and business opportunities locally. The development of the photovoltaic solar facility will in turn lead to growth in tax revenues for local municipalities and sales of carbon credits, resulting in increased foreign direct investment. The location of the proposed development within the Gamagara Local Municipality is desirable since 12% of households within the Municipality have no income (Gamagara IDP, 2022/2024).

- Lower costs of alternative energy - An increase in the number of solar facilities commissioned will eventually reduce the cost of the power generated through solar facilities. This will contribute to the country's objective of utilising more renewable energy and less fossil fuel-based power sources. It will assist in achieving the goal to generate 14 725 MW of electricity from renewable energy as per the Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Procurement (REIPPP) Programme of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy. The Government will be initiating the procurement of an additional 11 800 MW of renewable energy as stated during the 2021 State of the Nation Address.
- Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions - The additional power supplied through solar energy will reduce the reliance on the combustion of fossil fuels to produce power. The South African electricity grid is predominantly coal-fired and therefore GHG emissions intensive (coal accounts for more than 92% of the fuel used in South Africa's electricity generation). The reduction of GHG emissions as a result of the project implementation will be achieved due to reduction of CO₂ emissions from combustion of fossil fuel at the existing grid-connected power plants and plants which would likely be built in the absence of the project activity.
- CDM Project - A solar energy facility also qualifies as a Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) project (i.e. a financial mechanism developed to encourage the development of renewable technologies).
- Climate change mitigation - On a global scale, the project makes a contribution to greenhouse gas emission reduction and therefore contributes toward climate change mitigation.
- Reduced environmental impacts - The reduction in non-renewable electricity consumed from the grid will not only result in a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, but also the prevention of negative impacts associated with coal mining. For example, coal power requires high volumes of water, in areas of South Africa where water supply is already over-stretched and water availability is highly variable. Photovoltaic solar energy technology also does not produce the sulphur emissions, ash or coal mining concerns associated with conventional coal fired electricity generation technologies resulting in a relatively low level of environmental impacts. It is a clean technology which contributes toward a better-quality environment for employees and nearby communities.
- Social benefits - The project activity is likely to have significant long-term, indirect positive social impacts that may extend to a regional and even national scale. The larger scale impacts are to be derived in the utilization of solar power and the

experience gained through the construction and operation of the power plant. In future, this experience can be employed at other similar solar installations in South Africa.

- Provision of job opportunities - The main benefit of the proposed development operating in the area is that local companies or contractors will be hired for the duration of the construction period. The operational phase will provide permanent job opportunities to the local communities from the surrounding area since security guards and general labourers will be required on a full-time basis. Approximately 800 employment opportunities will be created during the construction and operational phases.
- Indirect socio-economic benefits - The increase in the demand for services such as accommodation, transportation, security, general maintenance and catering will generate additional indirect socio-economic benefits for the local community members.
- Effective use of resources - Because of predominantly the climate and soil limitations, the site is totally unsuitable for cultivated crops, and the viable agricultural land use is limited to grazing only. The proposed development in this specific area will generate alternative land use income through rental for the proposed energy facility, which will have a positive impact on agriculture. It will provide the farming enterprise with increased cash flow and rural livelihood, and thereby improve the financial sustainability of agricultural activities.
- Increased access to electricity: According to the Gamagara Local Municipality IDP, the national electricity crises of 2010 and the resultant effects on South African residents and the economy has highlighted how highly reliant we are on electricity as a source of energy. Government has committed to developing measures to promote energy saving, reduce energy costs to the economy, and reduce the negative impact of energy use on the environment.
- Cumulative impacts of low to medium significance – No cumulative impacts with a high residual risk have been identified. In terms of the desirability of the development of sources of renewable energy therefore, it may be preferable to incur a higher cumulative loss in such a region as this one, than to lose land with a higher environmental value elsewhere in the country.

5 DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-

(h) a full description of the process followed to reach the proposed preferred activity, site and location of the development footprint within the site, including –

(i) details of all the alternatives considered;

(ii) details of the public participation process undertaken in terms of regulation 41 of the Regulations, including copies of the supporting documents and inputs;

(iii) a summary of the issues raised by interested and affected parties, and an indication of the manner in which the issues were incorporated, or the reasons for not including them.

(iv) the environmental attributes associated with the alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;

(ix) the outcome of the site selection matrix;

(x) if no alternatives, including alternative locations for the activity were investigated, the motivation for not considering such and

(xi) a concluding statement indicating the preferred alternatives, including preferred location of the activity;

5.1 CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

The DEAT 2006 guidelines on ‘assessment of alternatives and impacts’ proposes the consideration of four types of alternatives namely, the no-go, location, activity, and design alternatives. It is, however, important to note that the regulation and guidelines specifically state that only ‘feasible’ and ‘reasonable’ alternatives should be explored. It also recognizes that the consideration of alternatives is an iterative process of feedback between the developer and EAP, which in some instances culminates in a single preferred project proposal.

An initial site assessment (refer to Appendix D) was conducted by the developer on Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476 and the farm was found favorable due to its close proximity to grid connections, solar radiation, ecology and relative flat terrain. Some parts of the farm have been deemed not suitable for the proposed development such as areas under cultivation. Where specific features of environmental sensitivity are identified by the independent specialists as part of the Scoping Phase, these areas and the associated required buffers will be considered by the developer to ensure that the facility layout is appropriate considering the sensitive features present. The site selection also took the site geology, land capability, water availability and land use into consideration before deciding on the specific site within the affected property. A single alternative site on the same farm has been identified (Subsolar, 2022).

The following sections explore different types of alternatives in relation to the proposed activity in more detail.

5.1.1 No-go alternative

This alternative considers the option of ‘do nothing’ and maintaining the status quo of the affected environment. The description provided in section 5.3 of this report could be considered the baseline conditions (*status quo*) to persist should the no-go alternative be preferred. The site is currently zoned for agricultural and mining land uses. Should the proposed activity not proceed, the site will remain unchanged and will continue to be used for the current land uses present. The area associated with the development footprint has limited agricultural potential and is unsuitable for cultivation, with grazing considered to be the only agricultural option. The potential opportunity costs in terms of alternative land use income through rental for the energy facility and the supporting social and economic development in the area would be lost if the *status quo* persists.

5.1.2 Location alternatives

This alternative asks the question, if there is not, from an environmental perspective, a more suitable location for the proposed activity. No other properties have at this stage been secured by Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd in the Gamagara area to potentially establish the Virgo Solar Power Plant. From a local perspective Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476 is preferred due to its suitable climatic conditions, topography (i.e. in terms of slope), environmental conditions (i.e. agricultural potential and archaeology), proximity to a grid connection point (i.e. for the purpose of electricity evacuation), as well as site access (i.e. to facilitate the movement of machinery, equipment, infrastructure and people during the construction phase).

No alternative areas on Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476 have been considered for the development footprint. However, provision will be made in this scoping report to consider the results of the specialist studies to exclude the sensitive areas present, which includes the no-go buffer areas recommended by the specialist. The sensitive areas and associated buffers will be considered by the developer for the facility layout design to optimise the layout for avoidance of the environmental sensitivities identified.

As part of the specialist studies undertaken, areas that will need to be avoided has been identified which includes drainage channels and other surface water/wetland features present within the development footprint. The development footprint is however large enough to enable the avoidance of the sensitive features and the associated buffers by the facility layout and still provide an opportunity for the successful development and operation of the Virgo Solar Power Plant from a technical perspective.

Therefore, a single preferred location alternative was assessed – refer to Figures 5.1.




Figure 5.1: Location of the single preferred location (i.e. development footprint) located within the affected property assessed

5.1.3 Activity alternatives

The scoping process also needs to consider if the development of a solar PV facility would be the most appropriate land use for the particular site.

- Photovoltaic (PV) solar facility – Virgo Solar Power Plant (RF) (Pty) Ltd is part of a portfolio of solar PV projects throughout South Africa. The Virgo Solar Power Plant can be recycled.
- Wind energy facility - Due to the local climatic conditions a wind energy facility is not considered suitable as the area does not have the required wind resource. Furthermore, the applicant has opted for the generation of electricity via solar power rather than the use of wind turbines based on the renewable energy resource available for the area. This alternative is therefore regarded as not feasible and will not be evaluated further in this report.
- Concentrated solar power (CSP) technology - CSP technology requires large volumes of water, and this is a major constraint for this type of technology considering the water challenges and limitation experienced not only in the country but also the local area. While the irradiation values are high enough to generate sufficient solar power, the water constraints render this alternative not feasible. It must also be noted that the IRP no longer includes the use of CSP as part of the energy mix of the county. Therefore, this alternative will not be considered further in this report.




Figure 5.2: Global horizontal irradiation values for South Africa (Solar GIS, 2021) and the Virgo Solar Power Plant development footprint.

5.1.4 Technical alternatives

Possible technical alternatives for the development of a solar PV facility needs to be considered during the EIA process.

5.1.4.1 Distribution lines

The grid connection route will be assessed within a 101 m to 1174 m wide and 4,2 km long corridor. The connection power line will be constructed within the limits of the grid connection corridor.

A 132kV overhead distribution line is the only preferred alternative for the applicant due to the following reasons:

- **Overhead Distribution Lines** - Overhead lines are less costly to construct than underground lines. Therefore, the preference for overhead lines is mainly based on cost. Overhead lines allow high voltage operations, and the surrounding air provides the necessary electrical insulation to earth. Further, the surrounding air cools the conductors that produce heat due to lost energy (Swingler *et al.*, 2006).

The overall weather conditions in the Northern Cape Province are unlikely to cause damage and faults on the proposed overhead distribution power line. Nonetheless, if a fault occurs, it can be found quickly by visual means using a manual line patrol. Repair to overhead lines is relatively simple in most cases and the line can usually be put back into service within a few days. In terms of potential impacts associated with overhead distribution lines these include visual intrusion and threats to sensitive habitat (where applicable).

Furthermore, overhead power lines also provide an opportunity for the avoidance of sensitive environmental features as the overhead lines can span on-ground environmental features to ensure conservation, therefore providing more flexibility in terms of mitigation of the associated on-ground disturbance.

The choice of structure to be used for the power line will be determined in consultation with Eskom once the Engineers have assessed the geotechnical and topographical conditions and decided on a suitable structure which meets the prescribed technical requirements. The choice of structures to be used will not have any adverse impacts on the environment. The line will be constructed according to the authorised standards for a power line approved by Eskom Holdings SoC Ltd.

The following alternatives may be considered for the overhead power line:

- Single Circuit Overhead Power Line

The use of single circuit overhead power lines to distribute electricity is considered the most appropriate technology and has been designed over many years for the existing environmental conditions and terrain as specified in the Eskom Specifications and best international practice. Based on all current technologies available, single circuit overhead power lines are considered the most environmentally practicable technology available for the distribution of power. This option is considered appropriate for the following reasons:

- More cost-effective installation costs;
- Less environmental damage during installation; and
- More effective and cheaper maintenance costs over the lifetime of the power line.

- Double Circuit Overhead Power Line

Where sensitive environmental features are identified, and there is sufficient justification, Eskom will consider the use of double circuit (placing 2 power lines on either side of the same tower structure) to minimise impacts. However, the use of double-circuiting has a number of technical disadvantages, which includes faults or problems on one power line may mean that the other power line is also disabled during maintenance, and this will affect the quality of supply to an area. Larger and taller towers as well as more towers are required for double-circuit power lines.

The double-circuit overhead power line proves more feasible since the single circuit may not have the capacity to transmit the large amount of electricity generated from the plant and during maintenance the entire plant would not have to be offline as one

of the double circuit lines would still be able to supply electricity. However, due to the rapid requirement changes, this will only be determined before construction.

- **Underground Distribution Lines** - Underground cables have generally been used where it is impossible to use overhead lines (for example due to space constraints). Underground cables are oil cooled and are also at risk of groundwater contamination. Maintenance is also difficult on underground lines compared to overhead lines. When a fault occurs in an underground cable circuit, it is almost exclusively a permanent fault due to poor visibility. Underground lines are also more expensive to construct than overhead lines.

5.1.4.2 Battery Energy Storage Facility (BESS)

It is proposed that a nominal up to 500 MWh Battery Storage Facility for grid storage would be housed in stacked containers, or multi-storey building, with a maximum height of 8m and a maximum volume of 1,740m³ of batteries and associated operational, safety and control infrastructure. Three types of battery technologies are being considered for the proposed project: Lithium-ion, Sodium-sulphur or Vanadium Redox flow battery. The preferred battery technology is Lithium-ion.

Battery storage offers a wide range of advantages to South Africa including renewable energy time shift, renewable capacity firming, electricity supply reliability and quality improvement, voltage regulation, electricity reserve capacity improvement, transmission congestion relief, load following and time of use energy cost management. In essence, this technology allows renewable energy to enter the base load and peak power generation market and therefore can compete directly with fossil fuel sources of power generation and offer a truly sustainable electricity supply option.

Battery storage offers a wide range of advantages to South Africa including renewable energy time shift, renewable capacity firming, electricity supply reliability and quality improvement, voltage regulation, electricity reserve capacity improvement, transmission congestion relief, load following and time of use energy cost management. In essence, this technology allows renewable energy to enter the base load and peak power generation market and therefore can compete directly with fossil fuel sources of power generation and offer a truly sustainable electricity supply option.

5.1.5 Design and layout alternatives

Design alternatives were considered throughout the planning and design phase (i.e. what would be the best design option for the development?). In this regard discussions on the design were held between the EAP and the developer, which also included the consideration of sensitive environmental areas and features present as identified by the independent specialists that needs to be avoided by the placement of infrastructure. The draft layout plan is included as Appendix H but it should be noted that the final layout plan will be submitted as part of the EIA Report.

The draft layout follows the limitations of the site and aspects such as environmental sensitive areas (supported by specialist input), roads, fencing and servitudes are considered. The total surface area proposed for layout options include the PV panel arrays spaced to avoid shadowing, access and maintenance roads and associated infrastructure (buildings, power

inverters, power lines, BESS and perimeter fences). With regards to the structure orientation, the panels will either be fixed to a single-axis horizontal tracking structure where the orientation of the panel varies according to the time of the day, as the sun moves from east to west or tilted at a fixed angle equivalent to the latitude at which the site is located in order to capture the most sun.

The choice of pylon structure to be used for the power line will be determined in consultation with Eskom and does not significantly affect the environmental impacts of the proposed development as provision has already been made for the visual, ecological and heritage impacts of erecting a power line. No defined structure has been confirmed at this stage and will depend on Eskom's technical requirements. The 132kV line must be constructed according to the authorised standards for a power line approved by Eskom Holdings SoC Ltd. The structure to be utilised for the power line towers will also be informed by the local geotechnical and topographical conditions. The following alternatives are considered with regards to the proposed structures:

Steel lattice towers:

The steel lattice towers provide the following advantages over the other tower types available:

- Enables multipath earthing which enhances the overall electrical performance of the power line.
- Is visually less obtrusive than the mono-pole options.
- Is more practicable than other options i.e. more cost effective and more practical to construct and maintain.
- Is safer to work on than the monopole and wood pole structures.
- Is more durable than the wood pole structures.

Steel monopoles:

The steel monopole is considered less suitable than the steel lattice towers for the following reasons:

- Is visually more intrusive than the lattice towers.
- Is more expensive than the lattice towers.
- Requires more steel than the lattice towers.
- Is more difficult to erect.
- Is not as safe to work on as the lattice towers.

Wood poles:

Wood pole structures are only used in extreme circumstances where a visual impact needs to be avoided. Wood pole structures may be cheaper to produce and to construct, but they have one tenth of the lifespan of the metal counterparts and are far more susceptible to weather conditions which makes them less efficient and practicable. The wood pole structure is also


more susceptible to having the cross arms burnt off by electrical faults as well as being susceptible to deformation with height.

5.1.6 Technology alternatives

There are several types of semiconductor technologies currently available and in use for PV solar panels. Two, however, have become the most widely adopted, namely crystalline silicon and thin film. These technologies are discussed in more detail below:

- Crystalline (high efficiency technology at higher cost):

Crystalline silicon panels are constructed by first putting a single slice of silicon through a series of processing steps, creating one solar cell. These cells are then assembled together in multiples to make a solar panel. Crystalline silicon, also called wafer silicon, is the oldest and the most widely used material in commercial solar panels. Crystalline silicon modules represent 85-90% of the global annual market today. There are two main types of crystalline silicon panels that can be considered for the solar facility:




- Mono-crystalline Silicon - mono-crystalline (also called single crystal) panels use solar cells that are cut from a piece of silicon grown from a single, uniform crystal. Mono-crystalline panels are among the most efficient yet most expensive on the market. They require the highest purity silicon and have the most involved manufacturing process.



- Poly-crystalline Silicon – poly-crystalline panels use solar cells that are cut from multifaceted silicon crystals. They are less uniform in appearance than mono-crystalline cells, resembling pieces of shattered glass. These are the most common solar panels on the market, being less expensive than mono-crystalline silicon. They are also less efficient, though the performance gap has begun to close in recent years (First Solar, 2011).

- Thin film (low-cost technology with lower efficiency):


Thin film solar panels are made by placing thin layers of semiconductor material onto various surfaces, usually on glass. The term *thin film* refers to the amount of semiconductor material used. It is applied in a thin film to a surface structure, such as a sheet of glass. Contrary to popular belief, most thin film panels are not flexible. Overall, thin film solar panels offer the lowest manufacturing costs, and are becoming more prevalent in the industry. Thin films currently account for 10-15% of global PV module sales. There are three main types of thin film used:



- Cadmium Telluride (CdTe) - CdTe is a semiconductor compound formed from cadmium and tellurium. CdTe solar panels are manufactured on glass. They are the most common type of thin film solar panel on the market and the most cost-effective to manufacture. CdTe panels perform significantly better in high temperatures and in low-light conditions.



- Amorphous Silicon - Amorphous silicon is the non-crystalline form of silicon and was the first thin film material to yield a commercial product, first used in consumer items such as calculators. It can be deposited in thin layers onto a variety of surfaces and offers lower costs than traditional crystalline silicon, though it is less efficient at converting sunlight into electricity.



- Copper, Indium, Gallium, Selenide (CIGS) - CIGS is a compound semiconductor that can be deposited onto many different materials. CIGS has only recently become available for small commercial applications, and is considered a developing PV technology (First Solar, 2011).

- Bifacial panels:

As the name suggests, bifacial solar panels have two faces, or rather, they can absorb light from both sides of the panel. A lot of potential energy transfer is lost in traditional solar cells when the light hits the back of a solar panel. Most bifacial solar panels use monocrystalline cells, whereas traditional cells use polycrystalline materials. The monocrystalline materials, alongside the clear light pathway on both sides of the panel, enable the light to be absorbed from either side of the cell, and it is thought that the overall efficiency of these cells can be up to 30% greater in commercial applications. Although, the exact amount is variable depending on the surface that they are installed on. The front side of the solar panel still absorbs most of the solar light, but the back side of the solar panel can absorb between 5-90% of the light absorbed by the front of the solar panel. Refer to Figure 5.5.

Traditional solar panels use an opaque back sheet. By comparison, bifacial solar panels either have a clear/reflective back sheet or have dual panes of glass. Most of these solar panels are frameless so any issues with potential-induced degradation (PID) are reduced. To efficiently convert light into electricity from both sides, bifacial solar cells have selective-area metallization schemes that enable light to pass between the metallized areas, rather than the conventional thick metal collectors as seen with monofacial solar panels.

The technology that (at this stage) proves to be most feasible and reasonable with respect to the proposed solar facility is crystalline silicon panels, due to it being non-reflective, more efficient, and with a higher durability. However, due to the rapid technological advances being made in the field of solar technology the exact type of

technology to be used, such as bifacial panels, will only be confirmed at the onset of the project.




Figure 5.3: Bifacial vs Monofacial Solar Panel absorption.

5.2 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION PROCESS

The following sections provide detailed information on the public participation process conducted in terms of Regulations 39 to 44.

5.2.1 General

The public participation process was conducted strictly in accordance with Regulations 39 to 44. The following three categories of variables were taken into account when deciding the required level of public participation:

- The scale of anticipated impacts;
- The sensitivity of the affected environment and the degree of controversy of the project; and
- The characteristics of the potentially affected parties.

Since the scale of anticipated impacts is low, the general land use of the area is related to mining and agriculture, the limited environmental sensitivity of the site and the fact that no conflict was foreseen between potentially affected parties, no additional public participation mechanisms are considered at this stage of the process. The following actions have already been taken in line with the approved public participation plan (refer to Appendix C1):

➤ Newspaper advertisement

Since the proposed development is unlikely to result in any impacts that extend beyond the municipal area where it is located, it was deemed sufficient to advertise in a local newspaper. An advertisement was placed in English in the local newspaper (Kathu Gazette) on the 25 February 2023 (see Appendix C2) notifying the public of the EIA process and requesting Interested and Affected Parties (I&APs) to register with and submit their

comments to Environamics Environmental Consultants. I&APs were given the opportunity to raise comments within 30 days of the advertisement.

➤ Site notices

Site notices were placed on site in Afrikaans, Setswana and English on 22 February 2023 to inform surrounding communities and immediately adjacent landowners of the proposed development. I&APs were given the opportunity to raise comments by 22 March 2023. Photographic evidence of the site notices is included in Appendix C3.

➤ Direct notification of identified I&APs

Identified I&APs, including key stakeholders representing various sectors, has been directly informed of the EIA process on 24 February 2023 via registered post, telephone calls, WhatsApps and emails (as relevant). The Background Information Document (BID) was distributed with the notification. For a complete list of I&APs with their contact details see Appendix C4 to this report. It was expected from I&APs to provide their inputs and comments by 27 March 2023. To date comments have been received from various parties that have an interest in the development (Appendix C5 – C7).

➤ Direct notification of surrounding landowners and occupiers

Written notices were also provided via registered post, WhatsApp or email (as relevant) to all surrounding landowners and occupiers on 24 February 2023. The surrounding landowners were given the opportunity to raise comments within 30 days. For a list of surrounding landowners see Appendix C4. The surrounding landowners were given the opportunity to raise comments by 27 March 2023. To date comments have been received from various parties that have an interest in the development (Appendix C5 – C7). Refer to Figure 5.6.

• Circulation of Draft Scoping Report

Copies of the draft Scoping report has been provided to all I&APs via courier, Dropbox and/or email (as relevant). Hard copies of the report will be made available on request and where an I&AP does not have the resources to view the report on an online platform. I&AP's and organs of state were requested to provide their comments on the report from 08 June 2023 until 10 July 2023. All issues identified during the 30-day review and comment period will be recorded and documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of the Final Scoping Report for decision-making.

5.2.2 Consultation process

Regulation 41 requires that the landowner, surrounding landowners, municipality, relevant ward councillor, any organ of state having jurisdiction in respect of any aspect of the activity should be given written notice of the activity. A complete list of all the consultees who received written notice as well as proof of correspondence is attached as Appendices C4 and C5.

5.2.3 Registered I&APs

I&APs include all stakeholders who deem themselves affected by the proposed activity. According to Regulation 43(1) *“A registered interested and affected party is entitled to comment, in writing, on all reports or plans submitted to such party during the public participation process contemplated in these Regulations and to bring to the attention of the proponent or applicant any issues which that party believes may be of significance to the consideration of the application, provided that the interested and affected party discloses any direct business, financial, personal or other interest which that party may have in the approval or refusal of the application.”*

This report is the Draft Scoping Report which has been made available to all potential and/or registered I&APs and State Departments. They have been provided with a copy of the Draft Scoping Report and have been requested to provide written comments on the report within 30 days. All issues identified during this review period will be documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of the Final Scoping report.

All comments received prior to the release of the Draft Scoping Report for the 30-day review and comment period have been included in this report as Appendix C5, Appendix C6 and Appendix C7 to provide I&APs an opportunity to confirm that their comments raised during the initial public participation phase has been included and considered as part of the EIA process.

5.2.4 Issues raised by I&APs and consultation bodies

To date the interim comment from SAHRA has been received and is summarised in the Comments and Response Report included in Appendix C7.

Any comments received during the circulation of the draft Scoping Report will be summarised in the final Scoping Report. The full wording and original correspondence are included in Appendix C6.

5.3 THE ENVIRONMENTAL ATTRIBUTES ASSOCIATED WITH THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE

The following sections provide general information on the biophysical and socio-economic attributed associated with the preferred alternative (i.e. the location of the development footprint within the affected property).

5.3.1 Biophysical environment

The biophysical environment is described with specific reference to geology, soils, agricultural potential, vegetation and landscape features, climate, biodiversity, heritage features (in terms of archaeology and palaeontology), the visual landscape and the social environment to be affected. A number of specialists were consulted to assist with the compilation of this chapter of the report – refer to the Table 1.2.

However, due to the fact that the area proposed for development (i.e. the development footprint) exclusively consists of land used for grazing, limited sensitive areas from an ecological, heritage or conservation point have been identified. These features are described in more detail below.

5.3.1.1 Geology, soils and agricultural potential

According to the Soil and Agriculture Assessment (attached in Appendix E4), the land type database (Land Type Survey Staff, 1972 - 2006) indicate that the assessment corridor to be focused on falls within the Ae 6 and Ag 110 land types. The Ae 6 land type is predominated by Bare rock, Hutton, and Mispah soil forms with also the occurrence of other soil occurring throughout the terrain, following the South African soil classification working group (2018). The Ag 110 land type is predominated by Hutton and Mispah soil forms with also the occurrence of other soils occurring throughout the terrain, following the South African soil classification working group (2018). The Ae 6 land type is characterised with freely drained red-yellow apedal soils that are deeper than 300 mm and have a high base status. The Ag 110 land type is characterised by freely drained red-yellow apedal soils that are less than 300 mm in depth, with high base status. The geology of the Ae 6 and Ag 110 land types include surface limestone, alluvium material, red wind-blown sand, amygdaloidal andesitic lava and coarse-grained brown quartzite and sub greywacke. The terrain units and expected soils for the Ae 6 and Ag 110 land types.

Most of the project area is characterised by a slope percentage between 0 and 5%, with some irregularities in areas with slopes reaching 13%. This illustration indicates a non-uniform topography with occurrence of some steep sloping areas being present. The Digital Elevation Model (DEM) of the project area indicates an elevation of 1123 to 1162 Metres Above Sea Level (MASL).

The land capability is determined by the physical features of the landscape including the soils present. The land potential or agricultural potential is determined by combining the land capability results and the climate capability for the region. The climatic capability has been determined by means of the Smith (2006) methodology, of which the first step includes determining the climate capability of the region by means of the Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP) and annual Class A pan (potential evaporation). According to Smith (2006), the climatic capability of a region is only refined past the first step if the climatic capability is determined to be between climatic capability 1 and 6. From the land capability classes, the land potential levels have been determined by means of the Guy and Smith (1998) methodology.

The following land potential level has been determined;

- Land potential level 6 (this land potential is characterised by very restricted potential. Regular and or severe limitations due to soil, slope, temperatures, or rainfall. Non arable).

Fifteen land capabilities have been digitised by (DAFF, 2017) across South Africa, of which eight potential land capability classes are located within the proposed footprint area's assessment corridor, including;

- Land Capability 1 to 5 (Very low to Low Sensitivity); and
- Land Capability 6 to 8 (Low to Moderate Sensitivity).

The land capability sensitivity (DAFF, 2017) indicates a range of sensitivities expected throughout the project focus area, which is predominantly "Very low to Moderate" land capability. Therefore, following the verified baseline findings, the proposed project area can

be categorised with “medium” land capability and “Low” land potential. In addition, factors such as topography and the harsh climatic conditions will also reduce the agricultural potential of the area.

Furthermore, It is the specialist’s opinion that the proposed project will have limited impacts on the agricultural production ability of the land, and the proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant project may be favourably considered.




Figure 5.4: The land capability sensitivity (DAFF, 2017)

5.3.1.2 Vegetation and, topography and landscape features

The most recent classification of the area by Mucina & Rutherford (2006) shows that the SPP falls within the classified Kathu Bushveld vegetation type.

Distribution includes the Northern Cape Province: Plains from Kathu and Dibeng in the south, through Hotazel, vicinity of Frylinckspan to the Botswana border roughly between Van Zylsrus and McCarthysrus. Altitude 960–1 300 m.

The vegetation and landscape features can be described as medium-tall tree layer with *Vachellia erioloba* in places, but mostly open and including *Boscia albitrunca* as the prominent trees. Shrub layer generally most important with, for example, *A. mellifera*, *Diospyros lycioides* and *Lycium hirsutum*. Grass layer is variable in cover.

The conservation status is classified as “Least Threatened”. None conserved in statutory conservation areas. More than 1% already transformed, including the iron ore mining locality at Sishen, one of the biggest open-cast mines in the world. Erosion is very low.

5.3.1.3 Climate

The vegetation type is characterised by a summer rainfall with very dry winters. The Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP) ranged between about 500–650 mm. Mean monthly maximum and minimum temperatures for are 35.2°C and –2.0°C for October and July, respectively. Corresponding values are 36.8°C and –1.2°C for for January and June.

5.3.1.4 Biodiversity

The primary cause of loss of biological diversity is habitat degradation and loss (IUCN, 2004; Primack, 2006). In the case of this study special attention was given to the identification of sensitive species or animal life and birds on site. The following section will discuss the state of biodiversity on the site in more detail.

Avifaunal

According to the Avifauna Assessment (Appendix E2), The different habitat types within the PAOI were delineated and identified based on observations during the field assessment and available satellite imagery. These habitat types were assigned Site Ecological Importance (SEI) categories based on their ecological integrity, conservation value, the presence of species of conservation concern.

The habitat type within the project areas were homogenous and regarded as Kathu Bushveld as describe by Mucina and Rutherford (2006). The SEI was determined to be ‘Medium’. This denotes that minimisation and restoration mitigation activities will be deemed appropriate. Development activities of medium impact acceptable, followed by appropriate restoration activities. The Ga-mogara river system is classified as a ‘Critically Endangered’ habitat and as such the SEI was listed as ‘Very High’ where the river intersected with the PAOI.

The principal concerns and impacts of the operational phase are electrocution, collisions, fencing, chemical pollution due to chemical for the cleaning of the PV panels and habitat loss. Solar panels have been implicated as a potential risk for bird collisions. Collisions are thought to arise when birds (particularly waterbirds) mistake the panels for waterbodies, known as the “lake effect” (Lovich & Ennen, 2011), or when migrating or dispersing birds become disorientated by the polarised light reflected by the panels. This “lake-effect” hypothesis has not been substantiated or refuted to date (Visser et al., 2019). It can however be said that the combination of powerlines, fencing and large infrastructure will influence avifauna species. Visser et al. (2019) performed a study at a utility-scale PV SEF in the Northern Cape and found that most of the species affected by the facility were passerine species. Larger species were said to be more influenced by the facilities when they were found foraging close by and were disturbed by predators which resulted in collisions.

Fauna

According to the Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment (Appendix E1), This site assessment was undertaken in April 2023, which constitutes a late wet-season survey. The different habitat types were delineated and identified based on observations during the field assessment, and available satellite imagery. These habitat types were assigned Ecological Importance (EI) categories based on their ecological integrity, conservation value, the presence of species of conservation concern and their ecosystem processes.

Two (2) different terrestrial habitat types were delineated, which includes the Kathu Bushveld and the Ga-mogara River Riparian. The SEI was determined to be 'Medium' for the Kathu Bushveld. This denotes that minimisation and restoration mitigation activities will be deemed appropriate. Development activities of medium impact acceptable, followed by appropriate restoration activities. The Ga-mogara River system is classified as a 'Critically Endangered' habitat and as such the SEI was listed as 'Very High'.

Considering the anthropogenic activities and influences within the landscape, several negative impacts to biodiversity were observed within the project area. These include:

- Erosion and loss of habitat as a result of runoff;
- Overgrazing;
- Litter;
- Loss of habitat due to road construction and borrow pits; and
- Loss of indigenous flora and associated edge effects from existing infrastructure.




Figure 5.5: Map illustrating the preliminary Terrestrial Site Ecological Importance

5.3.1.5 Visual landscape

It is possible that landscape change due to the proposed development could impact the character of an important landscape area. Importance can be derived from specific features that can relate to urban or rural settings. They might include key natural, historic or culturally significant elements. Importance might also relate to landscapes that are uncommon or under threat from development.

The proposed project is situated in an area with low elevation differences. However, sudden mine heaps belonging to the Sishen Mine form part of the landscape approximately 8km south-east from the proposed development. The SPP is positioned at an above-mean-sea-level (amsl) of about 1161m at the highest elevation and 1129m at the lowest elevation while the grid connection's elevation stands at 1161m and at 1128m respectively. The immediate environment drains towards the Gamagara river, adjacent to site and grid connection, while the larger area drains towards the north.

Due to the landform and drainage configuration, visibility might not be restricted except from the opposite side of the mine heaps. The visual landscape closer to the proposed development may be more visible, and areas within 5km of the project may offer a clear view, without accounting for existing screening associated with flora, more specifically, trees. For a better understanding of the visual landscape surrounding the proposed development.

For a better understanding of the visual landscape surrounding the proposed development, please refer to the photo below (Figure 5.6) and refer to the Plates.



Figure 5.6: Approximate centre of SPP taken towards the north: AGL 6m

A Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) is a Geographic Information System (GIS)-generated tool to identify the likely (or theoretical) extent of visibility of a development. The tool used in this model does not take existing screening into account but only the above mean sea level of the landscape. Table 5.1 provides the visibility ratings for the solar power plant and Table 5.2 provides the visibility ratings for the power line.

Table 5.1: ZTV Visibility rating in terms of Proximity to the Solar Power Plant

| Radius | Visual Receptors | Visibility rating in terms of proximity |
|--------|--|---|
| 0-1km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One homestead on a farm - Adjacent secondary road <p>Visibility Coverage: 74.94%</p> | Very High |
| 1-3km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Four homesteads on farms - Adjacent secondary road <p>Visibility Coverage: 32.1%</p> | High |
| 3-5km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Two homesteads on farms - Adjacent secondary road <p>Visibility Coverage: 21.04%</p> | Medium |
| 5-10km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Four homesteads on farms - Adjacent secondary road - Bredenkamp Nature Reserve <p>Visibility Coverage: 13.01%</p> | Low |

Table 5.2: ZTV Visibility Rating in terms of Proximity to the Power Line

| Radius | Visual Receptors | Visibility rating in terms of proximity |
|--------|--|---|
| 0-1km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - One homestead on a farm - Adjacent secondary road <p>Visibility Coverage: 94.79%</p> | Very High |
| 1-3km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Two homesteads on farms - Adjacent secondary road <p>Visibility Coverage: 61.59%</p> | High |
| 3-5km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Two homesteads on farms - Adjacent secondary road | Medium |

| | | |
|--------|--|-----|
| | Visibility Coverage: 32.9% | |
| 5-10km | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Eight homesteads on farms - Adjacent secondary road - Bredenkamp Nature Reserve Visibility Coverage: 12.81% | Low |

Figure 5.7 and Figure 5.8 below indicates the Zone of Theoretical Visibility for the solar power plant and the proposed grid connection corridor.

The ZTV assessment did not consider existing screening such as buildings and vegetation cover but rather the terrain's above mean sea level (AMSL) which indicates line of sight.




Figure 5.7: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) for the Virgo Solar Power Plant.




Figure 5.8: Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) for the proposed grid connection corridor.

5.3.1.6 Traffic consideration

According to the Traffic Impact Study (Appendix E8), the existing external road network, in the vicinity of the Virgo Solar Power Plant (SPP), consists of the N14, R380, and T405 was derived from the South African Classification and Access Management Manual (TRH 26).

- The N14 is a national route in South Africa which runs from Springbok in the Northern Cape to Pretoria in Gauteng. It passes through Upington, Kuruman, Vryburg, Krugersdorp and Centurion. The section between Pretoria and Krugersdorp is maintained by the Gauteng Provincial Government and is also designated the P158. This section of roadway, adjacent to the town of Kathu, can be classified as a single carriageway, with one lane per direction and narrow surfaced shoulders. Dedicated left- and right-turn lanes are provided at major intersections along this route.
- The R380 is a regional route in South Africa that connects Kathu with the Botswana border at McCarthy’s Rest via Hotazel. From the border, it heads generally south-south-east to Hotazel. Here it crosses the R31 at a staggered junction. It then heads south, by-passing Dibeng to the east, before veering south-east to reach its southern terminus at the N14 at Kathu. This roadway can be classified as a single carriageway, with one lane per direction and gravel shoulders. Dedicated left- and right-turn lanes are provided at major intersections along this route.
- The T405 Deben Road connects the N14 to the town of Dibeng, via the R325. This roadway can be classified as a single carriageway gravel road, with one lane per

direction. Access to the Virgo SPP is located off this roadway.

Two access routes are proposed for the Virgo Solar Power Plant (SPP). Access route no. 1 will be obtained via the T405 Deben Rd off the R380 and to the north of the site. Access route no. 2 will also be obtained via the T405 Deben Rd, but off the N14 and to the south of the site. An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and associated infrastructure.

A formal application for these access points will need to be lodged with the Gamagara Local Municipality and the Northern Cape Department: Police, Roads and Transport. The formalisation of these access points to the standard, will in all probability be a requirement as part of the wayleave approval. In addition to the above, no sight distance issues are foreseen at the preferred access. It is, however, essential that adequate traffic accommodation signage be erected and maintained on either side of the N14, as well as on T405 Deben Rd. This should be implemented throughout the construction phase of the plant. This route will also need to be suitably maintained throughout the operational life of the solar power plant.

5.3.2 Description of the socio-economic environment

The socio-economic environment is described with specific reference to social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects.

5.3.2.1 Socio-economic conditions

The project is proposed within the Northern Cape Province, situated in the north-western region of South Africa, shares borders with Namibia and Botswana to the north, North West and Free State Province to the east, Eastern Cape Province to the south-east, and Western Cape Province to the south. The Atlantic Ocean forms the province's remaining western boundary. Despite being the largest province in South Africa in terms of land area, it has the smallest population. Kimberley, located in the province's eastern region, serves as the capital and largest city, while other major towns include Upington (known for the northernmost wine-making region in South Africa), Springbok (the heart of the Namaqualand spring flowers), and Sutherland (home to the southern hemisphere's largest astronomical observatory), Kuruman, De Aar, and Kathu.

The province's eastern half and south-western part form an arid plateau, which gradually rises to the Great Escarpment along the province's southern boundary. The north-western region of the province is predominantly desert, including the sand dunes of the Kgalagadi Transfrontier Park, a conservation area co-managed by South Africa and Botswana. The Orange River, flowing from east to west, is a significant water source for irrigation, and one of its primary tributaries, the Vaal River, joins it near Douglas in the east. The river forms a series of cataracts and rapids at Augrabies Falls in the west, near the Namibian border.

The Orange River Valley, particularly Upington, Kakamas, and Keimoes, is rich in fertile agricultural land, where grapes and fruit are extensively cultivated. Sheep farming is predominant in the interior Karoo, while the karakul-pelt industry is essential in the Gordonia district of Upington. Wheat, fruit, peanuts, maize, and cotton are produced at the Vaalharts Irrigation Scheme near Warrenton.

The Northern Cape Province is a significant mining hub, with major copper mines located in Nababeep, Okiep, and Aggeneys. The province is a major producer of diamonds, with many of them mined in the arid region of Namaqualand in the west, along the Atlantic coast. Kimberley, famous for its diamond-mining past, remains a centre for mining and cutting diamonds. The province also produces nearly all the manganese mined in South Africa, and tungsten, zinc, lead, asbestos, iron ore, and limestone are extracted at various locations. The Sishen Mine, situated near Kathu, is the largest iron-ore producer in South Africa, while the copper mine at Okiep is one of the country's oldest mines.

John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality

The John Taolo Gaetsewe District Municipality (DM) (previously Kgalagadi) is a Category C municipality situated in the north of the Northern Cape Province, sharing its borders with ZF Mgcau DM to the west, Frances Baard DM to the south, North West Province to the north-east, and Botswana to the north. The John Taolo Gaetsewe DM is the second smallest of the five district municipalities in the Northern Cape Province. Major towns within the district include Kathu, Kuruman, Olifantshoek, and Van Zylsrus.

The region is predominantly known for iron-ore and manganese mining, in particular the Sishen iron mine which holds one of the highest estimated reserves in South Africa and the world. Agriculture in the region consists mostly of sheep farming, as the region receives minimal rain to support crop production.

Tourism in the region is mostly based on game reserves providing hunting opportunities, with some highly ranked golf courses developed by mines in the region. Additionally, the N14 national route from Johannesburg to Upington via Kuruman and Kathu provides additional income in the region through travelling tourists. The main economic sectors include: Mining (31%), construction, transport, electricity and trade.

Johannesburg to Upington via Kuruman and Kathu provides additional income in the region through travelling tourists.

Gamagara Local Municipality

The Gamagara Local Municipality (LM) is a Category B municipality situated in the south-west of the John Taolo Gaetsewe DM, within the Northern Cape Province. Its northern border is shared with the Joe Morolong LM and eastern border with Ga-Segonyana LM, the remaining local municipalities of the John Taolo Gaetsewe DM. The remaining southern and western border is shared with the ZF Mgcau DM of the Northern Cape Province. The municipality is the smallest of the three municipalities in the district, with the town Kathu identified as the central business district of the municipality. Other towns in the region include Deben and Olifantshoek.

The municipal area owns an endemic camel thorn tree forest that enjoys a National Heritage status and gave Kathu its name. The area boasts the largest single pit, open cast iron ore mine in the world, and is the starting point of the Sishen—Saldanha railway line. Mining is therefore the main economic driver in the region. Additionally, the N14 national route runs through Kathu and Olifantshoek.

The proposed Virgo SPP development will be located Portion 2(Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476, situated in the Gamagara LM a subdivision of the John Taolo Gaetsewe DM, located in the Northern Cape Province. The town Kathu is located approximately 14km to the east of the proposed development. The N14 national route is situated approximately 16.5km to the east and the R380 approximately 8.5km east.

5.3.2.2 Cultural and heritage aspects

According to the Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix E5), the proposed development area is located close to the town of Kathu in the Northern Cape Province. The original vegetation of the larger project area is classified as Kathu Bushveld, a grassland biome, forming part of the Eastern Kalahari Bushveld Bioregion (Muncina & Rutherford 2006). The geology of the project area consists of superficial deposits comprising gravels, clays, sandstone, silcrete, calcrete and aeolian sand of the Kalahari Group.

The cultural landscape qualities of the region essentially consist of two components. The first is a rural area in which the human occupation is made up of a pre-colonial (Stone Age) occupation and a much later colonial (farmer) component. The second component is an urban one consisting of a number of smaller towns, most of which developed during the last 150 years or less.

Stone age

Occupation of the region took place during the Stone Age. Most of this, however, seems to date to the Early Stone Age and centres in the areas where there are hills, e.g. to the east and south. For example, in the vicinity of Kathu, Beaumont & Morris (1990) and Dreyer (2007) identified to occurrence of extensive Early Stone Age occupation.

