

**Heritage impact survey report for the
PROPOSED TOWNSHIP DEVELOPMENTS GLEN ERASMA
EXTENSIONS, EKURULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY,
GAUTENG PROVINCE**



THE PROJECT:

Development of two townships.

THIS REPORT:

HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED TOWNSHIP DEVELOPMENTS GLEN ERASMIA EXTENSIONS, EKURULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY, GAUTENG PROVINCE

Report No: 2009/JvS/031
Status: Final
Revision No: 0
Date: June 2009

Prepared for:

Bohlweki SSI

Representative: Ms. C Grobbelaar

Postal Address: P O Box 867, Gallo Manor, 2052

Tel: 011 798 6001

E-mail: charlotteg@ssi.co.za

Prepared by:

J van Schalkwyk (D Litt et Phil), Heritage Consultant

ASAPA Registration No.: 168

Principal Investigator: Iron Age, Colonial Period, Industrial Heritage

Postal Address: 62 Coetzer Avenue, Monument Park, 0181

Mobile: 076 790 6777

Fax: 012 347 7270

E-mail: jvschalkwyk@mweb.co.za

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED TOWNSHIP DEVELOPMENTS GLEN ERASMIA EXTENSIONS, EKURULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY, GAUTENG PROVINCE

Hunkydory Investments (Pty) Ltd, property developers, is proposing township development on sections of land, identified as Glen Erasmia Extensions.

In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was appointed by **Bohlweki SSI** conduct a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the areas where it is planned to develop the townships.


The aim of the survey was to locate, identify, evaluate and document sites, objects and structures of cultural significance found within the area in which it is proposed to develop township development. Past activities in the study area consisted of farming, which would have destroyed any heritage features that might have occurred in the region.

In terms of Section 7 of the NHRA, all the sites currently known or which are expected to occur in the study area are evaluated to have Grade III significance:

- One informal cemetery was identified and it recommended that it is kept in place.
- Some circular stone structures are located in the area. It is possibly related to the Anglo-Boer War skirmishes that took place at the Kaalfontein station in January 1901 and is possibly of a type of structure called Sangars. These sites are seen to be fully documented once added to the central SAHRA database

Therefore, based on what was found and its evaluation, it is recommended that the proposed development can continue, on condition of acceptance of the following recommendation:

- If construction takes place and archaeological sites are exposed, it should immediately be reported to a museum, preferably one at which an archaeologist is available, so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made.



J A van Schalkwyk
Heritage Consultant

TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Property details						
Province	Gauteng Province					
Magisterial district	Kempton Park					
Topo-cadastral map	2628AB					
Closest town	Kempton Park					
Farm name	Witfontein 15IR					
Portions/Holdings	Remainder of Portion 29 & southern part of Remainder of Portion 28					
Coordinates	Centre coordinates					
	No	Latitude	Longitude	No	Latitude	Longitude
	1	S 26.06318	E 28.26585	2	S 26.06979	S 28.28499

Development criteria in terms of Section 38(1) of the NHR Act	Yes/No
Construction of road, wall, power line, pipeline, canal or other linear form of development or barrier exceeding 300m in length	
Construction of bridge or similar structure exceeding 50m in length	
Development exceeding 5000 sq m	Yes
Development involving three or more existing erven or subdivisions	Yes
Development involving three or more erven or divisions that have been consolidated within past five years	
Rezoning of site exceeding 10 000 sq m	Yes
Any other development category, public open space, squares, parks, recreation grounds	

Development	
Description	Township development
Project name	Glen Erasmia Extensions

Land use	
Previous land use	Agriculture
Current land use	Agriculture

Heritage sites assessment		
<i>Site type</i>	<i>Site significance</i>	<i>Site grading (Section 7 of NHRA)</i>
Cemetery	High	III
Impact assessment		
<i>Impact</i>	<i>Mitigation</i>	<i>Permits required</i>
Yes	Retain	SAHRA; Police; Dept of Health

