

A REHABILITATION AND REVEGETATION PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED COMBINED CYCLE GAS TURBINE (CCGT) POWER PLANT AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, SALDANHA BAY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY, WEST COAST DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE

An EOH Company

Innovation in Sustainability



Prepared for: VORTUM ENERGY (PTY) LTD Prepared by: Exigo Sustainability



A REHABILITATION AND REVEGETATION PLAN FOR THE PROPOSED COMBINED CYCLE GAS TURBINE (CCGT) POWER PLANT AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, SALDANHA BAY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY, WEST COAST DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY, WESTERN CAPE PROVINCE

ECOLOGICAL REPORT

April 2016

Conducted on behalf of: Vortum Energy (Pty) Ltd

Compiled by:

Dr. BJ Henning (PhD plant Ecology; M.Sc Botany - Soil Science related Pr.Sci.Nat)

Reviewed by:

Ms. E. Grobler (EAP.)

Although Exigo exercises due care and diligence in rendering services and preparing documents, Exigo accepts no liability, and the client, by receiving this document, indemnifies Exigo and its directors, managers, agents and employees against all actions, claims, demands, losses, liabilities, costs, damages and expenses arising from or in connection with services rendered, directly or indirectly by Exigo and by the use of the information contained in this document.

This document contains confidential and proprietary information of Exigo and is protected by copyright in favour of Exigo and may not be reproduced, or used without the written consent of Exigo, which has been obtained beforehand. This document is prepared exclusively *for Vortum Energy (Pty) Ltd* and is subject to all confidentiality, copyright and trade secrets, rules, intellectual property law and practices of South Africa.

Directors: Dr Koos Vivier, Dr Christine Vivier, Michael Grobler, Elrize van Zyl **Associates:** Dr Buks Henning, Robert Hansen, Neels Kruger





Innovation in Sustainability

REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

Name	Institution
Mr. D. Ventura	Vortum Energy (Pty) Ltd
Ms. E. Grobler	AGES Limpopo

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Date	Version	Status
February 2016	1.0	Draft 1
April 2016	2.0	Final



Table of contents

1	ASSIGNN	IENT1
1.1	INFOR	MATION SOURCES1
1.2	REGUL	ATIONS GOVERNING THIS REPORT1
	1.2.1 R982	National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) - Regulation No. 1
1.3	TERMS	OF REFERENCE
	1.3.1 1.3.2	Objectives
2	INTRODU	ICTION
3	STUDY A	REA5
3.1	LOCAT	ION AND DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY
4	PRINCIPL	ES OF ENVIRONMENTAL REHABILITATION12
4.1	BEST P	RACTICES IN REHABILITATION PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT12
4.2	APPLIE	D PRINCIPLES OF ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION12
4.3	COMP	ATIBILITY IN LAND USE, LAND COVER AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN
5	THE REH	ABILITATION WORKS/METHODS
5.1	IDENTI	FICATION AND PROTECTION OF ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS
5.2	COMPI	REHENSIVE PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD14
5.3	SEARC	H AND RESCUE ACTIVITIES14
5.4	CLEAR	ED INDIGENOUS PLANT MATERIAL15
5.5	TOPSO	IL, STOCKPILES AND BACKFILLING15
5.6	COMP	ACTION REHABILITATION MEASURES16
5.7	EROSIC	ON REHABILITATION MEASURES17
5.8	RE-VEC	SETATION
5.9	INVASI	VE PLANT SPECIES
5.10	FIRE H	AZARD
5.11	FAUNA	
6	REHABI	LITATION AND REVEGETATION OF THE SITE22
7	MAINTE	NANCE AND MONITORING22
8	REFERE	ENCES
9	APPEN	DIX A. PLANT SPECIES LISTS FOR QDS24



1 ASSIGNMENT

Exigo Sustainability was appointed by AGES Limpopo to compile a rehabilitation and revegetation plan for the proposed establishment of an energy generation facility (thermal power plant) with associated infrastructure and structures on a portion (±130 ha) of the Remainder of the Farm LANGEBERG 188, Malmesbury RD (861.6007 ha in extent), located within the Saldanha Bay Local Municipality, West Coast District Municipality, Western Cape Province. The development also includes the development of a new powerline corridor between the site and the Aurora Substation, as well as a natural gas or liquid fuel supply pipeline.

The assignment is interpreted as follows: Compile a management plan to be implemented by the Environmental Control Officer (ECO) for the rehabilitation and revegetation of the proposed development site. The study will be done according to guidelines stipulated by the Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism (DEAT.

1.1 INFORMATION SOURCES

The following information sources were obtained:

- 1. All relevant maps through Geographical Information Systems (GIS) mapping, and information (previous studies and environmental databases) on the rehabilitation and revegetation of the site concerned;
- 2. Requirements regarding the management plan as requested by DEAT;
- Information on the micro-habitat level was obtained through obtaining a first-hand perspective from the ecological study compiled by Hoare (2012) was also utilized for this study.

1.2 REGULATIONS GOVERNING THIS REPORT

1.2.1 National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) - Regulation No. R982

This report was prepared in terms of the National Environmental Management Act, 1998 (Act No. 107 of 1998) Gazette No. 38282 Government Notice R. 982. Appendix 6 – Specialist reports includes a list of requirements to be included in a specialist report:

- 1. A specialist report or a report prepared in terms of these regulations must contain:
 - a. Details of
 - i. The specialist who prepared the report; and
 - ii. The expertise of that specialist to compile a specialist



report, including a curriculum vitae;

- A declaration that the specialist is independent in a form as may be specified by the competent authority;
- c. An indication of the scope of, and purpose for which, the report was prepared;
- d. The date and season of the site investigation and the relevance of the season to the outcome of the assessment;
- e. A description of the methodology adopted in preparing the report or carrying out the specialized process;
- f. The specific identified sensitivity of the site related to the activity and its associated structures and infrastructure;
- g. An identification of any areas to be avoided, including buffers;
- A map superimposing the activity including the associated structures and infrastructure on the environmental sensitivities of the site including areas to be avoided, including buffers;
- A description of any assumptions made and any uncertainties or gaps in knowledge;
- A description of the findings and potential implications of such findings on the impact of the proposed activity, including identified alternatives, on the environment;
- k. any mitigation measures for inclusion in the EMPr;
- I. any conditions for inclusion in the environmental authorisation;
- m. any monitoring requirements for inclusion in the EMPr or environmental authorisation
- n. a reasoned opinion
 - i. As to whether the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised and
 - If the opinion is that the proposed activity or portions thereof should be authorised, any avoidance, management and mitigation measures that should be included in the EMPr and where applicable, the closure



plan;

- A description of any consultation process that was undertaken during the course of preparing the specialist report;
- A summary and copies of any comments received during any consultation process and where applicable all responses thereto; and
- q. Any other information requested by the competent authority.

1.3 TERMS OF REFERENCE

1.3.1 Objectives

- a. The main aim of the plan is to provide guidelines to be implemented after the construction phase of the development to ensure that previous impacts are rectified by rehabilitating or restoring the affected environment. This will include attempts at habitat re-creation, to restore the original land uses and biodiversity values;
- b. Provide management and rehabilitation guidelines to ensure that the biodiversity will form part of a sustainable environment after rehabilitation;
- c. Make recommendations in terms of revegetation ecological management and rehabilitation procedures for the general environment of the site and surrounding areas;

1.3.2 Limitations and assumptions

- In order to obtain a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of rehabilitation and revegetation plan, monitoring should ideally be replicated over several seasons and over a number of years. However, due to project time constraints such long-term studies are not feasible;
- The large study area did not allow for the finer level of assessment that can be obtained in smaller study areas. Therefore, data collection in this study relied heavily on data from representative sections, as well as general observations, generic data and a desktop analysis;



2 INTRODUCTION

Rehabilitation can be defined as the return of disturbed areas to a safe, stable, productive and self-sustaining condition that promotes bio diverse land use. Land rehabilitation techniques can be used to speed up the time required to restore the impacted area back to its original, or better, state. To re-create and maintain a sustainable environment it is important to plan how the areas to be impacted by the construction of the Shirley Solar Park will be rehabilitated and revegetated.