The Kathu sites contain significant ESA Acheulean and Fauresmith assemblages, and also a well-represented MSA (Beaumont 1990b, 2004; Chazan et al. 2012; Wilkins & Chazan 2012). Kathu Pan is formed by a shallow depression with an internal drainage and a high-water table. Archaeological and palaeoenvironmental data from Kathu Pan and Kathu Townlands were used to reconstruct changes over time in the prehistoric environment (Beaumont 2004). The transitional Fauresmith at Kathu Pan has been dated to ca. 500 000 BP (Porat et al. 2010).

A current research project at Kathu Pan 1 established a date of 500 000 years for a Fauresmith blade assemblage where blades were systematically removed from prepared cores (Porat et al. 2010; Wilkens & Chazan 2012).

No formal sites dating to the Stone Age were identified. The material found is classified as surface material, and therefore has been rated to have a low sensitivity. The stone tools that have been identified date to the Middle Stone Age, with only a few that might fit into the Later Stone Age. The lithic materials in this part of the region are typically found in three types of environments: drainage lines, outcrops and rims of natural pans, and the density of material varies accordingly. On the outcrops, such as the case here, it has the highest density as this where the raw material was sourced. In this case it has a density of c. 5/5m².

The material used is mostly jaspilite, indurated shale and quartzite. The bulk of the lithics consists of MSA triangular flakes, several other classes of flaked pieces that include side struck

flakes and blades. Formal stone tools comprise points with secondary retouch and scrapers, with a few blades that exhibit utilization.

Iron age

Early Iron Age occupation did not take place in the region and seems as if the earliest people to live settled lives here were those of Tswana-speaking origin (Tlhaping and Tlharo) that settled mostly to the north and a bit to the west of Kuruman. However, they continued spreading westward and by the late 18th century some groups occupied the Langeberg region. With the annexation of the Tswana areas by the British in 1885, the area became known as British Betchuana Land. A number of reserves were set up for these people to stay in. In 1895 the Tswana-speakers rose up in resistance to the British authority as represented by the government of the Cape Colony. They were quickly subjected and their land was taken away, divided up into farms and given out to white farmers to settle on (Snyman 1986).

This led to a lot of resentment between the authorities, farmers and rural communities, resulting in a number of conflicts across the larger region. One such conflict was with (no known first name) Galeshewe, a Tlhaping leader from Taung. After some of his cattle were destroyed by government officials he killed one official and then fled the Tlharo of Toto located in the northern part of the Langberg. This, eventually, led to a full-scale rebellion which the British colonial authorities set out to suppress. By middle 1897 the main British force under the command of Lieutenant-Colonel E.H. Dalgety the rebellion was suppressed and came to an end with the surrender of rebel leader Toto, his son Robanyane and their Tlharo followers on 2 August 1897 (Breutz 1963; Snyman 1986).

No sites, features or objects of cultural significance dating to the Iron Age were identified in the project area.

Historic age

Many early explorers, hunters, traders and missionaries travelled through the area on their way to Kuruman on what was to become known as the “missionary road”. Anderson, Burchell, Harris, Holub, Lichtenstein and Moffat are but a few of the better-known names to pass through here.

In 1902 Olifaatshoek got its first permanent inhabitant, Edward Finnis and in 1903 Michael Colley opened a shop. The slow growth of Olifantshoek can be attributed to the fact that for many years Deben (Dibeng) was the main seat of the church in the region and local people preferred to go there.

Although prospecting for minerals, especially diamonds occurred in the area and some knowledge was available on the iron deposits, it was only during the 1940s that the extent of the iron and manganese deposits were established, This was followed by the establishment of towns such as Sishen (1952) and Kathu in 1972.

The following were found on site. A small informal burial site. There are perhaps 20 graves, marked only with stone cairns. Unfortunately, the site is much overgrown and it was difficult to get exact information. According to the current farm workers, these are very old graves and, according to them, they are of farmer farm workers.

Palaeontology

The proposed development near Kathu in the Northern Cape is underlain by Quaternary aged sediments of the Kalahari Group as well as the underlying Campbell Rand Subgroup (Ghaap Group, Transvaal Supergroup). The general low palaeontological sensitivity of the bedrocks and superficial sediments in the proposed development footprint, indicates that the development will have an overall LOW impact significance in terms of palaeontological heritage. It is therefore considered that the development will not lead to detrimental impacts on the palaeontological resources of the area.

The geology of the proposed Virgo SPP near Kathu in the Northern Cape Province is depicted on the Kuruman 2722 (1979) Geological Map (Council for Geosciences, Pretoria) (Figure 4). This map indicates that the proposed Virgo SPP is entirely mantled by Pleistocene to Recent aeolian sands of the Gordonia Formation while calcretes (surface limestones) of the Mokolanen Formation (Kalahari Group (T1, dark yellow) is also present in the area. Underlying these superficial deposits is Precambrian bedrocks comprising of cherts, dolomites and possible iron formations of the Transvaal Supergroup.

These sediments are too deep and will not be affected by the Virgo SPP development. The PalaeoMap of the South African Heritage Resources Information System (SAHRIS) indicates that the Palaeontological Sensitivity of the Gordonia Formation (Kalahari Group) is Moderate (Almond and Pether, 2009; Almond et al., 2013).

5.4 SITE SELECTION MATRIX

Due to the nature of the proposed development, the location of the solar power plant is largely dependent on technical and environmental factors such as solar irradiation, climatic conditions, topography of the site, access to the grid and capacity of the grid. Studies of solar irradiation worldwide indicate that the Northern Cape Province has a high potential for the generation of power from solar.

The receptiveness of the site to PV Development includes the presence of optimal conditions for the siting of a solar energy facility due to high irradiation values and optimum grid connection opportunities (i.e. the grid connection points are located within the affected property which minimizes the length of power line development and consolidates the overall impacts and disturbance of the project within the affected property). The farm portions where the project is proposed to be located is considered favorable and suitable from a technical perspective due to the following characteristics:

- Climatic conditions: Climatic conditions determine if the project will be viable from an economic perspective as the solar power plant is directly dependent on the annual direct solar irradiation values of a particular area. The Northern Cape receives high averages of direct normal and global horizontal irradiation, daily. This is an indication that the regional location of the project includes a low number of rainy days and a high number of daylight hours experienced in the region. Global Horizontal Radiation of ~2118 kWh/m²/year is relevant in the area.

- Topographic conditions: The surface area on which the proposed facility will be located has a favourable level topography, which facilitates work involved with construction and maintenance of the facility and ensures that shadowing on the panels do not occur. The topographic conditions, which are favorable, minimizes the significance of the impact that will occur during the clearing and leveling of the site for the construction activities.
- Extent of the site: A significant portion of land is required to evacuate the prescribed 350MW and space is a constraining factor in PV facility installations. Provision was made to assess a larger area than is required for the facility to make provision for any other environmental or technical constraints that may arise and avoiding those areas. Larger farms are sought after to make provision for any constraints imposed by the Department of Agriculture on the extent of land that may be used for such facilities per farm, as well as the opportunities presented for the avoidance of sensitive environmental features present. Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476, and the development footprint assessed therein is considered to provide an opportunity for the successful construction and operation of a solar power plant with a capacity of 350MW, as well as opportunities for the avoidance and mitigation of impacts on the affected environment and sensitive environmental features.
- Site availability and access: The land is available for lease by the developer. Reluctant farm owners or farmers over capitalizing hamper efforts to find suitable farms. Two (2) access routes are proposed for the Virgo Solar Power Plant (SPP). Access route no. 1 will be obtained via the T405 Deben Rd off the R380 and to the north of the site. Access route no. 2 will also be obtained via the T405 Deben Rd, but off the N14 and to the south of the site. An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and associated infrastructure.
- Grid connection: In order for the PV facility to connect to the national grid the facility will have to construct an on-site substation, Eskom switching station and a power line from the project site to connect to the Eskom grid. Available grid connections are becoming scarce and play a huge role when selecting a viable site. Three grid connection options are available and all three are located within the same grid connection corridor which presents an opportunity for the consolidation of infrastructure and disturbance within the affected landscape.
- Environmental sensitivities: From an environmental perspective the proposed site is considered highly desirable due to limited environmental sensitivities in terms of geology, and soils, agricultural potential, vegetation and landscape features, climate, biodiversity and the visual landscape – refer to Section 5.3.1 of this report. The area proposed for development exclusively consists of land used for agriculture, but wetland features and a historical burial site are located on the development footprint, as well as a few protected plant species, that will need to be considered by the developer for the placement of the facility infrastructure within the development footprint.

It is evident from the discussion above that Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476 may be considered favourable and suitable in terms of the site and environmental characteristics. As mentioned previously, no alternative areas on the property have been

considered for the placement of the development footprint. The development footprint of this project will cover a significant portion of the farm, however, provision will be made to exclude any sensitive areas from the facility layout to be developed within the development footprint.

5.5 CONCLUDING STATEMENT ON ALTERNATIVES

When considering the information provided by the specialists with regards to the site selection criteria, the site is identified as preferred due to fact that the opportunities presented on the site to develop the project in such a way which avoids the areas and features (including the associated buffers) of environmental sensitivity.

Therefore, development of the 350 MW Virgo Solar Power Plant on Portion 2 (Rozenvlei) of the farm Bishops Wood No. 476 is the preferred option.

Considering the environmental sensitive features present within the development footprint, the Applicant has proposed a draft facility layout which considers these features, and thereby aim to avoid any direct impact on these features. The draft layout will be further assessed as part of the EIA Phase of the project. Refer to Figure H for the draft layout proposed for development.

6 DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPACTS AND RISKS

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include-

(v) the impacts and risks identified for each alternative, including the nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts, including the degree to which these impacts-

(aa) can be reversed;

(bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources; and

(cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated;

(vi) the methodology used in determining and ranking the nature, significance, consequences, extent, duration and probability of potential environmental impacts and risks associated with the alternatives;

(vii) positive and negative impacts that the proposed activity and alternatives will have on the environment and on the community that may be affected focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects;

(viii) the possible mitigation measures that could be applied and level of residual risk;

6.1 SCOPING METHODOLOGY

The contents and methodology of the scoping report aims to provide, as far as possible, a user-friendly analysis of information to allow for easy interpretation.

- Checklist (see section 6.1.1): The checklist consists of a list of structured questions related to the environmental parameters and specific human actions. They assist in ordering thinking, data collection, presentation and alert against the omission of possible impacts.
- Matrix (see section 6.1.2): The matrix analysis provides a holistic indication of the relationship and interaction between the various activities, development phases and the impact thereof on the environment. The method aims at providing a first order cause and effect relationship between the environment and the proposed activity. The matrix is designed to indicate the relationship between the different stressors and receptors which leads to specific impacts. The matrix also indicates the specialist studies that have been conducted to address the potentially most significant impacts.

6.1.1 Checklist analysis

The independent consultant conducted a site visit on 24 February 2022. The site visit was conducted to ensure a proper analysis of the site-specific characteristics of the study area. Table 6.1 provides a checklist, which is designed to stimulate thought regarding possible consequences of specific actions and so assist scoping of key issues. It consists of a list of

structured questions related to the environmental parameters and specific human actions. They assist in ordering thinking, data collection, presentation and alert against the omission of possible impacts. The table highlights certain issues, which are further analysed in matrix format in section 6.2.

Table 6.1: Environmental checklist

| QUESTION | YES | NO | Un-sure | Description |
|---|-----|----|---------|---|
| 1. Are any of the following located on the site earmarked for the development? | | | | |
| I. A river, stream, dam or wetland | X | | | The Ga-mogara, non-perennial river. Periodically provides surface water resources within the landscape. Aids in water quality amelioration by trapping sediment and nutrients carried |
| II. A conservation or open space area | | X | | Most of the proposed development footprint represents Ecological Support Areas (ESA). |
| III. An area that is of cultural importance | | X | | None. |
| IV. Site of geological significance | | X | | None. |
| V. Areas of outstanding natural beauty | | X | | None. |
| VI. Highly productive agricultural land | | X | | None. |
| VII. Floodplain | | X | | None. |
| VIII. Indigenous Forest | | X | | None. |
| IX. Grass land | | | X | None. |
| X. Bird nesting sites | | X | | The Avifaunal Assessment (refer to Appendix E2) indicated that no nests of SCC or priority species were recorded. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| XI. Red data species | | × | | The Avifauna Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix E2) did not record any Red Data Species on site but indicated that they could possibly occur on site. |
| XII. Tourist resort | | × | | None. |
| 2. Will the project potentially result in potential? | | | | |
| I. Removal of people | | × | | None. |
| II. Visual Impacts | × | | | The VIA (refer to Appendix E3) confirmed that the significance of the visual impact will be a "Negative Low Impact". The only receptors likely to be impacted by the proposed development are the nearby property owners and road users on nearby roads. The visual landscape is already degraded due to the large number of mines and Eskom electricity infrastructure in the area. |
| III. Noise pollution | | × | | Construction activities will result in the generation of noise over a period of 12-18 months. The noise impact is unlikely to be significant. |
| IV. Construction of an access road | | × | | Two access routes are proposed for the Virgo Solar Power Plant (SPP). Access route no. 1 will be obtained via the T405 Deben Rd off the R380. Access route no. 2 will also be obtained via the T405 Deben Rd, but off the N14 and to the south of the site. |
| V. Risk to human or valuable ecosystems due to explosion/fire/ discharge of waste into water or air. | | × | | None. |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| VI. Accumulation of large workforce (>50 manual workers) into the site. | × | | | Approximately 800 employment opportunities will be created during the construction phase and 99 employment opportunities during the operation phase of the SPP project. |
| VII. Utilisation of significant volumes of local raw materials such as water, wood etc. | × | | | The estimated maximum amount of water required during the facility's 20 years of production is approximately 4200m ³ per annum. |
| VIII. Job creation | × | | | Approximately 800 employment opportunities will be created during the construction and 99 employment opportunities during the operational phases for the SPP. |
| IX. Traffic generation | × | | | It is estimated that 72 trips per day will be generated over the 12–18-month construction period for the SPP. |
| X. Soil erosion | × | | | The site will need to be cleared or graded to a limited extent, which may potentially result in a degree of dust being created, increased runoff and potentially soil erosion. The time that these areas are left bare will be limited to the construction phase, since vegetation will be allowed to grow back after construction. |
| XI. Installation of additional bulk telecommunication transmission lines or facilities | | × | | None. |
| 3. Is the proposed project located near the following? | | | | |
| I. A river, stream, dam or wetland | | | × | To confirmed during the scoping phase. |
| II. A conservation or open space area | | × | | None. |
| III. An area that is of cultural importance | | × | | None. |
| IV. A site of geological significance | | × | | None. |
| V. An area of outstanding natural beauty | | × | | None. |
| VI. Highly productive agricultural land | | × | | None. |

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| VII. A tourist resort | | | X | None. |
| VIII. A formal or informal settlement | X | | | Kathu (located approximately 147 km west of the proposed development) |

6.1.2 Matrix analysis

The matrix describes the relevant listed activities, the aspects of the development that will apply to the specific listed activity, a description of the environmental issues and potential impacts, the significance and magnitude of the potential impacts and possible mitigation measures. The matrix also highlights areas of particular concern (see Table 6.2) for more in depth assessment during the EIA process. An indication is provided of the specialist studies being conducted and which informed the initial assessment. Each cell is evaluated individually in terms of the nature of the impact, duration and its significance – should no mitigation measures be applied. This is important since many impacts would not be considered insignificant if proper mitigation measures were implemented.

In order to conceptualise the different impacts, the matrix specify the following:

- **Stressor:** Indicates the aspect of the proposed activity, which initiates and cause impacts on elements of the environment.
- **Receptor:** Highlights the recipient and most important components of the environment affected by the stressor.
- **Impacts:** Indicates the net result of the cause-effect between the stressor and receptor.
- **Mitigation:** Impacts need to be mitigated to minimise the effect on the environment.

Please refer to **Appendix E** (specialist studies) a more in-depth assessment of the potential environmental impacts.

Table 6.2: Matrix analysis

For ease of reference the significance of the impacts is colour-coded as follow:

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|---------------------|--|-------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Low significance | | Medium significance | | High significance | | Positive impact | |
|------------------|--|---------------------|--|-------------------|--|-----------------|--|

