APPENDIX F Impact Assessment

IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Proposed Construction of a Pipeline **Senekal Bulk Water Supply Setsoto Local Municipality**

Proponent: Setsoto Local Municipality

MDA Ref No: 40714 Date: May 2019



Physical Address: 9 Barnes Street, Westdene, Bloemfontein, 9301 Postal Address: PO Box 100982, Brandhof, 9324

Tel: 051 447 1583, Fax: 051 448 9839

E-mail: admin@mdagroup.co.za

1. METHODOLOGY

- 1.1. Impact assessment must take into account the nature, scale and duration of effects on the environment whether such effects are positive (beneficial) or negative (detrimental). Each issue / impact is also assessed according to the project stages from planning, through construction and operation to the decommissioning phase. Where necessary, the proposal for mitigation or optimization of an impact is noted. A brief discussion of the impact and the rationale behind the assessment of its significance has also been included.
- 1.2. A rating system is applied to the potential impact on the receiving environment and includes an objective evaluation of the mitigation of the impact. In assessing the significance of each issue the following criteria (including an allocated point system) is used:

Table: Criteria	ria for the classification of an impact							
Nature	A brief description of the environmental aspect being impacted upon by a particular action or activity is presented.							
Extent	Considering the area over which the impact will be expressed.							
(Scale)	Typically, the	e severity and significance of an impact have						
	different sco	cales and as such bracketing ranges are often						
		is is often useful during the detailed assessment						
		project in terms of further defining the determined						
		or intensity of an impact.						
	Site	Within the construction site						
	Local	Within a radius of 2 km of the construction site						
	Regional	Provincial (and parts of neighbouring provinces)						
	National	The whole of South Africa						
Duration	Indicates what the lifetime of the impact will be.							
	Short-term	The impact will either disappear with mitigation						
		or will be mitigated through natural process in a						
	A 41*	span shorter than the construction phase						
	Medium-	The impact will last for the period of the						
	l term	construction phase, where after it will be entirely negated						
	Long-term	The impact will continue or last for the entire						
		operational life of the development, but will be						
		mitigated by direct human action or by natural						
		processes thereafter						
	Permanent	The only class of impact which will be non-						
		transitory. Mitigation either by man or natural						
		process will not occur in such a way or in such a						
		time span that the impact can be considered transient						
Intensity	Describes wh	nether an impact is destructive or benign.						
milensily	Describes MI							

Table: Criteria	for the classif	ication of an impact					
	Low	Impact affects the environment in such a way that natural, cultural and social functions and processes are not affected.					
		It is important to note that the status of an impact is assigned based on the status quo – i.e. should the project not proceed. Therefore not all negative impacts are equally significant.					
	Medium	Effected environment is altered, but natural and social functions and processes continue albeit in a modified way, cultural					
	High	Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they temporarily cease					
	Very high	Natural, cultural and social functions and processes are altered to extent that they permanently cease					
Probability	Describes the likelihood of an impact actually occurring.						
	Improbable	Likelihood of the impact materializing is very low					
	Possible	The impact may occur					
	Highly	Most likely that the impact will occur					
	probable						
	Definite Impact will certainly occur						
Significance	characteristic impact in te	is determined through a synthesis of impact cs. It is an indication of the importance of the rms of both physical extent and time scale, and licates the level of mitigation required.					
	Low impact	No permanent impact of significance. Mitigatory measures are feasible and are readily instituted as part of a standing design, construction or operating procedure					
	Medium impact	Mitigation is possible with additional design and construction inputs					
High The design of the site may be of the impact Mitigation and possible remediation are during the construction and/or opphases. The effects of the impact may a broader environment							
	Very high impact	The design of the site may be affected. Intensive remediation as needed during construction					
	impaci	and/or operational phases. Any activity which results in a "very high impact" is likely to be a fatal flaw					
Status		results in a "very high impact" is likely to be a					

Table: Criteria for the classification of an impact				
	Negative	Deleterious or adverse impact		
Neutral		Impact is neither beneficial nor adverse		

The suitability and feasibility of all proposed mitigation measures will be included in the assessment of significant impacts. This will be achieved through the comparison of the significance of the impact before and after the proposed mitigation measure is implemented.

DESCRIPTION AND ADDRESSING OF POSSIBLE IMPACTS, ISSUES AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

Developments such as these do have, like many other types of developments, various direct but also indirect impacts on the environment. These impacts have to be managed in order to have the minimum environmental impact and the maximum benefit to man.

Issues identified during the Basic Assessment process are discussed and assessed below:

1. VEGETATION DES	TRUCTION						
Assessment							
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status	
Without Mitigation	Local	Permanent	Very high	Definite	High	Negative	
With Mitigation	Site	Long term	High	Definite	Medium	Negative	
Recommendation							
Phase	Description	of recommend	ation				
General	Please re	efer to the Speci	alist Reports in A	ppendix D for more	e recommendatior	ns	
Planning Phase	• None						
Construction	 Establish 	ment of alien / i	nvader vegetati	on will be monitore	ed and these spec	ies will be removed	
phase and	by hand	l or by an appro	ved chemical be	efore gestation the	ereof.		
operational phase	 Vegetat 	ion clearance w	vill be limited to t	he required area.			
	A permi	t for the remov	al of protected	plant species will	be obtained before	ore the removal of	
		ecies (if any).	·				
	Care sho	ould be taken to	limit unnecessa	ry destruction of th	e natural vegetation	on.	
	All humo	an movement c	and activities mu	ust be contained v	within designated	construction areas	
					_	surrounding natural	
	habitat.				•	J	
	 No fire-w 	 No fire-wood may be collected in the veld without permission from the landowner. 					
			• •	·	environmental co	mpliance.	
		•		_		as soon as possible.	
Post construction						n and operational	
phase and			• • •		rehabilitation phas	·	
rehabilitation	•		•		·	osion may occur at	
phase	barren c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·			•	
	 Return a 	ind spread topso	oil cover (to origi	nal depth) over ref	nabilitated area.		
					disturbed area to	be rehabilitated.	
	•					of the rehabilitation	
			-		d with indigenous g		

1. VEGETATION DESTRUCTION

- Species, especially grasses, trees and shrubs occurring in the region must be used to rehabilitate disturbed areas.
- Keep animals away from the site, at least until the vegetation has re-established sufficiently.

2. LOSS OF SOIL						
Assessment						
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Negative
Recommendation	_					
Phase	Description	of recommend	ation			
General	 Please re 	efer to the Spec	ialist Reports in A	ppendix D for more	e recommendatior	ns
Planning Phase	site, as n • Howeve	o mitigation me r, the engineer	asures are to be s, specialists ar	implemented on s	ite during the plan consultants took v	various factors into
Construction phase and operational phase	rehabilite - Bricks n - Stockpi - The gro • Speed li of design • Dust co construct • All humo and the habitat. • Visual instruct • Visual instruct	ation process, for nay be placed of iles should not be adient of stockping mit will be enformated roads / pontrol measures attion period. In movement of planned site acceptances of the struction phase.	or example: caround the stock e higher than 1 les should not be reed on the cor athways. will be implement and activities m access road in ord d be undertaken will be impleme e occurrence of	spiles, to limit the logonal to m. e greater than 1:1.5 instruction vehicles dented if nuisance ust be contained after to prevent periginated in order to metal in order in or	ss thereof due to ro on and these vehicles dust generation within designated oheral impacts on environmental con anage storm water	occurs during the construction areas surrounding natural

2. LOSS OF SOIL	
Post construction phase and rehabilitation phase	 Erosion should be prevented as far as possible and attended to, as serious erosion may occur at barren areas. Return and spread topsoil cover (to original depth) over rehabilitated area. Vegetation should be allowed to re-establish naturally over disturbed area to be rehabilitated. Areas which show low vegetation growth nine months after completion of the rehabilitation
	work, must be ripped, additional topsoil spread and seeded with indigenous grass species.

2 POLITICAL CONT	DOI.						
3. POLLUTION CONT Assessment	KOL						
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status	
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	High	Definite	High	Negative	
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Negative	
Recommendation							
Phase	Description	of recommende	ation				
General	Please re	efer to the Speci	alist Reports in A	ppendix D for more	e recommendation	ns	
Planning Phase	site, as n • However	 Please refer to the Specialist Reports in Appendix D for more recommendations No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 					
Construction phase and operational phase	operatio Best prace No waste Waste cl Suitable Waste w DWS shoresource Record s manner Visual ins	 consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. Visual inspections for the occurrence of pollution should be undertaken daily during the operational phase. Best practices should be implemented in the case of spillages / pollution / erosion. No waste (general / construction / potential hazardous / etc.) may be dumped in the veld / water features. Waste classification should be undertaken. Suitable waste bins etc. will be available on site for the temporary disposal of waste. Waste will be removed from site and disposed of at an authorised landfill site. DWS should be notified of any spillage / pollution within 24 hours of occurrence within water resources. Record should be kept on site to indicate date of visual inspection, any spillages observed, and manner in which spill was treated. 					
Post construction				ken when necessar			
phase and	All temp	orary infrastructu	re related to th	e construction pha	se will be removed	from site.	
rehabilitation	• Tempord	Temporary concrete surfaces (if any) will be removed and compacted areas ripped.					

3. POLLUTION CONTROL						
phase	No waste will be dumped on site and any waste occurring on site will be removed and disposed					
	of according to best practices.					

4. LOSS OF ANIMAL LIFE							
Assessment	Assessment						
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status	
Without Mitigation	Local	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative	
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Neutral	
Recommendation							
Phase	Description	of recommendat	ion				
General	 Please re 	fer to the Special	ist Reports in App	endix D for more	recommendation	ns	
Planning Phase	site, as no • However	 No environmental mitigation measures is required during the planning phase on the proposed site, as no mitigation measures are to be implemented on site during the planning phase. However, the engineers, specialists and environmental consultants took various factors into consideration, to be implemented during the construction / operational phase. 					
Construction phase and operational phase	Specialist permits stAny occurrence	 No animals may be captured / harmed / killed on site. Specialists should be appointed to remove / translocate species, if required. The necessary permits should also be obtained. Any occurrences of harmed animals should be reported to the ECO, the required steps should be taken and should be recorded as such. 					
Post construction phase and rehabilitation phase	Specialist permits stAny occurrence	 No animals may be captured / harmed / killed on site. Specialists should be appointed to remove / translocate species, if required. The necessary permits should also be obtained. Any occurrences of harmed animals should be reported to the ECO, the required steps should be taken and should be recorded as such. 					

5. Surface Water	F. Surface Water						
Assessment							
Mitigation Status	Extent	Duration	Intensity	Probability	Significance	Status	
Without Mitigation	Regional	Permanent	Medium	Definite	High	Negative	
With Mitigation	Local	Long-term	Medium	Definite	Medium	Neutral	
Recommendation							
Phase	Description	of recommend	ation				
General	 Please re 	efer to the Spec	ialist Reports in A	Appendix D for more	e recommendatio	ns	
Planning Phase	 No envir 	onmental mitig	ation measures	is required during	the planning phas	e on the proposed	
	site, as n	o mitigation me	easures are to be	e implemented on s	ite during the plan	ning phase.	
	 However 	r, the engineer	rs, specialists ar	nd environmental	consultants took v	various factors into	
	consider	ation, to be imp	olemented durin	g the construction	/ operational phas	e.	
Construction	Storm water measures will be implemented in order to manage storm water and this will also						
phase and	prevent erosion.						
operational phase						such a manner that	
						diverted at a time,	
		•	•	norisations be recei			
	The necessary authorisations (altering and impeding of beds / banks of water sources) should be						
		d from DWS.					
				f surface water and	d soil pollution are	to be undertaken,	
	_	e construction	•				
	-		ce implemente	d in the case of s	spillages / pollutio	n / erosion at the	
	waterwa	•					
D 1 ''				o rehabilitate any c			
Post construction		•	· ·	rehabilitated accor	aing to best practi	ces.	
phase and	•			soon as possible.			
rehabilitation	Waste to	be removed fr	om site.				
phase							

6. VISUAL IMPACT

The visual impact of the proposed development in the landscape is the function of several factors of which the viewing distance, visual absorption capacity and landform are measurable. Other factors are difficult to categorize because they are subjective viewpoints.

The visual impact for the proposed development is largely due to:

- The topography in terms of elevation and aspect;
- The vegetative cover in terms of its extent and height;
- The extent of the proposed development;
- Distance from point of origin; and
- The low visual absorption capacity of the surrounding landscape.

Factors of visual impact

Visual character:

The visual character of an area has different elements that provide an overall perceived ambience. In the consideration of the visual character of a site, it is important to include not only the internal land use but that of the surrounding land as well.