A central purpose in rehabilitation planning should be to promote the ecological integrity of each site and surrounding landscapes. The application of ecological restoration principles requires that plans are developed consistent with regional or landscape level ecological objectives. At the local scale, this involves an examination of surrounding landscapes, in combination with determining predicted successional trends of vegetation communities appropriate to enhance local and regional ecosystems.

At the site level, emphasis is placed on rehabilitation techniques such as land-form replication and planting species that will promote site stability and sustainability. Re-vegetation should use indigenous species that contribute most to the compatibility of the local ecology and increase biodiversity.

The final goal of the rehabilitation planning process is a practical, achievable and adequately resourced rehabilitation programme. Rehabilitation of the disturbed areas should be done in such a way to ensure that the rehabilitation and revegetation on the site for the Shirley Solar Park will be sustainable in the long term.



3 STUDY AREA

3.1 LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY

The project site consists of a portion (±130 ha) of the Remainder of the Farm LANGEBERG 188, Malmesbury RD (861.6007 ha in extent), located within the Saldanha Bay Local Municipality, West Coast District Municipality, Western Cape Province. The project site is located 9 km North-East of the Port of Saldanha Bay, West of the regional road R27, in an area excluded from the provisions of the Subdivision of Agricultural Land Act (Act 70 of 1970) and already earmarked for Industrial Uses.

The Eskom Blouwater Distribution Substation is located 3.2 km South-West of the project site; the Saldanha Steel Works is 5km West-South-West from the project site; the Langebaanweg Military Airport is 7.5 km East of the project site.

Access to the project site would be either:

- From the regional road R27, which runs adjacent to the eastern boundary of the project site; or
- From a secondary road (R79) linking the regional road R27 with the regional road R399, which runs adjacent to the southern boundary of the project site.

The developed area (footprint) will be up to 80 hectares. The energy generation facility will be a thermal power plant with a maximum generation capacity up to 1200 MWel (electrical rated power). The aerial image of the site is indicated in figure 2.

The name of the facility will be VORTUM THERMAL POWER PLANT. The characteristics, the technology and the extent of the initiative are defined more in detail below.

The proposed thermal power plant will be a Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) power plant, to be fuelled with natural gas imported by means of one or more gas import facilities (e.g. LNG Import Terminal(s) and/or new gas pipeline(s)). Indeed the Department of Energy is investigating the feasibility of new gas pipelines and LNG Import Terminals, in order to import natural gas from new offshore gas fields and/or from other countries (e.g. Mozambique). The securing of new energy sources, like natural gas, has become high priority for the Government, considering that the current energy production is not able to meet the increased energy demand of the Country. This leads to frequent electricity shortage and fluctuations in supply ("load shedding"), detrimental to the economic development of South Africa.

Should natural gas not be available at the time of the commissioning of the Vortum Thermal Power Plant, the proposed facility may be fuelled with liquid fuel (diesel or other types of liquid fuels) until natural gas is available. Gas turbines can be fuelled either with natural gas or

liquid fuel.

Due to the current electricity shortage and the urgent need for new power generation units in the Country, the Vortum Thermal Power Plant may operate as an Open Cycle Gas Turbine (OCGT) power plant as a first phase and in the second phase, with the "closure" of the open cycle (by means of steam turbine units added to the gas turbine unites), as a Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) power plant. The construction timeframe of an OCGT plant is notably shorter than that of a CCGT plant.

In a CCGT power plant a Rankine cycle (steam cycle) is added to a Brayton cycle (gas cycle). The combination of the two thermodynamic cycles results in improved overall efficiency as less heat is wasted because heat is recovered - the "waste" heat from the gas cycle is utilised to produce steam to generate additional electricity via steam turbine units, enhancing the efficiency of overall electricity generation. The thermal efficiency of a CCGT power plant is up to 62%.

A Combined Cycle Gas Turbine (CCGT) power plant consists of gas turbine units coupled with steam turbine units: the "waste" heat from each gas turbine is sent to heat recovery steam generators (HRSG) to generate high pressure steam; the steam from the HRSG drives steam turbines coupled with generators, in order to generate electricity increasing the efficiency of the power plant.

Each gas turbine and steam turbine is coupled to the single generator in a tandem arrangement, on a single shaft (single-shaft configuration). The CCGT power plant will consist of the following components:

- Two or more gas turbine units with a capacity up to 400 MWel (electrical rated power) each;
- Fuel storage facility (in case of liquid fuel);
- Heat recovery steam generators (HRSG) to generate steam;
- Two or more steam turbine units with a capacity up to 220 MWel (electrical rated power) each;
- Electrical generators, which convert the mechanical energy of the gas and steam turbine units to electricity;
- Gas compressors and combustors, for the gas cycle;
- Water pumps and pressurisers, for the steam cycle;
- Cooling system, with condensers & cooling towers, in order to condensate the steam

Exigo³

Vortum Thermal Power Plant Rehabilitation & Revegetation Plan

to water;

- A dam, to collect the water necessary for the generation of steam;
- A control room with offices;
- Warehouses;
- A natural gas or liquid fuel supply pipeline;
- A water supply pipeline;
- On-site high voltage substation;
- High-voltage power lines, for the connection to the Eskom grid.

The number and size (capacity) of the gas and steam turbine units has not been finalised yet and will depend on the load (demand) curve required by the grid. This will be assessed during the scoping phase in consultation with Eskom.

The CCGT power plant may consist of - e.g.:

- 2 gas turbines units of 375 MWel each + 2 steam turbines units of 200 MWel each (overall installed capacity: 1150 MWel); or (e.g.)
- Gas turbines units of 150 MWel each + 5 steam turbines units of 80 MWel each (overall installed capacity: 1150 MWel); or (e.g.);
- A combination of different sizes of gas and steam turbine units.

The overall installed capacity will nevertheless be up to 1200 MWel. The Vortum Thermal Power Plant will deliver the energy to the Eskom AURORA main transmission substation via one or more 400 kV power lines approximately 27 km long. The number of new 400 kV power lines will be assessed during the scoping phase in consultation with Eskom. The proposed power line corridor runs parallel to existing Eskom high-voltage power lines and may cross through the following properties (please refer to Locality Map Figure 1)

- Portions 1 and 9 (Remaining Extent) of the Farm LANGEBERG 187;
- Portions 1 and Remainder of the Farm UYEKRAAL 189;
- Farm EVERTS HOPE 190;
- Farm WASCHKLIP 183;
- Farm ZOUTEKUYLEN 179;
- FARM 1162;
- Portions 3 and 8 of the Farm LANGVERWACHT 178;





- Farm ADJOINING SPRINGFONTEIN 174;
- Portions 3 and 4 of the Farm DRIEHOEKS FONTEIN 176

A natural gas / fuel supply pipeline is also planned as part of the development.



Innovation in Sustainability

Vortum Thermal Power Plant Rehabilitation & Revegetation Plan



Figure 1. Regional Location Map





Figure 2. Satelite image showing the project area (Google Pro, 2010)





Figure 3. Layout Map of the proposed Vortum Thermal Power Plant and associated powerline and gas / fuel pipelines



4 PRINCIPLES OF ENVIRONMENTAL REHABILITATION

4.1 BEST PRACTICES IN REHABILITATION PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

Use of rehabilitation planning and environmental management that aims for sustainability is encouraged in all aspects of reclamation planning, design and implementation. Environmental Guidelines by the Department of Water Affairs and Forestry (DWAF), 2005 aims to guide environmental management during all phases of a project lifecycle.

These Environmental Best Practice Guidelines for; Planning; Construction, Operation and Decommissioning Planning provide a scientific-based, comprehensive and integrated strategies to also perform rehabilitation for developments and therefore mitigate against safety hazards and environmental degradation.

4.2 APPLIED PRINCIPLES OF ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION

A central purpose in rehabilitation planning should be to promote the ecological integrity of each site and surrounding landscapes. The application of ecological restoration principles requires that plans are developed consistent with regional or landscape level ecological objectives. At the local scale, this involves an examination of surrounding landscapes, in combination with determining predicted successional trends of vegetation communities appropriate to enhance local and regional ecosystems.

At the site level, emphasis is placed on rehabilitation techniques such as land-form replication and planting species that will promote site stability and sustainability. Re-vegetation should use indigenous species that contribute most to the compatibility of the local ecology and increase biodiversity.

Ecological restoration with biodiversity benefits in mind must involve an orderly set of considerations that promote successful procedures and practices. Often these practices, although based on similar general considerations, will need to be innovative because of the unique set of circumstances that each area and ecosystem to be restored represents. The restoration objectives must be formulated from a detailed knowledge of the basic structural and functional characteristics of natural ecosystems.

The development of measurable criteria for judging restoration success has proved difficult but they are usually derived from the particular community and ecosystem characteristics desired as restoration objectives (Johnson and Putwain 1981; Hobbs and Norton 1996). Cairns (1993) provides three general success guidelines that the restored ecosystem should attain: (i) self-regulation for some set period of time, where self-regulation means the structural and functional attributes persist in the absence of whatever "subsidies" (fertilizer, seeding etc.) may have been necessary during the initial phases of implementation; (ii) the design criteria (restoration goal and objectives) established before restoration was undertaken; (iii) no observable adverse effects in the larger ecological landscape.



Sustainability

Vortum Thermal Power Plant Rehabilitation & Revegetation Plan

From these criteria, it can be seen that it is absolutely necessary to have restoration objectives that have unambiguous operational definitions (technically feasible), which are ecologically sound (scientifically valid) and socially relevant, and that are receptive to measurement and prediction (Cairns 2000). The ecosystem characteristics measured are usually those related to the composition, structure, and pattern of the vegetation as a key component of the biodiversity pool (Allen 1992). It is notable that some important structural measurements of biodiversity are usually omitted (Chambers et al. 1994). In particular, measurements concerning the soil biotic community and animal species numbers are not usually made, even though they can often provide important indications of long-term productivity and successional pathways (Chambers and Wade 1992).

Ecosystem characteristics for consideration as ecological restoration objectives (adapted from Hobbs (1999) are:

- Composition: species presence and their relative abundance;
- Structure: vertical arrangement of vegetation and soil components;
- Pattern: horizontal arrangement of system components;
- Heterogeneity: a variable composing of characteristics 1-3;
- Function: performance of basic ecosystem processes (energy capture, water retention, nutrient cycling);
- Species Interactions, e.g., pollination, seed dispersal etc.;
- Dynamics and resilience: succession and state-transition processes, ability to recover from normal episodic disturbance events (e.g., floods, drought, fire).

In the restoration of sites where the topsoil has been lost, the major ecological challenges are still concerned with plant species-substrate interactions, i.e., revegetation. Restoration practice where topsoil has been retained focuses less on vegetation establishment and more on the spatial and temporal factors affecting species colonization and establishment, the criteria for monitoring and assessing success, particularly in the longer term, and the restoration of natural indigenous ecosystems and biodiversity values.

4.3 COMPATIBILITY IN LAND USE, LAND COVER AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN

Final rehabilitation plans and designs should ensure that the natural ecological land use system of the site is restored and maintained through a sustainable development solution. Landscape design and development plans should be incorporated into the rehabilitation planning process; including landforms, structures, planting pallet development and surrounding developments interface with the site and natural drainage system.



5 THE REHABILITATION WORKS/METHODS

The Environmental Rehabilitation process at the site should form an integral part of site development, operation and closure activities. A Rehabilitation Specialist and/or Environmental Control Officer (ECO) should therefore be appointed, and be available on-site as part of the rehabilitation management / construction team. The ECO should form an integral part of the management team, attending regular site meetings, receiving Project Meeting Minutes and being kept fully updated regarding the closure plan and site rehabilitation process.

This information is vital in ensuring that the necessary preventative measures and Search and Rescue activities are affected timeously.

5.1 IDENTIFICATION AND PROTECTION OF ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS

The on-site Environmental Control Officer and/or Rehabilitation Specialist should be fully aware of the scale and extent of the rehabilitation operations. No further vegetation clearing, levelling, excavation, topsoil removal or plant material removal is to be permitted without prior consent from the ECO and Rehabilitation Specialist based on the rehabilitation plan for the site unless instructed by them. Care must be taken during rehabilitation to avoid the natural drainage areas occurring on the property. No vegetation clearance, topsoil collection or movement of machinery and vehicles should be allowed here as to keep the ecological integrity of the drainage areas intact.

5.2 COMPREHENSIVE PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

In order for practical and attainable rehabilitation goals to be defined, it is recommended that a comprehensive photographic record of the entire property be created. Video footage may also be useful in compiling such a record. A photographic record of the entire property should be kept as it could become a very valuable tool for the Rehabilitation Works in future. It would serve as the basis for rehabilitation requirements, informing decisions on drainage, soil shaping, levels, plant choices and rehabilitation in general. It can also serve as a verification report to authorities and land administrators regarding the legislative processes, sustainable approach and progressive improvement.

5.3 SEARCH AND RESCUE ACTIVITIES

Search and rescue should be considered only as a last resort and sensitive areas and SCC should be avoided first. If search and rescue is deemed necessary, a safe receiving environment must be identified first, in collaboration with Cape Nature

.Search and Rescue activities could be initiated as part of the Rehabilitation process. Where rehabilitation actions will commence, viable, transplantable plant species could be identified by the ECO / Rehabilitation Specialist, removed and stored in a potential 'on-site', self

sustaining nursery, to be re-used in rehabilitation activities in future.

Plant material that is to be "rescued" must be potted up into bags utilising local soil obtained from the previously stored topsoil heap. Adequate root systems per plant material type must be carefully excavated and retained in order for plant material to remain viable. Search and Rescue activities would include the removal of grass clumps, smaller transplantable shrubs and trees and endangered species such as geophytes and succulents should be placed into bags using local soil.

Animals like small mammals, reptiles and birds encountered during rehabilitation operations should be captured or moved by a specialist and released in a safe area. No animals may be poached at the property or adjacent areas. Many animals are protected by law and poaching or other interference could result in a fine or jail term.

5.4 CLEARED INDIGENOUS PLANT MATERIAL

Where construction or rehabilitation activities are to commence in a specific area, certain indigenous plant material from the construction footprint area could be collected and bagged to be used in re-vegetation or as mulch during rehabilitation.. To protect exposed, erosion prone areas, Sickle bush could be cut and used to *"brush pack"* these problem areas to protect it. This will also restrict movement of animals and humans over sensitive erosion probe areas until pioneer vegetation has established.

5.5 TOPSOIL, STOCKPILES AND BACKFILLING

The manner in which topsoil and stockpiles are created and maintained is important with regards to the implementation of a successful rehabilitation process. Soil management practices must be adhered to in order to reduce soil loss and to encourage rehabilitation post-construction. The two most important aspects to consider when removing topsoil are the depth of soil to be removed and the conditions of storage.

The topsoil layer (0-25 cm) is important as it contains nutrients, organic material, seed, and communities of micro-organisms, fungi and soil fauna. The biologically active upper layer of soil is fundamental in the development of soils and the sustainability of the entire ecosystem. The correct handling of topsoil is vital in conserving the seed bank and nutrients which occur within this layer thereby ensuring successful rehabilitation.

- Topsoil must only be used for rehabilitation purposes and not for any other use example i.e. construction of roads. Topsoil should not be stored for longer than 3 months otherwise any seedbank that may be contained will not be viable;
- Previously excavated areas on the site should be backfilled with suitable topsoil, levelled to resemble the surrounding topography and slopes and scarified for revegetation/re-seeding.



- On steeper slopes rehabilitation measures may include systems such as soil terracing, berm creation, grass blocks, fascine work, gabion basket work, reno mattresses, retaining block mechanisms, sand bags, boulder and rock placement, stone pitching, and grading.
- Erosion control netting or matting (GeoJute or Bio-Jute) may be utilised on steep slopes to assist with soil retention, weed control and vegetation establishment. The netting material helps protect the soil from wind and water erosion, and the required rehabilitation plant material can be installed by making small incisions for planting. The netting is biodegradable and will eventually break down and form a mulch layer.





5.6 COMPACTION REHABILITATION MEASURES

Soil compaction is often an effect of high traffic areas on development sites. It can become a major problem and can be recognized by:

- Excess surface moisture and slow drying soils due to deeper compaction preventing the percolation of water through the soil profile;
- Water runoff due to surface compaction preventing penetration and absorption (ponding of water), especially on banks and sloping surfaces.
- Large clear or sparsely covered areas devoid of a good vegetative cover due to hardened topsoil layers

Rip and/or scarify all disturbed areas, including roads that are no longer in use (preferably before the rainy season). Do not rip and/or scarify areas under wet conditions, as the soil will not loosen.

Compacted soil can also be decompacted by "Rotary Decompactors" to effectively aerate soils for vegetation establishment.



5.7 EROSION REHABILITATION MEASURES

Water has the gift to sustain life, but also the potential to maim, damage and destroy if not managed correctly. Remedial actions must be established to ensure that potential erosion is addressed with an erosion control strategy towards long-term rehabilitation. It is important to take note of the following generic points regarding erosion risks in the study area:

- Soil loss will be greater during wetter periods. However, the provision of erosion control measures for the through the drier months of the year is equally as important;
- Soil loss from the site is proportionally related to the time the soils are exposed, prior to rehabilitation. The time from commencement of rehabilitation activities to finalization thereof should be limited. Rehabilitation efforts should commence as soon as practical;
- Construction staging and progressive/concurrent rehabilitation is important; and
- The extent of the disturbance that will take place will influence the risk and consequences of erosion on the site.
- Avoid over-wetting, saturation and unnecessary run-off during dust control activities and irrigation.
- Retain natural indigenous grass and shrubs and re-vegetate bare areas as soon as possible.

5.8 RE-VEGETATION

Plant species that have been rescued or removed and relocated to the temporary nursery could be used in replanting rehabilitation areas.

Additional plant material (indigenous trees) as required should be sourced from local indigenous nurseries and specifications regarding plant sizes, heights and the installation process of these plants should be developed by the On Site ECO and Rehabilitation Specialist. Standard horticultural best practice would apply, with specific reference to the fact that the plant material would have to be in good condition, free from pests and diseases (any such plant would have to be removed from the site), well formed and well rooted, potting materials are weed free and with sufficient root cover. Groundcovers and sedges are often supplied in trays, and the same standards would apply.

 A grass seed specification for reseeding the rehabilitated areas should coincide with the natural vegetation of the area and should be recommended by a rehabilitation specialist. Re-grassing should be undertaken (as far as possible) during the summer months, as germination and establishment is best at this time of year. Spring rains are also conducive to good germination results, and as such rehabilitation



programmes should take these factors into consideration.

- There are two methods for seeding, hand broadcasting and hydro-seeding. The methods utilised will be site specific and the On Site ECO and Rehabilitation Specialist will determine them.
- In certain areas grass runners may be required, and grass sods where instant cover is necessary.

5.9 INVASIVE PLANT SPECIES

The Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act, 1983 (Act No. 43 of 1983) holds landowners legally responsible for the control of invasive alien plants on their properties.

Control involves killing the plants present, killing the seedlings which emerge, and establishing and managing an alternative plant cover to limit re-growth and re-invasion. Weeds and invader plants will be controlled in the manner prescribed for that category by the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act or in terms of Working for Water guidelines.

Scientists and field workers use a range of methods to control invasive alien plants. These include:

- Mechanical methods felling, removing or burning invading alien plants.
- Chemical methods using environmentally safe herbicides.
- Biological control using species-specific insects and diseases from the alien plant's country of origin. To date 76 bio-control agents have been released in South Africa against 40 weed species.
- Integrated control combinations of the above three approaches. Often an integrated approach is required in order to prevent enormous impacts.

The following management principles should be implemented:

- The Contractor is responsible for the control of weeds and invader plants within the construction site for the duration of the construction phase. Alien invasive tree species such as black wattle and blue gum should be eradicated.
- Topsoil should not be stored for longer than 3 months otherwise any seedbank that may be contained will not be viable. Only locally indigenous grass species and shrubs should be used for rehabilitation purposes.
- Institute strict control over materials brought onto site, which should be inspected for potential invasive invertebrate species and steps taken to eradicate these before transport to the site. Routinely fumigate or spray all materials with appropriate low-

Exigo³

Vortum Thermal Power Plant Rehabilitation & Revegetation Plan

residual insecticides prior to transport to or in a quarantine area on site. The Argentine ant is nearly impossible to eradicate once it has established itself.

- Rehabilitate disturbed areas as quickly as possible to reduce the area where invasive species would be at a strong advantage and most easily able to establish.
- Institute a monitoring programme to detect alien invasive species early, before they become established and, in the case of weeds, before the release of seeds. Specific "problem areas" where clearing needs to be done with extreme caution to prevent impact on surrounding natural vegetation include:
 - Sand fynbos areas
 - Natural strandveld habitats
- Ongoing, annual alien plant management must be undertaken in the High and Medium sensitivity sections of the servitudes. Methodology used must comply with DWAF methodology for control of *Acacia saligna* and *Acacia cyclops*
- Key elements include: alien clearing must be undertaken by well trained teams using the right equipment; all stems must be cut by hand (not heavy machinery); all cut stumps must immediately (within 5 minutes) be painted with a suitable herbicide that contains a visible dye (in order to prevent resprouting, and to ensure that all stems are painted); no spraying of herbicide; cut stems must be neatly stacked at the outside edges of the servitudes, or preferably removed from the servitudes to an approved organic waste dump site. Institute an eradication/control programme for early intervention if invasive species are detected, so that their spread to surrounding natural ecosystems can be prevented.
- Bi-annual monitoring should be undertaken by an independent consultant to ensure that alien vegetation is being cleared appropriately from the High sensitivity areas, and to ensure that these areas are not being bushcut more than once every ten years.
- Control involves killing the plants present, killing the seedlings which emerge, and establishing and managing an alternative plant cover to limit re-growth and reinvasion. Weeds and invader plants will be controlled in the manner prescribed for that category by the Conservation of Agricultural Resources Act or in terms of Working for Water guidelines.
- Institute an eradication/control programme for early intervention if invasive species are detected, so that their spread to surrounding natural ecosystems can be prevented.