| LISTED ACTIVITY (The Stressor) | ASPECTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT /ACTIVITY | POTENTIAL IMPACTS | | SIGNIFICANCE AND MAGNITUDE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS | | | | | | | MITIGATION OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS | | | SPECIALIST STUDIES / INFORMATION | |
|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------|--------|----------|-------------|---------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | | Receptors | Impact description / consequence | Minor | Major | Extent | Duration | Probability | Reversibility | Irreplaceable loss of resources | Possible Mitigation | Possible mitigation measures | Level of residual risk | | |
| CONSTRUCTION PHASE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p><u>Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327):</u> “The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts.”</p> <p><u>Activity 12(ii)(a)(c) (GN.R. 327):</u> “The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 meters of a watercourse measured from the edge of a watercourse.”</p> <p><u>Activity 14 (GNR 327):</u> “The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or</p> | <p><u>Site clearing and preparation</u></p> <p>Certain areas of the site will need to be cleared of vegetation and some areas may need to be levelled.</p> <p><u>Civil works</u></p> <p>The main civil works are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Terrain levelling if necessary– Levelling will be minimal as the potential site chosen is relatively flat. Laying foundation- The structures will be connected to the ground through cement pillars, cement slabs or metal screws. The exact method will depend on the detailed geotechnical analysis. Construction of access and inside roads/paths – existing paths will be used where reasonably possible. Additionally, the turning | BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT | Fauna & Flora | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Destruction, loss and fragmentation of habitats, ecosystems and the vegetation community. Introduction of IAP species and invasive fauna. Displacement of the indigenous faunal community (including SCC) due to habitat loss, direct mortalities, and disturbance (road collisions, noise, dust, light, vibration, and poaching). | | | S | L | D | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Terrestrial Biodiversity, Assessment (Appendix E1) |
| | | | Avifauna | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat destruction Destruction, degradation and fragmentation of surrounding habitats Displacement of avifauna community Direct mortality from persecution or poaching of avifauna species and collection of eggs Direct mortality from increased vehicle and heavy machinery traffic | | | S | M | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Avifaunal Assessment (Appendix E2) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|---|--|---|--|
| <p>more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres.”</p> <p><u>Activity 19 (GN.R. 327):</u> “The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse.”</p> <p><u>Activity 24 (ii) (GN.R 327):</u> “The development of a road (ii) with reserve wider than 13,5 meters, or where no reserve exists where the road is wider than 8 meters.”</p> <p><u>Activity 28(ii) (GN.R. 327):</u> “Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare.”</p> <p><u>Activity 56 (ii) (GN.R 327):</u> “The widening of a road by more than 6 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (ii) where no reserve exists, where the existing road is wider than 8 metres...”</p> <p><u>Activity 1 (GN.R. 325):</u> “The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the</p> | <p>circle for trucks will also be taken into consideration.</p> <p><u>Transportation and installation of PV panels into an Array</u></p> <p>The panels are assembled at the supplier’s premises and will be transported from the factory to the site on trucks. The panels will be mounted on metal structures which are fixed into the ground either through a concrete foundation or a deep-seated screw.</p> <p><u>Wiring to the Central Inverters</u></p> <p>Sections of the PV array would be wired to central inverters which have a maximum rated power of 2000kW each. The inverter is a pulse width mode inverter that converts DC electricity to alternating electricity (AC) at grid frequency.</p> | Air | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Air pollution due to the increase of traffic of construction vehicles and the undertaking of construction activities. | - | | S | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - | Dust suppression measures must be implemented for heavy vehicles such as wetting of gravel roads on a regular basis and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers. | L | - |
| | | Soil | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of land capability | - | | S | S | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - | See Table 6.3 | L | Soil and Agricultural Assessment (Appendix E4) |
| | | Geology | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collapsible soil. Seepage. Active soil (high soil heave). Erodible soil. Hard/compact geology. If the bedrock occurs close to surface it may present problems when driving solar panel columns. The presence of undermined ground. Instability due to soluble rock. Steep slopes or areas of unstable natural slopes. Areas subject to seismic activity. | - | - | S | S | Pr | CR | NL | Yes | - | The most effective mitigation will be the minimisation of the project footprint by using the existing roads in the area and not create new roads to prevent other areas also getting compacted. | L | - |
| | | Existing services infrastructure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generation of waste that need to be accommodated at a licensed landfill site. Generation of sewage that need to be accommodated by the local sewage plant. Increase in construction vehicles on existing roads. | - | | L | S | D | PR | ML | Yes | - | | L | Confirmation from the Local Municipality |
| | | Groundwater | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pollution due to construction vehicles and | - | | S | S | Pr | CR | ML | Yes | - | A groundwater monitoring | L | - |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----------------|---|--|
| <p>electricity output is 20 megawatts or more..."</p> <p><u>Activity 15 (GN.R. 325):</u> "The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation..."</p> <p><u>Activity 4 (g)(ii)(ee) (GN.R 324):</u> "The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (g) the Northern Cape , (iii) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans."</p> <p><u>Activity 10 (g)(iii)(ee) (GN.R 324):</u> "The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (g) in the Northern Cape , (iii) outside urban areas,(ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland."</p> <p><u>Activity 12 (g)(i)(ii) (GN.R 324):</u> "The clearance of an area of</p> | | | | the storage and handling of dangerous goods. | | | | | | | | | <p>programme (quality and groundwater levels) should be designed and installed for the site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monitoring boreholes should be securely capped, and must be fitted with a suitable sanitary seal to prevent surface water flowing down the outside of the casing. - Full construction details of monitoring boreholes must be recorded when they are drilled. - Sampling of monitoring boreholes should be done according to recognised standards. | |
| | Surface water | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Altered surface flow dynamics; • Erosion; • Alteration of sub-surface flow dynamics; • Sedimentation of the water resource; • Direct and indirect loss of wetland areas; • Water quality impairment; • Compaction; • Decrease in vegetation; • Change of drainage patterns; • Altering hydromorphic properties; and • Indirect loss of wetland areas | - | L | S | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Wetland Baseline and Risk Assessment (Appendix E1) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|--|---|---|
| <p>300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (g) in the Northern Cape , (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans.”</p> <p>Activity 14(ii)(a)(c)(g)(iii)(ff) (GN.R 324): “The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 10 square metres or more, where such development occurs (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 metres of a watercourse, measured from the edge of a watercourse, (g) within the Northern Cape , (iii) outside urban areas within (ff) critical biodiversity areas or ecosystem service areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans.”</p> <p>Activity 18 (g)(ii)(ee)(hh) (GN.R 324): “The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (g) in the Northern Cape (ii) outside urban areas, within (ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans</p> | | <p>General Environment (risks associated with BESS)</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mechanical breakdown / Exposure to high temperatures • Fires, electrocutions and spillage of toxic substances into the surrounding environment. • Spillage of hazardous substances into the surrounding environment. • Soil contamination – leachate from spillages which could lead to an impact of the productivity of soil forms in affected areas. • Water Pollution – spillages into surrounding watercourses as well as groundwater. • Health impacts – on the surrounding communities, particularly those relying on watercourses (i.e. rivers, streams, etc) as a primary source of water. • Generation of hazardous waste | - | S | M | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Operators are trained and competent to operate the BESS. Training should include the discussion of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Potential impact of electrolyte spills on groundwater; - Suitable disposal of waste and effluent; - Key measures in the EMPr relevant to worker’s activities; - How incidents and suggestions for improvement can be reported. - Training records should be kept on file and be made available during audits. - Battery supplier user manuals safety specifications and Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) are filed on site at all times. - Compile method statements for approval by the Technical/SHEQ Manager for the operation and management and replacement of the battery units / electrolyte for the | L | - |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|--|---|---|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p><i>adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland."</i></p> | | | | | | | | | | | | <p>duration of the project life cycle. Method statements should be kept on site at all times.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provide signage on site specifying the types of batteries in use and the risk of exposure to hazardous material and electric shock. Signage should also specify how electrical and chemical fires should be dealt with by first responders, and the potential risks to first responders (e.g. the inhalation of toxic fumes, etc.). - Firefighting equipment should readily be available at the BESS area and within the site. - Maintain strict access control to the BESS area. - Ensure all maintenance contractors / staff are familiar with the supplier's specifications. - Undertake daily risk assessment prior to the commencement of daily tasks at the BESS. This should consider any aspects which could result in fire or spillage, and | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|-----|-----------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | <p>and Detection Monitoring Programme during the project life cycle of the BESS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Batteries must be strictly maintained by the supplier or suitably qualified persons for the duration of the project life cycle. No unauthorised personnel should be allowed to maintain the BESS. - Damaged and used batteries must be removed from site by the supplier or any other suitably qualified professional for recycling or appropriate disposal. - The applicant should obtain a cradle to grave battery management plan from the supplier during the planning and design phase of the system. The plan must be kept on site and adhered to. | | |
| SOCIAL/ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT | Local unemployment rate | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Job creation. • Business opportunities. • Skills development. | | + | P | S | D | I | N/A | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Social Impact Assessment (Appendix E7) | | |
| | Visual landscape | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential visual impact on residents of farmsteads and motorists in close proximity to proposed facility. | - | | L | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | M | Visual Impact Assessment (Appendix E3) | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------|--|---|--|---|---|----|----|----|-----|--|---|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lighting impacts. • Solar glint and glare impacts. • Visual sense of place impacts. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Traffic volumes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction and maintenance of gravel roads in vicinity of the site • Increased traffic on haulage routes • Increased traffic on local routes | - | | L | S | Pr | CR | NL | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix E8) |
| | | | Health & Safety | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air/dust pollution. • Road safety. • Impacts associated with the presence of construction workers on site and in the area. • Influx of job seekers to the area. • Increased safety risk to farmers, risk of stock theft and damage to farm infrastructure associated with presence of construction workers on the site. • Increased risk of veld fires. | - | | L | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | M | Social Impact Assessment (Appendix E7) |
| | | | Noise levels | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The generation of noise as a result of construction vehicles, the use of machinery such as drills and people working on the site. | - | | L | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - During construction care should be taken to ensure that noise from construction vehicles and plant equipment does not intrude on the surrounding residential areas. Plant equipment such as generators, compressors, concrete mixers as well as vehicles should be kept in good operating order and where appropriate have effective exhaust mufflers. | L | Social Impact Assessment (Appendix E7) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----|---|--|
| | | | Tourism industry | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Since there are no sensitive tourism facilities in close proximity to the site, the proposed activities will not have an impact on tourism in the area. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | | | Heritage resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | - | | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix E5) | |
| | | | Paleontological Heritage | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Disturbance, damage or destruction of legally-protected fossil heritage* within the development footprint during the construction phase | - | | S | P | U | IR | ML | Yes | N/A | L | Paleontological Impact Assessment (Appendix E6) | |
| OPERATIONAL PHASE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p><u>Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327):</u> “The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts.”</p> <p><u>Activity 1 (GN.R 325):</u> “The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more.”</p> <p><u>Activity 10 (g)(hh) (GN.R 324):</u> “The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage,</p> | <p>The key components of the proposed project are described below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>PV Panel Array</u> - To produce 150 MW, the proposed facility will require numerous linked cells placed behind a protective glass sheet to form a panel. Multiple panels will be required to form the solar PV arrays which will comprise the PV facility. The PV panels will be tilted at a northern angle in order to capture the most sun. <u>Wiring to Central Inverters</u> - Sections of the PV array will be wired to central inverters. The inverter is a | BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT | Fauna & Flora | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued fragmentation and degradation of natural habitats and ecosystems. Continuing spread of IAP and weed species. Ongoing displacement and direct mortalities of the faunal community (including SCC) due to continued disturbance (road collisions, noise, light, dust, vibration, poaching, etc.). | - | | L | L | Po | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Terrestrial Biodiversity, Animal and Plant Species Assessment (Appendix E1) | |
| | | | Avifauna | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collisions with infrastructure associated with the PV Facility Electrocution due to infrastructure associated with the PV Facility Direct mortality from persecution or poaching of avifauna species and collection of eggs | - | | S | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Avifaunal Assessment (Appendix E2) | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------|---|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| <p>or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (g) in the Northern Cape (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland.”</p> | <p>pulse width mode inverter that converts direct current (DC) electricity to alternating current (AC) electricity at grid frequency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Connection to the grid</u> - Connecting the array to the electrical grid requires transformation of the voltage from 480V to 33kV to 132kV. The normal components and dimensions of a distribution rated electrical substation will be required. Output voltage from the inverter is 480V and this is fed into step up transformers to 132kV. An onsite substation will be required on the site to step the voltage up to 132kV, after which the power will be evacuated into the national grid. • <u>Supporting Infrastructure</u> – Auxiliary buildings with basic services such as water and electricity will be constructed on the site and will have an approximate footprint 820m². Other supporting infrastructure includes voltage and current regulators and protection circuitry. • <u>Roads</u> – Access will be obtained via gravel road off the R30. An internal site road network will also be required to provide access to the solar field and | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mortality by roadkill during maintenance procedures • Encroachment of Invasive Alien Plants into disturbed areas | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Air quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed development will not result in any air pollution during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| | | Soil | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Soil degradation, including erosion. • Disturbance of soils and existing land use (soil compaction). • Loss of agricultural potential (low significance relative to agricultural potential of the site). | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Geology | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Collapsible soil. • Active soil (high soil heave). • Erodible soil. • Hard/compact geology. If the bedrock occurs close to surface it may present problems when driving power line columns. • The presence of undermined ground. • Instability due to soluble rock. • Steep slopes or areas of unstable natural slopes. • Areas subject to seismic activity. • Areas subject to flooding. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Groundwater | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leakage of hazardous materials. The development will comprise of a distribution substation and will include transformer bays which will contain transformer oils. Leakage of these oils | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----------------|--|--|--|
| | <p>associated infrastructure. All site roads will require a width of approximately 6 m – 12 m.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fencing - For health, safety and security reasons, the facility will be required to be fenced off from the surrounding farm. | | can contaminate water supplies. | | | | | | | | | floor and sides) to prevent accidental discharge to groundwater. | | |
| | | Surface water | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on the characteristics of the watercourse Soil compaction and increased risk of sediment transport and erosion Soil and water pollution Spread and establishment of alien invasive species | - | L | L | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Wetland baseline and Risk Assessment (Appendix E1) | |
| | | SOCIAL/ECONOMIC | Visual landscape | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5km radius of the SPP. Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5-10km radius of the SPP. Visual impacts of lighting at night on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. Visual impacts of glint and glare on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility. Visual impacts on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads in close proximity to the power line structures. Visual impacts and sense of place impacts associated with the operation phase of SPP. | - | L | L | D | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Visual Impact Assessment (Appendix E3) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----|--|
| | | | Traffic volumes | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed development will not result in any traffic impacts during the operational phase. | - | | L | L | Po | CR | NL | Yes | - | L | Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix E8) |
| | | | Health & Safety | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed development will not result in any health and safety impacts during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | - | N/A | N/A |
| | | | Noise levels | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed development will not result in any noise pollution during the operational phase. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | Heritage resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | - | | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.4 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix E5) |
| | | | Electricity supply | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generation of additional electricity. The power line will transport generated electricity into the grid. | + | | I | L | D | I | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |
| | | | Electrical infrastructure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional electrical infrastructure. The proposed solar facility will add to the existing electrical infrastructure and aid to lessen the reliance of electricity generation from coal-fired power stations. | + | | I | L | D | I | N/A | Yes | - | N/A | - |
| DECOMMISSIONING PHASE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | <p><u>Dismantlement of infrastructure</u></p> <p>During the decommissioning phase the Solar PV Energy facility and its associated infrastructure will be dismantled.</p> | BIOPHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT | Fauna & Flora | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Improvement of habitat through revegetation / succession over time Soil erosion and sedimentation. Spreading and establishment of alien invasive species | - | | S | L | Po | N/A | N/A | Yes | - See Table 6.5 | L | Terrestrial Biodiversity, Assessment (Appendix E1) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|--|--|
| <p><u>Rehabilitation of biophysical environment</u></p> <p>The biophysical environment will be rehabilitated.</p> | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Habitat degradation due to dust Spillages of harmful substances Road mortalities of fauna / impact of human activities on site. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Air quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Air pollution due to the increase of traffic of construction vehicles. | - | | S | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - Regular maintenance of equipment to ensure reduced exhaust emissions. | L | - | |
| | Soil | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Soil degradation, including erosion. Disturbance of soils and existing land use (soil compaction). Physical and chemical degradation of the soils by construction vehicles (hydrocarbon spills). | | - | S | S | Pr | PR | M | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Agricultural and Soil Compliance Statement (Appendix E4) | |
| | Geology | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is not foreseen that the decommissioning phase will impact on the geology of the site or vice versa. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| | Existing services infrastructure | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generation of waste that needs to be accommodated at a licensed landfill site. Generation of sewage that needs to be accommodated by the municipal sewerage system and the local sewage plant. Increase in construction vehicles. | - | | L | S | D | I | NL | Yes | - | L | - | |
| | Groundwater | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pollution due to construction vehicles. | - | | S | S | Pr | CR | ML | Yes | - | L | - | |
| | Surface water | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increase in stormwater run-off. Pollution of water sources due to soil erosion. | | - | L | S | Pr | PR | ML | Yes | - Removal of any historically contaminated soil as hazardous waste. | M | - | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-----|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased crime levels. The presence of construction workers on the site may increase security risks associated with an increase in crime levels as a result of influx of people in the rural area. | | | | | | | | | | | (Appendix E7) |
| | | | Noise levels | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The generation of noise as a result of construction vehicles, the use of machinery and people working on the site. | - | | L | S | D | CR | NL | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Social Impact Assessment (Appendix E7) |
| | | | Tourism industry | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Since there are no tourism facilities in close proximity to the site, the decommissioning activities will not have an impact on tourism in the area. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| | | | Heritage resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is not foreseen that the decommissioning phase will impact on any heritage resources. | - | | S | S | U | PR | ML | Yes | - See Table 6.3 | L | Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix E5) |

| | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Nature of the impact: | (N/A) No impact | (+) Positive Impact (-) | Negative Impact | |
| Geographical extent: | (S) Site; | (L) Local/District; | (P) Province/Region; | (I) International and National |
| Probability: | (U) Unlikely; | (Po) Possible; | (Pr) Probable; | (D) Definite |
| Duration: | (S) Short Term; | (M) Medium Term; | (L) Long Term; | (P) Permanent |
| Intensity / Magnitude: | (L) Low; | (M) Medium; | (H) High; | (VH) Very High |
| Reversibility: | (CR) Completely Reversible; | (PR) Partly Reversible; | (BR) Barely Reversible; | - |
| Irreplaceable loss of resources: | (IR) Irreversible | (NL) No Loss; | (ML) Marginal Loss; | (SL) Significant Loss; (CL) Complete Loss |
| Level of residual risk: | (L) Low; | (M) Medium; | (H) High; | (VH) Very High - |

6.2 KEY ISSUES IDENTIFIED

From the above it is evident that mitigation measures should be available for potential impacts associated with the proposed activity and development phases. The scoping methodology identified the following key issues which should be addressed in more detail in the EIA report.

6.2.1 Impacts during the construction phase

During the construction phase the following activities will have various potential impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment:

- Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327): *“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts.”*
- Activity 12(ii)(a)(c) (GN.R. 327): *“The development of (ii) infrastructure or structures with a physical footprint of 100 square metres or more; (a) within a watercourse or (c) within 32 meters of a watercourse measured from the edge of a watercourse.”*
- Activity 14 (GNR 327): *“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres.”*
- Activity 19 (GN.R. 327): *“The infilling or depositing of any material of more than 10 cubic metres into, or the dredging, excavation, removal or moving of soil, sand, shells, shell grit, pebbles or rock of more than 10 cubic metres from a watercourse.”*
- Activity 24 (ii) (GN.R 327): *“The development of a road (ii) with reserve wider than 13,5 meters, or where no reserve exists where the road is wider than 8 meters.”*
- Activity 28(ii) (GN.R. 327): *“Residential, mixed, retail, commercial, industrial or institutional developments where such land was used for agriculture or afforestation on or after 1998 and where such development (ii) will occur outside an urban area, where the total land to be developed is bigger than 1 hectare.”*
- Activity 56 (ii) (GN.R 327): *“The widening of a road by more than 6 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (ii) where no reserve exists, where the existing road is wider than 8 metres...”*
- Activity 1 (GN.R. 325): *“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more...”*
- Activity 15 (GN.R. 325): *“The clearance of an area of 20 hectares or more of indigenous vegetation...”*
- Activity 4 (g)(iii)(ee) (GN.R 324): *“The development of a road wider than 4 metres with a reserve less than 13,5 metres within (g) the Northern Cape , (iii) outside urban areas, (ee) within critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans.”*

- Activity 10 (g)(iii)(ee)(hh) (GN.R 324): *“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (g) in the Northern Cape , (iii) outside urban areas,(ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland.”*
- Activity 12 (g)(i)(ii)(vi) (GN.R 324): *“The clearance of an area of 300 square metres or more of indigenous vegetation (g) in the Northern Cape , (i) within any critically endangered or endangered ecosystem listed in terms of section 52 of the NEMBA or prior to the publication of such a list, within an area that has been identified as critically endangered in the National Spatial Biodiversity Assessment of 2004, (ii) within critical biodiversity areas identified in bioregional plans and (vi) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland.”*
- Activity 18 (g)(ii)(ee)(hh) (GN.R 324): *“The widening of a road by more than 4 metres, or the lengthening of a road by more than 1 kilometre (g) in the Northern Cape (ii) outside urban areas, within (ee) critical biodiversity areas as identified in systematic biodiversity plans adopted by the competent authority or in bioregional plans and (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland.”*

During the construction phase temporary negative impacts are foreseen over the short term. Table 6.3 summarizes the potentially most significant impacts and the mitigation measures that are proposed during the construction phase.

Table 6.3: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the construction phase

