

SOUTH AFRICAN PRICAN HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY SARRINGTON STREET, CAPE TOWN, 8001 PO BOX 4637, CAPE TUWN, 8000 TEL: 021 462 4302 FAX: 021 462 4309

FOR ATTENTION: SAHRA Limpopo or Phra: Limpopo

SAHRA File No: Date Received: Date to Peer Review:
SAHRA Contact Person: Mrs Portia Ramalamula Sent to Peer Review: DME Ref No: Date of Comment: FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY: 9/2/236/0032 01 October 2007 04 October 2007

REVIEW COMMENT ON

ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

BY ARCHAEOLOGY/PALAEONTOLOGY UNIT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY

South Africa has a unique and non-renewable archaeological and palaeontological heritage. Archaeological and palaeontological sites are protected in terms of the National Heritage Resources Act (Act No 25 of 1999) and may not be disturbed without a permit. Archaeological Impact Assessments (ALAs) and Palaeontological Impact Assessments (PIAs) identify and assess the significance of the sites, assess the potential impact of developments upon such sites, and make recommendations concerning mitigation and management of these sites. On the basis of satisfactory specialist reports SAHRA or the relevant heritage resources agency can assess whether or not it has objection to a development and indicate the conditions upon which such development might proceed and assess whether or not to issue permission to destroy such sites

AlAs and PlAs often form part of the heritage component of an Environmental Impact Assessment or Environmental Management Plan. They may also form part of a Heritage Impact Assessment called for in terms of section 38 of the National Heritage Resources Act, Act No. 25, 1999. They may have other origins. In any event they should comply with basic minimum standards of reporting as indicated in SAHRA. Regulations and Guidelines.

This form provides review comment from the Archaeologist of the relevant heritage resources authority for use by Heritage Managers, for example, when informing authorities that have applied to SAHRA for comment and for inclusion in documentation sent to environmental authorities. It may be used in conjunction with Form B, which provides relevant peer review comment.

DATE OF REPORT: October 2007 TITLE OF REPORT: EXEMPTION OF THE FOL	REPORT COMMISSIONED BY (CONSULTANT OR DEVIEW Environmental Consulting	THETAILS: P.O. Roy 210 Graphhof 0027	The second secon
ctober 2007	EXEMPTION OF THE FOLLOWING PROPOSED VODACOM BASE FROM FULL HIA INVESTIGATION; RIVERCROSS, BURGERSFORT RSPAS. Tchaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP Other (Specify)	EMPTION OF THE FOLLOWING PROPOSED VODACOM BAS OM FULL HIA INVESTIGATION; RIVERCROSS, BURGERSFOR AS	EMPTION OF THE FOLLOWING PROPOSED VODACOM BAS OM FULL HIA INVESTIGATION; RIVERCROSS, BURGERSFOR AS
	TWN AND VAN COLLERSPAS	COLLERSPAS	COLLERSPAS
N.S.	Please circle as relevant: Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP Other (Specify)	relevant: Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP Other (Specify) IONED BY (CONSULTANT OR DEVELOPER): <i>Ms Lynn van Vuuren, Lokiso ling</i>	relevant: Archaeological component of EIA / EMP / HIA / CMP Other (Specify) IONED BY (CONSULTANT OR DEVELOPER): Ms Lynn van Vuuren, Lokiso liing TAILS: P.O. Box 219, Groenkloof, 0027
STS FROM FULL HIA INVESTIGATION; RIVERCROSS, BURGERSFOR		REPORT COMMISSIONED BY (CONSULTANT OR DEVELOPER): Ms Lynn van Vuuren, Lokisa Environmental Consulting	RT COMMISSIONED BY (CONSULTANT OR DEVELOPER): Ms Lynn van Vuuren, Lokisommental Consulting CONTACT DETAILS: P.O. Box 219, Groenkloof, 0027

REVIEW COMMENT ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

October 2007, Received 01 October 2007

RIVERCROSS, BURGERSFORT TOWN AND VAN COLLERSPAS STATION AND MASTS FROM FULL HIA INVESTIGATION; EXEMPTION OF THE FOLLING PROPOSED VODACOM BASE

the sites. The sites are very small and no heritage resources were noticed within the proposed Archaeo- Info Northern Province was contracted by Lokisa Environmental cc to investigate

archaeologist must be alerted immediately. heritage resources are found during development, construction or mining, new evidence of archaeological sites or artefacts, palaeontological (in terms of the archaeological component of the heritage resources) on condition that, SAHRA Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite Unit has no objection to the development fossils, graves or SAHRA or an

rescue operation might be necessary (see attached list of accredited Palaeontologists). full Phase I Palaeontological Impact Assessment will be required and if necessary a Phase development will impact upon palaeontological resources. If this is deemed unnecessary, at professional Palaeontological Desk Top study is undertaken to assess whether or not the terraces and in potentially fossiliferous superficial deposits, the developer must ensure that a least a letter of exemption from a Palaeontologist is needed. If the area is deemed sensitive, a Where bedrock is to be affected, or where there are coastal sediments, or marine or river

vnetshiavha@lp.sahra.org.za)/ lihra@lantic.net) to whom this Archaeological Review Comment will be copied Decisions on Built Environment (e.g. structures over 60 years) and Cultural Landscapes must made λq the Limpopo Provincial Heritage Authority (Limpopo, Mr Tlou Setumu, SAHRA Provincial office (Victor Netshiavha:

EMAIL: SIGNATURE OF SAHRA HEAD ARCHAEOLOGIST: EMAIL: pramalamula@sahra.org.za (00) 22

mleslie@sahra.orgtza

SIGNATURE OF ARCHAEOLOGIST PROCESSING REPORT

NAME OF HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY: SAHRA.....

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE COMMENT (ABOVE OR APPENDED) CONSTITUTES THE COMMENT OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGIST AND THAT ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INVOLVES DESTRUCTION OF ANY ARCHAEOLOGICAL PALAEONTOLOGICAL SITE IS STILL SUBJECT TO A PERMITTERMISSION FOR DESTRUCTION OF SUCH SITE GIVEN TO THE DEVELOPER BY THE RELEVANT HERITAGE RESOURCES AGENCY ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND PALAEONTOLOGICAL PERMIT COMMITTEE (THIS WILL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE PHASE 2 OR ARCHAEOLOGICAL NATIONAL MITIGATION AS NECESSARY), THIS REPORT MAY BE TAKEN ONLY AS APPROVAL, IN PRINCIPLE, IN TERMS OF SECTION 35 OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES ADVINGE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE ESCUERCES ACT. THE PROVINCIAL MANAGER OF THE HERITAGE RESOURCES ADVINCIAL SUBJECT ON THE SECOND STATE HERITAGE RESOURCES ADVINCIAL MIST ADVIRE AS TO APPROVAL IN TERMS OF HERITAGE ESCUERCES, OVER 60 YEARS), INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS OR OF CULTURAL LANDSCAPES AS THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ARCHAEOLOGIST.

PLEASE NOTE THAT SAHRA IS NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE I HERITAGE RESOURCES (AND EXPORT) AND THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR GRADE II AND GRADE III HERITAGE RESOURCES, EXCEPT WHERE THERE IS AN AGENCY ARRANGEMENT WITH THE PROVINCIAL HERITAGE RESOURCES AUTHORITY.



ARCHAEO-INFO NORTHERN PROVINCES
PO 80X 147, BENDORPARK, POLOKWANE, 971
TEL/FAX +27 (15) 296 1944
EMAIL SGAIGHER@TELKOMSA NET
OUTSPAN VILLAGE NO 5, BENDORPARK, POLOKWA

DATE: 01/10/2007

SAHRA REF: 9/2/236/0032

Mary Leslie SAHRA, Archaeology, Palaeontology and Meteorite Unit 111 Harrington Street Cape Town 8000

Dear Mrs. Leslie

MASTS FROM FULL HIA INVESTIGATION; RIVERSCROSS, BURGERSFORT TOWN AND VAN COLLERSPAS EXEMPTION OF THE FOLLOWING PROPOSED VODACOM BASE STATIONS AND

recommendations are given regarding the applicability of a full HIA study; investigation of, the above mentioned sites the following

be exempt from full HIA investigation. historically important sites. Successive construction projects on the site would RIVERSCROSS; The site lies within a developed area with no indications of have obliterated any significant heritage sites. It is recommended that the site

recommended that the site be exempt from full HIA investigation. indications of historically important sites. Successive construction projects on BURGERSFORT TOWN; The site lies within a site would have obliterated any significant heritage developed area with no sites.

exempt from full HIA investigation steep and consists of large boulders. It is recommended that the site be side of the Sandrivier Gorge. The area is not conducive to occupation as it is to VAN COLLERSPAS; The site lies along the edge of a steep rocky ridge and the

October 1, 2007

gathered during physical site visits. The previously mentioned recommendations are based on information *.*

contact us at any time. Should you have any questions regarding these recommendations please

Sincerely Stephan Gaigher

Sincerely
Stephan Gaigher
(Senior Partner for AINP)