# PALAEONTOLOGICAL HERITAGE: PHASE 2 MITIGATION REPORT

# RECORDING & SURFACE SAMPLING OF PRECAMBRIAN STROMATOLITES FROM THE BOOMPLAAS FORMATION (GHAAP GROUP) ON A PORTION OF THE FARM WATERLOO 992 NEAR VRYBURG, NALEDI LOCAL MUNICIPALITY, NORTH-WEST PROVINCE

John E. Almond PhD (Cantab.) Natura Viva cc, PO Box 12410 Mill Street, Cape Town 8010, RSA naturaviva@universe.co.za

#### September 2017

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Stromatolites (fossil microbial mounds) within 2.6 billion-year-old shallow marine sediments of the Boomplaas Formation (Ghaap Group, Transvaal Group) are known from several localities to the south of Vryburg, Northwest Province. These ancient Precambrian (Late Archaean) bio-sedimentary structures are among the oldest diverse stromatolite assemblages recorded from southern Africa but have not yet received detailed palaeontological attention. A range of different types of partially-silicified stromatolites was recently recorded within and just outside the project area for a solar energy facility on Farm Waterloo 992 (Almond 2013). Following authorisation of the development, the present Phase 2 palaeontological mitigation (SAHRA Case ID: 10938. Sampling of stromatolites within or close to the development footprint as well as (2) judicious sampling of representative stromatolite material. The stromatolite samples collected (*c.* 30 blocks) are to be curated in the palaeontological collections of the Council for Geoscience, Bellville.

It is recommended here that a small outcrop area of the Boomplaas Formation on Farm Waterloo 992, located just outside and to the east of the main solar facility project area, be protected both during and following development because numerous examples of large-scale (1-2 m diameter) domal stromatolites are exposed at surface here. It is not feasible to collect these large stromatolitic domes and, although they may well prove to occur more widely within the Boomplaas Formation outcrop area, they have yet to be reported from other stromatolite-rich sites in the region. In conjunction with several other stromatolite-rich localities recorded in the Vryburg area, the Waterloo 992 site provides a valuable window into stromatolite-based shallow marine ecosystems in the Late Archaean period on the Kaapvaal Craton (ancient continental block).

Several traverses across the main solar facility project area on Farm Waterloo 992 indicate that stromatolites occur widely within bedrocks here, although no clear pattern of distribution (*e.g.* discrete raised bioherms or fossil reefs) could be detected. It is likely that numerous stromatolites are hidden beneath the thin surface mantle of sandy soils and gravels here. However, on Waterloo 992 the best-exposed large-scale (1-2 m) stromatolite domes, as well as good representatives of other smaller forms, are concentrated outside and just east of the project area where the first examples were found and where most of the recent sampling occurred. The smaller (dm-scale), asymmetric stromatolitic domes or short columns and cones recorded from the Boomplaas Formation are better represented on neighbouring farms such as Champions Kloof 731 and Hartsboom 734, as reported in recent palaeontological impact studies by Almond (2016a, 2016b) and Groenewald (2016). It is likely that comparable stromatolite concentrations occur widely within hitherto unstudied parts of the Boomplaas Formation outcrop area near Vryburg. However, pending the discovery and documentation of further and

better-preserved material, the designated areas featuring well-exposed stromatolites on the farms listed here are considered to be of high palaeontological research and conservation significance.

Two access road options for the solar energy facility on Waterloo 992 are presently under consideration, traversing the outcrop areas of the Vryburg and Boomplaas Formations. No sensitive fossil sites have been recorded either along the westernmost (Alternative 2) route that runs on the western side of a pan. In contrast, the Alternative 1 (preferred) access route passes through at least two areas where numerous stromatolites are exposed outside the main solar facility project area. A mitigated version of Alternative 1 that avoids the palaeontologically-sensitive areas is acceptable from the palaeontological heritage viewpoint (See Fig. 40 herein).

Following the recent Phase 2 recording and collection of stromatolites on Farm Waterloo 992 covered by this report, it is considered that a representative, scientifically-useful sample of the various stromatolite types known to be present in the Boomplaas Formation in the Vryburg region would be conserved within the following areas once the solar facility is constructed: (a) the area just outside and to the east of the main solar facility project area on Waterloo 992 and (b) protected areas proposed on neighbouring farms Champions Kloof 731 and Hartsboom 734 (See Almond 2016a, 2016b, Groenewald 2016 and Fig. 2 herein). This would apply with the proviso that all the palaeontological mitigation measures outlined in these specialist reports as well as the present report are followed through. In the case of Farm Waterloo 992, these mitigation measures include:

- protection of that portion of the area encircled in red in Fig. 39 that lies outside the defined solar facility project area by security tape or a fence during construction, and
- exclusion of the sensitive stromatolite-rich area from the route of the access road or selection of an alternative road option.

These specialist palaeontological recommendations should be included within the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) for the proposed solar energy facility on Farm Waterloo 992. *Provided that* these recommendations are followed through, the authorised solar facility development is unlikely to compromise a significant fraction of the *in situ* fossil stromatolite occurrences within the northern outcrop area of the Boomplaas Formation and there are no objections to its construction. Note that, since fossils will undoubtedly be destroyed, the developer will need to apply on the basis of this report for a Fossil Destruction Permit from the South African Heritage Resources Agency, SAHRA (Contact details: Dr Ragna Redelstorff. SAHRA, 111 Harrington Street, Cape Town. PO Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, South Africa. Phone: +27 (0)21 462 4502. Fax: +27 (0)21 462 4509. E-mail: rredelstorff@sahra.org.za. Web: www.sahra.org.za) before construction starts.

# 1. PROJECT BACKGROUND

Well-preserved stromatolites (fossil microbial mounds) of early Precambrian age have been recorded at surface within the footprint of an authorized solar PV facility on Farm Waterloo 992, situated some 10 km southeast of Vryburg, North West Province (Almond 2013). The truncated stromatolitic domes here reach up to 2 m in diameter (though usually less) but also occur at various smaller scales. The laminated biomats are frequently replaced by secondary silica and might conceivably also contain well-preserved microbial fossils (*cf* Wright & Altermann 2000). The stromatolites occur within the Boomplaas Formation, a carbonate-dominated succession that lies towards the base of the Ghaap Group (Transvaal Supergroup) and is estimated to be approximately 2.6 Ga / billion years old (Late Archaean) (See geological map Fig. 3 and stratigraphic column Fig. 4). The Boomplaas Formation occurrences are among the oldest well-preserved stromatolites known from the RSA palaeontological record (Older stromatolites are known, for example, from the 2.9 Ga Pongola Supergroup and the 2.7 Ga Ventersdorp Group of the RSA as well as in Zimbabwe; *cf* Schopf 2006). In addition to the large domes seen on Farm Waterloo 992, further occurrences of well-preserved stromatolitic horizons within the Boomplaas

Formation - including possible patchy reefal structures or bioherms, short columnar stromatolites and conical forms - have been reported just to the south of Waterloo Farm 992 on the farm Champions Kloof 731 as well as to the southwest on Farm Hartsboom 734. In all these cases it was recommended that concentrations of especially well-preserved stromatolites be excluded from the proposed development footprints (Almond 2016a, 2016b, Groenewald 2016).

On following-up on an initial alert by Van Schalkwyk (2012), silicified stromatolites - fossil microbial mounds - were recorded from Precambrian rocks of the Boomplaas Formation (Ghaap Group) within the study area for a proposed solar energy facility on Farm Waterloo 992 near Vryburg, Northwest Province during a Phase 1 field-based palaeontological assessment by Almond (2013) (Please see Figs. 1 & 2 for location of project area). The original recommendations for palaeontological heritage mitigation for the solar facility development were as follows:

Recommended mitigation of the inevitable damage and destruction of fossil stromatolites within the proposed development area involves the surveying, recording, description and judicious sampling of well-preserved fossil occurrences within the development footprint by a professional palaeontologist. This work should take place after initial vegetation clearance has taken place but before the ground is leveled for construction.

Following subsequent discussions with the developer, SunEdison Energy Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd (Contact details: Ms Monique Jordaan, SunEdison Energy Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd. Mobile: +27 81 038 2443. Tel: +27 10 595 7015. E-mail: mjordaan@sunedison.com. Web: www.sunedison.com) and the responsible heritage management authority, SAHRA, it was agreed that the palaeontological mitigation work should rather be undertaken at an earlier stage, *before* vegetation clearance, since:

- The process of vegetation clearance is itself likely to damage surface-exposed stromatolite material that is the primary target for the proposed fossil sampling;
- It would prove difficult and time-consuming to integrate the proposed palaeontological mitigation work with phased vegetation clearance and construction work.

In response to a reasoned application (Almond 2017), a fossil collection permit for the proposed Phase 2 palaeontological mitigation work was issued by SAHRA ((**Sampling of stromatolites on Farm Waterloo 992, Vryburg, North West Province Case ID: 10938**, dated Thursday May 04, 2017). The present report provides feedback from the two-day Phase 2 palaeontological mitigation fieldwork carried out by the author and an experienced heritage assistant in May 2017.

# 1.1. Legislative context

The present Phase 2 palaeontological heritage report falls under Sections 35 and 38 (Heritage Resources Management) of the South African Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999), and it will also inform the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) for this project.

The various categories of heritage resources recognised as part of the National Estate in Section 3 of the National Heritage Resources Act include, among others:

- geological sites of scientific or cultural importance;
- palaeontological sites;
- palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens.

According to Section 35 of the National Heritage Resources Act, dealing with archaeology, palaeontology and meteorites:

(1) The protection of archaeological and palaeontological sites and material and meteorites is the responsibility of a provincial heritage resources authority.

(2) All archaeological objects, palaeontological material and meteorites are the property of the State.

(3) Any person who discovers archaeological or palaeontological objects or material or a meteorite in the course of development or agricultural activity must immediately report the find to the responsible heritage resources authority, or to the nearest local authority offices or museum, which must immediately notify such heritage resources authority.

(4) No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority-

(a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;

(b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;

(c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or

(d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.