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	II
TECHNICAL SUMMARY	III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	IV
GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	V
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. TERMS OF REFERENCE	1
3. HERITAGE RESOURCES	1
4. STUDY APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY	3
5. DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3
6. SITE SIGNIFICANCE AND ASSESSMENT	5
7. RECOMMENDED MANAGEMENT MEASURES.....	6
8. CONCLUSIONS.....	7
9. REFERENCES.....	8
APPENDIX 1. RELEVANT LEGISLATION	9
APPENDIX 2: CONVENTIONS USED TO ASSESS THE IMPACT OF PROJECTS ON HERITAGE RESOURCES	10
APPENDIX 3: SURVEY RESULTS	12
APPENDIX 4: ILLUSTRATIONS.....	15

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Fig. 1. Location of the study areas (blue outlines) in regional context.....	4
Fig. 2. Location of the identified sites in the study areas.....	12
Fig. 3. View of the cemetery.	13
Fig. 4. The remains of the stone walling.	14
Fig. 5. Copy of the original Deed of Transfer for the farm Witfontein.	15
Fig. 6. Aerial view of Glen Erasmia northern section.....	16
Fig. 7. Old quarry.	16
Fig. 8. Aerial view of Glen Erasmia central section.	17
Fig. 9. View across Portion 2 in a northern direction.	17
Fig. 10. Aerial view of Glen Erasmia southern section.	18
Fig. 11. View across Portion 4 in a southern direction.....	18

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

TERMS

Study area: Refers to the entire study area as indicated by the client in the accompanying Fig. 1 - 2.

Stone Age: The first and longest part of human history is the Stone Age, which began with the appearance of early humans between 3-2 million years ago. Stone Age people were hunters, gatherers and scavengers who did not live in permanently settled communities. Their stone tools preserve well and are found in most places in South Africa and elsewhere.

Early Stone Age	2 000 000 - 150 000 Before Present
Middle Stone Age	150 000 - 30 000 BP
Late Stone Age	30 000 - until c. AD 200

Iron Age: Period covering the last 1800 years, when new people brought a new way of life to southern Africa. They established settled villages, cultivated domestic crops such as sorghum, millet and beans, and they herded cattle as well as sheep and goats. These people, according to archaeological evidence, spoke early variations of the Bantu Language. Because they produced their own iron tools, archaeologists call this the Iron Age.

Early Iron Age	AD 200 - AD 900
Middle Iron Age	AD 900 - AD 1300
Late Iron Age	AD 1300 - AD 1830

Historical Period: Since the arrival of the white settlers - c. AD 1840 - in this part of the country

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADRC	Archaeological Data Recording Centre
ASAPA	Association of Southern African Professional Archaeologists
EIA	Early Iron Age
ESA	Early Stone Age
CS-G	Chief Surveyor General
LIA	Late Iron Age
LSA	Later Stone Age
HIA	Heritage Impact Assessment
MSA	Middle Stone Age
NASA	National Archives of South Africa
NHRA	National Heritage Resources Act
PHRA	Provincial Heritage Resources Agency
SAHRA	South African Heritage Resources Agency

HERITAGE IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR THE PROPOSED TOWNSHIP DEVELOPMENTS GLEN ERASMIA EXTENSIONS, EKHURULENI METROPOLITAN MUNICIPALITY, GAUTENG PROVINCE

1. INTRODUCTION

Hunkydory Investments (Pty) Ltd, property developers, is proposing township development on sections of land, identified as Glen Erasmia Extensions.

South Africa's heritage resources, also described as the 'national estate', comprise a wide range of sites, features, objects and believes. However, according to Section 27(18) of the National Heritage Resources Act (NHRA), No 25 of 1999, no person may destroy, damage, deface, excavate, alter, remove from its original position, subdivide or change the planning status of any heritage site without a permit issued by the heritage resources authority responsible for the protection of such site.

In accordance with Section 38 of the NHRA, an independent heritage consultant was appointed by **Bohlweki SSI** conduct a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) to determine if any sites, features or objects of cultural heritage significance occur within the boundaries of the areas where it is planned to develop the townships.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The scope of work for this study consisted of:

- Conducting of a desk-top investigation of the area, in which all available literature, reports, databases and maps were studied;
- A visit to the proposed development areas.

