
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS VISUAL IMPACT ANALYSIS







- 1. EXISTING PRAISE HOUSE
- 2. TOP OF PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
- 3. BOTTOM OF PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
- 4. EXISTING ENTRANCE STAIRS TO PRAISE HOUSE
- 5. PLANTING TO RE-PLANTED AT PICKET FENCE LEVEL






VISUALLY INACCESSIBLE PRECAST WALL TO BE DEMOLISHED



- 1. PROPOSED LINE OF NEW RETAINING WALL. RETAINING WALL AND BALUSTRADE TO BE VISUALLY NON-IMPEDING
- 2. PRECAST FENCE TO BE REMOVED











- 1. PROPOSED LINE OF NEW RETAINING WALL. RETAINING WALL AND BALUSTRADE TO BE VISUALLY NON-IMPEDING
- 2. PRECAST FENCE TO BE REMOVED
- 3. NEW TARMAC LEVEL TO BE TIED INTO EXISTING RETAINING WALL.



1:100







- 1. CURRENT STAIRS WIDTH NOT COMPLIANT TO SANS 10400 PART M
- 2. LINE OF NEW RETAINING WALL IMPROVING THE VISUAL ACCESSIBILITY TO THE BUILDINGS
- 3. LINE OF NEW RETAINING WALL NOT VISUALLY IMPEDING
- 4. PLANTING TO RE-PLANTED AT NEW LEVEL

