



NOTES

# Phase 1 Archaeological Impact Assessment

### Site ID: Wessels Mine on the farm Wessels 227- NORTHERN CAPE

Phase 1 survey conducted				
CRM Archaeologist	Jan Eng	gelbrecht	Date/s	2021-06-02
Additional	None			
surveyors				
Type of survey	Pedesti	rian/Vehicular	Transects	30-50m
Technical	GPS	Garmin Etrex 10 and	Camera	Canon Ixus
equipment		Locus Maps		

## **PROJECT PARTICULARS**

### Technical information

Project description		
Project name	The prpoposed upgrade of an existing railway infrastructure by South 32 at	
	Wessels Mine in the Northern Cape	
Description	The upgrade will consist of modifications to the staging rail lines and	
	the design of a new rail balloon. The extension of the railway into the	
	new railway balloon measures at approximately 2 500 m long and 25	
	m wide.	
Developer		
South 32: Wessels Mine		
Contact information	Cell: 072 429 6545	
Development type	Mining/Industrial	
Landowner		
South 32		
Contact information Contact person: Mr. Wonder Sigwebela Cell: 072 429 6545		
Consultants		



Environmental	South 32				
Heritage and	UBIQUE Heritage Consultants and CTS Heritage				
archaeological					
Paleontological	Unknown				
Property details					
Province	Northern Cape				
District municipality	John Taolo Gaetsewe				
Local municipality	Joe Morolong				
Topo-cadastral map	1:50 000				
Farm name	Farm Wessels 227				
Closest town	Black Rock, Hotazel and Kuruman				
GPS Co-ordinates	27° 7'7.57"S 22°51'22.79"E				
Property size	Unknown				
Development footprint size	Approximately 4 ha				
Land use					
Previous	Agriculture				
Current	Mining				
Rezoning required	No				
Sub-division of land	No				
Development criteria	in terms of Section 38(1)	NHRA			
Yes/No					
	rall, power line, pipeline, canal or other linear forms of	Yes			
	development or barrier exceeding 300m in length.NoConstruction of bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length.No				
Construction of bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length.					
Construction exceeding 5000m <sup>2</sup> .					
Development involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions.					
Development involving three or more erven or divisions that have been consolidated No within the past five years.					
Rezoning of site exceeding 10 000m <sup>2</sup> . No					
Any other development category, public open space, squares, parks, recreation No grounds.					

## GENERAL ENVIRONMENT, INFRASTRUCTURE AND LANDSCAPE

### Site description

Description of the general area affected by development

Type of environment

The project area consists of flat sandy plains covered with vegetation towards the east. The largest part of the environment is currently used for mining activities.



Terrain description

The eastern section of the site footprint is situated just outside of the mine parimeter (fencing 0f 2,4m high). This section is located on open farmland and is undisturbed, except for a few cement abandoned foundations and one prospecting borehole. This area is mostly flat and sandy.

Towards the north and south of the balloon, the terrain is previously very disturbed by various mining activities such as burrow pit excavations, road construction, constrauction of clear areas to process ore and loading zones. There is also a large mining heap just east of the mining plant. The area is also scattered with old machinery and industrial debris in certain areas. It is obvious that minng operations in the area have altered this landscape through the years due to construction and ground movement operations.

#### Geology

A few scatters of dolomite could be identified on the surface of the ground. Except for the Manganese ore mined at this plant, no significant Geology was identified.



#### Vegetation

The undisturbed area asw ell as certain parts within the mine parimeter the following vegetation was identified:

- Schmidtia kalahariensis (Kalahari Sour Grass)
- Acacia melifera (Blackthorn acacia)
- *Rhigozum trichotomum* (Three-Thorn)
- Acacia eriloba (Camelthorn Tree)
- Stipagrostis uniplumis (Silky Bushman Grass)
- Aristida adscensionis (Annual Bristle Grass)

#### Waterways/sources

No prominent natural waterways were identified on the site footprint. Man made furrows and trenches are present within the destirbed areas.

GPS Co-

#### Site boundaries

**North**: Mining area and neighbouring farms towards the NE. **East**: Neighbouriong farms. **West**: Mining plant and mining area. **South**: Mining area.

Site access

ordinatesSouth 32 Wessels Mine Security gate then towards the site in a southern,<br/>eastern and northern direction from the mining plant27° 7'7.57"S<br/>22°51'22.79"E

#### Disturbances

Natural erosion

No significant natural erosion

#### Human-made

1. Various two track gravel/sand roads throughout the site.

2. Excavated borrow pits, quarries present at several places on the site especially around the mine plant.

3. Large areas cleared for processing and loading of oar.

4. Various disturbed areas previously constructions, abandoned cement foundations in certain areas and the presence of previous prospecting boreholes.

Notes

The entire site is very disturbed except for the eastern section of the loop/balloon which is situated in a undisturbed landscape. This undisturbed area covers a minimal area of approximately 1-2 ha.

