



Enquiries: Bernadet Pawandiwa
Tel: 033 394 6543
Email: bernadep@amafapmb.co.za
CaseID: 10167

Date: Tuesday October 25, 2016

Page No: 1

Interim Comment

In terms of Section 38(8) of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) and the KwaZulu-Natal Heritage Act (Act 4 of 2008)

Attention: Mr Dan Mkhwanazi
Nature's Environmental Agency
27 Umkhamba Drive
Ladysmith
3370

Proposed Construction of Gugulethu Piggery for 600 sows on Farm no. 17601 Oliviershoek, Bergville, Okhahlamba Local Municipality, Uthukela District Municipality, KwaZulu-Natal. The Piggery shall house 600 sows in 3 separate sheds namely Furrowing and Weaner house, Gestation house and Grower house, at Gugulethu rural area. The Piggery shall consist of Piggery Houses, 60 cu.m fresh water storage in Jojo tanks, 40 cu.m of waste water temporal storage Jojo tanks.

The application for comment in terms of heritage legislation as cited above has been considered. The database indicates that the general area of proposed development is highly sensitive in terms of heritage values as it is a rich archaeological, historical and paleontological zone. The proposed development area has not been adequately covered in terms of coverage rating ascribed to a report polygon.

For this reason a field-survey based Phase 1 Heritage Impact Assessment is required. Since the nature of heritage resources expected in this region stretches from the archaeological era to the historical period, the HIA should cover the Historical, Archaeological and Paleontological components. It is noted that an archaeologist will be able to cover both the historical and archaeological components. The paleontological sensitivity of the area is very high and a fieldbased study is required.

The Study should cover:

- Identification of all heritage resources in the development area and its surroundings -50m
- Assessment of the impact of the development on such heritage
- Evaluation of the impact of the development on heritage resources relative to the sustainable social and economic benefits to be derived from the development
- Results of consultation with communities affected by the proposed development and other interested and affected parties regarding the impact of the development on heritage resources.
- Consideration of alternatives if heritage resources are affected by the development
- Mitigation plans for any adverse effects during and after completion of the project
- Table of all heritage resources identified. This should show Heritage resource type, description, location, significance and reasons for this rating.

The survey should cover more than 50m radius of the proposed site.





Enquiries: Bernadet Pawandiwa
Tel: 033 394 6543
Email: bernadep@amafapmb.co.za
CaseID: 10167

Date: Tuesday October 25, 2016

Page No: 2

Please download our list of Heritage Practitioners from our website www.heritagekzn.co.za and the website of the PSSA, <http://www.palaeontologicalsociety.co.za>.

Should you have any further queries, please contact the designated official using the case number quoted above in the case header.

Yours faithfully

Bernadet Pawandiwa
Senior Heritage Officer
Amafa/Heritage KwaZulu Natal

Annie van de Venter Radford
Deputy Director: Research, Professional Services and Compliance
Amafa/Heritage KwaZulu Natal

ADMIN:

Direct URL to case: <http://www.sahra.org.za/node/369839>
(EDTEA, Ref:)

Terms & Conditions:

1. This approval does not exonerate the applicant from obtaining local authority approval or any other necessary approval for proposed work.
2. If any heritage resources, including graves or human remains, are encountered they must be reported to Amafa immediately.
3. Amafa reserves the right to request additional information as required.