- Rehabilitate disturbed areas as quickly as possible to reduce the area where invasive species would be at a strong advantage and most easily able to establish.
- Institute a monitoring programme to detect alien invasive species early, before they become established and, in the case of weeds, before the release of seeds.
- During site visits in November 2015 no serious problem areas in terms of invasive plants were noticed apart from a few solitary species like the *Acacia cyclops* and *Acacia mearnsii*. Invasive plants should be monitored and eradicated as soon as they appear in the project area.

5.10 FIRE HAZARD

Wildfires can be started both by people and by acts of nature. They are often associated with slash and burn activities, which in times of drought, can pose greater hazards. Negligence of people often plays a major role in such a hazard. Factors affecting the impact of vegetation fire hazards are:

- Vegetation dryness (moisture content and amount of living vegetation);
- o Changes in weather variables that influence the spread and intensity of fires;
- Availability of combustibles; and
- Long term drought in the dry season.
- The grass cover along the boundary fences of the adjacent properties should be kept short (30 cm) in order to minimise the fire hazards;
- Adequate precautions have to be taken to ensure that fires are not started on site;
- Do not permit any fires or open flames anywhere on the site, except at designated areas;
- Cleared vegetation must not be burned on the site.

5.11 FAUNA

- Rehabilitation should be done to ensure that fauna which occurred in the area of the solar farm return to the area. The reduction of construction activities and vehicles on the site should allow faunal populations to utilise the rehabilitated area once again;
- If pesticides or herbicides are used, the products should be chosen responsibly to act in accordance with the sensitive environment and associated ecology. Storage, administering and disposal must be done according to the prescribed methods. Care should be taken to prevent any of the pollution from ending up in the drainage channels;
- The restoration or rehabilitation actions will need the implementation of a faunal monitoring program as a barometer for the management to recognise positive changes and trends in the



biodiversity of the development area during and after closure. The objectives of such a programme may include:

- Assessment of future improvement/deterioration of the faunal biodiversity of the area (thus a measure of success of environmental management);
- Increase the accuracy of present status determination (actual species present vs. expected species) of the area with every survey;
- Determination of both temporal and spatial trends in faunal biodiversity on the area;
- Assist in future management of the area by providing recommendations and guidelines regarding future activities and rehabilitation;
- Biodiversity management actions during closure should include controlling and monitoring of numbers of alien invasive fauna numbers by eradication, habitat modification, resource limitation and public education.
- Young nutrient rich growth may entice herbivores to rehabilitated areas. The increased grazing pressure may decrease the rate of rehabilitation. Herbivore-proof fencing or brush packing may be required around the rehabilitation zones in the early stages to protect seedlings from grazers if grazing pressure is found to significantly affect growth;
- Revegetation programs will include consideration of the possibility of reconstructing fauna habitats. Old salvage logs from cleared areas will be replaced after construction where possible, to provide habitat for fauna;



6 REHABILITATION AND REVEGETATION OF THE SITE

The following methods and principles apply for rehabilitation purposes:

- All areas where material will be removed for backfill and rehabilitation construction purposes should be graded and shaped in such a way as to resemble the natural surrounding landscape;
- All bare areas should be ripped/scarified;
- A grass seed specification for re-seeding the rehabilitated areas is provided below. Regrassing should be undertaken (as far as possible) during the summer months, as germination and establishment is best at this time of year. Spring rains are also conducive to good germination results, and as such rehabilitation programmes should take these factors into consideration.
 - There are two methods for seeding, hand broadcasting and hydroseeding. The methods utilised will be site specific and the on Site ECO and Rehabilitation Specialist will determine them.
 - In certain areas grass runners may be required, and grass sods where instant cover is necessary.
 - A typical grass seed mixture (hand sowing) typical of the Western Cape Sand Fynbos should be used for rehabilitation activities at a specification of 4-5kg/ha;

7 MAINTENANCE AND MONITORING

Several methods exist to monitor rehabilitated areas to scientifically prove that a self-sustainable ecosystem has developed or show a positive trend towards successful rehabilitation. This will prove that environmental degradation and biological diversity have been mitigated and restored where it has been negatively impacted upon. The important aspect to keep in mind is that it is not only a visual inspection, but measurable information gathering e.g. water samples, soil samples, vegetation diversity, biomass, basal cover, species composition etc. The monitoring data must be of such a standard that meaningful conclusions can be made and a trend indicated. Good record keeping is essential. All illegal invader plants and weeds shall be eradicated as required in terms of Sections 119 to 126 of The National Environmental Management Act.

Monitoring should take place on regular time intervals to establish if the revegetation strategy was successful. The site must be monitored for at least two years in order to observe any possible invasion by alien species and, if they appear, they must be controlled as is appropriate. Also to monitor and correct possible erosion, storm water and siltation problems. Soil sampling and analysis should be done every two years to monitor the development of the soil and need for supplementary fertilization.



8 **REFERENCES**

GERMISHUIZEN, G., MEYER, N.L., STEENKAMP, Y and KEITH, M. (eds.) (2006). A checklist of South African plants. Southern African Botanical Diversity Network Report No. 41, SABONET, Pretoria.

Henning B. 2014. Specialist ecological study for the proposed Shirley Solar Park. Exigo Sustainability (Pty) Ltd.

IUCN (2001). IUCN Red Data List categories and criteria: Version 3.1. IUCN Species Survival Commission: Gland, Switzerland.

MUCINA, L., RUTHERFORD, M.C., PALMER, A.R., MILTON, S.J., SCOTT, L., VAN DER MERWE, B., HOARE, D.B., BEZUIDENHOUT, H., VLOK, J.H.J., EUSTON-BROWN, D.I.W., POWRIE, L.W. & DOLD, A.P. 2006. Dwaalboom Thornveld. In: Mucina, L. & Rutherford, M.C. (eds.) The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho and Swaziland. Strelitzia 19. South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria.

MUELLER-DOMBOIS, D. AND ELLENBERG, H. 1974. Aims and methods of vegetation ecology. Wiley, New York.

RUTHERFORD, M.C. & WESTFALL, R.H. (1994). Biomes of southern Africa: an objective categorization. Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa No. 63.