| SPECIALIST STUDY | IMPACT | PRE-MITIGATION RATING | POST MITIGATION RATING | SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES |
|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment (Appendix E1) | Destruction, loss and fragmentation of habitats, ecosystems and the vegetation community. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas rated as High sensitivity in proximity to the development areas, should be declared as ‘no-go’ areas during the life of the project, and all efforts must be made to prevent access to this area from construction workers, machinery. The infrastructure should be realigned to prioritise development within very low/low sensitivity areas. Mitigated development in medium sensitivity areas is permissible. High sensitivity areas are to be avoided. • Areas of indigenous vegetation, even secondary communities outside of the direct project footprint, should under no circumstances be fragmented or disturbed further than that proposed for the project. Clearing of vegetation should be minimized and avoided where possible. Brush cutting of vegetation beneath the panels should be, implemented, otherwise controlled grazing by small livestock like sheep. Technology alternatives should preferably avoid the clearing of vegetation underneath the panels • Where possible, existing access routes and walking paths must be made use of. • All laydown, chemical toilets etc. should be restricted to very low/ low sensitivity areas. Any materials may not be stored for extended periods of time and must be removed from the project area once the construction/closure phase has been concluded. No storage of vehicles or equipment will be allowed outside of the designated project areas. |
| | Introduction of IAP species and invasive fauna. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | |
| | Displacement of the indigenous faunal community (including SCC) due to habitat loss, direct mortalities, and disturbance (road collisions, noise, dust, light, vibration, and poaching). | Negative Medium | Negative Low | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A hydrocarbon spill management plan must be put in place, to ensure that should there be any chemical spill out or over that it does not run into the surrounding areas. The Contractor shall be in possession of an emergency spill kit that must always be complete and available on site. Drip trays or any form of oil absorbent material must be placed underneath vehicles/machinery and equipment when not in use. No servicing of equipment may occur on site, unless necessary. All contaminated soil / yard stone shall be treated in situ or removed and be placed in containers. Appropriately contain any generator diesel storage tanks, machinery spills (e.g. accidental spills of hydrocarbons oils, diesel etc.) in such a way as to prevent them leaking and entering the environment. • Storm Water run-off & Discharge Water Quality monitoring • A carefully considered surface water/drainage management plan must be developed for the site including attention to the use of environmentally friendly cleaning chemicals for cleaning of panels during the operational phase. • It should be made an offence for any staff to take/ bring any plant species into/out of any portion of the project area. No plant species whether indigenous or exotic should be brought into/taken from the project area, to prevent the spread of exotic or invasive species or the illegal collection of plants. • Consult a fire expert and compile and implement a fire management plan to minimise the risk of veld fires around the project site • Any individual of the protected plants that was observed needs a relocation or destruction permit in order for any individual that may be removed or destroyed due to the development. Preferably, the trees/plants should be avoided. Hi visibility flags must be placed near |
|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | <p>any protected plants in order to avoid any damage or destruction of the species. If left undisturbed the sensitivity and importance of these species needs to be part of the environmental awareness program.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The areas to be developed must be specifically demarcated to prevent movement of staff or any individual into the surrounding environments, • Signs must be put up to enforce this • Noise must be kept to an absolute minimum during the evenings and at night, to minimize all possible disturbances to amphibian species and nocturnal mammals • No trapping, killing, or poisoning of any wildlife is to be allowed. • Signs must be put up to enforce this; • Outside lighting should be designed and limited to minimize impacts on fauna. All outside lighting should be directed away from highly sensitive areas. Fluorescent and mercury vapor lighting should be avoided and sodium vapor (green/red) lights should be used wherever possible. Try incorporating motion detection lights as much as possible to reduce the duration of illumination. Heights of light columns to be minimised to reduce light spill. Baffles, hoods, or louvres to also be used to reduce light spill • All construction and maintenance motor vehicle operators should undergo an environmental induction that includes instruction on the need to comply with speed limits, to respect all forms of wildlife. Speed limits must still be enforced to ensure that road killings and erosion is limited. • Any holes/deep excavations must be dug and planted in a progressive manner; |
|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should the holes overnight they must be covered temporarily to ensure no small fauna species fall in and subsequently inspected prior to backfilling • A qualified environmental control officer must be on site when construction begins. A site walk through is recommended by a suitably qualified ecologist prior to any construction activities, preferably during the wet season and any SSC should be noted. In situations where the threatened and protected plants must be removed, the proponent may only do so after the required permission/permits have been obtained in accordance with national and provincial legislation. In the abovementioned situation the development of a search, rescue and recovery program is suggested for the protection of these species. Should animals not move out of the area on their own relevant specialists must be contacted to advise on how the species can be relocated • Once the development layout has been confirmed, the open areas must be fenced off appropriately pre-construction in order to allow animals to move or be moved into these areas before breaking ground activities occur. Construction activities must take place systemically, especially in relation to the game farm area. • Wildlife-permeable fencing with holes large enough for mongoose and other smaller mammals should be installed, the holes must not be placed in the fence where it is next to a major road as this will increase road killings in the area • Fencing mitigations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top 2 strands must be smooth wire • Routinely retention loose wires • Minimum 30cm between wires |
|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Place markers on fences • Compilation of and implementation of an alien vegetation management plan. • The footprint area of the construction should be kept to a minimum. The footprint area must be clearly demarcated to avoid unnecessary disturbances to adjacent areas • Waste management must be a priority and all waste must be collected and stored adequately. It is recommended that all waste be removed from site on a weekly basis to prevent rodents and pests entering the site • A pest control plan must be put in place and implemented; it is imperative that poisons not be used due to the likely presence of SCCs • Dust-reducing mitigation measures must be put in place and strictly adhered to. This includes wetting of exposed soft soil surfaces. • No non environmentally friendly suppressants may be used, as this could result in pollution of water sources • Waste management must be a priority and all waste must be collected and stored adequately. It is recommended that all waste be removed from site on a weekly basis to prevent rodents and pests entering the site. • Refuse bins will be emptied and secured; • Temporary storage of domestic waste shall be in covered waste skips; and • Maximum domestic waste storage period will be 10 days. • Toilets at the recommended Health and Safety standards must be provided. These should be emptied twice a day, to prevent staff from using the surrounding vegetation. |
|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Contractor should supply sealable and properly marked domestic waste collection bins and all solid waste collected shall be disposed of at a licensed disposal facility. Under no circumstances may domestic waste be burned on site • Refuse bins will be emptied and secured. Temporary storage of domestic waste shall be in covered waste skips. Maximum domestic waste storage period will be 10 days. • Suitable temporary solid waste facilities are to be incorporated into the design to prevent unsanitary conditions. These are to be cleared weekly and waste collected by the local waste management department. The residents must be encouraged to recycle. • All personnel and contractors to undergo Environmental Awareness Training. A signed register of attendance must be kept for proof. Discussions are required on sensitive environmental receptors within the project area to inform contractors and site staff of the presence of Red / Orange List species, their identification, conservation status and importance; and biology, habitat requirements and management requirements in the EA and EMPr. The avoidance and protection of the wetland areas must be included into a site induction. Contractors and employees must all undergo the induction and made aware of the “no-go” to be avoided. • Speed limits must be put in place to reduce erosion. • Reducing the dust generated by the listed activities above, especially the earthmoving machinery, through wetting the soil surface; putting up signs to enforce speed limit; and speed bumps built to force slow speeds; • Signs must be put up to enforce this. |
|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where possible, existing access routes and walking paths must be made use of. • Areas that are denuded during construction need to be re-vegetated with indigenous vegetation, to prevent erosion during flood events and strong winds. • A stormwater management plan must be compiled and implemented. |
| Avifaunal Assessment (Appendix E2) | Habitat destruction | Negative High | Negative Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Solar panels must be mounted on pile driven or screw foundations, such as post support spikes, rather than heavy foundations, such as trench-fill or mass concrete foundations, to reduce the negative effects on natural soil functioning, such as its filtering and buffering characteristics, while maintaining habitats for both fossorial and epigeic biodiversity (Bennun et al, 2021). If concrete foundations are used that would increase the impact of the project as there would be direct impacts to soil permeability and characteristics, thereby influencing inhabitant fauna. In addition, stormwater runoff and runoff from cleaning the panels would be increased, increasing erosion in the surrounding areas. • Indigenous vegetation to be maintained under the solar panels to ensure biodiversity is maintained and to prevent soil erosion (Beatty et al, 2017; Sinha et al, 2018). The photographs below are sourced from these documents. • Vegetation clearing to commence only after the necessary permits have been obtained. • Environmental Officer (EO) to provide supervision and oversight of vegetation clearing activities |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--------------|---|
| | Destruction of surrounding habitats | Negative Very High | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pre-construction environmental induction for all construction staff on site to ensure that basic environmental principles are adhered to. This includes awareness of no littering, appropriate handling of pollution and chemical spills, avoiding fire hazards, remaining within demarcated construction areas etc. • All solid waste must be managed in accordance with the Solid Waste Management Plan. Recycling is encouraged. • All construction activity and roads to be within the clearly defined and demarcated areas. • Temporary laydown areas should be clearly demarcated and rehabilitated subsequent to end of use. • Appropriate dust control measures to be implemented. • Suitable sanitary facilities to be provided for construction staff as per the guidelines in Health and Safety Act. • All hazardous materials, if any, should be stored in the appropriate manner to prevent contamination of the site. Any accidental chemical, fuel and oil spills that occur at the site should be cleaned up in the appropriate manner. |
| | Displacement/emigration of avifauna community (including SCC) due to noise pollution | Negative High | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise pollution is difficult to mitigate against. • No construction activity is to occur at night, as nocturnal species are highly dependent on sound and/or vocalisations for behavioural processes. • If generators are to be used these must be soundproofed. |
| | Direct mortality from persecution or poaching of avifauna species and collection of eggs | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All personnel should undergo environmental awareness training that includes educating on not poaching/persecuting species and collecting eggs. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prior to commencing work each day, two individuals should traverse the working area in order to disturb any avifauna and so they have a chance to vacate the area. • Any avifauna threatened by the construction activities that does not vacate the area should be removed safely by an appropriately qualified environmental officer or removal specialist. |
| | Direct mortality from increased vehicle and heavy machinery traffic | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All personnel should undergo environmental induction with regards to awareness about speed limits and roadkill. • All construction vehicles should adhere to a speed limit of maximum 40 km/h to avoid collisions. Appropriate speed control measures and signs must be erected. |
| Wetland Baseline and Risk Assessment (Appendix E1) | <p>Altered surface flow dynamics;</p> <p>Erosion;</p> <p>Alteration of sub-surface flow dynamics;</p> <p>Sedimentation of the water resource;</p> <p>Direct and indirect loss of wetland areas;</p> <p>Water quality impairment;</p> <p>Compaction;</p> <p>Decrease in vegetation;</p> | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The wetland and buffer areas must be avoided; • Avoid clearance of vegetation beneath the panels; • Clear vegetation in line with the 2010 Eskom Environmental Procedure Document entitled "Procedure for vegetation clearance and maintenance within overhead powerline servitudes". • Make use of existing access routes as much as possible, before new routes are considered. Any selected "new" route must not encroach into the wetland areas; • Limit construction activities to the dry season when storms are least likely to wash concrete and sand into wetlands. This is only where towers are within 30 m of wetland buffer areas; • Ensure soil stockpiles and concrete / building sand are sufficiently safeguarded against rain wash; • Mixing of concrete must under no circumstances take place in any wetland or their buffers. Scrape the area where mixing and storage of sand and concrete occurred to clean once finished; |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | <p>Change of drainage patterns;</p> <p>Altering hydromorphic properties; and</p> <p>Indirect loss of wetland areas</p> | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promptly remove all alien and invasive plant species that may emerge during construction (i.e. weedy annuals and other alien forbs). In line with the 2010 Eskom Environmental Procedure Document entitled "Procedure for vegetation clearance and maintenance within overhead powerline servitudes" all alien vegetation along the transmission servitude should be managed in terms of the Regulation GNR.1048 of 25 May 1984 (as amended) issued in terms of the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, Act 43 of 1983. By this Eskom is obliged to control category 1, 2 and 3 plants to the extent necessary to prevent or to contain the occurrence, establishment, growth, multiplication, propagation, regeneration and spreading such plants within servitude areas; • Limit soil disturbance; • The use of herbicides is not recommended in or near wetlands (opt for mechanical removal); • Appropriately stockpile topsoil cleared from the transmission line footprint; • Clearly demarcate the transmission line construction footprint, and limit all activities to within this corridor; • Minimize unnecessary clearing of vegetation beyond the tower footprints and transmission line corridors; • Lightly till any disturbed soil around the tower footprint to avoid compaction; • A stormwater management plan must be compiled and implemented for the project, facilitating the diversion of clean water to the delineated resources; |
|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The construction vehicles and machinery must make use of existing access routes as much as possible, before adjacent areas are considered for access; • Laydown yards, camps and storage areas must be within project area; • The contractors used for the project should have spill kits available to ensure that any fuel or oil spills are clean-up and discarded correctly; • Any possible contamination of topsoil by hydrocarbons must be avoided. Any contaminated soil must be treated in situ or be placed in containers and removed from the site for disposal in a licensed facility; • It is preferable that construction takes place during the dry season to reduce the erosion potential of the exposed surfaces; • Make sure all excess consumables and building materials / rubble is removed from site and deposited at an appropriate waste facility; • All chemicals and toxicants to be used for the construction must be stored within the drilling site and in a bunded area; • All machinery and equipment should be inspected regularly for faults and possible leaks, these should be serviced off-site; • All contractors and employees should undergo induction which is to include a component of environmental awareness. The induction is to include aspects such as the need to avoid littering, the reporting and cleaning of spills and leaks and general good “housekeeping”; • Adequate sanitary facilities and ablutions on the servitude must be provided for all personnel throughout the project area. Use of these facilities must be enforced (these facilities must be kept clean so that they are a desired alternative to the surrounding vegetation); • Have action plans on site, and training for contractors and employees in the event of spills, leaks and other impacts to the aquatic systems; |
|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any exposed earth should be rehabilitated promptly by planting suitable vegetation (vigorous indigenous grasses) to protect the exposed soil; No dumping of material on-site may take place; and All waste generated on-site during construction must be adequately managed. Separation and recycling of different waste materials should be supported. |
| Visual Impact Assessment (Appendix E3) | Visual impact of construction activities on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the SPP. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <p>Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the development footprint. <p>Construction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure that vegetation is not unnecessarily removed during the construction phase. Plan the placement of laydown areas and temporary construction equipment camps in order to minimise vegetation clearing (i.e., in already disturbed areas) where possible. Restrict the activities and movement of construction workers and vehicles to the immediate construction site and existing access roads. Ensure that rubble, litter, etc. are appropriately stored (if it can't be removed daily) and then disposed of regularly at a licenced waste site. Reduce and control dust during construction by utilising dust suppression measures. Limit construction activities to between 07:00 and 18:00, where possible, in order to reduce the impacts of construction lighting. Rehabilitate all disturbed areas immediately after the completion of construction work and maintain good housekeeping. |
| Soil and Agricultural | Loss of Land Capability | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vegetate or cover all stockpiles after stripping/removing soils |

| | | | | |
|---|--|--------------|--------------|--|
| Assessment (Appendix E4) | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage of potential contaminants should be undertaken in bunded areas • All contractors must have spill kits available and be trained in the correct use thereof. • All contractors and employees should undergo induction which is to include a component of environmental awareness. The induction is to include aspects such as the need to avoid littering, the reporting and cleaning of spills and leaks and general good “housekeeping”. • No cleaning or servicing of vehicles, machines and equipment may be undertaken in water resources. • Have action plans on site, and training for contractors and employees in the event of spills, leaks and other impacts to the aquatic systems. |
| Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix E5) | Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the current study, as no sites, features or objects of cultural significance were identified, no mitigation measures are proposed. • Known sites should be clearly marked, so that they can be avoided during construction activities; • The contractors and workers should be notified that archaeological sites might be exposed during the construction activities; • Should any heritage artefacts be exposed during excavation, work on the area where the artefacts were discovered, shall cease immediately and the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) shall be notified as soon as possible; • All discoveries shall be reported immediately to a heritage practitioner so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made. Acting upon advice from these specialists, the ECO will advise the necessary actions to be taken; |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|--------------|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Under no circumstances shall any artefacts be removed, destroyed or interfered with by anyone on the site; and • Contractors and workers shall be advised of the penalties associated with the unlawful removal of cultural, historical, archaeological or palaeontological artefacts, as set out in the NHRA, Section 51(1). • A person or entity, e.g. the ECO, should be tasked to take responsibility for the maintenance heritage sites. • In areas where the vegetation is threatening the heritage sites, e.g. growing trees pushing walls over, it should be removed, but only after permission for the methods proposed has been granted by SAHRA. A heritage official should be part of the team executing these measures. • This option should be implemented when it is impossible to avoid impacting on an identified site or feature. |
| Palaeontological Impact Assessment (Appendix E6) | Disturbance, damage or destruction of legally protected fossil heritage within the development footprint during the construction phase | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ECO for this project must be informed that the Adelaide Subgroup (Beaufort Group, Karoo Supergroup) has a Very High Palaeontological Sensitivity. • If Palaeontological Heritage is uncovered during surface clearing and excavations the Chance Find Protocol, attached, should be implemented immediately. Fossil discoveries ought to be protected and the ECO/site manager must report to South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA) (Contact details: SAHRA, 111 Harrington Street, Cape Town. PO Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, South Africa. Tel: 021 462 4502. Fax: +27 (0)21 462 4509. Web: www.sahra.org.za) so that mitigation (recording and collection) can be carried out. • Before any fossil material can be collected from the development site the specialist involved would need to apply for a collection permit from SAHRA. Fossil material must be housed in an official collection (museum or university), while all reports and fieldwork should meet |

| | | | | the minimum standards for palaeontological impact studies proposed by SAHRA (2012). |
|--|---|--------------|-----------------|--|
| Social Impact Assessment (Appendix E7) | Creation of direct and indirect employment opportunities. | Positive Low | Positive Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A local employment policy should be adopted to maximise opportunities made available to the local labour force. • Labour should be sourced from the local labour pool, and only if the necessary skills are unavailable should labour be sourced from (in order of preference) the greater Gamagara LM, John Taolo Gaetswe DM, Northern Cape Province, South Africa, or elsewhere. • Where feasible, training and skills development programmes should be initiated prior to the commencement of the construction phase. • As with the labour force, suppliers should also as far as possible be sourced locally. • As far as possible local contractors that are compliant with Broad-Based Black Economic Empowerment (B-BBEE) criteria should be used. • The recruitment selection process should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible. |
| | Economic multiplier effects from the use of local goods and services. | Positive Low | Positive Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is recommended that a local procurement policy is adopted to maximise the benefit to the local economy. • A database of local companies, specifically Historically Disadvantaged Individuals (HDIs) which qualify as potential service providers (e.g., construction companies, security companies, catering companies, waste collection companies, transportation companies etc.) should be created and companies listed thereon should be invited to bid for project-related work where applicable. • Local procurement is encouraged along with engagement with local authorities and business organisations to investigate the possibility of procurement of construction materials, goods and products from local suppliers where feasible. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------|--|
| | Potential loss in productive farmland | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed site for the Virgo SPP needs to be fenced off prior to the construction phase and all construction related activities should be confined in this fenced off area. • Livestock grazing on the proposed site need to be relocated. • All affected areas, which are disturbed during the construction phase, need to be rehabilitated prior to the operational phase and should be continuously monitored by the Environmental Control Officer (ECO). • Implement, manage and monitor a grievance mechanism for the recording and management of social issues and complaints. • Mitigation measures from the Agricultural and Soil Compliance Statement, should also be implemented. |
| | In-migration of labourers in search of employment opportunities, and a resultant change in population, and increase in pressure on local resources and social networks, or existing services and infrastructure. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop and implement a local procurement policy which prioritises “locals first” to prevent the movement of people into the area in search of work. • Engage with local community representatives prior to construction to facilitate the adoption of the locals first procurement policy. • Provide transportation for workers (from Welkom, Gamagara and surrounds) to ensure workers can easily access their place of employment and do not need to move closer to the project site. • Working hours should be kept between daylight hours during the construction phase, and / or as any deviation that is approved by the relevant authorities. • Compile and implement a grievance mechanism. • Appoint a Community Liaison Officer (CLO) to assist with the procurement of local labour. • Prevent the recruitment of workers at the site. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement a method of communication whereby procedures to lodge complaints are set out in order for the local community to express any complaints or grievances with the construction process. • Establish clear rules and regulations for access to the proposed site. • Appoint a security company and implement appropriate security procedures to ensure that workers do not remain onsite after working hours. • Inform local community organisations and policing forums of construction times and the duration of the construction phase. • Establish procedures for the control and removal of loiterers from the construction site. |
| | <p>Temporary increase in safety and security concerns associated with the influx of people</p> | <p>Negative Medium</p> | <p>Negative Low</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Working hours should be kept within daylight hours during the construction phase, and / or as any deviation that is approved by the relevant authorities. • Provide transportation for workers to prevent loitering within or near the project site outside of working hours. • The perimeter of the construction site should be appropriately secured to prevent any unauthorised access to the site. The fencing of the site should be maintained throughout the construction period. • The appointed EPC Contractor must appoint a security company to ensure appropriate security procedures and measures are implemented. • Access in and out of the construction site should be strictly controlled by a security company appointed to the project. • A CLO should be appointed as a grievance mechanism. A method of communication should be implemented whereby procedures to lodge complaints are set out for the local community to express any complaints or grievances with the construction process. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The EPC Contractor should implement a stakeholder management plan to address neighbouring farmer concerns regarding safety and security. • The project proposed must prepare and implement a Fire Management Plan; this must be done in conjunction with surrounding landowners. • The EPC Contractor must prepare a Method Statement which deals with fire prevention and management. |
| | Impacts on daily living and movement patterns | Negative Medium | Negative Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All vehicles must be road worthy, and drivers must be qualified, obey traffic rules, follow speed limits and be made aware of the potential road safety issues. • Heavy vehicles should be inspected regularly to ensure their road worthiness. • Provision of adequate and strategically placed traffic warning signs and control measures along the R730, R30 and gravel road to warn road users of the construction activities taking place for the duration of the construction phase. Warning signs must be always visible, especially at night. • Implement penalties for reckless driving to enforce compliance to traffic rules. • Avoid heavy vehicle activity during “peak” hours (when children are taken to school, or people are driving to work). • The developer and EPC Contractor must ensure that all fencing along access roads is maintained in the present condition or repaired if disturbed due to construction activities. • The developer and EPC Contractor must ensure that the roads utilised for construction activities are either maintained in the present condition or upgraded if disturbed due to construction activities. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--------------|---|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The EPC Contractor must ensure that damage / wear and tear caused by construction related traffic to the access roads is repaired before the completion of the construction phase. • A method of communication must be implemented whereby procedures to lodge complaints are set out for the local community to express any complaints or grievances with the construction process. |
| | Nuisance impact (noise and dust) | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The movement of heavy vehicles associated with the construction phase should be timed to avoid weekends, public holidays, and holiday periods where feasible. • Dust suppression measures must be implemented for heavy vehicles such as wetting of gravel roads on a regular basis and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers. • Ensure all vehicles are road worthy, drivers are qualified and are made aware of the potential noise and dust issues. • A CLO should be appointed, and a grievance mechanism implemented. |
| | Increased risk of potential veld fires | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A firebreak should be implemented before the construction phase. The firebreak should be controlled and constructed around the perimeters of the project site. • Adequate fire-fighting equipment should be provided and readily available on site and all staff should be trained in firefighting and how to use the fire-fighting equipment. • No staff (except security) should be accommodated overnight on site and the contractor should ensure that no open fires are allowed on site. • The use of cooking or heating implements should only be used in designated areas. |

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contractors need to ensure that any construction related activities that might pose potential fire risks, are done in the designated areas where it is also managed properly. • Precautionary measures need to be taken during high wind conditions or during the winter months when the fields are dry. • The contractor should enter an agreement with the local farmers before the construction phase that any damages or losses during the construction phase related to the risk of fire and that are created by staff during the construction phase, are borne by the contractor. |
| | Impacts on the sense of place | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Implement mitigation measures identified in the Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) prepared for the project. • Limit noise generating activities to normal daylight working hours and avoid weekends and public holidays. • The movement of heavy vehicles associated with the construction phase should be timed to avoid weekends, public holidays, and holiday periods where feasible. • Dust suppression measures must be implemented for heavy vehicles such as wetting of gravel roads on a regular basis and ensuring that vehicles used to transport sand and building materials are fitted with tarpaulins or covers. • All vehicles must be road-worthy, and drivers must be qualified and made aware of the potential road safety issues and need for strict speed limits. • Communication, complaints, and grievance channels must be implemented and contact details of the CLO must be provided to the local community in the site. |

| | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--------------|---|
| Traffic Impact Assessment (Appendix E8) | Construction and maintenance of gravel roads in vicinity of the site: | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintenance to lower order roads can be incorporated into the schedule, especially the maintenance of the road accessing the site. The site access road would require construction at the start of the construction project to safely transport the sensitive cargo through the site. A gravel roads maintenance programme for the gravel roads on site is recommended. |
| | Increased traffic on haulage routes: | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of the increased traffic on regional routes can be mitigated by staggering trips and scheduling so that peak hour traffic in local towns is not impacted by construction traffic. |
| | Increased traffic on local routes: | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The impact of the increased traffic on local routes can be mitigated by staggering trips and scheduling so that peak hour traffic in local towns is not impacted by construction traffic. |

6.2.2 Impacts during the operational phase

During the operational phase the site will serve as a solar plant. The potential impacts will take place over a period of 20 – 25 years. During the operational phase the following activities will have various potential impacts on the biophysical and socio-economic environment:

- Activity 11(i) (GN.R. 327): *“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the transmission and distribution of electricity outside urban areas or industrial complexes with a capacity of more than 33 but less than 275 kilovolts.”*
- Activity 14 (GNR 327): *“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure, for the storage, or for the storage and handling, of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 80 cubic metres or more but not exceeding 500 cubic metres.”*
- Activity 1 (GN.R 325): *“The development of facilities or infrastructure for the generation of electricity from a renewable resource where the electricity output is 20 megawatts or more.”*
- Activity 10 (g)(hh) (GN.R 324): *“The development and related operation of facilities or infrastructure for the storage, or storage and handling of a dangerous good, where such storage occurs in containers with a combined capacity of 30 but not exceeding 80 cubic metres (g) in the Northern Cape (hh) areas within a watercourse or wetland; or within 100 metres from the edge of a watercourse or wetland.”*

During the operational phase minor negative impacts are foreseen over the long term. The latter refers to at least a 20-year period. Table 6.4 summarizes the potentially most significant impacts and the mitigation measures that are proposed during the operational phase.