The objectives were to

- Identify possible archaeological, cultural and historic sites within the proposed mining area;
- Evaluate the potential impacts of construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed development on archaeological, cultural and historical resources;
- Recommend mitigation measures to ameliorate any negative impacts on areas of archaeological, cultural or historical importance.

3. HERITAGE RESOURCES

3.1 The National Estate

The NHRA (No. 25 of 1999) defines the heritage resources of South Africa which are of cultural significance or other special value for the present community and for future generations that must be considered part of the national estate to include:

- places, buildings, structures and equipment of cultural significance;
- places to which oral traditions are attached or which are associated with living heritage;

- historical settlements and townscapes;
- landscapes and natural features of cultural significance;
- geological sites of scientific or cultural importance;
- archaeological and palaeontological sites;
- graves and burial grounds, including-
 - ancestral graves;
 - royal graves and graves of traditional leaders;
 - graves of victims of conflict;
 - graves of individuals designated by the Minister by notice in the Gazette;
 - historical graves and cemeteries; and
 - other human remains which are not covered in terms of the Human Tissue Act, 1983 (Act No. 65 of 1983);
- sites of significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa;
- movable objects, including-
 - objects recovered from the soil or waters of South Africa, including archaeological and palaeontological objects and material, meteorites and rare geological specimens;
 - objects to which oral traditions are attached or which are associated with living heritage;
 - ethnographic art and objects;
 - military objects;
 - objects of decorative or fine art;
 - objects of scientific or technological interest; and
 - books, records, documents, photographic positives and negatives, graphic, film or video material or sound recordings, excluding those that are public records as defined in section 1(xiv) of the National Archives of South Africa Act, 1996 (Act No. 43 of 1996).

3.2 Cultural significance

In the NHRA, Section 2 (vi), it is stated that “cultural significance” means aesthetic, architectural, historical, scientific, social, spiritual, linguistic or technological value or significance. This is determined in relation to a site or feature’s uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential.

According to Section 3(3) of the NHRA, a place or object is to be considered part of the national estate if it has cultural significance or other special value because of

- its importance in the community, or pattern of South Africa's history;
- its possession of uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage;
- its potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of South Africa's natural or cultural heritage;
- its importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a particular class of South Africa's natural or cultural places or objects;
- its importance in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a community or cultural group;
- its importance in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period;
- its strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons;
- its strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in the history of South Africa; and
- sites of significance relating to the history of slavery in South Africa.

4. STUDY APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

4.1 Extent of the Study

This survey and impact assessment covers the area as presented in Section 5 and as illustrated in Figures 1 - 2.

4.2 Methodology

4.2.1 Preliminary investigation

4.2.1.1 Survey of the literature

A survey of the relevant literature was conducted with the aim of reviewing the previous research done and determining the potential of the area. In this regard, various anthropological, archaeological and historical sources were consulted.

- A number of reports deal with aspects of heritage in the region (Van Schalkwyk 2000, Ekurhuleni 2003).

4.2.1.2 Data bases

The *Heritage Atlas Database*, the *Environmental Potential Atlas*, the *Chief Surveyor General* and the *National Archives of South Africa* were consulted.

- Database surveys produced a number of sites located in the region of the proposed development.
- Deeds of Transfer for some of the farm was found in the records of the Chief Surveyor-General's records, and showed the location of some early structures.

4.2.1.3 Other sources

Aerial photographs and topocadastral and other maps were also studied - see the list of references below.

- Information of a very general nature were obtained from these sources

4.2.2 Field survey

The area that had to be investigated, was identified by **Bohlweki SSI** by means of maps. The site was visited 15 May 2009 and was surveyed by walking a number of transects over it. Where there were maize fields this was not possible and, indeed not necessary, as the ploughing would have destroyed any heritage features that might have occurred here.

4.2 Limitations

The following has a bearing on the results of this study:

- In some sections the natural vegetation, or the crops (maize), was very high and dense, limiting archaeological visibility.

5. DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

5.1 Site location




Fig. 1. Location of the study areas (blue outlines) in regional context.

5.2 Regional overview

5.2.1 Stone Age

Occupation of the larger region has taken place since the Early Stone Age time, as is evidenced by finds occurrence of stone tools in the Primrose area. Various other sites, dating to the Later Stone Age occur in the larger region, and some of them, at Glenferness and in Midrand, were excavated by Prof. Reviel Mason (1968).

5.2.2 Iron Age

Iron Age people started to settle in southern Africa c. AD 300, with one of the oldest known sites at Broederstroom south of Hartebeespoort Dam dating to AD 470. Having only had cereals (sorghum, millet) that need summer rainfall, Early Iron Age (EIA) people did not move outside this rainfall zone, and neither did they occupy the central interior highveld area. Because of their specific technology and economy, Iron Age people preferred to settle on the alluvial soils near rivers for agricultural purposes, but also for firewood and water.

The occupation of the larger geographical area (including the study area) did not start much before the 1500s. By the 16th century things changed, with the climate becoming warmer and wetter, creating conditions that allowed Late Iron Age (LIA) farmers to occupy areas previously unsuitable, for example the Witwatersrand and the treeless plains of the Free State.

5.2.3 Historic period

White settlers moved into the area during the first half of the 19th century. They were largely self-sufficient, basing their survival on cattle/sheep farming and hunting. Pretoria was started in 1850, but Johannesburg only dates to the 1880s, after the discovery of gold. Since then, urbanization and industrialisation took off. One of the better-known areas in the study area is Modderfontein industrial precinct.

During the Anglo-Boer War two skirmishes took place in the Kempton Park region, both in January 1901. The first next to the Zuurfontein Station in the morning. The second, known as the Battle of Kaalfontein, took place in the afternoon at Kaalfontein Station. Gen Beyers commanded the Boers.

Sand mining took place on a number of places on the farm in the past (Coetzee 1976), although only one mine is still active.

5.3 Identified sites

The following cultural heritage resources are known to exist or are expected to exist in the study area:

5.3.1 Stone Age

- **No sites, features or objects dating to the Stone Age are known from any of the two study areas.**

5.3.2 Iron Age

- **No sites features or objects dating to the Iron Age are known from any of the two study areas.**

5.3.3 Historic period

- **A large informal cemetery is located on the north border of the southern section.**
- **Some circular stone structures are located in the area. It is possibly related to the Anglo-Boer War skirmishes that took place at the Kaalfontein station in January 1901 and is possibly of a type of structure called Sangars. It is possible that some more might occur in the region, but, as they are usually small and hidden, they are difficult to locate.**

6. SITE SIGNIFICANCE AND ASSESSMENT

6.1 Heritage assessment criteria and grading

The NHRA stipulates the assessment criteria and grading of archaeological sites. The following categories are distinguished in Section 7 of the Act:

- **Grade I:** Heritage resources with qualities so exceptional that they are of special national significance;
- **Grade II:** Heritage resources which, although forming part of the national estate, can be considered to have special qualities which make them significant within the context of a province or a region; and
- **Grade III:** Other heritage resources worthy of conservation, on a local authority level.

The occurrence of sites with a Grade I significance will demand that the mining activities be drastically altered in order to retain these sites in their original state. For Grade II and Grade III sites, the applicable of mitigation measures would allow the development activities to continue.

6.2 Statement of significance

In terms of Section 7 of the NHRA, all the sites currently known or which are expected to occur in the study area are evaluated to have a

- **Grade III significance.**

6.3 Impact assessment

Impact analysis of cultural heritage resources under threat of the proposed development, is based on the present understanding of the development:

- A large old cemetery is located on Portion 4 and it is recommended that it is kept in place and that it is formalised by fencing it off and by maintaining the vegetation.
- The two stone walled sites are seen to be fully documented once added to the central SAHRA database.

7. RECOMMENDED MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Heritage sites are fixed features in the environment, occurring within specific spatial confines. Any impact upon them is permanent and non-reversible. Those resources that cannot be avoided and that are directly impacted by the development can be excavated/recorded and a management plan can be developed for future action. Those sites that are not impacted on can be written into the management plan, whence they can be avoided or cared for in the future.

7.1 Objectives

- Protection of archaeological, historical and any other site or land considered being of cultural value within the project boundary against vandalism, destruction and theft.
- The preservation and appropriate management of new discoveries in accordance with the NHRA, should these be discovered during construction.