(5) When the responsible heritage resources authority has reasonable cause to believe that any activity or development which will destroy, damage or alter any archaeological or palaeontological site is under way, and where no application for a permit has been submitted and no heritage resources management procedure in terms of section 38 has been followed, it may—

(a) serve on the owner or occupier of the site or on the person undertaking such development an order for the development to cease immediately for such period as is specified in the order;

(b) carry out an investigation for the purpose of obtaining information on whether or not an archaeological or palaeontological site exists and whether mitigation is necessary;

(c) if mitigation is deemed by the heritage resources authority to be necessary, assist the person on whom the order has been served under paragraph (a) to apply for a permit as required in subsection (4); and

(*d*) recover the costs of such investigation from the owner or occupier of the land on which it is believed an archaeological or palaeontological site is located or from the person proposing to undertake the development if no application for a permit is received within two weeks of the order being served.

Minimum standards for palaeontological mitigation reports (Phase 2 PIAs) have been published by SAHRA (2013).

# 1.2. Methodology

The main focus of the proposed Phase 2 palaeontological mitigation was (1) to record (photographs, gps data, brief description) stromatolites exposed at surface within the solar facility development footprint, as well as (2) to collect a representative sample of the range of well-preserved stromatolitic structures from the Boomplaas Formation, such as those illustrated previously by Almond (2013, 2017). Fieldwork took place over two days (11-12 May 2017) with visibility on the ground only moderately good due to tall grass cover, scattered shrubs and small trees (Ghaap Plateau Vaalbosveld; Figs. 5 & 6).

Several WNW-ESE traverses across the solar development study area were undertaken by the author and an experienced field assistant (See tracks and waypoints shown in Figs. 38 & 39). Stromatolite occurrences and any geological features of interest were noted (See brief locality descriptions and gps data tabulated in the Appendix 1).

A modest number of blocks (*c*. 30) of silicified stromatolitic rock were collected at surface (See collection data provided in Appendix 2). The great majority specimens were collected from the area encircled in red in satellite image Figure 39. Large-scale (1-2 m diam.) stromatolitic domes at this site have largely been truncated by erosion and are usually poorly-exposed (Almond 2013) (Figs. 15 to 25); it is therefore not practicable to collect entire specimens. Collection methods employed included hand-picking of loose material from the surface (the majority of specimens) as well as prising-out of modest-sized blocks (dm - scale) using crowbars, hammer and chisels (only a few specimens) (*e.g.* Figs. 22 & 23). In practice, most of the silicified surface material proved to be extremely well-cemented to the underlying bedrocks

and it was therefore not feasible to prise- or hammer-off sample blocks without destroying the fossils themselves.

#### **1.2.** Curation of fossil material

Stromatolitic material from Farm Waterloo 992, together with relevant collection data (See Appendix 2), is to be curated in the Precambrian fossil collections at the offices of the Council for Geoscience, Bellville (Curator: Ms Claire Browning. 03 Oos Street BELLVILLE 7535, RSA. Tel: +27 (0)21 943 6700. Fax +27 (0)21 946 4190. Internet:http://www.geoscience.org.za).



Fig. 1. Extracts from adjoining 1: 250 000 topographical maps 2624 Vryburg and 2724 Christiana (Courtesy of the Chief Directorate of Surveys & Mapping, Mowbray) showing location of the farm Waterloo 992 study area some 10 km southeast of Vryburg, North-West Province (black polygon). Scale bar is *c*. 10 km. Important Precambrian stromatolite occurrences are also known on the farm Champions Kloof 731 immediately to the south.



Fig. 2. Google earth© satellite image of the broader study region to the south of Vryburg, Northwest Province. Note N is towards the top right in this view.

KEY:

Red polygons: outline of Farm Waterloo 992 (north) and Champions Kloof 731 (2 southern polygons)

Dark blue *plus* pale blue polygons: expanded development area for the authorised 75 MW Waterloo Solar Power Park

Pale blue line: revised grid connection to Mookodi Substation on Rosendal 673 White line: existing Eskom transmission line

Small red and yellow shapes on Champion's Kloof 731: areas with well-preserved stromatolites (Almond 2016a, 2016b)

Green, orange & yellow lines: access road options (Please see Fig. 40 for more detail)



Fig. 3. Extract from the 1: 250 000 geological map 2724 Christiana (Council for Geoscience, Pretoria) showing location of the broader study area on the farm Waterloo 992, located some 10 km southeast of Vryburg (yellow polygon). The red polygon shows the expanded solar development area and the green line indicates the *approximate* power line route to Mookodi Substation (green triangle).

The main geological units represented mapped the broader study region include:

# GHAAP GROUP (SCHMIDTSDRIF SUBGROUP)

Vryburg Formation (Vv, dark blue with stipple) – late Archaean fluvial and shallow marine quartzites, mudrocks, conglomerates with two intervals of andesitic volcanics

Boomplaas Formation (Vb, pale & middle blue with dashes) – late Archaean dolomites (locally stromatolitic or oolitic) interbedded with siliciclastics (quartzite, shale, flagstone)

Clearwater Formation (Vc, dark grey) – late Archaean mudrocks with interbedded dolomites, flagstones, tuffites, cherts

# KAROO SUPERGROUP

Dwyka Group (C-Pd, middle grey) – Permocarboniferous glacial sediments



Fig. 4. Stratigraphy of the Transvaal Supergroup of the Ghaap Plateau Basin (central column) showing the position of the carbonate-dominated Boomplaas Formation that underlies the Waterloo Farm 992 solar energy facility main project area (red line) (From Eriksson *et al.* 2006). The proposed access road to the solar facility will in addition traverse the outcrop area of quartzites and lavas of the underlying Vryburg Formation (also included within the Schmidtsdrif Subgroup by some recent authors.

#### 2. GEOLOGICAL & PALAEONTOLOGICAL CONTEXT

The shallow shelf and intertidal sediments of the carbonate-dominated lower part of the Ghaap Group (i.e. Schmidtsdrif and Campbell Rand Subgroups; Fig. 4) are well known for their rich fossil biota of stromatolites or microbially-generated, finely-laminated sheets, mounds, columns and branching structures. Detailed studies of these 2.6-2.5 Ga carbonate sediments and their stromatolitic biotas have been presented by Young (1932 and several subsequent papers), Beukes (1980, 1983), Eriksson & Truswell (1974), Eriksson & Altermann (1998), Eriksson et al (2006), Altermann and Herbig (1991), Altermann and Wotherspoon (1995), and Sumner (2002). The oldest, Archaean stromatolite occurrences from the Ghaap Group have been reviewed by Schopf (2006, with full references therein. Stromatolites within the Late Archaean (c. 2.6 Ga) Boomplaas Formation within the Schmidtsdrif Subgroup represent some of the oldest assemblages of these microbially-generated organosedimentary structures recorded in South Africa (Older stromatolites are known, for example, from the 2.9 Ga Pongola Supergroup and the 2.7 Ga Ventersdorp Group of the RSA as well as in Zimbabwe; cf Schopf 2006). Various forms of stromatolite have been recorded from the northern outcrop area of the Boomplaas Formation near Vryburg in recent palaeontological assessments by the author and others (e.g. Almond 2013, Almond 2016a, 2016b, Groenewald 2016), including those on Farm Waterloo 992 that are the subject of the present report. The laminated biomats were frequently replaced by secondary silica and might conceivably also contain well-preserved microbial fossils (cf Wright & Altermann 2000).

The Precambrian bedrocks on Farm Waterloo 992 are assigned to the Late Archean Vryburg and Boomplaas Formations (Ghaap Group), as outlined in the previous PIA report by Almond (2013) (Figs. 3 & 4). Low rounded exposures of grey-green Vryburg andesitic lavas, locally vesicular or blocky and accompanied by veins or lenses of chert, crop out on Farm Waterloo 992 to the north of the main solar energy facility project area, underlying the various access road route options (Fig. 14). Good exposures of the associated quartzites do not occur here and the bedrocks appear to be entirely unfossiliferous (*cf* Almond 2013). Occasional quartzitic float blocks contain abundant cubical pseudomorphs after pyrite. The land surface is mantled by sandy soils with abundant angular surface gravels of chert (some flaked) of many different hues that have downwasted from the Vryburg bedrocks. The narrow SW-NE band of Dwyka Group sediments (C-Pd, grey in Fig. 3) mapped along the southern edge of the Vryburg Formation outcrop area is not obvious in the field and may be an artefact.

The terrain in the main solar energy facility project area, which is entirely underlain by the Boomplaas Formation, shows very low topographic relief with no prominent outcrops of bedrock, and no extensive areas of fresh, unaltered limestone or dolomite. The bedrocks are largely obscured by orange-brown sandy soils and colluvial to alluvial cherty gravels as well as by grassy vegetation with scattered small trees and shrubs (Ghaap Plateau Vaalbosveld; Figs. 1 & 2). The surface gravels are mainly composed of angular chert clasts of different hues (esp. black, dark grev, brownish), occasional pale grev to brown quartzites and ferruginised carbonate. Some of the surface gravel clasts are flaked, especially in the vicinity of cherty lenses or layers in the bedrocks. Higher concentrations of surface gravels are encountered in the western portion of the study area where occasional pebbles are well-rounded, indicating stream transport. Occasional boulder-sized relict surface blocks of Boomplaas bedrocks comprise thin-bedded to laminated, greyish to pale yellow, sandy carbonate that is occasionally stromatolitic and shows prominent-weathering cherty lenses or bands (Figs. 8 & 9). In situ tougher interbeds of brown, medium- to fine-grained guartzite and lenses or layers of massive, black to dark grey stratiform chert are encountered (the latter often showing signed of Stone Age knapping) (Figs 10 to 12). Both facies may contain cubical pseudomorphs or moulds after pyrite, probably a product of anoxic decomposition of buried organic material during diagenesis (cf Wright & Altermann 2000). Curious preservational features within some grey quartzites suggest microbial binding of wave-rippled sandstone laminae (Fig. 13).

Only small, patchy *in situ* exposures of Boomplaas carbonate bedrocks are seen within the study area with little clear evidence of bedding orientation (Fig. 6). The Schmidtsdrift Subgroup succession in the study area broadly youngs towards the south, with the Vryburg, Boomplaas and Clearwater Formations

cropping out successively from north to south on farms Waterloo 992 and Champions Kloof 731 (Fig. 3). It is inferred that bedding dips are low and towards the south. Given the extensive secondary ferruginisation of the surface carbonates as well as the ubiquitous horizontal truncation of larger stromatolitic domes, the terrain is interpreted as an ancient (perhaps Late Tertiary) peneplanated land surface at an elevation of *c*. 50 m above the current bed of the Droë Hartsrivier. This relict land surface has been worn flat through surface weathering (including solution weathering of carbonates) and erosion, secondarily ferruginised (with additionally some blackish manganese mineralisation) and mantled with down-wasted gravels and aeolian sands. Vertical denudation has led to the horizontal truncation of large-scale stromatolite domes, no intact examples of which are now seen at surface (There may be buried examples). Solution weathering of more soluble carbonate rocks has led to the preferential weathering-out of resistant silicified horizons and lenses including stratiform chert bands, occasional quartzite interbeds as well as silicified portions of stromatolitic beds.

Dense, cryptocrystalline chert lenses, veins and thin (dm-scale) horizons occur within the Vryburg Formation, especially in association with andesitic lavas, as well as in the overlying carbonatedominated Boomplaas Formation. It seems likely that partial secondary silicification of the Boomplaas stromatolites occurred as an early and / or late diagenetic effect in Late Archaean times, *i.e.* longantedating and unrelated to land surface development, with the underlying volcanic rocks as a possible source of dissolved silica. On-going igneous activity in Boomplaas times might also have played a role here (N.B. Many Ghaap Group carbonate successions show secondary cherty lenses, pods and layers which were sometimes concentrated into surface gravel breccias by erosional downwasting in Precambrian times). Silicification has often been confined to the periphery of the large stromatolite domes, which consequently weather-out as rings, the softer core having been weathered away (Figs. 15 & 16). The detailed pattern of silicification may have been related to the preservational status of the microbial organic remains at the time (e.g. level of decomposition). The cherty peripheral zones of the large stromatolites are variously massive and black or dark grey (when they often show signs of in situ Stone Age knapping; Fig. 17) or preserve the original fine-scale, flat or crinkly organic lamination. Several examples of silicified cores and surrounding inner laminated zones are also encountered. however (Figs. 18 to 25).

Major occurrences of stromatolites within the lower, Late Archaean portions of the Ghaap Group of South Africa reflect colonisation of extensive shallow marine platform carbonate settings in Late Archaean and Proterozoic times by sediment-binding microbes (cyanobacteria and others). According to some workers microbially-mediated carbonate precipitation was a more important process in stromatolite build-up and diagenetic mineralisation than sediment trapping and binding in the Archaean - Proterozoic interval (e.g. Altermann 2008, Wright & Altermann 2000). However, since some of the cyanobateria involved probably generated sticky surfaces, it is likely that both sediment-binding and carbonate precipitation processes were often involved. The large domal stromatolites seen in the Boomplaas Formation were built-up from smooth or (more commonly) wavy- to pustular or crinkly ("laterally-linked") layers showing only a moderate degree of inheritance through successive laminae (Fig. 20). The end result was a broadly dome-shaped "lithoherm" with an onion-like internal structure of numerous concentric laminae rather than discrete stromatolitic columns. Stromatolitic domes with a circular base have been associated by various authors with quiet water settings in the photic zone, for example offshore below fair-weather wave-base or in sheltered lagoons (Altermann 2008 and refs. therein). The large (m-scale) hemispherical, low -relief (few dm) domal stromatolites with internal, smallscale wavy or crinkly lamination observed in the Boomplaas Formation may have formed under the influence of waves but in the absence of dominant currents. Under the influence of prevailing currents, some of the domes or steeper-sided cushions grew in an asymmetrical fashion with an elliptical base (Figs. 24 & 25); the long axis of the domes is taken to be subparallel to the predominant current direction. Larger domes as well as flat-laminated, stratiform microbial mats probably formed in deeper, persistently-quiet waters in offshore areas on the carbonate platform.

Medium-scale (1-2 dm diameter) stromatolites in the Boomplaas Formation comprise small domes as well as short, stubby columns (Figs. 26 to 30); these probably form an ontogenetic series. More energetic, unstable conditions nearer shore in the subtidal zone favoured smaller, probably less long-

lived stromatolites with current or wave scour maintaining spaces between adjacent lithoherms. The medium-sized (dm-scale) forms seen here typically show a strongly asymmetrical growth pattern with accretion consistently towards the west or northwest and smooth to crinkly, upward-convex internal lamination. Medium-scale stromatolites are locally associated with thin platy intraclast breccias (Fig. 7), with clasts of reworked carbonate or mudrock (usually preserved as moulds), suggesting they were exposed to turbulent conditions, at least intermittently. Asymmetrical growth probably reflects local or regional current patterns that may have caused preferential accretion of fine clastic material on the up-current face of the domes (*N.B.* local asymmetrical growth may be caused by other factors such as uneven illumination). In Boomplaas Formation times the Kaapvaal Craton shoreline probably lay towards the east in the Vryburg area and the epicontinental / epeiric sea deepened towards the west. Stromatolitic growth may have been influenced by east-west, tidally-driven onshore and offshore currents.

Several widely-spaced WSW-ENE traverses across the solar project study area (See tracks in satellite images in Figs. 37 to 39) show that stromatolites on various scales, ranging from large m-scale domes to medium-sized (1-2 dm scale) domes / short columns and small (cm-scale) microdomes or "buttons", occur sporadically at surface throughout the area. They are extensively silicified and undoubtedly occur in the subsurface as well. While a wide range of intergrading stromatolite morphology is observed among the Boomplaas stromatolites, the microbial organo-sedimentary structures found can be conveniently grouped into three main types:

- Large stromatolitic domes with diameters of the order of 75 cm 200 cm and a low original relief (estimated to be of the order of a few dm) (Figs. 15 to 20). These domes are generally round in plan view but occasionally ellipsoid (NW-SE elongation) and may form nested sets. Where very occasionally preserved the core of the dome is a convex-up hemisphere or cushion, either smooth or covered with small button-like protuberances reflecting early growth stages of the dome (Figs. 21 to 23; see also illustrations in Appendix 2). The surrounding concentric laminae of the dome may be smooth, sparsely pustulose or more often crinkled. In most cases only the outer rim of the dome was silicified (possibly because it was richer in coherent, less decomposed organic material) while the core and other inner portions are lost. No examples of 3D intact large domes or specimens preserved in the original carbonate were recorded.
- Medium-scale domes and/or short columnar stromatolites with diameters of one to two dm and well-developed, smooth or crinkly, concentric internal lamination. They are typically preserved in rusty-brown ferruginous carbonate or silicified carbonate (Figs. 26 to 30); occasional less diagenetically-modified examples preserved in greyish carbonate are also occur (Fig. 9). Most of these medium-sized stromatolites are closely-spaced to adjoining, or form nested sets, but occasional well-spaced examples are observed (Fig. 29). In horizontal section the internal lamination characteristically displays clear evidence of highly-asymmetrical accretion, with lateral growth consistently towards the W or NW in the study area, giving the appearance of a coherent "mass migration" of small domes or short columns through time. Asymmetrical growth is probably attributable to current influence (cf Altermann 2008). Good vertical sections through these structures were not seen on the Waterloo 992 and would be of great interest. At least some some of them may represent the tips of stumpy asymmetrical columns rather than simple hermispherical domes, depending on the relative proportions of vertical versus lateral accretion. This interpretation is supported by clumps of short, stubby stromatolitic columns observed surmounting well-spaced, rounded, low-relief mounds in the Boomplaas Formation on Farm Hartsboom 736, located only a few km to the southwest of the present study area (Groenewald 2016) (Fig. 30).
- Small-scale stromatolitic "buttons" with diameters up to 5 cm (and often less) (Fig. 31 to 33). These small-scale convex-up features - technically termed laterally-linked hemisphaeroids or LL-H - are rounded to irregular in plan and connect sideways with one another within a given lamina. Where preserved in vertical section they show irregular, low-inheritance vertical

accretion, without the formation of discrete, well-defined micro-columns (Figs. 34 to 36). Buttons are occasionally seen in preserved cores of large domes (Figs. 21 & 22) as well as covering convex steep-sided stromatolitic cushions and undulose to irregularly-ridged bedding surfaces. Several examples of upwardly-expanding stromatolitic heads have been encountered in which the narrower base is constructed of smooth to undulose laminae while the broader "cauliflower-shaped" top is built of densely-packed laterally-linked hemisphaeroids (See illustrations in Appendix 2). LL-H may also be responsible for the wavy or crinkly appearance of interior or peripheral lamination in large domes but this is often at a smaller scale and might also reflect hydrodynamic modelling (*e.g.* by wave action).

It is noted that examples of the distinctive medium-sized, conical microbial mounds that were recorded in the Boomplaas Formation on Champion's Kloof 731 have not been identified on Waterloo 992. These conical stromatolites show a coarse or poorly-defined internal lamination, a characteristic rugose (rough) surface texture and tendency to coalesce laterally (Almond 2016b).



Fig. 5. Typical flat terrain within the main solar facility project area on Farm Waterloo 992 with grasses, scattered trees and shrubs (Ghaap Plateau Vaalbosveld). The bedrock here are largely mantled by orange sandy soils and sparse to locally concentrated cherty gravels.



Fig. 6. Patchy, low-relief exposure of Boomplaas Formation ferruginous carbonates in the western portion of the solar facility project area (Loc. 214).



Fig. 7. Lens of fine intraclast breccias within ferruginous Boomplaas Formation sandy carbonates witnessing occasional high-energy events such as storms in the depository (Scale is 15 cm long). Original platy carbonate or mudrock clasts have weathered out as hollows (Loc. 196).



Fig. 8. Relict block of pale grey, thin-bedded Boomplaas Formation carbonates with prominentweathering lens of grey chert containing cubical moulds of pyrite crystals (Hammer = 30 cm) (Loc. 160).



