

 of this survey we have treared it as one site. Shell species observed include Turbo sp, Bumupena sp,
 ength of the scatter must be in the order of 70 meters long and while most of this is fairly sparse, This site lies on a ridge running through the centre of the property. The site consists of a shell scatt
that begins just beyond an old fence line and continues down towards the end of the ridge. The $\rightarrow \mathrm{NHY}$ obtained on other sites. nciude inrbo sp, Bumanena
consists of some quartzite flakes. . Bumbupena st. Oxystele sp, Pargenvillei, P Granatina. Stone artetactual material
 0 \& $+\quad$ E NHX Research potential: Medium. Sampling of the surface shell should be carried out. that at least some of the site post-dates 2000 BP (years before present). material observed includes quartzte flakes and chunks. One perforated pot lug and a body sherd include: Turbo sarmaticus, Oxystele sp, Haliotis sp, P longicosta, Pargenvillei. Stone artefactual site on the adjoining property has also resulted in some damage. Shell species observed on the surfac dumped here. The dirt track which continues along the lower part of the property adjacent to the

This site hies closer to the coast and is on level ground. Disturbance has occurred here with much
mole activity evident. Proximity to houses and a dirt track has resulted in builders rubble being KFN 2 Research potential: Medium. Sampling of the surface and sub-surface layers is required
other than to say that we observed Turbo samaticus, Pargenvillei and Bummpenasp. these holes were very small it is difficult to comment on the species represented in the buried layer hole was dug to a depth of 60 centimeters where a change in soil colour occurred. This changes from contaned a number of large quartzite pieces including two hammerstones and a grindstone. One a between 30 and 40 centimeters below the surface. This was also not very thick or dense but not very thick, not extending much deeper than a few centimeters. A second lens of shell was located

Iwo small test holes were excavated to estimate the thickness of the surface layer and to establish if and some quartz flakes. One fragment of Ostrich eggshell was observed. Stone artefactual material includes a silcrete bipolar core, several quartzite flakes and a hammerstone, species were present: inoo samaticus allekreukel), uroo swaris, bimmupena sp (whelk), Oxysteles Examination species) showed that the loliowing Dyksbaai. A part of this site has been damaged by the existing road although we estimate the






 materal found in the beach gravels. These implements are common on south coast middens where it hakes and chunks and occasional hammerstones and grindstones are fashioned on the abundant aw
 predominance of two shell species namely Turbo samaticus and Patella argenvillei indicates that tidal ひə. extent of individual sites difficult to make time observations of artefacts and has also made it difficult at times to estimate the

 Kescarch potential: Medium. Some sampling is necessary if the site is to be disturbed.
4. DISCUSSION. srinatina, Haliotis sp, Choromytilis meridionalis Shell species observed include Turbo sp , Burnupena sp, Oxystele sp, $P$ argenvillei , Plongicosta, $P$ The shelnere is densely packed and has been degraded by use of the road. In addition and inciuded "His midden lies amongst the rocks adjacent to the turning circle of the dirt track along the coast.
Whe shell here is densely packed and has been degraded by use of the road. In addition and included 9 N.IV

Rescarch potential: Medium. Sampling of the surface and sub-surface layers is required. other than to say that the lower lens appears to contain the same species as the surface unit. both holes produced stone artefactual material. Holes were too small to really comment on the shell without any colour changes being noted or more shell being encountered. The southern hole showe centimeters at the surface with a second shell lens occurring at a depth of approximately 40
centmeters below the surface. The northern hole was dug to depth of 60 cm and was stopped Wwo small test holes were excavated. The first in the northern part and the second in the dense
wot a the southern edge of the site. Both holes showed the surface scatter to be limited to a few

> Fide closest $P$ argenwille:, $P$ longicosta, $P$ granatina, Haliotis sp, Choromythis meridionatis. Other
Oxysth sp,
intedactual materal included quarzite flakes and chunks and one fragment of ostrich eggshell. loty and Marais Sirect and the nige on which site 74 is found. Lhe scatter is very similar to \#4 in that is KFN 5
This shell scatter lies on a second ridge at the north-east part of the property, This lies parallel to
southern Cape Province. South Africa. Arn.S.Afr. Mus. 88(1): 1-203. Schweitzer, F.R. 1979. Excavations at Die Kelders, Cape Province, South Africa: the Holocene
deposits. Ann. S.Afr.Mus. $78(10): 101-203$.
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possible, be left in place. Secondly, an archaeologist should be contacted to record and remove the
remains if they have to be disturbed. treated with the same dignity that would be afforded to any other burial. The remains should, if predict where (and it) ournas have occurred. It must be made clear to buiding crews what procedures
are to be followed in the event of skeletal material being found. Firstly, these remains should be 4. Human skeletal material is often found in association with shell middens. It is not possible to

 at a later stase.
development plans have been finalised. situation pertains to site KF 2 although the potential for development is greater here if not now then 2. Sites KFG could easily be protected through limiting vehicular access to the area. A similar
 Mitigation or sites. be subject to the same provisions as established for sites KF1 and KF5 people and traftic anong the coast wim nowever have an adverse affect on archaeological sitess and this close to the sea and wil probably not be affected by any development per se. increased volumes of the developmen . 1. In our opinion sites $\mathrm{KF} 1,2,5,6$ will require some form of mitigation if they are to be affected by