The following shall apply:

- Known sites should be clearly marked in order that they can be avoided during construction activities.
- The contractors and workers should be notified that archaeological sites might be exposed during the construction work.
- Should any heritage artefacts be exposed during excavation, work on the area where the artefacts were discovered, shall cease immediately and the Environmental Control Officer shall be notified as soon as possible;
- All discoveries shall be reported immediately to a museum, preferably one at which an archaeologist is available, so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be

made. Acting upon advice from these specialists, the Environmental Control Officer will advise the necessary actions to be taken;

- Under no circumstances shall any artefacts be removed, destroyed or interfered with by anyone on the site; and
- Contractors and workers shall be advised of the penalties associated with the unlawful removal of cultural, historical, archaeological or palaeontological artefacts, as set out in the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No. 25 of 1999), Section 51. (1).

7.2 Control

In order to achieve this, the following should be in place:

- A person or entity, e.g. the Environmental Control Officer, should be tasked to take responsibility for the heritage sites and should be held accountable for any damage.
- Known sites should be located and isolated, e.g. by fencing them off. All residents and their visitors should be informed that these are no-go areas, unless accompanied by the individual or persons representing the Environmental Control Officer as identified above.
- In areas where the vegetation is threatening the heritage sites, e.g. growing trees pushing walls over, it should be removed, but only after permission for the methods proposed has been granted by SAHRA. A heritage official should be part of the team executing these measures.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The aim of the survey was to locate, identify, evaluate and document sites, objects and structures of cultural significance found within the area in which it is proposed to develop township development. Past activities in the study area consisted of farming, which would have destroyed any heritage features that might have occurred in the region.

In terms of Section 7 of the NHRA, all the sites currently known or which are expected to occur in the study area are evaluated to have Grade III significance:

- One informal cemetery was identified and it recommended that it is kept in place.
- Some circular stone structures are located in the area. It is possibly related to the Anglo-Boer War skirmishes that took place at the Kaalfontein station in January 1901 and is possibly of a type of structure called Sangars. These sites are seen to be fully documented once added to the central SAHRA database

Therefore, based on what was found and its evaluation, it is recommended that the proposed development can continue, on condition of acceptance of the following recommendation:

- If construction takes place and archaeological sites are exposed, it should immediately be reported to a museum, preferably one at which an archaeologist is available, so that an investigation and evaluation of the finds can be made.

9. REFERENCES

9.1 Data bases

Chief Surveyor General

Environmental Potential Atlas, Department of Environmental Affairs and Tourism.

Heritage Atlas Database, Pretoria.

National Archives of South Africa

9.2 Literature

Acocks, J.P.H. 1975. *Veld Types of South Africa*. Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa, No. 40. Pretoria: Botanical Research Institute.

Coetzee, C.B. (ed.) 1976. *Mineral resources of the Republic of South Africa*. Handbook 7, Geological Survey. Pretoria: Government Printer.

Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality 2003. *State of the Environment Report*.

Holm, S.E. 1966. *Bibliography of South African Pre- and Protohistoric archaeology*. Pretoria: J.L. van Schaik.

Mason, R. 1968. *Prehistory of the Transvaal*. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University Press.

Oberholzer, J.J. 1972. *The historical Monuments of South Africa*. Cape Town: Rembrand van Rijn Foundation for Culture.

Praagh, L.V. (ed.) 1906. *The Transvaal and its mines*. London: Praagh & Lloyd.

Richardson, D. 2001. *Historic sites of South Africa*. Cape Town: Struik Publishers

Van Schalkwyk, J. 2000. *A survey of cultural resources at the proposed Glen Erasmia development, Kempton Park, Gauteng*. Unpublished report 2000KH06. Pretoria: National Cultural History Museum.

9.3 Maps and aerial photographs

1: 50 000 Topocadastral maps

Google Earth

APPENDIX 1. RELEVANT LEGISLATION

All archaeological and palaeontological sites, and meteorites are protected by the National Heritage Resources Act (Act no 25 of 1999) as stated in Section 35:

(1) Subject to the provisions of section 8, the protection of archaeological and palaeontological sites and material and meteorites is the responsibility of a provincial heritage resources authority: Provided that the protection of any wreck in the territorial waters and the maritime cultural zone shall be the responsibility of SAHRA.

