Archaeological Beverly Farm Development Survey for the

For Guy Nicolson Consulting CC

Institute for Cultural Resource Management, Natal Museum, Private Bag 9070,
Pietermaritzburg, 3200 By Gavin Anderson

18 February 2003



INTRODUCTION

undertake an archaeological survey for the proposed development on Beverly Farm. The area is report). All the archaeological sites will thus be damaged if development is to occur in this area. surveyed (Anderson 2002), and apparently excavated by eThembeni (I cannot presently locate this marked for future housing development. Guy Nicolson Consulting contracted the Institute for Cultural Resource Management Sections of this development have been previously

permit for the destruction/damage of these sites four will require further mitigation. The developer will need to apply to KwaZulu-Natal Heritage for a A total of eleven archaeological sites were recorded during the survey. Of these eleven sites,

METHOD

sherds are collection of artefacts for future analysis. All diagnostic pottery, such as rims, lips and decorated medium significance have diagnostic artefacts and these are sampled. Sampling includes the sampling diagnostic artefacts only. recover as many artefacts from these sites by means of systematic sampling, as opposed to extensively sampled have high research potential, yet poor preservation of features. I attempt to most sites. All sites have been grouped according to low, medium and high significance for the purpose sampled, while bone, stone and shell are mostly noted. Sites of high significance are excavated or extensively sampled. The sites that are Sites of low significance have no diagnostic artefacts, Sampling usually occurs especially pottery. of

possible from every site. This strategy allows for an analysis of every site in some detail, without definition of significance is adopted since the aim of the survey is to gather as much information as resorting to excavation Significance S generally determined by several factors. However, in this survey, wider

Defining significance

possible, the sites need to be resurveyed once the sugar cane has been burnt and cleared also allows for a general assessment of a site in most cases. If this type surveyed along the tracks of the hills and noted the artefacts as they appeared. This method In many instances the sugar cane was too dense to undertake a thorough survey. In this case, of assessment was

archaeological sites Archaeological sites vary according to significance and several different criteria relate to each of site. However, there are several criteria that allow for a general significance rating of

These criteria are:

- State of preservation of:
- Organic remains:
- 1.1.1. Faunal
- 1.1.2. Botanical
- 1.2. Rock art
- 1.3. Walling
- 1.4. Presence of a cultural deposit
- 1.5. Features:
- 1.5.1. Ash Features
- 1.5.2. Graves
- 1.5.3. Middens
- 1.5.4. Cattle byres
- 1.5.5. Bedding and ash complexes
- Spatial arrangements:
- 2.1. Internal housing arrangements
- 2.2. Intra-site settlement patterns
- 2.3. Inter-site settlement patterns
- Features of the site:
- Are there any unusual, unique or rare artefacts or images at the site?
- 3.2. Is it a type site?
- artefact? 3.3. Does the site have a very good example of a specific time period, feature, or
- Research:
- 4.1. Providing information on current research projects
- 4.2 Salvaging information for potential future research projects
- Inter- and intra-site variability
- spatial relationships between varies features and artefacts? 5 Can this particular site yield information regarding intra-site variability, i.e
- relationships within itself, or between other communities 5.2. Can this particular site yield information about a community's social
- 6. Archaeological Experience:
- need to be tested prior to any conclusions. ignored. Experience can indicate sites that have potentially significant aspects, but The personal experience and expertise of the CRM practitioner should not
- Educational

- 7.1 Does the site have the potential to be used as an educational instrument?
- 7.2. Does the site have the potential to become a tourist attraction?
- pit excavations and/or full excavations The educational value of a site can only be fully determined after initial test-

artefacts may be good examples of their type, but are not in a primary archaeological context and/or have excavations may require further excavations if the site is of significance. Sites may also be mapped excavations Mapping records the spatial relationship between features and artefacts The more are artefacts \overline{a} used site can fulfill the sampled as to test the full potential of യ above criteria, the more significant it becomes. form of mitigation. Sampling normally an archaeological deposit. These test-pit

THE SITES

The significance and required mitigation for each archaeological site is summarised in Table

BSE1

utilised stones (on shale) were observed. There is evidence for metallurgy, in the form of fragments consisting of Perna perna and Ostridaea spp. Several upper grinding stones (on quartzite) and decorated sherds were observed, however the rims-necks appear to be undecorated of slag and iron-ore. The site is located on a hill with two high points. The site has at least three shell middens The pottery at this site is thin-walled and orange or brown in colour. No

at the site. There is The occurrence of shell middens at various locations suggest that a spatial component occurs an archaeological deposit at the site as well.

The site probably dates to the Late Iron Age (LIA)

Significance: The site is of medium archaeological significance.

Mitigation: Test-pit excavations should be undertaken to determine the full significance of the

BSE2

pottery sherds, daga and grinding stones the artefacts are concentrated along the northern part of the hill. Along the northern part of the site The site is on a kidney-shaped hill near BSE1. The site extends across the whole hill, however, concentration of slag and iron ore. The middle and the southern parts of the hill tend to have

decorated with a horizontal line below the lip. This may indicate an Early Iron Age (EIA) sherd there is a multiple occupation at this hill. Other sherds tend to be thin-walled and thus suggest also a LIA occupation. were also observed. The pottery sherds vary in size, colour and thickness. One sherd was possibly The grinding stones are mostly upper and lower grinding stones, however a few utilised stones This suggests that

Other artefacts include granary bin daga and scatters of marine shell

component, multiple occupations and deposit. Significance: The site is of medium archaeological significance because o, S spatial

Mitigation: Test-pit excavations should be undertaken to determine the full significance of the

BSE3

there upper BED2. However, the artefacts appear to increase more to the north of the site, suggesting that colour. The marine shell consists mainly of scatters of oyster fragments The site could be an extension of BED2 (Anderson 2002) and is located north-northeast from is a spatial boundary between the two sites. The site consists of pottery, marine shell and grinding stones.. The pottery is characteristic of the LIA and mainly in an orange-brown

Significance: The site is of low significance

Mitigation: No further mitigation is required

BSE4

consists of a variety of thin-walled sherds, a few upper grinding stones, fragments of slag The site is located lower down the hill near BSE3. S . a separate site from BSE3.

Significance: The site is of low significance

Mitigation: No further mitigation is required

BSES

the boundary of the development. The could not be properly surveyed. The site dates to the LIA The site is located northwest across the stream from BSE2, and on the top of the hill, and near site is currently under dense grass and sugar cane

concentration may be a smelting area The site consists of pottery fragments and one large concentration of slag. The slag

accurate assessment Significance: The site is of unknown significance. The vegetation was too dense to make <u>a</u>

Mitigation: The site should be reassessed after the sugar cane has been cut and/or cleared

BSE6

orange-brown colour. The site probably dates to the LIA The site (V) located at the top of a hill. The artefacts include thin-walled sherds mostly =

Significance: The site is of low significance.

Mitigation: No further mitigation is required

RSE7

dense, the frequency of artefacts along the tracks suggest a archaeological deposit The southern slopes had the highest frequency of artefacts. The site includes several grinding The site is located on the top of one of the tallest hills in the area. While the sugar cane was ron On 0.0 fragments, marine shell and pottery. The site dates to the LIA and has an high level of occupation at the site.

along the northern side of the hill. The surface of the middens include oyster and mussels stones occur at the site. These are made on quartzite or dolerite. Several shell middens The pottery sherds consist of a variety of types in orange, brown or black colours. All of the is thin-walled and only undecorated sherds were observed. Upper and lower grinding occur

more of the site will occur in the hill. The dense sugarcane made a full assessment difficult, however, the observations suggest that

and archaeological deposit. Significance: The site is of medium archaeological significance due to the spatial component

Mitigation: Test-pit excavations should be undertaken to determine the full significance of the

BSE

of a scatter of pottery sherds probably dating to the LIA. The site may be an extension of BSE7. The site is on the lower hill northwest of BSE7 besides the indigenous forest. The site consists

Significance: The site is of low significance.

Mitigation: No further mitigation is required

BSE9

variety of artefacts over the hill indicating that an archaeological deposit and spatial pattern The site extends over the whole hill, and is under dense sugar cane. The site has a large

grinding stones were observed on dolerite or quartzite. Evidence for metallurgical activity on the three shell middens were observed on this hill. These middens consisted of large surface scatters site is in the form of iron ore, and slag. A possible furnace may also occur on the site. One large of oyster and brown mussels, suggesting that more middens may occur below the surface (±30 cm in diameter) piece of granary (?) daga was observed along the side of the road. The pottery is thin-walled and in a variety of colours and sizes. Several upper and lower

and archaeological deposit Significance: The site is of medium archaeological significance due to the spatial component

of the site Mitigation: Several test-pit excavations should be undertaken to determine the full significance

BSE10

scatter of pottery sherds probably dating to the LIA. The site is located on the top of a hill near afforestation plantation. The site consists of

Significance: The site is of low significance.

Mitigation: No further mitigation is required

BSE11

sherds probably dating to the LIA. The site (V) located on small hill with steep slopes. The site consists of several thin-walled

Significance: The site is of low significance.

Mitigation: No further mitigation is required

CONCLUSION

these eleven sites, four require further mitigation in the form of test-pit excavations, and one site sugar cane has been cut or burnt. needs to be re-assessed. The site that requires re-assessment should be resurveyed once the Eleven archaeological sites were recorded in the proposed Beverly Farm development. Of

having medium to high significance. Natal coastline, and sites that have the potential to yield such information tend to be regarded as Most of the sites date to the Late Iron Age. The LIA is not well documented along the KwaZulu-

with the KwaZulu-Natal Heritage Act of 1997. the development area. This permit is obtainable from KwaZulu-Natal Heritage and is accordance The developer is required to obtain a permit for the destruction and/or damage for all sites in

Site Name	Age	Significance	Required
			Mitigation
BSE1	Ā	Medium	Test-Pits
BSE2	EIA/LIA	Medium	Test-pits
BSE3	Ş	Low	None
BSE4	F	Low	None
BSE5		Unknown	Resurvey
BSE6		LOW	None
BSE7		Medium	Test-pits
BSE8	LA	LOW	None
BSE9		₹ edi um	Test-pits
BSE10	LIAHP	Low	None
BSE11	A	Low	None

References

Guy Nicolson Consulting. Anderson, G. 2002. Archaeological survey of Beverly Sugar Estate. Archaeological Report for

Site Name	Longitude	Latitude
BSE1	29° 31′ 20"	310 12 43
BSE2	29° 31' 05"	310 12: 50"
BSE3	29° 31′ 21"	31° 13' 00"
BSE4	29° 31' 06"	31° 13′ 06"
BSE5	29° 30′ 41"	31° 12' 28"
BSE6	29° 30′ 29"	310 12' 48"
BSE7	29° 30′ 47"	31° 13' 08"
BSE8	29° 30′ 40″	31° 13' 12"
BSE9	29° 31′ 12″	310 13: 28"
BSE10	29° 30′ 15"	31° 12' 54"
BSE11	29° 30′ 05"	31° 13′ 04"
	**************************************	0000000000000000000000000000000000000