



Archaetnos Culture & Cultural
Resource Consultants
BK 98 09854/23

**A REPORT ON A BASIC ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND CULTURAL
RESOURCES ASSESSMENT FOR APOLLO BRICKS,
ON THE FARM GROOTVALY 124 JR NEAR SPRINGS, GAUTENG**

For:

***PRESCALI ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS (PTY)LTD
P.O.BOX 2047
GARSFONTEIN EAST
0060***

REPORT: AE840

by:

A.J. Pelsler & A.C. van Vollenhoven

September 2008

Archaetnos
P.O.Box 31064
WONDERBOOMPOORT
0033
Tel: 083 291 6104/**083 459 3091**/082 375 3321
Fax: **086 520 0376**
Email: **anton_pelsler@yahoo.com**

Members: AC van Vollenhoven BA, BA (Hons), DTO, NDM, MA (Archaeology) [UP], MA (Culture History) [US], DPhil (Archaeology) [UP]
AJ Pelsler BA (UNISA), BA (Hons) (Archaeology), MA (Archaeology) [WITS]
FE Teichert BA, BA (Hons) (Archaeology) [UP]

SUMMARY

Archaetnos cc was requested by Prescali Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd to conduct a Basic Archaeological and Cultural Resources Assessment for Apollo Bricks Springs. The expansion of the operations of the brickworks is being undertaken.

The fieldwork undertaken revealed no objects, sites or features of archaeological or cultural heritage significance on the property. The proposed development can therefore continue, taking into cognizance the conclusions and recommendations put forward at the end of this report.

CONTENTS

	page
SUMMARY	2
CONTENTS.....	3
1. INTRODUCTION	4
2. TERMS OF REFERENCE	4
3. CONDITIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS	4
4. LEGAL REQUIREMENTS	5
5. METHODOLOGY	7
6. DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA.....	7
7. DISCUSSION.....	8
8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9
9. REFERENCES	9
APPENDIX A.....	10
APPENDIX B	11
APPENDIX C	12

1. INTRODUCTION

Archaetnos cc was requested by Prescali Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd to conduct a Basic Archaeological and Cultural Resources Assessment for Apollo Bricks Springs. The expansion of the operations of the brickworks is being undertaken.

The client indicated the area where the proposed development is to take place, and the survey was confined to this area.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Terms of Reference for the survey were to:

1. Identify all objects, sites, occurrences and structures of an archaeological or historical nature (cultural heritage sites) located on the property (see Appendix A).
2. Assess the significance of the cultural resources in terms of their archaeological, historical, scientific, social, religious, aesthetic and tourism value (see Appendix B).
3. Describe the possible impact of the proposed development on these cultural remains, according to a standard set of conventions.
4. Propose suitable mitigation measures to minimize possible negative impacts on the cultural resources.
5. Recommend suitable mitigation measures should there be any sites of significance that might be impacted upon by the proposed development.
6. Review applicable legislative requirements.

3. CONDITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS

The following conditions and assumptions have a direct bearing on the survey and the resulting report:

1. Cultural Resources are all non-physical and physical man-made occurrences, as well as natural occurrences associated with human activity. These include all sites, structure and artifacts of importance, either individually or in groups, in the history, architecture and archaeology of human (cultural) development. Graves and cemeteries are included in this.
2. The significance of the sites, structures and artifacts is determined by means of their historical, social, aesthetic, technological and scientific value in relation to their uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential. The various aspects are not mutually exclusive, and the evaluation of any site is done with reference to any number of these aspects.
3. Cultural significance is site-specific and relates to the content and context of the site. Sites regarded as having low cultural significance have already been recorded in full

and require no further mitigation. Sites with medium cultural significance may or may not require mitigation depending on other factors such as the significance of impact on the site. Sites with a high cultural significance require further mitigation (see Appendix B).

4. The latitude and longitude of any archaeological or historical site or feature, is to be treated as sensitive information by the developer and should not be disclosed to members of the public.
5. All recommendations are made with full cognizance of the relevant legislation.
6. It has to be mentioned that it is almost impossible to locate all the cultural resources in a given area, as it will be very time consuming. Developers should however note that the report should make it clear how to handle any other finds that might occur.

4. LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS

Aspects concerning the conservation of cultural resources are dealt with mainly in two acts. These are the National Heritage Resources Act (Act 25 of 1999) and the National Environmental Management Act (Act 107 of 1998).

4.1 The National Heritage Resources Act

According to the above-mentioned law the following is protected as cultural heritage resources:

- a. Archaeological artifacts, structures and sites older than 100 years
- b. Ethnographic art objects (e.g. prehistoric rock art) and ethnography
- c. Objects of decorative and visual arts
- d. Military objects, structures and sites older than 75 years
- e. Historical objects, structures and sites older than 60 years
- f. Proclaimed heritage sites
- g. Grave yards and graves older than 60 years
- h. Meteorites and fossils
- i. Objects, structures and sites of scientific or technological value.

Archaeology, palaeontology and meteorites

Section 35(4) of this act states that no person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority:

- a. destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;
- b. destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;
- c. trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or

- d. bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment that assists in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.
- e. alter or demolish any structure or part of a structure which is older than 60 years as protected.

The above mentioned may only be disturbed or moved by an archaeologist, after receiving a permit from the South African Heritage Resources Agency.

Human remains

In terms of Section 36(3) of the National Heritage Resources Act, no person may, without a permit issued by the relevant heritage resources authority:

- a. destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position of otherwise disturb the grave of a victim of conflict, or any burial ground or part thereof which contains such graves;
- b. destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position or otherwise disturb any grave or burial ground older than 60 years which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority; or
- c. bring onto or use at a burial ground or grave referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) any excavation, or any equipment which assists in the detection or recovery of metals.

Human remains that are less than 60 years old are subject to provisions of the Human Tissue Act (Act 65 of 1983) and to local regulations. Exhumation of graves must conform to the standards set out in the **Ordinance on Excavations (Ordinance no. 12 of 1980)** (replacing the old Transvaal Ordinance no. 7 of 1925).

Permission must also be gained from the descendants (where known), the National Department of Health, Provincial Department of Health, Premier of the Province and local police. Furthermore, permission must also be gained from the various landowners (i.e. where the graves are located and where they are to be relocated) before exhumation can take place.

Human remains can only be handled by a registered undertaker or an institution declared under the **Human Tissues Act (Act 65 of 1983 as amended)**.

Unidentified/unknown graves are also handled as older than 60 until proven otherwise.

4.2 The National Environmental Management Act

This act states that a survey and evaluation of cultural resources must be done in areas where development projects, that will change the face of the environment, will be undertaken. The impact of the development on these resources should be determined and proposals for the mitigation thereof are made.

5. METHODOLOGY

5.1 Survey of literature

A survey of literature was not undertaken in this case

5.2 Field survey

The survey was conducted according to generally accepted HIA practices and was aimed at locating all possible objects, sites and features of cultural significance in the area of proposed development. If required, the location/position of any site was determined by means of a Global Positioning System (GPS), while photographs were also taken where needed.

The survey was undertaken on foot and by vehicle.

5.3 Documentation

All sites, objects features and structures identified were documented according to the general minimum standards accepted by the archaeological profession. Co-ordinates of individual localities were determined by means of the Global Positioning System (GPS). The information was added to the description in order to facilitate the identification of each locality.

6. DESCRIPTION OF THE AREA

The proposed development area is located on the farm Grootvaly 124 JR, near Springs in Gauteng (**Figure 1**).

A portion of the area have been disturbed through agricultural activities in the past (**Figure 3**) (ploughing), while the existing portion of the brickworks (**Figure 2**) has also impacted on the area in the past. It is this existing property that will be expanded.



Figure 1: Location of development area



Figure 2: Existing brick production for Apollo Bricks Springs



Figure 3: Evidence of recent agricultural activities in the area

7. DISCUSSION

During the survey no objects, features or sites of any archaeological or cultural heritage significance were identified on the property. The new development will therefore not impact negatively on any sites.

The development site is located at **26.24321 ° S 28.51194 ° E** (centre of the area, southern side).

8. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion it is possible to say that the assessment of the area was conducted successfully. No significant archaeological and historical cultural heritage sites were identified. Recent agricultural and other human activities in the recent past would have had a negative impact on any sites that might have existed here.

It is therefore recommended that the proposed expansion of Apollo Bricks Springs on the farm Grootvaly 124 JR near Springs can continue. However, the subterranean presence of archaeological or historical objects, features or sites should always be considered. If any of these are uncovered during any construction work or other development activities a professional archaeologist should be called in to investigate.

REFERENCES

1:50 000 Topographical Map location of development: Map provided by client (Prescali Environmental Consultants (Pty) Ltd

Aerial view of location of development area (Appendix C): produced on Google Earth

Knudson, S.J. 1978. **Culture in retrospect.** Chicago: Rand McNally College Publishing Company.

Appendix A

Definition of terms:

Site: A large place with extensive structures and related cultural objects. It can also be a large assemblage of cultural artifacts, found on a single location.

Structure: A permanent building found in isolation or which forms a site in conjunction with other structures.

Feature: A coincidental find of movable cultural objects.

Object: Artifact (cultural object).

(Also see Knudson 1978: 20).

Appendix B

Cultural significance:

- Low A cultural object being found out of context, not being part of a site or without any related feature/structure in its surroundings.

- Medium Any site, structure or feature being regarded less important due to a number of factors, such as date and frequency. Also any important object found out of context.

- High Any site, structure or feature regarded as important because of its age or uniqueness. Graves are always categorized as of a high importance. Also any important object found within a specific context.

Appendix C – Aerial view of the location of the survey area