### Environmental recording

Way point	Photo number	Description	Location				
Site-spe	Site-specific points of interest/ natural significance						
N/A	1 to 4	Contextual images taken from South to North within the undisturbed area adjacent to the mine parimeter.	N/A				
N/A	5 to 8	Contextual images taken from North to South within the undisturbed area adjacent to the mine perimeter.	N/A				



N/A	9 to 13	Contextual images taken in the NE section of the site footprint towards various directions (NEWS 360° panorama view)	N/A
N/A	14 to 22	Contextual images taken in the Northern section of the site footprint towards various directions (NEWS 360° panorama view)	N/A
N/A	23 to 40	Contextual images taken from within the mine perimeter. This area is significantly disturbed by previous mining activities and construction.	N/A

# HERITAGE RESOURCES RECORDING

### Stone Age Resources Identified

Point ID & Site #	Photo #	Description		Period	Location	Field rating/ Significance/ Recommended Mitigation
N/A	N/A	Type lithic/s Raw material N in m <sup>2</sup> . Context Additional	No finds	N/A	N/A	N/A

# HERITAGE RESOURCES RECORDING

### Historical Period Resources Identified

Point ID & Site #	Photo #	Description		Period	Location	Field rating/ Significance/ Recommended Mitigation
		Type of				
N/A	N/A	feature	No finds	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Material				
		N in m <sup>2</sup> .				
		Context				
		Additional				

# **HERITAGE RESOURCES RECORDING**



### Iron Age/ Agri-pastoral Early Farming Communities Resources Identified

Point ID & Site #	Photo #	Description		Period	Location	Field rating/ Significance/ Recommended Mitigation
N/A	N/A	Material N in m <sup>2</sup> . Context Additional	No finds	N/A	N/A	N/A

# HERITAGE RESOURCES RECORDING

### Graves Identified

Point ID & Site #	Photo #	Description		Period	Location	Field rating/ Significance/ Recommended Mitigation
N/A	N/A	Grave markers Inscription Graves' Orientation Dimensions/ Extent Additional	No graves located and/or identified	N/A	N/A	N/A

# HERITAGE RESOURCES RECORDING

## Intangible Heritage Resources/ Cultural Landscape Identified

Point ID & Site #	Photo #	Description		Period	Location	Field rating/ Significance/ Recommended Mitigation
N/A	N/A	NatureCulturalevidenceAccessAffectedcommunity	No intangible resources identified. Interviews with mining officials revealed no oral history or remnants of graves or symbolic heritage.	N/A	N/A	N/A



## **IDENTIFIED HERITAGE RESOURCES DISCUSSION**

### Specialist comments

Stone Age finds

No Stone Age finds located, identified or recorded.

Iron Age/ Agri-pastoralist Early Farming communities finds

No Iron Age finds located, identified or recorded.

Historical finds

No Historical finds located, identified or recorded.

Identified graves

No graves identified, rcorded or located on site footprint.

Intangible Heritage/ Cultural Landscape

No intangible heritage recorded. Interviews were done with mining officials.

Other

Due to the extensive disturbed area identified as the proposed development footprint, we concluded that all heritage resources have been destroyed by such disturbances caused by construction and mining activities. The small eastern section of the balloon/ site footprint located within a mostly undisturbed landscape that was thoroughly surveyed on foot and no evidence of any heritage or cultural material was identified in this area on the surface of the ground.

# **IDENTIFIED HERITAGE RESOURCES MITIGATION**

### Specialist recommendations

### Stone Age finds

No mitigation measures. Project may continue.

Iron Age/ Agri-pastoralist Early Farming communities finds

No mitigation measures. Project may continue.

### Historical finds

No mitigation measures. Project may continue.

### Identified graves

No mitigation measures. Project may continue.

### Intangible Heritage/ Cultural Landscape

No mitigation measures. Project may continue.

#### Other

Due to the nature of the landscape and disturbed site footprint and after our field survey, we see no reason for the development not to continue from a Heritage perspective.



## **ADDITIONAL NOTES AND RESOURCES**

### Attached Field Data

Filename	File type	Description				
HIA Wessels Mine CTS Hotazel	Folder	<ul> <li>40 Jpeg numbered images</li> <li>3 GPX files with survey tracks</li> <li>1 KMZ file with polygons of disturbed and undisturbed areas</li> <li>1 Field Report of AIA and survey</li> </ul>				
Additional Notes	Additional Notes					
We have to mention that it was quite difficult to gain access to all the areas of the footprint due to the high security level of the mine. Certain areas were ou of bounds to survey, but these areas were within the mine parimeter where the site footprint is very disturbed.						



### **Declaration of independence:**

I, Jan Engelbrecht, hereby confirm my independence as a heritage specialist and declare that:

- I am suitably qualified and accredited to act as an independent specialist in this application;
- I do not have any vested interests (either business, financial, personal or other) in the proposed development project other than remuneration for the heritage assessment and heritage management services performed;
- The work was conducted objectively and ethically, in

PHASE 1 HIA/ AIA ASSESSMENT FIELD NOTES

accordance with a professional code of conduct and within the framework of South African heritage legislation.

Sig JAC

Date: 2021-06-04 UBIQUE Heritage Consultants