Table 6.4: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the operational phase

| SPECIALIST STUDY | IMPACT | PRE-MITIGATION RATING | POST MITIGATION RATING | SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES |
|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| Terrestrial Biodiversity, Animal and Plant Species Assessment (Appendix E1) | Continued fragmentation and degradation of natural habitats and ecosystems. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to Construction Phase mitigation. |
| | Continuing spread of IAP and weed species. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | |
| | Ongoing displacement and direct mortalities of the faunal community (including SCC) due to continued disturbance (road collisions, noise, light, dust, vibration, poaching, etc.). | Negative Medium | Negative Low | |
| Avifaunal Assessment (Appendix E2) | Collisions with infrastructure associated with the PV Facility | Negative High | Negative Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The design of the proposed solar plant must be of a type or similar structure as endorsed by the Eskom-Endangered Wildlife Trust (EWT) Strategic Partnership on Birds and Energy, considering the mitigation guidelines recommended by Birdlife South Africa. Infrastructure should be consolidated where possible in order to minimise the amount of ground and air space used. This would involve using existing/approved pylons and associated infrastructure for different lines. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-polarising white tape can be used around and/or across panels to minimise reflection (Bennun et al, 2021). This is especially pertinent to waders and aquatic species that may recognise the panel array as water bodies (lake effect as described above) and collide with the panels, causing mortality. • Overhead cables/lines must be fitted with industry standard bird flight diverters in order to make the lines as visible as possible to collision-susceptible species. Shaw et al (2021) demonstrated that large avifauna species mortality was reduced by 51% (95% CI: 23–68%). Recommended bird diverters such as flapping devices (dynamic device) and thickened wire spirals (static device) that increase the visibility of the lines should be fitted 5 m apart. The Inotec BFD88 bird diverter is highly recommended due to its visibility under low light conditions when most species move from roosting to feeding sites. • Fencing mitigations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top 2 strands must be smooth wire; • Routinely retention loose wires; • Minimum distance between wires is 300 mm; and • Place markers on fences. |
| | <p>Electrocution due to infrastructure associated with the PV Facility</p> | <p>Negative High</p> | <p>Negative Low</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The design of the proposed solar plant and grid lines must be of a type or similar structure as endorsed by the Eskom-EWT Strategic Partnership on Birds and Energy, considering the mitigation guidelines recommended by Birdlife South Africa. • Insulation where energised parts and/or grounded parts are covered with materials appropriate for providing incidental contact protection to birds. It is best to use suspended insulators |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | <p>and vertical disconnectors, if upright insulators or horizontal disconnectors are present, these should be covered.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Perch discouragers can be used such as perch guards or spikes. Considerable success achieved by providing artificial bird safe perches, which are placed at a safe distance from the energised parts (Prinsen et al, 2012). |
| | Direct mortality from persecution or poaching of avifauna species and collection of eggs | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All personnel should undergo environmental awareness training that includes educating on not poaching/persecuting avifauna species and collecting eggs. |
| | Direct mortality by roadkill during maintenance procedures | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All personnel should undergo environmental induction with regards to awareness about speed limits and roadkill. All vehicles should adhere to a speed limit of maximum 40 km/h to avoid collisions. Appropriate speed control measures and signs must be erected. |
| | Encroachment of Invasive Alien Plants into disturbed areas | Negative Very High | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An IAP Management Plan must be written and implemented for the development. The developer must contract a specialist to develop the plan and the developer is responsible for its implementation. Regular monitoring for IAP encroachment during the operation phase to ensure that no alien invasion problems have developed as result of the disturbance. This should be every 3 months during the first two years of the operation phase and every six months for the life of the project. All IAP species must be removed/controlled using the appropriate techniques as indicated in the IAP management plan. |

| Wetland Baseline and Risk Assessment (Appendix E1) | Traffic | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to Construction Phase mitigation. |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------|--|
| | Overland flow contamination | Negative Medium | Negative Low | |
| | Increased anthropogenic activities in wetland | Negative Medium | Negative Low | |
| | Loss of sub-surface flows | Negative Medium | Negative Low | |
| Visual Impact Assessment (Appendix E3) | Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 1km radius of the SPP. | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <p>Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain/re-establish and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the development footprint. Where insufficient natural vegetation exists next to the property, a 'screen' can be planted using endemic, fast growers that are water efficient. <p>Operations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain general appearance of the facility as a whole. |
| | Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 1-5km radius of the SPP. | Negative Low | Negative Low | <p>Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain/re-establish and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the development footprint. Where insufficient natural vegetation exists next to the property, a 'screen' can be planted using endemic, fast growers that are water efficient. <p>Operations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain general appearance of the facility as a whole. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|---------------------|---|
| | <p>Visual impact on observers travelling along the roads and residents at homesteads within a 5-10km radius of the SPP.</p> | | | <p>Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain/re-establish and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the development footprint. Where insufficient natural vegetation exists next to the property, a 'screen' can be planted using endemic, fast growers that are water efficient. <p>Operations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain general appearance of the facility as a whole. |
| | <p>Visual impacts of lighting at night on visual receptors in close proximity to the SPP.</p> | <p>Negative Medium</p> | <p>Negative Low</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shield the source of light by physical barriers (walls, vegetation etc.) Limit mounting heights of lighting fixtures, or alternatively use footlights or bollard level lights. Make use of minimum lumen or wattage in fixtures. Make use of down-lighters, or shield fixtures. Make use of low-pressure sodium lighting or other types of low impact lighting. Make use of motion detectors on security lighting. This will allow the site to remain in relative darkness, until lighting is required for security or maintenance purposes. |
| | <p>Glint and glare on sensitive visual receptors in close proximity to the proposed facility.</p> | <p>Negative Low</p> | <p>N/A</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No mitigation measures applicable |
| | <p>Visual impact of sensitive visual receptors of the proposed power line.</p> | <p>Negative Low</p> | <p>Negative Low</p> | <p>Planning</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain/re-establish and maintain natural vegetation immediately adjacent to the power line servitude. |

| | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | <p>Operations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maintain the general appearance of the servitude as a whole. |
| | Visual impact and impacts on sense of place | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The subjectivity towards the project in its entirety can be influenced by creating a “Green Energy” awareness campaign, educating the local community and potentially tourists on the benefits of renewable energy. This can be achieved by also hosting an ‘open day’ where the local community can have the opportunity to view the completed project which may enlist a sense of pride in the renewable energy project in their area. Implement good housekeeping measures |
| Soil and Agricultural Assessment (Appendix E4) | Loss of Land Capability | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continuously monitor erosion on site Monitor compaction on site |
| Heritage Impact Assessment (Appendix E5) | Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to construction phase mitigation. |
| Social Impact Assessment (Appendix E7) | Creation of employment opportunities and skills development | Positive Low | Positive Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is recommended that local employment policy is adopted to maximise the opportunities made available to the local community. The recruitment selection process should seek to promote gender equality and the employment of women wherever possible. Vocational training programs should be established to promote the development of skills. |

| | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| | Development of non-polluting, renewable energy infrastructure | Positive Medium | Positive Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No mitigation measures are proposed |
| | Loss of agricultural land and overall productivity | Negative Medium | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed mitigation measures for the construction phase should have been implemented at this stage. Mitigation measures from the Agricultural and Soil Assessment, should also be implemented. |
| | Contribution to LED and social upliftment | Positive Medium | Positive High | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Community Needs Analysis (CNA) must be conducted to ensure that the LED and social upliftment programmes proposed by the project are meaningful. Ongoing communication and reporting are required to ensure that maximum benefit is obtained from the programmes identified, and to prevent the possibility for such programmes to be misused. The programmes should be reviewed on an ongoing basis to ensure that they are best suited to the needs of the community at the time (bearing in mind that these are likely to change over time). |
| | Potential impacts related to the impact on tourism. | Low Positive | Low Positive | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Due to the extent of the project no viable mitigation measures can be implemented to eliminate the visual impact of the PV panels, but the subjectivity towards the PV panels can be influenced by creating a “Green Energy” awareness campaign, educating the local community and tourists on the benefits of renewable energy. Tourists visiting the area should be made aware of South Africa’s movement towards renewable energy. This might create a positive feeling of a country moving forward in terms of environmental sustainability. This could be |

| | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--------------|--|
| | | | | <p>implemented by constructing a visitor's centre on the property allocated to the proposed solar farm which should be open to school fieldtrips, the local community, and tourists.</p> |
| | Visual impact and impacts on sense of place | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To effectively mitigate the visual impact and the impact on sense of place during the operational phase of the proposed Virgo SPP, it is suggested that the recommendations made in the Visual Impact Assessment (specialist study) should be followed in this regard. |

6.2.3 Impacts during the decommissioning phase

The physical environment will benefit from the closure of the solar facility since the site will be restored to its natural state. Table 6.5 provides a summary of the impacts during the decommissioning phase. The decommissioning phase will however potentially result in impact on soils, pressure on existing service infrastructure, surface water and the loss of permanent employment. Skilled staff will be eminently employable, and a number of temporary jobs will also be created in the process. Decommissioning of a PV facility will leave a positive impact on the habitat and biodiversity in the area as the area will be rehabilitated to its natural state.

Table 6.5: Impacts and the mitigation measures during the decommissioning phase

| SPECIALIST STUDY | IMPACT | PRE-MITIGATION RATING | POST MITIGATION RATING | SUMMARY OF MITIGATION MEASURES |
|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment (Appendix E1) | Improvement of habitat through revegetation / succession over time | Positive Low | Positive Medium | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plant vegetation species for rehabilitation that will effectively bind the loose material, and which can absorb run-off from the mining areas. • Rehabilitate all the land where infrastructure has been demolished. • Monitor the establishment of the vegetation cover on the rehabilitated sites to the point where it is self-sustaining. • Protect rehabilitation areas until the area is self-sustaining. • Diversion trenches and storm water measures must be maintained • Water management facilities must stay operational and maintained and monitored until such a stage is reached where it is no longer necessary. • The mining areas must be shaped to make it safe. • All the monitoring and reporting on the management and rehabilitation issues to the authorities must continue till closure of the mine is approved. • Monitor and manage invader species and alien species on the rehabilitated land until the natural vegetation can outperform the invaders or aliens. • Refer to mitigation measures for the construction phase needed during the closure phase that are relevant. |



| | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--------------|--|
| Avifauna Impact Assessment (Appendix E2) | Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• None required due to low significance |
| | Displacement of resident avifauna through increased disturbance | Negative Low | Negative Low | |
| Wetland Baseline and Risk Assessment (Appendix E1) | Removal of structures, machinery and equipment | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Refer to construction phase mitigation measures |
| | Rehabilitation of site to agreed land use | Negative Low | Negative Low | |
| Social Impact Assessment (Appendix E7) | Loss of employment opportunities | Negative Low | Negative Low | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• It is not expected that the facility will be decommissioned. |

7 CUMULATIVE EFFECTS ASSESSMENT

This section aims to address the requirements of Section 2 of the NEMA to consider cumulative impacts as part of any environmental assessment process.

7.1 Introduction

The EIA Regulations (2017) determine that cumulative impacts, *“in relation to an activity, means the past, current and reasonably foreseeable future impact of an activity, considered together with the impact of activities associated with that activity, that in itself may not be significant, but may become significant when added to the existing and reasonably foreseeable impacts eventuating from similar or diverse activities.”* Cumulative impacts can be incremental, interactive, sequential or synergistic. EIAs have traditionally failed to come to terms with such impacts, largely as a result of the following considerations:

- Cumulative effects may be local, regional or global in scale and dealing with such impacts requires coordinated institutional arrangements;
- Complexity - dependent on numerous fluctuating influencing factors which may be completely independent of the controllable actions of the proponent or communities; and
- Project level investigations are ill-equipped to deal with broader biophysical, social and economic considerations.

Despite these challenges, cumulative impacts have been afforded increased attention in this Scoping Report and for each impact a separate section has been added which discusses any cumulative issues, and where applicable, draws attention to other issues that may contextualise or add value to the interpretation of the impact – refer to Appendix E. This chapter analyses the proposed project’s potential cumulative impacts in more detail by: (1) defining the geographic area considered for the cumulative effects analysis; (2) providing an overview of relevant past and present actions in the project vicinity that may affect cumulative impacts; (3) presenting the reasonably foreseeable actions in the geographic area of consideration; and (4) determining whether there are adverse cumulative effects associated with the resource areas analysed.

The term "Cumulative Effect" has for the purpose of this report been defined as: the summation of effects over time which can be attributed to the operation of the project itself, and the overall effects on the ecosystem of the project area that can be attributed to the project and other existing and planned future projects.

7.2 Geographic Area of Evaluation

The geographic area of evaluation is the spatial boundary in which the cumulative effects analysis was undertaken. The spatial boundary evaluated in these cumulative effects analysis generally includes an area of a 30km radius surrounding the proposed development – refer to Figure 7.1 below.




Figure 7.1: Geographic area of evaluation with utility-scale renewable energy generation sites and power lines

The geographic spread of PV solar projects, administrative boundaries and any environmental features (the nature of the landscape) were considered when determining the geographic area of investigation. It was argued that a radius of 30km would generally confine the potential for cumulative effects within this particular environmental landscape. The geographic area includes projects located within the Northern Cape Provinces. A larger geographic area may be used to analyse cumulative impacts based on the specific temporal or spatial impacts of a resource. For example, the socio-economic cumulative analysis may include a larger area, as the construction workforce may draw from a much wider area. The geographic area of analysis is specified in the discussion of the cumulative impacts for that resource where it differs from the general area of evaluation described above.

7.3 Temporal Boundary of Evaluation

A temporal boundary is the timeframe during which the cumulative effects are reasonably expected to occur. The temporal parameters for these cumulative effects analysis are the anticipated lifespan of the proposed project, beginning in 2024 and extending out at least 20 years, which is the minimum expected project life of the proposed project. Where appropriate, particular focus is on near-term cumulative impacts of overlapping construction schedules for proposed projects in the area of evaluation.

7.4 OTHER PROJECTS IN THE AREA

7.4.1 Existing projects in the area

According to the DFFE's database, 15 solar PV plant applications have been submitted to the Department within the geographic area of investigation - refer to Table 7.1.

Table 7.1: A summary of related projects that may have a cumulative impact, in a 30 km radius of the study area

| Site name | Distance from study area | Proposed generating capacity | DEFF reference | EIA process | Project status |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Portion 6 of Wincanton 472 | 7.12km | 74 MW | 12/12/20/1860 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Bestwood | 17.7km | - | 12/12/20/1906 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| The Farm Kathu 465, | 10km | 3 MW | 12/12/20/1994 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Mogara Solar | 18km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/1082 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Hyperion solar development 1 | 14.6km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/1109 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Hyperion solar development 2 | 21km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/1110 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Hyperion solar development 3 | 16km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/1111 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Hyperion solar development 4 | 21km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/1112 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| San Solar Energy Facility | 7km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/273 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Portion 1 of the Farm Shirley No. 367 | 26.3km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/616 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Portion 2 of the Farm Legoko 460 | 20km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/819 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Portion 1 of the farm Legoko 460 and farm | 20.6km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/820 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Sekgame 461, Kuruman | | | | | |
| The Remainder of the Farm 460 Legoko | 22km | 75 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/911 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| The Remaining Extent of Portion 1 of The Farm Lime Bank no. 471 | 7km | 115 MW | 14/12/16/3/3/2/935 | Scoping and EIA | Approved |
| Libra Solar Power Plant | 2.3km | 350 MW | To Be Confirmed | Scoping and EIA | In process |

It is unclear whether other projects not related to renewable energy is or has been or will be constructed in this area. In general, development activity in the area is focused on industrial development, mining and agriculture. Agriculture in the area is primarily associated with cattle grazing. The next section of this report will aim to evaluate the potential for solar projects for this area in the foreseeable future.

As part of the SEA for Wind and Solar Energy in South Africa, the CSIR and the DFFE mapped the location of all EIA applications submitted within South Africa. According to this database approximately 3 applications have been submitted for renewable energy projects within the geographical area of investigation. The majority of these projects are located in close proximity to Kathu.

7.5 SPECIALIST INFORMATION ON CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

In line with the Terms of Reference (ToR) provided as part of the scoping report, specialists were asked to, where possible, take into consideration the cumulative effects associated with the proposed development and other projects which are either developed or in the process of being developed in the local area – refer to Figure 7.2 for process flow. The following sections present their findings.. The following sections present their findings.




Figure 7.2: Process flow diagram for determining cumulative effects

7.5.1 Soil, Land Capability and Agricultural Potential

According to the Agriculture Impact Assessment (Appendix E4), the cumulative impact of a development is the impact that development will have when its impact is added to the incremental impacts of other past, present or reasonably foreseeable future activities that will affect the same environment. It is important to note that the cumulative impact assessment for a particular project, like what is being done here, is not the same as an assessment of the impact of all surrounding projects. The cumulative assessment for this project is an assessment only of the impacts associated with this project but seen in the context of all surrounding impacts. It is concerned with this project's contribution to the overall impact, within the context of the overall impact. But it is not simply the overall impact itself.

The most important concept related to a cumulative impact is that of an acceptable level of change to an environment. A cumulative impact only becomes relevant when the impact of the proposed development will lead directly to the sum of impacts of all developments causing an acceptable level of change to be exceeded in the surrounding area. If the impact of the

development being assessed does not cause that level to be exceeded, then the cumulative impact associated with that development is not significant.

The potential cumulative agricultural impact of importance is a regional loss (including by degradation) of future agricultural production potential. The defining question for assessing the cumulative agricultural impact is this:

What level of loss of future agricultural production potential is acceptable in the area, and will the loss associated with the proposed development, when considered in the context of all past, present or reasonably foreseeable future impacts, cause that level in the area to be exceeded?

Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE) requires compliance with a specified methodology for the assessment of cumulative impacts. This is positive in that it ensures engagement with the important issue of cumulative impacts. However, the required compliance has some limitations and can, in the opinion of this author, result in an over-focus on methodological compliance, while missing the more important task of effectively answering the above defining question.

All of these projects have the same agricultural impacts in a similar agricultural environment, and therefore the same mitigation measures apply to all.

As previously indicated, the proposed development poses a low risk in terms of causing soil degradation because it can be fairly easily and effectively prevented by standard best practice soil degradation control measures, as recommended and included in the EMP of the EIA Report. If the risk for each individual development is low, then the cumulative risk is also low.

Due to all of the considerations discussed above, the cumulative impact of loss of agricultural land use will not have an unacceptable negative impact on the agricultural production capability of the area. The proposed development is therefore acceptable in terms of cumulative impact, and it is therefore recommended that it is approved.

7.5.2 Ecology

The Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment (refer to Appendix E1) confirmed that cumulative impacts, from an ecological point of view, are those that will impact the natural faunal and floristic communities and habitats surrounding the proposed solar development, mainly by other similar developments and their associated infrastructure in its direct vicinity. As more and more similar developments occur in the direct vicinity of the currently proposed development, habitat losses and fragmentation will occur more frequently and populations of threatened, protected or other habitat specific species (both faunal and floral) will be put under increasing pressure through competition for suitable habitat. Fragmentation of habitats prevent the natural flow of ecosystem services and may have a detrimental effect on the gene pool of a species, which may lead to the loss of a population of such a species on fragmented portions. Through a development, such as the one proposed for the study area, natural habitat is totally transformed and although some vegetation cover generally returns to these areas, microhabitats are totally destroyed and the area will probably never again be able to function without some human maintenance and management.

The cumulative impact of the solar project in the project area should all the projects be approved and developed are as follows:

- The cumulative impact on the natural ecosystems (fauna and flora) would be moderate considering that large sections of the area for development has already been degraded through agricultural activities (crop cultivation, overgrazing etc.).
- The moderate cumulative impacts are however dependant on the strict implementation of mitigation measures and monitoring during the construction, operational and decommissioning phases of the solar developments.

7.5.3 Avifauna

The Avifauna Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix E2) states It is the cumulative impacts, when considering the existing transformation of the threatened habitats to croplands and mining, in addition to the prevalence of planned solar developments, that increase the cumulative risks and, therefore, warrant mitigations.

Mitigating the cumulative impacts would require limiting the impact of Virgo SPP to an absolute minimum, which is not necessarily feasible but should be pursued. The mitigations to reduce cumulative impacts involve limiting the disturbance footprint (overall size), limiting human activity and noise throughout the project life, disturbing as little natural vegetation as possible, retaining the natural vegetation beneath the panels and around infrastructure, limiting the extent and width of roadways, reducing the speeds that vehicles travel, and then thoroughly rehabilitating the entire footprint back to natural grassland after decommissioning.

Despite some residual and cumulative impacts, there is no objection, from an avifaunal perspective to the development of the proposed SPP development.

7.5.4 Social Impact Assessment

The Social Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix E7) indicate that from a social impact point of view the project represents an important development opportunity for the communities surrounding Virgo SPP. Should it be approved, it will not only supply the national grid with much needed clean power but will also provide a number of opportunities for social upliftment. The cumulative impacts for each of the potential social impacts were assessed throughout the report. The most significant cumulative social impacts are both positive and negative: the community will have an opportunity to better their social and economic well-being, since they will have the opportunity to upgrade and improve skills levels in the area, but impacts on family and community relations may, in some cases, persist for a long period of time. Also, in cases where unplanned / unwanted pregnancies occur or members of the community are infected by an STD, specifically HIV and or AIDS, the impacts may be permanent and have long term to permanent cumulative impacts on the affected individuals and/or their families and the community.

