E-Mail: hr19@mweb.co.za



Lokisa Environmental Consulting P O Box 260 Groenkloof 0027

1 November 2005

SOUTH VIEW X 3 - Portions 66, 67 & 68 of Holding 30 Dixon A.H. Phase 1 Heritage Resource Impact Assessment WITBANK, MPUMALANGA

STATEMENT WITH REGARD TO HERITAGE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT

Map reference: South Africa 1:50 000 2529 CD Location - General coordinates: S23° 55" 45.3 E29° 15' 08.5'

is required in terms of the Environmental Conservation Act (Act No. 73 of 1989); to satisfy the requirements of the List of Activities and Regulation for EIA's published in the and material (section 35) and graves and burial sites (section 36). protects all structures and features older than 60 years (section 34), archaeological sites section 26 of the Environmental Conservation Act and endorsed by section 38 National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA - Act No. 25 of 1999). In addition the government Notice No. R1183. The Project proposal constitutes an activity for which an Environmental Impact Assessment The heritage component of the EIA is provided for in In addition the NHRA

could have on any heritage resources the developer to take pro-active measures to limit the adverse effects that the development resources, and their significance that may occur on the proposed terrain. This would enable In order to comply with legislation, the developer requires information on the heritage

quo with regard to heritage resources, instead of a full report, is deemed sufficient read in the main report. Consequently, a letter containing a qualified statement of the status required at affected sites / features. recommendations with regard to the cultural resources management measures that may be features, graves and places of religious and cultural significance; to assess the impact of presence, or not, of heritage resources such as archaeological and historical sites and of the area demarcated for the proposed development. The author was contracted to undertake a Phase 1 Heritage Resources Impact Assessment regarding the environmental and socio-demographic conditions and issues should be project on such This survey forms part of the EIA-scoping process and heritage resources; The aim was to determine the and Ö submit

the survey visibility on the terrain and it is unlikely that any heritage resources were overlooked during archaeological material or features. thorough survey was conducted on the proposed site. There were no limitations with regard to accessibility or The survey revealed no

archaeological or historical sites, graves, or places of social or religious significance were detected on the above-mentioned terrain. This letter thus serves to confirm that no significant heritage resources such as

during development must be reported to the relevant Heritage Resources authority or the the development. No immediate mitigation or cultural resource management measures are archaeologist, and may require mitigation measures. required. From a heritage resources management point of view, we have no objection with regard to Not withstanding this, the discovery of previously undetected cultural remains

Yours faithfully

FRANS ROODT (BA Hons, MA Archaeology, Post Grad Dip. Museology; UP) Principle Investigator for R & R Cultural Resource Consultants