

SOUTH AFRICAN HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY 111 HARRINGTON STREET, CAPE TOWN, 8001 PO BOX 4637, CAPE TOWN, 8000 TEL: 021 462 4502 FAX: 021 462 4509

T0.	A COMPANIES CONT	CATTE	** ·*	C 000
FOR	ATTENTION:	SAHRA	Northern	Cane Office

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY:				
File No.:	9/2/037/0001			
Date received:	12.01.06			
Date of comment:	13.01.06			
Sent to peer review:				
SAHRA Contact Person.: Dr S Wurz				
DME Ref:	NC 30/5/1/2/3/2/1/069 EM			

ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

BY ARCHAEOLOGIST OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY

REVIEW COMMENT ON

South Africa has a unique and non-renewable archaeological heritage. Archaeological sites are protected in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999) and may not be disturbed without a permit. Archaeological Impact Assessments (AIAs) identify and assess the significance of the sites, assess the potential impact of developments upon such sites, and make recommendations concerning mitigation and management of these sites. On the basis of satisfactory specialist reports SAHRA or the relevant heritage resources agency can assess whether or not it has objection to a development and indicate the conditions upon which such development might proceed and assess whether or not to issue permission to destroy such sites.

AIAs often form part of the heritage component of an Environmental Impact Assessment. They may also form part of a Heritage Impact Assessment called for in terms of section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999. They may have other origins. In any event they should comply with basic minimum standards of reporting as indicated in SAHRA Regulations and Guidelines.

This form provides review comment from the Archaeologist of the relevant heritage resources authority for use by Heritage Managers, for example, when informing authorities that have applied to SAHRA for comment and for inclusion in documentation sent to environmental authorities. It may be used in conjunction with Form B, which provides relevant peer review comment.

A.	PROVINCE:	NORTHERN CAPE		
В.	REGIONAL MANAGER	: MOLEBIEMANG MARANDA		
C.	AUTHOR(S) OF REPO	RT: Mr David Morris, McGregor Museum, Kimberley, 053 839 2700 (tel);		
	053 842 1433 (fax); dmor	ris@inext.co.za		
D.	DATE OF REPORT:	October 2005, Received 15 December 2005		
E.	TITLE OF REPORT:	Report on a Phase 1 Archaeological Assessment of proposed mining		
	areas on the farms Ploegfontein, Klipbankfontein, Welgevonden, Leeuwfontein, Wolhaarkop			
	and Kapstevel, west of I	Postmasburg, Northern Cape		
F.	Please circle as relevant:	Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP Other (Specify)		
	REPORT COMMISSIONED BY: (Developer) Sishen Iron Ore Company; Synergistics; (Consultant)			
	Ms Karien van der Merwe			
G.	CONTACT DETAILS:	Ms Kerry Fairley, PO Box 1822, Rivonia. 2128; 011 807 8225 (tel) 011 807		
	8226 (fax), <u>kerry@synergi</u>	istics.co.za		

Please see comments on next page

REVIEW COMMENT ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Mr D Morris August 2005, Received 12 January 2006

Report on a Phase 1 Archaeological Assessment of proposed mining areas on the farms Ploegfontein, Klipbankfontein, Welgevonden, Leeuwfontein, Wolhaarkop and Kapstevel, west of Postmasburg, Northern Cape.

This report assesses the nature of the archaeological record on these farms and recommends that:

- Material from one of the small pans from Leeuwfontein (28° 22.687', 22° 59,851'), where Middle Stone Age artefacts occur, be sampled;
- phase 2 mitigation is undertaken at Wolhaarkop at the Later Stone Age site (28 of 20.874', 22 of 52.111') in the event that mining encroach upon it (as seems likely).

The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite unit supports these recommendations and mining may proceed.

NAME OF ARCHAEOLOGIST:	pp Mary Leslie
SIGNATURE OF ARCHAEOLOGIST:	
EMAIL:	mleslie@sahra.org.za
NAME OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY.	SAHRA

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE COMMENT (ABOVE OR APPENDED) CONSTITUTES THE COMMENT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGIST AND THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INVOLVES DESTRUCTION OF ANY ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE IS STILL SUBJECT TO A PERMIT/PERMISSION FOR DESTRUCTION OF SUCH SITE GIVEN TO THE DEVELOPER BY THE RELEVANT HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL PERMIT COMMITTEE (THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE PHASE 2 OR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MITIGATION AS NECESSARY). THIS REPORT MAY BE TAKEN ONLY AS APPROVAL, IN PRINCIPLE, IN TERMS OF SECTION 35 OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY MUST ADVISE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE RESOURCES ENCOMPASSED BY OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LEGISLATION, SUCH AS ISSUES OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT (STRUCTURES (E.G. FARM HOUSES) OVER 60 YEARS), INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS OR OF CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AS THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ARCHAEOLOGIST.

PLEASE NOTE THAT SAHRA IS NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE I HERITAGE RESOURCES (AND EXPORT) AND THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE II AND GRADE III HERITAGE RESOURCES, EXCEPT WHERE THERE IS AN AGENCY ARRANGEMENT WITH THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY.