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Bellville, the following heritage recommendations are made. With regard to the proposed development of a portion of Erf 35973, Protea Valley, in In general the receiving environment is not considered to be archaeologically or
historically sensitive, threatened or vulnerable
The probability of locating significant heritage remains during implementation of the
project is also considered to be low.
The impact of the proposed development on heritage remains is considered to be low
to negligible.
vegetation.
A large portion of the property comprises vacant land, which is infested with alien
The proposed project comprises single erven residential development.
No archaeological or heritage remains were located during a heritage impact
assessment of a portion of Erf 35973 , Protea Valley, in Bellville.
Executive summary

- No further detailed studies are required.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The above recommendations are subject to the approval of the South African Heritage } \\
& \text { Resource Agency. }
\end{aligned}
$$

comprises mainly thick Kikuyu grass.
 A large portion of the property comprises vacant land, which is heavily infested with
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5. to identify mitigatory measures to protect and maintain any valuable archaeological
and historical sites that may exist within the site.
and
4. to assess the significance of any impacts resulting from the proposed development, historical sites potentially affected by the proposed development;
3. to assess the sensitivity and conservation significance of archaeological and
2. to identify and map any sites of archaeological and historical significance within
the above area; significance within the proposed site;
4. to determine whether there are likely to be any archaeological or historical sites of
The terms of reference for the HIA were
2.0 TERMS OF REFERENCE against the impact remains that may be negatively impacted by the planning, construction and The aim of the HIA is to locate, identify and map archaeological and historical
The proposed project comprises single erven residential development. Resource Management undertake a heritage impact assessment (HIA) of a portion of
Erf 35973 , Protea Valley, in Bellville. Doug Jeffery Environmental Consultant has requested that the Agency for Cultural 1.1 Background

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION


2. No further detailed studies are required

1. No mitigation is required
Bellville, the following heritage management recommendations are made
With regard to the proposed development of a portion of Erf 35973, Protea Valley in
9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS
In general, the receiving environment is not considered to be archaeologically or
historically sensitive, vulnerable or threatened.
8.0 CONCLUDING STATEMENT
The probability of locating significant heritage sites during implementation of the
project is also considered to be low. to negigible
The impact of the proposed development on heritage remains is considered to be low
7.0 IMPACT STATEMENT
No heritage remains were located during the HIA
6.0 RESULTS OF THE HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
The approach used in the HIA entailed a detailed ground survey of the property 5.0 STUDY APPROACH AND DOCUMENTATION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL
SITES the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA).
position, or collect, any archaeological material or object, without a permit issued by
It is an offence to destroy, damage, excavate, alter, or remove from its original
Monuments Act (No. 28 of 1969)
Archaeological sites are protected under the National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25
of 1999). The Act came into effect on 01 April 2000, and replaces the National
4.0 LEGISLATION
