

SOUTH AFRICAN HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY 111 HARRINGTON STREET, CAPE TOWN, 8001 PO BOX 4637, CAPETOWN, 8000 TEL: 021 462 4502 FAX: 021 462 4509 FOR ATTENTION: SAHRA: Mpumalanga

File No.:	9/2/248/0001
Date received:	10 March 2006
Date of comment:	9 May 2006
Sent to peer review	
Date to Peer Rev.:	
SAHRA Contact P	erson.: Roxsanne Dyssell

## ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

BY ARCHAEOLOGIST OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY

## REVIEW COMMENT ON

South Africa has a unique and non-renewable archaeological heritage. Archaeological sites are protected in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999) and may not be disturbed without a permit. Archaeological Impact Assessments (AIAs) identify and assess the significance of the sites, assess the potential impact of developments upon such sites, and make recommendations concerning mitigation and management of these sites. On the basis of satisfactory specialist reports SAHRA or the relevant heritage resources agency can assess whether or not it has objection to a development and indicate the conditions upon which such development might proceed and assess whether or not to issue permission to destroy such sites.

AlAs often form part of the heritage component of an Environmental Impact Assessment or Environmental Management Plan. They may also form part of a Heritage Impact Assessment called for in terms of section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999. They may have other origins. In any event they should comply with basic minimum standards of reporting as indicated in SAHRA Regulations and Guidelines.

This form provides review comment from the Archaeologist of the relevant heritage resources authority for use by Heritage Managers, for example, when informing authorities that have applied to SAHRA for comment and for inclusion in documentation sent to environmental authorities. It may be used in conjunction with Form B, which provides relevant peer review comment.

A.	PROVINCE:	Mpumalanga	
В.	REGIONAL MANAGER: Mr N January/ Mr G Tshivhalavhala		
C.	AUTHOR(S) OF REPORT: Dr. U. Küsel PO. Bax 652 Magalieskruin 0150		
D.	DATE OF REPORT:	November 2005	
E.	TITLE OF REPORT:	Phase 1 Cultural Heritage Resources Impact Assessment of Portions 5 of	
	Donkerpoort 406 KR Mo	dimole	
F.	Please circle as relevant:	Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP Other (Specify)	
G.	REPORT COMMISSIONED BY (CONSULTANT): African EPA, P.O.Box 13776 Hatfield 0028		
Н.	CONTACT DETAILS:	Johan Goosen, Tel: (012) 3660100, Fax: (012) 3660111, E-mail: jg@aepa.co.za	
I.	COMMENTS: (Please fi	nd comment on separate sheet(s) attached.	
	Please see comment	on next page	

## REVIEW COMMENT ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Dr. U. Küsel November 2005, Received 6 April 2006

## Phase 1 Cultural Heritage Resources Impact Assessment of portions 5 of Donkerpoort 406 KR Modimole, Mupumalanga

This report assesses the cultural heritage resources of the site Donkerpoort 406 KR, Modimole. The Van der Venter cemetery, well maintained and fenced, contains thirty three graves of which half are unmarked and the oldest is dated 1921. A typical three-roomed pioneer farmhouse with wooden beams in the horizontal roof that have been hand cut in the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, also occurs on the property. Both these finds are older than sixty years old are thus protected by the Nation Heritage Resources Act No.25 of 1999.

The recommendation of the specialist is that the cemetery and the small farmhouse be properly recorded, documented and protected as heritage features in the new development. A proper heritage management plan must be compiled and implemented to safeguard the two heritage sites.

The SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite unit supports the recommendations of the specialist. Should sites, graves or other features be found during construction or mining, an archaeologist should be alerted immediately.

NAME OF ARCHAEOLOGIST PROCESSING REPORT: R.Dysself				
SIGNATURE OF SAHRA HEAD ARCHAEOLOGIST:				
EMAIL:	mleslie@sahra.org.za			
NAME OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY	SAHRA			

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE COMMENT (ABOVE OR APPENDED) CONSTITUTES THE COMMENT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGIST AND THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INVOLVES DESTRUCTION OF ANY ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE IS STILL SUBJECT TO A PERMIT/PERMISSION FOR DESTRUCTION OF SUCH SITE GIVEN TO THE DEVELOPER BY THE RELEVANT HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL PERMIT COMMITTEE (THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE PHASE 2 OR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MITIGATION AS NECESSARY). THIS REPORT MAY BE TAKEN ONLY AS APPROVAL, IN PRINCIPLE, IN TERMS OF SECTION 35 OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY MUST ADVISE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE RESOURCES ENCOMPASSED BY OTHER ASPECTS OF THE LEGISLATION, SUCH AS ISSUES OF THE BUILL ENVIRONMENT (STRUCTURES (E.G. FARM HOUSES), OVER 60 YEARS), INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS OR OF CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AS THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ARCHAEOLOGIST.

PLEASE NOTE THAT SAHRA IS NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE I HERITAGE RESOURCES (AND EXPORT) AND THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE II AND GRADE III HERITAGE RESOURCES, EXCEPT WHERE THERE IS AN AGENCY ARRANGEMENT WITH THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY.