A SURVEY OF CULTURAL RESOURCES IN THE PROPOSED KRUIDFONTEIN MINING AREA, MANKWE DISTRICT NORTH WEST PROVINCE

For:

STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL FOCUS

P O Box 74785 LYNNWOODRIDGE 0040

Survey conducted and report prepared by the:

NATIONAL CULTURAL HISTORY MUSEUM

PO Box 28088 SUNNYSIDE 0132

Telephone - (012) 324 6082 Telefax - (012) 328 5173

REPORT: 2002KH05

Date of survey: January 2002 Date of report: January 2002



SUMMARY

A survey of cultural resources in the proposed Kruidfontein mining area, Mankwe district, North west Province

The aim of the survey was to locate, identify, evaluate and document sites, objects and structures of cultural importance found within the boundaries of the area in which the proposed mining development is to take place. From a cultural resources perspective, very little that would have an direct impact on the development was found. Therefore it is recommended that the proposed development can continue, but only after suitable mitigation measures for the identified sites are implemented. This consist of:

- Total avoidance of the small hills on the farm Modderkuil. These have big emotional and historical significance for the Kgatla people, as a number of their former chiefs are buried here. It can be argued that these sites fall outside the border of the proposed development and therefore would have a low impact on the development. However, they ascribed as having a high impact area in order that future planning should always consider it.
- All graves should be left alone and can only be relocated after consultation with the local communities.
- The developers should be notified that archaeological sites might be exposed during the construction work. If anything is noticed, it should be reported immediately to a museum, preferably one at which an archaeologist is available, so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made.

CONTENTS

| SUMMARY i | į |
|-----------------------------|---|
| CONTENTS ii | į |
| 1. AIMS OF THE SURVEY 1 | |
| 2. TERMS OF REFERENCE1 | |
| 3. DEFINITIONS | |
| 4. LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS |) |
| 5. METHODOLOGY 3 | ; |
| 6. DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA | ļ |
| 7. DISCUSSION | ļ |
| 8. RECOMMENDATIONS 6 | ĵ |
| 9. REFERENCES | , |
| 10. PROJECT TEAM | , |
| APPENDIX 1 | ; |
| APPENDIX 29 |) |
| APPENDIX 3 |) |

A SURVEY OF CULTURAL RESOURCES IN THE PROPOSED KRUIDFONTEIN MINING AREA, MANKWE DISTRICT, NORTH WEST PROVINCE

1. AIMS OF THE SURVEY

The National Cultural History Museum was requested by **Strategic Environantal Focus** to survey an area in which it is proposed to develop mining operations in the Mankwe district of North West Province. The aim of the survey was to locate, identify, evaluate and document sites, objects and structures of cultural importance found within the boundaries of the area that is to be impacted by the developed.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The **Terms of Reference** for the study were to:

- 2.1 Identify all objects, sites, occurrences and structures of an archaeological or historical nature located in the area of the proposed development.
- 2.2 Assess the significance of the cultural resources in terms of their historical, social, religious, aesthetic and scientific value.
- 2.3 Determine the possible impacts on the known and potential cultural resources in the area of interest.
- 2.4 Develop mitigation or control measures for impact minimization and cultural resources preservation.
- 2.5 Develop procedures to be implemented if previously unidentified cultural resources are uncovered during the construction.

3. **DEFINITIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS**

The following aspects have a direct bearing on the survey and the resulting report:

- Cultural resources are all nonphysical and physical human-made occurrences, as well as
 natural occurrences that are associated with human activity. These include all sites,
 structures and artifacts of importance, either individually or in groups, in the history,
 architecture and archaeology of human (cultural) development.
- The significance of the sites and artifacts are determined by means of their historical, social, aesthetic, technological and scientific value in relation to their uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential. It must be kept in mind that the various

aspects are not mutually exclusive, and that the evaluation of any site is done with reference to any number of these.

- Sites regarded as having low significance have already been recorded in full and require no further mitigation. Sites with medium to high significance require further mitigation.
- The latitude and longitude of archaeological sites are to be treated as sensitive information by the developer and should not be disclosed to members of the public.

4. LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Aspects concerning the conservation of cultural resources are mainly dealt within two acts. These are the South Africa Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) and the Environmental Conservation Act (Act 73 of 1989).

4.1 South African Heritage Resources Act

Archaeology, palaeontology and meteorites

Section 35(4) of this act states that no person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority:

- (a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;
- (b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;
- (c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or
- (d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assists in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.

The above mentioned may only be disturbed or moved by an archaeologist, after receiving a permit from the South African Heritage Resources Agency.

Human remains:

In terms of Section 36(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act, no person may, without a permit issued by the relevant heritage resources authority:

- (a) destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position of otherwise disturb the grave of a victim of conflict, or any burial ground or part thereof which contains such graves;
- (b) destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position or otherwise disturb any grave or burial ground older than 60 years which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority; or
- (c) bring onto or use at a burial ground or grave referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) any excavation, or any equipment which assists in the detection or recovery of metals.

Human remains that are less than 60 years old is subject to provisions of the Human Tissue Act (Act 65 of 1983) and to local regulations.

Exhumation of graves must conform to the standards set out in the **Ordinance on Excavations** (**Ordinance no. 12 of 1980**) (replacing the old Transvaal Ordinance no. 7 of 1925). Permission must also be gained from the descendants (where known), the National Department of Health, Provincial Department of Health, Premier of the Province and local police. Furthermore, permission must also be gained from the various landowners (ie where the graves are located and where they are to be relocated) before exhumation can take place.

Human remains can only be handled by a registered undertaker or an institution declared under the **Human Tissues Act (Act 65 of 1983 as amended)**.

4.2 Environmental Conservation Act

This act states that a survey and an evaluation of cultural resources should be undertaken in areas where development, which will change the face of the environment, is to be made. The impact of the development on the cultural resources should also be determined and proposals to mitigate this impact is to be formulated.

5. METHODOLOGY

5.1 Preliminary investigation

5.1.1 Survey of the literature

A survey of the relevant literature was conducted with the aim of reviewing the previous research done and determining the potential of the area. In this regard, various anthropological, archaeological and historical sources were consulted - see the list of references below. Nothing pertaining to the particular area was found, although a number of survey reports from adjacent areas exist.

5.1.2 Data bases

The **Archaeological Data Recording Centre** (ADRC), housed at the National Cultural History Museum, Pretoria, was consulted. The **Environmental Potential Atlas** was also consulted.

5.1.3 Other sources

The topocadastral and other maps were also studied - see the list of references below.

5.2 Field survey

The field survey was done according to generally accepted archaeological practices, and was aimed at locating all possible sites, objects and structures. The area that had to be investigated was indicated on a map provided by SEF. The area was investigated by walking and driving across it. Special attention was given to unnatural topographical occurrences such as outcrops, trenches, holes and clusters of trees were investigated.

Where possible, local people were interviewed in an effort to obtain information on the existence

of known sites.

5.3 Documentation

All sites, objects and structures identified were documented according to the general minimum standards accepted by the archaeological profession. Coordinates of individual localities were determined by means of the **Global Positioning System** (GPS)¹ and plotted on a map. This information was added to the description in order to facilitate the identification of each locality.

6. **DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA**

The area that was investigated comprise the farms Wilgespruit 2JQ, Magazynkraal 3JQ, Koedoesfontein 42JQ, Legkraal 45JQ and Rooderand 46JQ in the Mankwe district of North West Province (see Fig. 1).

Two veld types occur in the area: Mixed Bushveld and Sourish Mixed Bushveld. This largely coincide with the different soil types in the area, turf to the south and a more clayish red soil in the north. The turf as such was not deemed suitable by Iron Age people for settling on and was largely used for farming activities such as planting and grazing, as is still the case today.

7. DISCUSSION

A number of sites were identified. The search was, however, hampered by the current dense vegetation.

7.1 Stone Age

Stone tools and flakes occur as surface material in the survey area, especially in the southern part at the base of the mountain. These date mostly to the Middle Stone Age. As it is surface material and therefore not in a primary context, they are not view as having much significance.

¹ According to the manufacturer a certain deviation may be expected for each reading. Care was, however, taken to obtain as accurate a reading as possible, and then correlate it with reference to the physical environment before plotting it on the map.