Threat SA Family Species status Endemic AIZOACEAE Aizoon paniculatum L LC No AIZOACEAE LC Galenia africana L. No Tetragonia fruticosa L. AIZOACEAE LC No LC AIZOACEAE Tetragonia rosea Schltr. No AMARYLLIDACEAE Amaryllis belladonna L. LC No AMARYLLIDACEAE Boophone haemanthoides F.M.Leight. LC No AMARYLLIDACEAE Brunsvigia orientalis (L.) Aiton ex Eckl. LC No AMARYLLIDACEAE Gethyllis afra L. LC No AMARYLLIDACEAE Gethyllis ciliaris (Thunb.) Thunb. subsp. ciliaris NT No AMARYLLIDACEAE Gethyllis lanuginosa Marloth LC No LC AMARYLLIDACEAE Haemanthus pubescens L.f. subsp. pubescens No AMARYLLIDACEAE Hessea mathewsii W.F.Barker CR No AMARYLLIDACEAE Strumaria chaplinii (W.F.Barker) Snijman ΕN No AMARYLLIDACEAE Strumaria tenella (L.f.) Snijman subsp. tenella LC No LC ANACARDIACEAE Searsia dissecta (Thunb.) Moffett No ANACARDIACEAE LC Searsia glauca (Thunb.) Moffett No Not Searsia laevigata (L.) F.A.Barkley var. laevigata forma laevigata ANACARDIACEAE Evaluated No ANACARDIACEAE LC Searsia pterota (C.Presl) Moffett No ANACARDIACEAE Searsia undulata (Jacq.) T.S.Yi, A.J.Mill. & J.Wen LC No ANTHERICACEAE Chlorophytum comosum (Thunb.) Jacques 1C No ANTHERICACEAE LC Chlorophytum triflorum (Aiton) Kunth No APIACEAE Annesorhiza grandiflora (Thunb.) M.Hiroe LC No APIACEAE LC Annesorhiza macrocarpa Eckl. & Zeyh. No APIACEAE Arctopus dregei Sond. NT No APIACEAE LC Arctopus echinatus L. No APIACEAE Berula thunbergii (DC.) H.Wolff LC No APIACEAE Capnophyllum africanum (L.) Gaertn. NT No APIACEAE Declining Capnophyllum leiocarpon (Sond.) Manning & Goldblatt No APIACEAE LC Centella affinis (Eckl. & Zeyh.) Adamson var. affinis No APIACEAE DDD Cynorhiza meifolia (Eckl. & Zeyh.) Magee No LC APIACEAE Cynorhiza typica Eckl. & Zeyh. No APIACEAE LC Dasispermum hispidum (Thunb.) Magee & B.-E.van Wyk No LC APIACEAE Lichtensteinia obscura (Spreng.) Koso-Pol. No Not APIACEAE Torilis arvensis (Huds.) Link Evaluated No APOCYNACEAE LC Asclepias crispa P.J.Bergius var. crispa No APOCYNACEAE Cynanchum obtusifolium L.f. LC No APOCYNACEAE Microloma sagittatum (L.) R.Br. LC No APOCYNACEAE Orbea variegata (L.) Haw. LC No ASPARAGACEAE LC Asparagus aethiopicus L No

9 APPENDIX A. PLANT SPECIES LISTS FOR QDS

Ecigo³

Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus capensis L. var. capensis	LC	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus declinatus L.	LC	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus exuvialis Burch. forma exuvialis	Not Evaluated	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus fasciculatus Thunb.	LC	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus kraussianus (Kunth) J.F.Macbr.	LC	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus lignosus Burm.f.	LC	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus retrofractus L.	LC	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus rubicundus P.J.Bergius	LC	No
ASPARAGACEAE	Asparagus undulatus (L.f.) Thunb.	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Aloe microstigma Salm-Dyck subsp. framesii (L.Bolus) Glen & D.S.Hardy	NT	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Aloe perfoliata L.	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbine annua (L.) Willd.	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbine favosa (Thunb.) Schult. & Schult.f	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbine minima Baker	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbine praemorsa (Jacq.) Spreng.	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbine sedifolia Schltr. ex Poelln.	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbinella cauda-felis (L.f.) T.Durand & Schinz	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbinella nutans (Thunb.) T.Durand & Schinz subsp. nutans	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Bulbinella triquetra (L.f.) Kunth	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Kniphofia uvaria (L.) Oken	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Trachyandra ciliata (L.f.) Kunth	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Trachyandra divaricata (Jacq.) Kunth	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Trachyandra hispida (L.) Kunth	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Trachyandra revoluta (L.) Kunth	LC	No
ASPHODELACEAE	Trachyandra scabra (L.f.) Kunth	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Amellus asteroides (L.) Druce subsp. asteroides	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Amellus capensis (Walp.) Hutch.	VU	No
ASTERACEAE	Amellus tenuifolius Burm.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Anthemis cotula L.	Not Evaluated	No
ASTERACEAE	Arctotheca calendula (L.) Levyns	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Arctotheca populifolia (P.J.Bergius) Norl.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Arctotis hirsuta (Harv.) Beauverd	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Arctotis revoluta Jacq.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Berkheya rigida (Thunb.) Erwart, Jean White & B.Rees	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Chrysanthemoides incana (Burm.f.) Norl.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Chrysocoma ciliata L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronquist	Not Evaluated	No
ASTERACEAE	Cotula coronopifolia L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Cotula duckittiae (L.Bolus) K.Bremer & Humphries	VU	No
ASTERACEAE	Cotula eckloniana (DC.) Levyns	EN	No

Ecigo³

Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
ASTERACEAE	Cotula filifolia Thunb.	CR	No
ASTERACEAE	Cotula turbinata L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Didelta carnosa (L.f.) Aiton var. carnosa	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Didelta carnosa (L.f.) Aiton var. tomentosa (Less.) Roessler	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Dimorphotheca sinuata DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Dimorphotheca tragus (Aiton) B.Nord.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Eriocephalus africanus L. var. paniculatus (Cass.) M.A.N.Müll.,P.P.J.Herman & Kolberg	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Eriocephalus racemosus L. var. affinis (DC.) Harv.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Eriocephalus racemosus L. var. racemosus	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Euryops linifolius (L.) DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Euryops multifidus (Thunb.) DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia bergeriana (Spreng.) O.Hoffm.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia dregei DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia elongata (Thunb.) O.Hoffm.	VU	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia elongata (Thunb.) O.Hoffm.	VU	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia filifolia (Vent.) Burtt Davy subsp. schlechteri (Compton) Grau	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia heterophylla (Cass.) Grau	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia hyssopifolia (P.J.Beraius) Nees subsp. alabra (DC.) Grau	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia merxmuelleri Grau	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia merxmuelleri Grau	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Felicia tenella (L.) Nees subsp. pusilla (Harv.) Grau	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Foveolina tenella (DC.) Källersjö	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Gymnodiscus capillaris (L.f.) DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum bachmannii Klatt	VU	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum cochleariforme DC.	NT	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum indicum (L.) Grierson	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum litorale Bolus	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum niveum (L.) Less.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum patulum (L.) D.Don	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum revolutum (Thunb.) Less.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Helichrysum tricostatum (Thunb.) Less.	NT	No
ASTERACEAE	Ifloga ambigua (L.) Druce	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Ifloga verticillata (L.f.) Fenzl	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Leucanthemum vulgare Lam.	Not Evaluated	No
ASTERACEAE	Leysera gnaphalodes (L.) L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Metalasia densa (Lam.) P.O.Karis	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Metalasia muricata (L.) D.Don	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Nidorella foetida (L.) DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Oedera imbricata Lam.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Oedera uniflora (L.f.) Anderb. & K.Bremer	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Oncosiphon sabulosum (Wolley-Dod) Källersjö	LC	No



Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
ASTERACEAE	Oncosiphon suffruticosum (L.) Källersjö	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Osteospermum grandiflorum DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Osteospermum pinnatum (Thunb.) Norl. var. pinnatum	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Othonna arborescens L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Othonna coronopifolia L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Othonna cylindrica (Lam.) DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Othonna frutescens L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Othonna mucronata Harv.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Othonna perfoliata (L.f.) Jacq.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Othonna quercifolia DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Poecilolepis ficoidea (DC.) Grau	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Pseudognaphalium luteo-album (L.) Hilliard & B.L.Burtt		No
ASTERACEAE	Pteronia divaricata (P.J.Bergius) Less.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Pteronia incana (Burm.) DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Pteronia onobromoides DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Pteronia onobromoides DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Pteronia uncinata DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Rhynchopsidium pumilum (L.f.) DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio arenarius Thunb.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio arniciflorus DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio burchellii DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio elegans L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio littoreus Thunb. var. hispidulus Harv.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio littoreus Thunb. var. littoreus	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio maritimus L.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio pterophorus DC.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Senecio sarcoides C.Jeffrey	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Steirodiscus tagetes (L.) Schltr.	VU	No
ASTERACEAE	Tripteris calcicola J.C.Manning & Goldblatt	VU	No
ASTERACEAE	Tripteris sinuata DC. var. sinuata	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Ursinia anethoides (DC.) N.E.Br.	LC	No
ASTERACEAE	Ursinia anthemoides (L.) Poir. subsp. anthemoides	LC	No
BORAGINACEAE	Amsinckia retrorsa Suksd.	Not Evaluated	No
BORAGINACEAE	Echiostachys spicatus (Burm.f.) Levyns	EN	No
BORAGINACEAE	Echiostachys spicatus (Burm.f.) Levyns	EN	No
BORAGINACEAE	Heliotropium supinum I.	Not Evaluated	No
BORAGINACEAE	Myosotis discolor Pers.	Not Evaluated	No
BRASSICACEAE	Barbarea verna (Mill.) Asch.	Not Evaluated	No
BRASSICACEAE	Heliophila acuminata (Eckl. & Zeyh.) Steud.	LC	No
BRASSICACEAE	Heliophila adpressa O.E.Schulz	LC	No



Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
BRASSICACEAE	Heliophila africana (L.) Marais	LC	No
BRASSICACEAE	Heliophila elata Sond. var. elata	Not Evaluated	No
BRASSICACEAE	Heliophila linearis (Thunb.) DC. var. linearifolia (Burch. ex DC.) Marais	LC	No
BRASSICACEAE	Heliophila macowaniana Schltr.	LC	No
BRASSICACEAE	Raphanus raphanistrum L.	Not Evaluated	No
BRYACEAE	Bryum torquescens Bruch ex De Not.		No
BUDDLEJACEAE	Buddleja glomerata H.L.Wendl.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Microcodon glomeratum A.DC.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Prismatocarpus crispus L'Hér.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Roella prostrata E.Mey. ex A.DC.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia adpressa (Thunb.) Sond.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia androsacea A.DC.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia capensis (L.) A.DC.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia exilis A.DC.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia hispidula (Thunb.) A.DC.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia obovata Brehmer	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia paniculata (Thunb.) A.DC.	LC	No
CAMPANULACEAE	Wahlenbergia suffruticosa C.N.Cupido		No
CARYOPHYLLACEAE	Silene burchellii Otth var. angustifolia Sond.	Not Evaluated	No
CARYOPHYLLACEAE	Silene ornata Aiton	DDT	No
CARYOPHYLLACEAE	Silene undulata Aiton	LC	No
CARYOPHYLLACEAE	Spergularia media (L.) C.Presl	Not Evaluated	No
CELASTRACEAE	Cassine peragua L. subsp. barbara (L.) R.H.Archer	LC	No
CELASTRACEAE	Gymnosporia buxifolia (L.) Szyszyl.	LC	No
CELASTRACEAE	Maytenus lucida (L.) Loes.	LC	No
CELASTRACEAE	Pterocelastrus tricuspidatus (Lam.) Walp.	LC	No
CELASTRACEAE	Putterlickia pyracantha (L.) Szyszyl.	LC	No
CELASTRACEAE	Putterlickia pyracantha (L.) Szyszyl.	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Atriplex cinerea Poir. subsp. bolusii (C.H.Wright) Aellen var. adamsonii Aellen	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Atriplex lindleyi Moq. subsp. inflata (F.Muell.) Paul G.Wilson	Not Evaluated	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Atriplex semibaccata R.Br. var. appendiculata Aellen	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Bassia diffusa (Thunb.) Kuntze	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Chenopodium ambrosioides L.	Not Evaluated	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Salicornia meyeriana Moss	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Sarcocornia capensis (Moss) A.J.Scott	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Sarcocornia littorea (Moss) A.J.Scott	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Sarcocornia mossiana (Toelken) A.J.Scott	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Sarcocornia natalensis (Bunge ex UngSternb.) A.J.Scott var. natalensis	LC	No
CHENOPODIACEAE	Sarcocornia perennis (Mill.) A.J.Scott var. perennis	LC	No

Ecigo³

Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
CHENOPODIACEAE	Sarcocornia pillansii (Moss) A.J.Scott var. pillansii	LC	No
COMMELINACEAE	Tradescantia fluminensis Vell.	Not Evaluated	No
CONVOLVULACEAE	Cuscuta nitida Choisy	LC	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula decumbens Thunb. var. brachyphylla (Adamson) Toelken	NT	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula dejecta Jacq.	LC	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula dichotoma L.	LC	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula expansa Dryand. subsp. expansa	LC	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula glomerata P.J.Bergius	LC	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula nudicaulis L. var. nudicaulis	LC	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula thunbergiana Schult. subsp. thunbergiana	LC	No
CRASSULACEAE	Crassula tomentosa Thunb. var. tomentosa	LC	No
CUCURBITACEAE	Kedrostis psammophylla Bruyns	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Bolboschoenus maritimus (L.) Palla	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Ficinia bulbosa (L.) Nees	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Ficinia secunda (Vahl) Kunth	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Isolepis levynsiana Muasya & D.A.Simpson	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Isolepis marginata (Thunb.) A.Dietr.	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Isolepis rubicunda (Nees) Kunth	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Schoenoplectus corymbosus (Roth ex Roem. & Schult.) J.Raynal	LC	No
CYPERACEAE	Schoenoplectus triqueter (L.) Palla	Not Evaluated	No
EBENACEAE	Diospyros austro-africana De Winter var. austro-africana	LC	No
EBENACEAE	Euclea natalensis A.DC. subsp. capensis F.White	LC	No
EBENACEAE	Euclea racemosa Murray subsp. racemosa	LC	No
ERICACEAE	Erica flacca E.Mey. ex Benth.	LC	No
ERICACEAE	Erica inaequalis (N.E.Br.) E.G.H.Oliv.	LC	No
ERICACEAE	Erica mammosa L.	LC	No
ERICACEAE	Erica plumosa Thunb.	LC	No
ERICACEAE	Erica subdivaricata P.J.Bergius	LC	No
ERICACEAE	Erica trichostigma Salter	VU	No
ERICACEAE	Erica tristis Bartl.	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Adenocline violifolia (Kuntze) Prain	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Clutia affinis Sond.	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Clutia alaternoides L. var. alaternoides	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Clutia daphnoides Lam.	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Clutia ericoides Thunb. var. ericoides	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Euphorbia burmannii E.Mey. ex Boiss.	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Euphorbia mauritanica L. var. mauritanica	LC	No
EUPHORBIACEAE	Euphorbia peplus L.	Not Evaluated	No
FABACEAE	Acacia mearnsii De Wild.	Not Evaluated	No
FABACEAE	Amphithalea ericifolia (L.) Eckl. & Zeyh. subsp. erecta Granby	CR	No

