BROOKLANDS WATER TREATMENT ARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDY PLANT ACCESS ROAD

Prepared for

CROWTHER CAMPBELL & ASSOCIATES

by

Agency for Cultural Resource Management Specialists in Archaeological Studies & Heritage Management P.O. Box 159

P.O. Box 159 Riebeek West

7306

E-mail: acrm@mbury.new.co.za

2001

Executive summary

Stone artefacts were found during an archaeological study of the Brooklands Water Treatment Plant Access Road in Simonstown.

The planned development involves upgrading the existing road to the water treatment

and have been given a low significance rating. 50-m service corridor. The remains were found in a fairly severely disturbed context flakes, were found scattered on the north-facing slopes in and beyond the proposed The archaeological remains, comprising Middle Stone Age and some Later Stone Age

community were also located alongside the road, in the proposed service corridor The ruined remains of houses and domestic refuse relating to the Brooklands Village

some architectural integrity and a 'sense of place' in the surrounding landscape. treatment plant. Despite modifications The original 'Brooklands' farm building occurs alongside the road, close to the water to the building, the homestead still retains

The overall impact of the planned upgrading of the Brooklands access road on archaeological sites/remains is considered to be low to negligible.

of the project is also considered to be low The probability of locating significant archaeological remains during implementation

In general, the receiving environment is not archaeologically sensitive, vulnerable or

With regard to the planned upgrading of the Brooklands Water Treatment Plant Access Road, the following archaeological recommendations are made

- I. No mitigation is required.
- 2. No further detailed studies are required.
- ţ... With regard to the original Brooklands' farm building, any plans by South African Heritage Resources Agency. African National Parks to develop or alter the site must be approved by the South
- the South African Heritage Resources Agency earthworks, these should immediately be reported to a professional archaeologist, or Should large numbers of stone artefacts be exposed or uncovered during

Resources Agency The above recommendations are subject to the approval of the South African Heritage

I NIKODUCTION

1.1 Background and brief

Water Treatment Plant Access Road, in Simonstown Cultural Resource Management undertake an archaeological study of the Brooklands Campbell & Associates (CC&A) have requested that the Agency for

plant, by constructing a 50m wide service corridor. The planned development involves upgrading the existing road to the water treatment

impact negatively impacted by the project, and to propose measures to mitigate against the The aim of the study is to locate, identify and map archaeological remains that may be

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The terms of reference for the archaeological study were:

- to provide a brief overview of the archaeology of the study area;
- 10 significance; determine whether there are likely to be any archaeological sites
- 3. to identify and map any sites of archaeological significance;
- 4 to assess the sensitivity and conservation significance of archaeological sites;
- Un development, and to assess the status and significance of any impacts resulting from the proposed
- sites that may exist. 6. to identify mitigatory measures to protect and maintain any valuable archaeological

3. THE STUDY SITE

located about midway up Red Hill Road (M66) above Simonstown. The study site for the proposed development is illustrated in Figure 1. The site

SITES 4. STUDY APPROACH AND DOCUMENTATION OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL

The approach used in the archaeological study entailed a detailed foot survey of the

A desktop study was also undertaken.

5. LEGISLATION

old are protected under the National Heritage Resources Act (No. 25 of 1999). The (No. 28 of 1969). Act came into effect on 01 April 2000, and replaces the National Monuments Act Archaeological sites, and historical buildings, structures and features over 60 years

a permit issued by the South African Heritage Resources Agency (SAHRA). position, or collect, any archaeological material or historical object or feature, without It is an offence to destroy, damage, excavate, alter, or remove from its original

OVERVIEW OF THE ARCHAEOLOGY OF THE STUDY AREA

many archaeological observations have been made, in the region since the 1920s. proposed project (Kaplan 1993), but large numbers of sites have been recorded, and No archaeological sites have been recorded in the immediate study area of the

Maggs & Speed 1967) have also been excavated Smitswinkelbaai shell middens, open sites, caves, and even tidal fishtraps. Two nearby sites, namely Valley (Kaplan 1990; Oliver 1978; Poggenpoel & Halkett 1990). The sites include and in the mountains surrounding Clovelly, Fish Hoek, Kalk Bay and the Noordhoek (Poggenpoel & Halkett 1990), while sites have also been recorded in Simonstown. In the Cape Point Nature Reserve alone, more than 114 sites have been recorded Cave and Bonteberg Shelter (Poggenpoel & Robertshaw 1982)

for farming during the last 200 years. more than 600 000 years to the very recent buildings which marked the use of the area The sites that have been recorded in the region reflect human use of the area particularly of the coastal zone, for many thousands of years and range in age from

7. RESULTS OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

found scattered on the north-facing slopes in and beyond the corridor. The remains were found in a fairly severely disturbed context A handful of Middle Stone Age1 (MSA) and some Later Stone2 (LSA) flakes were proposed service

Significance of finds: low

Conservation rating: low

Suggested mitigation: none required

¹ A term referring to the period between 200 000 and 20 000 years ago.
² A term referring to the last 20 000 years of precolonial history in southern Africa.