7.5.5 Visual

The Visual Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix E3) confirmed that the construction and operation of the PV facility may increase the cumulative visual impact together with farming activities, dust on gravel roads, existing Eskom power line infrastructure and new projects, mines in the area and other proposed solar power facilities in the area. The significance of the visual impacts can only be determined once projects have been awarded preferred bidder status. However, taking into account the already disturbed visual surrounds due to extensive mining activities in the area and all the positive factors of such a development including economic factors, social factors and sustainability factors, the visual impact of this proposed development will be insignificant and it is suggested that the development commence, from a visual impact point of view.

7.5.6 Heritage

The Heritage Impact Assessment (Refer to Appendix E5) concluded that from a review of available databases, publications, as well as available heritage impact assessments done for the purpose of developments in the region, it was determined that the Virgo SPP is located in an area with a very low presence of heritage sites and features.

The cultural heritage profile of the larger region is very low. Most frequently found are farmsteads, formal and informal burial sites. For this review, heritage sites located in urban areas have been excluded.

Heritage resources are sparsely distributed on the wider landscape with highly significant (Grade 1) sites being rare. Because of the low likelihood of finding further significant heritage resources in the area of the proposed for development and the generally low density of sites in the wider landscape the overall cumulative impacts to heritage are expected to be of generally low significance before mitigation.

For the project area, the impacts to heritage sites are expected to be of low to negligible significance.

7.5.7 Paleontology

According to the Palaeontological Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix E6), based on the SAHRIS website, the only palaeontological heritage assessments (PIAs) available for this region (Almond 2015, Brink undated, Groenewald 2013b, Millsteed 2013b) are all at desktop level with no field data. The cumulative Impacts of the area will include approved electrical facilities within a 30 km radius of the project site. As the mentioned MTS and Powerlines and corridors are all underlain by similar geology the Impact on these developments will be similar. The Palaeontological Significance of the proposed Virgo SPP is rated as Low and the cumulative Impacts will thus also be Low Negative.

7.5.8 Traffic

According to the Traffic Impact Assessment (refer to Appendix E8) depending on the timing of the other nearby renewable energy projects, where construction in particular could overlap,

traffic impact will increase accordingly. It should be noted that the volume of traffic is related to the specific development stage, logistics planning and development size.

The construction period for other renewable energy projects is relatively short (between 12 and 18 months), where traffic flow will vary during the construction period. It is assumed that 50% of these projects' construction periods would likely coincide with the Virgo SPP construction period. This additional traffic, however, will be widely dispersed and easily accommodated on the surrounding road network. In addition, the traffic impact of the operational and maintenance periods will be low/ negligible and it is also unlikely that the decommissioning of these projects will coincide with each other.

In conclusion, the cumulative impact and significance of the various nearby renewable energy projects is considered to have a low/ negligible impact and therefore no corrective measures will be required.

7.6 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Following the definitions of the term, the “residual effects on the environment”, i.e. effects after mitigation measures have been put in place, combined with the environmental effects of past, present and future projects and activities will be considered in this assessment. Also, a “combination of different individual environmental effects of the project acting on the same environmental component” can result in cumulative effects.

7.6.1 Potential Cumulative Effects

The receptors (hereafter referred to as Valued Ecosystem Components (VECs) presented in Section 6 (refer to the matrix analysis) have been examined alongside other past, present and future projects for potential adverse cumulative effects. A summary of the cumulative effects discussed are summarized in Table 7.2. There have been specific VECs identified with reference to the Solar Project (Table 6.2), which relates to the biophysical and socio-economic environments. Table 7.2 indicates the potential cumulative effects VECs and the rationale for inclusion/exclusion.

Table 7.2: Potential Cumulative Effects for the proposed project

| | Valued Ecosystem Components (VECs) | Rationale for Inclusion / Exclusion | Level of Cumulative Effect |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Construction Phase | | | |
| Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment | Habitat destruction & Fragmentation | The construction phase of the development and associated infrastructure will result in loss of and damage to natural habitats if the vegetation is cleared for the development of the solar plant. Rehabilitation of some areas would be possible but there is likely to be long-term damage in large areas. Most habitat destruction will be caused during the construction phase. | - Medium |

| | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|-------|
| | Soil erosion and sedimentation | The construction activities associated with the development may result in widespread soil disturbance and is usually associated with accelerated soil erosion. Soil erosion promotes a variety of terrestrial ecological changes associated with disturbed areas, including the establishment of alien invasive plant species, altered plant community species composition and loss of habitat for indigenous flora. The impact is considered as cumulative as it will influence the vegetation communities in the area. | - Low |
| | Dust pollution | The environmental impacts of wind-borne dust, gases and particulates from the construction activities associated with the proposed development are primarily related to human health and ecosystem damage. Poor air quality results in deterioration of visibility and aesthetic landscape quality of the region, particularly in winter due to atmospheric inversions. The impact is considered to be cumulative as dust pollution has an impact on the surrounding environment and as the surrounding area is already impacted by mining and agricultural activities. | - Low |
| | Spillages of harmful substances | Construction work for the proposed development will always carry a risk of soil and water pollution, with large construction vehicles contributing substantially due to oil and fuel spillages. If not promptly dealt with, spillages or accumulation of waste matter can contaminate the soil and surface or ground water, leading to potential medium/long-term impacts on fauna and flora. During the construction phase heavy machinery and vehicles would be the main contributors to potential pollution problems. The impact is considered to be cumulative as the spillages of harmful substances can have indirect impacts to the surrounding environment. | - Low |
| | Spreading of alien invasive species | Continued movement of vehicles on and off the site during the construction phase will result in a risk of importation of alien species. Vehicles often transport many seeds, and some may be of invader species, which may become established along the access road, especially where the area is disturbed. The construction carries by far the greatest risk of alien invasive species being imported to the site, and the high levels of habitat disturbance also provide the greatest opportunities for such species to establish themselves, since most indigenous species are less | - Low |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------|
| | | <p>tolerant of disturbance. The biggest risk is that seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites.</p> | |
| | <p>Negative effect of human activities on fauna and flora and road mortalities on fauna</p> | <p>Continued movement of vehicles on and off the site during the construction phase will result in a risk of importation of alien species. Vehicles often transport many seeds, and some may be of invader species, which may become established along the access road, especially where the area is disturbed. The construction carries by far the greatest risk of alien invasive species being imported to the site, and the high levels of habitat disturbance also provide the greatest opportunities for such species to establish themselves, since most indigenous species are less tolerant of disturbance. The biggest risk is that seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites. The wider area is already impacted by the spread of alien invasive species due to agricultural and mining activities. Therefore, the development will contribute towards the cumulative impact of spread of alien invasive species. The impact will be low as the mitigation measures proposed will reduce the overall impact of the development.</p> | <p>- Low</p> |
| <p style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Wetland Baseline and Risk Assessment</p> | <p>Impact on the characteristics of the watercourse</p> | <p>The construction activities associated with the proposed solar power plant will potentially have an impact on the wetland areas and water levels, whether it is through direct or indirect impacts. The clearance of vegetation for the solar power plant will either have a direct or indirect impact on the wetlands and smaller drainage channels. Loss of the riparian and instream habitat will also result in permanent loss or displacement of the invertebrates, birds and small mammals' dependant on the wetland vegetation for feeding, shelter and breeding purposes. All functions associated with the wetland zones and the surrounding landscape will be compromised if mitigation measures are not applied correctly. Other indirect impacts of the construction of the solar power plant on the characteristics of the water course include impacts on water quality and changes to the geomorphology should the development cause impacts on downstream areas. The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development impacting on the characteristics of the watercourse.</p> | <p>- Medium</p> |

| | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------|
| | <p>Soil erosion and sedimentation</p> | <p>The use of heavy machinery during the construction and decommissioning phases of the development will result in the compaction of soil, resulting in decreased infiltration of rainwater and increased surface run-off volumes and velocities leading to a greater erosion risk. The hardened surfaces of the road and compacted soils of the proposed development area will also lead to an increase in surface run-off during storm events which will likely be discharged via stormwater outlet points, concentrating flows leaving the exposed areas. This can lead to erosion in the cleared areas and channel forming where culverts concentrate water on the side of the road where the river and riverine area are located. It can lead to sedimentation, in the river. The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development contributing to the risk of sediment transport and erosion in the area.</p> | <p>- Low</p> |
| | <p>Soil and water pollution (Spillages of harmful substances)</p> | <p>Construction work will also carry a risk of soil and water pollution, with large construction vehicles contributing substantially due to oil and fuel spillages. If not promptly dealt with, spillages or accumulation of waste matter can contaminate the soil and surface- or groundwater, leading to potential medium/long-term impacts on fauna and flora.</p> <p>The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development contributing to the risk of soil and water pollution in the area.</p> | <p>- Low</p> |
| | <p>Spread and establishment of alien invasive species</p> | <p>The construction almost certainly carries by far the greatest risk of alien invasive species being imported to the site, and the high levels of habitat disturbance also provide the greatest opportunities for such species to establish themselves, since most indigenous species are less tolerant of disturbance. The biggest risk is that seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites.</p> <p>Continued movement of personnel and vehicles on and off the site, as well as occasional delivery of materials required for maintenance, will result in a risk of importation of alien species throughout the life of the project.</p> <p>Furthermore, the spread of the alien invasive species through the area will be accelerated when seeds are carried by stormwater into the drainage features on</p> | <p>- Low</p> |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--------|
| | | <p>the site that will cause environmental degradation and indigenous species to be displaced.</p> <p>The wider area is already impacted by the spread of alien invasive species due to agricultural and mining activities. Therefore, the development will contribute towards the cumulative impact of spread of alien invasive species. The impact will be low as the mitigation measures proposed will reduce the overall impact of the development.</p> | |
| Avifaunal Impact Assessment | Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats | <p>The proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant in isolation has a Negative Low impact significance. In consideration of the aforementioned information, the cumulative impact was determined to be of a Negative High significance. It is important to note that this also accounts for the relative importance of the habitats within and adjacent to the project area, in the context of the value of the regional habitat. Considering the anthropogenic activities and influences within the 30 km radius, approximately 55% of natural habitat has been lost, and as discussed above, the proposed solar developments will result in a further loss of approximately 13.9%. It is also important to consider that this projected habitat loss is only due to renewable energy developments, and further loss is a possibility with additional types of anthropogenic developments. Apart from habitat loss, one also needs to consider additional potential impacts such as light pollution, vibration, noise pollution and resource exploitation. This means that the careful spatial management and planning of the entire region must be a priority, and existing large infrastructure projects must be carefully monitored over the long term.</p> | - High |
| Soil and Agricultural Assessment | Loss of agricultural land | <p>The cumulative impact of loss of agricultural land use will not have an unacceptable negative impact on the agricultural production capability of the area. The proposed development is therefore acceptable in terms of cumulative impact, and it is therefore recommended that it is approved. Because of the negligible agricultural impact of grid connection infrastructure, its cumulative impact is also assessed as negligible.</p> | - Low |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|----------|
| Heritage Impact Assessment | <p>Loss or damage to sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance</p> | <p>The cultural heritage profile of the larger region is very limited. Most frequently found are stone artefacts, mostly dating to the Middle Stone Age. Sites containing such material are usually located along the margins of water features (pans, drainage lines), small hills and rocky outcrops. Such surface scatters or 'background scatter' is usually viewed to be of limited significance. The colonial period manifests largely as individual farmsteads, in all its complexity, burial sites and infrastructure features such as roads, railways and power lines. For the purpose of this review, heritage sites located in urban areas have been excluded.</p> <p>Because of the low likelihood of finding further significant heritage resources in the relevant area proposed for development and the generally low density of sites in the wider landscape the cumulative impacts to the heritage are expected to be of low significance.</p> | - Low |
| Palaeontological Impact Assessment | <p>Disturbance, damage or destruction of legally-protected fossil heritage within the development footprints during the construction phase (impacts on well-preserved and / or rare fossils of scientific and conservation value)</p> | <p>A low palaeontological significance has been allocated to the proposed development. It is therefore considered that the development is deemed appropriate and feasible and will not lead to detrimental impacts on the palaeontological resources of the area.</p> | - Low |
| Social Impact Assessment | <p>Impacts of employment opportunities, business opportunities and skills development</p> | <p>Virgo SPP and the establishment of other solar power projects within the area has the potential to result in significant positive cumulative impacts, specifically with regards to the creation of a number of socio-economic opportunities for the region, which in turn, can result in positive social benefits. The positive cumulative impacts include creation of employment, skills development and training opportunities, and downstream business opportunities. The cumulative benefits to the local, regional, and national economy through employment and procurement of services are more considerable than that of Virgo SPP alone.</p> | + Medium |
| | <p>Impact with large-scale in-migration of people</p> | <p>While the development of a single solar power project may not result in a major influx of people into an area, the development of several projects may have a cumulative impact on the in-migration and movement of people. In addition, the fact that the project is proposed within an area characterised by good levels of solar irradiation suitable for the</p> | - Medium |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------|
| | | <p>development of commercial solar energy facilities implies that the surrounding area is likely to be subject to considerable future applications for PV energy facilities. Levels of unemployment, and the low level of earning potential may attract individuals to the area in search of better employment opportunities and higher standards of living.</p> <p>It is exceedingly difficult to control an influx of people into an area, especially in a country where unemployment rates are high. It is therefore important that the project proponent implement and maintain strict adherence with a local employment policy in order to reduce the potential of such an impact occurring.</p> | |
| Traffic Impact Study | Increase in construction vehicles | <p>The construction and decommissioning phases are the only significant traffic generators for renewable energy projects. The duration of these phases is short term (i.e. the impact of the generated traffic on the surrounding road network is temporary and renewable energy facilities, when operational, do not add any significant traffic to the road network).</p> <p>Even if all renewable energy projects within the area are constructed at the same time, the roads authority will consider all applications for abnormal loads and work with all project companies to ensure that loads on the public roads are staggered and staged to ensure that the impact will be acceptable.</p> | - Low |
| | Operational Phase | | |
| Terrestrial Biodiversity Impact Assessment | Habitat destruction & Fragmentation | <p>The development and associated infrastructure will result in loss of and damage to natural habitats if the vegetation is cleared for the development of the solar plant. Rehabilitation of some areas would be possible but there is likely to be long-term damage in large areas. Most habitat destruction will be caused during the construction phase.</p> | - Medium |
| | Soil erosion and sedimentation | <p>The development may result in widespread soil disturbance and is usually associated with accelerated soil erosion. Soil erosion promotes a variety of terrestrial ecological changes associated with disturbed areas, including the establishment of alien invasive plant species, altered plant community species composition and loss of habitat for indigenous flora. The impact is considered as cumulative as it will influence the vegetation communities in the area.</p> | - Low |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| | Dust pollution | The environmental impacts of wind-borne dust, gases and particulates from the operation and maintenance activities associated with the proposed development are primarily related to human health and ecosystem damage. Poor air quality results in deterioration of visibility and aesthetic landscape quality of the region, particularly in winter due to atmospheric inversions. The impact is considered to be cumulative as dust pollution has an impact on the surrounding environment and as the surrounding area is already impacted by mining and agricultural activities. | - Low |
| | Spillages of harmful substances | Maintenance work for the proposed development will always carry a risk of soil and water pollution. If not promptly dealt with, spillages or accumulation of waste matter can contaminate the soil and surface or ground water, leading to potential medium/long-term impacts on fauna and flora. The impact is considered to be cumulative as the spillages of harmful substances can have indirect impacts to the surrounding environment. | - Low |
| | Spreading of alien invasive species | Continued movement of vehicles on and off the site will result in a risk of importation of alien species. The biggest risk is that seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites. Movement of vehicles will however be reduced during operation and maintenance of the facility. | - Low |
| | Negative effect of human activities on fauna and flora and road mortalities on fauna | Continued movement of vehicles on and off the site will result in a risk of importation of alien species. The biggest risk is that seeds of noxious plants may be carried onto the site along with materials that have been stockpiled elsewhere at already invaded sites. The wider area is already impacted by the spread of alien invasive species due to agricultural and mining activities. Therefore, the development will contribute towards the cumulative impact of spread of alien invasive species. The impact will be low as the mitigation measures proposed will reduce the overall impact of the development. | - Low |
| Wetland/Riparian Assessment | Impact on the characteristics of the watercourse | The operation and maintenance activities associated with the proposed solar power plant will potentially have an impact on the wetland areas and water levels, whether it is through direct or indirect impacts. All functions associated with the wetland zones and the surrounding landscape will be compromised if mitigation measures are not applied correctly. Other indirect impacts include impacts | - Medium |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|-------|
| | | on water quality and changes to the geomorphology should the development cause impacts on downstream areas. The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development impacting on the characteristics of the watercourse. | |
| | Soil erosion and sedimentation | The hardened surfaces of the road and compacted soils of the proposed development area will lead to an increase in surface run-off during storm events which will likely be discharged via stormwater outlet points, concentrating flows leaving the exposed areas. This can lead to erosion in the cleared areas and channel forming where culverts concentrate water on the side of the road where the river and riverine area are located. It can lead to sedimentation, in the river. The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development contributing to the risk of sediment transport and erosion in the area. | - Low |
| | Soil and water pollution (Spillages of harmful substances) | Maintenance work will also carry a risk of soil and water pollution, with large construction vehicles (where used) contributing substantially due to oil and fuel spillages. If not promptly dealt with, spillages or accumulation of waste matter can contaminate the soil and surface- or groundwater, leading to potential medium/long-term impacts on fauna and flora. The impact is considered to be cumulative due to proposed development contributing to the risk of soil and water pollution in the area. | - Low |
| | Spread and establishment of alien invasive species | Continued movement of personnel and vehicles on and off the site, as well as occasional delivery of materials required for maintenance, will result in a risk of importation of alien species throughout the life of the project. Furthermore, the spread of the alien invasive species through the area will be accelerated when seeds are carried by stormwater into the drainage features on the site that will cause environmental degradation and indigenous species to be displaced. The wider area is already impacted by the spread of alien invasive species due to agricultural and mining activities. Therefore, the development will contribute towards the cumulative impact of spread of alien invasive species. The impact will be low as the mitigation measures proposed will reduce the overall impact of the development. | - Low |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|----------|
| Visual Impact Assessment | Visual intrusion of the development on observers within the area | The operation and maintenance of the facility will create visual intrusion on observers that utilise and travel through the area, including travellers using the local roads | - Medium |
| Decommissioning Phase | | | |
| General | Generation of waste | During the decommissioning of the facility waste will be generated that will need to be disposed of where recycling and re-use is not available. This may lead to pressure on waste disposal facilities in the area. | - Medium |

7.7 CONCLUSION

This chapter of the Scoping Report addressed the cumulative environmental effects of the construction, operation and decommissioning project phases to be further assessed as part of the EIA Phase. The information to date has shown that no significant adverse residual impacts are likely. However, cumulative impacts could arise as other similar projects are constructed in the area.

The potential most significant cumulative impacts relate to:

- Cumulative effects during construction phase:
 - Habitat destruction and fragmentation (- Medium)
 - Impact on the characteristics of the watercourse (- Medium)
 - Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats (- Medium)
 - Loss of important avian habitats (- Medium)
 - Impacts of employment opportunities, business opportunities and skills development (+ Medium)
 - Impact with large-scale in-migration of people (- Medium)
- Cumulative effects during the operational phase:
 - Habitat destruction and fragmentation (- Medium)
 - Impacts on the characteristics of the watercourse (- Medium)
 - Visual intrusion (- Medium)
- Cumulative effects during the decommissioning phase:
 - Generation of waste (- Medium)

The cumulative impact for the proposed development is medium to low and no high, unacceptable impacts related to the project are expected. Considering the extent of the

project and information presented in section 7 of this report, it can be concluded that the cumulative impacts will not result in large scale changes and impacts on the environment.

Photovoltaic solar energy technology is a clean technology which contributes toward a better-quality environment. The proposed project will contribute to local economic growth by supporting industry development in line with provincial and regional goals and ensuring advanced skills are drawn to the Northern Cape Province. No cumulative impacts with a high residual risk have been identified.

In terms of the desirability of the development of sources of renewable energy therefore, it may be preferable to incur a higher cumulative loss in such a region as this one (where the landscape has already experienced degradation), than to lose land with a higher environmental value elsewhere in the country.

8 PLAN OF STUDY FOR EIA

This section aims to address the following requirements of the regulations:

Appendix 2. (2) A scoping report (...) must include -

- (i) a plan of study for undertaking the EIA process to be undertaken, including-
 - (i) a description of the alternatives to be considered and assessed within the preferred site, including the option of not proceeding with the activity;
 - (ii) a description of the aspects to be assessed as part of the EIA process;
 - (iii) aspects to be assessed by specialists;
 - (iv) a description of the proposed method of assessing the environmental aspects, including a description of the proposed method of assessing the environmental aspects including aspects to be assessed by specialists;
 - (v) a description of the proposed method of assessing duration and significance;
 - (vi) an indication of the stages at which the competent authority will be consulted;
 - (vii) particulars of the public participation process that will be conducted during the EIA process; and
 - (viii) a description of the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the EIA process;
 - (ix) identify suitable measures to avoid, reverse, mitigate or manage identified impacts and to determine the extent of the residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

8.1 INTRODUCTION

This section gives a brief outline of the Plan of Study for EIA (PoSEIA) and the tasks that will be undertaken and the anticipated process to meet the objectives for the EIA phase. The approach to the EIA is to focus on those key issues identified for the preferred alternative. This will ensure that the EIA focuses on the most significant impacts and in the process save time and resources.