Fig. 9. Overturned float block of pale, sandy Boomplaas Formation carbonate containing inverted medium-scale stromatolitic domes (or tips of short columns) showing a strongly asymmetric growth pattern (Scale = 15 cm) (Loc. 139).



Fig. 10. Extensive stratiform horizon or lens of dark grey diagenetic chert (Hammer = 30 cm) (Loc. 140).



Fig. 11. Thicker beds and lenses of resistant-weathering chert have often been exploited by Stone Age tool-makers, as shown by evidence of *in situ* knapping and concentrations of chert flakes in the vicinity (Scale in cm and mm) (Loc. 200).



Fig. 12. Rare exposure of tough, well-jointed, brown, fine- to medium-grained quartzite interbeds within the Boomplaas Formation (Hammer = 30 cm) (Loc. 177).



Fig. 13. Pale grey quartzite float block showing vague wave rippling and fine sedimentary lamination. Accentuation of ripple crests by microbial binding has generated sinuous, linear, borrow–like features (Scale in cm) (Loc. 151).



Fig. 14. Low, rounded exposure of brown-weathering andesites within the Vryburg Formation with pale and dark grey chert veins or lenses in the background (Hammer = 30 cm). Note carpet of brown cherty gravels mantling much of the outcrop area (Loc. 112).



Fig. 15. Typical preservation of a horizontally-truncated large stromatolitic dome (c. 1 m diameter) showing prominent weathering of preferentially-silicified peripheral zone and loss of the core zone (Hammer = 30 cm) (Loc. 129).



Fig. 16. Detail of silicified periphery of the stromatolitic dome seen in the previous figure showing preservation of thin, concentric, smooth to finely-crinkly laminae in dark chert (Scale in cm and mm) (Loc. 129).



Fig. 17. Portion of the silicified periphery of a large stromatolitic dome showing areas of welldefined crinkly lamination contrasting with others showing replacement by massive black chert. The latter has been knapped *in situ* for stone tools (Scale is 15 cm long) (Loc. 126).



Fig. 18. Truncated large stromatolitic dome (r = c. 40 cm) showing contrasting secondary silicification of the smooth core *plus* inner concentric laminae as well as the separate peripheral zone (Loc. 131).



Fig. 19. Truncated large stromatolitic dome showing the low convexity of the inner lamination (Hammer = 30 cm) (Loc. 133).



Fig. 20. Interior portion of a large stromatolitic dome showing fine-scale crinkly lamination which may reflect wave action during growth (Scale in cm and mm) (Loc. 118).



Fig. 21. Silicified central or primordial portion of a large stromatolitic dome. The paler, highlyconvex core region is covered with smooth, button-like mini-stromatolites (Loc. 116).



Fig. 22. As above, also clearly showing the small, internally-laminated micro-stromatolite buttons in the paler core region (Diameter of core is *c*. 10 cm). The blackish-brown surrounding region has been secondarily impregnated by ferro-manganese minerals, possibly in Late Caenozoic times (Loc. 117).



Fig. 23. Partially-excavated fragments of the specimen seen in the previous figure (Scale is c. 15 cm long). The blocks here had already become separated from the bedrock by weathering, but in most other cases stromatolite structures exposed at the surface are strongly welded to the silicified substratum (Loc. 117).



Fig. 24. Elliptical, silicified core of a large stromatolitic dome (Scale in cm) (Loc. 131). Centripetally- as well as centrifugally-dipping laminae imply an asymmetrical, cushion-like form.



Fig. 25. Silicified cushion-like stromatolite or stromatolite core with steep to undercut sides (Scale in cm) (Loc. 158).



Fig. 26. Ferruginised carbonate stromatolite horizon (partially silicified) composed of a denselypacked array of medium-sized stromatolites – short column or domes - showing strong, consistent asymmetrical growth away from the observer (westwards) (Hammer = 30 cm) (Loc. 144).



Fig. 27. Same as above (Scale in cm). Irregular dark grey cherty ridges appear to represent silicification of the leading edge of some stromatolites (Loc. 153).



Fig. 28. Subcircular horizontal sections through a set of closely-spaced, silicified and ferruginised, medium-scale stromatolites (Scale is 15 cm long). Growth is highly asymmetrical, towards the top right, *i.e.* westerly (Loc. 194). These may represent sections through short, stubby, leaning columns rather than domes (*cf* Fig. 30 below).



Fig. 29. Well-spaced, concentrically-laminated stromatolites with an ellipsoidal plan showing asymmetric growth towards the observer (Scale is 15 cm long). Note smooth lamination surfaces here (Loc. 196).



Fig. 30. Spaced subcircular, low-relief, meter-scale mounds or patch reefs (estimated *c*. 60-100 cm across), each with an array of short, stubby columnar stromatolites on the upper surface, Boomplaas Formation, Farm Hartsbooom 734 (Photo abstracted from the PIA report by Groenewald 2016). These columns are probably equivalent to at least some of the medium-sized stromatolites seen in horizontal section in Figs. 26 to 29 above.



Fig. 31. Bedding plane of ferruginous carbonate covered with small-scale stromatolitic microdomes of various diameters (Scale in cm) (Loc. 210).



Fig. 32. Low ridges and rounded, cushion-like elevations covered with a carpet of silicified stromatolitic buttons or microdomes (Scale = 15 cm) (Loc. 125).



Fig. 33. Low dome-like elevation showing dense cover by small silicified stromatolitic microdomes or "buttons" with convex-upwards internal lamination (Scale is *c.* 15 cm long) (Loc. 125).



Fig. 34. Silicified steep-sided, cushion-like stromatolitic cushion or head composed of stromatolitic microdomes generating a rough, warty or pustular outer surface (Loc. 217).



Fig. 35. Vertical section through the stromatolitic cushion seen in the previous figure showing how it is made up of small-scale, convex-up buttons (so-called "laterally-linked hemisphaeroids" of LL-H). Due to the low level of shape inheritance between successive laminae the stromatolite builds-up to form a dome-like structure rather forming discrete, well-defined columns (Loc. 217).



Fig. 36. Wavy lamination in vertical section through a stromatolite cushion generated by small-scale laterally-linked hemisphaeroids (1 to few cm wide) (Loc. 128).

Tracks and waypoints recorded during the May 2017 fieldwork are shown on satellite images in Figures 37 and 38 below, with gps data and short descriptions provided in the Appendix 1 (Please note that the absence of fossil data for many areas does *not* imply that there are no stromatolites present here; they may be buried in the subsurface or simply not have been recorded). Occurrences of large domal stromatolites and medium-sized domal / columnar stromatolites are distinguished on the satellite maps in yellow and green respectively.

No clear patterns of stromatolite distribution within the study area could be discerned, nor were discrete fossil bioherms (*i.e.* prominent, wave-resistant stromatolitic build-ups or reefs) identified here. These larger-scale features are not recognisable on satellite images either. It is likely that stromatolites occur widely in the subsurface across most of the area and their recorded distribution to a large extent may well be a function of low, patchy levels of bedrock exposure through the thin mantle of sandy soils and gravels. The densest concentration of well-exposed large stromatolitic domes, as well as many of the best examples of small-scale stromatolitic buttons, were recorded just outside and to the east of the study area (red encircled area in Fig. 39), with numerous additional occurrences inside the area itself. None of the latter are considered sufficiently exceptional as to warrant special mitigation measures; good representative examples of these stromatolite morphologies recognised are found within the red circled area and were sampled here. It is recommended that during construction of the solar facility the stromatolites exposed at surface within the portion of the red circled area in Figure 39 that lies outside the main development area be protected from damage or disturbance by fencing or security tape. Medium-scale stromatolites of domal or possibly short columnar shape are best represented within the solar facility study area (green locality numbers in Fig. 38), although there are also occurrences outside this too.

An illustrated list of stromatolite specimens collected from Farm Waterloo 992 and curated by the Council for Geosciences, Bellville, is provided in Appendix 2. The great majority of the sampled fossil material was collected in the stromatolite-rich area outside and east of the main solar facility project area (encircled in red on Fig. 39). The specimens mainly comprise loose float blocks but also include material prised-out by hammers, chisels and crowbars (Fig. 23). The medium-scale, laterally-accreting stromatolite populations were not sampled because they occur on more extensive bedding surfaces which it was not practicable to break-up or remove intact in the field. Fortunately, this stromatolite type is well represented on Champions Kloof 731 just to the south (Almond 2016a. 2016b; see small red and yellow areas marked in Fig. 2) as well as on Hartsboom 736 to the southwest (Groenewald 2016). On these other farms large (m-scale) stromatolite domes have not yet been recorded. There is as yet insufficient field data to determine if their absence here is due to different palaeoecological settings and / or is related to different stratigraphic levels within the broadly south-dipping Boomplaas Formation. It is likely that comparable stromatolite concentrations occur widely within hitherto unstudied parts of the Boomplaas Formation outcrop area near Vryburg. However, pending the discovery and documentation of further and better-preserved material, the designated areas featuring well-exposed stromatolites on the farms listed here are considered to be of high palaeontological research and conservation significance.

Following the recent Phase 2 recording and collection of stromatolites on Farm Waterloo 992 covered by this report, it is considered that a representative, scientifically-useful sample of the various stromatolite types known to be present in the Boomplaas Formation in the Vryburg region would be conserved within the following areas once the solar facility is constructed: (a) the area just outside and to the east of the main solar facility project area on Waterloo 992 and (b) protected areas proposed on neighbouring farms Champions Kloof 731 and Hartsboom 734 (See Almond 2016a, 2016b, Groenewald 2016 and Fig. 2 herein). This would apply with the proviso that all the palaeontological mitigation measures outlined in these specialist reports as well as the present report are followed through. In the case of Farm Waterloo 992, these mitigation measures include: (1) protection of that portion of the area encircled in red in Fig. 39 that lies outside the defined solar facility project area by security tape or a fence during construction, and (2) exclusion of sensitive stromatolite area from the route of the access

road or selection of an alternative road option.