At this site, the visual character is mainly the town of Senekal, agricultural area adjacent to the town of Senekal, as well as the existing WTW and pipeline that are located within viewing distance of the site.

Scale of landscape:

Visual scale is the apparent size relationships between landscape components and their surroundings (Smardon, et al. 1986).

Visual analysis:

In this section the intensity of the visual impact of the development on the surrounding area is described. Aspects such as viewshed, visual absorption capacity and the appearance of the development from critical viewpoints will be used to determine this impact.

The topography along the pipeline route consists of undulating plains sloping toward the Sand River with a prominent sandstone hill in the town. The pipeline roughly follows the Sand River and is situated along the eastern bank. The pipeline differs considerably in terms of land use and vegetation cover along the route. The northern portion from the Cyferfonteindam to the Koekemoers Rekwest Small Holdings is primarily situated within an agricultural area. The natural vegetation has largely been transformed by dryland crop cultivation with only small portions of natural vegetation remaining. The central portion of the pipeline route is situated within the urban area of Senekal and here disturbance is high and natural vegetation has mostly been transformed, except for the prominent hill which although degraded still consists largely of natural vegetation. The pipeline section to the south and west of the town is situated in close proximity to the urban area of Matwabeng and here disturbance and transformation of the natural vegetation is also high.

The pipeline route crosses several watercourses of which the majority are seasonal streams and drainage lines and occurs within close proximity to the Sand River. The only significant watercourse along the pipeline route is the Sand River and although it will not be crossed by the pipeline it will occur within close proximity to it. Furthermore, all the affected watercourses drain into this river and is therefore taken as representative of all the watercourses being crossed.

The Sand River and associated tributaries which will be affected by the pipeline is still natural to a significant extent although moderately modified by large impacts associated with dryland crop cultivation and urban development.

Site evaluation in terms of visual impact

Visual assessment ratings rates each criterion listed in the table from, high, medium to low according to specific characteristics of those criteria.

Visual assessment criteria used to determine the degree of visual impact of the proposed activities on the environment (adapted from Klapwijk 1998)							
CRITERIA	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW				
Visibility	Very visible from many places beyond 1km	Visible from within 1km zone but partially obscured by intervening objects	Only partially visible within the 1km zone and beyond due to screening by intervening objects				
Visual quality	A very attractive setting	A setting with some aesthetic and visual merit	A setting which has little aesthetic merit				
Visible man- made structures	Buildings as a dominant visual element	Buildings as a partial visual element	Buildings as a minor visual element				
Surrounding landscape compatibility	Cannot accommodate proposed development without appearing totally out of place.	Can accommodate the proposed development without appearing totally out of place	Usually suits or matches the proposed development				
Character of site or surrounding area	Exhibits a definite character	Exhibits some character	Little or no character				
Contrast between human scale and vertical & horizontal elements in the landscape	There is high contrast	Landscape with some contrast	Limited vertical variation. Most elements are related to human and horizontal scale				
Visual absorption capacity (VAC)	Inability of landscape to visually absorb a development because of a limited vegetation cover, flat slope and uniform	The lower ability of the landscape to visually absorb the development due to less diverse landform, vegetation & texture	The ability of landscape to easily accept visually a particular development because of its diverse landform,				

Visual assessment criteria used to determine the degree of visual impact of the proposed activities on the environment (adapted from Klapwijk 1998)							
CRITERIA	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW				
	texture		vegetation and texture				
View distance (uninterrupted)	More than 5km	Between 5km & 1km	Between 1km & 500m				
Critical views	Views of the development are to be seen by many people passing on road routes and from prominent areas	Some views of the development from surrounding routes and housing	Limited views to the development from roads and housing				

Results and conclusions on visual impact of development assessment

Aspect	Result
Visibility	HIGH
Visual quality	MEDIUM
Visible man-made structures	MEDIUM
Surrounding landscape compatibility	MEDIUM
Character of site or surrounding area	MEDIUM
Contrast between human scale, vertical & horizontal elements in	MEDIUM
the landscape	
Visual absorption capacity (VAC)	MEDIUM
View distance (uninterrupted)	MEDIUM
Critical views	MEDIUM

The proposed development will have a medium visual impact. This is largely due to:

- The extent of the development
- The surrounding agricultural as well as residential areas, as well as the proposed route to be followed by the proposed pipeline.