8.2 ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT PHASE

The purpose of the EIA phase is to assess issues identified in the scoping phase and will include an environmental management program (EMPr). The EMPr will provide information on the proposed activity and the manner in which potential impacts will be minimized or mitigated. The EIA report will comply with Appendix 3 and will:

- Determine the policy and legislative context within which the activity is located and document how the proposed activity complies with and responds to the policy and legislative context;

- Describe the need and desirability of the proposed activity, including the need and desirability of the activity in the context of the preferred location;
- Identify the location of the development footprint within the preferred site based on an impact and risk assessment process inclusive of cumulative impacts and a ranking process of all the identified development footprint alternatives focusing on the geographical, physical, biological, social, economic, heritage and cultural aspects of the environment;
- Determine the—
 - (i) nature, significance, consequence, extent, duration and probability of the impacts occurring to inform identified preferred alternatives; and
 - (ii) degree to which these impacts-
 - (aa) can be reversed;
 - (bb) may cause irreplaceable loss of resources, and
 - (cc) can be avoided, managed or mitigated;
- Identify the most ideal location for the activity within the preferred site based on the lowest level of environmental sensitivity identified during the assessment;
- Identify, assess, and rank the impacts the activity will impose on the preferred location through the life of the activity;
- Identify suitable measures to avoid, manage or mitigate identified impacts; and
- Identify residual risks that need to be managed and monitored.

8.3 TASKS TO BE UNDERTAKEN

The following sections describe the tasks that will be undertaken as part of the EIA Phase of the process.

8.3.1 Project Description

Further technical and supporting information will be gathered to provide a more detailed project description. This will include a detailed and finalised site layout plan that will be compiled once the areas of sensitivity identified in this Scoping Report have been confirmed by the specialists.

8.3.2 Consideration of alternatives

The following project alternatives will be investigated in the EIR:

- Design/Layout alternatives: In terms of the actual layout of the proposed PV plant which will only be assessed for the preferred site alternative. A draft facility layout is included in Figure H.

8.3.3 Compilation of Environmental Impact Report (EIR)

A Draft EIR will be compiled to meet the content requirements as per Appendix 3 of GNR. 326 of the EIA Regulations (as amended) and will also include a draft Environmental Management Programme containing the aspects contemplated in Appendix 4 of GNR326. The Generic EMPr for overhead electricity transmission and distribution infrastructure and the Generic EMPr for the development of the associated substation infrastructure for transmission and distribution of electricity as per Government Notice 435, which were published in Government Gazette 42323 on 22 March 2019, will also be included in the Draft EIR.

8.3.4 Public participation

All registered I&APs and relevant State Departments will be given the opportunity to review the Draft Environmental Impact Report in accordance with Regulation R326. A minimum of 30 days commenting period will be allowed and all stakeholders and I&APs will be given an opportunity to forward their written comments within that period. All issues identified during this 30-day review and comment period will be documented and compiled into a Comments and Response Report to be included as part of the Final EIR to be submitted to the DFFE for decision-making on the Application for Environmental Authorisation.

8.4 ASPECTS ASSESSED

Table 8.1 below provides a summary of the aspects that have been assessed. The aspects are also linked to specialist information obtained.

Table 8.1: Aspects assessed

| Aspects | Potential impacts | Specialist studies / technical information |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Construction of the PV Solar facility | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts on the fauna and flora | Terrestrial Biodiversity Survey and Avifauna Impact Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wetlands and riparian areas | Wetland Impact Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts on agricultural potential (soils) | Soil and Agricultural Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts associated with the geology of the site | Geotechnical Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impacts on existing services infrastructure | Confirmation from the Local Municipality |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary employment, impacts on health and safety | Social Impact Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts on heritage resources | Heritage Impact Assessment and Palaeontological Impact Assessment |
| Operation of the PV Solar facility | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts on the fauna and flora | Terrestrial Biodiversity Survey and Avifauna Impact Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wetlands and riparian areas | Wetland Impact Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts on agricultural potential (soils) | Soil and Agricultural Compliance Statement |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts associated with the geology of the site | Geotechnical Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased consumption of water | Confirmed volumes to be provided by the Applicant |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pressure on existing services infrastructure | Confirmation from the Local Municipality |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual Impact | Visual Impact Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of employment and generation of income for the local community | Social Impact Assessment |
| Decommissioning of the PV Solar facility | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impacts on the fauna and flora | Terrestrial Biodiversity Survey and Avifauna Impact Assessment |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Socio-economic impacts (loss of employment) | Social Impact Assessment |
| Cumulative Impacts | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cumulative biophysical impacts resulting from similar developments in close proximity to the proposed activity. | All independent specialist studies results to be considered and analysed by the EAP |

8.4.1 Specialist studies

Based on the initial descriptions of potential environmental impacts or aspects (refer to Table 6.2), specialists have been subcontracted to assess the potential impacts that may be significant. The specialist studies assess impacts on both the social and the biophysical environment and also help

in identifying ways that can help to mitigate the envisaged impacts. The following specialist studies have been included to address the potentially most significant impact as identified during the scoping phase – refer to Table 6.2:

- Geotechnical report: To determine whether the geotechnical conditions at the site are favorable for the development and construction of a solar PV plant.
- Heritage Impact Assessment: To determine whether the proposed activity will impact on any heritage or archeological artifacts.
- Terrestrial Biodiversity, Plant and Animal Species Impact Assessment: To determine what the impact of the proposed activity will be on the ecology (fauna and flora) in the area.
- Wetland /Riparian Impact Assessment: To determine the impact of the proposed activity on the wetlands present on Remaining Extent of Portion 1, Remaining Extent of Portion 2, Portion 5 and Portion 7 of the farm Turfbult No. 494.
- Avifauna Impact Assessment: To determine what the impacts of the proposed activity will have on the birds (avifauna) in the area.
- Visual Impact Assessment: To determine to what extent the proposed activity will be visually intrusive to the surrounding communities or other receptors.
- Soil and Agricultural Assessment: To determine how the proposed activity will impact on soil and agricultural resources.
- Social Impact Assessment: To determine how the proposed activity will impact on the socio-economic environment.
- Palaeontological Impact Assessment: To determine the impacts on palaeontological resources.
- Traffic Impact Assessment: To determine the impacts on road users on long haul routes and roads around the project area.

8.4.2 Terms of reference for specialist studies

Specialists in their field of expertise will consider baseline data and identify and assess impacts according to predefined rating scales (section 8.5). Specialists will also suggest optional or essential ways in which to mitigate negative impacts and enhance positive impacts. Further, specialists will, where possible, take into consideration the cumulative effects associated with this and other projects which are either developed or in the process of being developed in the local area. The specialist is reminded to follow the latest DFFE protocols.

The results of these specialist studies have been integrated into the draft Scoping Report. The general requirements proposed for the inputs are presented below and specialists are encouraged to comment and provide input on these. The Terms of Reference (ToR) for each specialist study are include as Appendix E10 to the report.

General Requirements

Specialists' reports must comply with Appendix 6 of GNR. 326 published under sections 24(5), and 44 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998), as amended and whereby the following are to be included:

- The details of-
 - the specialist who prepared the report; and
 - the expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist report including a curriculum vitae;
- A declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;
- An indication of the scope of, and the purpose for which, the report was prepared;
 - An indication of the quality and age of base data used for the specialist report;
 - A description of existing impacts on the site, cumulative impacts of the proposed development and levels of acceptable change;
- The duration, date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;
- A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialised process inclusive of equipment and modelling used;
- Details of an assessment of the specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the proposed activity or activities and its associated structures and infrastructure, inclusive of a site plan identifying site alternatives;
- An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;
- A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;
- A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;
- A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, or activities;
- Any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;
- Any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;
- Any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation;
- A reasoned opinion-

- whether the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised;
 - regarding the acceptability of the proposed activity or activities; and
- if the opinion is that the proposed activity, activities or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMP, and where applicable, the closure plan;
- A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;
- A summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and
- Any other information requested by the competent authority.

In addition to the above, specialists are expected to:

- Review the Scoping Report, with specific reference to the Comments and Response Report to familiarize with all relevant issues or concerns relevant to their field of expertise;
- In addition to the impacts listed in the Scoping Report, identify any issue or aspect that needs to be assessed and provide expert opinion on any issue in their field of expertise that they deem necessary in order to avoid potential detrimental impacts;
- Assess the degree and extent of all identified impacts (including cumulative impacts) that the preferred project activity and its proposed alternatives, including that of the no-go alternative, may have;
- Identify and list all legislation and permit requirements that are relevant to the development proposal in context of the study;
- Reference all sources of information and literature consulted; and
- Include an executive summary to the report.

8.5 METHOD OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

The environmental assessment aims to identify the various possible environmental impacts that could result from the proposed activity. Different impacts need to be evaluated in terms of their significance and in doing so highlight the most critical issues to be addressed.

Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics which include context and intensity of an impact. Context refers to the geographical scale i.e. site, local, national or global whereas intensity is defined by the severity of the impact e.g. the magnitude of deviation from background conditions, the size of the area affected, the duration of the impact and the overall probability of occurrence. Significance is calculated as shown in Table 8.2.

Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. The total number of points scored for each impact indicates the level of significance of the impact.

8.5.1 Impact Rating System

Impact assessment must take account of the nature, scale and duration of impacts on the environment whether such impacts are positive or negative. Each impact is also assessed according to the project phases:

- planning
- construction
- operation
- decommissioning

Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimisation of an impact should be detailed. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance should also be included. The rating system is applied to the potential impacts on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the mitigation of the impact. In assessing the significance of each impact the following criteria is used:

Table 8.2: The rating system

| NATURE | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| Include a brief description of the impact of environmental parameter being assessed in the context of the project. This criterion includes a brief written statement of the environmental aspect being impacted upon by a particular action or activity. | | |
| GEOGRAPHICAL EXTENT | | |
| This is defined as the area over which the impact will be experienced. | | |
| 1 | Site | The impact will only affect the site. |
| 2 | Local/district | Will affect the local area or district. |
| 3 | Province/region | Will affect the entire province or region. |
| 4 | International and National | Will affect the entire country. |
| PROBABILITY | | |
| This describes the chance of occurrence of an impact. | | |
| 1 | Unlikely | The chance of the impact occurring is extremely low (Less than a 25% chance of occurrence). |

| | | |
|---|-------------|---|
| 2 | Possible | The impact may occur (Between a 25% to 50% chance of occurrence). |
| 3 | Probable | The impact will likely occur (Between a 50% to 75% chance of occurrence). |
| 4 | Definite | Impact will certainly occur (Greater than a 75% chance of occurrence). |
| DURATION | | |
| This describes the duration of the impacts. Duration indicates the lifetime of the impact as a result of the proposed activity. | | |
| 1 | Short term | The impact will either disappear with mitigation or will be mitigated through natural processes in a span shorter than the construction phase (0 – 1 years), or the impact will last for the period of a relatively short construction period and a limited recovery time after construction, thereafter it will be entirely negated (0 – 2 years). |
| 2 | Medium term | The impact will continue or last for some time after the construction phase but will be mitigated by direct human action or by natural processes thereafter (2 – 10 years). |
| 3 | Long term | The impact and its effects will continue or last for the entire operational life of the development, but will be mitigated by direct human action or by natural processes thereafter (10 – 30 years). |
| 4 | Permanent | The only class of impact that will be non-transitory. Mitigation either by man or natural process will not occur in such a way or such a time span that the impact can be considered indefinite. |
| INTENSITY/ MAGNITUDE | | |
| Describes the severity of an impact. | | |
| 1 | Low | Impact affects the quality, use and integrity of the system/component in a way that is barely perceptible. |
| 2 | Medium | Impact alters the quality, use and integrity of the system/component but system/component still continues to function in a moderately modified way |

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | | and maintains general integrity (some impact on integrity). |
| 3 | High | Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or component is severely impaired and may temporarily cease. High costs of rehabilitation and remediation. |
| 4 | Very high | Impact affects the continued viability of the system/component and the quality, use, integrity and functionality of the system or component permanently ceases and is irreversibly impaired. Rehabilitation and remediation often impossible. If possible rehabilitation and remediation often unfeasible due to extremely high costs of rehabilitation and remediation. |
| REVERSIBILITY | | |
| This describes the degree to which an impact can be successfully reversed upon completion of the proposed activity. | | |
| 1 | Completely reversible | The impact is reversible with implementation of minor mitigation measures. |
| 2 | Partly reversible | The impact is partly reversible but more intense mitigation measures are required. |
| 3 | Barely reversible | The impact is unlikely to be reversed even with intense mitigation measures. |
| 4 | Irreversible | The impact is irreversible and no mitigation measures exist. |
| IRREPLACEABLE LOSS OF RESOURCES | | |
| This describes the degree to which resources will be irreplaceably lost as a result of a proposed activity. | | |
| 1 | No loss of resource | The impact will not result in the loss of any resources. |
| 2 | Marginal loss of resource | The impact will result in marginal loss of resources. |
| 3 | Significant loss of resources | The impact will result in significant loss of resources. |
| 4 | Complete loss of resources | The impact is result in a complete loss of all resources. |
| CUMULATIVE EFFECT | | |

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| <p>This describes the cumulative effect of the impacts. A cumulative impact is an effect which in itself may not be significant but may become significant if added to other existing or potential impacts emanating from other similar or diverse activities as a result of the project activity in question.</p> | | |
| 1 | Negligible cumulative impact | The impact would result in negligible to no cumulative effects. |
| 2 | Low cumulative impact | The impact would result in insignificant cumulative effects. |
| 3 | Medium cumulative impact | The impact would result in minor cumulative effects. |
| 4 | High cumulative impact | The impact would result in significant cumulative effects |
| <p>SIGNIFICANCE</p> | | |
| <p>Significance is determined through a synthesis of impact characteristics. Significance is an indication of the importance of the impact in terms of both physical extent and time scale, and therefore indicates the level of mitigation required. The calculation of the significance of an impact uses the following formula: (Extent + probability + reversibility + irreplaceability + duration + cumulative effect) x magnitude/intensity.</p> <p>The summation of the different criteria will produce a non-weighted value. By multiplying this value with the magnitude/intensity, the resultant value acquires a weighted characteristic which can be measured and assigned a significance rating.</p> | | |
| Points | Impact significance rating | Description |
| 6 to 28 | Negative low impact | The anticipated impact will have negligible negative effects and will require little to no mitigation. |
| 6 to 28 | Positive low impact | The anticipated impact will have minor positive effects. |
| 29 to 50 | Negative medium impact | The anticipated impact will have moderate negative effects and will require moderate mitigation measures. |
| 29 to 50 | Positive medium impact | The anticipated impact will have moderate positive effects. |
| 51 to 73 | Negative high impact | The anticipated impact will have significant effects and will require significant mitigation measures to achieve an acceptable level of impact. |

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------|--|
| 51 to 73 | Positive high impact | The anticipated impact will have significant positive effects. |
| 74 to 96 | Negative very high impact | The anticipated impact will have highly significant effects and are unlikely to be able to be mitigated adequately. These impacts could be considered "fatal flaws". |
| 74 to 96 | Positive very high impact | The anticipated impact will have highly significant positive effects. |

8.6 CONSULTATION WITH THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY

Consultation with the competent and commenting authorities will continue throughout the duration of impact assessment phase. The authorities will also comment on whether they deem it necessary to conduct additional specialist studies other than what is proposed already in this PoSEIA. On-going consultation will include:

- Submission of the Final EIR following a 30-day public review period (and consideration of comments received).
- Arrangements will be made to discuss the report with the Environmental Officer responsible for the project during the review period, where required.

9 CONCLUSION

This Draft Scoping Report is aimed at identifying the 'scope' of the EIA that will be conducted in respect of the activity for which authorization is being applied for. It can be concluded that:

- The scoping phase complied with the specifications set out in Regulations 21 and Appendix 2 of GNR326.
- All key consultees have been consulted as required by the Regulations 39 to 44.

Based on the contents of the report the following key environmental issues were identified which need to be addressed in the EIA report:

- Impacts during construction phase:
 - Direct habitat destruction (- Medium)
 - Habitat Fragmentation (- Medium)
 - Impact on the characteristics of the watercourse (- Medium)
 - Creation of direct and indirect employment opportunities (+ Medium)
 - Economic multiplier effects from the use of local goods and services (+ Medium)
 - Impacts on daily living patterns (- Medium)
- Impacts during the operational phase:
 - Habitat destruction and fragmentation (- Medium)
 - Displacement of priority avian species from important habitats (- Medium)
 - Impact on the characteristics of the watercourse (- Medium)
 - Creation of employment opportunities and skills development. (+ Medium)
 - Development of non-polluting, renewable energy infrastructure. (+ Medium)
 - Contribution to LED and social upliftment (+ High)
- Impacts during the decommissioning phase:
 - Improvement of habitat through revegetation / succession over time (+ Medium)
- Cumulative biophysical impacts resulting from similar development in close proximity to the proposed activity.

No fatal flaws or impacts of a high significance has been identified to be associated with the proposed development. The issues identified will be addressed in more detail in the EIA report as part of the EIA Phase.

Considering the environmental sensitive features present within the development footprint, as identified in this Scoping Report, the Applicant has proposed a draft facility layout which considers these features, and thereby aim to avoid any direct impact on these features. The draft layout will be further assessed and optimised as part of the EIA Phase of the project to ensure that the development footprint within the affected property is appropriate from an environmental perspective, and thereby avoids the present sensitive environmental features and areas as identified by the independent specialists . Refer to Figure H for the draft layout proposed for development.

The EAP therefore recommends that:

The scoping report be approved after which the EIA process, as required by Regulations 23 to 24 may commence.

We trust that the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment find the report in order and we eagerly await your comments in this regard.



10 REFERENCES

ACTS *see* SOUTH AFRICA

ANON. nd. Guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessments.
<http://redlist.sanbi.org/eiaguidelines.php>

BUTLER, E. 2022. Palaeontological Impact Assessment For The Proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant Near Gamagara , Northern Cape Province.

BODEN, T.A., G. MARLAND, and R.J. ANDRES. 2011. Global, Regional, and National Fossil-Fuel CO₂ Emissions. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., U.S.A.

BOTHA, A. J. 2022. The proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant near Gamagara , Northern Cape Province. Visual Impact Assessment.

BOTHA, M. 2022. The proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant near Gamagara , Northern Cape Province. Social Impact Assessment.

CONSTITUTION *see* SOUTH AFRICA. 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DoE). Integrated Resource Plan 2010-2030

DEPARTMENT OF MINERALS AND ENERGY (DME). 2003. White Paper on Renewable Energy.

ENERGY BLOG. 2015. Energy Blog – Project Database. [Web:]
<http://www.energy.org.za/knowledge-tools/project-database?search=projectlookup&task=search> [Date of assess: 28 September 2015].

ERASMUS, M. 2022. Terrestrial Biodiversity Assessment – Virgo Solar Power Plant Project. The Biodiversity Company.

FIRST SOLAR. 2011. PV Technology comparison.

INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CORPORATION (IFC). 2012. International Finance Corporation’s Policy on Environmental and Social Sustainability.

IFC & WORLD BANK GROUP. 2007. Environmental, Health, and Safety General Guidelines.

MAMERA, M. 2022. Soil and Agricultural Assessment Report for the proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant. The Biodiversity Company.

JOHN TAOLO GAETSWE DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY. John Taolo Gaetswe District Municipality Integrated Development Plan for 2017-2021.

GAMAGARA LOCAL MUNICIPALITY. Gamagara Local Municipality Integrated Development Plan for 2020 – 2021.

MUCINA, L. AND RUTHERFORD, M.C. 2006. The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. *Strelitzia* 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

NATIONAL DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. 2006. Development and Application of a Land Capability Classification System for South Africa.

NC PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT. 2012. Northern Cape Provincial Development and Resource Management Plan. Pretoria: Government Printer.

NERSA. 2009. South Africa Renewable Energy Feed-in Tariff (REFIT) – Regulatory Guidelines.

SANBI. 2016. Guidelines for Environmental Impact Assessments. [Web:] <http://redlist.sanbi.org/eiaguidelines.php>. Date of access: 26 April 2016.

SMEC. 2021. Feasibility Geotechnical Investigation Report - Watershed 1-3 Solar PV Projects, Lichtenburg.

SOLARGIS. 2011. Global Horizontal Irradiation (GHI). [Web:] <http://solargis.info/doc/71> [Date of access: 7 May 2014].

SOUTH AFRICA (a). 1998. The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, No. 85 of 1983. Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA. 1996. Constitution of the Republic of South Africa as adopted by the Constitutional Assembly on 8 May 1996 and as amended on 11 October 1996. (B34B-96.) (ISBN: 0-260-20716-7.)

SOUTH AFRICA (a). 1998. The National Environmental Management Act, No. 107 of 1998. Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA (b). 1998. The National Water Act, No. 36 of 1998. Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA. 1999. The National Heritage Resources Act, No. 25 of 1999. Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA. 2004. The National Environment Management: Air Quality Act, No. 39 of 2004. Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA (a). 2008. The National Energy Act, No. 34 of 2008. Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA (b). 2008. The National Environmental Management: Waste Act, No. 59 of 2008. Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA. 2010. Regulations in terms of Chapter 5 of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998. (GNR. 543, 544 and 545. 2010.). Pretoria: Government Printer.

SOUTH AFRICA. Minister in the Presidency: Planning (2009). *Medium Term Strategic Framework. – A Framework to guide Governments Programme in the Electoral Mandate Period 2009-2014.*

SWINGLER, S. 2006. Statistics on Underground Cable in Transmission networks, Final Report of CIGRE Working Group B1.07.

VAN SCHALKWYK, J. 2022. Cultural heritage impact assessment for the development of the proposed Virgo Solar Power Plant (Pty) Ltd near Gamagara /Welkom, Northern Cape Province.

VAN ZYL. L. 2022. Traffic Impact Study For The Transportation Of Solar Energy Equipment To The Virgo Solar Power Plant Near Welkom/ Gamagara , Northern Cape Province.

WORLD BANK GROUP. 2006. The Equator Principles.