Ecigo³

Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
FABACEAE	Argyrolobium velutinum Eckl. & Zeyh.	EN	No
FABACEAE	Calobota angustifolia (E.Mey.) Boatwr. & BE.van Wyk	LC	No
FABACEAE	Calobota cytisoides (Berg.) Eckl. & Zeyh.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Calobota lotononoides (Schltr.) Boatwr. & BE.van Wyk	NT	No
FABACEAE	Calobota spinescens (Harv.) Boatwr. & BE.van Wyk	LC	No
FABACEAE	Crotalaria excisa (Thunb.) Baker f. subsp. excisa	LC	No
FABACEAE	Dipogon lignosus (L.) Verdc.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Indigofera heterophylla Thunb.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Indigofera incana Thunb.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Indigofera meyeriana Eckl. & Zeyh.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Indigofera platypoda E.Mey.	EN	No
FABACEAE	Indigofera procumbens L.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Indigofera venusta Eckl. & Zeyh.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Lebeckia ambigua E.Mey.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Lebeckia plukenetiana E.Mey.	EN	No
FABACEAE	Lessertia herbacea (L.) Druce	LC	No
FABACEAE	Lessertia rigida E.Mey.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Liparia splendens (Burm.f.) Bos & de Wit subsp. splendens	VU	No
FABACEAE	Lotononis involucrata (P.J.Bergius) Benth. subsp. involucrata	LC	No
FABACEAE	Lotononis sabulosa T.M.Salter	LC	No
FABACEAE	Medicago polymorpha L.	Not Evaluated	No
FABACEAE	Melilotus indicus (L.) All.	Not Evaluated	No
FABACEAE	Melolobium aethiopicum (L.) Druce	LC	No
FABACEAE	Melolobium candicans (E.Mey.) Eckl. & Zeyh.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Melolobium exudans Harv.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Otholobium bolusii (H.M.L.Forbes) C.H.Stirt.	NT	No
FABACEAE	Otholobium bracteolatum (Eckl. & Zeyh.) C.H.Stirt.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Otholobium venustum (Eckl. & Zeyh.) C.H.Stirt.	VU	No
FABACEAE	Podalyria sericea (Andrews) R.Br. ex Aiton f.	VU	No
FABACEAE	Podalyria sericea (Andrews) R.Br. ex Aiton f.	VU	No
FABACEAE	Rafnia angulata Thunb. subsp. angulata	LC	No
FABACEAE	Rafnia capensis (L.) Schinz subsp. capensis	LC	No
FABACEAE	Sutherlandia frutescens (L.) R.Br.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Vicia henahalensis I	Not Evaluated	No
FABACEAE	Vicia sativa L. subsp. sativa	Not Evaluated	No
FABACEAE	Wiborgia fusca Thunb. subsp. fusca	LC	No
FABACEAE	Wiborgia fusca Thunb. subsp. macrocarpa R.Dahlaren	EN	No
FABACEAE	Wiborgia leptoptera R.Dahlgren subsp. leptoptera	LC	No
FABACEAE	Wiborgia obcordata (P.J.Bergius) Thunb.	LC	No
FABACEAE	Wiborgia obcordata (P.J.Bergius) Thunb.	LC	No

Ccigo³

Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
FABACEAE	Xiphotheca reflexa (Thunb.) A.L.Schutte & BE.van Wyk	EN	No
FABACEAE	Xiphotheca reflexa (Thunb.) A.L.Schutte & BE.van Wyk	EN	No
FUMARIACEAE	Cysticapnos vesicaria (L.) Fedde subsp. vesicaria	LC	No
GENTIANACEAE	Chironia baccifera L.	LC	No
GENTIANACEAE	Chironia decumbens Levyns	LC	No
GENTIANACEAE	Chironia linoides L. subsp. linoides	LC	No
GENTIANACEAE	Orphium frutescens (L.) E.Mey.	LC	No
GENTIANACEAE	Sebaea aurea (L.f.) Roem. & Schult.	LC	No
GERANIACEAE	Pelargonium carnosum (L.) L'Hér. subsp. carnosum	LC	No
GERANIACEAE	Pelargonium chelidonium (Houtt.) DC.	EN	No
GERANIACEAE	Pelargonium hirtum (Burm.f.) Jacq.	LC	No
HAEMODORACEAE	Wachendorfia multiflora (Klatt) J.C.Manning & Goldblatt	LC	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Daubenya zeyheri (Kunth) J.C.Manning & A.M.van der Merwe	VU	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Eucomis regia (L.) L'Hér.	LC	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Lachenalia mathewsii W.F.Barker	CR	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Lachenalia mediana Jacq. var. mediana	VU	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Lachenalia pustulata Jacq.	NT	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Lachenalia viridiflora W.F.Barker	CR	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Ornithogalum juncifolium Jacq. var. juncifolium	LC	No
HYACINTHACEAE	Ornithogalum maculatum Jacq.	LC	No
HYPOXIDACEAE	Empodium veratrifolium (Willd.) M.F.Thomps.	EN	No
HYPOXIDACEAE	Pauridia longituba M.F.Thomps.	EN	No
HYPOXIDACEAE	Spiloxene serrata (Thunb.) Garside var. serrata	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Babiana ambigua (Roem. & Schult.) G.J.Lewis	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Babiana angustifolia Sweet	NT	No
IRIDACEAE	Babiana hirsuta (Lam.) Goldblatt & J.C.Manning	NT	No
IRIDACEAE	Babiana mucronata (Jacq.) Ker Gawl. subsp. mucronata	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Babiana ringens (L.) Ker Gawl. subsp. ringens	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Babiana tubiflora (L.f.) Ker Gawl.	Declining	No
IRIDACEAE	Ferraria densepunctulata M.P.de Vos	VU	No
IRIDACEAE	Ferraria foliosa G.J.Lewis	NT	No
IRIDACEAE	Geissorhiza lewisiae R.C.Foster	VU	No
IRIDACEAE	Geissorhiza monanthos Eckl.	EN	No
IRIDACEAE	Gladiolus alatus L.	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Gladiolus floribundus Jacq.	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Gladiolus gracilis Jacq.	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Gladiolus orchidiflorus Andrews	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Hesperantha erecta (Baker) Benth. ex Baker	NT	No
IRIDACEAE	Hesperantha radiata (Jacq.) Ker Gawl.	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Lapeirousia anceps (L.f.) Ker Gawl.	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Lapeirousia jacquinii N.E.Br.	LC	No

Ecigo³

Family	Species	Threat status	SA Endemic
IRIDACEAE	Melasphaerula ramosa (L.) N.E.Br.	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Moraea albiflora (G.J.Lewis) Goldblatt	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Moraea caeca Barnard ex Goldblatt	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Moraea macrocarpa Goldblatt	LC	No
IRIDACEAE	Romulea barkerae M.P.de Vos	EN	No
IRIDACEAE	Romulea saldanhensis M.P.de Vos	EN	No
IRIDACEAE	Romulea tabularis Eckl. ex Bég.	LC	No
JUNCACEAE	Juncus effusus L.	LC	No
JUNCACEAE	Juncus tenuis Willd.	Not Evaluated	No
JUNCAGINACEAE	Triglochin bulbosa L.	LC	No
JUNCAGINACEAE	Triglochin striata Ruíz & Pav.	LC	No
LAMIACEAE	Salvia africana-caerulea L.	LC	No
LAMIACEAE	Salvia lanceolata Lam.	LC	No
LAMIACEAE	Stachys arvensis L.	Not Evaluated	No
LOBELIACEAE	Cyphia crenata (Thunb.) C.Presl var. crenata	LC	No
MALVACEAE	Anisodontea biflora (Desr.) Bates	LC	No
MALVACEAE	Hermannia heterophylla (Cav.) Thunb.	LC	No
MALVACEAE	Hermannia pinnata L.	LC	No
MALVACEAE	Hermannia prismatocarpa E.Mey. ex Harv.	LC	No
MALVACEAE	Hermannia procumbens Cav. subsp. myrrhifolia (Thunb.) De Winter	EN	No
MALVACEAE	Hermannia scordifolia Jacq.	LC	No
MALVACEAE	Hermannia trifurca L.	LC	No
MELIANTHACEAE	Melianthus elongatus Wijnands	LC	No
MESEMBRYANTHEMAC EAE	Amphibolia laevis (Aiton) H.E.K.Hartmann	LC	No
EAE	Apatesia helianthoides (Aiton) N.E.Br.	LC	No
MESEMBRYANTHEMAC EAE	Conicosia pugioniformis (L.) N.E.Br. subsp. pugioniformis	LC	No