7.1 OTHER FINDS

langhuis) is almost certainly older than 100 years, but has been fairly extensively original 'Brooklands' farm building (GPS reading S 34°10.225 E 18°24.063) occurs alongside the access road at km 0.4 (Figure 2). The homestead (previously an old Commencing the study at km 0.0 from the Brooklands Water Treatment Plant, the

on and some doors have been removed and sash windows replaced with metal windows. A metal roof has replaced the original thatch roof; at least two rooms have been added The stoep was probably added later.

architectural integrity and a 'sense of place' in the surrounding landscape modifications to the building, the homestead still retains some

Cape Peninsula National Park According to CC&A, the building and site is soon to be incorporated in the greater

Significance of finds: medium to high

Conservation rating: medium to high

develop or alter the site must be approved by the South African Heritage Resources Agency Suggested mitigation: proposed plans by the South African National Parks to

and even some shellfish remains, were found associated with the ruined houses and including glass, broken ceramics, rusted metal and broken implements, plastic, bone readings S 34°10.305 E 18° 24.129 and S 34°10.365 E 18°24.202. Domestic refuse, between km 0.8 and 1.3 (Figure 3). These remains were located between GPS The ruined remains of houses relating to the Brooklands Village community were also located just a few metres from the access road, in the proposed service corridor, foundations

S. IMPACT STATEMENT

archaeological sites is considered to be low to negligible impact of the planned upgrading of the Brooklands Access Road 011

of the project is also considered to be low. The probability of locating significant archaeological remains during implementation

9. IMPACT DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENT

have no significantly high negative impacts The archaeological assessment has shown that the construction of the access road will summary of the significance of the archaeological impacts is presented in Table 1.

	Z	
	WITHOUT MITIGATION	WITH MITIGATION
Extent	On site	On site
Duration	Short-term	Short-term
ntersity		Low
Probability	Improbable	Improbable
Significance	Low	Low
Status	Negative	Negative
Confidence	post post	High

Table 1: Assessment of archaeological impacts of the proposed Brooklands Water Treatment Plant Access Road

10. CONCLUDING STATEMENT

sensitive, vulnerable or threatened. general, the receiving environment is not considered to be archaeologically

11. RECOMMENDATIONS

Simonstown, the following archaeological recommendations are made. With regard to the proposed Brooklands Water Treatment Plant Access Road in

- No mitigation is required
- 2. No further detailed studies are required.
- دب African National Parks to develop or alter the site must be approved by the South With regard to the original 'Brooklands' farm building, any plans by the South African Heritage Resources Agency
- the South African Heritage Resources Agency earthworks, these should immediately be reported to a professional archaeologist, or Should large numbers of stone artefacts be exposed or uncovered during

Resources Agency The above recommendations are subject to the approval of the South African Heritage

12. KEFERENCES

Maggs, T. & Speed, E. 1967. Bonteberg Shelter. South African Archaeological Bulletin 22:80-93.

Kaplan, J. 1990. A report on the archaeological sensitivity of the Noordhoek Valley. Archaeology Contracts Office, University of Cape Town.

Affairs and Tourism. Agency for Cultural Resource Management. Orange River to Ponta do Ouro. Report prepared for the Department of Environment Kaplan, J. 1993. The state of archaeological information in the coastal zone from the

Olivier A.M. 1977. The archaeological survey of the Cape Peninsula. Unpublished Archaeology Additional Project, University of Cape Town.

Poggenpoel, C. A.& Robertshaw, P.T. 1981. The excavation of Smitswinkelbaai Cave, Cape Peninsula. South African Archaeological Bulletin 36:29-35.

coastal areas of the Cape Point Nature Reserve. Archaeology Contracts Office, University of Cape Town. Poggenpoel, C.A. & Halkett, D. 1990. An archaeological survey of the coast and near