(2) Subject to the provisions of subsection (8)(a), all archaeological objects, palaeontological material and meteorites are the property of the State. The responsible heritage authority must, on behalf of the State, at its discretion ensure that such objects are lodged with a museum or other public institution that has a collection policy acceptable to the heritage resources authority and may in so doing establish such terms and conditions as it sees fit for the conservation of such objects.

(3) Any person who discovers archaeological or palaeontological objects or material or a meteorite in the course of development or agricultural activity must immediately report the find to the responsible heritage resources authority, or to the nearest local authority offices or museum, which must immediately notify such heritage resources authority.

(4) No person may, without a permit issued by the responsible heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, excavate, alter, deface or otherwise disturb any archaeological or palaeontological site or any meteorite;
- (b) destroy, damage, excavate, remove from its original position, collect or own any archaeological or palaeontological material or object or any meteorite;
- (c) trade in, sell for private gain, export or attempt to export from the Republic any category of archaeological or palaeontological material or object, or any meteorite; or
- (d) bring onto or use at an archaeological or palaeontological site any excavation equipment or any equipment which assist in the detection or recovery of metals or archaeological and palaeontological material or objects, or use such equipment for the recovery of meteorites.

In terms of cemeteries and graves the following (Section 36):

(1) Where it is not the responsibility of any other authority, SAHRA must conserve and generally care for burial grounds and graves protected in terms of this section, and it may make such arrangements for their conservation as it sees fit.

(2) SAHRA must identify and record the graves of victims of conflict and any other graves which it deems to be of cultural significance and may erect memorials associated with the grave referred to in subsection (1), and must maintain such memorials.

(3) No person may, without a permit issued by SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority-

- (a) destroy, damage, alter, exhume or remove from its original position or otherwise disturb the grave of a victim of conflict, or any burial ground or part thereof which contains such graves;
- (b) destroy, damage, alter, exhume, remove from its original position or otherwise disturb any grave or burial ground older than 60 years which is situated outside a formal cemetery administered by a local authority; or
- (c) bring onto or use at a burial ground or grave referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) any excavation equipment, or any equipment which assists in the detection or recovery of metals.

(4) SAHRA or a provincial heritage resources authority may not issue a permit for the destruction or damage of any burial ground or grave referred to in subsection (3)(a) unless it is satisfied that the applicant has made satisfactory arrangements for the exhumation and re-interment of the contents of such graves, at the cost of the applicant and in accordance with any regulations made by the responsible heritage resources authority.

APPENDIX 2: CONVENTIONS USED TO ASSESS THE IMPACT OF PROJECTS ON HERITAGE RESOURCES

Significance

According to the NHRA, Section 2(vi) the **significance** of a heritage sites and artefacts is determined by it aesthetic, architectural, historical, scientific, social, spiritual, linguistic or technical value in relation to the uniqueness, condition of preservation and research potential. It must be kept in mind that the various aspects are not mutually exclusive, and that the evaluation of any site is done with reference to any number of these.

Matrix used for assessing the significance of each identified site/feature

1. Historic value				
Is it important in the community, or pattern of history				
Does it have strong or special association with the life or work of a person, group or organisation of importance in history				
Does it have significance relating to the history of slavery				
2. Aesthetic value				
It is important in exhibiting particular aesthetic characteristics valued by a community or cultural group				
3. Scientific value				
Does it have potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of natural or cultural heritage				
Is it important in demonstrating a high degree of creative or technical achievement at a particular period				
4. Social value				
Does it have strong or special association with a particular community or cultural group for social, cultural or spiritual reasons				
5. Rarity				
Does it possess uncommon, rare or endangered aspects of natural or cultural heritage				
6. Representivity				
Is it important in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a particular class of natural or cultural places or objects				
Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a range of landscapes or environments, the attributes of which identify it as being characteristic of its class				
Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of human activities (including way of life, philosophy, custom, process, land-use, function, design or technique) in the environment of the nation, province, region or locality.				
7. Sphere of Significance		High	Medium	Low
International				
National				
Provincial				
Regional				
Local				
Specific community				
8. Significance rating of feature				
1.	Low			
2.	Medium			
3.	High			

