

SOUTH AFRICAN HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY 111 HARRINGTON STREET, CAPE TOWN, 8001 PO BOX 4637, CAPE TOWN, 8000 TEL: 021 462 4502 FAX: 021 462 4509

FOR ATTENTION: PHRA: Eastern Cape

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY:

SAHRA File No: 9/2/044/0001 Date Received: 6 April 2011.....

Date of Comment:

19 May 2011

Date to Peer Review:

Sent to Peer Review:

SAHRA Contact Person: Dr Mariagrazia Galimberti DEA Ref. no: 12/12/20/2209

REVIEW COMMENT ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL DESKTOP STUDY

BY ARCHAEOLOGY/ PALAEONTOLOGY UNIT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY

South Africa has a unique and non-renewable archaeological and palaeontological heritage. Archaeological and palaeontological sites are protected in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999) and may not be disturbed without a permit. Archaeological Impact Assessments (AIAs) and Palaeontological Impact Assessments (PIAs) identify and assess the significance of the sites, assess the potential impact of developments upon such sites, and make recommendations concerning mitigation and management of these sites. On the basis of satisfactory specialist reports SAHRA or the relevant heritage resources agency can assess whether or not it has objection to a development and indicate the conditions upon which such development might proceed and assess whether or not to issue permission to destroy such sites.

AIAs and PIAs often form part of the heritage component of an Environmental Impact Assessment or Environmental Management Plan. They may also form part of a Heritage Impact Assessment called for in terms of section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999. They may have other origins. In any event they should comply with basic minimum standards of reporting as indicated in SAHRA Regulations and Guidelines.

This form provides review comment from the Archaeologist of the relevant heritage resources authority for use by Heritage Managers, for example, when informing authorities that have applied to SAHRA for comment and for inclusion in documentation sent to environmental authorities. It may be used in conjunction with Form B, which provides relevant peer review comment.

- PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY: Eastern Cape Α.
- B. AUTHOR(S) OF REPORT: Dr Johan Binneman
- C. ARCHAEOLOGY CONTRACT GROUP: Eastern Cape heritage Consultants
- CONTACT DETAILS: PO Box 689, Jeffrey's Bay, 6330, Tel: 042 2960399, D. Email: kobusreichert@yahoo.com
- DATE OF REPORT: March 2011 E.
- TITLE OF REPORT: An archaeological desktop study for the construction of F. the proposed Tsitsikamma community wind energy facility, Kouga Local Municipality, Humansdorp District, Eastern Cape Province
- G. Please circle as relevant: Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP/ Other (Specify) Draft Scoping Report
- REPORT COMMISSIONED BY (CONSULTANT OR DEVELOPER): Savannah Η. Environmental and Sustainable Futures ZA, Mr Shawn Johnston
- CONTACT DETAILS: PO Box 749, Rondebosch, Cape Town, 7701, Tel: 0833259965, email: swjohnston@mweb.co.za
- J. COMMENTS: Please see comment on next page.....

REVIEW COMMENT ON HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Dr J. Binneman

Dated: March 2011, Received: April 2011

An archaeological desktop study for the construction of the proposed Tsitsikamma community wind energy facility, Kouga Local Municipality, Humansdorp District, Eastern Cape Province

A wind energy facility is proposed by Exxaro Resources on eight farms located about 10 km northwest of Oyster Bay between the N2 and the coastline. The entire area proposed for hosting the wind energy facility covers about 54 km² and so far it has been used for farming and other rural purposes.

Dr Binneman undertook a Desktop Study and identified a series of archaeological resources which might be encountered during development as they have been previously identified in the general area. Amongst these, sites and scatters from the Early, Middle and Later Stone Age. In particular, belonging to the last period mentioned, are large stone features used for roasting, Khoi pastoralist shell middens and settlements and shell middens from the Kabeljous and Wilton groups. It is however possible that, since the area earmarked for development is at places further than 5 km from the coast line it is likely that shell middens will not be the main archaeological resource in the area.

SAHRA requires that a Heritage Impact Assessment is undertaken for the next stage of the HIA process. This report will include the assessment of archaeological and palaeontological resources, but it will also have to address issues related to cultural landscape, living heritage and viewscapes.

SIGNATURE OF ARCHAEOLOGIST PROCESSING REPORT:
EMAIL: mgalimberti@sahra.org.za
EMAIL: mgalimberti@sahra.org.za
EMAIL: nndobochani@sahra.org.za
NAME OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY: SAHRA

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE COMMENT (ABOVE OR APPENDED) CONSTITUTES THE COMMENT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGIST AND THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INVOLVES DESTRUCTION OF ANY ARCHAEOLOGICAL/PALAEONTOLOGICAL SITE IS STILL SUBJECT TO A PERMIT/PERMISSION FOR DESTRUCTION OF SUCH SITE GIVEN TO THE DEVELOPER BY THE RELEVANT HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND PALAEONTOLOGICAL PERMIT COMMITTEE (THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE PHASE 2 OR ARCHAEOLOGICAL/ PALAEONTOLOGICAL MITIGATION AS NECESSARY). THIS REPORT MAY BE TAKEN ONLY AS APPROVAL IN TERMS OF SECTION 35 OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY MUST ADVISE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE ISSUES ENCOMPASSED BY OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LEGISLATION, SUCH AS ISSUES OF THE BUILT ENVIRONMENT (STRUCTURES (E.G. FARM HOUSES), OVER 60 YEARS), INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS OR OF CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AS THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ARCHAEOLOGIST.

PLEASE NOTE THAT SAHRA IS NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE I HERITAGE RESOURCES (AND EXPORT) AND THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE II AND GRADE III HERITAGE RESOURCES, EXCEPT WHERE THERE IS AN AGENCY ARRANGEMENT WITH THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY.