THE RICHARDS BAY EMPANGENI GAS PIPELINE

AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL SURVEY REPORT BY THE NATAL MUSEUM INSTITUTE FOR CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

FEBRUARY 1995

## INTRODUCTION

The Institute for Cultural Resource Management was contracted by Mark Wood Consultants to conduct an archaeological survey of the proposed Richards Bay-Empangeni pipeline route. The survey was to determine the location, extent a characteristics of each site, and the importance of these sites with respect conservation. and

The area of the proposed pipeline mainly follows the existing railway line Empangeni to Richards Bay. The alternative route leaves the pump station at Empangeni and follows the existing electrical power transmission servitude it meets with the John Ross Highway. From there it runs along the highway f 3.5km, then follows the railway line until

The proposed route thus crosses various areas; namely blue gum forests sugarcane fields, the Lake Nsezi area, and some industrial areas.

### METHODOLOGY

A ground survey was to a remote visible, as opposes to a remote was the proposed pipeline route was surveyed. best in disturbed areas and areas with a low vegetation density. Sites were recorded using the standard Natal Museum site record form and then plotted ground survey was undertaken, 1:50 000 map that are housed in the Natal Museum. in disturbed areas and since sites and/or artefacts would be more sensing survey. The area within a 50m radius of surveyed. Archaeological visibility was at its On

#### RESULTS

grouped archaeological sites were uped into either the Stone Age found in the 0 Late Iron proposed Age. area. These Sites can p d

#### Site 1:

this century. Other pieces of vitrified of the site in the Late Iron Age (1500 AD this century. Other artefacts included a  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ action This site consisted of several metal were scattered pot found, tud quartzite upper to recent times) these sherds. are due Sherds suggest occupation times) - possible even early er grindstone. industrial D Few

#### Site 2:

Evidence for both the Stone and Late Iron Ages were this site has been lost due to quarry activity. found at t D E s site. Hal Ρħ 0

All the evidence for the Late Stone Age in this area is in the form of lithic material. Most of the lithics were found on the right hand side of the site. Ramaterials included quartz (and quartz crystals) and cryptocrystalline silicates such as chalcedony. No formally retouched pieces were found, but several utilised occupation of 000 years ago. s included quartz (and game) thalcedony. No formally retouched pieces were flakes were seen. These microlithics indicate flakes were seen. These microlithics indicate 000 years ago a Late Stone Age and Ø١ 000

probably within the last 200 years. undecorated rim yet Still unknown insumpa), thus amongst situ and are presently about sherds were the reinforcing this lithic materials found indicating a Late One sherd was Were late date. 1.5m below the several The decorated with an applied 10g Iron Age occupation relevance sherds. surface. Several 0 izisumpa are Ssoq

Iron working debris on the site suggests that the site dates to around the beginning of the nineteenth century or earlier.

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

While none of these sites are of great importance, I recommend that minimal intrusion occurs at Site 2. Furthermore, huts are historically documented in the vicinity of this site and can be seen on the 1964 3129DD Felixton 1:50 000 map. Construction work should thus be careful of damaging any graves that may occur below the surface of this area. The B.K.S. and Gaskor pipeline may thus continue with their project.