Significance of impact:

- low where the impact will not have an influence on or require to be significantly accommodated in the project design
- medium where the impact could have an influence which will require modification of the project design or alternative mitigation
- high where it would have a “no-go” implication on the project regardless of any mitigation

Certainty of prediction:

- Definite: More than 90% sure of a particular fact. Substantial supportive data to verify assessment
- Probable: More than 70% sure of a particular fact, or of the likelihood of that impact occurring
- Possible: Only more than 40% sure of a particular fact, or of the likelihood of an impact occurring
- Unsure: Less than 40% sure of a particular fact, or the likelihood of an impact occurring

Recommended management action:

For each impact, the recommended practically attainable mitigation actions which would result in a measurable reduction of the impact, must be identified. This is expressed according to the following:

- 1 = no further investigation/action necessary
- 2 = controlled sampling and/or mapping of the site necessary
- 3 = preserve site if possible, otherwise extensive salvage excavation and/or mapping necessary
- 4 = preserve site at all costs
- 5 = retain graves

Legal requirements:

Identify and list the specific legislation and permit requirements which potentially could be infringed upon by the proposed project, if mitigation is necessary.

APPENDIX 3: SURVEY RESULTS

See Appendix 1 for an explanation of the conventions used in assessing the significance of the cultural remains.

Map datum used: Hartebeeshoek 94 (WGS84).




Fig. 2. Location of the identified sites in the study areas.
(Map 2628AB: Chief Surveyor General).

Sites identified:

1. Location: Witfontein 15IR: S 26.06674; E 28.28270

Description: Informal cemetery with probably as many as 100 graves. Most do not have headstones. A few have headstones with a death date ranging from the 1950s to 1970s.

Based on the grave goods and clearing of vegetation off some graves, it seems as if in a few cases the descendants still visit the graves on a regular basis.

Discussion: As this is a large cemetery and off to one side of the development, it is recommended that it is retained, that the site is properly fenced off and cared for, allowing access to the descendants.

Evaluation of significance: High on a local level

Significance of impact: High

Certainty of prediction: Definite

Recommended management action: 5 = retain graves

Legal requirements: SAHRA, Dept. of Health, Police.



Fig. 3. View of the cemetery.

2. Location: Witfontein 15IR: S 26.05588, E. 28.26375

Description: Large circle of packed stone, very low and badly defined. No deposit or any material occurs on the site which can be used to identify the structure. It probably dates to the Anglo-Boer War, as other similar sites occur in the region.

Discussion: This site is seen as fully recorded once it has been recorded into the regional database as well as with SAHRA.

Evaluation of significance: Low on a local level

Significance of impact: High

Certainty of prediction: Definite

Recommended management action: 1 = no further investigation/action necessary

Legal requirements: None



Fig. 4. The remains of the stone walling.

3. Location: Witfontein 15IR: S 26.05111, E. 28.25972

Description: Large circle of packed stone, very low and badly defined. It probably dates to the Anglo-Boer War, as other similar sites occur in the region.

Discussion: This site is seen as fully recorded once it has been recorded into the regional database as well as with SAHRA.

Evaluation of significance: Low on a local level

Significance of impact: High

Certainty of prediction: Definite

Recommended management action: 1 = no further investigation/action necessary

Legal requirements: None

APPENDIX 4: ILLUSTRATIONS




Fig. 5. Copy of the original Deed of Transfer for the farm Witfontein.




Fig. 6. Aerial view of Glen Erasmia northern section.
(Photo: Courtesy Google Earth)



Fig. 7. Old quarry.




Fig. 8. Aerial view of Glen Erasmia central section.
(Photo: Courtesy Google Earth)



Fig. 9. View across Portion 2 in a northern direction.




Fig. 10. Aerial view of Glen Erasmia southern section.
(Photo: Courtesy of Google Earth)



Fig. 11. View across Portion 4 in a southern direction.