

9/2/054/9

1

**PHASE 1 HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT  
PORTION OF THE FARM LANGEBERG NO. 311  
KRAAIFONTEIN**

Prepared for

**THE PLANNING PARTNERSHIP**

By

Jonathan Kaplan  
Agency for Cultural Resource Management  
P.O. Box 159  
Riebeeck West  
7306  
Ph/Fax: 022 461 2755  
Cellular: 082 321 0172  
E-mail: [acrm@wcaccess.co.za](mailto:acrm@wcaccess.co.za)

**MAY  
2001**

**Executive summary**

No archaeological or heritage remains were located during a phase 1 heritage impact assessment (HIA) of a portion of the farm Langeberg No. 311, in Kraaifontein.

The proposed Cape Gate project envisages a mixed-use development, comprising mainly commercial and office park, and shopping centre. Provision is also made for public open space. The study area is 56 ha in size.

The property is currently undeveloped, comprising mainly old agricultural fields, and is surrounded by residential development.

The impact of the proposed development on archaeological and heritage sites/remains is considered to be low to negligible.

The probability of locating significant archaeological and heritage remains during implementation of the project is also considered to be low.

With regard to the proposed Cape Gate development, in Kraaifontein, the following heritage recommendations are made.

- No archaeological mitigation is required.
- No further detailed studies are required.

The above recommendations are subject to the approval of the South African Heritage Resource Agency.

3

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Background**

The Planning Partnership has requested that the Agency for Cultural Resource Management undertake a phase 1 heritage impact assessment (HIA) of a portion of the farm Langeberg No. 311, in Kraaifontein.

The proposed Cape Gate project envisages a mixed-use development, comprising mainly commercial and office park, and shopping centre. Provision is also made for public open space. The study area is 56 ha in size.

The aim of the HIA is to locate, identify and map archaeological and historical remains that may be negatively impacted by the planning, construction and implementation of the proposed development, and to propose measures to mitigate against the impact.

### **2.0 TERMS OF REFERENCE**

The terms of reference for the HIA were:

1. to determine whether there are likely to be any archaeological or historical sites of significance within the proposed site;
2. to identify and map any sites of archaeological and historical significance within the above area;
3. to assess the sensitivity and conservation significance of archaeological and historical sites potentially affected by the proposed development;
4. to assess the significance of any impacts resulting from the proposed development, and
5. to identify mitigatory measures to protect and maintain any valuable archaeological and historical sites that may exist within the site.

### **3.0 THE STUDY SITE**

The study site for the proposed development is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

The property is currently undeveloped, comprising mainly old agricultural fields (Figures 3 & 4), and is surrounded by residential development.

4

#### **4.0 STUDY APPROACH AND DOCUMENTATION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES**

The approach used in the HIA entailed a detailed ground survey of the property.

#### **5.0 RESULTS OF THE HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

No archaeological or heritage remains were located on the site.

#### **6.0 IMPACT STATEMENT**

The impact of the proposed development on archaeological and heritage sites/remains is considered to be low to negligible.

The probability of locating significant archaeological and heritage sites/remains during implementation of the project is also considered to be low.

#### **7.0 CONCLUDING STATEMENT**

In general, the receiving environment is not considered to be archaeologically or historically sensitive, vulnerable or threatened.

#### **8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS**

With regard to the proposed Cape Gate development in Kraaifontein, the following heritage management recommendations are made.

1. No mitigation is required.
2. No further detailed studies are required.

The above recommendations are subject to the approval of the South African Heritage Resource Agency.