These specialist palaeontological recommendations should be included within the Environmental Management Programme (EMPr) for the proposed solar energy facility on Farm Waterloo 992. *Provided that* these recommendations are followed through, the authorised solar facility development is unlikely to compromise a significant fraction of the *in situ* fossil stromatolite occurrences within the northern outcrop area of the Boomplaas Formation and there are no objections to its construction. Note that, since fossils will undoubtedly be destroyed, the developer will need to apply on the basis of this report for a Fossil Destruction Permit from the South African Heritage Resources Agency, SAHRA (Contact details: Dr Ragna Redelstorff. SAHRA, 111 Harrington Street, Cape Town. PO Box 4637, Cape Town 8000, South Africa. Phone: +27 (0)21 462 4502. Fax: +27 (0)21 462 4509. E-mail: rredelstorff@sahra.org.za. Web: www.sahra.org.za) before construction starts.

To the author's knowledge, the Late Archaean stromatolite occurrences within the northern outcrop area of the Boomplaas Formation near Vryburg have not yet been comprehensively described, illustrated or interpreted in any detail. It may well prove worthwhile to search for better exposures of the Boomplaas Formation in the Vryburg area in the hope of finding good vertical sections through stromatolitic horizons and perhaps mappable, 3D weathered-out bioherms and intact stromatolites that have not been truncated by erosion. It may possible in future to relate stromatolite morphology and stromatolite bioherm build-ups to palaeo-environmental factures such as water depth, exposure, sediment supply as well as wave and current action. If some of the secondary chert within the stromatolitic horizons is early diagenetic in origin, it might show well-preserved Archaean microfossils in thin section (*cf* Schopf 2006); filamentous microfossils have been recorded from Ghaap Group stromatolitic carbonates by Wright and Altermann (2000), for example.

# 3.1. Access road options

Two access road options are presently under consideration (Fig. 40). The west-east, northern limbs of the proposed access road route options traverse the Vryburg Formation outcrop area and are not palaeontologically sensitive (*cf* Almond 2013). The north-south limbs pass from the Vryburg Formation in the north onto potentially-fossiliferous Boomplaas Formation bedrocks in the south. There are no highly sensitive fossil sites recorded along the western (land owner/Alternative 2) route along the western side of the pan (green in Fig. 40) or along the existing farm track that runs along the fenced edge of the property (yellow in Fig. 40). In contrast, the Alternative 1 (preferred) access route (dark blue in Fig. 40) which runs inside and parallel to the farm boundary passes through at least two areas where numerous stromatolite occurrences outside the main project area are recorded at surface (Figs. 38 & 39). A mitigated version of the Alternative 1 route that is designed to avoid the palaeontologically-sensitive area has therefore been proposed (orange in Fig. 40). This last mitigation version of Alternative 1 is acceptable from a palaeontological heritage viewpoint. It is noted that the existing access road (approved) is also still being considered, pending approval by Eskom for it to be widened to 6m.

# 4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This Phase 2 palaeontological project has been ably facilitated by the preternaturally patient Ms Monique Jordaan (SunEdison Energy Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd) and her colleagues. Dr Ragna Redelstorff and her colleagues Philip Hine and Clinton Jackson are thanked for assistance with the fossil collection permit application to SAHRA and for helpful comments on the draft Phase 2 PIA report. Ms Claire Phutieagae of Subsolar was very helpful with arranging access to the study area. I am especially grateful to Madelon Tusenius for unflagging assistance with the fieldwork and preparation of specimens for photography as well as for companionship and logistical backup during the fieldtrip to Vryburg. Dr Gideon Groenewald of Metsi Metseng Geological Services cc, Bethlehem is thanked for the use of his impressive photo of columnar stromatolites from Hartsboom 734 (Fig. 30 herein).

#### 5. **REFERENCES**

ALTERMANN, W. 2008. Accretion, trapping and binding of sediment in Archean stromatolites morphological expression of the antiquity of life. Earth Science Reviews 135, 55–79.

ALMOND, J.E. 2013. Proposed PV Solar Facility on a portion of the farm Waterloo 992 near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North-West Province. Palaeontological heritage assessment: combined desktop & field-based study, 29 pp. Natura Viva cc, Cape Town.

ALMOND, J.E. 2016a. Proposed Gamma Solar Power Plant on the Remaining Extent of Portion 4 (Bos Kop), Farm Champions Kloof 731 near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North-West Province. Palaeontological heritage assessment: combined desktop & field-based study, 30 pp. Natura Viva cc, Cape Town.

ALMOND, J.E. 2016b. Proposed Khubu Solar Power Plant on Portion 5 (Shadow Eve) (Portion of Portion 4), Farm Champions Kloof 731 near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North-West Province. Palaeontological heritage assessment: combined desktop & field-based study, 32 pp. Natura Viva cc, Cape Town.

ALMOND, J.E. 2017. Motivation for fossil collection permit: Proposed surface sampling of Precambrian stromatolite material on a portion of the farm Waterloo 992 near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North-West Province, 8 pp. Natura Viva cc, Cape Town.

ALTERMANN, J. & HERBIG 1991. Tidal flats deposits of the Lower Proterozoic Campbell Group along the southwestern margin of the Kaapvaal Craton, Northern Cape province, South Africa. Journal of African Earth Science 13: 415-435.

ALTERMANN, W. & WOTHERSPOON, J. McD. 1995. The carbonates of the Transvaal and Griqualand West sequences of the Kaapvaal craton, with special reference to the Limje Acres limestone deposit. Mineralium Deposita 30, 124-134.

BEUKES, N.J. 1980. Stratigraphie en litofasies van die Campbellrand-Subgroep van die Proterofitiese Ghaap-Group, Noord-Kaapland. Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa 83, 141-170.

BEUKES, N.J. 1983. Palaeoenvironmental setting of iron formations in the depositional basin of the Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa. In: Trendall, A.F. & Morris, R.C. (Eds.) Iron-formation: facts and problems, 131-210. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

BEUKES, N.J. 1986. The Transvaal Sequence in Griqualand West. In: Anhaeusser, C.R. & Maske, S. (Eds.) Mineral deposits of Southern Africa, Volume 1, pp. 819-828. Geological Society of South Africa.

ERIKSSON, P.G. & TRUSWELL, J.F. 1974. Tidal flat associations from a Lower Proterozoic carbonate sequence in South Africa. Sedimentology 21: 293-309.

ERIKSSON, P.G. & ALTERMANN, W. 1998. An overview of the geology of the Transvaal Supergroup dolomites (South Africa). Environmental Geology 36, 179-188.

ERIKSSON, P.G., ALTERMANN, W. & HARTZER, F.J. 2006. The Transvaal Supergroup and its precursors. In: Johnson, M.R., Anhaeusser, C.R. & Thomas, R.J. (Eds.) The geology of South Africa, pp. 237-260. Geological Society of South Africa, Marshalltown.

GROENEWALD, G. 2016. proposed construction of the Sendawo Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Energy Facility near Vryburg, Northwest Province. Palaeontological Assessment Report, 77 pp.

GROENEWALD, G. & GROENEWALD D. 2014. Palaeontological heritage of North West. SAHRA

palaeotechnical report, 22 pp.

SAHRA 2017. Letter In terms of Section 35(4) of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999). Case ID: 10938. Recording and surface collection of a representative sample of Precambrian (late Archaean) stromatolites from the Boomplaas Formation (Ghaap Group, Transvaal Supergroup) within an authorized solar energy facility footprint on Farm 992 near Vryburg, Naledi Local Municipality, North West Province, 2 pp.

SCHOPF, J.W. 2006. Fossil evidence of Archaean life. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B 361, 869-885.

SUMNER, D.Y. 2002. Neoarchaean carbonates – clues to early life and early ocean chemistry. Excursion A6, 1-6 July 2002, 24 pp. 16<sup>th</sup> International Sedimentological Congress, International Association of Sedimentologists. Rand Afrikaans University, Johannesburg.

VAN SCHALKWYK, J. 2012. Heritage impact assessment for the proposed development of a photovoltaic power plant on a portion of the farm Waterloo 730, Vryburg region, North West Province, 16 pp.

WRIGHT, D.T. & ALTERMANN, W. 2000. Microfacies development in Late Archaean stromatolites and oolites of the Ghaap Group of South Africa. In: Insalaco, E., Skelton, P.W. & Palmer, T.J. (Eds) Carbonate platform systems: components and interactions. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 178, 51-70.

YOUNG, R.B. 1932. The occurrence of stromatolitic or algal limestones in the Campbell Rand Series, Griqualand West. Transactions of the Geological Society of South Africa 53: 29-36.

#### 6. QUALIFICATIONS & EXPERIENCE OF THE AUTHOR

Dr John Almond has an Honours Degree in Natural Sciences (Zoology) as well as a PhD in Palaeontology from the University of Cambridge, UK. He has been awarded post-doctoral research fellowships at Cambridge University and in Germany, and has carried out palaeontological research in Europe, North America, the Middle East as well as North and South Africa. For eight years he was a scientific officer (palaeontologist) for the Geological Survey / Council for Geoscience in the RSA. His current palaeontological research focuses on fossil record of the Precambrian - Cambrian boundary and the Cape Supergroup of South Africa. He has recently written palaeontological reviews for several 1: 250 000 geological maps published by the Council for Geoscience and has contributed educational material on fossils and evolution for new school textbooks in the RSA.

Since 2002 Dr Almond has also carried out palaeontological impact assessments for developments and conservation areas in the Western, Eastern and Northern Cape, Limpopo, Northwest, KwaZulu-Natal and the Free State under the aegis of his Cape Town-based company *Natura Viva* cc. He has served as a long-standing member of the Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorites Committee for Heritage Western Cape (HWC) and an advisor on palaeontological conservation and management issues for the Palaeontological Society of South Africa (PSSA), HWC and SAHRA. He is currently compiling technical reports on the provincial palaeontological heritage of Western, Northern and Eastern Cape for SAHRA and HWC. Dr Almond is an accredited member of PSSA and APHP (Association of Professional Heritage Practitioners – Western Cape).

#### **Declaration of Independence**

I, John E. Almond, declare that I am an independent consultant and have no business, financial, personal or other interest in the proposed development project, application or appeal in respect of which I was appointed other than fair remuneration for work performed in connection with the activity, application or appeal. There are no circumstances that compromise the objectivity of my performing such work.

The E. Almond

Dr John E. Almond Palaeontologist, *Natura Viva* cc PO Box 12410 Mill Street CAPE TOWN 8010, RSA Tel: 021 462 3622 E-mail: almond@universe.co.za



Fig. 37. Google earth© satellite image showing the outline of adjoining Farms Waterloo 992 and Champions Kloof 731 near Vryburg (red), the expanded solar facility study area (dark blue *plus* light blue polygons). Close-up images showing tracks and waypoints in more detail are provided in Figs. 38 & 39 while access road options are shown in Fig. 40. *N.B.* North is towards the top right of the image.



Fig. 38. Google earth© satellite image of the main solar facility study area on Waterloo 992 (dark blue *plus* pale blue) showing gps tracks and numbered waypoints recorded during fieldwork in May 2017 (Note N is towards the *top right* of the image). Most of the waypoints refer to stromatolite occurrences within the Boomplaas Formation which clearly occur widely across the area. Their apparent distribution is probably largely governed by low levels of bedrock exposure rather than being an original feature (*e.g.* patch reefs). Yellow waypoints = large domal stromatolites. Green waypoints = medium-sized stromatolites (domes / short columns). White waypoints = other features (*e.g.* stromatolite buttons, geological phenomena) (Please see Appendix 1 for details).



Fig. 39. Satellite image of eastern corner of the study area (N towards top right). The area outlined in red includes a high concentration of large domal stromatolites (yellow waypoints) that lies largely outside and to the east of the main solar facility development area (dark blue polygon shown in previous figure). Access road Alternative 1 (unmitigated) is shown in dark blue and the existing farm track in yellow. The yellow access road is preferred from a fossil heritage point of view. However, a mitigated version of the Alternative 1 route (orange in this and the following figure) is also acceptable since it avoids the palaeontologically-sensitive area outlined in red. During construction the protection - *e.g.* by fencing or security tape - of stromatolites exposed at surface within the portion of the red area that lies outside the main solar facility development area is recommended. Most of the stromatolite sampling took place within this red-outlined area.



Fig. 40. Google earth© satellite image of the solar facility study area on Waterloo Farm 992 showing the two access route options under consideration. Alternative 1 runs parallel to and slightly west of the farm boundary (blue). Alternative 2 (green) skirts a pan to the north of the main solar project area. A mitigated version of Alternative 1 (orange) has been proposed in order to avoid the sensitive stromatoliterich area (red circle). This last is the preferred option from a palaeontological heritage viewpoint. An existing farm track (yellow) runs along the eastern boundary of the property.

#### **APPENDIX 1: LOCALITY DATA**

All GPS readings were taken in the field using a hand-held Garmin GPSmap 60CSx instrument. The datum used is WGS 84. Please see also satellite images Figs 38 and 39 (*N.B.* Buried stromatolites may be present in many areas where no fossil data is shown).

| Loc. | GPS data                     | Comments  |
|------|------------------------------|---|
| 110  | 27° 1'18.39"S                | Low exposure of in situ Vryburg Formation cherty facies adjacent to             |
|      | 24°48'23.86"E                | access road. Orange-brown sandy soils with angular cherty surface               |
|      |                              | gravels (some flaked). Various cherty facies – pale brown, grey, cream,         |
|      |                              | pinkish, orange, reddish-brown etc. Some cherts laminated. Occasional           |
|      |                              | quartzite gravel clasts and float blocks of ferruginous gritty brown            |
|      |                              | sandstone with abundant cubical pyrite pseudomorphs.                            |
| 111  | 27° 1'18.89"S                | Low exposure of brownish-weathering, grey-green Vryburg Formation               |
|      | 24°48'6.01"E                 | andesitic lavas in vicinity of 1 rig. beacon, locally vesicular and with cherty |
|      |                              | veins. Mantied in sandy soils and cherty gravels. Occasional shallow-           |
| 440  | 270 1121 00"0                | dipping beas of brownish quanzities.  |
| 112  | 21 121.00 3<br>21º47'55 29"E | form track. Accorded voing of dark to pale growich or pipkish or croamy         |
|      | 24 47 33.30 L                | chert massive to finely-laminated   |
| 113  | 27° 1'23 01"S                | Good exposures of highly vesicular andesitic layas of Vryburg Formation         |
| 115  | 24°47'33 62"F                | Rounded open or silica-infilled amygdales of grey chert in reddish-brown        |
|      |                              | weathering matrix (up to $> 1$ cm diam.). Local development of vuggy.           |
|      |                              | rubbly textures. Float blocks of finely-lamianted to cream-and-reddish          |
|      |                              | banded chert.   |
| 114  | 27° 1'55.53"S                | Patchy exposures of greyish Boomplaas Fm limestones, locally                    |
|      | 24°47'54.09"E                | ferruginised and brownish-weathering, near electrical pylons. Medium-           |
|      |                              | scale stromatolites. Cherty surface gravels much sparser than in Vryburg        |
|      |                              | Fm outcrop area.  |
| 115  | 27° 1'57.40"S                | Displaced blocks of laminated to thin-bedded, greyish to brownish and           |
|      | 24°47'55.64"E                | ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates close to access road. Local                |
|      |                              | development of blackish to dark brown ferro-manganese patina (possibly          |
|      |                              | terruginised palaeosurface). Microbial mat textures, terruginised               |
|      |                              | demicel etremetelites truppeted by erection                                     |
| 116  | 27º 2'6 07"S                 | Large stromatolitic dome with silicified core that shows small button-like      |
| 110  | 24°48'0 85"F                 | micro-stromatolities (sampled). Numerous float blocks comprising well-          |
|      | 21 10 0.00 2                 | silicified fragments of large domes as well as vertically-accreting small       |
|      |                              | microdomes / buttons (sample area).   |
| 117  | 27° 2'6.18"S                 | Well-preserved silicified core of large stromatolitic dome showing pale         |
|      | 24°48'0.74"E                 | early developmental phase with buttons, darker cherty outer zones               |
|      |                              | (sampled).  |
| 118  | 27° 2'5.97"S                 | Core of large stromatolitic dome, finer greyish chert towards centre,           |
|      | 24°48'0.63"E                 | browner-weathering, coarser-grained towards periphery.                          |
| 119  | 27° 2'6.04"S                 | Poorly-preserved peripheral part of large stromatolitic dome.                   |
| 400  | 24°48'0.40"E                 | In situ flattick bariner of silicified cleach, peoked (adjoining (2 are at leas |
| 120  | 21° 25.13°5                  | In situ flattish horizon of silicified closely-packed / adjoining (3 cm of less |
| 101  | 24 40 0.10 E                 | In situ flattich barizon of silicified closely nacked / adjoining (2 cm or loss |
| 121  | 27 23.743<br>24°47'59 83"F   | diam) small scale stromatolitic buttons locally with ferruginous pating         |
| 122  | 27° 2'5 76"S                 | In situ flattish horizon of silicified closely-nacked / adioining (3 cm or less |
| 122  | 24°47'59 73"F                | diam.), small scale stromatolitic buttons locally with ferruginous natina       |
| 123  | 27° 2'5.84"S                 | In situ flattish horizon of silicified closely-packed / adjoining (3 cm or less |
|      | 24°47'59.42"E                | diam.), small scale stromatolitic buttons. locally with ferruginous patina.     |
| 124  | 27° 2'6.33"S                 | In situ flattish horizon of silicified closely-packed / adjoining (3 cm or less |
|      | 24°47'58.88"E                | diam.), small scale stromatolitic buttons, locally with ferruginous patina.     |
| 125  | 27° 2'6.67"S                 | Low elongate ridges or bedding undulations covered with small-scale             |
|      | 24°47'58.28"E                | stromatolitic buttons, with occasional discrete, projecting cushions            |
|      |                              | constructed of buttons (LL-H).  |

|  |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |
|--|---|--|
| 126  | 27° 2'7.01"S<br>24°47'58.25"E   | Laminated to massive black cherty replacement of periphery of large stromatolitic domes (showing anthropogenic flaking).   |
| 127  | 27° 2'6 60"S  | Lindulating to subdued domed silicified bedding playe covered with   |
| 121  | 24°47'57.96"E   | button stromatolites.  |
| 128  | 27° 2'6.18"S  | Vertical sections through silicified, superimposed small-scale, button-like  |
|  | 24°47'57.72"E   | stromatolites (LL-H) building a convex cushion (sampled).  |
| 129  | 27° 2'5 98"S  | Well-preserved cherty peripheral zone of large domal stromatolite (c. 1 m  |
|  | 24°47'57 78"F   | across) Core area not preserved (possibly not silicified)  |
| 120  | 27° 2'5 75"S  | Partial charty, laminated periphery of large stromatolitic dome (c. 80 cm.   |
| 130  | 2/ 20.700<br>2/°/7'57 5/"F  | diameter)  |
| 121  | 27° 2'5 55"S  | Cluster of large scale demical to sushing shaped strematelities with   |
| 131  | 21 20.00 3  | cluster of large-scale dofficatio cushion-shaped stronatolites with  |
|  | 24 41 31.34 E   | preferentially slitched peripheral zones. Occasionally massive to  |
|  |   | laminated cherty core zone also preserved (may be double, nested).   |
| 132  | 27° 2'5.39"S  | Rounded to elongate elevated surfaces covered with small-scale, button-  |
|  | 24°47'56.96"E   | like stromatolites (LL-H). Vertical sections show superposition of small   |
|  |   | domes in successive laminae.   |
| 133  | 27° 2'5.73"S  | Well-preserved, laminated core zone of large domical stromatolite,   |
|  | 24°47'58.91"E   | truncated horizontally by erosion. Several large domes in vicinity.  |
| 134  | 27° 2'5.83"S  | Cluster of planed-off large stromatolitic domes.   |
|  | 24°47'57.78"E   |  |
| 135  | 27° 2'5.79"S  | Part of same cluster of planed-off large stromatolitic domes.  |
|  | 24°47'57.72"E   |  |
| 136  | 27° 2'5.55"S  | Large stromatolitic domes, black secondary chert, sparse scatter of  |
|  | 24°47'58.34"E   | associated black cherty stone artefacts.   |
| 137  | 27° 2'5.68"S  | Large stromatolitic domes and small buttons.   |
|  | 24°47'57.12"E   |  |
| 138  | 27° 2'5.86"S  | Small-scale stromatolitic buttons. Orange-brown sandy soils, dispersed   |
|  | 24°47'56 73"F   | chert artefacts with rare brownish quartzite artefacts. Occasional   |
|  |   | patches of ferruginous Boomplas Em carbonate (also seen in material  |
|  |   | excavated from test pits)  |
| 139  | 27° 2'15 15"S   | Large float block of grevish laminated Boomplaas Em carbonates with  |
| 100  | 24°47'39 08"F   | spaced non-silicified but sl ferruginised medium-scale (1-few dm   |
|  | 21 11 00.00 2   | diameter) laterally-accreting stromatolites  |
| 140  | 27° 2'17 20"S   | Stratiform chert or extensive black cherty lens showing evidence of  |
| 140  |   | Citation of citati |
|  | 24°47'35 15"E   | Lanthropogenic flaking. Chert here associated with brownish-weathering   |
|  | 24°47'35.15"E   | anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering<br>quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale   |
|  | 24°47'35.15"E   | anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering<br>quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale<br>stromatoliites   |
| 141  | 24°47'35.15"E   | anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering<br>quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale<br>stromatoliites.  |
| 141  | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33 92"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting</li> </ul>  |
| 141  | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> </ul>   |
| 141  | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19 31"S  | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> </ul>   |
| 141  | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32 20"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW</li> </ul>  |
| 141  | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20 82"S  | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> </ul>   |
| 141<br>142<br>143  | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> </ul>   |
| 141<br>142<br>143  | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S  | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> </ul>   |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144   | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144   | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144   | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Larse assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.</li> <li>Larse assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145                                    | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'20 12"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145                                    | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.13"E<br>27° 2'20.90"S  | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> </ul>   |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146                             | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.13"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'20.90"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> </ul>   |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146                             | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.13"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttors).</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146                             | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.13"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatolites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering forwaring up this hadded aerbonate.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146                             | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.13"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering ferruginous thin-bedded carbonate.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146<br>147                      | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.03"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering ferruginous thin-bedded carbonate.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> </ul>   |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146<br>147                      | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.09"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E<br>27° 2'21.04"S<br>24°47'30.05"E   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering ferruginous thin-bedded carbonate.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146<br>147<br>147               | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.05"E<br>27° 2'21.04"S<br>24°47'30.05"E<br>27° 2'20.98"S  | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatoliites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering ferruginous thin-bedded carbonate.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146<br>147<br>148               | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.05"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E<br>27° 2'21.04"S<br>24°47'30.05"E<br>27° 2'20.98"S<br>24°47'29.25"E                                   | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatolites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.NW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.NW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering ferruginous thin-bedded carbonate.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> </ul>   |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146<br>147<br>147<br>148<br>149 | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'30.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.13"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E<br>27° 2'21.04"S<br>24°47'30.05"E<br>27° 2'20.98"S<br>24°47'29.25"E<br>27° 2'20.95"S                  | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatolites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.NW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W.NW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering ferruginous thin-bedded carbonate.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> <li>Medium-sized stromatolite.</li> </ul>  |
| 141<br>142<br>143<br>144<br>145<br>146<br>147<br>148<br>149        | 24°47'35.15"E<br>27° 2'19.07"S<br>24°47'33.92"E<br>27° 2'19.31"S<br>24°47'32.20"E<br>27° 2'20.82"S<br>24°47'31.09"E<br>27° 2'20.68"S<br>24°47'32.00"E<br>27° 2'20.80"S<br>24°47'30.03"E<br>27° 2'20.99"S<br>24°47'30.09"E<br>27° 2'21.04"S<br>24°47'30.05"E<br>27° 2'20.98"S<br>24°47'29.25"E<br>27° 2'20.95"S<br>24°47'27.59"E | <ul> <li>anthropogenic flaking, Chert here associated with brownish-weathering quartzites and is non-stromatolitic. Float blocks of medium-scale stromatolites.</li> <li>Low surface exposures of dark brown, ferruginised Boomplaas Fm carbonates, closely-spaced, medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites (current-orientation).</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-sized, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards WNW.</li> <li>Dense assemblages of medium-size, current-orientated stromatolites in brown ferruginous carbonates, growth towards W. High level of secondary silicification.</li> <li>Large adjoining domical stromatolites.</li> <li>Core of large stromatolitic dome. Zone just outside smooth core with crinkly lamination (possibly sections through small, irregular microbial buttons). Nearby test pit material comprises brownish-weathering ferruginous thin-bedded carbonate.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> <li>Large domical stromatolite.</li> <li>Medium-sized stromatolites and buttons.</li> </ul>   |

|               | 24°47'27.06"E |   |
|---------------|---------------|---|
| 151           | 27° 2'24.00"S | Small exposure of pale grey guartzite bedding plane showing subdued           |
|               | 24°47'20.46"E | rippling. Preferential preservation of linear ripple crests within laminated  |
|               |               | quartzite – probably due to microbial binding - gives superficial             |
|               |               | impression of horizontal burrows.   |
| 152           | 27° 2'25.63"S | Bed of ferruginous carbonate, partially silicified, preserving tightly-packed |
|               | 24°47'15.23"E | medium-sized. laterally-accreting stromatolites.                              |
| 153           | 27° 2'26.10"S | Bed of ferruginous carbonate, partially silicified, preserving tightly-packed |
|               | 24°47'14.48"E | medium-sized. laterally-accreting stromatolites. Preferential growth          |
|               |               | towards the west.   |
| 154           | 27° 2'27.45"S | Tight cluster of large, coalescent large stromatolitic domes showing good     |
|               | 24°47'8.01"E  | preservation of core as well as surrounding laminae.                          |
| 155           | 27° 2'27.37"S | Irregular-shaped to elongate, medium-sized stromatolitic domes, partially     |
|               | 24°47'7.40"E  | silicified, within brown ferruginous carbonate.                               |
| 156           | 27° 2'27.63"S | Large stromatolitic dome.   |
|               | 24°47'6.94"E  |   |
| 157           | 27° 2'27.22"S | Extensive cherty zone with well-silicified small- to medium-sized             |
|               | 24°47'3.74"E  | stromatolites, including several with irregular to elongate growth forms      |
|               |               | Preferential accretion towards the west.                                      |
| 158           | 27° 2'27.21"S | Silicified asymmetrical stromatolitic cushion. Core zones of stromatolite     |
|               | 24°47'3.72"E  | locally well-preserved.   |
| 159           | 27° 2'29.03"S | Zone of stratiform dark chert (non-stromatolitic).                            |
|               | 24°46'58.27"E |   |
| 160           | 27° 2'31.59"S | Boulder-sized relict block of pale grey, thin-bedded Boomplaas                |
|               | 24°46'56.52"E | carbonate with thin, prominent, ochreous-weathering horizon (few cm)          |
|               |               | of dark grev chert showing numerous cubical voids representing                |
|               |               | weathered-out pyrite crystals (suggests transient bottom anoxia / rotting     |
|               |               | microbial mats). Cryptic embedded medium-scale stromatolites within           |
|               |               | grey limestone.   |
| 161           | 27° 2'28.44"S | Float block of Boomplaas Fm thin-bedded greyish-brown sandy                   |
|               | 24°47'23.84"E | carbonate.  |
| 162           | 27° 2'28.40"S | As above.   |
|               | 24°47'23.81"E |   |
| 163           | 27° 2'20.55"S | Medium-sized stromatolites.   |
|               | 24°47'37.39"E |   |
| 164           | 27° 2'20.23"S | Medium-sized stromatolites.   |
|               | 24°47'37.95"E |   |
| 165           | 27° 2'7.99"S  | Large stromatolitic dome.   |
|               | 24°47'59.09"E |   |
| 166           | 27° 2'8.11"S  | Large stromatolitic dome, secondarily silicified periphery.                   |
|               | 24°47'59.34"E |   |
| 167           | 27° 2'7.30"S  | Small stromatolitic buttons.  |
|               | 24°48'0.34"E  |   |
| 168           | 27° 2'7.18"S  | Silicified, laminated periphery of large stromatolitic dome.                  |
| ļ             | 24°48'0.78"E  |   |
| 170           | 27° 2'2.78"S  | Silicitied periphery of large stromatolitic domes, small buttons.             |
|               | 24°47'59.13"E |   |
| 171           | 27° 2'2.92"S  | Silicitied periphery of large stromatolitic domes, small buttons,             |
|               | 24°4/'5/.75"E | rerruginous carbonate.  |
| 172           | 27° 2'3.05"S  | Large stromatolitic dome.   |
|               | 24°47'55.11"E |   |
| 173           | 27° 2'3.15"S  | Patcny low exposure of ferruginous carbonate.                                 |
| / <b>-</b> /- | 24°47'54.13"E |   |
| 174           | 27° 2'3.91"S  | Ferruginous carbonate with laterally-accreting medium-sized                   |
| 4==           | 24°47'52.91"E | Stromatolites.  |
| 175           | 27° 2'2.85"S  | Quartzite float blocks with stromatolite-like small scale convolute           |
|               | 24°47'51.00"E | amination (possible microbial binding).                                       |
| 176           | 27° 2'6.24"S  | Dense, angular surface gravels of brown ferruginous and locally               |
| 1             | 24°47'45.70"E | stromatolitic carbonate, cherts   |

| 177 | 27° 2'8.45"S                   | Low exposures of dark brown, fine-grained quartzite, chert, associated       |
|-----|--------------------------------|--|
| 179 | 24 47 43.24 L<br>27º 2'11 76"S | This surface gravels, mainly angular charts and quartizities but also        |
| 170 | 2/ 211.70 3<br>24º47'34 36"E   | including occasional well-rounded water-worn reworked grevish guartzite      |
|     | 24 47 04.00 L                  | nebbles  |
| 179 | 27º 2'14 79"S                  | Periphery of large stromatolitic dome  |
| 175 | 24°47'32.10"E                  |  |
| 180 | 27° 2'16 92"S                  | Stratiform chert lens or layer associated with fine-grained brown            |
| 100 | 24°47'29.43"E                  | quartzites.  |
| 181 | 27° 2'18.41"S                  | Float block fragments of large stromatolitic dome.                           |
|     | 24°47'26.42"E                  |  |
| 182 | 27° 2'20.04"S                  | Concentration of medium to large stromatolitic domes within ferruginous,     |
|     | 24°47'24.55"E                  | partly-silicified carbonate. Include NW-SE elongated domes as well as        |
|     |                                | small buttons.   |
| 183 | 27° 2'20.54"S                  | Silicified cores of domical stromatolites weathered out as pedestals.        |
|     | 24°47'25.07"E                  |  |
| 184 | 27° 2'20.58"S                  | Extensive outcrop area of brown ferruginous carbonate, locally               |
|     | 24°47'25.28"E                  | stromatolitic.   |
| 185 | 27° 2'20.66"S                  | Small- to medium-sized stromatolites within ferruginous brown carbonate      |
|     | 24°47'24.45"E                  | (locally leached).   |
| 186 | 27° 2'21.02"S                  | Elliptical core of large stromatolitic dome.                                 |
|     | 24°47'23.29"E                  |  |
| 187 | 27° 2'19.85"S                  | Extensive patchy exposure of brown ferruginous Boomplaas carbonate,          |
|     | 24°47'18.28"E                  | often non-stromatolitic but with occasional medium-sized stromatolites.      |
| 188 | 27° 2'19.27"S                  | Extensive patchy exposure of brown ferruginous Boomplaas carbonate,          |
|     | 24°47'15.96"E                  | often non-stromatolitic but with occasional medium-sized stromatolites.      |
| 190 | 27° 2'19.55"S                  | Medium-sized stromatolite in ferruginous carbonate.                          |
|     | 24°47'15.17"E                  |  |
| 191 | 27° 2'19.14"S                  | Brown-weathering ferruginous carbonate exposures with closely-spaced,        |
|     | 24°47′13.46°E                  | laterally-accreting medium-sized stromatolites (migration towards the        |
| 400 | 079 014 0 0 4 10               | West).   |
| 192 | 21° 2 18.84 5<br>24°47'42 27"E | Brown-weathening terruginous carbonate exposures with closely-spaced,        |
|     | 24 47 13.37 E                  |  |
| 103 | 27º 2'18 36"S                  | Brown-weathering ferruginous carbonate exposures with well-spaced to         |
| 135 | 24°47'12 65"F                  | closely-spaced laterally-accreting medium-sized stromatolites (migration     |
|     | 21 11 12:00 2                  | towards the west).   |
| 194 | 27° 2'18.72"S                  | Good horizontal sections through closely-spaced, laterally-accreting.        |
|     | 24°47'12.00"E                  | medium-sized stromatolites.  |
| 195 | 27° 2'18.74"S                  | Good horizontal sections through closely-spaced, laterally-accreting,        |
|     | 24°47'11.95"E                  | medium-sized stromatolites.  |
| 196 | 27° 2'18.82"S                  | Well-spaced medium-sized stromatolites migrating towards the NW.             |
|     | 24°47'11.86"E                  | Local development of platy limestone breccias (poorly-sorted, subangular     |
|     |                                | to rounded clasts preserved as moulds – possibly composed of mudrock         |
|     |                                | or carbonate).   |
| 197 | 27° 2'18.73"S                  | Large stromatolitic dome.  |
|     | 24°47'11.13"E                  |  |
| 198 | 27° 2'18.46"S                  | Medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites.                             |
| 400 | 24°47″11.24″E                  |  |
| 199 | 21° 2 18.39 5<br>24°47'44 20"E | Medium-sized, laterally-accreting stromatolites.                             |
| 200 | 24 47 11.39 E                  | Low expectives of brown, fine grained guartzite (surface with low ridges     |
| 200 | 21 211.30 3<br>24°47'Q QQ"⊑    | and rounded projections: locally with cubical pyrite moulds) associated      |
|     | 27 71 J.JJ L                   | with stratiform or lenticular black massive chert (showing signs of          |
|     |                                | extensive in situ anthropogenic knapping) numerous chert artefacts           |
| 201 | 27° 2'17 45"S                  | Low exposures of brown fine-grained quartzite (locally with pyrite           |
| 201 | 24°47'10 30"F                  | moulds) associated with stratiform or lenticular black massive chert         |
|     | 20.00 E                        | (showing signs of extensive <i>in situ</i> anthropogenic knapping), numerous |
|     |                                | chert artefacts.   |
| 202 | 27° 2'17.13"S                  | Large, low, chertified domal structure (c. 75 cm diameter) within fine-      |

|     | 24°47'10.53"E                 | grained quartzites, associated with stone artefacts. Stromatolitic nature uncertain. Some medium-sized stromatolites in ferruginous carbonate. |
|-----|-------------------------------|--|
| 203 | 27° 2'16.84"S                 | Abundant surface gravels composed of small angular to subangular   |
|     | 24°47'4.58"E                  | pebbles and cobbles of brownish and dark grey cherty material,   |
|     |                               | quartzites (some flaked).  |
| 204 | 27° 2'17.61"S                 | Ferruginous carbonate with medium-sized, laterally-accreting   |
|     | 24°46'57.22"E                 | stromatolites.   |
| 205 | 27° 2'15.23"S                 | Medium-sized stromatolites.  |
|     | 24°46'58.34"E                 |  |
| 206 | 27° 2'14.63"S                 | Large ferruginous stromatolitic domes.   |
|     | 24°46'57.80"E                 |  |
| 207 | 27° 213.43°S                  | Brown-weathering bedding plane exposures covered with small-sized,   |
| 200 | 24 40 09.72 E                 | Brown weathering bodding plane experies covered with small sized   |
| 200 | 21° 213.07 3<br>24°47'0 13"F  | button-like silicified stromatolitic domes   |
| 209 | 27° 2'11 39"S                 | Large stromatolitic dome   |
| 200 | 24°47'2.82"E                  |  |
| 210 | 27° 2'10.91"S                 | Small stromatolitic buttons.   |
|     | 24°47'4.10"E                  |  |
| 211 | 27° 2'10.93"S                 | Prominent-weathering, silicified periphery of large stromatolitic dome.  |
|     | 24°47'4.66"E                  |  |
| 212 | 27° 2'8.92"S                  | Ferruginous carbonate exposure.  |
|     | 24°47'11.18"E                 |  |
| 213 | 27° 2'7.59"S                  | Scattered coarse (cobble to boulder-sized) angular float blocks of pale  |
|     | 24°47'14.14"E                 | brown quartzite.   |
| 214 | 27° 2'4.67"S                  | Scattered exposures of dark brown ferruginous carbonate with scattered   |
| 245 | 24 4/ 2/.00 E                 | arge domical stromatolites.  |
| 215 | 21 23.00 3<br>21º17'31 92"E   | silicitied periphery of large stromatolitic dome, dark grey chert possibly   |
| 216 | 27° 2'1 80"S                  | Large stromatolitic dome   |
| 210 | 24°47'33.93"E                 |  |
| 217 | 27° 2'1.50"S                  | Convex domical protuberances or cushions of silicified grey carbonate  |
|     | 24°47'34.33"E                 | covered with small, button-like stromatolites (LL-H), also visible in  |
|     |                               | vertical section (sampled).  |
| 218 | 27° 2'1.43"S                  | Large stromatolitic dome showing extensive silicification of concentric  |
|     | 24°47'34.55"E                 | wrinkly-laminated interior, apart from core.   |
| 219 | 27° 2'0.34"S                  | Lest pit showing brownish excavated rock – mainly ferruginous and  |
| 000 | 24°47'36.09"E                 | SILICITIED CARDONATE.  |
| 220 | 21 1 39.09 3<br>24°47'36 97"E | Large sincined stromatolitic domes.  |
| 221 | 27° 1'59 38"S                 | Large domical stromatolites and small buttons  |
| 221 | 24°47'38 52"F                 | Large domical stromatomes and small buttons.   |
| 222 | 27° 1'58.60"S                 | Large domical stromatolites and small buttons.   |
|     | 24°47'40.03"E                 |  |
| 223 | 27° 1'56.96"S                 | Ferruginous carbonate with closely-spaced medium-sized stromatolites   |
|     | 24°47'52.83"E                 | showing westwards lateral accretion.   |
| 229 | 27° 2'15.24"S                 | Extensive exposure of dark grey cherty lens or stratiform chert horizon  |
|     | 24°47'14.14"E                 | near farm track, well-jointed, probably knapped.   |
| 230 | 27° 2'15.87"S                 | Quarried cores of black chert associated with brown, fine-grained pyritic  |
| 004 | 24°47'13.92"E                 | quartzrie.   |
| 231 | 21 143.00 3<br>21°17'1 37"E   | dualse gravers, nual blocks and low exposures of fine-grained, brown to  |
|     | 27 71 7.31 L                  | pale grevish fine-grained quartzite hifaces (FSA handaxes) Interbeds of  |
|     |                               | oolitic Boomplaas Formation carbonate.   |
| 233 | 27° 1'42.85"S                 | Oolitic grey carbonates, sparse ESA artefacts. pan area.   |
|     | 24°47'5.49"E                  |  |
| 234 | 27° 1'42.69"S                 | Low, well-jointed, rough-surfaced exposure of brown-weathering, grey-  |
|     | 24°47'0.65"E                  | green Vryburg Formation andesites along western edge of pan.   |
|     |                               | Varicoloured cherty gravels (reddish jaspers, pink, brown cherts etc).   |